1 **Running head: Detection of anther dehiscence by deep learning**

2

3 **Corresponding author:** Ling Min, National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic

4 Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China

5 Telephone number: +86-2787283955

6 Fax number: +86-2787280196

7 **E-mail:** lingmin@mail.hzau.edu.cn

8

9 **Research area:** Breakthrough Technologies, Tools, and Resources

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Fast anther dehiscence state recognition system establishing by deep learning to**
 26 **screen heat tolerant cotton**

27

28 Zhihao Tan^{1,#}, Jiawei Shi^{1,#}, Rongjie Lv^{1,#}, Qingyuan Li², Jing Yang³, Yizan Ma¹,
 29 Yanlong Li¹, Yuanlong Wu¹, Rui Zhang¹, Huanhuan Ma¹, Yawei Li¹, Li Zhu¹, Jie
 30 Kong³, Xianlong Zhang^{1,&}, Wanneng Yang^{1,&}, and Ling Min^{1,*}

31

32 **Address**

33 ¹ National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural
 34 University, Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China

35 ² Forestry and Fruit Tree Research Institute, Wuhan Academy of Agricultural Sciences,
 36 Wuhan 430075, China

37 ³ Institute of Economic Crops, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Xinjiang
 38 830091, China

39 [#] These authors contributed equally

40 [&] Senior authors

41 ^{*} Corresponding authors

42

43 One sentence summary: The deep learning technique was applied to identify the
 44 anther dehiscence state for the first time to quickly screen heat tolerant cotton
 45 varieties and help to explore key genetic improvement genes.

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 **Footnotes:**

55 **Author contributions:** Z.T. and R.L carried out the experiment with J.S using the
56 Faster R-CNN and YOLOv5, Z.T, R.L, and L.M. wrote the main manuscript text, and
57 H.M, L.Z, Y.L, J.Y, Y.W, Y.M, R.Z, and Q.L. obtained and labeled the anther pictures,
58 L.M, X.Z, W.Y, and J.K. designed and supervised the research, L.M, X.Z, and W.Y
59 revised the manuscript. L.M agrees to serve as the author responsible for contact and
60 ensures communication. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

61 **Funding information:** Project supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the
62 Central Universities (2021ZKPY019), the Open Funds of the National Key
63 Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, the Platform Construction of Genetic
64 Improvement And Molecular Design Breeding For Xinjiang Island Cotton
65 (2020172-2).

66 **Corresponding author:** Ling Min; lingmin@mail.hzau.edu.cn

67
68 **Keywords**

69 cotton anther, deep learning, Faster R-CNN, YOLOv5, model ensemble, high
70 temperature stress

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84 **Abstract**

85 Cotton is one of the most economically important crops in the world. The fertility of
86 male reproductive organs is a key determinant of cotton yield. The anther dehiscence
87 or indehiscence directly determine the probability of fertilization in cotton. Thus, the
88 rapid and accurate identification of cotton anther dehiscence status is important for
89 judging anther growth status and promoting genetic breeding research. The
90 development of computer vision technology and the advent of big data have prompted
91 the application of deep learning techniques to agricultural phenotype research.
92 Therefore, two deep learning models (Faster R-CNN and YOLOv5) were proposed to
93 detect the number and dehiscence status of anthers. The single-stage model based on
94 YOLOv5 has higher recognition efficiency and the ability to deploy to the mobile end.
95 Breeding researchers can apply this model to terminals to achieve a more intuitive
96 understanding of cotton anther dehiscence status. Moreover, three improvement
97 strategies of Faster R-CNN model were proposed, the improved model has higher
98 detection accuracy than YOLOv5 model. In addition, the percentage of dehiscence
99 anther of randomly selected 30 cotton varieties were observed from cotton population
100 under normal temperature and high temperature (HT) conditions through the
101 integrated Faster R-CNN model and manual observation. The result showed HT
102 varying decreased the percentage of dehiscence anther in different cotton lines,
103 consistent with the manual method. Thus, this system can help us to rapid and
104 accurate identification of HT-tolerant cotton.

105

106 **Introduction**

107 Cotton is an economically important crop, and its reproductive development is
108 susceptible to a variety of adverse stresses that affect its yield and quality. The
109 reproductive organs of cotton include stamens and pistils, and stamens are more
110 sensitive to heat stress than female organs (Peet et al., 1998). In many summer crops,
111 reproductive organ abortion caused by high temperatures is manifested by normal
112 development of the female reproductive system and abnormal development of the
113 male reproductive system, failure to produce functional pollen or failure of the anthers

114 to achieve dehiscence properly to release pollen. Anther development is a complex
115 process, going from sporogenic cells to anther dehiscence, and has been divided into
116 14 periods by studying a variety of male sterile mutants (Sanders et al., 1999). Anther
117 dehiscence, the final step in anther development, includes three processes: secondary
118 thickening of the inner wall of the anther chamber, degradation of the septum cells,
119 and dehiscence of the cleft which ultimately allow the release of pollen (Kim et al.,
120 2010). Therefore, anther dehiscence is directly related to the probability of
121 fertilization in cotton. If we can obtain phenotypic data on anther dehiscence quickly
122 and accurately and conduct genome-wide association analysis, we can easily obtain
123 the functional genes related to the anther dehiscence. It is also important to analysis
124 the molecular mechanism of cotton male reproductive organ respond to stresses.

125 In the past, the acquisition of cotton dehiscent or indehiscent anther number data
126 from the pictures relied mainly on visual observation and manual counting, it is
127 difficult to guarantee the accuracy of visual readings because anther growth is
128 intermingled, resulting in unclear definition of individual anthers, and the background
129 and foreground of anthers are easily confused. Moreover, a larger amount of anther
130 data is needed to judge the anther growth and dehiscence status of individual plants in
131 population under different conditions. However, it is obviously difficult to achieve
132 this accurately and quickly with manual methods.

133 With the development of computer vision technology and plant phenome platforms,
134 machine learning-based image processing techniques are widely used. However, in
135 the training process of machine learning, there is a need to manually extract image
136 features and feed the obtained classification features into the classifier for learning
137 after a weighting process. Due to the poor generalization ability of classifiers and the
138 need for large amounts of supporting data, the shortcomings of machine learning
139 methods have gradually been exposed in the process of agricultural intelligence
140 development.

141 In 2012, the concept of deep learning was proposed, and deep learning techniques
142 have evolved rapidly in the past few years. Image recognition techniques based on
143 deep learning and convolutional neural networks are gradually replacing machine

learning-based image processing techniques in a wide range of fields. Through classification and extraction of image features and end-to-end training of deep learning models, computers can accurately detect specific content in images. Through the building of different datasets and the replacement of deep learning network architectures, researchers can obtain network models that are more suitable for research purposes than previous approaches.

In this study, using YOLOv5 (Redmon et al., 2016; Redmon and Farhadi, 2017; Redmon and Farhadi, 2018; Pang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020) and Faster R-CNN (Ren et al., 2017) models, combined with a variety of data augmentation methods, a cotton anther recognition model based on deep learning was obtained. This model can quickly batch collected cotton anther images for recognition, detect the dehiscent and indehiscent anthers, and obtain their phenotypic data.

Before the advent of deep learning, the usual machine target recognition process required human preprocessing of images before target detection and included cropping, augmentation, and segmentation. Various features of the image were extracted and handed over to a support vector machine (SVM) classifier (Piccialli and Sciandrone, 2018) for learning and detection. However, manual preprocessing is time-consuming and labor-intensive, and after the features are extracted, feature screening and evaluation must be performed according to the actual situation, and the weights of various features in the learning model must be artificially adjusted to achieve the best recognition effect. Against the background of the current state of machine intelligence, the disadvantages of traditional machine learning are obvious; the preliminary work requires considerable manual labour, the recognition accuracy is not ideal, and the technique is difficult to use in actual production.

After 2012, image recognition techniques based on deep learning and convolutional neural networks gradually replaced machine learning-based image processing techniques in a wide range of fields. The YOLO series, Faster-RCNN and single shot multibox detector (SSD) (Liu et al., 2016) are three important deep learning neural network models. Faster-RCNN mainly crawls preselected boxes and then performs deep learning classification. The image detection process of Faster-RCNN includes

174 crawling region proposal, candidate feature frame extraction, and candidate feature
175 frame classification. First, the convolution data of whole image is obtained. Then, the
176 data is automatically fed into a region proposal network (RPN) (Zhu et al., 2021) to
177 obtain the features of candidate regions. Finally, the features are classified by a
178 softmax classifier and then adjusting for some special classes using a regressor.
179 Faster-RCNN is a big improvement over its previous two generations: Fast-RCNN
180 and RCNN (Girshick et al., 2014) in terms of recognition accuracy and speed. The
181 CNN family of deep learning models is one of the mainstream models and has
182 demonstrated powerful functionality in many fields, such as image detection and
183 semantic segmentation. However, the YOLO model more cleverly uses the idea of
184 regression by taking the whole image as input, dividing it into several boxed regions,
185 removing individual boxes with very low relevance by setting specific thresholds, and
186 finally selecting the highest scoring region with a nonmaximum suppression
187 algorithm. The model removes the boxes that overlap with it until all alternative boxes
188 are traversed, yielding the final output. In addition, many scholars are studying
189 lightweight network structures. For example, MobileNet (Howard et al., 2017) and
190 SqueezeNet are applied to YOLO networks to further improve their speed of detection
191 and create the possibility of transplanting YOLO models to portable devices to ensure
192 the accuracy of recognition as much as possible.

193 To date, no reports of machine learning-based anther identification systems in
194 academia, but the application of target detection technology to agriculture using
195 machine learning has been very extensive (Barre et al., 2017; Fuentes et al., 2017;
196 Ubbens and Stavness, 2017; Gutierrez et al., 2019) , which gives us great incentive to
197 build a deep learning-based anther identification system for cotton. In maize, a
198 parabolic model has been used to mine the diversity of stem-end meristematic tissues
199 and to find candidate genes that correlate with the transport of phytohormones, cell
200 division, and cell size by GWAS (Yang et al., 2007). In rice, the ratio of spikes to
201 leaves, a new trait of rice, has been extracted using a feature pyramid network mask
202 model that has achieved leaf and spike recognition accuracies of 0.98 and 0.99,
203 respectively (Yang et al., 2020). Ferentinos KP has designed a convolutional neural

network model to solve the problem of early plant disease detection. Through the deep learning method, several model structures have been trained with plant leaf images and have identified the corresponding plant leaf lesions with 99.53% accuracy. The model has become a powerful tool for the early diagnosis and early warning of plant leaf diseases and can be further improved. Therefore, the system can be used in real time in a real cultivation environment (Ferentinos, 2018). Ubbens JR et al. have designed an open source deep learning tool called Deep Plant Phenomics for plant phenotypic deep learning. This tool provides pretrained neural networks for several common plant phenotypic tasks including leaf counting, image classification and age regression. Botanists can use the neural networks provided and trained by this platform to train their plant phenotypes (Ubbens and Stavness, 2017). Nikita Genze et al. have proposed a convolutional neural network-based seed germination status recognition system that can automatically identify seed categories (including maize, rye, and pearl millet) in petri dishes and automatically determine whether the seeds are germinating. The system achieves an average accuracy of 94% on test data and can help seed researchers to better determine seed quality and performance (Genze et al., 2020). Scientists use hyperspectral imaging technology to collect spectral and image information from maize seeds and combine convolutional neural networks and support vector machines to model and train spectral data sets and image data sets. This model can quickly detect the vigor state of seeds and simultaneously predict their germination status, providing a framework to advance research on seed germination (Pang et al., 2020). A MobileNetv2-YOLOv3-based model that combines pretraining methods such as hybrid training and migration learning to improve its generalization for the early identification of tomato leaf spot disease has been proposed (Liu and Wang, 2021). Image processing and machine learning techniques have been used to accurately classify the three stages of plant growth and soil for different germplasms of two species of red clover and alfalfa. The accuracy on test data was shown to be more than 90% (Samiei et al., 2020).

In addition to their applications in computer vision, deep convolutional neural networks can be used in agricultural production, and they have broad application

234 prospects in the combination of natural language processing (NLP) and agriculture
 235 ([Schmidhuber, 2015](#)). Protein ubiquitylation is an essential posttranslational
 236 modification process that plays a critical role in a wide range of biological functions.
 237 Siraj et al. proposed a method of predicting plant ubiquitin sites by using a hybrid
 238 deep learning model with a deep learning neural network and long-term and
 239 short-term memory. This method uses protein sequences and physical and chemical
 240 properties as the input to the model and approximate ubiquitin sites as its output. In
 241 ten cross-validations, the highest accuracies were shown to be 81% and 82%. This
 242 method improves the current situation of wasted time and person power when using
 243 traditional experimental methods to predict plant ubiquitin sites ([Siraj et al., 2021](#)). J
 244 Wekesa et al. propose a multi-feature fusion prediction model based on deep learning
 245 that combines categorical boosting and extra trees into a single meta-learner. The
 246 model is used to predict the function of plant long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs).
 247 Experiments on *Zea mays* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* have yielded 0.9820 and 0.9652
 248 areas under precision/recall curves (AUPRCs), respectively ([Wekesa et al., 2020](#)). OA
 249 Montesinos-López have implemented a multi-trait deep learning model with a
 250 feed-forward network topology and a rectified linear unit activation function with a
 251 grid search approach for the selection of hyperparameters. This model covers the
 252 multi-trait prediction of grain yield, days to heading and plant height. The results
 253 indicate that the deep learning method is a practical approach for predicting univariate
 254 and multivariate traits in the context of genomic selection ([Montesinos-López et al.,](#)
 255 [2019](#)).

256

257

258 **Results**

259 **YOLOv5 model design**

260 YOLOv5 is a typical one-stage detection model, which increases the detection speed
261 by 50% compared with the previous generation YOLOv4, and its model size is only
262 1/10 of that of the previous generation model. The adaptive anchor frame calculation
263 and the use of Focus structure enhance the accuracy of the model for small target
264 recognition. At the same time, the model has four network models with different
265 depths, and the best balance between detection accuracy and recognition speed can be
266 found. It is very common for cotton anthers to block each other in the image, and the
267 obscured anthers are easily ignored in the final output of the prediction box. YOLOv5
268 uses the soft-NMS (Bodla et al., 2017) method when screening the prediction box.

269 Since cotton anthers overlap and obscure each other, the use of the NMS algorithm
270 results in an inability to accurately identify adjacent anthers, and only the anther
271 images with the highest confidence are retained. Therefore, we use the soft-NMS
272 algorithm. The idea of the NMS algorithm is that for a certain category X having N
273 candidate boxes, the candidate boxes are sorted by their confidence, and the highest
274 confidence box A is selected. The other candidate boxes B_i ($i=1, 2, 3 \dots$) are compared
275 with the highest confidence box A, and an IoU threshold is set. If its IoU is higher
276 than this threshold, the candidate box B_1 is discarded. Then the candidate box B_2 's
277 IoU is compared with that of the highest confidence box A. After several iterations,
278 only prediction boxes that have an IoU lower than the set IoU value are retained.
279 Although this method can prevent the same target from being repeatedly selected by
280 multiple prediction boxes, it cannot prevent overlapping or occluded targets from
281 being ignored.

282 The idea of Soft-NMS is that M is the current highest scoring box and B_i is the
283 pending box. The larger the IoU of B_i and M, the more the score S_i of B_i drops, rather
284 than having the score go directly to zero as in NMS. This method can effectively
285 retain anther images that overlap and ensure the accuracy of identification results. The
286 linear weighting formula for Soft-NMS can be expressed as:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} S_i & IOU(M, b_i) < N_t \\ S_i(1 - IOU(M, b_i)) & IOU(M, b_i) \geq N_t \end{cases}$$

289 **Faster R-CNN model design**

290 Faster R-CNN is a classical two-stage object detection network. The network
291 model structure is mainly composed of four parts: feature extraction, region proposal,
292 classification, roi pooling and its comprehensive performance has been greatly
293 improved, especially for the detection accuracy of small targets. The cotton anther
294 belongs to the range of small target detection in the whole image, so we trained the
295 Faster R-CNN model to identify the anther dehiscence state has a better detection
296 effect.

297 Conv layers is a classical CNN network target detection method, mainly includes
298 three layers of conv, pooling, relu, usually uses to extract the feature maps of the input
299 image. The extracted feature maps will be called by subsequent region proposal
300 networks and classification networks. In the convlayers structure, contains 13 conv
301 layers, 13 relu layers, 4 pooling layers. The Faster R-CNN has a very ingenious detail
302 in the convlayers, it does augmentation treatment on all convolutional layers, fills a
303 layer in the outer layer of the input matrix, so that the matrix is larger than it was, and
304 the images that have been treated in this way are deconvoluted again, and after the
305 convolution operation, the image is kept consistent with the size of the input image.
306 The matrix size is unchanged when the image goes through the conv layer and relu
307 layer, and will change to 1/2 of the original size after going through the pooling layer,
308 so that when going through the convlayers structure, the size of the input matrix
309 changes to 1/16 of the original size, so that the resulting feature maps can all
310 correspond one-to-one with the original graph.

311 Conventional detection methods usually use a sliding window or the selective
312 search method to acquire detection frames, whereas Faster R-CNN discards
313 traditional methods and directly generates detection frames using region proposal
314 networks, which greatly enhances the detection frame generation speed. The region
315 proposal network structure is actually divided into two processes, the first process by

316 softmax classification anchors, to obtain foreground and background (detection target
317 is foreground), the second process is used to calculate the bounding box regression
318 offset for anchors to obtain the exact proposal. Finally, the proposal layer is
319 responsible for integrating foreground anchors and bounding box regression offset to
320 obtain proposals, while simultaneously removing proposals too small and beyond the
321 boundary. The entire Faster R-CNN network arrives to proposal layer, completing
322 detection targets, the next two structures are mainly image recognition.

323 For the traditional CNN network, the input image of the model must be fixed size,
324 and the output of the model must be a fixed vector or matrix. In practical applications,
325 there are two solutions for images of different sizes: cut the picture to a fixed size or
326 warp the image to a fixed size. However, these solutions will either cause the loss of
327 image information, or lead to changes in the shape information of the image.
328 Therefore, the structure roi pooling is proposed in Faster R-CNN to solve the problem
329 of different image size. Roi pooling is mainly responsible for collecting feature maps
330 and proposal boxes, calculating proposal feature maps, and sending it to the
331 subsequent identification layer. First of all, proposal is mapped to the same scale as
332 feature maps, and then the vertical and horizontal directions of each proposal are
333 divided into seven parts, so that the output of different sizes of proposal is 7*7,
334 realizing fixed-length output.

335 Classification using the obtained proposal feature maps, the structure calculates
336 which category each proposal belongs to through full connect layers and softmax, and
337 outputs the probability vector; at the same time, the position offset of each proposal is
338 obtained again by bounding box regression, which is used to return a more accurate
339 target detection box.

340 The loss function of the object detection network of Faster R-CNN is shown in
341 Formula:

$$342 \quad L_{reg}(t_i, t_i^*) = \sum_{i \in \{x, y, w, h\}} smooth_{L1}(t_i - t_i^*)$$

$$343 \quad smooth_{L1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5x^2 & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ |x| - 0.5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

344 In the above-mentioned formula, i represents anchors index; t represents predict
345 bounding box; t^* represents ground true box corresponding to positive anchor; x , y , w ,
346 h represents center point coordinates of box, width, height.

347

348 **Data augmentation**

349 **Auto augment**

350 This approach creates a search space for data-enhanced policies in which a policy
351 contains many sub-policies and randomly selects one sub-policy for each image in a
352 small batch data set. Each sub strategy consists of two operations, each of which is an
353 image processing function similar to flatting, rotation, or shearing, and the probability
354 and magnitude of applying those functions, using a search algorithm directly on the
355 data set to find the best data augmentation strategy.

356 **Random Resize**

357 Random Resize scales the new image to the same pixel size as the original image
358 by randomly clipping the original image in the data set according to the random
359 aspect ratio.

360 **Random Flip**

361 Random Flip is a common way of data augmentation, which generates new data set
362 samples by randomly flipping the original image of the data set up and down or left
363 and right.

364 **Mixup**

365 Mixup is a data augmentation method for mixing two samples and label data at
366 their corresponding ratios and then generating new sample and label data. Suppose x_1
367 is a sample of batch one, y_1 is the label corresponding to the sample of batch one; x_2 is
368 the sample of batch two, y_2 is the sample corresponding label of batch two, x_{mix} and y_{mix}
369 is the newly generated sample and corresponding label, respectively. λ is the mixing
370 coefficient resulting from the hyperparametric α and β conducted beta distributions.
371 The principal formula of the mixup method can be expressed as:

$$372 \quad x_{mix} = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2$$

$$y_{mix} = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2$$

$$\lambda \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty]$$

According to the study, we know that as the hyperparameters α and β increase, the error and generalization ability of the network training will increase. When the beta distribution of the mixing coefficient λ is $\alpha=\beta=0$, the network recovers to the ERM (Empirical Risk Minimization) principle to minimize the training data average error; the beta distribution of the mixing coefficient λ has the best generalization ability and robustness. This method can make full use of all the pixel information, but at the same time also introduces some unnecessary pseudo-pixel information.

Cutmix

Cutmix (Yun et al., 2019) is by cut some regions in the sample and randomly fill in the pixel values of other samples in the data set, and at the same time distribute the final classification results according to a certain proportion. Compared with mixup, cutmix can prevent the occurrence of non-pixel information in the training process. Filling the pixel information of other regions with the missing area of cut can further enhance the positioning ability of the model. At the same time, this method will not increase the training and reasoning burden of the model.

GridMask

By generating a mask with the same resolution as the original image, GridMask multiplies the mask with the original image to get a new image. The pixel value of the new image in the fixed area is 0, which is essentially a regularization method. Compared with directly changing the network structure, GridMask only needs to be augmented when the image is input.

Normalized

We usually use this method after data augmentation, normalizing the pixel value of the image and scaling the pixel value to $[0,1]$ can prevent the attributes of the large value interval from excessively dominating the attributes of the decimal value interval, and at the same time avoid the numerical complexity in the process of calculation.

In this study, the data augmentation process was shown in the Figure 1.

Figure 1: Data augmentation

The images above showed the effect of the same cotton anther image processed with different data augmentation methods.

402

403 **Model training**

404 In this study, comparative experiments and control variables were used, YOLOv5 and
 405 Faster-RCNN models were used, and various data demonstration methods such as
 406 mixing and mixed cutting were used to train for sample imbalance, so as to verify the
 407 performance of different models and training methods on the same evaluation index
 408 validation set. Firstly, the self-made data set was segmented and analyzed, and VOC
 409 format was used to store training set, test set and verification set. Secondly, the model
 410 was trained according to whether the data demonstration algorithm was added. Finally,
 411 cosine strategy was used to periodically attenuate the learning rate. The training ends
 412 when the average loss remains stable. In this study, the training process of Faster
 413 R-CNN model was shown in the [Figure 2](#).

414 The models obtained by different training strategies were tested on the test set and

Figure 2: Model ensembles

Integrated flow chart of cotton anther recognition model ensembles.

the prediction results of multiple models were obtained. The results of the four groups of comparison experiments indicated that the proposed Faster R-CNN neural network with data augmentation and FPN structure and Multi-Scale could effectively detect the dehiscence and indehiscence in cotton anther images. Compared with other methods, this method has significant advantages in recognition accuracy. The recognition effect was shown in the Figure 3. The final result was obtained by the prediction results ensembles of multiple models.

422

423 **Model comparison**

424 **Comparison of detection results of Faster R-CNN and YOLOv5**

425 Faster R-CNN and YOLOv5 are used to train the same training set, the test results
426 are compared on the same test set, and the correlation between the test results and the
427 accurate value of manual labeling is analyzed. YOLOv5 using Darknet53 as the
428 backbone network is a typical single-stage model, while Faster R-CNN using Res101
429 as the backbone network is a standard two-stage model. Obviously, YOLOv5 is more
430 advantageous in detection speed. A comparison of the two models was shown in

431 **Figure 4a.** Through training and validation, we found that $mAP@0.5:0.95$ of
 432 YOLOv5 was 0.485, while $mAP@0.5:0.95$ of Faster R-CNN was 0.478. In
 433 $mAP@0.5:0.95$, YOLOv5 was 0.007 higher than Faster R-CNN. In terms of the
 434 evaluation index of R^2 in the validation set, the Faster R-CNN was 0.8712 in the
 435 category of "open" and 0.8373 in the category of "close", and 0.82 in the category of
 436 "all", which were 0.2523, 0.2619, and 0.3104 higher than YOLOv5, respectively. This
 437 may be due to the interference of location information. Although YOLOv5 has a
 438 slightly higher $mAP@0.5:0.95$, R^2 is far lower than Faster R-CNN (Table S1). Since
 439 quantitative accuracy is our primary research goal, we decided to further optimize the
 440 two-stage model Faster R-CNN.

441 **Comparison of detection results with or without FPN (Feature Pyramid** 442 **Networks)**

443 To further improve the detection effect of the Faster R-CNN model, the FPN
 444 structure was added into the Faster R-CNN model. A comparison of the two models is
 445 shown in Figure 4b. The $mAP@0.5:0.95$ of Faster R-CNN with data augmentation
 446 was 0.48. For the R^2 of the correlation of test value with the real value, Faster R-CNN
 447 with FPN structure have 0.8676, 0.8403 and 0.812 in the category of "open", "close",

and "all". Compared with that without FPN structure, mAP@0.5:0.95 of the improved model increased by 0.002 (Figure 5, model 1 and 3), R^2 of "close" class increased by 0.003, and R^2 of "open" class and "all" decreased slightly (Table S2).

Comparison of detection results with or without data augmentation

The traditional Faster R-CNN model was taken without data augmentation. To avoid the effect of the sample imbalance, many kinds of data augmentation methods were added to the basic model, such as mixup, cutmix. The model with and without data augmentation were trained and tested on the same data set, and these detection results and correlations with the real value of manual labeling were compared. A comparison of the two models is shown in Figure 4c. We found that the mAP@0.5:0.95 of Faster R-CNN with data augmentation was 0.494, which was 0.016 higher than that of Faster R-CNN without data augmentation (Figure 5, model 1 and 2). For the R^2 of the correlation of test value with the real value, Faster R-CNN with data augmentation were 0.8579, 0.8401 and 0.8235 in the category of "open", "close", and "all", respectively. The R^2 in the category of "close" and "all" of Faster R-CNN with data augmentation were 0.0028 and 0.0035 higher than that of Faster R-CNN without augmentation. While R^2 in the "open" category of Faster R-CNN with data augmentation was 0.0133 lower than that of Faster R-CNN without data augmentation. Overall, the evaluation showed that the performance of Faster R-CNN with data augmentation is higher than that of Faster R-CNN without data augmentation (Table

Figure 5: mAP@0.5:0.95 curves and LOSS curves

Model 1 is the Faster R-CNN with FPN structure. **Model 2** is the Faster R-CNN with data augmentation and FPN structure. **Model 3** is the traditional Faster R-CNN. **Model 4** is the Faster R-CNN with Multi-Scale and data augmentation and FPN structure. **Epoch**: All the data were sent into the network to complete a process of forward calculation and back propagation. **mAP@0.5:0.95** is the process of increasing IoU from 0.5 to 0.95 according to the span of 0.05. The mAP corresponding to each IoU was added to obtain the average value of mAP in this process.

468 S3).

469 Comparison of detection results with or without Multi-Scale

470 To test whether the multi-scale training can improve the accuracy of the quantity of
 471 dehiscent anther, we added Multi-Scale on the basis of the traditional Faster R-CNN
 472 model. The specific content is to obtain the image pyramid at different scales, and
 473 then extract the features of different scales for each layer of images to obtain the
 474 feature map. Finally, the features of each scale are individually predicted. A
 475 comparison of the two models was shown in Figure 4d. The result showed the
 476 mAP@0.5:0.95 of model was improved by 0.003 after Multi-Scale training (Figure 5,
 477 model 4 and 2). R^2 in the "open" and "close" categories fell by 0.0092 and 0.0007,
 478 respectively. R^2 in the "all" category went up 0.0086. Thus, Multi-Scale training has a
 479 certain effect on our research goal of cotton anther identification (Table S4).

480 In this study, the change curves of each model in mAP@0.5:0.95 during the
 481 training process were shown in Figure 5. The peak value of traditional Faster-CNN
 482 mAP@0.5:0.95 curve was the lowest, while the peak value of Faster R-CNN model
 483 with data augmentation, Multi-Scale training and FPN structure was the highest. The
 484 loss curve of each model during the training process was shown in Figure 5. At the
 485 end of the training, the loss curve of the four models has tended to be stable.

486 Screening of HT tolerant cotton germplasms based on cotton anther phenotype

487 data obtained using integrated Faster R-CNN model

488 In order to select high temperature (HT) tolerant cotton germplasms, the anther
 489 pictures of different cotton lines were obtained under normal temperature (NT) and
 490 HT. Then we counted the dehiscence status of anthers from 30 different cotton lines
 491 by manual observation and machine recognition, and the statistical results were shown
 492 in [Table 1](#). The results of manual observation showed that the average dehiscence rate
 493 of cotton anthers treated with NT and HT were 84.35% and 35.46% respectively, and
 494 the results of machine recognition showed that the average dehiscent rates of cotton
 495 anthers treated with NT and HT were 83.81% and 35.08% respectively. First of all,
 496 we can believe that for the acquisition of the phenotypic data of cotton anther
 497 dehiscence rate, the result of machine recognition has been extremely accurate, and
 498 the recognition speed is fast, which is not affected by artificial subjective factors, save
 499 manpower and material resources, there are obvious advantages compared with
 500 manual observation. Secondly, there is a great difference in the anther dehiscent rate
 501 of the same cotton variety between HT and NT conditions. The result showed that HT
 502 greatly reduced the cotton anther dehiscent rate ([Table 1](#)), and then affected the
 503 pollination process, resulting in a reduction in cotton yield. Finally, by observing 30
 504 cotton lines, we found that the anther dehiscent rate of S003 and S004 was still more
 505 than 85% under HT stress, which was significantly improved compared with other
 506 lines ([Table 1](#)). In addition, we screened cotton lines with HT tolerance in large
 507 quantities through machine recognition, and obtained more than 35 HT tolerant cotton
 508 lines. These HT tolerant germplasms will be used in cotton HT tolerance breeding.

Table 1: Screening of HT tolerant cotton germplasms using integrated Faster R-CNN model

Variety	Manual count						Machine count					
	Normal temperature			High temperature			Normal temperature			High temperature		
	Open	Close	Dehiscent rate(%)	Open	Close	Dehiscent rate(%)	Open	Close	Dehiscent rate(%)	Open	Close	Dehiscent rate(%)
S001	39.5±2.02	5.75±0.94	87.17±2.3	34.75±4.21	15±4.76	70.41±8.26	38.25±2.39	5.5±1.32	87.31±2.97	35.25±2.92	13.75±3.9	72.47±6.85
S002	26.25±3.49	17.25±1.37	78.6±2.25	9.25±2.14	17±2.2	65.68±3.41	26.75±3.75	7±1.29	79.35±2.25	17.25±1.31	8.5±1.76	68.06±3.13
S003	42.25±2.92	11.25±2.01	78.84±3.82	30±6.17	4±1.58	86.55±5.03	40.25±2.86	11±1.87	78.54±3.25	30.25±5.76	5±1.82	84.46±5.56
S004	32.25±2.28	3±1.22	91.86±3.02	27.25±0.62	2±0.91	93.27±3.02	32.25±2.28	2.25±0.75	93.63±1.92	27±0.7	2.25±0.75	92.4±2.44
S005	27.25±3.9	9.75±3.94	77.32±7.54	29.25±2.81	18.25±5.12	36.19±5.06	26.75±4.42	10.25±3.59	74.14±6.48	17.5±4.13	29.25±2.95	36.12±4.43
S006	28.75±1.38	6±1.08	83.97±2.31	18.25±1.37	13±4.22	61.04±9.65	28.25±1.44	5.5±0.65	83.86±1.01	18.5±0.86	12.75±3.9	61.75±8.76
S007	20.75±3.59	5.75±1.75	76.92±8.33	16.25±2.53	11.5±1.19	42.3±4.65	19.75±2.68	6±1.68	76.08±7.37	10.75±0.63	18±2.27	37.94±1.81
S008	17±3.08	6±0	72.69±3.06	12.25±0.75	18.5±1.19	39.95±2.81	18.25±3.25	5.75±0.25	74.95±2.57	10.5±0.28	19.5±0.64	35.03±0.95
S009	25±2.85	4.5±1.7	86.35±3.53	13.5±0.5	17±2.94	45.62±5.17	22.5±2.72	5.5±1.5	80.64±4.45	13.25±0.75	15.5±2.25	46.97±4.96
S010	24.25±2.56	5.5±1.44	82.31±3.49	12.5±1.44	21.75±2.17	36.39±1.82	23.75±2.75	6±1.58	80.87±3.52	11±0.57	21.5±1.93	34.04±1.27
S011	24.25±4.49	4±0.91	85.81±1.41	8±2.85	24.5±6.73	19.77±7.44	23.5±4.34	3.25±0.62	87.28±1.94	8±2.67	23.25±6.14	20.43±7.04
S012	28.25±2.52	2.5±0.5	91.51±1.97	0±0	29.25±8.6	0±0	26.5±1.84	2.25±0.62	91.9±2.57	0.5±0.5	28±8.33	4.545±4.54
S013	27.5±6.06	3.75±0.75	86.79±3.53	0.25±0.25	45±5.11	0.5±0.5	24.75±5.45	4.25±0.47	84±3.15	0±0	43.75±3.19	0±0
S014	29.75±4.53	6.75±2.09	81.08±5.81	3.25±3.25	37.25±1.88	7.22±7.22	28.5±3.79	6.5±2.21	81.08±6.17	3.75±3.42	38±2.44	8.164±7.44
S015	32.25±1.03	3.75±1.1	90.01±2.61	17.25±4.26	20.75±3.01	44.65±8.45	31.5±0.64	3.75±1.1	89.76±2.71	16.75±3.49	18.75±1.43	45.98±5.93
S016	22.75±3.47	4±1.58	86.06±6.08	14.75±0.63	7.25±1.03	67.39±3.2	22.25±3.19	4.5±1.19	83.23±4.37	14±0.58	7.5±1.04	65.48±3.34
S017	32.75±2.39	8.25±4.44	81.68±9.2	12.5±1.04	22.25±4.39	37.12±3.56	32±2.27	8.5±4.42	80.75±9.66	12.5±1.19	22.25±3.68	36.64±2.97
S018	31.5±1.5	2.25±0.47	93.42±1.31	10.75±0.95	21±2.35	34.07±1.61	30.5±0.95	2.75±0.47	91.75±1.32	12.75±0.75	21±1.08	37.82±2.19
S019	22.75±1.03	3.25±1.18	88.39±4.22	14.25±1.49	10±1.63	58.91±5.47	22±0.91	3.5±1.32	87.41±4.48	13.75±1.11	10.5±1.85	57.4±4.71
S020	29±3.1	4.25±1.18	86.98±3.78	0.75±0.48	29.5±8.53	3.55±2.8	26.25±1.93	3.75±1.03	87.58±3.06	0.5±0.5	30±6.94	2.63±2.63
S021	28±0.41	3±1.08	90.58±3.16	10.75±3.09	22.75±6.34	32.5±6.02	29.57±0.85	3±0.91	90.96±2.6	9.75±2.93	23±5.4	29.34±4.63
S022	29.5±5.1	2±0.91	93.95±2.75	0.25±0.25	45.25±3.09	0.51±0.51	28±4.45	1.75±1.03	94.65±3.17	0±0	43.75±3.19	0±0
S023	26.25±2.09	2.5±0.28	91.06±1.51	0.5±0.28	43.25±6.42	1.045±0.6	25.25±1.37	2.5±0.28	90.91±1.19	0.5±0.28	43.5±6.33	0.994±0.57
S024	30±4.65	6.75±1.7	80.44±5.82	0.75±0.48	37.75±2.66	2.07±1.43	28.5±3.79	6.5±2.21	81.08±6.17	0.75±0.75	38.5±3.88	2.34±2.34
S025	18.75±3.32	7±0.7	71.34±5.16	13.5±0.65	21.75±3.33	39.36±4.57	19.25±3.17	8.5±1.19	68.31±5.9	14.5±0.29	22.75±3.17	39.82±3.47
S026	32.5±1.04	3.75±1.1	89.99±2.76	3±1.68	41.75±7.9	9.35±6.76	31.5±0.64	3.75±1.1	89.76±2.71	3±2.34	42.25±8.73	9.669±8.28
S027	23±3.82	4.75±1.37	83.29±3.76	13.5±1.19	7.25±0.75	65.03±2.37	22.25±3.19	4.5±1.19	83.23±4.37	14±0.58	7.5±1.04	65.48±3.44
S028	31.25±2.89	4.25±1.49	87.53±4.6	5.25±1.93	20.5±3.96	19.19±4.81	31±1.87	4.75±1.18	86.58±3.46	5.25±2.75	21.25±4.47	14.49±7.04
S029	9.25±3.07	2.75±0.25	72.05±6.26	0.5±0.5	10±0.82	3.57±3.57	8.5±3.18	2.5±0.29	71.18±6.45	0.5±0.29	10±0.91	4.42±2.6
S030	21.75±2.8	4.25±0.25	83.15±1.67	11.25±0.25	18.25±0.48	38.15±0.57	20.75±1.93	4±0.4	83.79±1.07	11.25±0.85	17±1.35	39.87±0.67
Average	27.2±0.36	4.95±0.55	84.35±1.23	11.21±0.77	22.03±0.96	35.46±1.61	26.25±0.31	4.98±0.5	83.81±1.17	11.11±0.68	21.97±0.81	35.08±1.76

Open: the average number of dehiscent anthers from different flowers, n>4; Close: the average number of indehiscent anthers from different flowers, n>4; Dehiscent rate: the number of dehiscent anthers of each flower/(the number of dehiscent anthers of each flower + the number of indehiscent anthers of each flower), n>4.

509

510

511 Discussion

512 Through analysis, we found that the $mAP@0.5:0.95$ value of the model increased
513 significantly after adding data augmentation and FPN structure and Mult-Scale, but
514 the change of R^2 was not significantly positively correlated with $mAP@0.5:0.95$. In
515 order to obtain the most accurate data in the application, four models were trained, as
516 shown in Figure 5 and tested them on the same batch of test sets. The recognition
517 results obtained were integrated by the following formula:

$$518 \quad result_{open} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 model_i^{open}}{4}$$

$$519 \quad result_{close} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 model_i^{close}}{4}$$

520 Among those, i represents the number of the model in Figure 5. $model_i^{open}$
521 represents the number of dehiscent cotton anthers identified by $model_i$ in the
522 verification set. $model_i^{close}$ represents the number of indehiscent cotton anthers
523 identified by $model_i$ in the verification set.

524 After the comparison with the real value, it is found that when the model is
525 integrated, the detection result after ensemble effectively compensates for the error,
526 and the correlation between the detection result and the real value will increase. After
527 the ensemble of the four models, R^2 of “open” reaches 0.8765, R^2 of “close” reaches
528 0.8539, R^2 of “all” reaches 0.8481, higher than the prediction result of either model
529 alone. Therefore, when accurate data is needed, we can choose to integrate the
530 detection results of the four models, so that the detection data obtained is the most
531 reliable. Of course, directly using Faster R-CNN model with FPN structure and data
532 augmentation and multi-scale has higher robustness and higher accuracy.

533 It is well known that anther is the male organ of plant, anther abortion will directly
534 lead to male sterility and reduce yield. Our previous studies could be preliminarily
535 concluded that HT stress can reduce cotton yield by inhibiting cotton male fertility.
536 HT mainly decreased pollen viability, the anther growth number, and the percentage

of dehiscent anther, caused the decreases of male fertility in cotton (Min et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018). So far, no genes involved in HT affecting cotton male fertility have been cloned. Thus, further molecular biological methods can respond to this mechanism from the perspective of genes and improve cotton crop yield. Through the trained augmentation Faster R-CNN rapid identification system of cotton anther phenotype, can quickly investigate the anther phenotype and used to location of the genes affecting cotton anther dehiscence under HT. This will effectively promote HT resistance breeding of cotton and ensure cotton safe production under the trend of global warming.

Conclusions and future directions

Conclusions

1. A cotton anther phenotype recognition system based on deep learning is proposed for the first time, which can help researchers to quickly investigate the anther phenotype of cotton and locate the genes that respond to the influence of stress on cotton anther for breeding improvement.
2. A lightweight cotton anther dehiscence detection model based on YOLOv5 is proposed, which can be easily implanted into embedded devices or mobile devices.
3. Through the change of the accuracy and correlation of Faster R-CNN after the improvement of the data augmentation method, the feasibility and superiority of the improved method were verified. Model enhanced by data.
4. After the ensemble of the four models, R^2 of “open” reaches 0.8765, R^2 of “close” reaches 0.8539, R^2 of “all” reaches 0.8481, higher than the prediction result of either model alone, and can completely replace the manual counting method. It provides new technical support for cotton reproductive development and HT tolerance breeding.

Future directions

In this study, YOLOv5 and Faster R-CNN were applied to identify the dehiscence state of cotton anther, and achieved fast and accurate identification. But there are still

567 some areas where there is room for improvement:

568 1. We only examined the dehiscence of cotton anthers, but other phenotypes such
569 as the growth position of anthers and the distance between anthers and stigmas are
570 also important for the reproductive development of cotton. Other phenotypic
571 characteristics of cotton anther can be collected by using a comprehensive platform
572 that integrates multiple data points to analyze cotton reproductive development.

573 2. The cotton anther dehiscence recognition model trained in this study should be
574 further developed and applied to other computer platforms or servers to facilitate
575 cotton reproductive development researchers to use the model to quickly obtain anther
576 dehiscence data.

577 3. In this study, the experience of deep learning model training for cotton anther
578 dehiscence can be applied to other plant anther state detection. It is one of the
579 directions to further enrich the research content to further construct cotton anther state
580 recognition models of various crops based on deep learning.

581

582 **Materials and methods**

583 **Material growing and dataset acquisition**

584 In total, 510 cotton lines from natural populations were planted in 2016-2019 in
585 experimental cotton fields at Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei
586 (113.41E, 29.58N), Turpan, Xinjiang (89.19E, 42.91N), and Alar, Xinjiang (81.29E,
587 40.54N). At Wuhan, the field was planted at a density of 27,000 plants per hectare
588 with each row including more than 12 individuals. At Alar and Turpan, Xinjiang, the
589 fields were set up with two streets and planted at a density of 195,000 plants per
590 hectare. More than 30 individuals of each line were arranged in rows. Cotton anther
591 images were collected each year at each location three days after the onset of normal
592 temperatures and after high temperatures during bloom.

593 A Canon 70 d HD digital camera was used throughout the acquisition of a research
594 image set. To prevent the negative interference of background with the subsequent
595 machine recognition effort, a black curtain was used as the photo background for this
596 experiment. In the actual image collection process, it was found that the cotton

Figure 6: Data acquisition.

a: The image dataset captures the platform scene. **b:** Image of cotton anther. **c:** The surface of dehiscent cotton anther (open) is rough from the image. **d:** The surface of indehiscent cotton anther (close) is smooth from the image.

597 anthers were surrounded by cotton petals, and the anthers growing at the root of the
 598 style were not easily captured by the camera, so it was not conducive to the accurate
 599 collection of data to take the pictures directly. Thus, it was necessary to preprocess the
 600 cotton flowers before acquiring the pictures by stripping the cotton petals and fixing
 601 the anther sides. To prevent overfitting, the model due to insufficient training data, the
 602 same anthers were included in multiple distant near-field images (Figure 6). Finally, a
 603 total of 2,845 high-definition RGB anther images were acquired.

604 Morphologically, dehiscent anthers are rough and grainy because pollen is
 605 released and adheres to anther edges, while indehiscent anthers have smooth edges,
 606 because no pollen is released. Therefore, the obtained cotton anther images were
 607 annotated using Labeling image annotation software, as shown in Figure S1. The
 608 image boundary of each visible cotton anther is within an annotation box that reduces
 609 the influence of background on model training and is labeled “open” and “close” to
 610 distinguish dehiscent and indehiscent anthers, respectively. A total of 2,845 images
 611 were annotated one by one. The images were used as the data set and were randomly
 612 divided into a training set and validation set in the ratio of 7:3 (Table S5).

613 Experimental operation environment

614 The hardware environment used in this study is in Table S6, and on this basis, the

training environment is python, open-cv, cuda, etc., and the frameworks used in this study are paddle and pytorch.

Metrics used to evaluate the proposed method

In this study, we use mAP@0.5:0.95, as well as MAD (Mean Absolute Deviation) and R^2 as the evaluation indicators of the model. The indicators are explained as follows:

mAP@0.5:0.95 is the process of increasing IoU from 0.5 to 0.95 according to the span of 0.05. The mAP corresponding to each IoU is added to obtain the average value of mAP in this process. The formula is expressed as follows:

$$P = \frac{T_p}{P_N}$$

$$R = \frac{T_p}{T_N}$$

$$AP = \int_0^1 P(R) dR$$

In the above formula, T_p is the correct number of a category identified by the model, P_N is the total number of categories identified by the model, and T_N is the true number of a category. Averaging the AP values of all categories is called mAP.

We took the absolute value of the absolute error between the measured value and the real value and then calculate the average value, and called it the MAD. Due to the deviation is absolute value, the positive and negative will not be offset, thus the mean absolute error can reflect the actual situation of predicted value deviation. The smaller the value, the closer the prediction of model is to reality.

The main purpose of this study is to develop a deep learning model that can quickly and accurately identify anther dehiscence and explore the influence of high temperature stress on cotton anther dehiscence. In the model identification phase, we identify the location of the cotton anther without strict requirements, but we demand model to recognize the number with the result of artificial observation is the most close to, so the number by artificial observation to anther as accurate value of validation set was used, with correlation coefficient between predicted values and the

642 accurate value as the main evaluation index of the model.

643

644 **Supplemental Materials**

645 **Figure S1: Image labeling**

646 The obtained cotton anther images were annotated using “Labeling” image annotation
647 software. Green boxes represent indehiscent anthers and red boxes represent dehiscent
648 anthers. When the image labeling was finished, we corresponded the location
649 information of the image with the name of the image one by one and saved it in VOC
650 format.

651 **Table S1:** Comparison of YOLOv5 and Faster R-CNN

652 **Table S2:** Comparison of FPN

653 **Table S3:** Comparison of data augmentation

654 **Table S4:** Comparison of Multi-Scale

655 **Table S5:** Dataset

656 **Table S6:** Experimental configuration

657

658 **Acknowledgements**

659 Appreciations are given to the editors and reviewer.

660

661 **Figure Legends**

662 **Figure 1: Data augmentation**

663 The images above showed the effect of the same cotton anther image processed with
664 different data augmentation methods.

665 **Figure 2 : Model ensembles**

666 Integrated flow chart of cotton anther recognition model ensembles.

667 **Figure 3 : Cotton anther identification effect graph**

668 **a**, The purple box marks an indehiscent cotton anther, and the pink box marks a
669 dehiscent cotton anther. **b**, The blue box marks an indehiscent cotton anther, and the
670 gray box marks a dehiscent cotton anther. **c**, The pink box marks an indehiscent cotton

anther, and the green box marks a dehiscent cotton anther. **d**, The gray box marks an indehiscent cotton anther, and the red box marks a dehiscent cotton anther. In each test, the colors of the prediction boxes with different labels were randomly generated.

Figure 4: Comparison of different models

a, Comparison of YOLOv5 and Faster R-CNN. YOLOv5 model has higher recognition speed, Faster R-CNN model has higher detection accuracy.

b, Comparison of with or without FPN (Feature Pyramid Networks)

The $mAP@0.5:0.95$ of the improved model increased by 0.002, R^2 of "close" class increased by 0.003, and R^2 of "open" class and "all" decreased slightly.

c, Comparison of with or without data augmentation. The improved model has a slight decline in the number of R^2 in the open category and an improvement in other evaluation indicators.

d, Comparison of with or without data Multi-Scale

The result showed the $mAP@0.5:0.95$ of model was improved by 0.003 after Multi-Scale training. R^2 in the "open" and "close" categories fell by 0.0092 and 0.0007, respectively. R^2 in the "all" category went up 0.0086. "open" and "close" represent dehiscent and indehiscent anther, respectively.

Figure 5: $mAP@0.5:0.95$ curves and LOSS curves

Model 1 is the Faster R-CNN with FPN structure. **Model 2** is the Faster R-CNN with data augmentation and FPN structure. **Model 3** is the traditional Faster R-CNN.

Model 4 is the Faster R-CNN with Multi-Scale and data augmentation and FPN

structure. **Epoch**: All the data were sent into the network to complete a process of forward calculation and back propagation. **$mAP@0.5:0.95$** is the process of increasing IoU from 0.5 to 0.95 according to the span of 0.05. The mAP corresponding to each IoU was added to obtain the average value of mAP in this process.

Figure 6: Data acquisition

a, The image dataset captures the platform scene. **b**, Image of cotton anther. **c**, The surface of dehiscent cotton anther (open) is rough from the image. **d**, The surface of indehiscent cotton anther (close) is smooth from the image.

701

702 **Table 1: Screening of HT tolerant cotton germplasms using integrated Faster**
 703 **R-CNN model**

704 Open: the average number of dehiscent anthers from different flowers, $n \geq 4$; Close: the
 705 average number of indehiscent anthers from different flowers, $n \geq 4$; Dehiscent rate:
 706 the number of dehiscent anthers of each flower/ (the number of dehiscent anthers of
 707 each flower + the number of indehiscent anthers of each flower), $n \geq 4$.

Parsed Citations

- Barre P, Stover BC, Muller KF, Steinhage V (2017) LeafNet: A computer vision system for automatic plant species identification. Ecological Informatics 40: 50-56**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Bodla N, Singh B, Chellappa R, Davis LS (2017) Soft-NMS: improving object detection with one line of code. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV): 5562-5570**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Ferentinos KP (2018) Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 145: 311-318**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Fuentes A, Yoon S, Kim SC, Park DSJS (2017) A robust deep-learning-based detector for real-time tomato plant diseases and pests recognition. 17: 153**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Genze N, Bharti R, Grieb M, Schultheiss SJ, Grimm DGJPM (2020) Accurate machine learning-based germination detection, prediction and quality assessment of three grain crops. 16: 1-11**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Girshick R, Donahue J, Darrell T, Malik J (2014) Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp 580-587**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Gutierrez A, Ansuategi A, Susperregi L, Tubio C, Rankic I, Lenza L (2019) A benchmarking of learning strategies for pest detection and identification on tomato plants for autonomous scouting robots using internal databases. Journal of Sensors 2019**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Howard AG, Zhu M, Chen B, Kalenichenko D, Wang W, Weyand T, Andreetto M, Adam HJapa (2017) Mobilenets: efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. arXiv: 1704.04861**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Kim SG, Lee S, Kim YS, Yun DJ, Woo JC, Park CM (2010) Activation tagging of an Arabidopsis SHI-RELATED SEQUENCE gene produces abnormal anther dehiscence and floral development. Plant Molecular Biology 74: 337-351**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Liu J, Wang XW (2021) Early recognition of tomato gray leaf spot disease based on MobileNetv2-YOLOv3 model (vol 16, 83, 2020). Plant Methods 17: 16**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Liu W, Anguelov D, Erhan D, Szegedy C, Reed S, Fu CY, Berg AC (2016) SSD: single shot multiBox detector. Computer Vision - ECCV 2016, Pt I 9905: 21-37**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Ma YZ, Min L, Wang MJ, Wang CZ, Zhao YL, Li YY, Fang QD, Wu YL, Xie S, Ding YH, Su XJ, Hu Q, Zhang QH, Li XY, Zhang XL (2018) Disrupted genome methylation in response to high temperature has distinct effects on microspore abortion and anther indehiscence. Plant Cell 30: 1387-1403**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Min L, Li YY, Hu Q, Zhu LF, Gao WH, Wu YL, Ding YH, Liu SM, Yang XY, Zhang XL (2014) Sugar and auxin signaling pathways respond to High-Temperature stress during anther development as revealed by transcript profiling analysis in cotton. Plant Physiology 164: 1293-1308**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Montesinos-López OA, Montesinos-López A, Tuberosa R, Maccaferri M, Sciara G, Ammar K, Crossa JFiPS (2019) Multi-trait, multi-environment genomic prediction of durum wheat with genomic best linear unbiased predictor and deep learning methods. 10: 1311**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Pang L, Liu H, Chen Y, Miao JG (2020) Real-time concealed object detection from passive millimeter wave images based on the YOLOv3 algorithm. Sensors 20: 1678**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Pang L, Men S, Yan L, Xiao J (2020) Rapid vitality estimation and prediction of corn seeds based on spectra and images using deep learning and hyperspectral imaging techniques. IEEE Access 8: 123026-123036**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Peet M, Sato S, Gardner R (1998) Comparing heat stress effects on male-fertile and male-sterile tomatoes. Plant cell 21: 225-231**
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Piccialli V, Sciandrone M (2018) Nonlinear optimization and support vector machines. 4or-a Quarterly Journal of Operations Research 16: 111-149**

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Redmon J, Divvala S, Girshick R, Farhadi A (2016) You Only Look Once: unified, real-Time object detection. 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (Cvpr): 779-788

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Redmon J, Farhadi A (2017) YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger. 30th IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (Cvpr 2017): 6517-6525

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Redmon J, Farhadi A (2018) YOLOv3: An incremental improvement. arXiv preprint arXiv: 02767

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ren SQ, He KM, Girshick R, Sun J (2017) Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 39: 1137-1149

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Samiei S, Rasti P, Vu JL, Buitink J, Rousseau D (2020) Deep learning-based detection of seedling development. Plant Methods 16: 11

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Sanders PM, Bui AQ, Weterings K, McIntire KN, Hsu YC, Lee PY, Truong MT, Beals TP, Goldberg RB (1999) Anther developmental defects in Arabidopsis thaliana male-sterile mutants. Sexual Plant Reproduction 11: 297-322

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Schmidhuber J (2015) Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. Neural Networks 61: 85-117

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Siraj A, Lim DY, Tayara H, Chong KT (2021) UbiComb: A hybrid deep learning model for predicting plant-specific protein ubiquitylation sites. Genes 12: 717

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ubbens JR, Stavness I (2017) Deep Plant Phenomics: A deep learning platform for complex plant phenotyping tasks. Frontiers in Plant Science 8: 1190

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wekesa JS, Meng J, Luan YS (2020) Multi-feature fusion for deep learning to predict plant lncRNA-protein interaction. Genomics 112: 2928-2936

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xu ZF, Jia RS, Sun HM, Liu QM, Cui Z (2020) Light-YOLOv3: fast method for detecting green mangoes in complex scenes using picking robots. Applied Intelligence 50: 4670-4687

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yang CY, Xu ZY, Song J, Conner K, Barrera GV, Wilson ZA (2007) Arabidopsis MYB26/MALE STERILE35 regulates secondary thickening in the endothecium and is essential for anther dehiscence. Plant Cell 19: 534-548

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yang ZF, Gao S, Xiao F, Li GH, Ding YF, Guo QH, Paul MJ, Liu ZH (2020) Leaf to panicle ratio (LPR): a new physiological trait indicative of source and sink relation in japonica rice based on deep learning. Plant Methods 16: 15

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yun S, Han D, Oh SJ, Chun S, Choe J, Yoo Y (2019) CutMix: Regularization strategy to train strong classifiers with localizable features. 2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV 2019): 6022-6031

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhu JM, Zhang GP, Zhou SB, Li K (2021) Relation-aware Siamese region proposal network for visual object tracking. Multimedia Tools and Applications 80: 15469-15485

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)