1 Rare alternative second line injectable drug resistance markers 2 identified by gene-wise genome wide association in *M.* 3 *tuberculosis* with unexplained resistance

4 Authors: Derek Conkle-Gutierrez¹, Calvin Kim¹, Sarah M. Ramirez-Busby¹, Samuel J. Modlin¹,
5 Mikael Mansjö², Jim Werngren², Leen Rigouts^{3,4}, Sven E. Hoffner^{1,5}, Faramarz Valafar^{1*}

6 Affiliations:

7 ¹ Laboratory for Pathogenesis of Clinical Drug Resistance and Persistence (LPCDRP),
8 Biomedical Informatics Research Center, Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health,
9 San Diego State University

10 ² Department of Microbiology, Public Health Agency of Sweden, Solna, Sweden.

11 ³ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Antwerp University (UA), Antwerp, Belgium

12 ⁴ Mycobacteriology Unit, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine
13 (ITM), Antwerp, Belgium

14
15 ⁵ Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

16 * Corresponding Author: faramarz@sdsu.edu

17

Abstract

Point mutations in the *rrs* gene and *eis* promoter are known to confer resistance to second-line injectable drugs (SLIDs) amikacin (AMK), capreomycin (CAP), and kanamycin (KAN). While mutations in these canonical genes confer a majority of SLID-resistance, alternative mechanisms of resistance are not uncommon and threaten effective treatment decisions when using conventional molecular diagnostics. In total, 1184 clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates from 7 countries were studied for genomic markers associated with phenotypic resistance. The markers *rrs*:A1401G and *rrs*:G1484T were associated with resistance to all three SLIDs, and three known markers in the *eis* promoter (*eis*:G-10A, *eis*:C-12T, and *eis*:C-14T) were similarly associated with kanamycin resistance (KAN-R). Among 325, 324, 270 AMK-R, CAP-R, and KAN-R isolates, 264 (81.2%), 250 (77.2%), and 249 (92.3%) harbored canonical mutations, respectively. Thirteen isolates harbored more than one canonical mutation. Canonical mutations did not account for 111 of the phenotypically resistant isolates. A gene-wise method identified three genes and promoters with mutations that, on aggregate, associated with unexplained resistance to at least one SLID. Our analysis associated *whiB7* promoter mutations with KAN resistance, supporting clinical relevance for the previously demonstrated role of *whiB7* overexpression in KAN resistance. We also provide evidence for the novel association of *ppe51* (a gene previously associated with various antimicrobial compounds) with AMK resistance, and for the novel association of *thrB* with AMK and CAP resistance. The use of gene-wise association can provide additional insight, and therefore is recommended for identification of rare mechanisms of resistance when individual mutations carry insufficient statistical power.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a constant global public health threat due to rising cases of drug resistance among various strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Half a million estimated TB cases were rifampicin resistant in 2020, including 3-4% of new TB cases and 18-21% of previously treated cases¹. This trend is exacerbated in countries of the former Soviet Union, where over half of previously treated TB patients were rifampicin resistant¹. In 2018, 78% of rifampicin resistant cases were also resistant to isoniazid, making them multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB)². In 2018, an estimated 6.2% of MDR-TB cases were extensively drug resistant (XDR), then defined as MDR-TB strains that were additionally resistant to at least a fluoroquinolone and a second-line injectable drug² (SLID).

Successful Tuberculosis treatment relies on early identification and effective regimens, which can be ensured by rapid and accurate drug-susceptibility testing (DST) to identify potential MDR-TB cases. MDR-TB should be treated with combinations of drugs shown *in vitro* to be effective³. Phenotypic DST takes weeks, during which time patients may face ineffective treatment regimens with often debilitating side effects. Molecular diagnostics in contrast can be performed rapidly. As these rely on genetic markers of resistance, to improve their accuracy we must understand the mechanisms behind the resistance and comprehensively identify all their markers.

The SLIDs amikacin (AMK) and kanamycin (KAN) kill *M. tuberculosis* cells by binding to the 16S ribosomal subunit and by disrupting translation⁴. The bactericidal mechanism of the SLID capreomycin (CAP) is less understood. It is hypothesized to bind to the 70S ribosomal subunit and limit mRNA-tRNA translocation⁵. In 2018, the WHO recommended against the use of CAP or KAN due to their side effects, such as ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity⁶, and their

significant association with treatment failure⁷. Even though AMK is now being phased out in favor of bedaquiline⁸, it is still widely used. Although CAP and KAN are currently not recommended in treatment regimens, understanding mechanisms of resistance to these drugs can inform and corroborate our understanding of AMK-resistance (AMK-R), as the three drugs have similar mechanisms of action.

Genetic markers can rapidly identify SLID-resistance (SLID-R) in clinical isolates⁹. Across multiple studies, the most frequently observed SLID-R marker within the *M. tuberculosis* complex is *rrs*:A1401G^{10–13}. This single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene *rrs* typically causes cross-resistance to all three SLIDs^{11,13}. Other mutations in *rrs* also associate with SLID-R, but are far more rare¹⁴.

While *rrs*:A1401G typically causes resistance to all three SLIDs, not all isolates resistant to one or more SLIDs have this marker¹¹. Many KAN-resistant (KAN-R) isolates, for example, harbor variants in the promoter of *eis*^{11,15,16}. The *eis* gene encodes an N-acetyltransferase, which can inactivate KAN when overexpressed¹⁵. Spectrophotometric assays have further shown that *eis* acetylates KAN¹⁷. These *eis* promoter markers are common in *M. tuberculosis* strains from the former Soviet Union, where the use of KAN has been high¹⁶. Together with *rrs*:A1401G and *rrs*:G1484T, these known markers (Table 1) explain most SLID-R *M. tuberculosis* isolates¹⁸.

Table 1. The known SLID-R markers in *M. tuberculosis*. This set of mutations was derived from a previous study¹⁸, and used to determine the expected resistance of clinical isolates to AMK, CAP, and KAN.

Antibiotic	Known Markers
AMK	<i>rrs</i> :A1401G, <i>rrs</i> :G1484T
CAP	<i>rrs</i> :A1401G, <i>rrs</i> :G1484T
KAN	<i>rrs</i> :A1401G, <i>rrs</i> :G1484T <i>eis</i> :G-10A, <i>eis</i> :C-12T, <i>eis</i> :C-14T

Previous studies have found SLID-R isolates with no known markers^{11,13}. Mutations in several genes have been suggested to cause resistance in these isolates, including *tlyA*¹⁹, *whiB7*²⁰, *vapC21*²¹, and *bfrB*, also known as *ferritin*^{22,23}. Knockout variants in *tlyA* induce CAP resistance (CAP-R) *in vitro*⁵. Loss-of-function mutations in *tlyA*^{5,18,19} are proposed to prevent CAP from binding to the 16S subunit¹⁹ by preventing methylation of the 16S²⁴.

To find alternative mechanisms of SLID-R, we performed a genome wide association study (GWAS) on 1184 clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates, including 111 SLID-R isolates with no known SLID-R markers. Our methods corroborated the association of *whiB7* with kanamycin resistance, and identified several putative amikacin resistance markers in *ppe51*, a transport mediator previously implicated in resistance to drug candidate 3,3-bis-di(methylsulfonyl)propionamide²⁵.

Results

Table 2. Phenotypic Drug Susceptibility Testing Results. Number of total resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates out of all isolates with available phenotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST) for each drug, and the percentage of isolates with DST to a given drug that show resistance.

Drug	# Resistant/#Tested	% Resistant
AMK	325/1163	27.9%
CAP	324/1159	27.9%
KAN	270/496	54.4%

This study surveyed 1184 clinical isolates for injectable resistance markers. AMK and CAP phenotypic DST data were available for 1163 and 1159 isolates, respectively (Table 2). Only 496 isolates had phenotypic DST data available for KAN (Table 2). Our set of clinical isolates included 333 isolates sequenced on Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencers, which can resolve many known blind spots in the *M. tuberculosis* genome, such as in the PE and

PPE gene families²⁶. The isolates were categorized based on phenotypic DST and the presence of known resistance markers.

Mutations in rrs and eis Promoter Associate with SLID Resistance and Specific Lineages

Known SLID-R markers in the *rrs* gene and *eis* promoter associated strongly with resistance (Table 3). They predicted SLID-R with similar sensitivity to a 2018 GWAS study²⁷, though the sensitivity was lower than in earlier studies^{5,18}. The lower sensitivity is likely due to the deliberate selection of clinical isolates with discordant genotypes and phenotypes. Variant *rrs*:A1401G was the most frequent marker (N = 260, Table 4), while *rrs*:G1484T was the least frequent (N = 4, Table 4). However, no isolate with *rrs*:G1484T was susceptible to any SLID they were tested for (Table 4). The known marker *rrs*:A1401G was more frequent (181/700) within Lineage 2 (East-Asian) than within any other lineage (Figure 1, Table S1).

Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity of SLID-R prediction with known markers. A 95% confidence interval for each estimate was calculated using the score method with continuity correction²⁸. Isolates with genotypic-phenotypic concordance were classified as “explained”. Otherwise, they are “unexplained”.

Drug	Explained Resistant	Explained Susceptible	Unexplained Resistant	Unexplained Susceptible	Sensitivity (% [95% CI])	Specificity (% [95% CI])
AMK	264	829	61	9	81.2 (78.8 - 83.4)	98.9 (98.1 - 99.4)
CAP	250	813	74	22	77.2 (74.6 - 79.5)	97.4 (96.2 - 98.2)
KAN	249	223	21	3	92.3 (89.6 - 94.4)	98.7 (97.1 - 99.4)

Ten unexplained AMK-R isolates could be explained by the *eis* promoter mutation C-14T. The mutation was carried by 11 AMK-R and 18 AMK-S isolates (Table 3). Ten of the AMK-R isolates with *eis*:C-14T carried no SLID-R marker in *rrs* (Table 3, S2). Another three

unexplained AMK-R isolates had evidence of heteroresistance, with *rrs*:A1401G supported by 10-20% of the mapped reads (Table S3). No unexplained AMK-R isolates had reads supporting *rrs*:G1484T.

Table 4. Number of *M. tuberculosis* isolates with each known marker. Isolates are classified based on their resistance or susceptibility to each SLID. R=resistant; S=sensitive. Note that some isolates carried multiple markers.

Variant	AMK-R	AMK-S	CAP-R	CAP-S	KAN-R	KAN-S
<i>rrs</i> :A1401G	260	9	246	22	188	1
<i>rrs</i> :G1484T	4	0	4	0	3	0
<i>eis</i> :G-10A	5	49	3	51	10	0
<i>eis</i> :C-12T	10	95	19	84	42	2
<i>eis</i> :C-14T	11	18	3	26	10	0

Table 5. Geographic specificity of KAN Resistance markers in *eis* promoter. Contingency tables reporting the association between possession of a known KAN-R marker in the *eis* promoter and collection from Moldova, stratified by KAN DST. Two Moldovan isolates had low sequencing coverage in the *eis* promoter, and were thus excluded from this contingency table, and all KAN analysis. The number of isolates with either of the SLID-R markers *rrs*:A1401G and *rrs*:G1484T are included in parenthesis.

Number of Kanamycin-Resistant Isolates			
	Moldovan	Not Moldovan	Totals
Carries Known Marker in <i>eis</i> Promoter	48 (2)	13 (1)	61 (3)
No Known Marker in <i>eis</i> Promoter	24 (21)	185 (170)	209 (191)
Totals	72	198	270
Number of Kanamycin-Susceptible Isolates			
	Moldovan	Not Moldovan	Totals
Carries Known Marker in <i>eis</i> Promoter	2	0	2
No Known Marker in <i>eis</i> Promoter	13	211	224
Totals	15	211	226

Mutations in the *eis* promoter were especially common in isolates from Moldova (Table 5). Among the 270 KAN-R isolates, isolates with known KAN-R markers in the *eis* promoter were 27.8 times more likely to be from Moldova than isolates without known markers in the *eis* promoter (95% CI 12.8-64.8, p-value < 2.2e-16, Fisher's Exact Test, Table 5). The 89 isolates from Moldova belonged exclusively to Lineage 4 (Euro-American, n = 51) and East-Asian (n = 38). Similarly, among all 1184 isolates, the only isolates with variant *eis*:C-12T were East-Asian (54/700) or Euro-American (53/350, Figure 1). Two isolates carried both *eis*:C-12T and another known marker in the *eis* promoter (Table S2). Ten isolates with known markers in the *eis* promoter also carried the marker *rrs*:A1401G (Table S2).

Figure 1. Frequency of known resistance markers within each lineage among 1184 clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates. The Y-axis represents the percent of clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates from each lineage that possess the mutations represented on the X-axis. The lineage of 12 isolates could not be determined, including one isolate with *eis*:C-14T, and one isolate with *rrs*:A1401G. One other isolate belonged to the *M. africanum* species, West African 1 lineage.

Mutations rrs:A1401G and rrs:G1484T Associate with Cross-Resistance

Phenotypic DST results were available for all three SLIDs in 476 isolates, of which 193 isolates were resistant to all three drugs (Figure 2). Known marker *rrs*:A1401G explained 181 of the 193 cross-resistant isolates (Table 6). Of the remaining twelve cross-resistant isolates without *rrs*:A1401G, three isolates had known marker *rrs*:G1484T (Table 6). No isolate had both *rrs*:A1401G and *rrs*:G1484T (Table S2).

The known marker *rrs*:A1401G did not always confer full cross-resistance. Four isolates with *rrs*:A1401G were AMK-R and KAN-R, yet CAP-S (Table 6). In total, 22 isolates with *rrs*:A1401G were CAP-S (Table 4). All 22 of these CAP-discordant isolates were AMK-R (KAN DST was not available for 18 of them).

Figure 2. Cross resistance among Second-line Injectable Drugs (SLIDs). Venn Diagram reporting the number of *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates with overlapping resistance across all three SLIDs. Only the 476 isolates with phenotypic DST results for all three SLIDs were included in this figure.

Isolates with full SLID cross-resistance harbored known markers 97.45 (95% CI 43.26-2258.38, p-value < 2.2e-16, Fisher's Exact Test) times more often than isolates without full cross-resistance (Table 6, Table S4). While no isolate was mono-resistant to AMK, one isolate

was simultaneously AMK-R, CAP-R, and KAN-S (Figure 2, Table 6). This abnormal resistance profile may be the result of a mistake in phenotypic DST. The isolate carried a single *rrs* variant, *rrs*:C492T, a mutation present in another eighteen isolates, all susceptible to all three SLIDs (Figure 3). Fifteen isolates were AMK-R, KAN-R and CAP-S, of which four carried *rrs*:A1401G, eight carried markers in the *eis* promoter, and three carried no known markers (Figure 2, Table 6).

Table 6. Association of SLID-R profiles to known resistance markers. Each row represents one of the eight possible resistance profiles to the three SLIDs. The columns under *rrs* and *eis* promoter report the number of isolates carrying each known SLID-R marker with each resistance profile. As the matrix is sparse, cells with nonzero values are highlighted. The “No Known Markers” column reports the number of isolates carrying no known SLID-R marker, with each drug resistance profile. Only the 476 isolates with phenotypic DST results for all three SLIDs were included in these counts. Note that 3 of these isolates carried multiple known markers, all of them fully SLID cross-resistant.

Resistance Profile R = resistant S = susceptible			Gene					No Known Markers	Total
			<i>rrs</i>		<i>eis</i> promoter				
AMK	KAN	CAP	A1401G	G1484T	G-10A	C-12T	C-14T		
R	R	R	181	3	0	4	1	7	193
R	S	R	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
R	R	S	4	0	1	0	7	3	15
R	S	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	R	R	0	0	0	5	0	2	7
S	S	R	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
S	R	S	1	0	8	29	2	9	49
S	S	S	1	0	0	2	0	203	206
Total			187	3	9	40	10	230	476

High Co-occurrence of rrs:A1401G with Streptomycin Resistance Marker rrs:A514C

Variants *rrs*:C492T, *rrs*:C517T, and *rrs*:A514C were the most frequent non-canonical *rrs* mutations among the clinical isolates (Figure 3). The three mutations did not associate with resistance to any SLID. Both *rrs*:A514C and *rrs*:C517T are known streptomycin resistance markers²⁹. Variant *rrs*:A514C was frequently carried by isolates that also carried the SLID-R marker *rrs*:A1401G. Among all clinical isolates, *rrs*:A514C was 6.0 times more likely to be carried in isolates with *rrs*:A1401G than in isolates without *rrs*:A1401G (p-value = 2.424e-11, Fisher's Exact Test).

Figure 3. Mutations in *eis* promoter and *rrs*. Number of clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates with mutations in the *rrs* gene or *eis* promoter, with resistance or susceptibility to AMK, CAP, and KAN. Each column reports the number of resistant (R, dark blue bar) and susceptible (S, light blue bar) isolates carrying each mutation. For each drug, only isolates with phenotypic DST for that drug were counted. A vertical line separates the mutations found in *rrs* from those in the *eis* promoter. Note that column “*57 mutations” represents a set of 57 *rrs* variants called in a single isolate, which were combined for brevity.

Insufficient Statistical Power to Identify Individual Alternative Resistance Markers.

As there were only 21 unexplained KAN-R isolates in this study (Table 3), there was insufficient statistical power to identify potential rare KAN-R mechanisms. In this isolate set, aside from known markers, no significant association was found between KAN-R and any other mutations in the *eis* promoter. While prior studies found the mutation *eis*:G-37T in isolates with at least low level resistance to KAN^{15,30}, only one of our isolates carried it (Figure 3). This isolate was phenotypically KAN-S, though it is possible that MIC would reveal low level resistance to KAN. Similarly, the mutation *rrs*:C1402T was carried by only three isolates, including both 1 AMK-R, 1 AMK-S, 1 AMK untested, 2 CAP-R, and 1 CAP-S isolates (Figure 3).

Mutations in the RNA methylase *tlyA* confer CAP-R *in vitro*⁵ and have previously been observed in clinical isolates³¹. However, in this isolate set no significant association was found between CAP-R and any mutation in *tlyA*. Only six non-synonymous *tlyA* mutations were carried by any CAP-R isolates that did not also carry the known marker *rrs*:A1401G (Figure S1). None of these six mutations were carried by more than two CAP-R isolates (Figure S1).

Excluding isolates with known SLID-R markers, no individual mutation in the genome predicted resistance to any SLID with greater than 1.34% sensitivity in this isolate set (Table 7, Table S5). Several mutations were completely absent from susceptible isolates, though were only carried by at most four resistant isolates (Table 7). These mutations may be alternative SLID-R mechanisms. However due to their rarity, there was insufficient statistical power to determine this through association.

Table 7. Genome wide association study, top individual variants. The most frequent variants in ‘unexplained resistant’ isolates, SLID-R isolates that lack known resistance markers. Variants carried by one or more susceptible isolate were excluded. For each mutation, the column “Unexplained Resistant Isolates” counts the number of SLID-R isolates lacking known resistance markers that carry the mutation. The columns “Sensitivity” and “Specificity” report the sensitivity and specificity of predicting drug resistance using only the mutation in the “Mutation” column. Separate sensitivity and specificity values were calculated for each SLID. Only SLID-S and unexplained SLID-R isolates were included.

Gene	Mutation	SLID	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Unexplained Resistant Isolates
<i>Rv2815c-Rv2816c</i>	CT3122937C	AMK	0.85	100	3
<i>thrB_prom</i>	GAT-97G	AMK	0.57	100	2
<i>pe_pgrs30</i>	G1682-1683GC	AMK	0.57	100	2
<i>Rv2815c_prom</i>	G-61GA	CAP	0.58	100	2
<i>ppe23</i>	F248S	CAP	0.58	100	2
<i>pe_pgrs30</i>	G1682-1683GC	CAP	0.58	100	2
<i>pe_pgrs57</i>	ACCG2072-2074A	KAN	1.34	100	4
<i>Rv0988</i>	L191A	KAN	1.34	100	4
<i>pe_pgrs54</i>	D1579T	KAN	1.34	100	4

224

225 Several genes have previously been suggested to affect SLID-R. Support vector machine

226 approach identified variants in three genes as potential determinants of XDR phenotype: *vapC21*,

227 *Rv3471c*, and *Rv3848*²¹. Comparative proteomics suggested 12 genes may be involved in

resistance to AMK or KAN: *atpA*, *tig*, *lpdC*, *tuf*, *moxR1*, *Rv2005c*, *35kd_ag*, *prcA*, *Rv0148*, *bfrA*, *bfrB*, *hspX*²². Efflux pump *Rv1258c*³², transcriptional regulator *whiB7*³³, and virulence gene *whiB6*³⁴ have also been associated with SLID-resistance. However, no individual variant within these 18 genes was present in more than one AMK-R or CAP-R isolate, after removing variants present in at least one susceptible isolate (Table S5). Variants present in one or more susceptible isolates also did not significantly associate with resistance in this isolate set. There were however eleven unique mutations within the *whiB7* gene and its promoter, each carried by a separate unexplained KAN-R isolate, and no other isolates (Table S5). *WhiB7* regulates *eis*³⁵, which is in turn associated with KAN-R.

WhiB7 Variants in Aggregate Associate with Kanamycin Resistance

Different variants in the same gene can cause the same change in phenotype³⁶. If multiple rare variants in the same gene cause resistance, they may be missed by a genome wide association study on individual variants. To account for this, we measured the association between SLID-R and the variants in each *M. tuberculosis* gene in aggregate (Table 8). For each gene we identified all variants carried exclusively by resistant isolates, then counted the number of unexplained resistant isolates that carried at least one such variant in that gene (Table 8). No gene had more than 10 such isolates. To estimate how frequently mutations in the gene putatively cause unexplained resistance, this count was then divided by the total number of unexplained resistant isolates with any variant in that gene. For KAN, the *whiB7* promoter had the strongest signal, with seven unexplained KAN-R isolates carrying resistance-exclusive mutations (Table 8, Table S5). Each of the seven isolates carried a different *whiB7* promoter mutation, unique to that isolate. Meanwhile, five unexplained CAP-R isolates carried CAP-R exclusive mutations in the *thrB* promoter (Table 8). Mutations in the *thrB* promoter showed a

similar signal for AMK-R, as did mutations in *ppe51* (Table 8). Beyond the top three genes and promoters, the proportion values for all three SLIDs dropped off noticeably.

Table 8. Gene-based genome-wide association study, top genes. “Number of Unexplained Resistant Isolates with Resistance-Exclusive Mutations” for each SLID and each gene counts the number of isolates that have unexplained resistance to that SLID and carry a mutation in that gene which is not carried by any isolate susceptible to that SLID. Genes below the mean for this count were removed (4 for AMK, 5 for CAP, and 3 for KAN). “Total Number of Unexplained Resistant Isolates with Mutations in the Gene” for each gene and each SLID counts the number of isolates that have unexplained resistance to that SLID and carry any mutation in that gene. “Proportion” is the first count divided by the second. Genes associated with first-line drug resistance were excluded.

Gene	SLID	Number of Unexplained Resistant Isolates with Resistance-Exclusive Mutations	Total Number of Unexplained Resistant Isolates with Mutations in the Gene	Proportion	Number of Unique Resistance-Exclusive Mutations in Unexplained Resistant Isolates	Number of Isolates with Resistance-Exclusive Mutations Carried by Unexplained Resistant Isolates
<i>thrB_prom</i>	AMK	4	5	0.8	24	25
<i>ppe51</i>	AMK	4	5	0.8	6	9
<i>fadD29</i>	AMK	4	6	0.66	4	4
<i>Rv2680_prom</i>	CAP	7	7	1	15	8
<i>cysG_prom</i>	CAP	5	7	0.71	26	17
<i>thrB_prom</i>	CAP	5	8	0.62	30	29
<i>whiB7_prom</i>	KAN	7	7	1	7	13
<i>cyp142</i>	KAN	5	5	1	5	6
<i>accE5</i>	KAN	4	5	0.8	13	21

Discussion

While known markers in *eis* promoter and *rrs* associated strongly with SLID-R, 111 SLID-R isolates lacked known markers in this study of 1184 clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates. Some of the discordant isolates may be due to errors in phenotypic DST. Phenotypic/genotypic DST results sometimes disagree³⁷ and have shown an error rate as high as 2.2% for AMK³⁸. These discordant isolates could also suggest the existence of rare, alternative mechanisms of resistance. However, identifying rare mechanisms is difficult, as no single variant is carried by enough isolates for a strong association with resistance. To overcome this difficulty, we searched for rare mechanisms using a gene-based approach.

The known marker *rrs*:A1401G was ubiquitous and strongly associated with cross-resistance to all three SLIDs (Figure 2). However, while the variant was carried by 246 CAP-R isolates, it was also carried by 22 CAP-S isolates (Table 4). The discordant isolates may still have low level resistance to CAP, as a prior study reported a wide range of CAP MIC values (8 to 40 µg/ml) among clinical isolates carrying *rrs*:A1401G³⁹. However, the cause of this variable MIC is still unknown. The same study reported that mutagenesis of reference strains consistently resulted in a 40 µg/ml CAP MIC, suggesting the inconsistency was due to the genetic background of the clinical isolates rather than the mechanism of *rrs*:A1401G itself³⁹. However, we observed no mutations common to the genetic background of our 22 discordant isolates.

The known marker *rrs*:G1484T was rare, carried by only four clinical isolates (Table 4), all cross-resistant. The low prevalence of *rrs*:G1484T has been reported previously, despite a high MIC^{14,40}. In a mutagenesis study on *M. smegmatis*, *rrs*:G1484T mutants grew slower than *rrs*:A1401G mutants, suggesting this rarity is due to a fitness cost of *rrs*:G1484T⁴¹. However, a later study found that *rrs*:G1484T conferred no growth disadvantage to the *M. tuberculosis*

reference strain H37Rv, though it did confer a disadvantage to a strain of the Beijing F2
sublineage³⁹. The full extent of the fitness cost of *rrs*:G1484T across clinical isolates is
unknown.

While *eis* promoter mutations are generally known to cause KAN-R, mutagenesis
experiments have shown that the *eis* promoter mutation *eis*:C-14T reduces susceptibility to AMK
as well^{15,42,43}. In 7H10 media, *eis*:C-14T mutants previously had MIC values (3 mg/L)¹⁵ between
the current and previous WHO approved critical concentrations (2 mg/L and 4 mg/L,
respectively)⁴⁴. In our study DST were performed on MGIT at the unchanged critical
concentration for that media (1 mg/L) and gave inconsistent results for isolates with *eis*:C-14T
(11 AMK-R and 18 AMK-S, Table 3). These inconsistent results are likely due to the critical
concentration's proximity to the MIC of this variant. Though the genetic background of the
different isolates could also be involved through epistatic effects.

KAN-R was most often explained by *rrs*:A1401G (Table 4), except among isolates from
Moldova, which were enriched for known markers in the *eis* promoter (Table 5). Moldova is
representative of countries in the region of the former Soviet Union, where this geographic trend
has been reported previously¹⁶. The prevalence of *eis* promoter mutations in the region is thought
to be the result of extensive use of KAN¹⁶.

While known markers in *eis* promoter and *rrs* associated strongly with resistance, no
other mutations within these genes significantly associated with SLID-R in this isolate set
(Figure 3). The mutation *rrs*:C1402T was infrequent and carried by both resistant and susceptible
isolates (Figure 3). Mutagenesis has previously shown that *rrs*:C1402T reduces susceptibility to
a level near the critical concentration³⁹. Both AMK-R and AMK-S isolates carried *rrs*:C1402T in

a recent WHO study⁴³, and the marker is considered resistant by the GenoType MTBDRsl platform (Hain Lifescience).

The streptomycin resistance marker *rrs*:A514C was enriched among isolates with the known SLID-R marker *rrs*:A1401G (OR = 6.0, $p = 2.424 \times 10^{-11}$, Fisher's Exact Test). Streptomycin has previously been widely used in treatment regimens when first-line antibiotics fail². It is thus likely that the association between these two variants is due to past use of streptomycin on MDR-TB strains that were later treated with SLIDs.

The known *eis* promoter and *rrs* mutations (Table 1) were not carried by 21 of the 270 KAN-R isolates (Table 3), leaving the genetic basis of their resistance unexplained. Of these, seven isolates carried *whiB7* promoter mutations (Table 8, S5), though these mutations were unique in each isolate. Thus, while no single *whiB7* promoter mutation associated strongly with KAN-R, their aggregate signal suggests *whiB7* promoter mutations are an alternative mechanism of KAN-R. This finding in clinical isolates is supported by prior mutagenesis experiments. Increased expression of *whiB7* causes low level streptomycin and KAN-R in H37Rv mutants³³, while deletion of *whiB7* in *Mycobacterium abscessus* lowers MIC to erythromycin, tetracycline, streptomycin, and AMK⁴⁶. The *whiB7* gene encodes a transcriptional activator that regulates ribosome protection and efflux pump genes⁴⁶. Moreover, *whiB7* regulates *eis*, providing it a plausible mechanism for KAN-R³³.

Similarly, mutations in the gene *ppe51* collectively associated with AMK-R (Table 8). PPE51 mediates membrane transport and loss of function mutations in *ppe51* have recently been shown to cause resistance to the drug candidate 3,3-bis-di(methylsulfonyl)propionamide²⁵ and *ppe51* knockout increased susceptibility to pyrazinamide⁴⁷. Mutations in *ppe51* have also been observed in an experimentally evolved CAP-R strain, though this strain also carried a *tlyA*

frameshift mutation⁴⁸. The associated *ppe51* mutations in our data were not found in isolates with *tlyA* mutations, except for the ubiquitous synonymous mutation *tlyA*:L11L. The presence of *ppe51* mutations here in AMK-R isolates, in the absence of canonical markers or *tlyA* mutations, supports their potential role in resistance. Mutations in the *thrB* promoter also collectively associated with both AMK-R and CAP-R (Table 8). However, unlike *whiB7* and *ppe51*, *thrB* promoter mutations lack a plausible mechanism of resistance. ThrB is a homoserine kinase involved in threonine biosynthesis and is essential for virulence and *in vitro* growth⁴⁹, but there is no known connection between this pathway and SLID-resistance.

The known SLID-R markers are accurate predictors of resistance. However, they still do not explain all SLID-R cases. Rare, alternative mechanisms, such as *whiB7* promoter mutations, are likely responsible for these unexplained SLID-R isolates. For molecular diagnostics to fully replace phenotypic diagnostics, these rare mechanisms must also be understood. Finding these rare mechanisms will require sequences from larger sets of unexplained resistant isolates, and more sensitive methods of association, such as the machine learning approaches employed previously²¹ or the gene-based aggregate method employed here. This method independently corroborated the association between *whiB7* promoter mutations and KAN-R⁴⁶, and identified a new association between AMK-R and mutations in *ppe51*, a gene previously implicated in resistance to other compounds^{25,47,48}.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

As part of a previous study, 323 clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates were collected for long read PacBio sequencing¹⁸. There were 89 isolates that originated from Hinduja National Hospital

(PDHNNH) in Mumbai, India, 89 that came from the Phthisiopneumology Institute (PPI) in Chisinau, Moldova, 48 which were from the Tropical Disease Foundation (TDF) in Manila, Philippines, and 97 that were from the National Health Laboratory Service of South Africa (NHLS) in Johannesburg, South Africa. All raw sequences were uploaded to NCBI's sequence read archive (SRA) database under the Bioproject accession PRJNA353873. An additional 10 *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates were collected from the Supranational Reference Laboratories in Stockholm and Antwerp. These isolates were originally genotyped with a Hain Lifescience Genotype MTBDRsl line probe assay⁹, and were chosen for sequencing due to discordance between their genotype and phenotypic DST for any SLID. Another 851 whole genome sequences were downloaded from NCBI's SRA database using SRA Toolkit's fastqdump⁵⁰. These 851 downloaded raw reads were previously sequenced on Illumina short read platforms^{51–54}.

Phenotypic Drug-susceptibility Testing

DST for the PacBio sequenced isolates was performed on the BACTEC mycobacterial growth indicator tube (MGIT) 960 platform (BD Diagnostic Systems, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) using the 2008 WHO recommended critical concentration of 1.0 mg/L (AMK) and 2.5 mg/L (CAP/KAN) as described in previous studies^{18,55,56}. DST for Illumina sequenced isolates were also tested on MGIT 960 using contemporary WHO recommended critical concentrations, as described previously^{51–54}. As of 2018, the recommended critical concentrations for AMK, CAP, and KAN remains 1.0 mg/L, 2.5 mg/L, and 2.5 mg/L, respectively⁴⁴. Bacterial isolates were excluded from analysis if DST data was not available for at least one SLID.

DNA Extraction and Sequencing

The DNA of all 333 isolates collected for long-read PacBio sequencing, including those from the WHO Supranational Reference Laboratories in Stockholm and Antwerp, and from NCBI's SRA database were extracted as described in a previous study⁵⁷. The SMRT sequencing protocol was described previously^{58,59}. 64 isolates were later re-sequenced due to low coverage. DNA extraction for the 851 downloaded public genomes was previously described⁵¹⁻⁵⁴. The downloaded genomes were sequenced on Illumina Genome Analyzer, MiSeq, or HiSeq platforms.

Genome Assembly, Alignment and Variant Calling

Genome assembly, alignment, and variant calling methods are described in Supplementary Information. Briefly, PBHoover⁶⁰ aligned 64 SMRT sequenced isolates to H37Rv and called variants. Later, 269 SMRT sequenced isolates were de novo assembled with canu⁶¹ or HGAP2 (<https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Bioinformatics-Training/wiki/HGAP-2.0>) then their assembled genomes were aligned to reference strain H37Rv using dnadiff (v1.3)⁶² for variant calling, with the output converted to VCF format by a custom script, mummer-snps2vcf (<https://gitlab.com/LPCDRP/mummer-extras/-/blob/master/src/mummer-snps2vcf>). Reads from Illumina sequenced isolates were aligned to H37Rv using bowtie2 (v2.2.4)⁶³, then variants were called with VarScan2 (v2.3)⁶⁴.

Lineage Identification

MIRU-VNTR and spoligotyping were previously performed on the initial 323 SMRT sequenced isolates collected⁵⁵. The ten isolates sent from Stockholm and Antwerp, and the 851 downloaded Illumina sequenced isolates, underwent MIRU-VNTR and spoligotyping with MiruHero, a custom Python script (<https://gitlab.com/LPCDRP/miru-hero>). MiruHero used the rule based criteria from TB-Insight⁶⁵ to classify lineages.

Identifying Known Resistance Conferring Mutations

After variant calling, known SLID-R markers were searched for in the VCF file of each clinical isolate. The *eis* promoter mutations C-14T, C-12T, and G-10A are known KAN-R markers, and the *rrs* mutations G1484T and A1401G are known resistance markers to all three SLIDs¹⁸. The genomic positions and orientation of *rrs* and the *eis* promoter were noted in Table S6⁶⁶. Known markers and phenotypic DST were used to estimate the sensitivity and specificity of predicting resistance to each SLID using known markers. A 95% confidence interval was calculated for sensitivity and specificity estimates using the score method with continuity correction²⁸.

Genome Wide Association

For each of the three drugs, separate genome wide association studies were performed using a custom Python script (<https://gitlab.com/LPCDRP/gwa>) to identify novel markers for alternative mechanisms of resistance. To remove the overriding signal of known resistance markers, our GWAS excluded isolates that had their resistance explained by known markers (Table 1). This exclusion was necessary to avoid confounding associations with potential alternative mechanisms of resistance. Isolates with additional *eis* promoter resistance markers (Table 1) were excluded in our KAN-R analysis for similar reasons. We calculated the sensitivity and specificity of each variant's prediction of resistance to each SLID (the proportion of unexplained resistant isolates with the variant, and proportion of susceptible isolates without the variant, respectively). For each SLID we identified variants absent from susceptible isolates and ranked them by the number of unexplained resistant isolates that carried them. The same protocol was performed using a subset of genes previously implicated in SLID-R.

In the gene-based association, for each SLID and each gene we counted the number of unexplained resistant isolates with at least one variant in that gene that was absent from isolates susceptible to that SLID. Genes below the mean for this count were removed. This count was then divided by the total number of unexplained resistant isolates with any variant in that gene. Genes with known resistance markers to first line drugs were excluded, as most SLID-R isolates are also resistant to first-line drugs due to prior treatment with first-line drug regimens.

Supplementary Information Availability

Supplementary Tables, Figures, and methods are available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5720106>.

Acknowledgements

Phenotypic confirmation of ten isolates without common molecular mechanisms of resistance was performed by Mr. Solomon Ghebremichael in the Department of Microbiology at the Public Health agency of Sweden in Stockholm.

Funding

This project was funded by a grant (R01AI105185) from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious diseases.

References

1. World Health Organization, (WHO). *GLOBAL TUBERCULOSIS REPORT 2021*.
2. World Health Organization. *Global Tuberculosis Report 2019*. (2019)
doi:WHO/CDS/TB/2019.15.
3. World Health Organization. *Companion handbook to the WHO guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis*. World Health Organization (WHO, Geneva, 2014). doi:WHO/HTM/TB/2014.11.
4. Palomino, J. & Martin, A. Drug Resistance Mechanisms in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antibiotics* **3**, 317–340 (2014).
5. Engström, A., Perskvist, N., Werngren, J., Hoffner, S. E. & Juréen, P. Comparison of clinical isolates and in vitro selected mutants reveals that tlyA is not a sensitive genetic marker for capreomycin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **66**, 1247–1254 (2011).
6. Seddon, J. A. *et al.* Hearing loss in patients on treatment for drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur. Respir. J.* **40**, 1277–1286 (2012).
7. World Health Organization. Rapid Communication : key changes to treatment of multidrug- and rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis. *World Heal. Organ.* 1–7 (2018)
doi:WHO/CDS/TB/2018.18.
8. World Health Organization. *Rapid Communication : Key changes to the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis*. (2019) doi:WHO/CDS/TB/2019.26.
9. Lacoma, A. *et al.* GenoType MTBDRsl for molecular detection of second-line-drug and ethambutol resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains and clinical samples. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **50**, 30–36 (2012).

10. Coll, F. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of multi- and extensively drug-resistant. (2018)
doi:10.1038/s41588-017-0029-0.
11. Kambli, P. *et al.* Correlating rrs and eis promoter mutations in clinical isolates of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis with phenotypic susceptibility levels to the second-line
injectables. *Int. J. mycobacteriology* **5**, 1–6 (2016).
12. Brossier, F. *et al.* Molecular investigation of resistance to second-line injectable drugs in
multidrug-resistant clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in France. *Antimicrob.*
Agents Chemother. **61**, (2017).
13. Jugheli, L. *et al.* High level of cross-resistance between kanamycin, amikacin, and
capreomycin among Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from Georgia and a close
relation with mutations in the rrs gene. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **53**, 5064–5068
(2009).
14. Perdigão, J. & Portugal, I. Genetics and roadblocks of drug resistant tuberculosis. *Infect.*
Genet. Evol. **72**, 113–130 (2019).
15. Zaunbrecher, M. A., Sikes Jr, R. D., Metchock, B., Shinnick, T. M. & Posey, J. E.
Overexpression of the chromosomally encoded aminoglycoside acetyltransferase eis
confers kanamycin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
A. **106**, 20004–20009 (2009).
16. Gikalo, M. B., Nosova, E. Y., Krylova, L. Y. & Moroz, A. M. The role of eis mutations in
the development of kanamycin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from the
Moscow region. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **67**, 2107–2109 (2012).
17. Pricer, R. E., Houghton, J. L., Green, K. D., Mayhoub, A. S. & Garneau-Tsodikova, S.
Biochemical and structural analysis of aminoglycoside acetyltransferase Eis from

- Anabaena variabilis. *Mol. Biosyst.* **8**, 3305–3313 (2012).
18. Rodwell, T. C. *et al.* Predicting extensively drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis phenotypes with genetic mutations. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 781–789 (2014).
19. Maus, C. E., Plikaytis, B. B., Thomas, M. & Shinnick, T. M. Mutation of tlyA Confers Capreomycin Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **49**, 571–577 (2005).
20. Köser, C. U., Bryant, J. M., Parkhill, J. & Peacock, S. J. Consequences of whiB7 (Rv3197A) Mutations in Beijing Genotype Isolates of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **57**, 3461 LP – 3461 (2013).
21. Kavvas, E. S. *et al.* Machine learning and structural analysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis pan-genome identifies genetic signatures of antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Commun.* (2018) doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06634-y.
22. Sharma, D. *et al.* Comparative proteomic analysis of aminoglycosides resistant and susceptible mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical isolates for exploring potential drug targets. *PLoS One* **10**, 1–18 (2015).
23. Sharma, D. *et al.* M. tuberculosis ferritin (Rv3841): Potential involvement in Amikacin (AK) & Kanamycin (KM) resistance. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **478**, 908–912 (2016).
24. Johansen, S. K., Maus, C. E., Plikaytis, B. B. & Douthwaite, S. Capreomycin Binds across the Ribosomal Subunit Interface Using tlyA-Encoded 2'-O-Methylations in 16S and 23S rRNAs. *Mol. Cell* **23**, 173–182 (2006).
25. Wang, Q. *et al.* PE/PPE proteins mediate nutrient transport across the outer membrane of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Science (80-.).* **367**, 1147 LP – 1151 (2020).

26. Tyler, A. D. *et al.* Comparison of sample preparation methods used for the next-generation sequencing of mycobacterium tuberculosis. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–14 (2016).
27. Coll, F. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of multi- and extensively drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 307–316 (2018).
28. Newcombe, R. G. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat. Med.* **17**, 857–872 (1998).
29. Wang, Y. *et al.* The roles of rpsL, rrs, and gidB mutations in predicting streptomycin-resistant drugs used on clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from Hebei Province, China. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **12**, 2713–2721 (2019).
30. Gikalo, M. B., Nosova, E. Y., Krylova, L. Y. & Moroz, A. M. The role of eis mutations in the development of kanamycin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from the moscow region. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **67**, 2107–2109 (2012).
31. Li, Q. *et al.* Mutation and transmission profiles of second-line drug resistance in clinical isolates of drug-resistant mycobacterium tuberculosis from hebei province, China. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 1–12 (2019).
32. Cloete, R., Kapp, E., Joubert, J., Christoffels, A. & Malan, S. F. Molecular modelling and simulation studies of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis multidrug efflux pump protein Rv1258c. *PLoS One* **13**, e0207605 (2018).
33. Reeves, A. Z. *et al.* Aminoglycoside cross-resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis due to mutations in the 5' untranslated region of whiB7. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **57**, 1857–1865 (2013).
34. Farhat, M. R. *et al.* GWAS for quantitative resistance phenotypes in Mycobacterium tuberculosis reveals resistance genes and regulatory regions. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2128

(2019).

35. Burian, J. *et al.* The mycobacterial antibiotic resistance determinant WhiB7 acts as a transcriptional activator by binding the primary sigma factor SigA (RpoV). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 10062–10076 (2013).
36. Oppong, Y. E. A. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* polymorphisms reveals lineage-specific associations with drug resistance. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 252 (2019).
37. Hillemann, D. *et al.* First evaluation after implementation of a quality control system for the second line drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* joint efforts in low and high incidence countries. *PLoS One* **8**, e76765–e76765 (2013).
38. Nikolayevskyy, V. *et al.* External Quality Assessment for Tuberculosis Diagnosis and Drug Resistance in the European Union: A Five Year Multicentre Implementation Study. *PLoS One* **11**, e0152926 (2016).
39. Reeves, A. Z., Campbell, P. J., Willby, M. J. & Posey, J. E. Disparities in capreomycin resistance levels associated with the *rrs* A1401G mutation in clinical isolates of *mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **59**, 444–449 (2015).
40. Georghiou, S. B. *et al.* Evaluation of genetic mutations associated with mycobacterium tuberculosis resistance to amikacin, kanamycin and capreomycin: A systematic review. *PLoS One* **7**, (2012).
41. Shcherbakov, D. *et al.* Directed mutagenesis of mycobacterium smegmatis 16S rRNA to reconstruct the in vivo evolution of aminoglycoside resistance in mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **77**, 830–840 (2010).
42. Pholwat, S. *et al.* *eis* Promoter C14G and C15G Mutations Do Not Confer Kanamycin

- Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **60**, 7522–7523 (2016).
43. World Health Organization (WHO). *Catalogue of mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and their association with drug resistance*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240028173> (2021) doi:ISBN: 9789240028173.
44. World Health Organization, (WHO). Technical report on critical concentrations for TB drug susceptibility testing of medicines used in the treatment of drug-resistant TB. *Who* 106 (2018).
45. Malinga, L., Brand, J., Olorunju, S., Stoltz, A. & van der Walt, M. Molecular analysis of genetic mutations among cross-resistant second-line injectable drugs reveals a new resistant mutation in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **85**, 433–437 (2016).
46. Hurst-Hess, K., Rudra, P. & Ghosh, P. Mycobacterium abscessus WhiB7 Regulates a Species-Specific Repertoire of Genes To Confer Extreme Antibiotic Resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **61**, e01347-17 (2017).
47. Bellerose, M. M. *et al.* Common Variants in the Glycerol Kinase Gene Reduce Tuberculosis Drug Efficacy. *MBio* **10**, (2019).
48. Zhao, J. *et al.* Assessing capreomycin resistance on tlyA deficient and point mutation (G695A) Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains using multi-omics analysis. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **309**, 151323 (2019).
49. Hasenoehrl, E. J. *et al.* Derailing the aspartate pathway of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to eradicate persistent infection. *Nat. Commun.* doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12224-3.

50. Leinonen, R., Sugawara, H. & Shumway, M. The sequence read archive. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 2010–2012 (2011).
51. Walker, T. M. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing for prediction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug susceptibility and resistance: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **15**, 1193–1202 (2015).
52. Casali, N. *et al.* Microevolution of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in Russia. *Genome Res.* (2012) doi:10.1101/gr.128678.111.
53. Casali, N. *et al.* Evolution and transmission of drug-resistant tuberculosis in a Russian population. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 279–286 (2014).
54. Chernyaeva, E. N. *et al.* Genome-wide Mycobacterium tuberculosis variation (GMTV) database: a new tool for integrating sequence variations and epidemiology. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 308 (2014).
55. Garfein, R. S. *et al.* Phenotypic and genotypic diversity in a multinational sample of drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* **19**, 420–7 (2015).
56. Who. Policy guidance on drug-susceptibility testing (DST) of second-line antituberculosis drugs World Health Organization. *World Health* 1–20 (2008).
57. Helden, P. D. Van, Victor, T. C., Warren, R. M. & Helden, E. G. Van. Isolation of DNA from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Methods Mol. Med.* **54**, 19–29 (2001).
58. Torres, J. N. *et al.* Novel katG mutations causing isoniazid resistance in clinical M. tuberculosis isolates. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **4**, e42–e42 (2015).
59. Elghraoui, A., Modlin, S. S. J. S. & Valafar, F. SMRT genome assembly corrects reference errors, resolving the genetic basis of virulence in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 302 (2017).

60. Ramirez-Busby, S. M., Elghraoui, A., Kim, Y. B. & Valafar, F. PBHoover and CigarRoller: a method for confident haploid variant calling on legacy Pacific Biosciences data and its application to heterogeneous population analysis. *Bioinforma.* - *Under Rev.* 1–27 (2018) doi:10.1101/360370.
61. Koren, S. *et al.* Canu : scalable and accurate long- - - read assembly via adaptive k - - - mer weighting and repeat separation. 1–35 (2016) doi:10.1101/gr.215087.116.Freely.
62. Delcher, A. L. *et al.* Alignment of whole genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 2369–2376 (1999).
63. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).
64. Koboldt, D. C. *et al.* VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res.* **22**, 568–576 (2012).
65. Shabbeer, A. *et al.* TB-Lineage: An online tool for classification and analysis of strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **12**, 789–797 (2012).
66. Kapopoulou, A., Lew, J. M. & Cole, S. T. The MycoBrowser portal: a comprehensive and manually annotated resource for mycobacterial genomes. *Tuberculosis (Edinb).* **91**, 8–13 (2011).