## Materials and Methods

## Data availability

The large-scale Cell Painting datasets used in this paper are publicly available and their details and locations are described in publications (gene overexpression dataset ${ }^{14}$ and compound dataset ${ }^{15}$ ). RNA-sequencing data have been deposited into the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; accession number pending).

## Code availability

The code used in this study is available at https://github.com/broadinstitute/GeneCompoundlmaging. It is available for use under the BSD 3-clause license, a permissive open-source license.

## Cell line and DNA construct availability

Cell lines and DNA constructs are available from the laboratories that performed the experiments using them, or where restricted by licensing, from commercial sources.

## Research animals

Boerckel lab: Mouse experiments were conducted in compliance with all relevant regulations. All animal experiments were performed at the University of Pennsylvania under IACUC review and in compliance with IACUC protocol \#806482.

## Feature set alignment

As each experiment was analyzed by a slightly different CellProfiler pipeline, and also the phenotype of the negative controls are quite different (Figure 1b), an extra data preprocessing step is needed to make the feature sets comparable. To achieve this, we first took the intersection of features in the two datasets, which resulted in 605 features ( 1399 features in the genetic screen, without any feature selection; and 729 features in the compound screen, obtained using the findCorrelation with threshold of 0.90 on the original 1,783 dimensional feature set). In order to compare values of the corresponding features across experiments, each feature is standardized (mean-centered and scaled by standard deviation) with respect to the negative control. This was done platewise based on the mean and standard deviation of the controls at profile level for the compound dataset. The normalization parameters are slightly different for the genetic screen, where median and median absolute deviation (MAD) are used instead, to remove the outlier effects ${ }^{14}$. The code repository for all the analyses are publicly available as described in Code Availability.

## Scoring gene-compound connections

We use Pearson correlation on aligned profiles of a gene and compound to score their connection. The profiles are obtained by averaging the replicate profiles feature-wise. We empirically found that an absolute score value greater than 0.35 indicates similar/opposite phenotypes in the gene and compound and used this for validation experiments. For the follow-up experiments of a gene, unless otherwise noted, we used a more stringent filter of 0.40 and picked the top 15 bioactive compounds that are positively correlated to the gene profile, and also 15 most negatively correlated ones. For the diversity-oriented-synthesis compounds in the set which are much less studied, we do the same, except that the top 30 in both directions are picked.

## Compound annotations

Compound MOAs and target annotations were mainly acquired from the "Repurposing hub"49 and then curated to include missing annotations from other sources, such as DrugBank ${ }^{50}$. This results in 747 compounds annotated with the gene(s) that they target. The protein interaction data, which was used to assess relevance of a protein to compound targets, was collected from BioGRID ${ }^{51}$.

## Enrichment p-value estimation

We estimate the p -values of candidate compound list enrichment empirically, by counting the number of valid connections in the list, and ranking it against a null distribution. The null distribution is defined as the same count for random lists of the same size as the original list, and is sampled many times. The p-value estimation is repeated many times and the final estimation is obtained by averaging the individual estimates.

## Enrichment Analysis Plot

We follow the same logic as the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) ${ }^{52}$. The set of gene-compound pairs are sorted based on their profile correlations on the x-axis. On the y-axis, the plot goes up by a certain fixed amount if the corresponding gene-compound is a valid pair. Otherwise, the plot goes down by the same fixed amount. Scanning the x-axis from left to right, early existence of abundant valid pairs results in a rapid jump of the plot, and illustrates higher enrichment of profile correlation for being indicative of biological relevance between the gene-compound pair.

## SMAD3 experiments

For SMAD3 compounds, given a limit of 10 compounds to study, we chose the top five positive matches and the top two negative matches (which were somewhat cytotoxic based on cell count in the Cell Painting assay), along with three additional negative matches (among the top 15) which were less cytotoxic. One was unavailable.

A549 lung carcinoma cells were transfected with the luciferase reporter plasmids 4xSBE-Luc to measure TGF-b/Smad3-activated transcription ${ }^{53}$ and pRL-TK (low expressing, constitutively active Renilla luciferase under the HSV-thymidine kinase promoter) (Promega cat\# E224A) to normalize for the 4xSBE Firefly luciferase values. The transfected cell lysates were processed for luciferase assays as described ${ }^{56}$ and per manufacturer's protocol (Promega). In brief, the cells were seeded in 24-well plates at $80 \%$ confluency and, after adhering, the media was changed to growth or starvation media (RPMI-1640 with $10 \%$ or $2 \%$ FBS respectively) for 6 hours. The cells were then transfected with $200 \mathrm{ng} 4 x$ SBE-Luc and 100ng RI-Tk-Luc reporter plasmids per well using Lipofectamine 2000 per manufacturer recommendations (Thermo Fisher cat\# 11668019). 12 hours after transfection cells were treated for 24 hours with $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ TGF- $\beta 1$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SB431542 to inhibit TGF-b-induced Smad activation, and either of 9 compounds at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in triplicate. All cells were harvested with $200 \mu$ l of passive lysis buffer (Promega). Luciferase assays were performed using a Dual-Luciferase assay kit (Promega), and luciferase activities were quantified with a SpectraMax M5 plate luminometer (Molecular Devices) and normalized to the internal Renilla luciferase control and DMSO control.

## Ras experiments

Isogenic RAS-less mouse embryonic fibroblast cell lines driven by human KRAS4b G12D, HRAS WT, or BRAF V600E alleles were plated in 384-well plates and dosed with compound or DMSO 18 hours later using an Echo acoustic liquid handler in a 10 point, 2 -fold dilution in $0.2 \%$ DMSO, with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as the top concentration. After 72 hours, Promega CellTiter-Glo® reagent was added, and the signal was read using Envision software.

Values were normalized using day zero and DMSO control readings. Hits were determined by a one log difference in IC50 values between BRAF V600E and RAS-driven cell line responses.

## Casein-kinase 1 alpha experiments

CSNK1A1 enzymatic assays were performed by mobility shift assay using the Labchip EZ Reader II (Perkin Elmer). GST-tagged human CSNK1A1 (Carna Biosciences) protein was incubated with ATP, substrate, and assay buffer ( 20 mM Hepes - $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, and $0.01 \%$ Triton X-100). The assay reaction was initiated with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, 2 mM DTT, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Profiler Pro FL-Peptide 16 substrate (Perkin Elmer). Curve fitting and determination of AC50 values for phosphorylation inhibition were performed using Genedata.

## GSK3B experiments

The compounds with a Cell Painting profile matching or opposing GSK3 overexpression were tested against GSK3 $\alpha$ and GSK3 $\beta$ as previously reported ${ }^{54}$. Purified GSK3 $\beta$ or GSK3 $\alpha$ was incubated with tested compounds in the presence of $4.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of ATP (at or just below Km to study competitive inhibitors) and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide substrate (Peptide 15, Caliper) for 60 minutes at room temperature in 384-well plates (Seahorse Bioscience) in assay buffer that contained 100 mM HEPES ( pH 7.5 ), $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.004 \%$ Tween-20, and $0.003 \%$ Brij-35. Reactions were terminated with the addition of 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Substrate and product were separated electrophoretically, and fluorescence intensity of the substrate and product was determined by Labchip EZ Reader II (Perkin Elmer). The kinase activity was measured as percent conversion to product. The reactions were performed in duplicate for each sample. The positive control, CHIR99021, was included in each plate and used to scale the data in conjunction with "in-plate" DMSO controls. The results were analyzed by Genedata Assay Analyzer. The percent inhibition was plotted against the compound concentration, and the IC50 value was determined from the logistic dose-response curve fitting. Values are the average of at least three experiments. Compounds were tested using a 12-point dose curve with 3 -fold serial dilution starting from $33 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The two most active compounds were resynthesized for validation and tested along with closely related analogs (Supplemental Methods).

## p38 experiments

Cell Painting profiles for two wild-type variants of p38a (MAPK14) were averaged to create a p38a Cell Painting profile. 20 compounds whose Cell Painting profile correlated positively or negatively to that of p38a overexpression were selected; we also chose 14 "non-correlated" compounds (i.e. absolute value of correlation <0.2) as negative/neutral controls. The compounds were tested for their influence on p38 activity using the RPE1-p38 kinase translocation reporter (KTR) line that was previously generated ${ }^{24}$. This cell line has been tested and confirmed to be negative for mycoplasma contamination, but not authenticated. p38 activity is measured by phosphorylation of its substrate, MEF2C, which is preferentially phosphorylated by p38a, while p38 $\beta$ and p38 contribute less ${ }^{55}$. RPE1-p38KTR cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ Fetal Bovine Serum at 37C in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \%$ CO2. 1000 cells were plated per well in 96 -well plates and treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each compound ( $n=4$ well per concentration per compound, no replicates) for 48 hours. Only the middle 60 wells were used to prevent potential confounds from the edge effect. Cells were then fixed in $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 10 min , followed by permeabilization in cold methanol at -20 C for 5 min . Cells were stained with $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ Alexa Fluor 647 carboxylic acid, succinimidyl ester for 2 hr at RT, followed by $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ DAPI for 10 min at RT to facilitate the segmentation of individual cells.
p38 activity in single cells was calculated using the ratio of the median intensity of the p38-KTR in a 5 -pixel-wide cytoplasmic ring around the nucleus to the median intensity of the p38-KTR in the nucleus. p38
activity measurements were normalized to DMSO within the same plate and column. The Student's t-test or Kolomogorov-Smirnov (KS) test was used to assess the significance of changes in the single cell distributions of p38 activity for each compound relative to control; we note that even for the positive control known inhibitor the effect sizes are small. When reporting hits from the assay, KS test and t-test p-values were adjusted to control the false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg method, using the p.adjust(method='BH") method in R.

## PPARGC1A (PGC-1a) experiments

Reporter assays: To measure PGC-1 $\alpha$ activity related to PPARG, RT112/84 cells were obtained from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA), which obtained them from the original source and performed cell line authentication. The cell line was engineered with the NanoLuc gene cloned into the 3' UTR of the FABP4 (previously described ${ }^{31}$ ) followed by stable expression of nuclear GFP (pTagGFP2-H2B, Evrogen) and tested negative for mycoplasma (MycoAlert, Lonza). Cells were plated in 384-well plates at $\sim 10,000$ cells/well and dosed with indicated compounds in the absence or presence of EC50 of PPARG agonist, rosiglitazone, using an HP D300 digital dispenser. The following day nuclei were counted for normalization (IncuCyte S3, Essen Bioscience) and the reporter activity was evaluated using the NanoGlo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Normalized data is reported as NanoGlo arbitrary light units divided by cell number. PPARG agonist, rosiglitazone, and inhibitor, T0070907, were obtained from Tocris and included as controls.

To measure effects on PGC1a/ERRalpha, HEK293T cells purchased from ATCC were co-transfected with Gal4-ERRalpha, with and without PGC1a (pCDNA3.1-Flag-HA-PGC-1alpha ${ }^{56}$ ), kind gifts from Pere Puigserver, in combination with the Gal4 UAS reporter construct, pGL4.35 [luc2P/9XGAL4UAS/Hygro] (Promega) modified by subcloning the HSV-TK promoter into the unique HindIII site that is downstream of the 9xGal4 UAS sites, in addition to a Renilla luciferase expression vector pRL (Promega) for normalization. Cells were dosed with compounds and 24 hours later, plates were analyzed using Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Normalized light units are reported as Firefly luciferase divided by Renilla luciferase. ERRalpha modulators XCT790, Daidzein, and Biochanin A (Cayman Chemical) were included as controls. 293T cells were not authenticated nor tested for mycoplasma.

High content mitochondrial motility screen: We used our previously published assay to assess mitochondrial motility ${ }^{34}$. Briefly, we plated E18 rat cortical neurons in the middle 60 wells of 96 well plates (Greiner) - 40,000 cells per well in $150 \mu$ l enriched Neurobasal media. Neurons were transfected with mito-DsRed at DIV7 using Lipofectamine2000 (Life Technologies). Plating and transfection were all done using an Integra VIAFLO 96/384 automated liquid handler. At DIV9, test compounds were added into wells to achieve a final concentration of 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ each ( 4 wells per compound), as well at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcimycin for neg. control, and DMSO only for mock treatment. Following a 1-2 hour incubation, plates were imaged on a ArrayScan XTI (Thermo Fisher). Mitochondrial motility data was extrapolated from imaging data using a MATLAB and CellProfiler based computational pipeline. Compounds A01-A12 were tested on one plate; B01-B11 were tested separately on another plate on the same day. The experiment was repeated twice in different weeks. In the second week, TMRE was added to all wells after imaging was completed ( 20 min , then 2 washes) and imaged to measure mitochondrial membrane potential in order to determine mitochondrial and cell health.

## YAP1-related compounds

For the initial experiments, quality control of the compounds revealed that purity was $88 \%$ for A 15 (BRD-K34692511-001-01-9), 81\% for A05 (BRD-K28862419-001-01-9), and > 99\% for E07
(BRD-K43796186-001-01-1). For subsequent experiments in the Eisinger lab, BRD-K43796186 (NB4A) was ordered from MuseChem (cat. \#M189943) and for the Kiessling lab, from Ambinter (Cat \# Amb2554311).

## YAP1 cell culture and treatments

Eisinger lab: Murine KP230 cells, a Yap1-dependent cancer cell line, were derived from a tumor from the KP mouse model (Kras ${ }^{\text {G12/D }}$; $\operatorname{Trp} 53^{f / f / f}$ ), as described $\mathrm{in}^{43}$. STS-109 UPS cells were derived from a human UPS tumor and validated by Rebecca Gladdy, MD (Sinai Health System, Toronto, Ontario, Canada). TC32 cells were a gift from Patrick Grohar, MD, PhD (Children's Hospital of Philadelphia). HT-1080, HCT-116, and HEK293T cells were purchased from ATCC. KP230, HT-1080, and HEK-293T cells were grown in DMEM with $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, and 1\% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S). STS-109 cells were cultured in DMEM with $20 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, and 1\% P/S. TC32 cells were grown in RPMI with $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, and $1 \%$ P/S. HCT-116 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium with $10 \%$ FBS and $1 \%$ P/S. All cells were confirmed to be negative for mycoplasma contamination and were maintained in an incubator at 37C with 5\% $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. For experimental purposes, cells were cultured for up to 20 passages before being discarded, and were grown to approximately 50\% confluence to circumvent the effects of high cell density on Yap1 expression and activity. All cell lines in the Eisinger laboratory were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each inhibitor or an equivalent volume of DMSO every 24 hours for 3 days, except for STS-109 cells, which were treated daily for 8 days.

Kiessling lab: H9 hPSCs (WiCell) were maintained on vitronectin (Thermo Fisher)-coated plates in Essential 8 (E8) medium. The cells were routinely passaged using 0.5 mM EDTA and treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Y-27632 dihydrochloride (Tocris) on the first day. For testing the effects of the small molecules, H9 hPSCs were seeded at 50 K cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ on vitronectin-coated plates in E8 medium supplemented with $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Y}-27632$ dihydrochloride (day 0 ). On day 1 , the medium was switched to E8 medium. On day 2, the medium was switched to E8 medium supplemented with the small molecules. Following overnight incubation, the cells were collected for subsequent analysis on day 3. The cells were regularly checked for Mycoplasma contaminations (Sigma Aldrich - Lookout Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit) but were not authenticated.

Boerckel lab: Murine periosteal cells were isolated from a transgenic mouse model (CMV-Cre;R26R-rtTA ${ }^{\text {fi }}$ tetO-YAP ${ }^{\text {S127A. }}$ C57BI/6 strain/background) in which YAP1 can be activated in a doxycycline inducible manner (Camargo 2011). This mouse model expresses a mutant form of YAP1 (YAP ${ }^{\text {S127A }}$ ) that escapes degradation. Cells were isolated from 3 female mice (age 15 weeks) from a 4-day old femoral fracture callus. Cells were cultured in a-MEM with 15\% Fetal Bovine serum (S11550, R\&D Systems), 1\% GlutaMAX-I (Gibco, 35050-061) and 1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140-122).

## YAP1-related lentiviral production

Knockdown of YAP1 in HCT-116 cells was performed with shRNAs (TRC clone IDs: TRCN0000107266 and TRCN0000107267); a scrambled shRNA was used as a negative control. shRNA plasmids (Dharmacon) were packaged using the third-generation lentiviral vector system (pVSV-G, pMDLG, and pRSV-REV; Addgene) and expressed in HEK-293T cells using Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Promega). Virus-containing supernatants were collected 24 and 48 hours after transfection and concentrated 40 -fold by centrifugation with polyethylene glycol 8000.

## YAP1-related proliferation assays

NB4A treatment: Cells were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each inhibitor or an equivalent volume of DMSO every 24 hours for 3-8 days, and counted with a hemocytometer with trypan blue exclusion daily (KP230, HT-1080, TC32, HCT-116), or every 2 days (STS-109).
shRNA-mediated YAP1 knockdown: HCT-116 cells were infected with YAP1 shRNA-encoding lentiviruses in the presence of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ polybrene (Sigma). Antibiotic selection ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ puromycin) was performed after 48 hours, after which cells were cultured for an additional 48 hours. Cells were then trypsinized, seeded under puromycin-selection conditions, and counted with a hemocytometer with trypan blue exclusion on days 7,8 , and 9 post-infection.

## YAP1-related qRT-PCR

For the Eisinger lab, total RNA from cultured cells was isolated with the QIAGEN RNeasy mini kit, and cDNA was synthesized with the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Life Technologies). qRT-PCR analysis was performed with TaqMan "best coverage" probes on a ViiA7 instrument. Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) and succinate dehydrogenase subunit A (SDHA) were used as endogenous controls. Relative expression was calculated using the ddCt method.

For the Kiessling lab, the RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and Direct-zol ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research) as per manufacturer instructions. The RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). The qPCR was performed on CFX Connect (Bio-Rad) using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). GAPDH was used as a reference gene for normalization. The relative gene expression levels were determined using the ddCt method. The primer sequences used are listed in Supplementary Table S9.

For the Boerckel lab, to induce $\mathrm{YAP}^{\mathrm{S} 127 \mathrm{~A}}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ doxycycline was added to the cell culture medium for 48 hours. This was used as a positive control to compare YAP1 mRNA expression. Cells were also treated with BRD-K34692511-001-01-9 at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. mRNA was isolated from cells ( $\mathrm{n}=3 /$ group/time point) at 1,4 or 48 hours after treatment using Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, 74106). cDNA was prepared as per the manufacturer's protocol using the High-Capacity Reverse Transcription kit (Thermofisher scientific, 4368814). qPCR analysis was performed using the QuantStudio 6 Pro Real-Time PCR System.

## YAP1-related reporter assay

Varelas lab: HEK293T cells purchased from ATCC were co-transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher) with a TEAD luciferase reporter construct, 8xGTIIC-luciferase (gift from Stefano Piccolo, Addgene plasmid \# 34615), a plasmid expressing Renilla Luciferase from a CMV promoter as a transfection control, along with a plasmid expressing 3xFlag-tagged wild-type YAP1 from a CMV promoter (pCMV5 backbone). Following transfection the cells were immediately treated with $0.2 \%$ DMSO, 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ NB4A, BRD-K34692511 or BRD-K28862419 and then lysed 48 hours later. Lysates were examined using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol and measured using a SpectraMax iD3 plate reader (Molecular Devices). Firefly Luciferase activity from the TEAD reporter was normalized to Renilla Luciferase activity and then plotted as relative values. Mycoplasma tests are routinely performed, but cells were not recently authenticated.

## YAP1-related RNA-sequencing and data analysis

Total RNA from cultured cells was isolated with the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit with on-column DNase digestion. RNA quality checks were performed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Eukaryotic Total RNA Nano kit). Library preparation ( 500 ng input RNA) was performed with the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (\#E7490) with SPRIselect Beads (Beckman Coulter), the NEBNext Ultra II Single-End RNA Library Prep kit (\#7775S), and the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (Index Primers Set 1) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Library size was confirmed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (DNA1000 chip). Pooled libraries were diluted to 1.8 pM (concentrations checked with the Qubit Fluorometer high-sensitivity assay, Thermo Fisher), and sequenced on an Illumina NexSeq 500 instrument with the NexSeq 50075 -cycle high-output kit.

For data analysis, FASTQ files were generated with the bcl2fastq command line program (Illumina). Transcript alignment was performed with Salmon ${ }^{57}$. Differential expression analysis (NB4A-vs. DMSO-treated cells) was performed with the DESeq2 R package. DESeq2 "stat" values for each gene were used as inputs to pre-ranked GSEA, where enrichment was tested against the Hallmark gene sets from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). Access to sequencing data is discussed in the data availability section.

## YAP1-related Western blotting

For the Kiessling lab, the cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Pierce) supplemented with Halt Protease inhibitor cocktail and Halt Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher). The Eisinger lab lysed cells in hot Tris-SDS buffer ( pH 7.6 ) and boiled for 5 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The protein concentration of each sample was quantified using the Pierce BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher). The proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system (Bio-Rad). The membranes were blocked in $5 \%$ non-fat milk in TBS-T for up to 1 hour at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies in $5 \%$ bovine serum albumin in TBS-T overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies at 1:10000 (Kiessling lab; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, \#111-035-003) or 1:2500 (Eisinger lab; Cell Signaling Technology [CST] \#7074) for 1 hour at RT and developed in the ChemiDoc MP Imaging system (Kiessling lab) or on autoradiography film (Eisinger lab) using ECL Prime reagent (Amersham). The band intensities in immunoblots were quantified with Image Lab software. The primary antibodies and dilutions used are: anti-YAP1 (CST 4912S and CST 14074 [clone D8H1X]) at 1:1000, anti-phospho-YAP1-S127 (CST 4911S) at 1:1000, and anti-GAPDH (CST 5174 and CST 2118 [clone 14C10]) at 1:15000 and 1:1000, respectively. Primary antibodies were validated commercially in cells both wild-type and deficient (e.g., knockout) for the gene/protein of interest. YAP1-related immunofluorescence and image analysis

For the Eisinger lab, cells grown on poly-L-lysine-coated chamber slides were fixed in 4\% PFA (15 minutes at room temperature), permeabilized with $0.5 \%$ Triton-X100/PBS ( 15 minutes at room temperature), and blocked with $5 \%$ goat serum (Vector Laboratories S-1000; 1 hour at room temperature). Cells were then incubated with anti-Yap1 primary antibodies (CST \#14074 [clone D8H1X]; 1:1000) diluted in blocking buffer overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibodies ( $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{mL}$ in blocking buffer; Thermo Fisher Scientific \#A-11008) for 1 hour at room temperature. Coverslip mounting was performed with ProLong Gold Antifade reagent with DAPI. Images ( 5 fields per condition for each of 3 independent experiments) were acquired with a Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope and Nikon NES Elements software. Image analysis was performed with Fiji as follows: For nuclear staining intensity, watershed analysis of DAPI channel images (8-bit) was performed to "separate" nuclei that appeared to be touching. Nuclei were then converted to regions of interest (ROIs) that were "applied" to the corresponding GFP channel image (8-bit format). Analysis of staining intensity in these nuclear ROIs was then performed, excluding objects smaller than 100 pixels $^{2}$ (integrated density normalized to number of nuclei). A similar process was followed to
determine whole-cell staining intensity: using 8-bit GFP channel images, cells were distinguished from background via thresholding, and converted to ROIs that were applied back to the 8-bit GFP channel images. Analysis of staining intensity (integrated density normalized to number of nuclei) was then performed in these ROIs, excluding objects smaller than 500 pixels ${ }^{2}$. The ratio of nuclear to total Yap1 expression was determined after subtracting out background GFP signal from no-primary antibody controls.

For the Kiessling lab, the cells were fixed with $4 \%$ formaldehyde for 15 mins at room temperature. The cells were permeabilized and blocked with PBS containing $2 \%$ BSA and $0.1 \%$ Triton-X100. The cells were incubated with a primary antibody against YAP1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-101199) at 1:200 dilution in a blocking buffer overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the cells were incubated with a goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher, \#A11001) at 1:1000 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature. The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI dilactate (Molecular Probes). Images were collected with Olympus FV1200 microscope and analyzed with CellProfiler. Briefly, nuclei and cell bodies were segmented using DAPI and YAP channels respectively. The cell cytoplasm was determined as the region outside nuclei but within the cell bodies. Then, the ratio of mean intensity of YAP in the nucleus to cytoplasm was calculated to determine YAP translocation.

## Chemical synthesis of BRD4486



We followed prior work ${ }^{58}$. To a solution of the Fmoc-protected intermediate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.140 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 1 mL ) was added diethylamine ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was stirred 2.5 h , and the solvent and excess amine were evaporated.

The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 1 mL ), then formaldehyde ( $37 \%$ aqueous, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 9.4 eq), catalytic acetic acid ( 1 drop), and sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.472 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred 1 h , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to provide the dimethylaniline (70.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield).

A yellow solution of the resulting Alloc-protected amine ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 1,3-dimethyl-barbituric acid ( 200 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.8 \mathrm{eq})$, and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with 0.1 M HCl and stirred 15 min . The layers were separated, and the organic layer was extracted with 0.1 M HCl . The pH of the combined aqueous layers was adjusted to $\sim 12$ with 4 M NaOH , then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$,
filtered, and evaporated to provide the crude free amine ( 52 mg , $91 \%$ yield), which was used directly in the urea formation.

To a solution of the crude secondary amine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and 3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl isocyanate ( 20 mg , $0.145 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in chloroform ( 1 mL ) was added triethylamine ( $16.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to provide BRD4486 ( $46.2 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) as a white solid.

MS: $574.5\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+} ; 572.3[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]\right.$

## Chemical synthesis of BRD4313



We followed prior work ${ }^{59}$. To the aniline intermediate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.247 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in pyridine ( 0.5 mL ) was added propionyl chloride ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.514 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min .

Chloroform ( 0.5 mL ) and 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid ( $385 \mathrm{mg}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added, and, after 5 min , tetrakis(triphenylphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The yellow-green solution was stirred at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $45 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ evolution), then acetyl chloride ( $261 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. After stirring 1 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and poured into 0.1 M HCl . The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatograph ( $2.5-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to provide the product ( 82.4 mg ) in $84 \%$ purity. The material was further purified by mass-directed prep-HPLC $(0-100 \%$ MeCN/water + 0.1\% TFA) to provide BRD4313 ( $54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield over 3 steps) as a tan solid.

MS: $420.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$

## Analog selection for GSK experiments

Compounds for (stereochemical) structure-activity relationship determination were selected from the Broad compound library in the following order until 24 analogs had been reached for each hit:

1) All available stereoisomers of the hit compound
2) Available regioisomers of the hit compound
3) Analogs with high structural similarity (Tanimoto $\geq 0.75$ ) to the hit compound, selected for chemical diversity

Assay plates for each series ( $\mathrm{RCM} / \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~T}$ ) were prepared with 4 on-plate controls plus the hit compound from the opposing series. The controls included 3 dual GSK3 $\alpha / \beta$ inhibitors (CHIR-99021, GW8510, and BRD0320) and one GSK3 $\alpha$-specific inhibitor (BRD0705). All compounds tested are shown below.

BRD4486 (RCM) Series Assay Plate
CorelD

## BRD4313 (H2T) Series Assay Plate

CorelD

## Mobility shift microfluidics assay protocol

We followed previous protocol ${ }^{54}$. Purified GSK3 $\beta$ or GSK3 $\alpha$ was incubated with tested compounds in the presence of 4.3 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of ATP (at or just below Km to study competitive inhibitors) and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide substrate (Peptide 15, Caliper) for 60 minutes at room temperature in 384 -well plates (Seahorse Bioscience) in assay buffer that contained 100 mM HEPES (pH $7.5), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.004 \%$ Tween-20, and $0.003 \%$ Briji-35. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Substrate and product were separated electrophoretically, and fluorescence intensity of the substrate and product was determined by Labchip EZ Reader II (Caliper Life Sciences). The kinase activity was measured as percent conversion. The reactions were performed in duplicate for each sample. The positive control, CHIR99021, was included in each plate and used to scale the data in conjunction with in-plate DMSO controls. The results were analyzed by Genedata Assay Analyzer. The percent inhibition was plotted against the compound concentration, and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was determined from the logistic dose-response curve fitting. Values are the average of at least three experiments. Compounds were tested using a 12-point dose curve with 3 -fold serial dilution starting from $33 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

## Assay Results

| RCM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core ID | GSK3 $\alpha \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}$ | GSK3 $\beta$ IC ${ }_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| BRD-K00760705 | 0.110 | 0.517 |
| BRD-K16189898 | 0.00279 | 0.00254 |
| BRD-K55000304 | 0.0198 | 0.00947 |
| BRD-K87550320 | 0.0110 | 0.00530 |
| BRD-K26994486 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K91354313 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K97242998 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K56373119 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K86938753 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K59522787 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K94445007 | >33 | $>33$ |
| BRD-K23628938 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K36176998 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K94445007 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K10781609 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K34254821 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K65054381 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K09376136 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K12710356 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K96280720 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K07274638 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K18142082 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K07891707 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K26973634 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K57195030 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K71568523 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K72681403 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K61284358 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K18599462 | >33 | >33 |
| BRD-K55276005 | >33 | >33 |

H2T

| Core ID | GSK3 $^{\prime}$ IC $_{50} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | GSK3 $_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ IC $_{50} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRD-K00760705 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8 3}$ |
| BRD-K16189898 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 7 1}$ |
| BRD-K55000304 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 6 9 3}$ |
| BRD-K87550320 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8 0 2}$ |
| BRD-K91354313 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K26994486 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K12299093 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K42803695 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K53538949 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K61699306 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K74022101 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K83538063 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K97378175 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K00411149 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K04403306 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K11844860 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K20077349 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K34274486 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K54036439 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K59865704 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K74198088 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K06631334 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K28720546 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K31377965 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K33863933 | $>33$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8}$ |
| BRD-K44217085 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K48114548 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K58769468 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K76966915 | $>33$ | $>33$ |
| BRD-K80986480 | $>33$ | $>33$ |

## Supplementary Figures:



Supplementary Figure S1: Relationship between detectable Cell Painting profiles and cell proliferation rules out toxicity being a single, dominant phenotype. The $Y$ axis shows the replicate correlation, which is high for compounds that produce detectable morphological phenotypes in the Cell Painting assay. 52\% of the compounds have a replicate correlation higher than the 95th percentile of non-replicate correlations (red line) and thus are considered to have a detectable phenotype. The $X$ axis shows the $z$-score for the sum of DNA content, where higher values represent higher cell proliferation. Although the ratio of low-proliferation samples (left of blue line) with a detectable phenotype ( $30 \%$ vs. $21 \%$ ) is higher than for high-proliferation samples (right of blue line) ( $22 \%$ vs. $26 \%$ ), it is clear that impact on cell proliferation does not explain the majority of detectable morphological phenotypes. This fact is also supported by observing no significant negative correlation between proliferation and replicate correlation.


Supplementary Figure S2: Compounds yielding a low cell count may be toxic or proliferation-impeding but they display many distinguishable phenotypes. Low-cell-proliferation or potentially toxic compounds (with the z-score for the sum of DNA content less than -3) are clustered, and show many different types of toxic phenotype. Various tight clusters mean the assay is specific and has sufficient resolution to distinguish types of toxicity.


Supplementary Figure S3: Predicted compounds impact p38 activity in a single-cell reporter assay. a) The same experiment as shown in Figure 2 is shown here, except using a Kolmogorov-Smirnoff (KS) analysis to detect differences in distribution instead of shifts in the mean. This raises an additional hit, K523. b-i) Single cell distribution plots show the shifts induced, at both $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, by a known inhibitor of p38, SB202190 (b-c), by the two hits from the t-test in Figure $2(d-g)$ and by the hit from the KS test (h-i). Note that the biological effect size here is relatively small, even for the known p38 inhibitor; this is typical for the assay.


Supplementary Figure S4: Certain subpopulations of cells are over- or under-represented when PPARGC1A is overexpressed. Following the procedure described previously ${ }^{14}$ we clustered cells based on their morphological profiles, then identified which subpopulations were (a) over- or (b) under-represented when PPARGC1A is overexpressed. Scale bars $=39.36 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
a
ERRalpha/PGC1a Reporter Gene Activity
Normalized Light Units
b
ERRalpha Reporter Gene Activity Normalized Light Units


Supplementary Figure S5: Compounds predicted to influence pathways containing PGC1a impact an ERRa reporter assay in $293 T$ cells. In this reporter system, a mammalian one-hybrid fusion protein containing the Gal4 DNA binding domain and the ERR alpha ligand binding domain is co-expressed with the Firefly luciferase gene under control of the Gal4 Upstream Activating Sequence. Renilla luciferase was included for normalization. The assay was performed in the presence (a) or absence (b) of ectopically expressed PGC1a; their behavior being similar in these two conditions suggests, but does not prove, that the compounds do not directly target PGC1a but instead modulate other targets in the relevant pathway, consistent with having been discovered by the morphological matching approach which assesses impact on the cell system rather than a particular desired target.


Supplementary Figure S6: Predicted compounds impact a mitochondrial motility assay in rat cortical neurons. (a) For most compounds, the integrated distance traveled for each motile mitochondrion (the length of travel, or the sum of all movements, including changes in direction) is comparable to the negative control (Mock), but a few (A01, A06, A10, A11, B03, and B04) consistently have a z-score >3, as does the positive control, Calcimycin, a calcium ionophore that arrests mitochondria ${ }^{60}$. Two separate experiments are plotted (week 1 in blue and week 2 in red), and the values are the Z-prime factor of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic calculated for each compound. The red line indicates the median +- 95\% confidence interval. (b) Mean values of the mitochondrial distance; these are the values that underlie the statistical analysis in (a). The red line indicates the median +- 95\% confidence interval. (c) The average intensity of TMRE reflects the mitochondrial membrane potential, a measure of mitochondrial function. Boxplots show the median and 25th/75th percentiles, with whiskers showing the most extreme observation less than or equal to the upper hinge + 1.5 * inter-quartile range. Interestingly, A01, A06 and A11 all show normal levels of TMRE staining, suggesting a specific effect on mitochondrial motility rather than a more general decrease in neuronal or mitochondrial health. This cannot be said for B03 and B04 (and A10 to a lesser extent), which apparently reduce membrane potential, although additional validation with TMRE is needed to conclude that they are in fact detrimental to cell health. Of note, four of these compounds were also active in the PPARG reporter assay (Figure 3c): A01 and A11 are structurally related molecules of the pyrazolo-pyrimidine family, 1-Naphthyl-PP1 and PP2, which are Src family kinase inhibitors with additional targets including TGFbeta receptors and others. A06 is Phorbol myristate acetate (aka TPA, PMA). B09 is annotated as an HSP-90 inhibitor CCT-018159. 23 compounds were tested because one of the original 24 tested in Figure 3c became unavailable.


Supplementary Figure S7: Cell Painting images related to the YAP1 pathway in U2OS cells. Top: Cell Painting images for YAP1 overexpression compared to negative control (EMPTY, same image as in Figure 1b). Overexpressing YAP1 produces elongated cells with more cell protrusions, lower RNA staining, and disjoint, bright mitochondria patterns. Bottom: Cell Painting images for the negative control (DMSO, same image as in Figure 1b) and three compounds that correlated negatively or positively to the YAP1 overexpression profile. NB4A (BRD-K43796186) was positively correlated and the other two negatively correlated. Scale bars $=60$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$.


Supplementary Figure S8: Analysis of selected compounds in various YAP-related contexts.
a) Quantification of relative levels of total YAP1 and phospho-YAP1 in H9 hPSCs after treatment with DMSO or NB4A for 24 hours. ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$; ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001$ (Two-tailed student's $t$-test). Mean $\pm S D . n=3$ biologically independent experiments. A representative example western blot is shown in Figure 4c. b) A TEAD luciferase reporter was co-transfected with or without a Yap expression construct into HEK293T cells followed by treatment for 48 hours with DMSO or the indicated compounds, which appear to have no effect. The data shown are the average of three samples within a representative experiment $\pm$ SEM. c-f) BRD-K34692511 upregulates YAP1 and target-gene mRNA levels in murine periosteal cells: c, d) YAP1 and Cyr61 mRNA levels
in murine periosteal cells after 48 hours of treatment with BRD-K34692511 (K34) in the presence or absence of doxycycline-induced YAP ${ }^{\text {S127A. }}$ e, f) YAP1 and Cyr61 mRNA levels after 1 and 4 hours of treatment. Gene expression was evaluated by one and two-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test n=3/group/time-point. * indicates $p<0.05$ compared to untreated controls. g) BRD-K28862419 and BRD-K34692511 did not dramatically impact mRNA levels of Hippo pathway members in hPSCs. Relative transcript levels of YAP1, CTGF, and CYR61 from H9 hPSCs treated with DMSO, BRD-K28862419, or BRD-K34692511 for 24 hrs. Error bars represent mean + SEM, from n=3 independent biological replicates (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparison test).


Supplementary Figure S9: Predicted YAP1-related compounds impact proliferation in a cell type-specific manner. a, b) Growth curves of YAP1-dependent human sarcoma cells ${ }^{41,43}$ treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NB4A or DMSO control. c) Growth curve of HCT-116 colon cancer cells treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NB4A or DMSO control. a-c are not significantly different at any time point (2-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test). $n=3$. Mean $\pm$ SEM. d) Growth curve of HCT-116 cells infected with YAP1-targeting shRNAs or scrambled shRNA control (sh:SCR); no conditions were significantly different at any time point (vs. sh:SCR; 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). $n=3$. Mean $\pm$ SEM. e) Relative YAP1 expression in the cells depicted in panel d ****P<0.0001 vs. sh:SCR (1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). $n=3 . M e a n \pm$ SEM. f) Growth curves of KP230 cells treated with $10 \mu M$ BRD-K28862419, BRD-K34692511, or DMSO control. **P<0.01 vs. DMSO (72 hrs.; 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). $n=2$ Mean $\pm$ SEM. g) Percent viability of KP230 cells depicted in panel $f^{* * P<0.01 ~ v s . ~}$ DMSO (72 hrs.; 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). $n=3$. Mean $\pm$ SEM. For panels $a, b$, $c, f$, and $g$, cells were treated with $10 \mu M$ of the indicated inhibitor daily for 72 hours.

## Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table S1: Top 1\% correlated compound pairs are nearly 4 times more enriched in sharing a common MOA compared to the remainder of pairs. Odds ratio is 3.95 and p-value $<2.2 \times$ $10^{-16}$ in the one sided Fisher's test.
share a common MOA have distinct MOAs
top $1 \%$ connections $161 \quad 4,234$

Supplementary Table S2: Gene-compound pairs which have morphological profiles with absolute correlation of at least 0.35 are around 2.5 more enriched in being relevant and trustworthy compared to the remainder of the pairs. Odds ratio is 2.47 and $p$-value $=0.007$ in the one sided Fisher's test. Note that this table (with 12 successful pairs, top left) considers all gene-compound pairs and reports the enrichment of strongest pairs; while in the case of the six genes reported in the main text, we examine compound matches for each gene.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Gene is among } & \text { Gene is not among } \\
\text { the compound } & \text { the compound } \\
\text { targets } & \text { targets }
\end{array}
$$

$\mid$ corr. $\mid \geq 0.35 \quad 12 \quad 6,587$
|corr. $\mid<0.35$
55
74,559

Supplementary Table S3: Genes sorted based on the relevance of their compound matches.
These genes are spread across various signaling pathways and processes including mTOR, Circadian Rhythm, NF-kB, MAPK, WNT, Cell Cycle, and cytoskeletal reorganization.

|  | Gene symbol | Pathway | adjusted p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AKT1S1 | TOR | 0 |
| 2 | CRY1 | Canonical Circadian Rhythm | 0 |
| 3 | RELB | Canonical NFkB | 0 |
| 4 | CSNK1E | Canonical Circadian Rhythm | 0.0047 |
| 5 | DDIT3 | Canonical ER Stress/UPR | 0.0139 |
| 6 | KRAS | RTK | 0.0234 |
| 7 | RHOA | Canonical Cytoskeletal Re-org | 0.0234 |
| 8 | GSK3B | Canonical WNT | 0.0357 |
| 9 | TCF4 | Canonical WNT | 0.0357 |
| 10 | CCND1 | Canonical Cell Cycle | 0.0415 |


| 11 | MAPK14 | Canonical MAPK | 0.0415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | TRAF5 | Canonical NFkB | 0.0415 |
| 13 | CDKN1A | Canonical Cell Cycle | 0.0605 |
| 14 | PRKCZ | Canonical PKC | 0.0605 |
| 15 | RAF1 | Canonical MAPK | 0.0605 |
| 16 | BRAF | Canonical MAPK | 0.0749 |
| 17 | PRKACA | Canonical PKA | 0.0749 |
| 18 | SMAD4 | Canonical SMAD | 0.0749 |
| 19 | PPARGC1A | Mitochondria Oxidative Phosphorylation | 0.0983 |
| 20 | STK3 | Canonical Hippo | 0.0983 |
| 21 | CDK2 | Canonical Cell Cycle | 0.1235 |
| 22 | DVL3 | Canonical WNT | 0.1235 |


| 23 | MAP3K2 | Canonical MAPK | 0.1235 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | STK11 | Canonical TOR | 0.1235 |
| 25 | TRAF2 | Canonical NFkB | 0.1235 |
| 26 | DIABLO | Canonical Apoptosis | 0.125 |
| 27 | ELK1 | Canonical MAPK | 0.1332 |
| 28 | AKT3 | Canonical PI3K/AKT | 0.1788 |
| 29 | CDC42 | Canonical Cytoskeletal Re-org | 0.1788 |
| 30 | EIF4EBP1 | Canonical TOR | 0.1788 |
| 31 | MLST8 | Canonical TOR | 0.1788 |
| 32 | PTEN | Canonical PI3K/AKT | 0.1788 |
| 33 | RAC1 | Canonical Cytoskeletal Re-org | 0.1788 |
| 34 | SDHA | Canonical Hypoxia | 0.1788 |


| 35 | SMURF2 | Canonical SMAD | 0.1788 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | YAP1 | Canonical Hippo | 0.1788 |
| 37 | NFKB1 | Canonical NFkB | 0.2321 |
| 38 | REL | Canonical NFkB | 0.2321 |
| 39 | TGFB1 | Canonical TGFbeta | 0.2321 |
| 40 | PRKCE | Canonical PKC | 0.2356 |
| 41 | ATF4 | Canonical ER Stress/UPR | 0.3377 |
| 42 | BCL2L11 | Apoptosis | 0.3377 |
| 43 | PIK3R1 | Canonical PI3K/AKT | 0.3377 |
| 44 | AKT1 | Canonical PI3K/AKT | 0.3665 |
| 45 | ARAF | Canonical MAPK | 0.3665 |
| 46 | PRKACG | PKA | 0.3665 |


| 47 | MAP2K4 | Canonical MAPK | 0.3944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | SGK3 | Canonical TOR | 0.3944 |
| 49 | GLI1 | Hedgehog | 0.4041 |
| 50 | GRB10 | Canonical Insulin Receptor Signaling | 0.4115 |
| 51 | JUN | Canonical MAPK | 0.4115 |
| 52 | PKIA | PKA | 0.4115 |
| 53 | RPS6KB1 | Canonical TOR | 0.4115 |
| 54 | RBPJ | NOTCH | 0.4355 |
| 55 | MAP2K3 | Canonical MAPK | 0.4982 |
| 56 | ATF6 | ER Stress/UPR | 0.5447 |
| 57 | SMO | Hedgehog | 0.5447 |
| 58 | XBP1 | Canonical ER Stress/UPR | 0.614 |


| 59 | CEBPA | Transcription Factors | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 60 | FOXO1 | Canonical PI3K/AKT | 1 |
| 61 | HSP90B1 | Canonical ER Stress/UPR | 1 |
| 62 | HSPA5 | Canonical ER Stress/UPR | 1 |
| 63 | SMAD3 |  |  |


| Compound ID | Compound Name | SMILES Structure | GSK3a $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ | GSK3b $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | GSK3a selectivity ratio | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRD-K00760705-001-05-1 | BRD0705 |  | 0.131 | 1.05 | 8.04 | Control |
| BRD-K21263731-001-06-7 | BRD3731 |  | 0.145 | 0.0109 | 0.07 | Control |
| BRD-K87550320-001-04-0 | BRD0320 |  | 0.00828 | 0.00338 | 0.41 | Control |
| BRD-K16189898-001-12-8 | Chiron 99021 | $\mathrm{Cc} 1 \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{nH}] \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{n}$ <br> 1)- <br> c1cnc(NCCN c2ccc(cn2)C \#N)nc1c1ccc(Cl)cc1 Cl | 0.00294 | 0.00176 | 0.60 | Control |
| BRD-K55000304-001-11-9 | GW8510 | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{Nc} 2 \mathrm{ccc}$ 3ncsc3c2\C $1=\mathrm{C} \backslash \mathrm{Nc} 1 \mathrm{ccc}($ cc1)S(=0)(=0 )Nc1cccen1 | 0.00710 | 0.00432 | 0.61 | Control |
| BRD-K59184148-001-23-2 | SB 216763 |  | 0.00424 | 0.00554 | 1.31 | Control |
| BRD-K26994486-001-01-0 |  |  | 2.20 | 35.0 | 15.89 | active GSK3a |
| BRD-K91354313-001-01-6 |  |  | 2.48 | 35.0 | 14.12 | active GSK3a |
| BRD-K02827191-001-02-4 |  |  | 3.80 | 35.0 | 9.21 | active GSK3a |
| BRD-K52043064-001-01-3 |  |  | 3.80 | 35.0 | 9.21 | active GSK3a |
| BRD-A62505706-001-03-1 | Edoxudine | CCc1cn(C2O CC(O)C2CO) c(=0)nc10 | 11.0 | 35.0 | 3.18 | borderline active GSK3a |
| BRD-K50011338-001-01-2 |  |  | 11.0 | 35.0 | 3.18 | borderline active GSK3a |



BRD-K88156935-001-01-8

BRD-K93051667-001-01-8

BRD-K99195544-001-01-6

BRD-A01636364-003-08-6

BRD-A31916785-103-01-0

BRD-K00662280-001-01-1

BRD-K30984264-001-06-2

BRD-K37270826-001-03-7
BRD-K50388907-001-15-5

BRD-K59419204-001-01-9

BRD-K84358317-001-01-2

BRD-K97564742-103-01-9

BRD-K33710385-001-05-4
and



| BRD-K90524085-001-05-2 | MY-5445 | $\mathrm{Clc} 1 \mathrm{cccc}(\mathrm{Nc}$ $2 \mathrm{nnc}(-$ c3 3 ccccc 3 ) c 3 ccccc23)c1 | 50.0 | 33.8 | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRD-K97181089-001-02-7 | Amiloride | $\mathrm{NC}(=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{NC}(=$ O)c1nc(Cl)c( N)nc1N | 75.0 | 75.0 | 1.00 |

Supplementary Table S5: PGC-1 $\alpha$-related compound structures, whose behavior is shown in Figure 3c and Supplementary Figure 5

| Compound ID | Structure | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K6729886 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |  | 4-(4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazol-2yl)benzamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K6783136 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | 5-((7-(benzyloxy)quinazolin-4-yl)amino)-4-fluoro-2methylphenol |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K9325869 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2-chloro-5-nitro-Nphenylbenzamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K6315072 } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | n-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-ylmethyl)- <br> 1,2-dihydro-7-methoxy-2-oxo-8- <br> (pentyloxy)-3- <br> quinolinecarboxamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K1953488 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { methyl 3-(3-(2-(2- } \\ \text { carbamoylphenoxy)acetyl)-2,5- } \\ \text { dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1- } \\ \text { yl)propanoate } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K6550312 } \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-[4-(2,3-dihydro-1,4- } \\ \text { benzodioxin-6-yl)-5-methyl-1H- } \\ \text { pyrazol-3-yl]-6-ethylbenzene-1,3- } \\ \text { diol } \end{gathered}$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K6855212 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |  | 12-O-Tetradecanoylphorbol-13acetate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K9578553 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-(tert-butyl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4amine |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K2954262 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-(tert-Butyl)-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)- <br> 1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4amine |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K0623429 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  | 4-(3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4- <br> yl)quinoline |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K0286200 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $N$-[[(2R,3R)-8-bromo-5-[(2R)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl]-3-methyl-6-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrido[2,3-b][1,5]oxazocin-2-yl]methyl]-2-methoxy- $N$-methylacetamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { A7040746 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-butyl-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-8-(3tricyclo[3.3.1.0 $0^{3,7}$ ]nonanyl)-7 H -purine-2,6-dione |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K7409480 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | (3S)-2-[(S)-tert-butylsulfinyl]-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-[(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-4- (3-pyridin-4-ylphenyl)-1,3-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine-6carboxamide |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K6970575 } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3-chloro-N-((2R,3R)-4-((4-chloroN -methylphenyl)sulfonamido)-3-methoxy-2-methylbutyl)-N-((S)-1-hydroxypropan-2yl)benzenesulfonamide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K1713364 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | N-(((4R,5R)-2-((R)-1- <br> hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methyl-1,1-dioxido-8-(pent-1-yn-1-yl)-2,3,4,5tetrahydrobenzo[b][1,4,5]oxathiazoci n-5-yl)methyl)-3-methoxy-Nmethylbenzenesulfonamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K1044993 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | 3-chloro- $N$-[(2R,3R)-4-[(4-chlorophenyl)sulfonyl-methylamino]-3-methoxy-2-methylbutyl]-N-[(2R)-1-hydroxypropan-2yl]benzenesulfonamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K3545807 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |  | 5-methyl-2-phenyl-4H-pyrazol-3one |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K6528570 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-6-methyl- N -piperidin-1-yl-4 H -indeno[1,2-c] pyrazole-3-carboxamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K1953488 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | methyl 3-[3-[2-(2- <br> carbamoylphenoxy)acetyl]-2,5- <br> dimethylpyrrol-1-yl]propanoate |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K4514247 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | ```N-[(3R,9S,10R)-12-[(2S)-1- hydroxypropan-2-yl]-3,10-dimethyl- 9-(methylaminomethyl)-13-oxo-2,8- dioxa-12- azabicyclo[12.4.0]octadeca-``` |


|  |  | 1(14),15,17-trien-16- <br> yl]cyclohexanecarboxamide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K6822395 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | 3-((4S,5S)-5-(((benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)-2-((R)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methyl-1,1-dioxido-2,3,4,5tetrahydrobenzo[b][1,4,5]oxathiazoci $\mathrm{n}-8$-yl)-N,N-dimethylbenzamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K1430970 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  | (4S,5R)-5- <br> (((cyclopropylmethyl)(methyl)amino <br> ) methyl)-8-(4-((3-fluorophenyl) ethynyl)phenyl)-2-((S)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo [b][1,4,5] oxathiazocine 1,1-dioxide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K4355616 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-[[(10R,11S)-13-[(2R)-1- <br> hydroxypropan-2-yl]-11- methyl-14-oxo-9-oxa-13-azatricyclo[13.4.0.02,7] nonadeca-1(19), 2,4,6,15,17-hexaen-10-yl]methyl]-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-methylurea |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K5960531 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $(2 S, 3 S, 4 R)-1-[2-$ <br> (dimethylamino)acetyl]-4-(hydroxymethyl)-3-[4-(2methoxyphenyl)phenyl] azetidine-2carbonitrile |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { T0070907 } \\ \text { (control) } \end{gathered}$ | C- | 2-chloro-5-nitro-N-(pyridin-4yl)benzamide |

Supplementary Table S6: YAP1-related compound structures

| Compound ID | Structure | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K96698997 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | N-[[(8R,9S)-6-[(2R)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl]-8-methyl-5-oxo-10-oxa-1,6,13,14-tetrazabicyclo[10.2.1]pentadeca12(15), 13-dien-9-yl]methyl]-N-methyl-4-phenoxybenzenesulfonamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K13719685 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 2) } \end{gathered}$ |  | (4S,5R)-5-((dimethylamino)methyl)-2- <br> ((R)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methyl- <br> 8-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)-2,3,4,5- <br> tetrahydrobenzo [b] [1,4,5] oxathiazocine <br> 1,1-dioxide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K34692511 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 3) } \end{aligned}$ |  | N-[(4S,7S,8S)-8-methoxy-4,7,10-trimethyl-11-oxo-2-oxa-5,10-diazabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadeca-1(12),13,15-trien-15-yl]-4phenylbenzamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K28862419 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 4) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-[(4R,7S,8S)-8-methoxy-4,7,10-trimethyl-11-oxo-2-oxa-5,10-diazabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadeca-1(12),13,15-trien-14-yl]-3-[4(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]urea |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K70003473 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 5) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2-[(3S,6aR,8S,10aR)-3-hydroxy-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl- <br> 3,4,6,6a,8,9,10,10a-octahydro-2H-pyrano[2,3-c][1,5]oxazocin-8-yl]-1-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)ethanone |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K46678324 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 6) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-pyridin-4-yl-3-(2,4,6trichlorophenyl)urea |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K06593056 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 7) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 4-(5,7,7,10,10-pentamethyl-8,9- <br> dihydronaphtho[2,3- <br> b][1,4]benzodiazepin-13-yl)benzoic acid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { A61154809 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 8) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-(3,3a,4,5,6,6a-hexahydro-1H- } \\ & \text { cyclopenta[c]pyrrol-2-yl)-3-(4- } \\ & \text { methylphenyl)sulfonylurea } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K77793136 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 9) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 5-(1,4-diazepan-1-ylsulfonyl)-2H- isoquinolin-1-one |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K15567136 } \\ (\mathrm{Cmpd.} 10) \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methyl]- <br> 6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline |
| BRD- K88429204 (Cmpd. 11) |  | 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-ethylpyrimidine-2,4-diamine |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K42095107 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 12) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7-hydroxy-3-(4- <br> hydroxyphenyl)chromen-4-one |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K43796186 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 13) } \end{aligned}$ |  | N -benzylquinazolin-4-amine |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K37451830 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 14) } \end{gathered}$ |  | (2R,3R,3aS,9bS)-7-(1-cyclohexenyl)- <br> N -(cyclopropylmethyl)-3- <br> (hydroxymethyl)-6-oxo-1,2,3,3a,4,9b-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-a]indolizine-2carboxamide |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K03953354 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 15) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 4 \mathrm{aS}, 9 \mathrm{aR})-3-[2-[(3-$ fluorophenyl)methylamino]-2-oxoethyl]-1-(hydroxymethyl)- <br> 3,4,4a,9a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3,4-b]benzofuran-6-yl]-1,3-benzodioxole-5-carboxamide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K39839146 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 16) } \end{gathered}$ |  | (1S,9R,10R,11R)-11-N-ethyl-10-(hydroxymethyl)-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-6-oxo-12-N-propyl-7,12-diazatricyclo[7.2.1.02,7]dodeca- <br> 2,4-diene-11,12-dicarboxamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K62768599 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 17) } \end{aligned}$ |  | N-[(1S,3S,4aR,9aS)-1- <br> (hydroxymethyl)-3-[2-oxo-2-(1-piperidinyl)ethyl]-3,4,4a,9a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3,4-b]benzofuran-6-yl]-4oxanecarboxamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K42367391 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 18) } \end{aligned}$ |  | N-[(5S,6S,9S)-8-(cyclopropylmethyl)-5-methoxy-3,6,9-trimethyl-2-oxo-11-oxa-3,8-diazabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadeca-1(12),13,15-trien-14-yl]-2fluorobenzamide |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K22874335 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 19) } \end{gathered}$ |  | N-[(4R,7S,8R)-8-methoxy-4,7,10-trimethyl-11-oxo-5-(1,3-thiazol-2-ylmethyl)-2-oxa-5,10-diazabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadeca1(12), 13,15-trien-14- <br> yl]cyclohexanecarboxamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K41723088 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 20) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2-[(3S,6aR,8R,10aR)-1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-ylmethyl)-3-hydroxy-3,4,6,6a,8,9,10,10a-octahydro-2H-pyrano[2,3-c][1,5]oxazocin-8-yl]-1-piperidin-1-ylethanone |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K68530167 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. } 21 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2-[(1R,3R,4aS,9aR)-1- <br> (hydroxymethyl)-6-[(3-methoxyphenyl)sulfonylamino]-3,4,4a,9a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3,4- |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { b]benzofuran-3-yl]acetic acid methyl } \\ \text { ester } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K22754756 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 22) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 4-fluoro-N-[(2R,3R)-5-[(2R)-1-hydroxypropan-2-yl]-3-methyl-2-(methylaminomethyl)-6-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,5-benzoxazocin-10yl]benzenesulfonamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K11266478 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 23) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-[(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 6 \mathrm{R})-2 \text {-(hydroxymethyl)-6- } \\ {[2-\text { oxo-2-(1,3-thiazol-2- }} \\ \text { ylamino)ethyl }] \text { oxan-3-yl]-3-piperidin- } \\ \text { 1-ylpropanamide } \end{gathered}$ |
| BRDK00135177 (Cmpd. 24) |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-[(4 \mathrm{~S}, 7 \mathrm{R}, 8 \mathrm{R})-8 \text {-methoxy-4,7,10- } \\ \text { trimethyl-11-oxo-5-(phenylmethyl)-2- } \\ \text { oxa- } 5,10 \text { - } \\ \text { diazabicyclo[10.4.0]hexadeca- } \\ 1(12), 13,15 \text {-trien-14-yl]butanamide } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K40143134 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. } 25) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-[(2R,3R,6S)-3-[[(2,5- } \\ \text { difluoroanilino)-oxomethyl]amino]-2- } \\ \text { (hydroxymethyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H- } \\ \text { pyran-6-yl]-N-[3-(4- } \\ \text { morpholinyl)propyl]acetamide } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BRD- } \\ \text { K11758216 } \\ \text { (Cmpd. 26) } \end{gathered}$ |  | N -benzyl-2-chloroquinazolin-4-amine |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K48052543 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. } 27 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $N-[(2 R, 3 S, 6 S)-6-[2-[(4-$ <br> fluorophenyl)sulfonylamino]ethyl]-2-(hydroxymethyl)oxan-3-yl]oxane-4carboxamide |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { A50675702 } \\ & \text { (Cmpd. 28) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 5-amino-1-[2,6-dichloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-4-(trifluoromethane)sulfinyl-1 H -pyrazole-3-carbonitrile |


| BRD- |
| :---: |
| K28043081 |
| (Cmpd. 29) |


| BRD- |
| :---: |
| K19969618 |
| Cmpd. 30) |


|  | Broad ID | MOA | Compound Name | Known Targets | Corr. to YAP cluster | Avg. Cell Count zscore | Corr. to TRAF2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K96698997- } \\ & 001-01-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | -0.451816097371088 | -1.09876751317736 | 0.483378769177758 |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K88429204- } \\ & 001-04-7 \end{aligned}$ | dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor | pyrimethamine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHFR, } \\ & \text { SLC47A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.450273057324876 | -0.21652845532613 | -0.349609799358579 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K77793136- } \\ & 003-01-4 \end{aligned}$ | rho associated kinase inhibitor | hydroxyfasudil | PKIA, PRKACA, ROCK1 | 0.445765052349004 | -1.05491865344321 | $-0.466745148730574$ |
| 5 | BRD- <br> K70003473- <br> 001-01-0 |  |  |  | -0.419476423486351 | 0.57275101988869 | 0.309863968937689 |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K68530167- } \\ & 001-02-5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.493953439051083 | -0.141108416583381 | $-0.461903561022211$ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K62768599- } \\ & 001-01-7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.488328497910833 | -0.739206863357278 | -0.52723612634195 |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K48052543- } \\ & 001-01-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.509868432726129 | 0.0980140318335538 | -0.413168132822434 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K46678324- } \\ & 001-03-7 \end{aligned}$ | rho associated kinase inhibitor | RHO-kinase inhibitor II |  | 0.428418602602677 | $-0.725175228242348$ | $-0.339745295430873$ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K43796186- } \\ & 001-01-1 \end{aligned}$ | EGFR inhibitor | benzyl-quinazolin-4-yl-amine | EGFR | 0.462214494435451 | -0.570827241978117 | $-0.377843726025219$ |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K42367391- } \\ & 001-01-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.488715954293843 | -0.937403709355667 | $-0.272772324093652$ |


|  | Broad ID | MOA | Compound Name | Known Targets | Corr. to YAP cluster | Avg. Cell Count zscore | Corr. to TRAF2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K42095107- } \\ & 001-02-3 \end{aligned}$ | estrogen receptor agonist | daidzein | ESRRA, ESRRB, ESRRG, TRPC5 | 0.458309143762898 | -0.881277168895946 | -0.371958320674078 |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K41723088- } \\ & 001-01-6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.491645117137496 | -0.0709502410087301 | $-0.43890527237543$ |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K40143134- } \\ & 001-01-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.50094854898695 | -0.114799100742887 | $-0.342751435013124$ |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K39839146- } \\ & 001-01-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.483549276460743 | 0.218452233236704 | -0.428362405612274 |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K37451830- } \\ & 001-01-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.480168234528875 | -0.00429997421281192 | -0.416740972979351 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K34692511- } \\ & 001-01-9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | -0.439820984888043 | 0.478037482862912 | 0.286882376707942 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K28862419- } \\ & 001-01-9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | -0.431674202259506 | -2.04414892904578 | 0.406783833287405 |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K28043081- } \\ & 001-01-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.526963070335337 | -0.553287698084454 | -0.406037778061057 |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K22874335- } \\ & 001-01-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.490400094569485 | $-1.70388177750873$ | $-0.362018046441038$ |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K22754756- } \\ & 001-01-5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.496607051682064 | -1.40921744009519 | -0.297027575600364 |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K19969618- } \\ & 001-01-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.548319649662317 | 0.77971763783391 | -0.466667305651416 |


|  | Broad ID | MOA | Compound Name | Known Targets | Corr. to YAP cluster | Avg. Cell Count zscore | Corr. to TRAF2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K15567136- } \\ & 001-01-1 \end{aligned}$ | phosphodiesterase inhibitor | papaverine hydrochloride | PDE10A, PDE4B, PDE5A | 0.44641427678599 | -0.656771007057064 | $-0.493557215874781$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K13719685- } \\ & 001-01-5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | -0.442645220271481 | 2.10395320180544 | 0.359126741534968 |
| 26 | BRD- <br> K11758216- <br> 001-01-3 |  |  |  | 0.507801351616454 | -1.20225082214997 | -0.440615345966013 |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K11266478- } \\ & 001-01-0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.498791551452305 | 0.485053300420377 | $-0.439765555665776$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K06593056- } \\ & 001-01-4 \end{aligned}$ | retinoid receptor agonist | LE-135 | RARB | 0.435680365509664 | -0.790948517843583 | $-0.451237141338472$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K03953354- } \\ & 001-01-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.483314867234996 | -1.39693975936963 | -0.481607208500513 |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { K00135177- } \\ & 001-01-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0.500357831891246 | 0.400863489730796 | $-0.364867410280201$ |
| 8 | BRD- <br> A61154809- <br> 001-03-5 | ATP channel blocker\|insulin secretagogue | gliclazide | ABCC8, VEGFA | 0.440145834465088 | 0.820309153702101 | $-0.33133766243123$ |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRD- } \\ & \text { A50675702- } \\ & 001-03-0 \end{aligned}$ | chloride channel blocker\|GABA gated chloride channel blocker | fipronil |  | 0.51019424419505 | -0.118808139347153 | $-0.475169203024327$ |

## Supplementary Table S8: RNA-sequencing-based enrichment analysis
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| Gs detals | sIze | ES Nes | nes | nom p -val | R q-val | FWER p-val | k at max leading edge | UPREGULATED OR DOWNREGUL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Details ... | 86 | 0.5941053 | 2.2873087 |  |  | 0 | 1819 tags $=38 \%$, list $=12 \%$, signal $=43 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details | 166 | 0.3945195 | 1.7322907 | 0 | 26 | 008 | 2131 tags $=29 \%$, list $=14 \%$, signal $=33 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 92 | 0.41896117 | 1.6522279 | 0.002403846 | 0.00996725 | 0.032 | 2513 tags $=36 \%$, list $=17 \%$, signal $=43 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 182 | 0.3305301 | 1.4714037 | 0.005181347 | 0.04932377 | 0.189 | 2181 tags $=26 \%$, list $=15 \%$, signal $=30 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 150 | 0.34260988 | 1.4562898 | 0.002717391 | 0.04559618 | 0.214 | 1928 tags $=27 \%$, list $=13 \%$, signal $=31 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 146 | 0.30856675 | 1.3382866 | 0.024523161 | 0.11366022 | 0.524 | 3312 tags $=36 \%$, list $=22 \%$, signal $=46 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 158 | 0.29993588 | 1.3087488 | 0.036931816 | 0.12590155 | 0.617 | 2019 tags $=20 \%$, list $=13 \%$, signal $=23 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 143 | 0.3008566 | 1.2843143 | 0.035326086 | 0.13475496 | 0.689 | 3426 tags $=40 \%$, list=23\%, signal $=51 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 97 | 0.29323217 | 1.168757 | 0.15869017 | 0.30128673 | 0.948 | 2927 tags $=32 \%$, list $=20 \%$, signal $=39 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 169 | 0.26993614 | 1.1590812 | 0.13533835 | 0.2913993 | 0.958 | 2760 tags $=24 \%$, list $=18 \%$, signal $=29 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 149 | 0.26266745 | 1.1286 | 0.17435898 | 0.33098337 | 0.984 | 2168 tags $=19 \%$, list $=14 \%$, signal $=22 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 192 | 0.2433627 | 1.0804513 | 0.26944444 | 0.42189047 | 0.999 | 3242 tags $=30 \%$, list $=22 \%$, signal $=38 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 46 | 0.30948043 | 1.072884 | 0.35746607 | 0.4090726 | 0.999 | 2204 tags $=26 \%$, list $=15 \%$, signal $=31 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 178 | 0.2425146 | 1.0618333 | 0.30357143 | 0.40563384 | 1 | 2168 tags $=21 \%$, list $=14 \%$, signal $=24 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 75 | 0.27034676 | 1.0383954 | 0.378866 | 0.4350973 | 1 | 3312 tags $=31 \%$, list $22 \%$, signal $=39 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 189 | 0.23059528 | 1.0254962 | 0.3837535 | 0.4401859 | 1 | 2798 tags $=25 \%$, list $=19 \%$, signal $=30 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... |  | 0.33470038 | 1.0112545 | 0.4312115 | 0.4494147 | 1 | 1269 tags $=16 \%$, list=8\%, signal $=17 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 109 | 0.23348868 | 0.9675974 | 0.5630027 | 0.53295434 | 1 | 4079 tags $=32 \%$, list $27 \%$, signal $=44 \%$ | Upregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 190 | -0.6542706 | -2.734083 |  |  | 0 | 1536 tags $=43 \%$, list $=10 \%$, signal $=47 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 31 | -0.6635637 | $-2.009867$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 tags $=35 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=39 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 184 | -0.4841484 | -2.004427 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1519 tags $=28 \%$, list $=10 \%$, signal $=31 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 137 | -0.504841 | -2.002119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2807 tags $=40 \%$, list $=19 \%$, signal $=49 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 186 | -0.4647971 | -1.924311 | 0 | 1.94E-04 | 0.001 | 2715 tags $=40 \%$, list $=18 \%$, signal $=48 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 185 | -0.421712 | -1.741695 |  | 0.005009011 | 0.029 | 2672 tags $=32 \%$, list $=18 \%$, signal $=39 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... |  | -0.5039986 | -1.720936 | 0.003412969 | 0.005356684 | 0.036 | 1462 tags $=31 \%$, list $=10 \%$, signal $=35 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 34 | -0.5514501 | -1.700231 | 0.005235602 | 0.005728535 | 0.044 | 3166 tags $=41 \%$, list=21\%, signal=52\% | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 31 | -0.5646099 | -1.685015 | 0.010948905 | 0.006704273 | 0.055 | 3007 tags $=45 \%$, list $=20 \%$, signal $=56 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 184 | $-0.3867249$ | -1.59521 | 0.00155521 | 0.015935246 | 0.142 | 1426 tags $=20 \%$, list $=10 \%$, signal $=22 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 38 | -0.4959761 | -1.583363 | 0.018656716 | 0.015919015 | 0.151 | 3007 tags $=42 \%$, list $=20 \%$, signal $=53 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 188 | -0.3639692 | -1.516257 | 0.00312989 | 0.026771687 | 0.269 | 1382 tags $=21 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=23 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 186 | $-0.3648306$ | -1.504344 | 0.001557632 | 0.02799793 | 0.299 | 1336 tags $=18 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=20 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 69 | -0.4184438 | -1.493341 | 0.028169014 | 0.029403457 | 0.333 | 1705 tags $=30 \%$, list $=11 \%$, signal $=34 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 193 | $-0.3635059$ | -1.488942 | 0.010736196 | 0.028197076 | 0.341 | 3281 tags $=36 \%$, list $=22 \%$, signal $=45 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 39 | $-0.4417995$ | -1.415298 | 0.060763888 | 0.049216405 | 0.551 | 2354 tags $=31 \%$, list $=16 \%$, signal $=36 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 181 | $-0.3398556$ | -1.398792 | 0.017377567 | 0.053476032 | 0.61 | 2296 tags $=23 \%$, list $=15 \%$, signal $=26 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 179 | -0.3390946 | -1.382303 | 0.009584664 | 0.05974807 | 0.662 | 1426 tags $=18 \%$, list= $10 \%$, signal $=20 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 163 | -0.3420927 | -1.378494 | 0.020733653 | 0.05833988 | 0.675 | 1185 tags $=16 \%$, list= $=8 \%$, signal $=17 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
| Details ... | 188 | $-0.3291668$ | $-1.373827$ | 0.013740458 | 0.05704531 | 0.688 | 1189 tags $=20 \%$, list=8\%, signal $21 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 138 | -0.3369457 | -1.336891 | 0.051948052 | 0.07507158 | 0.807 | 2429 tags $=19 \%$, list $=16 \%$, signal $=22 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 107 | -0.3364556 | -1.28073 | 0.08777969 | 0.11387649 | 0.92 | 2896 tags $=30 \%$, list $=19 \%$, signal $=37 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 103 | -0.3199706 | -1.226251 | 0.13128039 | 0.16439793 | 0.978 | 2247 tags $=29 \%$, list $=15 \%$, signal $=34 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 190 | -0.2905551 | -1.219829 | 0.097826086 | 0.16501382 | 0.983 | 3942 tags $=39 \%$, list $=26 \%$, signal $=52 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 91 | $-0.2986016$ | -1.129188 | 0.21680672 | 0.2863506 | 0.999 | 1382 tags $=19 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=20 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 187 | -0.2723642 | -1.106566 | 0.25832012 | 0.3154989 | 0.999 | 1024 tags $=14 \%$, list=7\%, signal $=15 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 169 | $-0.2588365$ | -1.063456 | 0.31388012 | 0.38838965 | 0.999 | 1382 tags $=14 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=15 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 54 | -0.2797416 | -0.968363 | 0.4856661 | 0.59060425 | 1 | 3804 tags $=37 \%$, list=25\%, signal $=49 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 148 | -0.2398035 | -0.964624 | 0.53543305 | 0.58000576 | 1 | 2867 tags $=24 \%$, list $=19 \%$, signal $=29 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  | 192 | -0.2091263 | $-0.868751$ | 0.8031496 | 0.79996806 | 1 | 2856 tags $=25 \%$, list $=19 \%$, signal $=31 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  |  | $-0.2161393$ | $-0.804066$ | 0.84477127 | ${ }^{0.902477}$ | 1 | 1405 tags $=12 \%$, list=9\%, signal $=13 \%$ | Downregulated in NB4A-treated |
|  |  | -0.2020332 | $-0.75409$ | 0.9381107 | 0.9386531 |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table S9: RT-qPCR primer sequences used in the study.
Gene name
GAPDH
YAP1
CTGF
CYR61
Yap1
Cyr61
Forward primer
GTGGTCTCCTCTGACTTCAAC
GCTGCCACCAAGCTAGATAA
GTGCATCCGTACTCCCAAA
AGCCTCGCATCCTATACAACC
GATGTCTCAGGAATTGAGAAC
CTGCGCTAAACAACTCAACGA
Reverse primer
CCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAAATTC
GTGCATGTGTCTCCTTAGATCC
CTCCACAGAATTTAGCTCGGTAT
TTCTTTCACAAGGCGGCACTC
CTGTATCCATTTCATCCACAC
GCAGATCCCTTTCAGAGCGG

