1	Bacterial colonisation dynamics of household plastics in a coastal environment
2	
3	Luke Lear <sup>1+</sup> , Daniel Padfield <sup>1+*</sup> , Tirion Dowsett <sup>1</sup> , Maia Jones <sup>1</sup> , Suzanne Kay <sup>1</sup> , Alex Hayward <sup>2</sup> and
4	Michiel Vos <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	1= European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter Medical School,
7	Environment and Sustainability Institute, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE, United Kingdom
8	2= Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE, United Kingdom
9	*= these authors contributed equally
10	*= corresponding author, d.padfield@exeter.ac.uk
11	
12	Running title: colonisation of marine plastics
13	
14	Keywords: plastic pollution, virulence, pathogens, colonisation, time series, Galleria mellonella,

15 plastisphere, marine biofilms

#### 16 Abstract

17 Accumulation of plastics in the marine environment has widespread detrimental consequences for ecosystems and wildlife. Marine plastics are rapidly colonised by a wide diversity of bacteria, 18 19 including human pathogens, posing potential risks to human health. Here, we investigate the 20 effect of polymer type, residence time and estuarine location on bacterial colonisation of common 21 household plastics, including pathogenic bacteria. To do so, we submerged five main household 22 plastic types: low-density PE (LDPE), high-density PE (HDPE), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl 23 chloride (PVC) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) at an estuarine site in Cornwall (U.K.) and 24 tracked bacterial colonisation dynamics. Using both culture-dependent and culture-independent 25 approaches, we found that bacteria rapidly colonised plastics irrespective of polymer type. While biofilm community composition changed with colonisation time, no difference was observed 26 27 between polymer types. Likewise, the presence of pathogenic bacteria, quantified using the insect 28 model Galleria mellonella, increased over a five-week period, with no consistent differences 29 observed between polymer types. Pathogens isolated from plastic biofilms using Galleria enrichment included Serratia and Enterococcus species and harboured a wide range of 30 antimicrobial resistance genes. Our findings show that plastics in coastal waters are rapidly 31 32 colonised by a wide diversity of bacteria, including known human pathogens, independent of 33 polymer type.

34

#### 35 Introduction

Plastics pollution has huge negative impacts on marine environments (1). In 2017, around 348 million tonnes of plastic were produced globally (2). This figure is increasing year on year (2), with a significant percentage of all plastics produced ultimately finding their way into the marine environment (3, 4). Plastics have multiple adverse effects on a wide diversity of wildlife, including entanglement, ingestion and physical damage (e.g. (5-8)). Moreover, it is increasingly recognised that there is potential for plastic pollution to pose a threat to human health (9), from the effects of ingestion of micro- and nano particles (e.g. via shellfish or drinking water (10)) followed by

43 cellular uptake (11), to decreased psychological wellbeing through pollution of the natural44 environment (12).

45 The surface of plastic fragments in aquatic environments is rapidly colonised by microorganisms, leading to diverse biofilm communities distinct from that of the surrounding 46 47 seawater (13-15). Bacterial colonisation of marine plastics is dependent on a variety of abiotic factors, including season, location, colonisation time and polymer type (13, 16, 17). A growing 48 number of studies have used 16S amplicon sequencing approaches to specifically investigate 49 50 bacterial colonisation of different substrates. Clear differences in bacterial community 51 composition between plastic and other surfaces (e.g. wood or glass) have been reported (e.g. (18, 52 19)) (but see (20)). Differences in plastic colonisation based on beta-diversity metrics have been mixed. Differences have been reported between polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene (PE) and 53 54 polypropylene (PP) (21, 22), between PS and PP, PE and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) (23) and between PE and PET (24). However, other studies have failed to find biofilm community 55 differences between plastics, e.g. between polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP) and 56 polystyrene (PS) (18), between PE and PS (20, 25) or between PE and PP (19). 57

Among bacterial colonisers of marine plastics are pathogenic species known to cause 58 59 disease in animals (e.g. (26, 27)) and humans (e.g. (28-30)). Plastics could serve as potential 60 vectors for pathogens (30) and/or bacteria carrying antimicrobial resistance genes (31). Plastic pollution could also result in elevated horizontal gene transfer by facilitating close contact (32), 61 and plastic-sorbed contaminants (33) could potentially speed up antimicrobial resistance 62 63 development (34). Therefore, in addition to direct threats related to ingestion, plastic-borne 64 bacteria may also pose an infection risk to human and wildlife health (35-37). However, previous work has concentrated on indirect quantification of potential pathogens using agar cultivation or 65 amplicon sequencing which cannot quantify the virulence or pathogenicity of bacteria, 66

67 The aim of this study is to investigate the colonisation dynamics of bacterial pathogens on
68 five of the most common types of household plastics (low-density PE (LDPE), high-density PE
69 (HDPE)), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET)), at

70 multiple coastal sites, and over time. We focus on plastic colonisation in coastal waters where 71 terrestrial inputs of plastics (17) and human-, animal- and soil- associated pathogens is highest (38). We investigate bacterial colonisation dynamics on plastics incubated in the marine 72 environment by quantifying density for subsets of cultivable diversity and testing for differences 73 in taxonomic diversity via 16S amplicon sequencing. We directly tested for the presence of 74 pathogens on biofilm plastics, employing a recently developed selective isolation method using 75 76 the *Galleria mellonella* insect virulence model (39). We performed two separate experiments: (i) 77 we measured bacterial colonisation after seven weeks across three different locations, 78 quantifying bacterial colonisation through cultivation-based approaches and measuring the 79 presence of pathogens using the *Galleria* assay; (ii) we focused on one location close to shore and in addition to cultivation and the *Galleria* assay, used 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing to quantify 80 81 bacterial colonisation over time.

82

#### 83 Materials and Methods

84 <u>Plastics</u>

Five plastic types were collected from household recycling: low-density polyethylene (LDPE) 85 86 from sandwich bags, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) from milk bottles, polypropylene (PP) 87 from peanut butter tubs, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) from roofing material and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) from drink bottles. Plastics were cut into 85mm by 25mm strips with a 5mm 88 diameter hole made at one end using a holepunch. One sample of each type of plastic was attached 89 90 to a large cable tie via smaller, colour coded cable ties to form a ring (Figure 1a). Rings were then 91 attached to metal mesh bags using cable ties (Figure 1b). Plastics were sterilised using 70% ethanol before environmental incubation. 92



93

Figure 1. Overview of the methods used to submerge household plastics in the Fal
Estuary. (a) Strips of LDPE, HDPE, PP, PET and PVC strips were attached to a big cable tie
ring using smaller, colour coded cable ties. (b) Each large ring was attached to a metal mesh
bag using cable ties which was then submerged at each site (c) Sites used in this study:
Falmouth Docks, Falmouth Wharves and Mylor Bank. All three sites were used in the first
'Location' experiment whereas the second 'Colonisation' experiment occurred only at the
Falmouth Docks site.

101

#### 102 *Location experiment*

A total of 18 rings (attached to three mesh bags) were deployed on 10th December 2019 at three 103 sampling sites in the Fal Estuary (Falmouth, Cornwall, UK): Falmouth Docks (50.152, -5.059), 104 105 Falmouth Wharves (50.165, -5.083) and Mylor Bank (50.181, -5.044) (Figure 1c) with help from 106 Cornwall Port Health Authority. Mylor Bank was further from the shore and was further away 107 human habitation (Figure 1c). The bags were attached to pontoons at Falmouth Wharves and Falmouth Docks and to a buoy at Mylor Bank using a rope, ensuring the bags were fully 108 submerged. Plastic rings were retrieved from each site on 28th January 2020 (after 7 weeks) and 109 110 placed separately in a sterile plastic box for transportation. Sample processing commenced 111 within one hour of collection.

112

113

#### 115 <u>Colonisation experiment</u>

To measure differences in the bacterial colonisation of different plastic types through time, 28 rings of plastics (four timepoints, seven replicates) were attached to a single metal mesh bag and submerged as described above at the Falmouth Docks site on February 4<sup>th</sup>, 2020. One set of plastic rings were collected on February 11th, 18<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> and March 3<sup>rd</sup> (weeks 1, 2, 3 and 5) and brought back to the lab.

121

### 122 <u>Biofilm processing</u>

123 Under sterile conditions, each plastic strip was cut in half using sterilised scissors and placed in a 50mL falcon tube containing 10mL sterile NaCl buffer (9g/L; Fisher Chemicals, Loughborough, 124 UK) and five sterile glass beads to facilitate removal of biofilm (Millipore Colirollers Plating Beads, 125 126 Billerica MA, USA). Each tube was vortexed for 60 seconds at 2500 r.p.m. to remove and suspend plastic biofilms. As our focus was on bacteria of terrestrial origin, specifically potential human 127 pathogens, serial dilutions of biofilm suspensions were plated on LB agar (Fisher BioReagents, 128 Loughborough, UK) and coliform agar (Millipore Sigma, Billerica MA, USA) and incubated at 37°C. 129 LB agar selects for relatively fast-growing bacteria; coliform agar was used to quantify both 130 coliform bacteria and E. coli. Colony forming units (CFU) were counted after 24 hours of 131 132 incubation at 37°C. All counts were standardised to CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>. Biofilm suspensions were stored in glycerol (Fisher Chemicals, Loughborough, UK) (20% final concentration) at -80°C. 133

134

#### 135 *Galleria mellonella* virulence assay

*G. mellonella* larvae were purchased from Livefood U.K. (http://www.livefood.co.uk) and used
within one week of purchase. A 100µL Hamilton syringe (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd, Gillingham, UK) with
0.6 x 30mm needles (BD Microlance 3, Becton Dickinson, Plymouth, UK) was used to inject the
larvae with 10µL of defrosted biofilm freezer stock into the last left proleg, using 20 larvae
(location experiment) or 10 larvae (colonisation experiment) for each sample. The larvae were
anaesthetised on ice for 30 minutes before injection. Needles were sterilised between samples by

flushing with 70% ethanol followed by NaCl buffer. Two negative controls for the experiment were used: a buffer control using 10μL of sterile NaCl to control for the impact of physical trauma, and a no-injection control to account for background larvae mortality. After injection, larvae were incubated at 37°C and inspected at 24-, 48- and 72-hours post-injection (location experiment) or 24- and 48 hours post-injection (colonisation experiment) to record mortality. Larvae were scored as dead if they did not respond to touch stimuli (39).

148

## 149 *Isolation of pathogenic bacteria*

150 To isolate pathogens causing *G. mellonella* mortality, we reinjected 20 of the most virulent 151 communities into ten larvae as described above. Larvae demonstrating melanisation - a key indicator of infection – were anesthetised by placing on ice before their haemocoel was extracted. 152 To extract haemocoel, 70% ethanol was used to sterilise the area around the last left proleg before 153 154 the proleg was removed using sterile micro-scissors and the haemocoel collected using a pipette. 155 This method is advantageous over whole larvae destruction as it minimises contamination with skin and gut bacteria (Hernandez et al 2019). Collected haemocoel (~5-15µL) was diluted in 156 500µL of buffer, plated onto LB agar and incubated for 24 hours. Colonies were then picked and 157 158 grown in 750μL of LB at 37°C for 24 hours. Cultures were frozen at -80°C in glycerol (at a final 159 concentration of 25%). Defrosted stocks were diluted to (1 x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL) and injected into ten larvae which were then incubated for 18 hours; deceased larvae confirmed that isolated clones 160 161 were pathogenic and not commensal gut or skin bacteria.

162

#### 163 <u>16S rRNA amplicon sequencing</u>

DNA was extracted from biofilms using a DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the standard protocol with the only modification to increase the initial centrifugation speed and time (10K x g for 10 minutes) to pellet bacteria suspended in the 20% glycerol stock. DNA concentrations were quantified using the Qubit HS DNA kit (Invitrogen), purity was assessed using nanodrop 260:280 ratios, and integrity was assessed using a 1% 169 agarose gel. A 251bp conserved fragment in the V4 hypervariable region was targeted using 170 N515f and N806r primers (https://earthmicrobiome.org/protocols-and-standards/16s/) with phasing and a pool of indexed primers suitable for multiplex sequencing with Illumina 171 technology. Sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeq V2 500 by the University of 172 173 Exeter Sequencing Service. Sequencing adapters and any bases below a score of Q22 were removed, alongside any reads <150 bp using 'Cutadapt' (40). We then processed and analysed the 174 sequence data in R (v4.0.3) (41) using the packages 'dada2' (42) and 'phyloseq' (43). Following 175 176 the standard full-stack workflow, we estimated error rates, inferred and merged sequences, 177 constructed a sequence table, removed chimeric sequences and assigned taxonomy. During 178 processing, the first 25bp of forward and reverse reads were trimmed. Taxonomies were assigned to amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using the SILVA database (44). We estimated 179 180 phylogeny using 'fasttree' (45) to allow for the calculation of Unifrac distances (which take into 181 account the phylogenetic distance between ASVs) between communities. We then removed any reads that had not been assigned to at least the phylum level (613 of 12564 unique ASVs) and any 182 sequences assigned to the phylum Cyanobacteria. Processing and filtering steps resulted in three 183 samples containing fewer than 10,000 reads being removed (one replicate from week 1 LDPE, 184 185 one replicate from week 1 HDPE, and one replicate from week 2 of PP), with the remaining 186 samples having a maximum read number of 673,525, a minimum of 10,364 and a median of 24,920. 187

188

#### 189 <u>Whole Genome Sequencing</u>

DNA isolation, Illumina HiSeq sequencing and basic bioinformatics were performed through
MicrobesNG, Birmingham, UK. Vials containing beads inoculated with liquid culture were washed
with extraction buffer containing lysostaphin and RNase A, and incubated for 25 minutes at 37°C.
Proteinase K and RNaseA were added and vials were incubated for a further 5 minutes at 65°C.
Genomic DNA was purified using an equal volume of SPRI beads and resuspended in EB buffer.
DNA was quantified in triplicate using the Quantit dsDNA HS assay in an Eppendorff AF2200 plate

reader. Genomic DNA libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT Library Prep Kit (Illumina,
San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol with the following modifications: two
nanograms of DNA instead of one were used as input, and PCR elongation time was increased to
1 minute from 30 seconds. DNA quantification and library preparation were carried out on a
Hamilton Microlab STAR automated liquid handling system. Pooled libraries were quantified
using the Kapa Biosystems Library Quantification Kit for Illumina on a Roche LightCycler 96 qPCR
machine. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq using a 250bp paired end protocol.

203 Sequencing reads were adapter trimmed using 'Trimmomatic 0.39' with a sliding window 204 quality cutoff of Q15 (46). De novo assembly was performed on samples using 'Unicycler v0.4.8' 205 (47), and summary stats of assemblies were calculated using CheckM v1.1.3 (48). Genome assemblies were visualised using Bandage (49) and split when there were two large non-206 207 contiguous assemblies which were then run separately through the other stages. The assemblies which were likely two or more species were identifiable by a high contamination value ( $\sim 100\%$ ). 208 209 Contigs were annotated using Prokka 1.14.6 (50). Taxonomic classification of our assemblies was performed using 'MMSeqs2 13.45111' (51) using the GTDB database (52), and of 16S gene 210 amplicons using '*dada2*' (53) using the SILVA reference database (44). Assemblies were screened 211 212 for antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors using 'AMRFinderPlus v3.10.1' (54).

213

#### 214 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

#### 215 Analysing differences in culturable abundance between plastics, sites, and time

All analyses were conducted using the statistical programming language R v4.0.3 (41) and all graphs were produced using the '*ggplot2*' package (55). Linear models were used to examine differences in culturable bacterial abundance between locations. The response variable was log<sub>10</sub> abundance+1 cm<sup>-2</sup> plastic to normalise the residuals, and the predictors were location, plastic type and their interaction. Separate models were run for each category of culturable bacteria (bacteria culturable on LB, coliform and *E. coli*). Model selection was performed using using likelihood ratio tests. Pairwise multiple comparisons to examine significant differences among locations or plastics were performed using the R package '*emmeans*' (56). Changes in abundance
through time during colonisation were analysed using linear models. The response variable was
again log<sub>10</sub> abundance+1 cm<sup>-2</sup> plastic with week of sampling as a continuous predictor and type
of plastic as an interaction term. Separate linear models were run for all three categories of
culturable bacteria. Model selection and post-hoc multiple comparisons were performed as
above.

229

### 230 <u>Analysing virulence of plastisphere biofilms</u>

231 Survival of *Galleria* inoculated with bacteria isolated from biofilms was quantified as a measure 232 of virulence of the plastisphere communities. Survival curves were fitted using Bayesian regression in the package 'rstanarm' (57) and parameters were estimated using 'tidybayes' (58). 233 Compared to the popular Cox model, Bayesian implementation can more easily visualise 234 235 uncertainty and better handle random effects. For survival curves of Galleria injected with 236 bacteria isolated from 7-week-long biofilms, we fitted a proportional hazards model with an M-237 splines baseline hazard, with site, plastic, and their interaction as fixed effects and a random effect 238 of biofilm, as multiple *Galleria* were all inoculated with the same biofilm. For the survival curves 239 through time at Falmouth Docks, we fitted the same model, but with week, plastic, and their 240 interaction as fixed effects and a random effect of biofilm. Models were run for 3000 iterations 241 and three chains were used with uninformative priors. Model convergence was assessed using 242 Rhat values (all values were 1) and manual checking of chain mixing. For both models, log hazards 243 were estimated for each plastic in each site or week. To examine differences in virulence among sites and plastics, hazard ratios were calculated as the exponential of the difference between two 244 245 log hazards. A hazard ratio above one indicates an increase in virulence compared to the baseline 246 treatment, with a value below one indicating a decrease in virulence compared to the baseline. Median log hazard across treatments was used as the baseline. We calculated median hazard 247 ratios with 95% credible intervals and the probability that the given hazard ratio was above 1. 248 249 Median hazard ratios with 95% credible intervals that do not cross 1 indicate a significant

difference in virulence between two factors. For visualisation purposes, we show the hazard ratio
of each location/polymer type where the baseline is the median log hazard in each experiment,
allowing differences in virulence between sites/polymer types to be easily seen.

253

#### 254 *Analysing plastisphere community composition between different plastic types*

255 To investigate how plastisphere bacterial communities changed, we examined changes in community composition, alpha and beta diversity through time. We used weighted Unifrac 256 257 distance (59) as a measure of compositional dissimilarity between communities, which weights 258 branches in a phylogeny based on the relative abundance of each ASV. As this distance requires a 259 rooted phylogeny, we rooted the tree based on the longest tree branch terminating in a tip. Differences in composition between plastic communities were analysed using the R packages 260 'phyloseg' (43) and 'vegan' (60). We tested whether week of colonisation and plastic type altered 261 262 community composition using permutational ANOVAs. Permutational ANOVA tests were run using 'vegan::adonis' with 9999 permutations and differences in group dispersion (which test for 263 differences in beta diversity between treatments) were analysed using 'vegan::betadisper'. An 264 overall model including plastic type and colonisation week as interacting factors was performed 265 266 first. We then split the data to examine an overall effect of plastic type by performing a separate 267 PERMANOVA for each week and performing pairwise PERMANOVAs between each plastic type to assess differences. P values were corrected using the *fdr* method (61). When looking at 268 differences in beta diversity, we calculated the distance from the centroid of each plastic by week 269 270 combination, and then ran a linear model where distance from the centroid was the response 271 variable, and plastic and week were potentially interacting factors. Model selection was performed as above. 272

To investigate differences in alpha diversity and evenness between communities, we rarefied data so that all samples had the same number of reads (11,045). Richness (alpha diversity) was taken as the total number of ASVs and evenness was calculated as Pielou's evenness (62). The amount of change and variation in the number of ASVs across weeks and

across plastic types was tested using linear models. Total ASVs were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed to
normalise residuals, with plastic type and week as potentially interacting predictors. As we did
not expect alpha diversity to necessarily change linearly across weeks, we included it as a
categorical predictor. For evenness, the analysis was the same, but without any transformation
on the response. Model selection was performed as stated earlier.

282

283 Results

#### 284 Bacteria abundance was lowest on plastics further away from human habitation

285 To determine the effects of location and polymer type on bacterial colonisation, five different 286 plastics were incubated at one-meter depth at three estuarine locations near Falmouth, U.K. After seven weeks of submergence, the abundance of bacteria culturable on LB, coliforms, and E. coli, 287 288 significantly differed between locations (ANOVA between models with and without location as a predictor: bacteria culturable on LB: F<sub>2,83</sub> = 69.32, p < 0.001; coliforms: F<sub>2,83</sub> = 54.34, p < 0.001; *E*. 289 *coli*:  $F_{2,83} = 14.44$ , p < 0.001) (Figure 2). Across the three quantified groups, abundance was 290 291 consistently highest at Falmouth Dockyard, which is located closest to human habitation (bacteria culturable on LB: mean =724 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI = 490 - 1096; coliform: mean =50 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI 292 293 = 30 - 83 *E. coli*: mean = 3.3 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI = 2.4 - 4.6) and lowest at Mylor Bank which is furthest 294 from the shore and human habitation (bacteria culturable on LB: mean =25 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI = 17 295 - 38; coliform: mean =1.2 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI = 0.7 - 2.1; *E. coli*: mean =1.04 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>, 95%CI = 0.76 – 1.4), although not all contrasts were significant (Table S1). 296

Significant differences in abundance were found between plastics (ANOVA between models with and without plastic as a predictor: bacteria culturable on LB:  $F_{4,83} = 4.65$ , p = 0.002; coliforms:  $F_{4,83} = 4.05$ , p = 0.005; *E. coli*:  $F_{4,83} = 3.11$ , p = 0.019), but there was no significant interaction between location and plastic (ANOVA between models with and without interaction between plastic and location: p > 0.05 for LB, coliform and *E. coli*). Abundance was consistently highest on HDPE, but this was only significant across all three quantified groups when compared

303 to LDPE (Tukey comparison between HDPE and LDPE: bacteria culturable on LB: t = 3.86, p =

304 0.002; coliform: t = 3.88, p = 0.0018; *E. coli*: t = 3.31, p = 0.0118; Table S1).



305

Figure 2. Abundance of (a) bacteria on LB medium, (b) coliform bacteria and (c) *E. coli* found across polymer types and locations. Large points represent mean abundances

308 of plastics at each site and small points represent individual replicates.

309

#### 310 <u>Rate of bacterial colonisation did not differ between polymer types</u>

311 To investigate bacterial colonisation of plastics through time, we performed a second experiment at the Falmouth Docks site only, and sampled plastics after one, two, three and five weeks of 312 313 submergence. During this period, the abundance of bacteria growing on LB, coliforms, and *E. coli* 314 all increased (slope of increase in abundance in best model: bacteria culturable on LB: 0.23, 315 95%CI = 0.17-0.29; coliform = 0.32, 95%CI = 0.25-0.39; E. coli: 0.17, 95%CI = 0.13-0.19) (Figure 3). For both bacteria culturable on LBand coliforms, the increase in abundance occurred 316 consistently across all types of plastic (ANOVA with and without plastic as a predictor: all p values 317 318 > 0.05). The only difference in colonisation rate between plastics was found for *E. coli* (ANOVA with and without the interaction between week and plastic:  $F_{4,130} = 5.95$ , p = 0.0002), where the 319 rate of colonisation was lowest on LDPE (slope of increase in abundance in best model: E. coli on 320 LDPE: 0.05, 95%CI = -0.02 - 0.12), but this was only significantly lower than PET (Tukey 321 comparison between slope of LDPE and PET: t = -4.12, p = 0.0005) and PVC (Tukey comparison 322 between slope of LDPE and PVC: t = -3.92, p = 0.013). 323







330

331 <u>Plastic biofilm community composition changes through time, but does not differ between polymer</u>

332 <u>types</u>

In addition to culture-dependent approaches, we performed 16S amplicon sequencing across all four timepoints and plastic types for the colonisation experiment. Alpha diversity increased during the first two weeks of colonisation, after which the number of unique ASVs remained stable between weeks 2 and 5 (Figure 4a). This same pattern was seen in the evenness of the biofilm communities, with abundances being more even across species in week 1 compared to weeks 2 to 5 (Figure 4b) which is consistent with a scenario where a subset of taxa increases in frequency over the course of plastic colonisation.

Bacterial community composition changed significantly over the course of the experiment (PERMANOVA,  $F_{3,108} = 23.84$ ,  $R^2 = 0.38$ , p = 0.001) (Figure 5). The first principal coordinate explained 22.0% of the total variation and separated the weeks, with the greatest difference between week 1 and week 5. While there was no interaction between plastic and week, plastic type significantly altered community composition when added alongside week (PERMANOVA,  $F_{4,108} = 1.85$ ,  $R^2 = 0.04$ , p = 0.013), although the amount of variation it accounted for was very

small (~4%). To further examine this effect, we ran multiple pairwise permutational ANOVAs on the entire dataset and within each week. We found no significant differences between any two plastics on the whole dataset or within each week (PERMANOVAs: all  $p_{adj} > 0.05$ ), indicating no consistent effects of plastic type. There were no overall differences in between-community diversity (beta-diversity) across weeks or plastics, with the simplest model being one with no predictor (Table S2).



352

Figure 4. Change in (a) alpha diversity and (b) evenness of plastic biofilm communities. (a) Alpha diversity increased from week 1 to week 2 and then remained stable. (b) At the same time, communities became more even, with the only difference in evenness occurring between week 1 and 2. In both panels, small points are individual replicates, large points and line bars represent estimates and 95% confidence intervals from the best model respectively (see Methods), and model estimates are joined by lines to help visualise differences between weeks.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.02.466905; this version posted November 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.





Figure 5. Changes in community composition through time (different colours) and 361 362 between plastics (panels a-e). (a-e) Principal Coordinate (PCoA) plot of communities 363 based on weighted-Unifrac distance. Each panel represents biofilms from different polymer 364 types and shows that community composition changes consistently through time irrespective of plastic type. The percentage of variation explained is shown on each axis 365 (calculated from the relevant eigenvalues). (f) The separation of communities through time 366 367 along PCoA axis 1 scores. In (a-e) small points are individual communities and large points are positions of centroids, with the black lines showing how centroid position changes 368 through time. In (f) points are individual communities, tops and bottoms of the bars 369 370 represent the 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the data. White lines are the medians, and the 371 whiskers extend from the respective hinge to the smallest of largest value no further than 372 1.5\*interquartile range.

373

#### 374 *Virulence of plastic biofilm communities*

We employed a recently developed method where pathogenic bacteria from microbiome samplesare selectively enriched using the *G. mellonella* wax moth larva virulence model (39). In the

location experiment, all hazard ratios between the different sites had credible intervals that
crossed one, indicating non-significance at a traditional 0.95 level. However, biofilms from
Falmouth Dockyard (mean time to death = 28.5 hours; proportion that died = 0.48) and Falmouth
Wharf (mean time to death = 30.8 hours; proportion that died = 0.48) were 2.5 times more
virulent than biofilms from Mylor Bank (mean time to death = 34.4 hours; proportion that died =
0.32) (Figure 6a, Table S3). There was an 87% probability that the Dockyard and Wharf
communities were more virulent than those from Mylor bank for both locations (Table S3).

384 In the colonisation experiment, biofilms from weeks 1, 2, and 3 killed only 4, 7, and 11% of Galleria respectively. In contrast, biofilms from week 5 were much more virulent, with 65% of 385 386 *Galleria* dying during the assay. In both the location and colonisation experiments, we looked for differences in virulence between polymer types (Figure 6a). To classify a polymer type as having 387 more or less virulent communities than others, it would need to have a higher (or lower) hazard 388 389 ratio than average in both experiments (Table S3). There were no hazard ratios between polymer 390 types that had 95% credible intervals that did not overlap with 1 for both experiments meaning that there were no significant differences in virulence between polymer types. However, we 391 found evidence that LDPE communities are less virulent than other polymer types, with all hazard 392 393 ratios being above 1 when LDPE was the baseline polymer type (Table S3), with an 89% 394 probability (on average) of the other polymer type being more virulent. The four other polymer types did not have consistent differences in their hazard ratios. 395



396

Figure 6. Survival curves of *G. mellonella* inoculated with plastic biofilm communities
 from (a, b) the location experiment and (c) the colonisation experiment. Across all

399 panels, the black line and shaded region depicts the median virulence of a biofilm 400 community from that experiment, and the median log hazard was used as the baseline for 401 calculating hazard ratios. (a) Effect of location on virulence of biofilm communities. (b) Effect of polymer type on virulence of biofilm communities from the location experiment. 402 (c) Effect of polymer type on virulence of biofilm communities from the colonisation 403 404 experiment. Lines represent the median prediction and shaded bands represent 95% credible intervals of those predictions. Inset plots are the hazard ratio where the median 405 406 hazard of the experiment is the baseline. In (c) bright yellow is the survival curve for G. mellonella injected with water. 407

408

#### 409 <u>The identity of putative human pathogens</u>

410 To obtain a more detailed picture of the taxonomic identity and antimicrobial resistance of individual pathogens in our plastic biofilm samples, we genome-sequenced 16 bacterial clones 411 isolated from some of the most virulent biofilm communities spanning different locations and 412 plastic types (Table 1). Eleven genome assemblies had high completion and low contamination 413 and could be unambiguously assigned to a single bacterial species, but this was not the case for 414 415 five remaining genome samples – which must have been due to the co-isolation of multiple clones. To retain as much information as possible, these assemblies were not discarded but were 416 417 bioinformatically separated using the genome viewer Bandage, with assemblies split into non-418 contiguous sections (46). Using this approach, four contaminated genomes could be split into 419 eight genomes (2 & 3, 6 & 7, 8 & 9, 15 & 16; Table 1). One remaining assembly (17; Table 1) could 420 not be split because it only had one contiguous genome. Retaining a large chimeric assembly was also the case for (split) sample 16 and so for both assemblies multiple identities are presented 421 instead of one (Table 1). 422

The most prevalent pathogen genus isolated was *Serratia* (Table 1), with several clones identified as *S. liquefaciens*, and *S. fonticola*, which are known human pathogens (59) that have previously been reported to occur commonly in soils in Cornwall using the *Galleria* enrichment

method (60). Other species identified were *Enterococcus faecalis* which is known to infect humans
(61), and *Bacillus licheniformis* which can cause opportunistic infections (e.g. (62)). Surprisingly,
no virulence genes were detected in any of the assemblies, although we used a relatively stringent
sequence similarity cut-off of 95%. However, a host of antimicrobial resistance genes belonging
to a variety of classes were uncovered (Table 2). These include genes conferring resistance to
clinically highly relevant antibiotics such as cephalosporins, aminoglycosides and trimethoprim
(in *E. faecalis*) (Table 2).

**Table 1** Genome characteristics of 20 clones isolated from plastics using the *Galleria*435 enrichment method.

isolate	plastic	site	contig taxonomic assignment	16S taxonomic assignment	completeness	contamination	GC	genome size (bp)	number of contigs	N50	number of AMR genes
1	HDPE	falmouth wharf	Serratia liquefaciens	Serratia	100.00	0.89	0.55	5,549,824	78	327,206	2
2	HDPE	falmouth wharf	Serratia	Raoultella/Enterobacter/Serratia	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,222,268	41	2,939,895	6
3	HDPE	falmouth wharf	Bacillus licheniformis	Bacillus licheniformis	98.82	0.00	0.46	4,254,100	20	2,234,065	1
4	HDPE	mylor bank	Serratia	Serratia marcescens	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,218,884	32	2,874,999	6
5	HDPE	dockyard	Serratia liquefaciens	Serratia liquefaciens	100.00	0.45	0.55	5,413,752	61	318,399	2
6	HDPE	dockyard	Bacillus licheniformis	Bacillus licheniformis	98.86	0.00	0.46	4,256,127	38	382,110	1
7	HDPE	dockyard	Enterobacter/Enterobacteriaceae	Enterobacter/Cedecea	98.78	0.60	0.56	5,014,388	240	160,006	3
8	LDPE	dockyard	Bacillus/Enterobacter	Bacillus	99.45	8.63	0.47	4,977,695	35	1,096,327	1
9	LDPE	dockyard	${\it Enterobacter/Enterobacteriaceae}$	Enterobacter/Cedecea	98.78	0.60	0.56	5,014,653	245	160,006	3
10	LDPE	dockyard	Serratia	Serratia marcescens	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,218,582	30	2,874,999	6
11	LDPE	dockyard	Serratia fonticola	Serratia	99.94	0.29	0.54	5,756,538	113	185,130	1
12	LDPE	falmouth wharf	Enterococcus faecalis	Enterococcus faecalis	99.63	0.00	0.37	2,864,632	53	175,637	2
13	PET	dockyard	Serratia	Serratia marcescens	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,218,760	30	2,874,999	6
14	PP	dockyard	Serratia	Serratia marcescens	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,218,875	33	2,875,113	6
15	PP	dockyard	Bacillus licheniformis	Bacillus licheniformis	97.30	0.31	0.46	4,145,137	31	438,169	1
16	PP	dockyard	Klebsiella/Serratia Enterobacter/Enterobacteriaceae	Enterobacter/Serratia	97.93	188.43	0.57	15,957,883	573	182,752	10
17	PP	dockyard	Serratia/Enterobacteriaceae		99.66	95.89	0.56	11,471,120	188	336,395	7
18	PP	falmouth wharf	Serratia	Serratia marcescens	99.86	0.15	0.59	5,219,474	35	2,875,113	6
19	PVC	dockyard	Serratia liquefaciens	Serratia liquefaciens	100.00	0.45	0.55	5,571,931	37	398,855	2
20	PVC	falmouth wharf	Serratia liquefaciens	Serratia	100.00	0.45	0.56	5,131,311	33	531,913	2

# **Table 2** Antimicrobial resistance genes present in 20 clones isolated from plastics using the *Galleria* enrichment method.

isolate	AMR gene	isolate	AMR gene
1	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB	14	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY
	class C beta-lactamase		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
2	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY		class C beta-lactamase SRT-3
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB		tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)
	class C beta-lactamase SRT-3		multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY
	tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)		aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase
	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY	15	class A beta-lactamase BlaP
	aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase	16	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
3	class A beta-lactamase BlaP		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit $SdeY$
4	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit OqxB
	tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)		phosphoethanolaminelipid A transferase MCR-10.1
	class C beta-lactamase SRT-3		multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB		tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY		class C beta-lactamase SRT-3
	aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase		class A extended-spectrum beta-lactamase OXY-6-2
5	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB		cephalosporin-hydrolyzing class C beta-lactamase MIR-12
	class C beta-lactamase		aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase
6	class A beta-lactamase BlaP	17	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY
7	cephalosporin-hydrolyzing class C beta-lactamase MIR-16		tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit OqxB9		class C beta-lactamase SRT-3
	fosfomycin resistance glutathione transferase FosA		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
8	class A beta-lactamase BlaP		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY
9	cephalosporin-hydrolyzing class C beta-lactamase MIR-16		aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit OqxB9		class A beta-lactamase FONA-6
	fosfomycin resistance glutathione transferase FosA	18	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY
10	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY		tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)
	tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)		class C beta-lactamase SRT-3
	class C beta-lactamase SRT-3		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY		aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase
	aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase	19	class C beta-lactamase
11	class A beta-lactamase FONA-4		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
12	trimethoprim-resistant dihydrofolate reductase DfrE	20	class C beta-lactamase
	ABC-F type ribosomal protection protein Lsa(A)		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB
13	multidrug efflux MFS transporter SmfY		
	tetracycline efflux MFS transporter Tet(41)		
	class C beta-lactamase SRT-3		
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeB		
	multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit SdeY		

aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase

#### 441 Discussion

There has been a recent flurry of research into the colonisation of marine plastics by bacteria, but 442 our understanding of this process is still incomplete, especially regarding pathogenic bacteria. To 443 help resolve ongoing debate, we submerged household plastics in the Fal Estuary (UK) and 444 tracked bacterial colonisation as a function of plastic type, location, and time. Our results indicate 445 446 that plastic colonisation in the coastal environment is largely independent of plastic type (LDPE, PE, PP, PVC and PET) with no or little effect on the number of culturable bacteria or 16S-based 447 448 community composition across locations or time. The exception is LDPE, which showed lower 449 colonisation rates in the location and colonisation experiments, although these differences were 450 only significant compared to HDPE in the former and PET and PVC in the latter experiment (and only in the case of *E. coli* in the colonisation experiment). However, as LDPE-derived biofilms 451 452 exhibited lower virulence in the Galleria model, it is possible that LDPE poses lower risks in terms of pathogen colonisation. 453

454 Bacterial colonisation of plastics occurred rapidly, with bacterial diversity reaching peak diversity after two weeks. Bacterial density increased with time and was highest at our final 455 timepoint of five weeks - the only timepoint at which significant *Galleria* mortality indicated the 456 457 presence of pathogenic bacteria. Our data thus suggest that total bacterial density, rather than 458 community composition, is a better predictor of the virulence of plastic-associated biofilms. Bacterial plastic colonisation, including by pathogens, might be more rapid in summer months 459 460 when higher water temperatures favour bacterial growth and persistence (63), although reduced rainfall in summer may result in lower input of terrestrial bacteria into the sea. Colonisation by 461 462 bacteria likely to be of terrestrial origin (particularly those that are gut-associated and/or able to grow at 37°C on nutrient-rich medium) was more pronounced at the two locations closer to the 463 shore and habitation, as expected. Future studies employing replicated transects could shed more 464 465 light on the effect of coastal proximity on plastic colonisation.

466 Approaches to determine the presence of pathogenic bacteria on plastics are usually 467 based on the ability to detect specific taxa that are selected a priori, typically via agar-based isolation (e.g. 19)). By using selective enrichment in a proven virulence model, we were able to
isolate virulent bacteria, including known human pathogens such as *Enterococcus faecalis*. This
species is present in marine environments (64) and has been shown to successfully colonise LDPE
in lab experiments (65), but to our knowledge this is the first time that this important nosocomial
pathogen has been isolated from marine plastics. The genomes recovered from plastic biofilms
harboured a wide variety of antimicrobial resistance genes, highlighting the importance of
plastics as a reservoir for resistance.

Plastic pollution is unabating and human exposure to micro-plastics and their associated
microbial communities is likely to increase even more rapidly with a higher incidence of flooding
due to climate change. Our results show that different plastic types accumulate similar bacterial
communities that include a range of known human pathogens, posing a potential risk to human
health.

480

#### 481 Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to Emma Walker, Timothy Bage and the boat crew from the Cornwall
Port Health Authority, for kindly coordinating experimentation and data collection in the Fal
Estuary, and to Macaulay Winter for assistance in the lab. Genome sequencing was provided by
MicrobesNG (http://www.microbesng.com). We acknowledge support from the National
Environment Research Council (NERC; grants NE/T008083/1 and NE/R011524/1). AH was
supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) David Phillips
Fellowship (BB/N020146/1).

- 489
- 490 **References**

491 1. Ritchie H, Roser M. Plastic pollution. Our World in Data. 2018.

492 2. Geyer R. Production, use, and fate of synthetic polymers. Plastic waste and recycling:
493 Elsevier; 2020. p. 13-32.

Jambeck JR, Geyer R, Wilcox C, Siegler TR, Perryman M, Andrady A, et al. Plastic waste
inputs from land into the ocean. Science. 2015;347(6223):768-71.

496 4. Hohn S, Acevedo-Trejos E, Abrams JF, de Moura JF, Spranz R, Merico A. The long-term
497 legacy of plastic mass production. Science of the Total Environment. 2020;746:141115.

5. Duncan EM, Botterell ZL, Broderick AC, Galloway TS, Lindeque PK, Nuno A, et al. A global
review of marine turtle entanglement in anthropogenic debris: a baseline for further action.
Endangered Species Research. 2017;34:431-48.

6. Germanov ES, Marshall AD, Bejder L, Fossi MC, Loneragan NR. Microplastics: no small
problem for filter-feeding megafauna. Trends Ecol Evol. 2018;33(4):227-32.

For a Potocka M, Bayer RC, Potocki M. Plastic pollution affects American lobsters, Homarus
americanus. Marine pollution bulletin. 2019;138:545-8.

505 8. Lamb JB, Willis BL, Fiorenza EA, Couch CS, Howard R, Rader DN, et al. Plastic waste 506 associated with disease on coral reefs. Science. 2018;359(6374):460-2.

507 9. Waring RH, Harris RM, Mitchell SC. Plastic contamination of the food chain: A threat to508 human health? Maturitas. 2018;115:64-8.

- 509 10. Van Cauwenberghe L, Janssen CR. Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human
  510 consumption. Environmental pollution. 2014;193:65-70.
- Lehner R, Weder C, Petri-Fink A, Rothen-Rutishauser B. Emergence of nanoplastic in the
  environment and possible impact on human health. Environmental science & technology.
  2019;53(4):1748-65.

514 12. Wyles KJ, Pahl S, Thomas K, Thompson RC. Factors that can undermine the psychological
515 benefits of coastal environments: exploring the effect of tidal state, presence, and type of litter.
516 Environment and Behavior. 2016;48(9):1095-126.

517 13. Amaral-Zettler LA, Zettler ER, Mincer TJ. Ecology of the plastisphere. Nature Reviews
518 Microbiology. 2020;18(3):139-51.

- 14. Harrison JP, Schratzberger M, Sapp M, Osborn AM. Rapid bacterial colonization of lowdensity polyethylene microplastics in coastal sediment microcosms. BMC microbiology.
  2014;14(1):1-15.
- 522 15. Lobelle D, Cunliffe M. Early microbial biofilm formation on marine plastic debris. Marine
  523 pollution bulletin. 2011;62(1):197-200.

524 16. Zettler ER, Mincer TJ, Amaral-Zettler LA. Life in the "plastisphere": microbial communities
525 on plastic marine debris. Environmental science & technology. 2013;47(13):7137-46.

526 17. Oberbeckmann S, Loeder MG, Gerdts G, Osborn AM. Spatial and seasonal variation in
527 diversity and structure of microbial biofilms on marine plastics in Northern European waters.
528 FEMS microbiology ecology. 2014;90(2):478-92.

529 18. Ogonowski M, Motiei A, Ininbergs K, Hell E, Gerdes Z, Udekwu KI, et al. Evidence for
530 selective bacterial community structuring on microplastics. Environmental microbiology.
531 2018;20(8):2796-808.

- Miao L, Wang P, Hou J, Yao Y, Liu Z, Liu S, et al. Distinct community structure and microbial
  functions of biofilms colonizing microplastics. Science of the Total Environment. 2019;650:2395402.
- 535 20. Kesy K, Oberbeckmann S, Kreikemeyer B, Labrenz M. Spatial environmental
  536 heterogeneity determines young biofilm assemblages on microplastics in Baltic Sea mesocosms.
  537 Frontiers in microbiology. 2019;10:1665.
- Hansen J, Melchiorsen J, Ciacotich N, Gram L, Sonnenschein EC. Effect of polymer type on
  the colonization of plastic pellets by marine bacteria. FEMS microbiology letters.
  2021;368(5):fnab026.
- 541 22. Frère L, Maignien L, Chalopin M, Huvet A, Rinnert E, Morrison H, et al. Microplastic
  542 bacterial communities in the Bay of Brest: Influence of polymer type and size. Environmental
  543 pollution. 2018;242:614-25.
- 544 23. Amaral-Zettler LA, Zettler ER, Slikas B, Boyd GD, Melvin DW, Morrall CE, et al. The
  545 biogeography of the Plastisphere: implications for policy. Frontiers in Ecology and the
  546 Environment. 2015;13(10):541-6.
- 547 24. Muthukrishnan T, Al Khaburi M, Abed RM. Fouling microbial communities on plastics
  548 compared with wood and steel: are they substrate-or location-specific? Microbial ecology.
  549 2019;78(2):361-74.

550 25. Oberbeckmann S, Kreikemeyer B, Labrenz M. Environmental factors support the
551 formation of specific bacterial assemblages on microplastics. Frontiers in microbiology.
552 2018;8:2709.

Radisic V, Nimje PS, Bienfait AM, Marathe NP. Marine plastics from Norwegian west coast
carry potentially virulent fish pathogens and opportunistic human pathogens harboring new
variants of antibiotic resistance genes. Microorganisms. 2020;8(8):1200.

556 27. Viršek MK, Lovšin MN, Koren Š, Kržan A, Peterlin M. Microplastics as a vector for the 557 transport of the bacterial fish pathogen species Aeromonas salmonicida. Marine pollution 558 bulletin. 2017;125(1-2):301-9.

- Wu X, Pan J, Li M, Li Y, Bartlam M, Wang Y. Selective enrichment of bacterial pathogens by
  microplastic biofilm. Water research. 2019;165:114979.
- 561 29. Silva MM, Maldonado GC, Castro RO, de Sá Felizardo J, Cardoso RP, Dos Anjos RM, et al.
  562 Dispersal of potentially pathogenic bacteria by plastic debris in Guanabara Bay, RJ, Brazil. Marine
  563 pollution bulletin. 2019;141:561-8.

30. Kirstein IV, Kirmizi S, Wichels A, Garin-Fernandez A, Erler R, Martin L, et al. Dangerous
hitchhikers? Evidence for potentially pathogenic Vibrio spp. on microplastic particles. Marine
environmental research. 2016;120:1-8.

S67 31. Rasool FN, Saavedra MA, Pamba S, Perold V, Mmochi AJ, Maalim M, et al. Isolation and
S68 characterization of human pathogenic multidrug resistant bacteria associated with plastic litter
S69 collected in Zanzibar. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2021;405:124591.

570 32. Arias-Andres M, Klümper U, Rojas-Jimenez K, Grossart H-P. Microplastic pollution 571 increases gene exchange in aquatic ecosystems. Environmental Pollution. 2018;237:253-61.

572 33. Teuten EL, Rowland SJ, Galloway TS, Thompson RC. Potential for plastics to transport
573 hydrophobic contaminants. Environmental science & technology. 2007;41(22):7759-64.

574 34. Vos M. The evolution of bacterial pathogens in the Anthropocene. Infection, Genetics and575 Evolution. 2020:104611.

576 35. Bowley J, Baker-Austin C, Porter A, Hartnell R, Lewis C. Oceanic hitchhikers–assessing 577 pathogen risks from marine microplastic. Trends in microbiology. 2020.

578 36. Keswani A, Oliver DM, Gutierrez T, Quilliam RS. Microbial hitchhikers on marine plastic
579 debris: human exposure risks at bathing waters and beach environments. Marine environmental
580 research. 2016;118:10-9.

581 37. Parthasarathy A, Tyler AC, Hoffman MJ, Savka MA, Hudson AO. Is plastic pollution in
582 aquatic and terrestrial environments a driver for the transmission of pathogens and the evolution
583 of antibiotic resistance? : ACS Publications; 2019.

38. Leonard AFC, Zhang L, Balfour AJ, Garside R, Hawkey PM, Murray AK, et al. Exposure to
and colonisation by antibiotic-resistant E. coli in UK coastal water users: Environmental
surveillance, exposure assessment, and epidemiological study (Beach Bum Survey). Environment
international. 2018;114:326-33.

39. Hernandez RJ, Hesse E, Dowling AJ, Coyle NM, Feil EJ, Gaze WH, et al. Using the Wax moth
larva Galleria mellonella infection model to detect emerging bacterial pathogens. PeerJ.
2019;6:e6150.

40. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet journal. 2011;17(1):10-2.

593 41. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.

594 42. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: high595 resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature methods. 2016;13(7):581.

43. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. Plos One. 2013;8(4):e61217.

44. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA
gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic acids research.
2012;41(D1):D590-D6.

45. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for
large alignments. Plos One. 2010;5(3):e9490.

46. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.

47. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies
from short and long sequencing reads. Plos Comput Biol. 2017;13(6):e1005595.

48. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome
Res. 2015;25(7):1043-55.

610 49. Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo 611 genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(20):3350-2.

50. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.2014;30(14):2068-9.

51. Steinegger M, Söding J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the
analysis of massive data sets. Nature biotechnology. 2017;35(11):1026-8.

616 52. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes
617 with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Oxford University Press; 2020.

618 53. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: high619 resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature methods. 2016;13(7):581-3.

54. Feldgarden M, Brover V, Gonzalez-Escalona N, Frye JG, Haendiges J, Haft DH, et al.
AMRFinderPlus and the Reference Gene Catalog facilitate examination of the genomic links
among antimicrobial resistance, stress response, and virulence. Scientific Reports. 2021;11(1):19.

624 55. Wickham H, Wickham MH. The ggplot package. Google Scholar; 2007.

56. Lenth R, Singmann H, Love J, Buerkner P, Herve M. Emmeans: Estimated marginal means,
aka least-squares means. R package version. 2018;1(1):3.

57. Brilleman SL, Elci EM, Novik JB, Wolfe R. Bayesian survival analysis using the rstanarm R
package. arXiv preprint arXiv:200209633. 2020.

58. Kay M. tidybayes: Tidy data and geoms for Bayesian models. R package version.2019;1(0).

59. Lozupone C, Knight R. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial
communities. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2005;71(12):8228-35.

633 60. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'hara R, et al. Package 'vegan'.
634 Community ecology package, version. 2013;2(9):1-295.

635 61. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
636 approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological).
637 1995;57(1):289-300.

638 62. Pielou EC. Species-diversity and pattern-diversity in the study of ecological succession.
639 Journal of theoretical biology. 1966;10(2):370-83.

640 63. Garneau MÈ, Roy S, Lovejoy C, Gratton Y, Vincent WF. Seasonal dynamics of bacterial
641 biomass and production in a coastal arctic ecosystem: Franklin Bay, western Canadian Arctic.
642 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans. 2008;113(C7).

643 64. Ferguson D, Moore D, Getrich M, Zhowandai M. Enumeration and speciation of
644 enterococci found in marine and intertidal sediments and coastal water in southern California.
645 Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2005;99(3):598-608.

646 65. Hchaichi I, Bandini F, Spini G, Banni M, Cocconcelli PS, Puglisi E. Enterococcus faecalis and
647 Vibrio harveyi colonize low-density polyethylene and biodegradable plastics under marine
648 conditions. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2020;367(15):fnaa125.

#### 650 Supplementary Information for: Bacterial colonisation dynamics of household plastics in

#### 651 a coastal environment

652

### 653 Table S1. Tukey pairwise comparisons of cultural abundance of bacteria on LB

654 **media, coliform media, and** *E. coli* from the location experiment. Results of multiple

655 comparisons from the best model looking at differences between location and polymer

656 type. Significant p values are highlighted in bold.

657

type	contrast	estimate	SE	d f	t ratio	n value
- type		estimate	0.125	<i>u.j.</i>	1 011	p value
LB media	dockyard - falmouth wharf	0.613	0.125	83	4.911	<0.001
	dockyard - mylor bank	1.464	0.125	83	( 812	<0.001
	Talmouth whari - mylor bank	0.851	0.125	85	0.812	<0.001
	HDPE - LDPE	0.623	0.161	83	3.864	0.002
	HDPE - PET	0.553	0.161	83	3.43	0.008
	HDPE - PP	0.499	0.161	83	3.097	0.022
	HDPE - PVC	0.432	0.161	83	2.679	0.066
	LDPE - PET	-0.07	0.161	83	-0.434	0.992
	LDPE - PP	-0.124	0.161	83	-0.767	0.939
	LDPE - PVC	-0.191	0.161	83	-1.185	0.76
	PET - PP	-0.054	0.161	83	-0.333	0.997
	PET - PVC	-0.121	0.161	83	-0.751	0.944
	PP - PVC	-0.067	0.161	83	-0.418	0.993
coliform	dockyard - falmouth wharf	0.549	0.157	83	3.5	0.002
	dockyard - mylor bank	1.608	0.157	83	10.254	<0.001
	falmouth wharf - mylor bank	1.059	0.157	83	6.755	<0.001
	HDPE - LDPE	0.787	0.202	83	3.886	0.002
	HDPE - PET	0.518	0.202	83	2.56	0.087
	HDPE - PP	0.549	0.202	83	2.71	0.061
	HDPE - PVC	0.411	0.202	83	2.028	0.262
	LDPE - PET	-0.268	0.202	83	-1.325	0.676
	LDPE - PP	-0.238	0.202	83	-1.175	0.765
	LDPE - PVC	-0.376	0.202	83	-1.858	0.348
	PET - PP	0.03	0.202	83	0.15	1
	PET - PVC	-0.108	0.202	83	-0.532	0.984
	PP - PVC	-0.138	0.202	83	-0.682	0.96
E.coli	dockyard - falmouth wharf	0.549	0.157	83	3.5	0.002
	dockyard - mylor bank	1.608	0.157	83	10.254	< 0.001
	falmouth wharf - mylor bank	1.059	0.157	83	6.755	<0.001
	HDPE - LDPE	0.787	0.202	83	3.886	0.002
	HDPE - PET	0.518	0.202	83	2.56	0.087
	HDPE - PP	0.549	0.202	83	2.71	0.061
	HDPE - PVC	0.411	0.202	83	2.028	0.262
	LDPE - PET	-0.268	0.202	83	-1.325	0.676
	LDPE - PP	-0.238	0.202	83	-1.175	0.765
	LDPE - PVC	-0.376	0.202	83	-1.858	0.348
	PET - PP	0.03	0.202	83	0.15	1
	PET - PVC	-0.108	0.202	83	-0.532	0.984
	PP - PVC	-0.138	0.202	83	-0.682	0.96

#### Table S2. Results of the analysis of beta-diversity of community composition between

#### weeks and plastic type.

	model	d.f.	AIC	Log Lik	ANOVA comparison	F	Р
1	distance to centroid ~ week * plastic	19	-360.93	201.47			
2	distance to centroid ~ week + plastic	7	-371.21	194.60	1,2	1.00	0.451
3	distance to centroid ~ week	3	-378.96	194.48	2,3	0.06	0.994
4	distance to centroid ~ plastic	4	-369.41	190.71	2,4	2.50	0.063
5	distance to centroid $\sim 1$		-377.10	190.55	3,5	2.62	0.055
5	distance to centroid $\sim 1$		-377.10	190.55	4,5	0.07	0.990

#### 664 Table S3. Hazard ratios between locations and plastics for both experiments.

Probability above 1 is the probability that the first location/polymer type is more virulent

#### 666 than the baseline location/polymer type.

		Haz	zard ratio	Duch ch'lliter ch even 1	
contrast	experiment -	Median	2.5%	97.5%	Probability above 1
dockyard vs. falmouth wharf	location experiment	1.00	0.28	3.76	0.50
dockyard vs. mylor bank	location experiment	2.57	0.51	12.98	0.87
falmouth wharf vs. mylor bank	location experiment	2.55	0.49	13.35	0.87
	location experiment	4.57	0.88	23.79	0.97
NDRE VS. LDRE	colonisation experiment	1.83	0.46	6.70	0.80
	location experiment	2.29	0.44	11.12	0.85
	colonisation experiment	0.37	0.10	1.35	0.06
	location experiment	1.99	0.41	9.04	0.81
HDFE VS. FF	colonisation experiment	0.87	0.23	3.27	0.41
UDDE ve DVC	location experiment	1.37	0.19	9.09	0.63
HDFE VS. FVC	colonisation experiment	0.35	0.10	1.29	0.06
	location experiment	1.97	0.33	13.64	0.78
	colonisation experiment	5.02	1.22	19.15	0.99
	location experiment	2.31	0.39	13.73	0.83
FF VS. LDFE	colonisation experiment	2.05	0.56	8.27	0.86
DD vc DET	location experiment	1.17	0.29	4.43	0.58
FF VS. FE1	colonisation experiment	0.42	0.11	1.61	0.11
	location experiment	3.34	0.43	30.79	0.88
	colonisation experiment	5.06	1.30	19.74	0.99
DVC vc DET	location experiment	1.68	0.28	10.34	0.72
	colonisation experiment	1.05	0.28	3.78	0.53
DVC ve DD	location experiment	1.46	0.21	11.35	0.65
I VU VS. FF	colonisation experiment	2.47	0.60	9.17	0.91