1 Verification of our empirical understanding of the physiology and 2 ecology of two contrasting plantation species using a trait database

3 Yoko Osone¹, Shoji Hashimoto¹, Tanaka Kenzo^{1, 2}

4 ¹Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, 305-8687, Japan

5 ²Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba 305-8686, Japan

6 Correspondence to: Tanaka Kenzo (mona@affrc.go.jp)

7 **Abstract.** The effects of climate change on forest ecosystems take on increasing importance more than ever. Information on
8 plant traits is a powerful predictor of ecosystem dynamics and functioning. We reviewed the major ecological traits, such as
9 foliar gas exchange and nutrients, xylem morphology and drought tolerance, of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis*
10 *obtusa*, which are major timber species in East Asia, especially in Japan, by using a recently developed functional trait database
11 for both species (SugiHinokiDB). Empirically, *C. obtusa* has been planted under drier conditions, whereas *C. japonica* has
12 been planted under wetter conditions. Our analyses revealed followings: The maximum photosynthetic rate, stomatal
13 conductance, foliar nutrient content and soil-to-foliage hydraulic conductance were higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*
14 and were consistent with the higher growth rate of *C. japonica*. In contrast, the foliar turgor loss point and xylem pressure
15 corresponding to 50% conductivity, which indicate drought tolerance, were lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* and are
16 consistent with the drier habitat of *C. obtusa*. Ontogenetic shifts were also observed; as the age and height of the trees increased,
17 many foliar nutrient concentrations decreased, and the foliar minimum midday water potential and specific leaf area also
18 decreased. This suggests that an ontogenetic reduction in photosynthesis occurred due to an increase in drought stress with
19 tree height and age. However, among the Cupressaceae worldwide, the drought tolerance of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* is not
20 as high. This may be related to the fact that the Japanese archipelago has historically not been subjected to strong dryness. The
21 maximum photosynthetic rate showed intermediate values within the family, indicating that *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* exhibit
22 relatively high growth rates in the Cupressaceae family, and this is thought to be the reason why they have been selected as
23 economically suitable timber species in Japanese forestry. This study clearly demonstrated that the plant trait database provides
24 us a promising opportunity to verify out empirical knowledge of plantation management and helps us to understand effect of
25 climate change on plantation forests by using trait-based modelling.

26

27 Keywords

28 Climate change, database, growth modelling, hinoki cypress, Japanese cedar, plant functional traits

29 1 Introduction

30 There is an emerging scientific consensus that the global climate change is resulting in decreased stability in forest ecosystems
 31 (Mann et al., 1998; Allen et al., 2010). The effects of climate change on the forestry sector have been examined in general
 32 terms for many regions of the world but rarely with sufficient temporal or spatial resolution to influence regional or local forest
 33 management (IPCC, 1996; Roos, 1996; Sykes and Prentice, 1996; Bradshaw et al., 2000). The major issues include how the
 34 ranges in which commercially important tree species are suitable for plantations will change in the future and whether climatic
 35 influence can be overridden by appropriate forest management. Answering these questions requires a basic understanding of
 36 the physiology and ecology of target tree species.

37 Information on plant traits, that is, any physiological, morphological or phenological features measurable at the individual
 38 level (Violle et al., 2007), is now widely used to predict how forests will respond to future climate change (White et al., 2002;
 39 Sato et al., 2007; Zaehle et al., 2010; Kattge et al., 2020). Process-based models generally use leaf-scale process, such as,
 40 photosynthetic capacity, stomatal response to vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and respiration of a plant species or a functional
 41 type for modelling C dynamics under given climate scenarios (Chapin et al., 2011; Toriyama et al., 2021). There are also
 42 studies focusing on traits more directly related to drought sensitivity for predicting future hydraulic risk. For example, leaf
 43 water potential at turgor loss (Ψ_{tlp}), which had been recognized a classical index of plant water stress, was demonstrated to be
 44 a powerful indicator of drought tolerance within and across biomes (Bartlett et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2021), while hydraulic
 45 safety margins, defined as difference between minimum xylem water potential and water potential at which 50% loss of
 46 conductivity occurs (Ψ_{50}) is becoming widely used for a predictor of drought-induced tree mortality (Choat et al., 2012;
 47 Anderegg et al., 2016). Clearly, trait information holds promise for better understanding of the vulnerability to drought, as
 48 well as parameterizing models with increased robustness and accuracy.

49 With the increasing demands for trait information, trait databases are becoming key research tool in this study field (Kattge
 50 et al., 2020). One of the strengths of trait databases is that they provide a wide array of traits for a species all at once, which is
 51 generally difficult in a single study since measurements of physiological and morphological properties are time- and labour-
 52 intensive. Another advantage is that they show the variability within a species since they stores data from different studies
 53 which measured plants at different ages in different locations. Many functional traits change ontogenetically as plants grow
 54 (Beets and Madgwick, 1988; Bargali et al., 1992; Bond, 2000; Niinemets, 2002; Hooker and Compton, 2003; Polglase et al.,
 55 2006; Yang and Luo 2011). Understanding the ontogenetic drift of key functional traits is important for impact assessments of
 56 climate change since forest management is a long-term commitment and requires optimality of adaptation strategies at each
 57 growth stage (Niinemets, 2010; D'Amato et al., 2011).

58 Recently, we created a trait database for Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don, *Cupressaceae*) and Japanese
 59 cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Siebold et Zucc.) Endl., *Cupressaceae*) (SugiHinokiDB), which contains 24683 data for 177
 60 plant traits compiled from diverse sources, such as papers, bulletins, reports and books (Osone et al., 2020). *C. japonica* and
 61 *C. obtusa* produce high-quality wood and have been the most important commercial tree species in Japan. They were also

introduced for timber production in many regions of the world: China, Korean Peninsula, India, Nepal, Azores and Réunion (Government of Azores Islands; Rull et al., 2017; Rai and Schmerbeck, 2018). In Japan, these species were planted to forest sites according to empirically derived rule for species selection. *C. japonica*, which grows faster but thought to be less drought tolerant than *C. obtusa*, is traditionally planted on moist and nutrient-rich sites, whereas *C. obtusa* is planted on relatively dry and nutrient-poor sites (Mashimo, 1960; Hayashi, 1969; Sato, 1971). Since these management practices had worked well until recently, we have paid little attention on the physiological mechanisms underlying their habitat preferences. However, without the knowledge, we cannot predict how the species respond to climate change, nor what the optimal adaptation strategies are. SugiHinokiDB, which compiled traits that are closely related to the life history strategy (Díaz et al., 2004; Grime, 2006; Kattge et al., 2011, 2020; Kleyer et al., 2008), with a special focus on traits related to water relations, may offer a comprehensive characterization of the growth and hydraulics of these species.

In this study, using the SugiHinoki plant trait DB, we verify the empirical knowledge that *C. japonica* grows faster but is less tolerant to drought than *C. obtusa* based on three steps:

(1) We selected 20 traits that are central to the leading dimensions of plant strategy and quantified the differences in those traits between the two species. Our hypothesis is that *C. japonica* has relatively pioneer-like properties, i.e., a higher gas exchange rate, specific leaf area (m² g⁻¹, SLA), and xylem and foliar water conductivity, whereas *C. obtusa* shows more conservative resource use and a higher drought tolerance.

(2) We also examined the ontogenetic changes in some foliage traits. There is still limited knowledge on age or height depending changes in foliage traits, particularly their species patterns. We demonstrated how the ontogenetic patterns of key foliage traits are different between drought intolerant and drought tolerant species.

(3) Finally, we compare some hydraulic properties of these species with those of Cupressaceae worldwide. Cupressaceae species are thought to differentiate along an aridity gradient and vary greatly in their drought sensitivity. We discussed the adaptive strategies of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in light of the phylogenetic lineage and potential as timber species under future climate.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Plant species

Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* (L.f.) D. Don, Sugi cedar), an evergreen conifer, is the only species of the genus *Cryptomeria* in Cupressaceae. It is distributed mainly in Japan, but *C. fortunei*, which is genetically identical to *C. japonica* is scarcely distributed in Zhejiang, China (Tsumura et al., 1995). In Japan, its natural range is Lat. 30° to 40°

93 with mean annual precipitation > 1800 mm (Tsukada 1982). *C. japonica*, which grows rapidly with a maximum height
94 greater than 50 m, has been used for timber production since the prehistoric period. At present, it dominates
95 approximately 45% of the forest area in Japan. Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.),
96 distributed in Japan and Taiwan, is also an evergreen conifer in the *Cupressaceae* family. The northern limit of its natural
97 range (Lat. 30°-37°) is lower in latitude than that of *C. japonica*. Due to low snow resistance, the species rarely appear
98 coastal area of Sea of Japan, where there is plenty of snow in winter. Although *C. obtusa* grows slower than *C. japonica*,
99 it produces high-quality wood and thus has also long been a commercially important species in Japan. *Chamaecyparis*
100 *obtusa* dominates 15% of the forest area in Japan.

101 2.2 Plant trait database

102 The sugi-hinoki database (SugiHinoki DB) consists of 24683 data entries for 177 traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* from
103 364 primary sources including journal papers, unpublished data and grey literature (e.g., reports, theses, articles) (Osone et al.,
104 2020). The traits, grouped into 15 categories by their features (Table 1), are those that are widely agreed on as relevant to plant
105 life-history strategies, vegetation modelling and global change responses (Grime, 1977; Díaz et al., 2004; Kleyer et al., 2008;
106 Kattge et al., 2011). Because of the limited distribution of the species, data were mainly obtained from forest sites in Japan
107 (30°20'N, 130°32'E - 41°25'N, 140°6'E) but also from arboreturns or plantations in Taiwan, Korea and China. The database
108 includes data from plants grown in plantation forests, natural forests, and those grown under experimental conditions. Each
109 data entry is accompanied by ancillary information about the location, environmental conditions, experimental treatment,
110 measurement methods, status of measured individuals in the stand and the position of measured parts (e.g., upper or lower
111 crown for photosynthetic measurements). Further details on the database are given in Osone et al. (2020).

112 Table 1 lists 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB (Osone et al., 2020). The traits with the highest number
113 of data entries are mass-based nutrient contents such as mass-based foliar N concentration (N_m , 2875), K concentration (K_m ,
114 1076) and P concentration (P_m , 1049), which had once been easy-to-measure indices of plant physiological status. Other basic
115 foliar properties, including photosynthetic capacities ($A_{max,a}$, 431; $A_{max,m}$, 985), SLA (623), foliar pressure-volume curve
116 parameters (Ψ_{tlp} , 423; RWC_{tlp} 194; Ψ_{ssat} , 351; ϵ , 154) and midday (minimum) foliage water potential (Ψ_{md} , 559), are also those
117 with the highest number of data entries. On the other hand, fewer data are available for properties that require more complex
118 measurements, such as water conductance/conductivity and the hydraulic safety during water transport. *C. japonica* and *C.*
119 *obtusa* showed similar patterns in data abundance among traits, but *C. japonica* had more data for most traits (81 out of 108
120 traits). Each trait of a species showed quite large variation in the values since the database contains data for trees of different
121 ages, grown under different conditions and measured at different times of the day or year. The data distribution of each trait
122 showed a convex curve when data were abundant, but distributions were mostly positively skewed.

123 2.3 Selection of traits for the comparison of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*

124 To detect the ecophysiological basis for the empirical knowledge that *C. japonica* grows faster on nutrient-rich moist soil
 125 than *C. obtusa*, we selected 20 traits that are considered relevant to plant growth and water relations from SugiHinokiDB.
 126 Those include the maximum photosynthetic rate per area (A_{max_a}), maximum carboxylation rate per area (V_{cmax_a}), maximum
 127 electron transport rate per area (J_{max_a}), foliar dark respiration rate per area (R_a), stomatal conductance for CO_2 per area (g_{s_a}),
 128 foliar N per area (N_a), SLA, foliar water potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}), foliar relative water content at the turgor loss
 129 point (RWC_{tlp}), foliar osmotic potential at full turgor ($\Psi_{\pi sat}$), bulk elastic modulus (ϵ), soil-to-foliage water conductance (K_{s-}
 130 l), stem specific conductivity (K_{stem}), tracheid diameter of the stem, tracheid length of the stem, basic density of the stem,
 131 xylem water potential at a 50% loss of conductivity (Ψ_{50}), foliage mass (LM), stem mass (SM) and root mass (RM).
 132 Abbreviations and units of the traits used in the analyses are shown in Table 1.

133 2.4 Selection of traits for the analysis of foliage age or height dependency

134 For the analysis of age (size) dependency, we used the foliage nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (N_m), foliage
 135 phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (P_m), foliage potassium content per foliage dry mass (K_m), specific leaf area (SLA)
 136 and midday foliage water potential (Ψ_{md}). These are key traits for plant growth and water relations, and changes in these traits
 137 with age or size could have considerable effects on stand growth, carbon and nutrient cycling, and thus forest management. In
 138 SugiHinoki DB, they are abundant in data entries from many sources where measurements were performed for many trees of
 139 different ages or sizes. However, since the data were not obtained from a single carefully controlled experiment but were the
 140 compilation of multiple studies, we could not separate size and age effects that may independently affect foliage traits. Thus,
 141 we assessed the dependency by the strength of the correlation between each trait and age and height.

142 2.5 Two measures of foliage-area based traits

143 Shoots of *C. japonica* have complex structures, with needles being attached densely and helicoidally to a stalk, while shoots
 144 of *C. obtusa* are planar with scale-like leaves arranged on a flat surface (Fig. 1). As a result, in *C. japonica*, there are large
 145 differences in projected needle area and the shoot silhouette area, and thus the area-based foliar traits differ largely depending
 146 on whether the ‘foliage area’ is projected needle (or scale) area (A_n) or the shoot silhouette area (A_s). The relationship between
 147 the trait values presented on a needle area basis (T_{leaf}/A_n) and shoot silhouette area basis (T_{leaf}/A_s) for any trait (T_{leaf}) is given
 148 as

$$149 \frac{T_{leaf}}{A_n} = SPAR \frac{T_{leaf}}{A_s}, \quad (1)$$

150 where SPAR is the shoot silhouette and projected needle area ratio (Stenberg, 1996; Ishii et al., 2007) and is given as

$$151 SPAR = \frac{A_s}{A_n}, \quad (2)$$

158 Similarly, the relationship between needle area-based SLA (A_n/M_n) and shoot silhouette area-based SLA (A_s/M_s) is

where Mn and Ms are the needle mass of a shoot and the mass of a shoot, respectively. In the database, these two values were stored individually. In this analysis, we focused on both needle/scale area-based (presented with suffix ‘n’ after trait abbreviation such as ‘Amax_{an}’) and shoot silhouette area-based traits (presented with suffix ‘s’ after trait abbreviation such as ‘Amax_{as}’) since the two could have different ecological meanings, especially in terms of light capture (Carter and Smith, 1985; Leverenz and Hinckley, 1990; Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996; Thérézien et al., 2007).

For all selected data, errors were checked, and outliers, which we defined as data out of accepted ranges, were excluded from the database. Data collected under experimental conditions (plants grown in a greenhouse, growth chamber and lysimeter; plants grown in planters; plantation forests with experimental fertilization, etc.) were also excluded, which resulted in the exclusion of most young plants (0-2 years). Gas exchange rates and traits related to water relations were filtered for the measurements conducted during summer and early autumn (Jun-Oct), except where the focus was monthly or seasonal changes.

The age and height dependency of the foliar traits were assessed by regression analysis. Differences in means between species were tested by Student's t-test. All statistical analyses were performed with R Version 3.4.4 (R Core Team, 2018).

175 3.2 Differences in traits related to growth rate

In contrast to our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity and foliar (needle or scale) N concentration are higher in fast-growing *C. japonica* than in slow-growing *C. obtusa*, they were not significantly different between the species when presented on a foliage area basis ($A_{\max_{\text{an}}}$, $V_{\text{cmax}_{\text{an}}}$, $J_{\text{max}_{\text{an}}}$, N_{an}) (Fig. 2a-2d). However, since the two species have completely different

180 shoot morphologies (Fig. 1), a simple comparison of these foliage area-based measurements may fail to characterize their
181 photosynthetic properties (Smith et al., 1991; Smith and Brewer, 2002). Photosynthetic capacity is usually presented per unit
182 foliage area on the assumption that the foliage area represents the amount of solar radiation intercepted. However, the
183 interception of solar radiation is not directly related to the total foliage area unless foliage is oriented horizontally. In *C.*
184 *japonica*, where needles are attached helicoidally to a stalk, mutual shading could occur within the shoots, which reduces the
185 photosynthetic rate per needle area (Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996; Thrzien et al., 2007). In such shoots, as in many
186 other coniferous shoots, light interception is determined by the shoot silhouette area rather than the total needle area, and
187 photosynthetic characteristics should be evaluated based on both photosynthesis per needle area and per shoot silhouette area
188 (Stenberg et al., 1995).

189 On a shoot silhouette basis, the photosynthetic capacity and foliar N contents were higher for *C. japonica* ($A_{max_{as}}$, $V_{cmax_{as}}$,
190 $J_{max_{as}}$, N_{as}) than for *C. obtusa* ($A_{max_{an}}$, $V_{cmax_{an}}$, $J_{max_{an}}$, N_{an}), by 1.9 – 2.1 times (Fig. 3). The marked increases in the
191 photosynthetic capacity of *C. japonica* when presented based on the shoot silhouette area are theoretically justified as follows.
192 Photosynthesis per silhouette area is photosynthesis per needle area divided by SPAR (eqn. 1), which is 0.53-0.73 in *C.*
193 *japonica* (SugiHinoki DB). The $A_{max_{as}}$ calculated by eqn. 1 with this SPAR and $A_{max_{an}}$ ($7.55 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is 10.34-14.25
194 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, which is similar to the measured $A_{max_{as}}$ (Fig. 3a). Note that the two measures of photosynthesis are consistent
195 in *C. obtusa* in which shoot is planar and SPAR is one. The higher $A_{max_{as}}$ in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* despite the similar
196 $A_{max_{an}}$ suggests that under saturating irradiance, densely packed needles on the shoots of *C. japonica* can absorb more
197 irradiance than the planar shoots of *C. obtusa* and thereby achieve a higher photosynthetic rate per shoot. However, this could
198 be at the expense of the photosynthetic efficiency of each needle in *C. japonica*; that is, at low irradiance, the photosynthetic
199 rate per needle area could be decreased more than that of *C. obtusa* due to mutual shading of needles.

200 This is analogous to the effects that the different anatomy of sun/shade leaves has on foliar photosynthesis or the different
201 structure of grass stands (steep foliage angle)/forb type (vertical foliage) on canopy photosynthesis (Monsi and Saeki, 1953;
202 Terashima and Saeki, 1983; Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995). Similar to sun leaves with thick tissue layers and grass stands
203 with high LAI, needle clumping would be favourable only if incident light is high and penetrates deep into the shoots. Under
204 these conditions, whole shoot productivity could be higher than that of planar shoots where shoot photosynthesis saturates at
205 lower light levels. If the incident light is low, however, light attenuates on the upper layers of the shoots without being
206 transmitted to deeper layers. Under these circumstances, planar shoots are preferable for higher efficiency of weak light capture
207 just as shade leaves or forb-type stands are preferred under low light availability. The differences in the light interception
208 between the two shoot types were also reflected in photosynthetic light response curves. *C. japonica* had a lower initial slope
209 (0.31) and convexity (0.59) than *C. obtusa* (initial slope, 0.48; convexity, 0.66) since photosynthesis increases and saturates at
210 a slower rate with increasing irradiance in three-dimensional shoots (data from SugiHinokiDB). This is a well-documented
211 pattern in the photosynthetic light response curves of sun/shade leaves and indicates that *C. obtusa* has characteristics of shade
212 leaves in comparison with *C. japonica*. Although our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity would be higher in *C. japonica*

than in *C. obtusa* was not supported on a per needle basis, their adaptation to different light environments is more apparent in their light use and photosynthesis at the shoot level.

The two shoot types may also differ in total light interception per day. Light interception is the most efficient when leaves are oriented to face the direction of the light source. Therefore, planar shoots (*C. obtusa*) can intercept light more efficiently than shoots that have needles with various orientations (*C. japonica*) when the light source is just above them and is weak. However, since the solar azimuth angle changes considerably during a day, shoots that have needles with various orientations may be able to intercept more light and have higher assimilation rate on a daily basis than planar shoots, especially under strong light conditions (Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996). These results also imply that *C. japonica* is advantageous over *C. obtusa* in open habitats where strong light is available for longer times during a day. Such environments do appear in early stages of plantations before canopy closure. Thus, *C. japonica* may be able to grow faster than *C. obtusa* due to higher daily photosynthesis in this stage of a plantation.

3.2.2 SLA

SLA (projected foliage area per foliage mass) was not significantly different between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* (Fig. 3a). This contradicts our hypothesis and the vast majority of studies that have reported a correlation between SLA and the relative growth rate across a wide range of plant species (Poorter and Remkes, 1990; Cornelissen et al., 1996; Atkin et al., 1998; Poorter and Van der Werf, 1998; Reich et al., 1998a; Wright and Westoby, 2000; Osone et al., 2008). From the viewpoint of growth analysis, SLA contributes to the relative growth rate because a high SLA is assumed to represent a large photosynthetic surface (=area of light interception) per given foliage biomass (Hunt, 1978; Lambers et al., 2008). However, as discussed above, the relationship between needle area and light interception is not straightforward for three-dimensional shoots, and it is possible that *C. japonica*, with shoots of various needle orientations, has a higher daily assimilation rate than *C. obtusa* with planar shoots in certain environments. On the other hand, the consistent SLA of the two species appears reasonable from the viewpoint of the leaf economic spectrum, a multivariable correlation between key chemical, structural and physiological properties of leaves based on the carbon and nitrogen economy (e.g., Wright et al., 2004). One of the key axes of the leaf economic spectrum is that SLA is positively correlated with the mass based photosynthetic capacity and foliage N concentration and is negatively correlated with foliage longevity (Kikuzawa and Lechowicz, 2011). If so, the two species with a similar photosynthetic capacity (on a needle basis), foliage N concentration (needle basis) and foliage longevity (Fig. 2), should also be similar in SLA.

3.2.3 Biomass ratio between foliage and other organs

The biomass ratio between organs is also a factor that affects the growth rate because a relatively high foliar mass equates to a relatively large photosynthetic organ if other factors are equal (Hunt 1978; Lambers et al. 2008). At a given DBH or total biomass, the stem and root biomasses were not significantly different between the species, but foliar biomass and therefore the foliar mass ratio (LMR) were higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* (Fig. 4). This tendency was more pronounced

245 at smaller DBHs or total biomass, suggesting that the initial greater LMR could contribute to faster growth at early stages of
 246 *C. japonica* growth. There could be several reasons for the higher LMR in *C. japonica*. Since plants grown under high soil
 247 resource availability allocate more biomass to foliage at the expense of roots (Osone and Tateno, 2005, and references therein),
 248 *C. japonica*, which is often planted in fertile wet sites, might allocate more biomass to foliage than *C. obtusa*, which is planted
 249 in less fertile and dry sites. Another possible reason is related to the shoot and crown form of *C. japonica*. Generally, the leaf
 250 area index ($\approx$ leaf biomass) is larger in canopies where the light absorption coefficient (k) is smaller because light penetrates
 251 deeper into the canopy ($I_x = I_0 \exp(-kF_x$, where I_x and I_0 are the photon flux density at depth x and outside the canopy,
 252 respectively) and F_x is the cumulative LAI at depth x , first proposed by Monsi and Saeki 1953). One of the factors that
 253 decreases k is a steep foliage inclination (Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995 and references therein), and *C. japonica*, which has
 254 three-dimensional shoots, had a lower k (0.38) than *C. obtusa* with planar shoots (0.97, but only one data entry was available).
 255 Therefore, the shoot morphology that allows light penetration deeper into the crown may cause *C. japonica* to have a thick
 256 canopy (=high LMR).

257 3.3 Differences in traits related to water use

258 3.3.1 Stomatal conductance and transpiration rate

259 *C. japonica* exhibited 1.7- and 1.5-fold higher stomatal conductance to CO₂ ($g_{s_{an}}$) and transpiration rate (E_{an}), respectively,
 260 compared with *C. obtusa* (Fig. 5a, 5b). In concert with this, stomatal distribution and anatomy differed largely between the
 261 species. Coniferous stomata are generally distributed unevenly on foliar surfaces, forming a species-specific pattern of stomatal
 262 clusters called a “white band”. *C. japonica* has two thick white bands on every surface of the triangular pyramid-shaped needles,
 263 whereas *C. obtusa* has y-shaped white bands along the rims on the abaxial surface of its scales (Ma et al., 2007; Yazaki et al.,
 264 2015; Kim, 2018). In addition to this larger proportional area of white bands to the total foliage surface, the stomatal diameter
 265 of *C. japonica* was 1.5 times higher than that of *C. obtusa* (Fig. 5c).

266 Despite the lower $g_{s_{an}}$ and E_{an} in *C. obtusa*, $A_{max_{an}}$ was not significantly different between the species (Fig. 2a), suggesting
 267 that water use efficiency, that is, $g_{s_{an}}$ or E_{an} divided by $A_{max_{an}}$, is higher in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica*. The lower $g_{s_{an}}$ and
 268 E_{an} and higher water use efficiency are regarded as adaptations of drought-tolerant plants (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 2002),
 269 which supports the hypothesis that *C. obtusa* is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. However, adaptation to environments
 270 with different levels of water availability is represented not only by potential gas exchange rates but also by the magnitude and
 271 speed of the stomatal response to changing environments (Oren et al., 1999; Meinzer et al., 2016). Although stomatal sensitivity
 272 are less often measured than potential gas exchange rates are and they are not collected in SugiHinokiDB, some studies that
 273 estimated canopy stomatal conductance by sap flow measurements suggested that mature *C. japonica* trees were less sensitive
 274 to increasing VPD than *C. obtusa* (Kumagai et al., 2008; Tsuruta et al., 2020).

275 3.3.2 Foliage PV curve parameters

276 Among the parameters of the pressure-volume curve, Ψ_{tlp} (foliage water potential at the turgor loss point) showed the
 277 clearest species difference: Ψ_{tlp} was larger in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* throughout the year (Fig. 6a). Since plants with
 278 more negative Ψ_{tlp} are able to maintain cell turgor pressure under drought stress, thereby sustaining stomatal conductance,
 279 photosynthesis and growth, Ψ_{tlp} is thought to be predictive of the drought tolerance of a plant species (Scholander et al., 1965;
 280 Tyree and Jarvis, 1982; Tognetti et al., 2000; Baltzer et al., 2008; Blackman et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2018). Indeed, midday
 281 foliage water potential was lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* during summer (Fig. 6c) indicating that *C. obtusa* continued
 282 to open stomata until the water potential more decreased than that of *C. japonica*. This supported the hypothesis that *C. obtusa*
 283 is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. There are three possible ways in which Ψ_{tlp} becomes more negative: the
 284 accumulation of solutes such as sugar (decreases $\Psi_{\pi sat}$), a reduction in the symplastic water content through the redistribution
 285 of more water outside the cell walls (decreases $\Psi_{\pi sat}$) and an increase in cell wall flexibility (decreases ϵ) (Bartlett et al.,
 286 2012). $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ was slightly lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica*, but the species difference was marginal throughout the year
 287 (Fig. 6b). Unfortunately, ϵ values were limited in the database, and we could not make reliable comparisons of monthly ϵ
 288 between the species, but the small difference in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ suggests that the lower Ψ_{tlp} of *C. obtusa* might be due to its presumed
 289 smaller ϵ . Species differences in Ψ_{tlp} are more often correlated with $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ than with ϵ since a unit decrease in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ causes
 290 a larger decrease in Ψ_{tlp} than in ϵ (Saito et al., 2003; Mitchell et al., 2008; Bartlett et al., 2012; Meinzer et al., 2016). However,
 291 as was shown in the present study, there are also studies that showed a correlation between Ψ_{tlp} and ϵ (Niinemets, 2001).

292 The other marked difference in the PV curve parameters was that *C. japonica* had higher ϵ in winter (Nov-Apr) compared
 293 to summer (May-Oct), whereas *C. obtusa* showed no seasonal changes in ϵ (Fig. 7a). Winter increases in ϵ were also reported
 294 for *Eucalyptus* species (Valentini et al., 2012), Taiwan cedar (Hara et al., 1998) and Patagonian woody shrubs (Scholz et al.,
 295 2011; Zhang et al., 2016) and are thought to reduce physical injury to cell membranes by making cell walls more rigid (higher
 296 ϵ) during extracellular freezing and/or thawing processes. However, if increases in ϵ occur in response to freezing resistance,
 297 it is not clear why only *C. japonica* exhibited this response while both species exhibited a winter decrease in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ (Fig. 7),
 298 which is also a well-known response to freezing (Sakai, 1982; Sakai and Larcher, 1987). Considering that *C. japonica* is more
 299 water demanding, another explanation may be possible for the winter increase in ϵ — the ‘cell water conservation hypothesis’
 300 (Cheung et al., 1975; Bartlett et al., 2012). Theoretically, reductions in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ decrease both Ψ_{tlp} and RWC_{tlp} . However, a
 301 coordinated reduction in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ and an increase in ϵ would lower Ψ_{tlp} while maintaining a constant RWC_{tlp} , which would
 302 result in tolerance for freezing and also prevent dangerous cell dehydration and shrinkage. In *C. japonica*, ϵ was negatively
 303 and strongly correlated with $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ (Fig. 7a), and in accordance with the theory, RWC_{tlp} remained constant irrespective of
 304 $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ (Fig. 7b). In contrast, in *C. obtusa*, where reductions in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ did not accompany increases in ϵ , RWC_{tlp} decreased
 305 with reductions in $\Psi_{\pi sat}$ (Fig. 7a, 7b). Plant species have a minimum cellular water content to maintain metabolic functions
 306 (Lawlor and Cornic, 2002). Since *C. japonica* has a higher minimum tissue water requirement for survival than *C. obtusa*

(Sato, 1956), it may adjust ε in coordination with Ψ_{sat} to constantly maintain RWCTp above this minimum tissue water content.

3.3.3 Hydraulic architecture

Soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance ($K_{\text{S-L}}$), an index of whole-plant hydraulic efficiency, was 1.6 times higher in seedlings of *C. japonica* than in seedlings of *C. obtusa* (Fig. 8a). Hydraulic conductance is a major determinant of plant water status and stomatal behaviour (Zimmermann, 1978; Meinzer et al., 2001) because of the relationship $E_{\text{an}} = K_{\text{S-L}} (\Psi_{\text{pd}} - \Psi_{\text{L}})$, where Ψ_{pd} is the leaf water potential measured at predawn, which represents the soil water potential, and Ψ_{L} is the leaf water potential. In accordance with this relationship, *C. japonica*, with a higher $K_{\text{S-L}}$, had a higher transpiration rate than *C. obtusa* (Fig. 5b), maintaining a higher midday leaf water potential (Fig. 6c). Extensive measurements of $K_{\text{S-L}}$ across species have revealed the adaptive significance of hydraulic conductance across functional groups; that is, pioneer, mesic and drought-avoiding species have higher hydraulic conductance than late successional, xeric and drought-tolerant species (Tyree et al., 1998, Nardini and Tyree, 1999, Nardini and Pitt, 1999; Stratton et al., 2000, references in Meinzer et al., 2001). The higher $K_{\text{S-L}}$ in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* appears in line with this. However, since $K_{\text{S-L}}$ depends on the water transport distance, here, we used only seedling data where comparisons at similar sizes (ca. 1 m in height) were possible and are not sure whether this is also true for adult trees.

Since $K_{\text{S-L}}$ is determined by the conductivity of all organs along the whole water transport pathway, knowledge on the hydraulic conductivity of each would provide more insight for water use. Unfortunately, we still have limited knowledge on organ hydraulic conductivity of these species. The only available information, i.e., stem-specific conductivity presented as hydraulic conductance per stem sapwood area (K_{stem}), was not significantly different between the two species (Fig. 8b), suggesting that the higher $K_{\text{S-L}}$ of *C. japonica* could be due to the higher leaf and/or root hydraulic conductivity of this species.

In addition to hydraulic efficiency, water transport safety is another important factor of hydraulic architecture. Although we have even less information for hydraulic safety than for hydraulic conductivity, xylem pressure corresponding to a 50% loss of conductivity (Ψ_{50}), an index of safety from embolism (Choat et al., 2012), was 1.4 times higher in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* (Fig. 8C). This means that *C. obtusa* can endure more severe negative pressure than *C. japonica*, which supports the empirical knowledge that this species is more tolerant to drought. However, in Japan, where precipitation is generally high throughout the year, plants rarely experience such extreme negative pressure, represented by the Ψ_{50} (-6.7 MPa) in the xylem of *C. obtusa*, and the extent to which the differences in Ψ_{50} are relevant to their habitat preference is not obvious. Recently, Ψ_{e} , which is the xylem pressure at the start of conductivity loss, has been considered to be a more suitable index for drought tolerance than Ψ_{50} in nonextreme habitats (Meinzer et al., 2009). Although Ψ_{e} is less focused on than Ψ_{50} , studies show that plants control stomatal conductance to maintain xylem pressure near Ψ_{e} (Sparks and Black, 1999; Brodribb et al., 2003; Domec et al., 2008), indicating that Ψ_{e} could be a key factor linking stomatal control and xylem pressure. Measurements of Ψ_{e} , therefore, would lead to a better understanding of differences in the drought response of these species. In conifers, hydraulic

vulnerability segmentation, that is, a lower resistance to embolism in the distal segments that ensures the safety of the more proximal stems, is also a common hydraulic strategy (Johnson et al., 2016). In this case, not the hydraulic resistance of stems themselves but the differences in resistance between distal segments and more proximal segments (e.g., differences in Ψ_{50} between leaves and stems) should be more important. However, because characterization of hydraulic safety is tedious and time-consuming, relatively few data are available in this field overall (Blackman et al., 2010; Santiago et al., 2018), and this is also the case for these species (Table 1).

The tracheid diameter of the stem xylem was 1.26 times larger in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*, and the length was not significantly different (Fig. 8d, 8e). The basic density of stem wood (wood density) was lower in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* (Fig. 8f). Generally, the tracheid structure is considered to be closely related to water transport efficiency and drought safety, such as cavitation in the xylem (Delzon et al., 2010; Jansen et al., 2012). Conducting efficiency increases with tracheid diameter according to the Hagen–Poiseuille law, and it is also correlated with the tracheid length because a longer tracheid can result in conductive pits in the end walls, where water flow is significantly limited, being farther apart (Hacke et al., 2006; Pittermann et al., 2006a, 2010). If so, stem specific conductivity should be higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*, but it was not significantly different between the species (Fig. 8b). The reason is not clear, but the pit structure, which could affect conductivity and for which we have no information for these species, might play a role (Delzon et al., 2010; Jansen et al., 2012). A more negative Ψ_{50} is also known to be associated with a smaller tracheid diameter and greater basic density because it requires mechanical strength to support the xylem conduit against implosion caused by negative pressures (Hacke et al., 2001; Pittermann et al., 2006b; Ogasa et al., 2013). The smaller tracheid diameter, higher wood density and more negative xylem Ψ_{50} in *C. obtusa* compared with *C. japonica* are in line with the hypothesis.

3.4 Age and height dependency

Tree age and/or size, especially height, usually affect many foliar functional traits, such as photosynthetic traits, stomatal behaviour, morphology, water use and nutrient concentrations, in various tree species, including angiosperms and gymnosperms in tropical, temperate, boreal and even semiarid areas (Ellsworth and Reich, 1993; Ryan and Yoder, 1997; Bond, 2000; Rijkers et al., 2000; Koch et al., 2004; Duursma et al., 2006; Kenzo et al., 2006, 2015, 2016; Ryan et al., 2006; Azuma et al., 2016; Chin and Sillett, 2019; Liu and Hikosaka, 2020). These age- and/or size-related foliage changes are important for understanding the forest growth rate, timber yield and carbon balance because they have a strong relationship with individual-based functional traits such as photosynthetic productivity and drought tolerance (Ryan et al., 2006). In this section, we demonstrate the effects of tree age and/or height on foliar nutrient concentrations, especially nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K), specific leaf area (SLA) and midday minimum water potential in the foliage, using a database. These foliar traits generally indicate the photosynthetic ability and stomatal behaviour of plants, e.g., there is a positive relationship between the nitrogen concentration and maximum photosynthetic rate at light saturation, and the minimum midday water potential indicates plant drought stress (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Lamberts et al., 1998). If we can clearly demonstrate those relationships in *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, the findings will contribute to the development of accurate estimation models to

373 predict future forest production and carbon dynamics in those forests. In addition, since the analysed data sets were obtained
374 from sunlit foliage, the effects of light intensity on tree height can be ignored as much as possible.

375 3.4.1 Foliage nutrient contents

376 Changes in foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and/or height showed different patterns depending on tree species
377 and nutrient type. The foliar N concentration was similar among the age classes of *C. japonica*, whereas that of *C. obtusa*
378 decreased significantly with age (Fig 9a, 9b). On the other hand, foliar P and K concentrations in *C. japonica* decreased
379 significantly with age class (Fig. 9c, 9e). A similar age-dependent reduction in the P concentration was observed in *C. obtusa*
380 (Fig. 9d), although the K concentration was constant with age (Fig. 9f). Various patterns of changes in foliar nutrient contents
381 with tree size and/or age have been reported. Although several cases indicate an increase in the foliar nitrogen content with
382 tree size and age (Chen et al. 2018 for N of Fabaceae tree species), many other cases showed decreased (Beets and Madwick,
383 1988; Polglase and Attiwill, 1992; Bargali et al., 1992; Hooker and Compton, 2003; Tanaka-Oda et al., 2010; Yang and Luo,
384 2011) or constant (Polglase and Attiwill, 1992; Clinton et al., 2002; Kenzo et al., 2012, 2015; Givnish et al., 2014; Chen et al.,
385 2018) contents of foliar N and P with tree size and age. These variations may be caused by species-specific traits, soil nutrient
386 availability, and sampling effects caused by rather small datasets (Thomas and Winner, 2002). In the present study, it is
387 believed that the influence of sampling effects is small due to the large data sets, e.g., 200-1500 data points (forest age up to
388 80 years old, tree height up to 40 m and grown on various forest soil types), used to examine the relationship between each
389 tree species and nutrient. Increasing nutrient accumulation to living biomass and coarse woody debris with forest development
390 may cause decreased foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and height through a reduction in soil nutrient availability
391 (Bond, 2000; Clinton et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2018). A reduction in soil nutrient concentrations with forest development has
392 been reported in the early stages of forest growth (Ohta, 1990; Kleinman et al., 1995; Hattori et al., 2013). In addition, higher
393 drought stress, such as hydraulic limitation with tree height, promotes the investment of carbon into foliage compared with
394 physiological functions, which require more N, P, and K to protect against dehydration (Niinemets, 1997; Thomas, 2010;
395 Kenzo et al., 2012). As a result, invested carbon dilutes the foliar nutrient concentrations. These changes are likely to be true
396 for changes in the P and K concentrations of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* trees. In contrast, several authors have suggested that
397 tree size- or age-related foliar N contents may have a unimodal relationship rather than a simple linear relationship (Ishii et al.,
398 2008; Thomas, 2010; Kenzo et al., 2012). If nonlinear changes in foliar N occurred in each *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* stand,
399 it may be difficult to identify a clear linear relationship of N concentration with tree size and height by using the present pooled
400 analysis. Further studies should consider other factors, such as soil type and slope position, to understand more detailed changes
401 in foliar nutrients with age and height.

402 The difference in the changes in each nutrient between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* is believed to reflect the interspecific
403 differences in nutrient demand and usage and growth habitats. For example, it has long been known that *C. japonica* forests
404 are planted under moister conditions in nutrient-rich soil, and their nutrient dynamics are faster than those of *C. obtusa* forests,

which usually grow on upper slopes with poor nutrient and water availability (e.g., Sawata and Kato, 1993; Tanikawa et al., 2014). These differences may affect the age- and height-dependent changes in foliar nutrients.

The stoichiometry of N to P changed with tree age and height based on different patterns of changes in foliar N and P concentrations. In *C. japonica*, where the foliar P concentration decreased with forest age and the N concentration did not change, the NP ratio increased significantly with the age of the forest (Fig. 9g). In *C. obtusa*, the N:P ratio tended to increase but was not significant (slope = 0.024, $P = 0.34$). The NP ratio is an index of soil nutrient limitation, e.g., P limitation occurs if the ratio is higher than 16, N limitation typically occurs if the ratio is lower than 14, and N and P are co-limiting if the value is 14-16 (Koerselman and Meuleman, 1996). The maximum NP ratio of *C. japonica* is 16.9, and 87.2% of all data points have values of 14 or lower, suggesting that *C. japonica* stands are generally N-limited. However, since the NP ratio increases with age, the stands shift from being N- to being P-limited with maturity. *C. obtusa* also has an NP ratio of 14 or less, and approximately 77% of the data points are considered to be in the N-limited range (Fig. 9h).

3.4.2 Foliage water potential and specific leaf area (SLA)

As tree height increases, drought stress increases in the upper part of the canopy, which in turn affects morphological and physiological responses of tree foliage (Koch et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2006). The foliar midday water potential, which indicates the degree of tree drought stress, significantly decreased with height and age in *C. japonica* trees (Fig. 11a, 12a) and with age in *C. obtusa* trees (Fig. 11b). These reductions in tree height have been reported for various tree species worldwide and cause hydraulic limitations, such as a reduction in photosynthesis through stomatal limitations (Fredericksen et al., 1996; Ryan and Yoder, 1997; McDowell et al., 2002; Woodruff et al., 2004; Ishii et al., 2008; Ambrose et al., 2009). Interestingly, the recovery of photosynthetic ability by grafting canopy tree shoots onto saplings of *C. japonica* supported the occurrence of hydraulic limitations in tall trees of this species (Matsuzaki et al., 2005). The slopes of Ψ_{md} with the tree height of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* are -0.137 and -0.089 MPa m^{-1} , respectively. These slopes are gentler than those of tropical rainforest trees (-0.0282 MPa m^{-1} , Kenzo et al. 2015) and similar to the temperate conifer *Sequoia sempervirens* (-0.0100 to -0.0113 MPa m^{-1}) and Douglas fir (-0.019 MPa m^{-1}) (Koch et al., 2004; Ishii et al., 2008). In general, to tolerate a decrease in Ψ_{md} , the foliar water potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}) must be reduced (Inoue et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018). Foliar osmotic adjustment as well as structural strength to withstand low negative pressure to achieve lower Ψ_{tlp} and foliage strength is accompanied by a decrease in SLA. In fact, the SLA of sugi, whose Ψ_{md} significantly decreased with tree age and height, decreased with tree height and age. Many studies have reported that SLA decreases with tree age and height (Thomas and Winner, 2002; Mediavilla and Escuderl, 2003; Koch et al., 2004; Kenzo et al., 2006, 2015, 2016; Coble et al., 2014; Azuma et al., 2016). In addition, Thomas and Winner (2002) showed that SLA decreases with tree age in all existing studies based on meta-analysis. On the other hand, the SLA of *C. obtusa* did not show a significant change with either tree age or height (Fig. 11d, 12d). Although there was no clear reason, *C. obtusa* is more resistant to drought stress than *C. japonica*, and thus, changes in SLA may be small. However, the smaller size and age range in *C. obtusa* compared with *C. japonica* may cause this constant change in SLA because Shiraki

et al. (2016) recently reported that SLA decreased significantly at a single canopy height in a *C. obtusa* tree. Thus, further data collection on older and taller *C. obtusa* trees is needed to understand tree age and size dependency with respect to SLA.

3.5 Comparison with broader species in Cupressaceae with respect to drought tolerance

Through the present analysis, clear differences in growth and water use characteristics were observed between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, although the differences were at most ca. 50% (excluding stomatal size). The Cupressaceae family, to which *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* belong, consists of more than 100 species with a marked diversity in physiology, morphology and habitat preference (Pittermen et al., 2012). How much do the contrasts we found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in the present study account for the ranges in traits exhibited by all Cupressaceae species? Here, we compare traits related to drought tolerance between the two species and other Cupressaceae species using data from Pitterman et al. (2012) to gain more insight into the ecological characteristics of these species.

Cupressaceae species first appeared in the warm and humid Mesozoic and differentiated in the cool and dry Cenozoic (Gifford and Foster, 1989). Reflecting the climatic conditions under which each species evolves, early diverging species prefer mesic-hydric habitats, while derived species are adapted to arid climates (Pittermann et al., 2012). As a result of these adaptations, the species exhibit a gradient of foliage morphology (from needle-like in basal species to scaly, similar to foliage in derived species) and other drought tolerance or water use characteristics (Pittermann et al., 2010; Brodribb et al., 2014; Gleason et al., 2016). In fact, *Juniperus sabina*, which has needle and scaly foliage at the ontogenic stage within the same individual, showed that needle foliage had higher photosynthesis with lower drought tolerance than scale-like foliage (Tanaka-Oda et al., 2010).

There was a significant relationship between Ψ_{50} and the foliar and xylem functional traits (Fig. 13). Species with higher wood density (Fig. 13a), lower xylem specific conductivity (Fig. 13b), low stomatal conductance (Fig. 13c), and a lower photosynthetic rate (Fig. 13d) were associated with decreased Ψ_{50} , indicating a higher ability to withstand drought. The trade-off between xylem water permeability and vulnerability mediated by xylem anatomy has been well documented across Cupressaceae species, although it might not be a global pattern (Meinzer et al., 2009; Gleason et al., 2016). Among these axes, basal species (e.g., *Glyptostrobus*, *Taxodium*, *Metasequoia*) that grow in moist environments have lower Ψ_{50} with low wood density (Fig. 13a), higher xylem-specific conductivity (Fig. 13b), and higher area-based stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate (Fig. 13c, 13d), whereas derived species growing in dry environments such as *Callitris*, *Juniperus*, and *Widdringtonia*, are on the opposite side of the axis (Fig. 13a,b,c,d).

The traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* also fell on these correlation lines (Fig. 13). Among these axes, *C. japonica*, which is more basal than *C. obtusa*, was located next to the lowest Ψ_{50} (= the highest gas exchange) group, consisting of species of *Glyptostrobus*, *Taxodium*, and *Metasequoia*. This seems reasonable given that these genera form the same clade as *C. japonica*. *C. obtusa*, which had a slightly lower Ψ_{50} than *C. japonica*, was located almost in the middle of the axes where close relatives, such as *Chamaecyparis lawsonia* (the same genus with *C. obtusa*) and *Thuja* and *Thujopsis* species, occurred. However, across all Cupressaceae species, the difference between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* was not large, nor was *C. obtusa* especially high

in drought tolerance within the main Cupressaceae species. Japan, which is surrounded by the sea and has high-altitude mountains, has not suffered an extremely dry climate, even after the Cenozoic era, and only a few species of *Juniperus* with strong drought tolerance grow in alpine and coastal areas. Rather, there exist many relict genera, such as *Chamaecyparis*, *Thuja* and *Thujopsis*, which are located in the middle of the axis. This suggests that the mild climate of the Japanese archipelago became a refugia of these species with moderate drought tolerance in the arid Cenozoic (Farjon, 2008). However, high drought tolerance is generally achieved at the expense of a low growth rate. Therefore, the moderate drought tolerance of *C. obtusa* ensures a moderate growth rate and makes the species a major alternative to *C. japonica* at relatively dry forestry sites in Japan.

4 Implication for climate change

The detailed comparison of two major species highlights the importance of incorporating trait data into forest ecosystem models for more accurately predicting responses to climate change. Parameterizing models with various trait data enables us to obtain more realistic responses of trees and predictions. Recent studies demonstrate the limitations of plant functional type approaches and claim the need for trait-based approaches, for example, hydraulic responses (Anderegg, 2015). Most models at present are plant functional-type based; in other words, default parameters are prepared for different plant functional types; however, recent trait data compilation studies, such as this study, clearly demonstrate the diversity of traits among tree species even in the same plant functional type. The latest study even proposes flexible trait models for the next generation of vegetation models (Berzaghi et al., 2020). These trait-based approaches would succeed more easily in manmade pure forests than in mixed natural forests.

More specifically, our study provides important implications about possible differences in the species' responses to climate change: no differences in SLA and photosynthetic ability per needle area were found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; on the other hand, the different structures of shoots and canopies between the two species may cause a difference in the amount of photosynthetic production per day. In particular, in the early planting period from just after plantation to canopy closure, *C. japonica* may have more advantages than *C. obtusa* due to its high photosynthetic production under bright light conditions. However, a big-leaf model, which is a typical photosynthesis model, does not explicitly describe the photosynthetic ability per part, structure or mass (Thrzien et al., 2007). For instance, values are the same for *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in the Biome-BGC model for most of the parameters. The response of stomata to climate change, such as the response of stomatal conductance to vapor pressure deficit (VPD), may differ between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, but it is not clear at this stage due to a lack of data. In other words, it is possible to accurately grasp the type and amount of data that are lacking for modelling by using the database, which allows us to make an experimental plan to efficiently collect data according to a specific purpose. Regarding water use, we found various differences in related traits between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; however, in general, most traits related to drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety except for gas exchange traits are rarely incorporated into models. This is perhaps partly because of the lack of data; drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety are new fields that have recently emerged.

In Japan, *C. obtusa* is a species planted in dry sites, while *C. japonica* is planted in wet sites; however, our compilation revealed that the drought tolerance of *C. obtusa* was moderate within the wide range of traits of the global Cupressaceae family. In fact, there are several reports of drought damage in *C. obtusa* forests, particularly in western Japan, which experiences more severe drought more often than in eastern Japan (Ogawa et al., 1996; Sanui et al., 1998). These facts imply that it is very likely that not only *C. japonica* but also *C. obtusa* will suffer from possible more severe droughts induced by future climate change.

5. Conclusion

The present study challenged the empirical knowledge of two contrasting plantation species in Japan. The intensive analysis of plant trait database clearly demonstrates that tree ecological traits recorded support traditional knowledge and empirical plantation management, such as preferable planting sites for both *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Overall, even if the photosynthesis per foliage area is the same for *C. japonica*, photosynthetic production is higher due to the high shoot-level light utilization efficiency. In addition, high biomass allocation to the foliage and the low wood density of *C. japonica* result in a high stem volume yield. On the other hand, *C. obtusa* has high drought tolerance due to its lower transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and water potential at the foliar turgor loss point, whereas its photosynthesis at the shoot level is lower than that of *C. japonica*. These characteristics are consistent with traditional knowledge of suitable planting sites for both species (*C. japonica* on wet lower slopes and *C. obtusa* on dry ridges). Our finding that the most functional characteristics change according to tree age and/or height indicates that forest management also reflects a functional shift with the ontogeny of the tree. For example, to maximize tree production, fertilization to prevent foliar functional deterioration and thinning to compensate for the water supply can be considered with forest ageing. Furthermore, those approaches may also help to solve problems that cannot be addressed by empirical knowledge alone, i.e., the trait database is a powerful tool for modelling and predicting how forests respond to future temperature and precipitation associated with climate change, long-term cutting operations (e.g., more than 100 years), and more intense thinning to form mixed forests that contain broadleaf species. On the other hand, within the global Cupressaceae family, both species have moderate drought tolerance and photosynthetic rates, and the traits may be consistent with the historical climate of the Japanese archipelago being warm and humid without severe drought. The relatively low tolerance of both species may indicate a weak ability to withstand severe dry events associated with future climate change. This study clearly demonstrated that the plant trait database provides us a promising opportunity to verify out empirical knowledge of plantation strategies and help us to seek more climate change tolerant forest managements.

6 Author contributions

YO, SH and TK collected the data, analyzed the data, and drafted and edited the paper.

532 **7 Competing interests**

533 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

534

535 **8 Acknowledgements**

536 This study was funded as part of the project “Research on evaluation of influence of climate change on plantation in Japan”
537 from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.

538 **References**

- 539 Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., et al.: A global overview of
540 drought and heat-induced tree mortal-ity reveals emerging climate change risks for forests, *Forest. Ecol. Manag.*, 259,
541 660–684, 2010.
- 542 Ambrose, A. R., Sillett, S. C., and Dawson, T. E.: Effects of tree height on branch hydraulics, leaf structure and gas exchange
543 in California redwoods, *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 32, 743–757, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01950.x>, 2009.
- 544 Anderegg, W. R. L.: Spatial and temporal variation in plant hydraulic traits and their relevance for climate change impacts on
545 vegetation, *New Phytol.*, 205, 1008–1014, <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12907>, 2015.
- 546 Anderegg, W. R. L., Klein, T., Bartlett, M., Sack, L., Pellegrini, A. F. A., Choat, B. and Jansen, S.: Meta-analysis reveals that
547 hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced tree mortality across the globe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
548 *S. A.*, 113, 5024–5029, doi:10.1073/pnas.1525678113, 2016.
- 549 Atkin, O. K., Schortemeyer, M., McFarlane, N., and Evans, J. R.: Variation in the components of relative growth rate in 10
550 *Acacia* species from contrasting environments, *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 21, 1007–1017, 1998.
- 551 Azuma, W., Ishii, H. R., Kuroda, K., and Kuroda, K.: Function and structure of leaves contributing to increasing water storage
552 with height in the tallest *Cryptomeria japonica* trees of Japan, *Trees*, 30, 141–152, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1283-3)
553 [1283-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1283-3), 2016.
- 554 Baltzer, J. L., Davies, S. J., Bunyavejchewin, S., and Noor, N. S. M.: The role of desiccation tolerance in determining tree
555 species distributions along the Malay-Thai Peninsula, *Funct. Ecol.*, 22, 221–231, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01374.x)
556 [2435.2007.01374.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01374.x), 2008.
- 557 Bargali, S. S., Singh, R. P., and Singh, S. P.: Structure and function of an age series of eucalypt plantations in central himalaya,
558 II. Nutrient dynamics, *Ann. Bot.*, 69, 413–421, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a088362>, 1992.
- 559 Bartlett, M. K., Scoffoni, C., and Sack, L.: The determinants of leaf turgor loss point and prediction of drought tolerance of
560 species and biomes: A global meta-analysis, *Ecol. Lett.*, 15, 393–405, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01751.x>,
561 2012.

562 Beets, P. N., and Madgwick, H. A. I.: Above-ground dry matter and nutrient content of *Pinus radiata* as affected by lupin,
563 fertiliser, thinning, and stand age, *New Zeal. J. For. Sci.*, 18, 43–64, 1988.

564 Berzaghi, F., Wright, I. J., Kramer, K., Oddou-Muratorio, S., Bohn, F. J., Reyser, C.P.O., et al: Towards a New Generation of
565 Trait-Flexible Vegetation Models. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 35, 191–205, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.11.006>, 2020.

566 Blackman, C. J., Brodribb, T. J., and Jordan, G. J.: Leaf hydraulic vulnerability is related to conduit dimensions and drought
567 resistance across a diverse range of woody angiosperms, *New Phytol.*, 188, 1113–1123, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03439.x>, 2010.

568

569 Bond, B. J.: Age-related changes in photosynthesis of woody plants, *Trends Plant Sci.*, 5, 349–353, 2000.

570 Bradshaw, R. H., Holmqvist, B. H., Cowling, S. A., and Sykes, M. T.: The effects of climate change on the distribution and
571 management of *Picea abies* in southern Scandinavia, *Can. J. For. Res.* 30, 1992-1998, 2000.

572 Brodribb, T. J., Holbrook, N. M., Edwards, E. J., and Gutiérrez, M. V.: Relations between stomatal closure, leaf turgor and
573 xylem vulnerability in eight tropical dry forest trees, *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 26, 443–450, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.00975.x>, 2003.

574

575 Brodribb, T. J., McAdam, S. A. M., Jordan, G. J., and Martins, S. C. V.: Conifer species adapt to low-rainfall climates by
576 following one of two divergent pathways, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 111, 14489–14493,
577 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407930111>, 2014.

578 Carter, G. A., and Smith, W. K.: Influence of shoot structure on light interception and photosynthesis in conifers, *Plant Physiol*,
579 79, 1038–1043, <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.79.4.1038>, 1985.

580 Chapin, III F. S., Matson, P. A. and Vitousek, P.: Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology, Springer Science & Business
581 Media, 2011.

582 Chen, L., Deng, Q., Yuan, Z., Mu, X., and Kallenbach, R. L.: Age-related C:N:P stoichiometry in two plantation forests in the
583 Loess Plateau of China, *Ecol. Eng.*, 120, 14–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.021>, 2018.

584 Cheung, Y. N. S., Tyree, M. T., Dainty, J: Water relations parameters on single leaves obtained in a pressure bomb and some
585 ecological interpretations, *Can. J. Bot.*, 53, 1342-1346, 1975.

586 Chin, A. R., and Sillett, S. C.: Within-crown plasticity in leaf traits among the tallest conifers, *Am. J. Bot.* 106:174-186, 2019.

587 Choat, B., Jansen, S., Brodribb, T. J., Cochard, H., Delzon, S., Bhaskar, R., et al.: Global convergence in the vulnerability of
588 forests to drought, *Nature*, 491, 752-755, 2012.

589 Clinton, P. W., Allen, R. B., and Davis, M. R.: Nitrogen storage and availability during stand development in a New Zealand
590 *Nothofagus* forest, *Can. J. For. Res.*, 32, 344–352, <https://doi.org/10.1139/x01-188>, 2002.

591 Coble, A. P., Autio, A., Cavaleri, M. A., et al.: Converging patterns of vertical variability in leaf morphology and nitrogen
592 across seven *Eucalyptus* plantations in Brazil and Hawaii, USA, *Trees*, 28, 1–15, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-0925-6>, 2014.

593

594 Cornelissen, J. H. C., Diez, P. C., and Hunt, R.: Seedling growth, allocation and leaf attributes in a wide range of woody plant
595 species and types, *J. Ecol.*, 84, 755-765, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2261337>, 1996.

596 D'Amato, A. W., Bradford, J. B., Fraver, S., Palik, B. J.: Forest management for mitigation and adaptation to climate change:
597 Insights from long-term silviculture experiments, *For. Ecol. Manag.*, 262, 803-816, 2011.

598 Delzon, S., Douthe, C., Sala, A., Cochard, H.: Mechanism of water-stress induced cavitation in conifers: bordered pit structure
599 and function support the hypothesis of seal capillary-seeding, *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 33, 2101-2111, 2010.

600 Díaz, S., Hodgson, J. G., Thompson, K., et al.: The plant traits that drive ecosystems: Evidence from three continents, *J. Veg.*
601 *Sci.*, 15, 295–304, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02266.x>, 2004.

602 Domec, J.- C., Lachenbruch, B., Meinzer, F. C., Woodruff, D. R., Warren, J. M., and McCulloh, K. A.: Maximum height in a
603 conifer is associated with conflicting requirements for xylem design, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 105, 12069–12074,
604 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710418105>, 2008.

605 Ellsworth, D. S., and Reich, P. B. Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous
606 forest, *Oecologia*, 96, 169-178, 1993.

607 Fredericksen, T. S., Steiner, K. C., Skelly, J. M., et al. Diel and seasonal patterns of leaf gas exchange and xylem water
608 potentials of different-sized *Prunus serotina* Ehrh. trees, *For. Sci.*, 42, 359–365,
609 <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestscience/42.3.359>, 1996.

610 Farjon, A: Natural history of conifers, Timber Press, Portland, 2008.

611 Foster, A. S., and Gifford, E. M.: Morphology and evolution of vascular plants, Freeman, W. H., New York, 1989.

612 Givnish, T. J., Wong, S. C., Stuart-Williams, H., Holloway-Phillips, M., and Farquhar, G. D.: Determinants of maximum tree
613 height in Eucalyptus species along a rainfall gradient in Victoria, Australia, *Ecology*, 95, 2991-3007, 2014.

614 Gleason, S. M., Westoby, M., Jansen, S., Choat, B., Hacke, U. G., Prat, R. B., et al.: Weak tradeoff between xylem safety and
615 xylem-specific hydraulic efficiency across the world's woody plant species, *New Phytol.*, 209, 123–136,
616 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13646>, 2016.

617 Grime, J.: Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary
618 theory, *Am. Nat.*, 111, 1169–1194, 1977.

619 Grime, J. P. Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities: Mechanisms and consequences, *J. Veg.*
620 *Sci.*, 17, 255–260, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02444.x>, 2006.

621 Hacke, U. G., Sperry, J. S., Pockman, W. T., Davis, S. D., and McCulloh, K. A.: Trends in wood density and structure are
622 linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure, *Oecologia*, 126, 457-461, 2001.

623 Hacke, U. G., Sperry, J. S., Wheeler, J. K., and Castro, L.: Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with safety and efficiency,
624 *Tree Physiol.* 26, 689–701, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.6.689>, 2006.

625 Hara, M., Norisada, M., Suzuki, M., Tange, T., and Yagi, H.: Changes in water relations with temperature decrease in
626 *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Taiwania cryptomerioides*, *Bull. Univ. Tokyo For.* 99, 111–123, 1998.

627 Hattori, D., Kenzo, T., Yamauchi, N., Irino, K.O., Kendawang, J. J., Ninomiya, I., and Sakurai, K.: Effects of environmental
628 factors on growth and mortality of *Parashorea macrophylla* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) planted on slopes and valleys in a
629 degraded tropical secondary forest in Sarawak, Malaysia, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 59, 218-228, 2013.

- 630 Hayashi, Y.: An illustrated book of useful trees. Seibundoshinkosha, Tokyo, 1969.
- 631 Hooker, T. D., and Compton, J. E.: Forest Ecosystem Carbon and Nitrogen Accumulation during the First Century after
632 Agricultural Abandonment. *Ecol Appl* 13:299–313. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761.2003), 2003.
- 633 Hunt, R.: Basic growth analysis. Unwin Hyman, London, 1990.
- 634 Inoue, Y., Ichie, T., Kenzo, T., Yoneyama, A., Kumagai, T., and Nakashizuka, T.: Effects of rainfall exclusion on leaf gas
635 exchange traits and osmotic adjustment in mature canopy trees of *Dryobalanops aromatica* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) in a
636 Malaysian tropical rain forest, *Tree Physiol.*, 37, 1301–1311, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx053>, 2017.
- 637 Inoue, Y., Araki, M.G., Kitaoka, S., Kenzo, T., and Saito, S. Relationship between projected shoot area and projected needle
638 area in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don trees, *J. Jpn. For. Soc.*, 102, 7-14, 2020, (In Japanese with English summary).
- 639 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Climate change 1995, The science of climate change, IPCC 1995
640 Assessment, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- 641 Ishii, H., Kitaoka, S., Fujisaki, T., Maruyama, Y., and Koike, T. Plasticity of shoot and needle morphology and photosynthesis
642 of two *Picea* species with different site preferences in northern Japan, *Tree Physiol.*, 27, 1595–1605,
643 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.11.1595>, 2007.
- 644 Ishii, H. T., Jennings, G. M., Sillett, S. C., and Koch, G. W.: Hydrostatic constraints on morphological exploitation of light in
645 tall *Sequoia sempervirens* trees, *Oecologia*, 156, 751–763, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1032-z>, 2008.
- 646 Jansen, S., Lamy, J. B., Burlett, R., Cochard, H., Gasson, P., and Delzon, S.: Plasmodesmatal pores in the torus of bordered
647 pit membranes affect cavitation resistance of conifer xylem. *Plant Cell Environ* 35:1109–1120, 2012.
- 648 Japan Forestry Agency Annual Report on Forest and Forestry in Japan FY 2018: Forest Agency, Tokyo, 2019.
- 649 Johnson, D. M., Wortemann, R., McCulloh, K. A., Jordan-meille, L., Ward, E., Warren, J. M., Palmroth, S., and Domec, J.-C.:
650 A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentation hypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species, *Tree Physiol.*, 36,
651 983–993, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw031>, 2016.
- 652 Kattge, J., Bönsch, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, L. C., Leadley, P., et al: TRY plant trait database – enhanced coverage
653 and open access, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 26, 119–188, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14904>, 2020.
- 654 Kattge, J., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, L. C., Leadley, P., Bönsch, G., et al: TRY - a global database of plant traits, *Glob.*
655 *Chang. Biol.*, 17, 2905–2935, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x>, 2011.
- 656 Kenzo, T., Ichie, T., Watanabe, Y., Yoneda, R., Ninomiya, I., and Koike, T.: Changes in photosynthesis and leaf characteristics
657 with tree height in five dipterocarp species in a tropical rain forest, *Tree Physiol.*, 26, 865–873,
658 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.7.865>, 2006.
- 659 Kenzo, T., Inoue, Y., Yoshimura, M., Yamashita, M., Tanaka-Oda, A., and Ichie, T.: Height-related changes in leaf
660 photosynthetic traits in diverse Bornean tropical rain forest trees, *Oecologia*, 177, 191–202,
661 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3126-0>, 2015.

- 662 Kenzo, T., Yoneda, R., Sano, M., Araki, M., Shimizu, A., Tanaka-Oda, A, et al.: Variations in leaf photosynthetic and
663 morphological traits with tree height in various tree species in a cambodian tropical dry evergreen forest, Japan Agric.
664 Res. Q., 46, 167–180, <https://doi.org/10.6090/jarq.46.167>, 2012.
- 665 Kenzo, T., Iida, S. I., Shimizu, T., Tamai, K., Kabeya, N., Shimizu, A., and Chann, S.: Seasonal and height-related changes in
666 leaf morphological and photosynthetic traits of two dipterocarp species in a dry deciduous forest in Cambodia, Plant
667 Ecol. Div., 9, 505-520, 2016.
- 668 Kikuzawa, K., and Lechowicz, M. J.: Ecology of leaf longevity, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- 669 Kim, K. W.: Electron microscopic observations of stomata, epicuticular waxes, and papillae in *Chamaecyparis obtusa*:
670 Reconsidering the traditional concept of Y-shaped white stomatal bands, Microsc. Res. Tech., 81, 716–723,
671 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23027>, 2018.
- 672 Kleinman, P. J. A., Pimentel, D., and Bryant, R. B.: The ecological sustainability of slash-and-burn agriculture, Agric. Ecosyst.
673 Environ., 52, 235–249, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809\(94\)00531-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(94)00531-I), 1995.
- 674 Kleyer, M., Bekker, R. M., Knevel, I. C., et al.: The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest
675 European flora, J. Ecol., 96, 1266–1274, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01430.x>, 2008.
- 676 Koch, G. W., Sillett, S. C., Jennings, G. M., and Davis, S. D. The limits to tree height, Nature, 428, 851–854,
677 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02417>, 2004.
- 678 Koerselman, W., and Meuleman, A. F. M.: The vegetation N:P ratio: a new tool to detect the nature of nutrient limitation, J.
679 Appl. Ecol., 33, 1441–1450, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2404783>, 1996.
- 680 Kozlowski, T. T., and Pallardy, S. G.: Acclimation and adaptive responses of woody plants to environmental stresses, Bot.
681 Rev., 68, 270–334, 2002.
- 682 Kramer, P. J., and Boyer, J. S. Water relations of plants and soils. Academic press, San Diego, 1995.
- 683 Kumagai, T., Tateishi, M., Shimizu, T., and Otsuki, K.: Transpiration and canopy conductance at two slope positions in a
684 Japanese cedar forest watershed, Agric. For. Meteorol., 148, 1444–1455,
685 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.04.010>, 2008.
- 686 Lambers, H., Chapin, III, F. S., and Pons, T. L.: Plant physiological ecology, Springer, New York, 2008.
- 687 Lawlor, D. W., and Cornic, G.: Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated, Plant Cell Environ., 25, 275–294,
688 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00814.x>, 2002.
- 689 Leverenz, J. W., and Hinckley, T. M.: Shoot structure, leaf area index and productivity of evergreen conifer stands, Tree
690 Physiol. 6, 135–149, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.2.135>, 1990.
- 691 Liu, Z., Hikosaka, K., Li, F., and Jin, G.: Variations in leaf economics spectrum traits for an evergreen coniferous species:
692 Tree size dominates over environment factors, Funct. Ecol., 34, 458-467, 2020.
- 693 Ma Q. W., Li, C. S., and Li, F. L.: Epidermal structures of *Cryptomeria japonica* and implications to the fossil record, Acta
694 Palaeobot., 47, 281–289, 2007.

- 695 Mann, M. E., Bradley, R. S., and Hughes, M. K.: Global-scale temperature patterns and climate forcing over the past six
696 centuries, *Nature*, 392, 779-787, 1998.
- 697 Mashimo, Y. Studies on the physical properties of forest soil and their relation to the growth of sugi (*Cryptomeria japonica*)
698 and hinoki (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*), *For. Soils Japan*, 11, 1–182, 1960.
- 699 Matsuzaki, J., Norisada, M., Kodaira, J., Suzuki, M., and Tange, T.: Shoots grafted into the upper crowns of tall Japanese cedar
700 (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) show foliar gas exchange characteristics similar to those of intact shoots, *Trees*, 19, 198-
701 203, 2005.
- 702 McDowell, N. G., Phillips, N., Lunch, C., et al: An investigation of hydraulic limitation and compensation in large, old
703 Douglas-fir trees, *Tree Physiol.*, 22, 763–774, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.11.763>, 2002.
- 704 Mediavilla, S., and Escuderl, A.: Mature trees versus seedlings: Differences in leaf traits and gas exchange patterns in three
705 co-occurring Mediterranean oaks, *Ann. For. Sci.*, 60, 455–460, <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest>, 2003.
- 706 Meinzer, F. C., Clearwater, M. J., and Goldstein, G. :Water transport in trees: Current perspectives, new insights and some
707 controversies, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 45, 239–262, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472\(01\)00074-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(01)00074-0), 2001, 2001
- 708 Meinzer, F. C., Johnson, D. M., Lachenbruch, B., McCulloh, K. A., and Woodruff, D. R.: Xylem hydraulic safety margins in
709 woody plants: Coordination of stomatal control of xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance, *Funct. Ecol.*, 23, 922–930,
710 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01577.x>, 2009.
- 711 Meinzer, F. C., Woodruff, D. R., Marias, D. E., Smith, D. D., McCulloh, K. A., Howard, A. R., et al.: Mapping ‘hydroscares’
712 along the iso- to anisohydric continuum of stomatal regulation of plant water status, *Ecol. Lett.*, 19, 1343–1352,
713 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12670>, 2016.
- 714 Mitchell, P. J., Veneklaas, E. J., Lambers, H., and Burgess, S. S. O.: Leaf water relations during summer water deficit:
715 Differential responses in turgor maintenance and variation in leaf structure among different plant communities in south-
716 western Australia, *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 31, 1791–1802, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01882.x>, 2008.
- 717 Monsi. M., and Saeki, T.: Über den lichtfaktor in den pflanzengesellschaften und seine bedeutung für die stoffproduktion, *J.*
718 *Japanese Bot.*, 14, 22–52, 1953.
- 719 Nardini, A., and Pitt, F.: Drought resistance of *Quercus pubescens* as a function of root hydraulic conductance, xylem
720 embolism and hydraulic architecture, *New Phytol.*, 143, 485-493, 1999.
- 721 Nardini, A., and Tyree, M. T.: Root and shoot hydraulic conductance of seven *Quercus* species, *Ann. For. Sci.*, 56, 371-377,
722 1999.
- 723 Niinemets, Ü.: Distribution patterns of foliar carbon and nitrogen as affected by tree dimensions and relative light conditions
724 in the canopy of *Picea abies*, *Trees*, 11, 144–154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00009663>, 1997.
- 725 Niinemets, Ü.: Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs, *Ecology*,
726 82, 453–469, [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2001\)082\[0453:GSCCOL\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0453:GSCCOL]2.0.CO;2), 2001.
- 727 Niinemets, Ü.: Stomatal conductance alone does not explain the decline in foliar photosynthetic rates with increasing tree age
728 and size in *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*, *Tree Physiol.*, 22, 515-535, 2002.

- 729 Niinemets, Ü.: Responses of forest trees to single and multiple environmental stresses from seedlings to mature plants: past
730 stress history, stress interactions, tolerance and acclimation, For. Ecol. Manag., 260, 1623-1639, 2010.
- 731 Ogasa, M., Miki, N. H., Murakami, Y., and Yoshikawa, K.: Recovery performance in xylem hydraulic conductivity is
732 correlated with cavitation resistance for temperate deciduous tree species, Tree Physiol., 33, 335–344,
733 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpt010>, 2013.
- 734 Ogawa, S.: Drought damage of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* in Kyushu region of Japan, For. Pests, 45,
735 62–69, 1996 (In Japanese with English summary).
- 736 Ohta, S.: Initial soil changes associated with afforestation with acacia auriculiformis and *Pinus kesiya* on denuded grasslands
737 of the pantabangan area, central luzon, the philippines. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 36:633–643.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416800>, 1990.
- 739 Oren, R., Sperry, J. S., Katul, G. G., Pataki, D. E., Ewers, B. E., Phillips, N., and Schäfer, K. V. R.: Survey and synthesis of
740 intra-and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit, Plant Cell Environ. 22, 1515-1526,
741 1999.
- 742 Osone, Y., Hashimoto, S., Kenzo, T., Araki, M. G., Inoue, Y., Shichi, K., et al.: Plant trait database for *Cryptomeria japonica*
743 and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (SugiHinoki DB): Their physiology, morphology, anatomy and biochemistry, Ecol. Res. 35,
744 274–275, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12062>, 2020.
- 745 Osone, Y., Ishida, A., and Tateno, M.: Correlation between relative growth rate and specific leaf area requires associations of
746 specific leaf area with nitrogen absorption rate of roots. New Phytol, 179, 417-427, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02476.x)
747 [8137.2008.02476.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02476.x), 2008.
- 748 Osone, Y., and Tateno, M.: Nitrogen absorption by roots as a cause of interspecific variations in leaf nitrogen concentration
749 and photosynthetic capacity, Funct. Ecol. 19, 460-470, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2005.00970.x>, 2005.
- 750 Peters, J. M. R., López, R., Nolf, M., Hutley, L. B., Wardlaw, T., Cernusak, L. A. and Choat, B.: Living on the edge: A
751 continental-scale assessment of forest vulnerability to drought, Glob. Chang. Biol., (January), gcb.15641,
752 doi:10.1111/gcb.15641, 2021.
- 753 Pittermann, J., Choat, B., Jansen, S., Stuart, S. A., Lynn, L., and Dawson, T. E.: The relationships between xylem safety and
754 hydraulic efficiency in the *Cupressaceae*: The evolution of pit membrane form and function, Plant Physiol., 153, 1919–
755 1931, <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158824>, 2010.
- 756 Pittermann. J., Sperry, J. S., Hacke, U. G., Wheeler, J. K., and Sikkema, E. H.: Inter-tracheid pitting and the hydraulic
757 efficiency of conifer wood: The role of tracheid allometry and cavitation protection, Am. J. Bot. 93, 1265–1273,
758 <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.9.1265>, 2006a.
- 759 Pittermann. J., Sperry, J. S., Wheeler, J. K., Hacke, U. G., and Sikkema, E. H.: Mechanical reinforcement of tracheids
760 compromises the hydraulic efficiency of conifer xylem, Plant Cell Environ., 29, 1618–1628, 2006b.
- 761 Pittermann. J., Stuart, S. A., Dawson, T. E., Moreau, A. Cenozoic climate change shaped the evolutionary ecophysiology of
762 the *Cupressaceae* conifers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 109, 9647–9652. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1114378109>, 2012.

763 Polglase, P. J., Attiwill, P. M., and Adams, M. A. Nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in relation to stand age of *Eucalyptus*
764 *regnans* F. Muell, Plant Soil, 142, 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00010964>, 2006.

765 Poorter, H., and Remkes, C : Leaf area ratio and net assimilation rate of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate,
766 Oecologia, 83, 553-559, 1990.

767 Poorter, H., and Van der Werf, A.: Is inherent variation in RGR determined by LAR at low irradiance and by NAR at high
768 irradiance? A review of herbaceous species. Inherent variation in plant growth. In: Physiological mechanisms and
769 ecological consequences (eds Lambers H, Poorter H, Van Vuuren M), 309-336, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, 1998.

770 Rai, R. K., and Schmerbeck, J.: Why Forest Plantations Are Disputed? An Assessment of Locally Important Ecosystem
771 Services from the *Cryptomeria japonica* Plantations in the Darjeeling Hills, India. In: Conifers (ed Gonçalves AC),
772 IntechOpen, 2018.

773 Reich, P. B., Ellsworth, D. S., and Walters, M. B. Leaf structure (specific leaf area) modulates photosynthesis–nitrogen
774 relations: evidence from within and across species and functional groups, Funct. Ecol., 12, 948-958, 1998.

775 Rijkers, T., Pons, T. L., and Bongers, F.: The effect of tree height and light availability on photosynthetic leaf traits of four
776 neotropical species differing in shade tolerance, Funct Ecol, 14, 77-86, 2000.

777 Roos, J.: The Finnish research programme on climate change: Final report, Academy of Finland, Helsinki, 1996.

778 Rull, V., Lara, A., Rubio-Inglés, M. J., Giralt, S., Gonçalves, V., Raposeiro, P., et al.: Vegetation and landscape dynamics
779 under natural and anthropogenic forcing on the Azores Islands: A 700-year pollen record from the São Miguel Island.
780 Quat. Sci. Rev., 159, 155-168, 2017.

781 Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N., and Bond, B. J.: The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited, Plant, Cell Environ., 29, 367–381.
782 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01478.x>, 2006.

783 Ryan, M. G., and Yoder B. J.: Hydraulic Limits to Tree Height and Tree Growth, Bioscience, 47, 235–242,
784 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1313077>, 1997.

785 Saito ,T., Tanaka, T., Tanabe, H., Matsumoto, Y., and Morikawa, Y.: Variations in transpiration rate and leaf cell turgor
786 maintenance in saplings of deciduous broad-leaved tree species common in cool temperate forests in Japan, Tree Physiol.,
787 23, 59–66, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/23.1.59>, 2003.

788 Sakai, A.: Freezing tolerance of plants and adaptation to the frigidity, Gakkai Shuppan Center, Tokyo, 1982.

789 Sakai, A., and Larcher, W.: Frost survival of plants: responses and adaptation to freezing stress (Vol. 62), Springer Science &
790 Business Media, 1987.

791 Santiago, L. S., De Guzman, M. E., Baraloto, C., Vogenberg, J. E., Brodie, M., Hérault, B., et al.: Coordination and trade-offs
792 among hydraulic safety, efficiency and drought avoidance traits in Amazonian rainforest canopy tree species, New
793 Phytol., 218, 1015-1024, 2018.

794 Sanui, K.: Drought damages on forest in 1994 at Kyushu region, Forest Pests, 47, 2–9 , 1998 (In Japanese with English
795 summary).

796 Sato, H., Itoh, A., and Kohyama, T.: SEIB-DGVM: A new Dynamic Global Vegetation Model using a spatially explicit
797 individual-based approach, *Ecol. Mod.*, 200, 279–307, 2007.

798 Sato, K: Hinoki (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*) of Japan-The first volume. Zenrinkyo, Tokyo, 1971.

799 Satoo, T.: Drought resistance of some conifers at the first summer after their emergence, *Bull Univ. Tokyo For.*, 51, 1–108,
800 1956.

801 Sawata, S., and Kato, H.: Effect of forest on soil (Part 4) Factors concerning the base accumulation in Sugi and Hinoki soils,
802 *Japan J. Soil. Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 64, 196–302, 1993.

803 Scholz, F. G., Phillips, N. G., Bucci, S. J., Meinzer, F. C., and Goldstein, G.: Hydraulic capacitance: biophysics and functional
804 significance of internal water sources in relation to tree size. In: *Size and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and*
805 *Function* (eds Meinzer FC, Lachenbruch B, Dawson TE), 341-361, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2011.

806 Shiraki, A., Azuma, W., Kuroda, K., and Ishii, H. R.: Physiological and morphological acclimation to height in cupressoid
807 leaves of 100-year-old *Chamaecyparis obtusa*, *Tree Physiol.*, 37, 1327–1336, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw096>,
808 2016.

809 Scholander, P. F., Bradstreet, E. D., Hemmingsen, E. A., and Hammel, H. T., Sap pressure in vascular plants: negative
810 hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants, *Science*, 148, 339-346, 1965.

811 Smith, W. K., and Brewer, C. A.: The adaptive importance of shoot and crown architecture in conifer trees, *Am. Nat.*, 143,
812 528–532, <https://doi.org/10.1086/285618>, 2002.

813 Smith, W. K., Schoettle, A. W., and Cui, M.: Importance of the method of leaf area measurement to the interpretation of gas
814 exchange of complex shoots, *Tree physiol.*, 8, 121-127, 1991.

815 Sparks, J. P., and Black, R. A.: Regulation of water loss in populations of *Populus trichocarpa*: The role of stomatal control
816 in preventing xylem cavitation, *Tree Physiol*, 19, 453–459, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/19.7.453>, 1999.

817 Stenberg, P.: Simulations of the effects of shoot structure and orientation on vertical gradients in intercepted light by conifer
818 canopies, *Tree Physiol.*, 16, 99–108, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/16.1-2.99>, 1996.

819 Stenberg, P., Linder, S., and Smolander, H.: Variation in the ratio of shoot silhouette area to needle area in fertilized and
820 unfertilized Norway spruce trees, *Tree Physiol.*, 15, 705–712, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/15.11.705>, 1995.

821 Stratton, L., Goldstein, G., and Meinzer, F. C.: Stem water storage capacity and efficiency of water transport: their functional
822 significance in a Hawaiian dry forest, *Plant, Cell Environ.* 23, 99-106, 2000.

823 Syke, M. T., and Prentice, I. C.: Climate change, tree species distributions and forest dynamics: a case study in the mixed
824 conifer/northern hardwoods zone of northern Europe. *Clim. change* 34,161-177, 1996.

825 Tanaka-Oda, A., Kenzo, T., Koretsune, S., et al.: Ontogenetic changes in water-use efficiency ($\delta^{13}C$) and leaf traits differ
826 among tree species growing in a semiarid region of the Loess Plateau, China, *For. Ecol. Manage.* 259, 953–957.
827 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.037>, 2010.

- 828 Tanikawa, T., Sobue, A., and Hirano, Y.: Acidification processes in soils with different acid buffering capacity in *Cryptomeria*
829 *japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* forests over two decades. For. Ecol. Manage., 334, 284–292,
830 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.08.036>, 2014.
- 831 Terashima, I., and Hikosaka, K. Comparative ecophysiology of leaf and canopy photosynthesis, Plant, Cell Environ., 18, 1111–
832 1128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00623.x>, 1995.
- 833 Terashima, I., and Saeki, T.: Light environment within a leaf I. Optical properties of paradermal sections of camellia leaves
834 with special reference to differences in the optical properties of palisade and spongy tissues, Plant Cell Physiol., 24,
835 1493–1501, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a076672>, 1983.
- 836 Thérézien, M., Palmroth, S., Brady, R., and Oren, R. Estimation of light interception properties of conifer shoots by an
837 improved photographic method and a 3D model of shoot structure, Tree Physiol., 27, 1375–1387,
838 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.10.1375>, 2007.
- 839 Thomas, S. C.: Photosynthetic capacity peaks at intermediate size in temperate deciduous trees, Tree Physiol., 30, 555–573.
840 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq005>, 2010.
- 841 Thomas, S. C., and Winner, W.: Photosynthetic differences between saplings and adult trees: an integration of field results by
842 meta-analysis, Tree Physiol., 22, 117–127, 2002.
- 843 Tognetti, R., Raschi, A., and Jones, M. B.: Seasonal patterns of tissue water relations in three Mediterranean shrubs co-
844 occurring at a natural CO₂ spring, Plant, Cell Environ., 23, 1341–1351, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00645.x>, 2000.
- 846 Tsukada, M.: *Cryptomeria Japonica*: Glacial Refugia and Late-Glacial and Postglacial Migration, Ecology, 63, 1091–1105,
847 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1937247>, 1982.
- 848 Tsumura, Y., Yoshimaru, K., Tomaru, N., and Ohba K.: Molecular phylogeny of conifers using PCR-RFLP analysis of
849 chloroplast genes, Theor. Applied Genet. 91, 1222–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00220933>, 1995.
- 850 Tsuruta, K., Yamamoto, H., Kosugi, Y., et al.: Slope position and water use by trees in a headwater catchment dominated by
851 Japanese cypress: Implications for catchment-scale transpiration estimates, Ecohydrology, 13, 1–12.
852 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2245>, 2020.
- 853 Tyree, M. T., and Jarvis, P. G.: Water in tissues and cells. In: Physiological Plant Ecology II. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
854 Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 35–77, 1982.
- 855 Tyree, M. T., Velez, V., and Dalling, J. W.: Growth dynamics of root and shoot hydraulic conductance in seedlings of five
856 neotropical tree species: scaling to show possible adaptation to differing light regimes, Oecologia, 114, 293–298, 1998.
- 857 Valentini, R., Mugnozza, G. S., Giordano, E., and Kuzminsky, E.: Influence of cold hardening on water relations of three
858 *Eucalyptus* species, Tree Physiol., 6, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.1.1>, 2012.
- 859 Violle, C., Navas, M., Vile, D., et al.: Let the concept of trait be functional!, Oikos, 116, 882–892,
860 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15559.x>, 2007.

White, M. A., Thornton, P. E., Running, S. W., and Nemani R. R.: Parameterization and Sensitivity Analysis of the BIOME-
BGC Terrestrial Ecosystem Model: Net primary production controls, Earth Interact., 4, 1–85,
[https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562\(2000\)004<0003:pasaot>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562(2000)004<0003:pasaot>2.0.co;2), 2002.

Woodruff, D. R., Bond, B. J., and Meinzer, F. C.: Does turgor limit growth in tall trees? Plant, Cell Environ., 27, 229–236.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2003.01141.x>, 2004.

Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Westoby, M., et al.: The worldwide leaf economics spectrum, Nature 428, 821–827.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403>, 2004.

Wright, I. J., and Westoby, M.: Cross-species relationships between seedling relative growth rate, nitrogen productivity and
root vs leaf function in 28 Australian woody species, Funct. Ecol., 14, 97–107, 2000.

Yang, Y., and Luo, Y.: Carbon:nitrogen stoichiometry in forest ecosystems during stand development, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.
20, 354–361, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00602.x>, 2011.

Yazaki, K., Tobita, H., Kitaoka, S., Hiraoka, Y., and Kitaoka, M. Stem growth of six clones of elite tree of *Cryptomeria*
japonica under elevated CO₂ and ozone, Kanto J. For. Res. 66, 163–166, 2015.

Zachle, S., Friend, A. D., Friedlingstein, P., Dentener, F., Peylin, P., and Schulz, M.: Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in
the O-CN land surface model: 2. Role of the nitrogen cycle in the historical terrestrial carbon balance, Global
Biogeochem. Cycles., 24, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003522>, 2010, 2010.

Zhang, Y. J., Bucci, S. J., Arias, N. S., et al.: Freezing resistance in Patagonian woody shrubs: the role of cell wall elasticity
and stem vessel size, Tree Physiol. 36, 1007–1018, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw036>, 2016.

Zhu, S. D., Chen, Y. J., Ye, Q., He, P. C., Liu, H., and Li, R. H.: Leaf turgor loss point is correlated with drought tolerance
and leaf carbon economics traits, Tree Physiol., 38, 658–663, <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy013>, 2018.

Zimmermann, M. H.: Hydraulic architecture of some diffuse-porous trees, Can J. Bot. 56, 2286–2295,
<https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-274>, 1978.

Table & Figure legends

Table 1 List of 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB. Number of data entries and means and ranges were
calculated before outliers were removed for subsequent analyses.

Table 2 Species name and abbreviations used in Figure 10. Data on *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* are from
this study, and other data are from Pittermann et al. 2012.

Fig. 1 Photographs of *Cryptomeria japonica* (top) and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (bottom). The right side is an example of the
foliar projected area. Note that the projected area is underestimated in *C. japonica* because the foliage of *C. japonica* has a
complex three-dimensional structure.

Fig. 2 Photosynthetic properties of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area
basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa*
trait were determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

894

895 Fig. 3 Specific leaf area and leaf longevity of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Differences between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* were
896 subjected to a t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; xx, $P < 0.01$; x, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

897

898 Fig. 4 Ln-transformed organ biomass in relation to ln-transformed DBH (a, c, e) and ln-transformed total mass (b, d, f).

899

900 Fig. 5 Leaf traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and
901 projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were
902 determined by a t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; xx, $P < 0.01$; x, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

903

904 Fig. 6 Seasonal changes in pressure volume parameters and midday leaf water potential.

905

906 Fig. 7 Relationship between pressure-volume parameters. Data measured from May-Oct and Nov-Apr were pooled. Filled
907 triangle, *C. japonica* data from May-Oct; Filled circle, *C. japonica* data from Nov-Apr; Open triangle, *C. obtusa* data from
908 May-Oct; Open circle, *C. obtusa* data from Nov-Apr.

909

910 Fig. 8 Stem hydraulics and xylem anatomy. Differences between the species were examined by a t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; xx,
911 $P < 0.01$; x, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

912

913 Fig. 9 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were
914 excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

915

916 Fig. 10 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots
917 were excluded.

918

919 Fig. 11 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψ_{md}) and SLA with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental
920 conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

921

922 Fig. 12 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψ_{md}) and SLA with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental
923 conditions or in pots were excluded.

924

925 Fig. 13 Relationship between leaf and stem hydraulic properties in Cupressaceae species. Abbreviations of species names are
926 shown in Table 2. Species in bold type with an asterisk are from this study.

927

928

Fig. 1 Photographs of *Cryptomeria japonica* (top) and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (bottom). The right side is an example of the foliar projected area. Note that the projected area is underestimated in *C. japonica* because the foliage of *C. japonica* has a complex three-dimensional structure.

Fig. 2 Photosynthetic properties of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were determined by a t-test; ***, $P<0.001$; xx, $P<0.01$; x, $P<0.05$; ns, not significant.

Fig. 3 Specific leaf area and leaf longevity of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Differences between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* were subjected to a t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; xx, $P < 0.01$; x, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

Fig. 4 Ln-transformed organ biomass in relation to ln-transformed DBH (a, c, e) and ln-transformed total mass (b, d, f).

Fig. 5 Leaf traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Fig. 6 Seasonal changes in pressure volume parameters and midday leaf water potential.

Fig. 7 Relationship between pressure-volume parameters. Data measured from May-Oct and Nov-Apr were pooled. Filled triangle, *C. japonica* data from May-Oct; Filled circle, *C. japonica* data from Nov-Apr; Open triangle, *C. obtusa* data from May-Oct; Open circle, *C. obtusa* data from Nov-Apr.

Fig. 8 Stem hydraulics and xylem anatomy. Differences between the species were examined by a t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

Fig. 9 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

Fig. 10 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded.

Fig. 11 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψ_{md}) and SLA with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

Fig. 12 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψ_{md}) and SLA with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded.

Table 1 List of 108 major plant traits selected from the Sugihinoki DB. Data number, means and ranges were calculated before outliers were removed for the following analyses.

Category	Trait	Unit	Cryptomeria japonica			Chamaecyparis obtusa		
			n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range
Photosynthesis	Maximum photosynthesis per foliage area (Amaxan, Amaxas)	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	216	6.7	0.3 – 19.9	215	5.3	0.4 – 11.3
	Maximum photosynthesis rate per foliage dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	844	30.9	0.7 – 120.5	141	29.5	0.2 – 84.5
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage area (Jmaxa)	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	66	135.4	46.0 – 260.6	18	76.4	49.4 – 95.6
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	62	447.7	119.9 – 911.9	0	—	—
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage area (Vcmaxa)	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	228	59.2	20.3 – 139.1	34	31.1	14.7 – 51.1
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	62	228.9	50.4 – 493.6	0	—	—
	Initial slope of light response curve	$\text{molCO}_2 \text{ mol[e]}^{-1}$	48	0.0	0.02 – 0.1	33	0.1	0.0 – 0.1
	Convexity of light response curve	NA	45	0.6	0.04 – 1.0	30	0.7	0.1 – 0.9
	Light compensation point of photosynthesis	$\mu\text{mol[e]} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	7	53.1	32.4 – 87.5	17	26.3	11.0 – 37.7
	Mass content of chlorophyll per foliage dry mass	mg g^{-1}	243	2.68	0.66 – 7.48	0	—	—
Respiration	Ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b.	NA	45	2.43	1.59 – 3.69	42	2.61	2.34 – 2.99
	Mass content of Rubisco per foliage dry mass	mg g^{-1}	3	19.5	12.4 – 26.6	0	—	—
	Leaf dark respiration rate per area	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	128	0.76	0.07 – 2.76	223	0.65	0.02 – 3.10
	Leaf dark respiration rate per foliage dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	155	4.40	0.44 – 24.00	3	12.82	5.46 – 17.00
	Day respiration rate per foliage area	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	39	5.45	1.50 – 14.27	0	—	—
	Branch dark respiration rate per branch volume	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$	46	378	56 – 2051	26	274	21 – 1405
	Stem dark respiration rate per stem surface area	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	207	3.67	0.22 – 12.46	89	1.55	0.24 – 4.44
	Root dark respiration rate per dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	26	4.57	2.52 – 7.32	23	6.10	2.80 – 9.75
	Fineroot dark respiration rate per dry mass	$\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	0	—	—	107	8.51	3.80 – 16.09
	Q10 measured for foliage	NA	0	—	—	30	2.43	1.87 – 3.65
Water relation	Q10 measured for stem	NA	18	1.93	1.45 – 2.81	0	—	—
	Stomatal conductance for CO ₂ per foliage area (gsan)	$\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	59	0.10	0.01 – 0.93	40	0.08	0.001 – 0.52
	Stomatal conductance for CO ₂ per foliage dry mass	$\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	139	0.43	0.03 – 2.00	24	0.43	0.22 – 0.65
	Transpiration rate per foliage area (Ean)	$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	76	1.08	0.03 – 3.30	95	2.77	0.11 – 17.0
	Transpiration rate per foliage dry mass	$\text{mmol kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	395	12.1	0.10 – 102.1	207	6.19	0.01 – 41.7
	Sap flow density/velocity measured by Granier method.	$\text{cm}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	88	17.3	0.48 – 40.6	19	17.9	6.1 – 46.1
	Sap flow density averaged for a day	$\text{cm}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	29	8.4	3.0 – 20.0	24	11.4	4.0 – 19.4
	Sap flow (or transpiration) per a tree per a day	kg d^{-1}	112	9.4	0.7 – 22.9	176	12.6	1.1 – 67.2
	Soil to leaf hydraulic conductance per foliage area (KS-L)	$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Mpa}^{-1}$	37	0.6	0.2 – 1.3	9	1.1	0.3 – 1.7
	Soil to leaf Hydraulic resistance per foliage mass	$\text{Mpa kg s mmol}^{-1}$	64	1.2	0.1 – 5.8	60	1.2	0.0 – 10.1
Leaf morphology	Stem (sapwood) specific conductivity (Kstem)	$\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}$	18	1.0	0.4 – 2.2	9	1.3	0.3 – 2.3
	Predawn foliage water potential (Ψpd)	MPa	51	-0.4	-2.1 – 0.0	132	-0.6	-2.3 – 0.0
	Midday foliage water potential (Ψmd)	MPa	370	-1.0	-2.3 – -0.1	189	-1.3	-2.5 – -0.3
	Osmotic potential at water saturation (Ψsat)	MPa	258	-1.6	-2.7 – -0.7	93	-1.3	-2.4 – -0.1
	Leaf water potential at turgor loss point (Ψlp)	MPa	262	-2.3	-3.6 – -1.3	161	-2.3	-4.1 – -0.9
	Water content at turgor loss point (RWClp)	g g^{-1}	101	0.8	0.6 – 0.9	93	0.7	0.5 – 0.8
	Bulk elastic modulus ()	MPa	101	7.4	1.7 – 15.1	53	4.4	0.6 – 10.7
	Branch water potential at 50% conductivity loss (Ψ50)	MPa	2	-4.8	-5.2 – -4.4	2	-6.7	-7.6 – -5.8
	Root water potential at 50% conductivity loss	MPa	1	-4.1	-4.1 – -4.1	2	-3.1	-4.3 – -2.0
	Water use efficiency	mg g^{-1}	8	4.9	3.6 – 5.4	8	5.2	4.2 – 6.6
Root morphology	13C:12C ratio in leaves	‰	74	-27.4	-30.1 – -24.7	44	-26.8	-28.5 – -24.6
	Specific leaf area (SLA)	$\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$	379	4.9	1.5 – 16.9	244	5.8	3.2 – 15.7
	Shoot silhouette area to projected needle area ratio (SPAR)	NA	39	0.6	0.5 – 0.7	0	—	—
Root morphology	Specific root length	m g^{-1}	132	13.3	0.2 – 48.4	196	14.8	0.3 – 46.2
	Specific root surface area	$\text{cm}^2 \text{mg}^{-1}$	44	0.2	0.0 – 0.4	3	0.2	0.0 – 0.4

Table 1. continued									
Category	Trait	Unit	Cryptomeria japonica			Chamaecyparis obtusa			
			n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range	
Anatomy	Number of stomata per foliage area	mm ⁻²	173	127.9	11.9 – 342.0	7	635.3	500.0 – 830.0	
	Width of stomata	µm	3	65.8	31.2 – 85.3	2	20.7	19.9 – 21.5	
	Length of stomata	µm	21	47.9	28.0 – 111.9	2	28.2	27.4 – 29.0	
	Cross sectional area of foliage xylem	µm ²	43	1639	1048 – 2380	33	434	213 – 1104	
	Cross sectional area of foliage transfusion cell	µm ²	46	9369	3522 – 16917	34	367	43 – 1082	
	Tracheid length of early wood	mm	8	2.4	1.3 – 3.0	99	2.3	0.9 – 3.6	
	Tracheid length of late wood	mm	495	2.4	0.8 – 4.5	7	2.8	1.8 – 3.8	
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of early wood	µm	47	28.2	21.6 – 37.0	3	24.0	21.0 – 26.0	
	Tracheid diameter (radial) of early wood	µm	56	35.2	24.9 – 51.6	3	27.0	24.0 – 29.0	
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of late wood	µm	32	25.5	19.8 – 30.1	3	19.0	16.0 – 22.0	
Wood density	Tracheid diameter (radial) of late wood	µm	56	15.0	9.0 – 24.9	3	14.7	13.0 – 16.0	
	Basic density of stem	g cm ³	640	0.35	0.13 – 0.59	44	0.41	0.38 – 0.50	
	Basic density of branch	g cm ³	31	0.32	0.06 – 0.65	0	—	—	
	Basic density of root	g cm ³	4	0.42	0.39 – 0.45	4	0.46	0.40 – 0.50	
	Basic density of fine root	g cm ³	44	0.28	0.17 – 0.33	105	0.29	0.17 – 0.80	
Resource use	Nitrogen resorption efficiency of leaves	%	3	23.1	5.5 – 49.1	19	39.4	23.4 – 56.4	
	N content of foliage litter fall	mg g ⁻¹	6	7.8	6.4 – 8.3	52	7.8	4.3 – 11.3	
	15N:14N ratio in leaves	‰	4	2.0	-4.9 – 9.0	4	2.3	-6.4 – 10.3	
	Leaf longevity	year	24	4.7	2.9 – 10.4	47	4.6	1.9 – 8.3	
	Fineroot longevity	year	1	4.1	4.1 – 4.1	7	2.3	1.3 – 5.3	
Nitrogen content	Nitrogen content per foliage area (Na, Ns)	g m ⁻²	381	3.9	1.0 – 12.6	112	2.5	0.8 – 4.1	
	Nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (Nm)	mg g ⁻¹	2116	13.8	4.5 – 44.3	759	14.1	6.7 – 32.4	
	Nitrogen content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	5.0	0.3 – 16.2	32	8.1	0.4 – 15.5	
	Nitrogen content per root dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	152	7.8	0.5 – 27.5	41	11.9	4.9 – 18.6	
	Nitrogen content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.6	0.3 – 3.2	4	1.2	0.2 – 2.4	
	Nitrogen content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	3.4	0.6 – 5.1	4	3.6	3.0 – 4.3	
	Nitrogen content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	63	9.8	2.9 – 18.2	107	10.4	0.9 – 17.0	
Phosphorous content	Phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (Pm)	mg g ⁻¹	692	1.5	0.5 – 8.9	357	1.6	0.4 – 8.1	
	Phosphorus content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	0.5	0.0 – 3.5	32	0.5	0.1 – 1.1	
	Phosphorus content per root dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	145	0.9	0.1 – 7.3	34	1.2	0.6 – 2.8	
	Phosphorus content of thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	0.3	0.1 – 0.7	4	0.4	0.2 – 0.7	
	Phosphorus content of thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	0.4	0.2 – 0.7	4	0.3	0.2 – 0.4	
	Phosphorus content of fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	26	0.6	0.3 – 1.4	4	0.6	0.3 – 0.8	
	Pottasium content per foliage mass (Km)	mg g ⁻¹	722	8.1	1.8 – 37.2	354	8.0	2.3 – 36.4	
Pottasium content	Pottasium content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	3.0	0.2 – 12.2	32	4.2	0.6 – 7.1	
	Pottasium content per root dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	157	3.7	0.2 – 16.8	34	4.1	1.4 – 14.8	
	Pottasium content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.2	0.7 – 2.5	4	1.0	0.8 – 1.2	
	Pottasium content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.9	1.1 – 2.6	4	1.5	1.4 – 1.7	
	Pottasium content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	26	2.2	1.1 – 4.3	4	2.0	1.3 – 2.8	
Chemical composition	Lignin content of foliage	%	3	25.9	23.6 – 28.3	4	24.4	23.6 – 25.6	
	Lignin content of foliage litter fall	%	2	34.8	30.2 – 39.4	1	27.3	27.3 – 27.3	
	Lignin content of deadwood	%	69	33.5	30.2 – 40.6	67	31.3	28.4 – 38.1	
	Holocellulose content of foliage	%	3	48.1	47.4 – 48.9	4	51.6	50.7 – 53.9	
	Holocellulose content of foliage litter fall	%	2	46.5	45.5 – 47.5	1	42.4	42.4 – 42.4	
	Holocellulose content of deadwood	%	69	63.5	55.0 – 68.1	67	65.6	57.7 – 69.0	
	Nitrogen content of deadwood	g kg ⁻¹	69	0.9	0.2 – 4.5	67	0.6	0.1 – 2.1	
Biomass	Carbon content of deadwood	g kg ⁻¹	69	513.7	483.0 – 537.0	67	521.5	498.0 – 547.0	
	Leaf biomass (LM)	kg	150	4.1	0.0 – 57.1	77	4.8	0.1 – 22.2	
	Stem+branch biomass	kg	150	30.3	0.0 – 477.2	77	43.3	1.6 – 208.4	
	Branch biomass	kg	72	6.2	0.0 – 39.0	77	4.8	0.1 – 23.2	
	Root biomass (RM)	kg	195	7.2	0.0 – 146.4	100	9.5	0.0 – 67.8	
	Thick root biomass (>20mm)	kg	29	25.3	0.8 – 138.9	16	15.9	2.2 – 59.5	
	Thin root biomass (<20mm, >2mm)	kg	29	3.3	0.5 – 6.0	16	3.5	1.1 – 6.8	
Allocation	Fine root biomass (<2mm)	kg	39	0.5	0.0 – 1.5	16	0.7	0.2 – 1.5	
	Annual allocation of net primary production to foliage	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	4.8	1.7 – 7.7	22	3.8	2.8 – 5.3	
	Annual allocation of net primary production to stem + branch	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	9.6	2.1 – 28.6	22	9.9	6.4 – 13.6	
	Annual allocation of net primary production to branch	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	80	1.8	0.4 – 5.1	21	2.0	0.6 – 2.8	
	Annual allocation of net primary production to root	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	2.7	0.5 – 7.4	22	2.8	1.7 – 3.9	
	Annual foliage litterfall	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	46	3.6	0.9 – 6.6	130	3.2	0.8 – 6.0	
	Annual branch + bark litterfall	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	26	0.8	0.1 – 3.7	83	0.7	0.0 – 7.0	
Stand characteristics	Leaf area index (LAI)	NA	16	5.9	2.1 – 17.2	62	7.0	2.0 – 12.4	
	LAI-based light absorption coefficient (k)	NA	6	0.4	0.3 – 0.5	1	1.0	1.0 – 1.0	
	Leaf mass-based Light absorption coefficient	NA	2	0.3	0.3 – 0.3	2	0.3	0.2 – 0.3	

Table 2 Species name and abbreviations used in Figure 10. Data of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* are from this study, and other data are from Pittermann et al. 2012.

Species	Abbr.	Phenology, native habitat
<i>Athrotaxis laxifolia</i>	AL	E, Montane forests, Tasmania
<i>Austrocedrus chilensis</i>	AC	E, The Andes, Chile and Argentina
<i>Callitris macleayana</i>	CM	E, Mesic-dry forests, eastern Australia
<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	CD	E, Montane forests, United States–Mexican Pacific Coast
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	CL	E, Mixed forests, Oregon to northern California
<i>Chamaecyparis obtusa</i> (This study)	CO*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan and Taiwan
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	CJ	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i> (This study)	CJ*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
<i>Cunninghamia lanceolata</i>	CL	E, Mixed broad-leaved forests of southeast Asia
<i>Cupressus forbesii</i>	CF	E, Chaparral, southern California, northern Mexico
<i>Fitzroya cupressoides</i>	FC	E, Evergreen rainforest, Chile
<i>Glyptostrobus pensilis</i>	GP	D, Riparian, southern China
<i>Juniperus californica</i>	JC	E, Desert, southern California to northern Mexico
<i>Libocedrus plumosa</i>	LP	E, Mixed conifer rainforests, New Zealand
<i>Metasequoia glyptostroboides</i>	MG	D, Mesic mixed forests, central China
<i>Sciadopitys verticillata</i>	SC	E, Temperate moist forests, Japan
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	SS	E, northern coastal California
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	SG	E, Sierra Nevada, California
<i>Taiwania cryptomerioides</i>	TC	E, Cool temperate forests, Asia
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	TD	D, Riparian regions in southeastern United States
<i>Taxodium mucronatum</i>	TM	D, Southern Texas, Mexico, Central America
<i>Taxus baccata</i>	TB	E, Broadly distributed across Europe
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	TP	E, Mixed coniferous forests, United States Pacific northwest
<i>Thujopsis dolabrata</i>	TO	E, Coastal and montane Japan
<i>Widdringtonia cedarbergensis</i>	WC	E, Fynbos, South Africa