Title

Toehold: a Software for Automated Design and In Silico Validation of Toehold Riboswitches

Authors and affiliations

Angel F. Cisneros^{1,3,5,6†,*}, François D. Rouleau^{1,3,5,6†}, Carla Bautista^{2,3,5,6‡}, Pascale Lemieux^{1,3,5,6‡}, Nathan Dumont-Leblond^{4‡}, on behalf of Team iGEM ULaval 2019

¹Département de biochimie, microbiologie et bio-informatique, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

²Département de biologie, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

³Institut de biologie intégrative et des systèmes, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

⁴Centre de recherche de l'Institut universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie de Québec, Quebec City, QC, Canada

⁵Centre de recherche en données massives de l'Université Laval, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

⁶Regroupement québécois de recherche sur la fonction, l'ingénierie et la structure des protéines (PROTEO), Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

[†]These authors contributed equally to this publication

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this publication

*Corresponding authors:

Institut de biologie intégrative et des systèmes, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada, G1V 0A6

E-mail address: angel-fernando.cisneros-caballero.1@ulaval.ca

Keywords

Toehold switch, Riboswitches, Molecular switch, Expression regulation

Abstract

Synthetic biology aims to engineer biological circuits, which often involve gene expression. A particularly promising group of regulatory elements are riboswitches because of their versatility with respect to their targets, but early synthetic designs were not as attractive because of a reduced dynamic range with respect to protein regulators. Only recently, the creation of toehold switches helped overcome this obstacle by also providing an unprecedented degree of orthogonality. However, a lack of automated design and optimization tools prevents the widespread and effective use of toehold switches in high-throughput experiments. To address this, we developed Toeholder, a comprehensive open-source software for toehold design and *in silico* benchmarking. Toeholder takes into consideration sequence constraints as well as data derived from molecular dynamics simulations of a toehold switch. We describe the software and its *in silico* validation results, as well as its potential applications and impacts on the management and design of toehold switches.

1.Introduction

1.1 Riboswitches

All biological systems, be they naturally occurring or synthetic, rely on finely tuned interactions of their components. The precise regulation of these interactions is often critical to proper system functions, and nature has explored many different regulatory mechanisms. A particularly interesting group of regulatory elements are riboswitches - RNA molecules, which typically predominate within the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of prokaryotic protein coding transcripts and that folds into specific secondary and tertiary structures capable of regulating transcription and translation to optimize the use of resources [1]. Riboswitches have been observed in bacteria [2], archaea [3], and in some fungi and plants [4]. They respond to a wide range of stimuli, for instance metabolite concentrations, and their prevalence and versatility in nature makes them attractive for the design of synthetic biological circuits [5,6].

Efforts to leverage the potential of riboswitches for synthetic biology have led to several different designs-. Out of these, toehold switches have recently been put in the spotlight as a versatile tool with an unprecedented dynamic range and orthogonality [7]. Toehold switches are single-stranded RNA molecules containing the necessary elements for the translation of a reporter protein: its coding sequence, a ribosome binding site, and a start codon. However, they fold into a specific hairpin-like secondary structure that blocks the ribosome's access to its binding site and the first start codon on the RNA strand, therefore preventing translation of the coded protein further downstream (OFF state). The hairpin is designed such that when the toehold riboswitch is in the presence of its DNA or RNA "trigger" sequence, the hairpin unfolds (ON state), hence giving access to the ribosome binding site and the start codon to enable translation [7] (Figure 1). As a result, the reporter protein can be used to confirm the presence of the trigger sequence in a sample, which opens a wide variety of potential applications for biosensors.

Figure 1: A) OFF state of a typical toehold switch. Nucleotides (nt) 3 to 33 are complementary to the trigger sequence (α, β), nt 45 to 51 are the RBS, nt 58 to 60 are the upstream start codon, nt 70 to 90 are the linker sequence, nt 90 and downstream are part of the regulated gene of interest. The trigger sequence (α', β') is represented in grey for reference. **B)** Intermediate state of a toehold switch when it first binds to its trigger sequence. **C)** ON state of typical toehold switch, where it is stably bound to its trigger sequence, and translation can occur.

1.2 Applications

Despite being a fairly recent technology, toehold switches have already been applied to various fields. From orthogonal systems to regulate gene expressions *in vivo* [7], to diagnostic tools for RNA virus detection (ebola [8], coronavirus [9], norovirus [10], to organ allograft rejection detection [11], and even as logic gates for gene regulation in synthetic systems [7,12] for pharmaceutical and medical purposes, for example as targets for novel antibiotics [13] or in gene therapy [14]. Toehold switch-based technology is highly modular and cost-effective, making it a very interesting tool to address present and future challenges, and holds great promise in being extendable to numerous and varied purposes.

1.3 Design

When the toehold switch is properly designed, the hairpin will natively fold on itself as the RNA is transcribed, following Watson-Crick canonical hydrogen bonds-based pairing. In absence of the

trigger sequence, it will be most stable when in its OFF (hairpin/unbound) conformation, therefore preventing spurious activation and translation of the downstream ORF. In presence of the trigger sequence, the higher Watson-Crick homology between the switch/trigger structure than within the switch itself will favor the unfolding of the hairpin (the ON state), allowing for downstream translation.

However, the design of toehold switches is not always straightforward. As proper repression of the downstream ORF relies on the secondary structure to avoid leakage and spurious translation, the sequence of the hairpin structure, and therefore the sequence of the trigger, is critical. Depending on the trigger sequence, many of the regulatory parts of the toehold switch, including the RBS and first start codon, and to a lesser extent, the linker sequence, can interfere with proper folding of the hairpin [1]. There are therefore important sequence constraints to observe when designing good quality toehold switches, in which signal leakage (OFF activity) is minimized, while maximizing protein expression (ON activity) when bound to its trigger. Therefore, studying the molecular dynamics of toehold riboswitches could help identify ways to improve their design.

Over the past few years, leaps and bounds have been made in the field of toehold switch design. Vast improvements have been made on their ON/OFF ratios/fold increase, dynamic expression levels, and signal leakage, and some sites on the trigger sequence have been identified as being key to hairpin folding, but there still lacks a standardized “best practice” when designing toeholds. Since few high-throughput datasets on experimentally tested toeholds are available, understanding what makes some better than others remains difficult [7]. As of right now, the main limiting factor in the broader applications of toehold technology is the exploratory aspect of designing toehold switches, as well as intrinsic limitations imposed by essential switch elements [15].

In 2019, our iGEM team designed a project around the real-life applications of toehold switches. Thus, we looked for available tools that could aid the design of these riboswitches. To the best of our knowledge, the only available tools for the design of toehold riboswitches were the NUPACK design suite [16] and a tool designed by Team iGEM CUHK 2017I [17]. However, these tools have a high entry-level difficulty, especially when setting up a methodology and when analyzing the results. To address this, our 2019 iGEM team decided to design an open-source software to make working with toehold switches more accessible, and hopefully allow for broader applications of toehold-based technologies. We created Toeholder, a comprehensive software for toehold design and *in silico* benchmarking. Toeholder takes into consideration sequence constraints described by Green et al. [7], as well as data derived from our molecular dynamics simulations of a toehold switch. In the present work, we describe the software and its *in silico* validation results, as well as its potential applications and impacts on the management and design of toeholds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Molecular dynamics simulations of a toehold switch

Molecular dynamics simulations were performed on a toehold switch from Green et al. [7] to study the dynamics of its secondary and tertiary structure. We hypothesized that fluctuations in the formation of hydrogen bonds in the hairpin of the toehold switch could lead to spontaneous unwinding of the hairpin, causing the residual OFF signal observed in experiments. As such, we reasoned that studying the dynamics of the structure might provide a broader understanding of the stability of the base pairing in toehold switches.

Sequences from previously designed toehold switches were downloaded from Green et al. [7]. Toehold switch number 1 (from table S3 in Green et al. [7]) was selected for further modeling because it provided the highest ON / OFF ratio. Its sequence was used to generate a secondary structure with NUPACK [16] with the rna1995 parameters [18–20] and a temperature of 37°C. Later, the sequence and the predicted secondary structure were submitted to the RNAComposer online server [21,22] to obtain a 3D model. The quality of the 3D model was validated with MOLProbity [23] (Table S1). The 3D structure of the toehold switch was introduced in a square water box (146 Å x 146 Å x 146 Å) using the online CHARMM-GUI server [24,25] with a salt concentration of 0.15 M NaCl. Energy minimization was performed using an NPT equilibration at a constant temperature of 298.15 K. Molecular dynamics simulations were run with the NAMD simulation engine [26] with explicit solvent and periodic boundary conditions for a total length of 40 ns using the CHARMM36 force field and the TIP3P water model.

Molecular dynamics simulations (Supplementary video 1) were analyzed using VMD [27]. The stability of the hairpin of the toehold riboswitch was evaluated by measuring the persistence of hydrogen bonds throughout the simulation. The metric, occupancy, refers to the percentage of frames in the simulation in which the hydrogen bond is detected by VMD's hydrogen bonds extension using a distance cut-off of 3 Å between acceptor and donor and an angle cut-off of 20°. Hydrogen bonds were classified as either canonical (if they appear in the desired secondary structure) or non-canonical (if they do not).

2.2 Designing toehold switches with Toeholder

In parallel to the previous tests, an automated workflow to design and test toehold switches was created to accelerate those processes. The Toeholder software is publicly available on GitHub at <https://github.com/igem-ulaval/toeholder>. As of publication, it is the first iteration of the program built on the observations of Green *et al.* [7]. Improvements based on our molecular dynamics simulations remain to be made.

The Toeholder workflow for designing toehold switches is shown in Figure 2. Briefly, Toeholder receives a target gene and other parameters (length of trigger region bound to target, length of the trigger in the hairpin, reporter gene sequence) as input that will be used to perform a sliding window scan of the target sequence. The sliding window is used to determine the trigger sequence, that is, the complement of the intended target sequence. Afterwards, the sequence that will close the hairpin is added as the complement of the second part of the trigger sequence. The loop and linker regions are taken from the sequence of toehold 1 (from table S3 in Green et al. [7]). Once the candidate toehold for that window has been produced, the sliding window

advances by one nucleotide. Toehold produces potential switches for candidates along the entire length of the target gene.

Figure 2. Workflow used by Toehold to design toehold riboswitches. From a target gene, a sliding window is used to determine candidate triggers and its complementary sequence is used to produce the hairpin. The rest of the elements of the toehold riboswitch are then added to the sequence. The secondary structure, binding energy, and binding accuracy of the toehold riboswitch are then tested *in silico*. Toehold saves the results and moves the sliding window by one nucleotide to work with the following candidate trigger.

Toehold switches produced by Toehold are then tested automatically using NUPACK [16]. The minimum free energy secondary structures of the proposed toehold switch and the target mRNA are generated separately, as well as the minimum free energy secondary structure for the proposed toehold switch bound to the target mRNA. The calculated free energies from these three tests are used to determine the $\Delta\Delta G$ (Formula 1).

$$\Delta\Delta G_{binding} = \Delta G_{bound/ON} - (\Delta G_{unbound/OFF} + \Delta G_{target})$$

(1)

The potential switches with the lowest $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ are considered the most likely to offer good performance. Furthermore, the predicted structure of the toehold switch bound to the target mRNA is used to test if the hybridized region is the intended target. Toehold switches that bind perfectly to the intended target are prioritized over those that are predicted to bind partially. The final tests involve looking for stop codons in the region of the toehold switch that would be used for translation, which results in a toehold switch being discarded, as well as ensuring canonical base pairing along the hairpin structure. Finally, only switches which respect suggested forward engineered sequence constraints (2 G:C / 1 A:U base pairing at the bottom of the hairpin, 3 A:U base pairing at the top of the hairpin) [7] are passed to the output.

2.3 Validation of ToeHolder

ToeHolder was created as part of a bigger project, A.D.N. (Air Detector of Nucleic Acids), that was meant to detect pathogenic viruses in the air through a combination of toeholds-based biosensors and microfluidics. Therefore, the ToeHolder workflow (see section 2.2) was used to design and test *in silico* toehold switches for seven different targets. These targets were selected on the basis of feasibility of our iGEM team working with them in a laboratory (*oxyR* from *Escherichia coli*, two CDS from the Phi6 bacteriophage, an ORF from the bacteriophage PR772) or viruses that can represent health concerns (norovirus, measles virus H1, human alpha herpesvirus 3). The *in silico* characterization of the switches and their production process gave us a substantial validation of the initial workflow. The resulting switches, as well as the accession numbers of the target sequences are detailed in Table S2. Ultimately, the three switches with the lowest $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ and perfect matches to their respective triggers for each target were selected and submitted as parts to the iGEM registry. Selecting three candidates per target allows for a greater probability of identifying a successful switch, since our iGEM team was unable to validate them experimentally.

Toeholds were also aligned to several reference genomes to test their predicted specificity and versatility using blastn for short sequences [28]. These reference genomes were selected based on the possibility of being present in the same samples as the target in a real application (*Escherichia coli*, *Homo sapiens*, MS2 phage, PM2 phage, Norovirus, Herpesvirus) and to determine if the trigger sequence of a toehold switch was present in several different measles virus strains (B3, C2, D4, D8, G2, H1).

3.Results

3.1 Analysis of molecular dynamics simulations

The modeled structure of the toehold riboswitch from Green et al. [7] remained stable throughout the molecular dynamics simulation (Supplementary video 1). In particular, the hairpin of the toehold riboswitch did not unwind which would lead to the unwanted expression of the

reporter gene. The most flexible regions of the structure were the two ends of the molecule, as expected, because base pairing in these regions is very limited.

Since the hairpin relies primarily on hydrogen bonds resulting from base pairing, we did a more quantitative analysis on hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular dynamics simulation. We found that the number of hydrogen bonds remains relatively stable throughout the simulation (Figure 3A), which is consistent with our observation of the hairpin not unwinding. We then set out to identify the positions in the hairpin that were responsible for the fluctuations observed in the number of hydrogen bonds. We measured the occupancy, i.e. the percentage of frames of the simulation in which the hydrogen bond is observed, of each intended hydrogen bond in the hairpin (Figure 3B). Since base pairing includes multiple hydrogen bonds (two for each A-T pair and three for each G-C pair), each position is represented by the mean of the occupancies of its hydrogen bonds. This allowed us to identify the five most stable (hydrogen bonds between nucleotides 19, 21, 22, 32, and 33 and their complements) and the five least stable hydrogen bonds (nucleotides at positions 23, 24, 26, 31, and 36 with their complements) of the hairpin, which could be targeted to further improve the design of toehold riboswitches (Figure 3C).

Figure 3. Analysis of hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular dynamics simulation. A) Number of hydrogen bonds observed at every time points of the simulation. The black dashed line indicates the mean number of hydrogen bonds, and the shaded region indicates one standard deviation above and under the mean. B) Average occupancy of canonical (as determined by the predicted secondary structure) and not canonical hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular dynamics simulation at each position. C) Secondary structure diagram showing the positions with the most and least stable hydrogen bonds in the hairpin.

3.2 Validating toehold riboswitches designed by Toehold

All toehold riboswitches designed by Toehold were tested *in silico* to evaluate their quality. Here, we show how riboswitches designed with Toehold for seven different targets scored in our tests.

The first test validates the secondary structure of the riboswitch using NUPACK [16]. Our riboswitches tended to have a similar secondary structure to the one with the highest ON / OFF ratio designed by Zadeh et al. [16]. The average secondary structures for riboswitches generated for each of the seven different targets and the riboswitch from Zadeh et al. [16] as the reference are shown in table S2. Average secondary structures were generated by taking the most frequent state for each position in the set of sequences for the same target. Importantly, the main hairpin and the smaller one closer to the reporter gene are preserved in these average secondary structures, indicating that toehold riboswitches designed by Toehold fold into a desirable secondary structure.

The following tests evaluate the predicted binding of the toehold riboswitches to the target. The distributions of $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ values for every toehold riboswitch candidate produced for the seven targets are shown in Figure 4A. Since all the $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ are negative, the bound state is more stable for all of our riboswitches than the unbound state.

Similarly, using the prediction for the bound secondary structure, we can evaluate if each designed toehold riboswitch is predicted to bind to its intended target. Toehold riboswitches were classified as perfect matches if all their positions were predicted to bind to the target and imperfect matches if there was at least one mismatch. As shown in Figure 4B, around 70% of the riboswitches designed for each of our targets are predicted to bind perfectly, even when discarding all the ones that have undesirable stop codons. Thus, our riboswitches would be expected to be able to recognize their targets efficiently.

Figure 4. Analysis of binding for toe-hold riboswitches designed by Toeholder. A) Distribution of free energy differences between the unbound state and the bound state among the number of toe-hold candidates. B) Classification of toe-hold riboswitches according to the

accuracy with which they bind to their target (imperfect and perfect match) and if they have a stop codon.

4. Discussion

4.1 Toehold switch characterization, Toeholder conception and validation

Molecular dynamics simulations were first performed to get insights into the molecular interactions in the toehold structure. Our results allowed us to identify regions more likely to play an important role in the ability of switches to retain their appropriate secondary structure in the absence of the trigger. The results obtained were in line with the structural description given by Green et al. [7]. The 3D structure of the switch was stable in the conditions it was tested (0.15M NaCl, 298.15K).

The stability of the hydrogen bonds responsible for this structure was also studied to identify weak points that may be worth considering when designing toehold switches. The base pairing of nucleotides at positions 23, 24, 26, 31, and 36 with their complementary sequences fluctuates the most often during the simulation, yet it is critical in preserving appropriate folding and reducing OFF signal. To reduce spurious expression of the reporting protein in absence of the target, it may be useful to favor guanine or cytosine bases in those positions to increase structural stability. Since this may also come at the cost of reduced sensitivity, additional data and *in vitro* tests are required to confirm these assumptions empirically. It is also important to remember that these weaker sites could change for toehold switches with different specifications, such as longer or shorter hairpins. Therefore, further analyses with longer simulations of more switches could help identify the positions of interest for different designs. It should also be noted that the mean occupancy was computed by grouping the hydrogen bonds on a per-nucleotide basis (A-T = 2 bonds, G-C = 3 bonds) for ease of illustration and to better summarize the stability of the hairpin. However, since only entire nucleotides can be substituted, and not individual bonds, we believe this representation remains useful to identify and consolidate structural weaknesses.

In parallel to these experiments, we created Toeholder, an automated workflow for toehold switches design based on sequence requirements defined by Green et al. [7]. The open-source program, which can be run locally, allows the users to input target sequences and receive a list of potential toehold sequences that have been curated and ranked. It was a good step forward in reducing the high entry-level difficulty usually associated with this molecular regulator technology.

The output of Toeholder is fully described in the Github repository. Briefly, results are organized in a folder containing copies of the input files, tables summarizing the results for all the toehold switches, and individual subfolders for each of the switches designed. Users would be encouraged to select toehold riboswitches to test experimentally based on the data available (free energy change of binding to the target, whether the toehold is predicted to bind perfectly to the trigger sequence, the desired specificity or versatility depending on matches found in genomes of interest, and the percentage of GC in weaker regions of the hairpin). Once selected, the user can find the full sequence of the riboswitch in its respective subfolder based on its index.

Toehold also allows users to submit genomes of interest to search for hits of the trigger sequence. This function can be used to evaluate if a riboswitch satisfies the needed requirements of target specificity or universality. For example, we tested for hits of our trigger sequences in the human genome. This allowed us to confirm that the sequences targeted by our toehold riboswitches were not present in the human genome, thus minimizing the possibility of having spurious expression due to the riboswitches interacting with human sequences. On the other hand, we looked for hits in several measles virus strains in order to make sure the trigger sequences were conserved, so that the designed riboswitches would be able to recognize many of the different strains.

The potential improvement in sequence composition found using molecular dynamics has not been added to the program. Yet, due to its open-source nature, these modifications can be easily introduced retroactively, through the Github repository, when more robust data supports the importance of these positions in detection effectiveness.

Toehold was used as part of our 2019 iGEM project to design switches that could detect phages and bacterial components used for *in vitro* and proof of concept tests, as well as switches for human viruses. Additional tests were run on the outputs of the designs to validate the program. First, the secondary structure of all the riboswitches candidates for the seven targets were computed using NUPACK and all of them presented a similar structure to the one we characterized from Green et al. [7]. Therefore, we expect them to behave in a similar way *in vitro*. Their free energies were also recomputed and are presented in figure 4B. All switches have a negative energy that predicts they should favor the bound state to the target. In addition, of all the candidate switches produced, around 70% and up were a perfect match to the target, meaning Toehold effectively suggested switches that would theoretically recognize their appropriate target. Altogether, the software consistently produced candidate switches that are within the defined sequence and structural restrictions and that should recognize their target, all of it in an easy-to-use format. These toehold switches were submitted to the iGEM registry as parts (Table S3).

4.2 Comparison with different approaches

Although the study of riboswitches is currently somewhat limited to proof-of-concept studies, *in silico* approaches have been widely explored for prediction of riboswitches performance both from sequence information alone [29,30] and structural features [31]. However, despite the many possibilities and applications that toehold switches offer, far fewer studies have focused on the *in silico* design of these tools specifically ([16], [17]). The lack of high-throughput datasets on experimentally tested toeholds makes it difficult to understand what affects their performance and how it can be improved. Therefore, our open-source software, in addition to allowing the high-throughput effective design of toehold switches, provides a global idea of their dynamics and operation. Besides its simplicity in terms of design, we have provided an *in silico* validation, which ensures an effective and working design.

4.3 Limitations

The limitations of Toehold reside in its fully *in silico* approach. Our computations may over-regard sequence requirements that could only be discovered by extensive *in vitro* experiments. Very few datasets of such nature are currently available, and we were unable to complete these experiments on the switches we designed for the 2019 iGEM competition, due to time constraints. Questions also remain on the optimal physio-chemical conditions to use toehold switches. Our *in silico* models and validation use standard conditions, in part limited by the programs, that may not reflect the way switches may want to be used. Certainly, the conditions are a cornerstone in the control of these tools since natural riboswitches can detect concentrations of small ligands (reviewed in [1]), but are sensitive to changes in temperature [32] or pH-value [33] which can be a limitation if conditions are no longer controlled, reducing their potential applications in very different systems. However, toehold switches address some other limitations of earlier riboregulator designs as low dynamic range, orthogonality, and programmability, since these RNA-based molecules exhibit more kinetically and thermodynamically favorable states by incorporating linear - linear interactions instead of loop-loop and loop-linear interactions [7]. This reflects the need for high throughput experimental screening to accompany *in silico* studies. However, our software provides a first step to facilitate high-throughput toehold switch design, production and testing. Future studies could use it as a stepping stone to provide more in-depth characterization of these promising molecular regulators and therefore, to overcome their limitations.

4.4 Applications and 2019 iGEM project

Due to their adaptability, toehold switches offer great possibilities of applications. As part of the 2019 iGEM competition, we presented the project A.D.N. (Airborne Detector for Nucleic acids), which takes advantage of this technology to create a biosensor that detects airborne pathogens (see Team iGEM ULaval 2019 wiki: <https://2019.igem.org/Team:ULaval>). Riboswitches were used as the sensing component of a modular device designed to sample air, extract ribonucleotides and prepare samples via microfluidics, as well as perform detection through fluorescence measurements. The combination of toehold switches with optical detection offers great practicality and target versatility.

5. Conclusions

The development of synthetic biology and the numerous molecular systems requires the parallel coupling of bioinformatics tools that facilitate their easy handling and implementation. Our open-source software, Toehold, aims to facilitate the automated *in silico* design of toehold riboswitches and the selection of switch candidates for a target gene. Furthermore, by using molecular dynamics simulations, we identified the nucleotides in the hairpin of a reference toehold switch whose hydrogen bonds fluctuate the most. These could be potential targets to modify when polishing the design of these riboswitches. Increasing switches efficacy will likely contribute to their integration into broader applications of toehold-based technologies.

6. Acknowledgments

Special thanks to all the other members of the iGEM ULaval 2019 team (Catherine Marois, Elodie Gillard, Florian Echelard, Guillaume Fournier, Jean-Michel Proulx, Julien Roy, Karine Bouchard, Lucas Germain, Marianne Côté, Martine Voisine, Nastaran Khodaparastasarabad, Ahmed Mataich), including our professors and mentors (Hélène Deveau, Michel Guertin, Steve Charette). Team iGEM ULaval 2019 would also like to thank our partners who funded this project and covered participation fees for the competition (<https://2019.igem.org/Team:ULaval>). Funding sources had no direct involvement in study design or publication of this project.

7.Data availability

All data are available in the Supplementary materials.

8.Declarations of competing interests

None.

9.References

- [1] Findeiß S, Etzel M, Will S, Mörl M, Stadler PF. Design of Artificial Riboswitches as Biosensors. *Sensors* 2017;17(9).
- [2] Winkler W, Nahvi A, Breaker RR. Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression. *Nature* 2002;419:952–6.
- [3] Gupta A, Swati D. Riboswitches in Archaea. *Comb Chem High Throughput Screen* 2019;22:135–49.
- [4] Sudarsan N, Barrick JE, Breaker RR. Metabolite-binding RNA domains are present in the genes of eukaryotes. *RNA* 2003;9:644–7.
- [5] Mandal M, Breaker RR. Adenine riboswitches and gene activation by disruption of a transcription terminator. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2004;11:29–35.
- [6] Garst AD, Edwards AL, Batey RT. Riboswitches: structures and mechanisms. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2011;3.
- [7] Green AA, Silver PA, Collins JJ, Yin P. Toehold switches: de-novo-designed regulators of gene expression. *Cell* 2014;159:925–39.
- [8] Magro L, Jacquelin B, Escadafal C, Garneret P, Kwasiborski A, Manuguerra J-C, et al. Paper-based RNA detection and multiplexed analysis for Ebola virus diagnostics. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:1347.
- [9] Park S, Lee JW. Detection of Coronaviruses Using RNA Toehold Switch Sensors. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021;22.
- [10] Ma D, Shen L, Wu K, Diehnelt CW, Green AA. Low-cost detection of norovirus using paper-based cell-free systems and synbody-based viral enrichment. *Synth Biol* 2018;3:ysy018.
- [11] Chau THT, Lee EY. Development of cell-free platform-based toehold switch system for detection of IP-10 mRNA, an indicator for acute kidney allograft rejection diagnosis. *Clin Chim Acta* 2020;510:619–24.
- [12] Green AA, Kim J, Ma D, Silver PA, Collins JJ, Yin P. Complex cellular logic computation using ribocomputing devices. *Nature* 2017;548:117–21.
- [13] Blount KF, Breaker RR. Riboswitches as antibacterial drug targets. *Nat Biotechnol* 2006;24:1558–64.
- [14] Nshogozabahizi JC, Aubrey KL, Ross JA, Thakor N. Applications and limitations of regulatory RNA elements in synthetic biology and biotechnology. *J Appl Microbiol* 2019;127:968–84.
- [15] Ausländer S, Fussenegger M. Toehold gene switches make big footprints. *Nature* 2014;516:333–4.

- [16] Zadeh JN, Steenberg CD, Bois JS, Wolfe BR, Pierce MB, Khan AR, et al. NUPACK: Analysis and design of nucleic acid systems. *J Comput Chem* 2011;32:170–3.
- [17] To AC-Y, Chu DH-T, Wang AR, Li FC-Y, Chiu AW-O, Gao DY, et al. A comprehensive web tool for toehold switch design. *Bioinformatics* 2018;34:2862–4.
- [18] Serra MJ, Turner DH. Predicting thermodynamic properties of RNA. *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 259, Academic Press; 1995, p. 242–61.
- [19] Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003;31:3406–15.
- [20] Dirks RM, Pierce NA. A partition function algorithm for nucleic acid secondary structure including pseudoknots. *J Comput Chem* 2003;24:1664–77.
- [21] Popena M, Szachniuk M, Antczak M, Purzycka KJ, Lukasiak P, Bartol N, et al. Automated 3D structure composition for large RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;40:e112.
- [22] Purzycka KJ, Popena M, Szachniuk M, Antczak M, Lukasiak P, Blazewicz J, et al. Chapter One - Automated 3D RNA Structure Prediction Using the RNAComposer Method for Riboswitches1. In: Chen S-J, Burke-Aguero DH, editors. *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 553, Academic Press; 2015, p. 3–34.
- [23] Chen VB, Arendall WB 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 2010;66:12–21.
- [24] Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *J Comput Chem* 2008;29:1859–65.
- [25] Lee J, Cheng X, Swails JM, Yeom MS, Eastman PK, Lemkul JA, et al. CHARMM-GUI Input Generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER, OpenMM, and CHARMM/OpenMM Simulations Using the CHARMM36 Additive Force Field. *J Chem Theory Comput* 2016;12:405–13.
- [26] Phillips JC, Braun R, Wang W, Gumbart J, Tajkhorshid E, Villa E, et al. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J Comput Chem* 2005;26:1781–802.
- [27] Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph* 1996;14:33–8, 27–8.
- [28] Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;10:421.
- [29] Barrick JE. Predicting riboswitch regulation on a genomic scale. *Methods Mol Biol* 2009;540:1–13.
- [30] Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25:1713–1713.
- [31] Barash D, Gabdank I. Energy minimization methods applied to riboswitches: a perspective and challenges. *RNA Biol* 2010;7:90–7.
- [32] Narberhaus F. Translational control of bacterial heat shock and virulence genes by temperature-sensing mRNAs. *RNA Biol* 2010;7:84–9.
- [33] Nechooshtan G, Elgrably-Weiss M, Sheaffer A, Westhof E, Altuvia S. A pH-responsive riboregulator. *Genes Dev* 2009;23:2650–62.