1 **Contrasting adaptation and optimization of stomatal traits across** 2 **communities at continental-scale**

3 **Running title:** Stomatal trait distributions

4

5 Congcong Liu^{1,2}, Lawren Sack³, Ying Li¹, Nianpeng He^{1,2*}

6 ¹ Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic
7 Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101,
8 China;

9 ² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

10 ³ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles,
11 90025, USA

12

13 *Correspondence author Nianpeng He (henp@igsnrr.ac.cn)

14

15

Abstract

The maximum stomatal conductance (g), a major anatomical constraint on plant productivity, is a function of the stomatal area fraction (f) and stomatal space-use efficiency (e). However, f and g have been considered as equivalents, with e rarely considered, and their adaptation to the environment and their regulation of ecosystem productivity are unclear. Here, we analyzed the community-weighted mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis of stomatal traits from tropical to cold-temperature forests. The variance of g and f was higher for arid sites, indicating greater functional niche differentiation, whereas that for e was lower, indicating convergence in efficiency. Besides, when other stomatal trait distributions remained unchanged, increasing kurtosis but decreasing skewness of g would improve ecosystem productivity, and f showed the opposite patterns. These findings highlight how the relative importance and equivalence of inter-related traits can differ at community scale.

Keywords: stomata; community-weighted method; community, adaptation; ecosystem productivity.

33 **Introduction**

34 Stomata are micropores on the leaf surface that regulate the exchange of water vapor and CO₂
 35 between plants and the atmosphere (Edwards et al., 1998; Hetherington and Woodward, 2003).
 36 Indeed, the evolution of stomata was necessary for plants to colonize terrestrial ecosystems
 37 and the diversification of stomatal traits enables plants to inhabit a wide range of
 38 environments (Haworth et al., 2011; Raven, 2002). The numbers of stomatal pores, and their
 39 area and depth determine the maximum stomatal conductance (g), which represent an
 40 anatomical constraint on the maximum rates of diffusion of carbon and water, and thereby
 41 their fluxes in given environments. Indeed, given that there is a close relationship between g
 42 and field-measured stomatal conductance (McElwain et al., 2016; Murray et al., 2019;
 43 Xiong and Flexas, 2020), and g has been used to predict water vapor and CO₂ fluxes
 44 (Franks and Beerling, 2009; McElwain et al., 2016; Sack and Buckley, 2016). In turn, g can be
 45 considered a product of the fraction of leaf epidermal space that is allocated to the stomata
 46 (the stomatal area fraction; f) and the stomatal space-use efficiency (e), which is a function of
 47 stomatal size (see Methods). The f is more properly an index of the combined costs associated
 48 with the construction, operation and maintenance of the stomata, but it is often taken as a
 49 proxy for g (Holland and Richardson, 2009; Liu et al., 2018; Sack et al., 2003), especially as g
 50 and f are theoretically and empirically correlated with each other (de Boer et al., 2016;
 51 Franks and Beerling, 2009). Yet the relative importance and the equivalence of these traits have
 52 not been tested at a large scale.

53 The importance of g and its determinants is especially critical for the understanding of
 54 the adaptation of diverse species of communities across gradients of aridity. A rich literature

shows contrasting trait values enables co-occurring species to exploit different resources, or the same resources on contrasting spatial or temporal scales (Gross et al., 2017; Hooper, 1998; Hooper et al., 2005), resulting in species-variation in tolerances of scarcity, e.g., drought (Grossiord, 2020), as well as facilitation (Callaway, 1995) and “selection effects”, i.e., differential contribution to the community-weighted trait values (Loreau, 2000). Indeed, traits that contribute to resource partitioning, such as root stratification (Schwendenmann et al., 2015) or differential stomatal regulation (West et al., 2012) can contribute not only to the mechanisms by which plants tolerate drought but also can improve species-specific soil moisture status by reducing competition for water among species. As a composite stomatal trait, g is coordinated with other plant hydraulic traits (Sack et al., 2003). Generally, a higher g should benefit species under selection for high productivity or competition (Sack and Buckley, 2016; Taylor et al., 2012), and thus, in communities with high water availability, we expected narrower functional niche differentiation of g than in communities of drier regions. Indeed, because plants can tolerate drought by maintaining low rates of water uptake and productivity as soils dry, i.e., “tolerance” and/or by achieving their growth primarily when water is available, i.e., “avoidance” (Grubb, 1998; Hetherington and Woodward, 2003), we hypothesized that g values, which influence water uptake and productivity, would tend to be more variable in communities of drier regions. Notably, as g depends on f and e , where g is a proxy for the benefit of assimilated carbon, and f represents the cost of stomatal construction, maintenance and spatial allocation (de Boer et al., 2016), therefore e , which is g/f , is a benefit-cost ratio, i.e., the maximum amount of CO_2 that can diffuse through a unit of stomatal area per unit time. We thus hypothesized that that variability of e within communities

77 would be strongly constrained under water scarcity.

78 Stomatal traits may be a model for plant traits that are important in determining
79 ecosystem functions, as this role of traits has become a priority topic in ecological research
80 (Reichstein et al., 2014). The effect of species' traits aggregated at ecosystem scale is typically
81 quantified using to the mass ratio hypothesis or the niche complementarity hypothesis.
82 According to the mass ratio hypothesis the extent to which the trait of a given species affects
83 ecosystem properties depends on its relative contribution to the total community biomass
84 (Garnier et al., 2004), and many studies found correlations between ecosystem functions and
85 community-weighted mean (CWM) values of plant traits (Garnier et al., 2004; Griffin-Nolan
86 et al., 2018; MuscarellaandUriarte, 2016). According to the niche complementarity hypothesis,
87 resource niches may be used more completely when a community is functionally more
88 diverse (SchumacherandRoscher, 2009), and many studies reported that ecosystem function
89 can be predicted by niche complementarity of traits, as quantified using community-weighted
90 variance, skewness, or kurtosis of trait values (Gross et al., 2017; Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al.,
91 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Mensah et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). Indeed, the global vegetation
92 models predict ecosystem production based on the mean values of traits. It is still a missing
93 picture that how trait distributions influence the prediction. Although stomatal traits are
94 expected to influence ecosystem productivity given their essential role in controlling leaf
95 water and CO₂ fluxes (HetheringtonandWoodward, 2003; Wang et al., 2015), no studies have
96 tested the relative importance of the distributions of stomatal traits (including
97 community-weighted mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis) in predicting ecosystem
98 productivity across communities. We hypothesized a strong importance of these community

distribution metrics for g and potentially for its components, f and e , for regulating ecosystem productivity at community scale.

We analyzed the community-weighted mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis and relationships among these statistical moments, for g , f and e for 800 plant species from nine sites along a climatic gradient. We hypothesized that the community-weighted variance in g would increase with aridity, due to variability of f , rather than e . We also hypothesized that functional niche differentiation of g would be stronger for communities at higher aridity, and tested whether trait assembly of stomata followed the general assembly rule for maximization of trait diversity previously reported for drylands globally using specific leaf area and maximum plant height (Gross et al., 2017). We also hypothesized that stomatal distributions would predict differences in productivity across ecosystems.

Results

Relationships between stomatal trait moments and climate

Stomatal traits were closely related to temperature, precipitation, and climatic aridity (Fig. 1). Overall, the relationships of community-weighted trait means and variances with climate variables were stronger than those of community-weighted skewness and kurtosis, and the aridity index was a stronger predictor of stomatal traits than temperature and precipitation. The community-weighted means and variances of g and f were strongly positively associated with climatic aridity whereas those of e were negatively associated with climatic aridity (Fig. 2).

The correlations between community-weighted variance and kurtosis were also tested

(Fig. S1). For g and f , the community-weighted variance and kurtosis were negatively correlated; such correlations were not observed with e . At drier sites, g generally showed larger variance with lower kurtosis, whereas communities of wetter sites generally had smaller variance with a wide range of kurtosis.

Skewness-kurtosis relationships (SKR) and random expectations

In most cases, the distributions of stomatal traits differed substantially from normality (Fig. 3). The community-weighted skewness² and kurtosis of these three stomatal traits were strongly positively related. The skewness and kurtosis values generated by the null model were located within the constraint triangle imposed by the inequality $\text{Kurtosis} \geq \text{Skewness}^2 + 1$. The observed empirical skewness-kurtosis relationships (SKR) for g deviated strongly from the predictions of the two null models, with the slopes (β) were higher and intercepts (α) lower than would be expected by chance, based on Monte Carlo analyses (Table S6). The observed kurtosis values for both g and f were significantly closer than expected by chance to the lower boundary of the mathematical constraint triangle. In other words, after controlling for the degree of skewness of g and f , observed kurtosis within communities was minimal. Skewness-kurtosis relationships for e did not differ statistically from those generated by the two null models; thus, the D of e was not smaller than expected (Fig. 3).

Although the skewness-kurtosis relationships of g and f cannot be explained by chance, the D of g and f was also influenced by climate. Specifically, drier communities had lower D values for g and f , while for e the D values showed no climatic trends (Fig. 4).

Stomatal trait moments and ecosystem productivity

The distributions of stomatal traits regulated ecosystem productivity (Fig. 5). The amount of variance in ecosystem productivity explained by community-weighted skewness and kurtosis was greater than that explained by community-weighted mean and variance. Community-weighted skewness and kurtosis of g and f played different roles in optimizing ecosystem productivity: If the other independent variables were fixed, increasing the skewness of f but decreasing that of g , and increasing the kurtosis of g but decreasing that of f would improve ecosystem productivity (Fig. 6). Further, ecosystem productivity increased across communities positively with the mean of e .

Overall stomatal traits explained up to 66% of the total variation observed in ecosystem productivity, which was greater than that explained by the distributions of stomatal traits generated by the two null models (Fig. S2).

Discussion

Maximum stomatal conductance (g) increases with climatic aridity at continental scale

The linkage of g with low water availability has remained controversial. Indeed, plants may adapt to dry conditions with a low g that may enable sustained low rates of gas exchange under extended periods of lower water supply, with increased CO_2 gain relative to water loss, i.e., higher water use efficiency (Franks et al., 2015). However, some studies have proposed a higher g and stomatal conductance can confer an advantage for plants in arid climates, enabling greater rates of photosynthesis in the shorter “pulses” when water is available (Grubb, 1998; Scoffoni et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017), and thus “avoiding” drought with

opportunistic rapid growth during short periods of water availability. One of the major novel findings of this study was that the community-weighted mean value of g was positively related to climatic aridity across the continent, and thus that pulse-driven “avoidance” is the dominant trend for adaptation of communities with low water availability. Our findings extend to continental scale the hypothesis that plants and communities adapted to arid climates would generally maintain a low stomatal conductance, but given their high maximum stomatal conductance, can sharply increase stomatal conductance during pulses of rainfall availability to maximize growth (Grubb, 1998). This hypothesis is also consistent with reports that species with higher g tend to show greater sensitivity to changes in the external environment (Haworth et al., 2018; Siddiq et al., 2017).

Greater functional niche differentiation of g under higher climatic aridity

Environmental stress can restrict the variance of trait values, leading to convergence in the distribution of trait values among coexisting species (Kraft et al., 2008). Yet, for communities across a continental scale aridity gradient, the community-weighted variance of g increased with climatic aridity. Notably, this difference for g would be expected due to the ability of plants to close stomata; species with a high g are not obliged to maintain high stomatal conductance during stressful periods, as species with large stomatal pores areas can sharply reduce stomatal conductance and thus transpiration rates. The distance between observed kurtosis and minimum kurtosis (D) for g was lower than that generated by two null models (Table S1), consistent with a general assembly rule that trait diversity of g is maximized within forest plant communities, as previously demonstrated for global drylands in

analyses of specific leaf area and maximum height (Gross et al., 2017). Further, the D values of g was lower for drier communities, suggesting that this assembly rule applies more strongly with increasing aridity. Similarly to root stratification (Oram et al., 2018), diversity in g and associated stomatal regulation strategies might improve species-specific soil moisture status (West et al., 2012) and increase species partitioning water resources in space and/or time, thus increasing overall water utilization (Naeem et al., 1994). We observed a negative relationship between community-weighted variance and kurtosis of g (Fig. S1); communities characterized by low variance and low kurtosis values were only observed in the wetter regions, indicating that community assembly process of g was more strictly constrained under lower water availability. The strong patterns linking the stomatal traits of communities with climate at continental scale highlights the importance of these traits across the background of other structural and physiological adaptations to aridity, including specialized xylem anatomy, plant allometry, rooting strategy, dormancy and the ability to recover after dieback (Grossiord, 2020).

Limited variability of stomatal space-use efficiency (e) under water scarcity

Stomatal space-use efficiency (e) was first defined in this study, and, by contrast with g and f , community-weighted mean values of e were not statistically constrained by climatic aridity, supporting theory that this efficiency should be generally maximized (Franks and Beerling, 2009). For g and f , the overall negative correlation community-weighted mean trait values with aridity was consistent with the expected trends based on adaptation (Garnier and Navas, 2012; Garnier et al., 2004; Grime, 1998). Likewise, given that

community-weighted mean values of e were highly conservative, the narrow community-weighted variance of e would reflect adaptation in which co-occurring species tend to converge in e to a narrow range of optimal values. Our results supported the hypothesis that the variability of e was especially strongly constrained under arid climates, consistent with the expectation of greater cost-effectiveness of investment in stomata under lower water availability than under high water availability, where selection would likely be weaker.

Coordinated adaptation of g and f across a climatic gradient

For both g and f , the community-weighted mean and variance increased with the climatic aridity, whereas D decreased, and the trait diversity was maximized. Thus, the distributions of g and f were synchronous in adapting to the environment. Given that g is determined as the product of f and e , and that variation in g was primarily caused by f rather than e , it is clear that the shifts in stomatal area fraction are more typical for the adaptation and assembly of g than shifts in e , which remains constrained. As e is inversely proportional to stomatal size (see Supplementary Note 1 for detailed information), its constraint is consistent with previous studies reporting that stomatal size is less variable than stomatal density or f (Beaulieu et al., 2008; Jordan et al., 2015; Xiong and Flexas, 2020).

Contrasting roles of g and f in optimizing ecosystem productivity

Selection for higher g (the benefit) involves a trade-off to minimize f (the cost) (de Boer et al., 2016), and such cost-benefit relationship is also involved in how stomatal traits regulate

ecosystem productivity. Decreasing the skewness of g and increasing the skewness of f meant that species with high g and/or low f values were more dominant within communities; thus, the optimization of stomata on ecosystem productivity was economical through decreasing the skewness of g and increasing the skewness of f . A previous study also argued that high kurtosis in leaf traits indicated strong trait optimization (Umaña et al., 2021). Here, the high kurtosis of g meant that co-occurring species of g were convergent toward an optimal value. Nevertheless, the high kurtosis and lower skewness of g coupled with lower kurtosis and higher skewness of f would result in improved e , i.e., the benefit-cost ratio (de Boer et al., 2016), which was positively correlated with ecosystem productivity. Therefore, contrasting regulations of g and f on ecosystem productivity were associated with stomatal cost-benefit relationship.

Materials and Methods

Study sites and climate data

Nine study sites were selected along the 3700-km north–south transect of China (NSTEC), which were designated as Huzhong, Liangshui, Changbai, Dongling, Taiyue, Shennongjia, Jiulian, Dinghu, and Jianfengling. The nine study sites extend from 18.7 °N to 51.8 °N latitude, and represent examples of most of the forest types in the northern hemisphere, including cold-temperate coniferous forest, temperate deciduous forest, subtropical evergreen forest, and tropical rain forest (He et al., 2019). Along the NSTEC transect, the mean annual temperature (MAT) ranges from −3.67 to 23.2 °C, and mean annual precipitation (MAP) from 472 to 2266 mm (He et al., 2020). Soil types range from

cold-temperate brown soils with high organic matter content to tropical red soils with low organic matter content.

Sample collection and analysis

The field survey was conducted in July–August 2013, the peak period of growth for all species. Sampling plots were located within well-protected national nature reserves with relatively continuous vegetation, which is representative of the given forests. Three or four experimental plots (30 m × 40 m) located least 100 m apart were established in each site. Geographical information (latitude, longitude, and altitude), plant species composition, and community structure were recorded for each plot. The number, height, diameter at breast height (DBH) of trees, basal stem diameter of shrubs, and aboveground live-biomass of all herbs were measured (He et al., 2018).

Leaves were collected from trees, shrubs, and herbs within the plots. For each species, more than 20 mature leaves were collected from the top of the canopy of four healthy individuals and mixed as a composite sample. The leaves were collected from trees using long-handle shears or handpicked by climbing the trees. About half of the leaves were placed in sealed plastic bags, immediately stored in a box with ice, and others were used to measure leaf morphological traits (Li et al., 2018).

After sampling, leaf size was measured using a scanner (Cano Scan LIDE 100, Japan) and Photoshop CS software (Adobe, United States). These leaves were subsequently dried to constant mass in an oven before measuring leaf dry mass, and specific leaf area as the ratio of leaf area to leaf dry mass. Eight to ten leaves from the pooled sample were cut into small

pieces (1.0×0.5 cm) along the main vein and were fixed in 75% alcohol: formalin: glacial acetic acid: glycerin (90:5:5:5).

Stomatal traits were imaged using a scanning electron microscope (S-3400N, Hitachi, Japan), using the same leaf samples as previously studied for stomatal density, size and stomatal area fraction (Liu et al., 2018). Three small pieces were selected from the pooled sample, and each replicate was photographed twice on the lower surface at different positions. Given our use of scanning electron microscopy and investigation of a large number of species across communities, the labor and expense did not allow measurements of the upper epidermis, and we focused on the lower epidermis (Liu et al., 2019). The herbaceous species in closed forests typically have more stomata on their adaxial surfaces, whereas trees and shrubs tend to have few or no stomata on the adaxial surface (Muir, 2015; Muir, 2018). Thus, sampling only the lower epidermis results in some uncertainty, but the community level findings are expected to be robust.

The number of stomata in each photograph was recorded, and stomatal density (SD) was calculated as the number of stomata per unit area (Liu et al., 2018). In each photograph, five typical stomata were selected to measure stomatal length (SL), stomatal pore length (PL), and stomatal width (SW) by using MIPS (Optical Instrument Co., Ltd., Chongqing, China). We used the above stomatal traits to calculate f and g (Franks and Farquhar, 2001).

$$f = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot SD \cdot SW \cdot SL$$

$$g = SD \cdot \left(\frac{D_w}{v} \right) \cdot \frac{a_{\max}}{l + 0.5 \cdot (\pi \cdot a_{\max})^{0.5}}$$

where D_w is the diffusivity of water in air, v is the molar volume of water vapor, $a_{\max}$ is the maximum pore area (estimated as the area of the ellipse with major axis PL and minor axis

0.5PL), and l is the depth of the stomatal pore, which was approximated as guard cell width.

We then calculated e as the ratio of g to f . Notably, e depends inversely on stomatal size, because smaller stomata, having shorter depths, are more efficient for transport for a given pore area (Franks and Farquhar, 2006); the mathematical relationships of e to stomatal size is presented in Supplementary Note 1.

Stomatal trait moments of plant communities

To scale up traits to the community scale, and given that stomatal traits were normalized by leaf area, we used the total leaf area of each species in the plot to weight species trait values, and then calculated the distributions of stomatal traits. The total leaf biomass of each individual tree and shrub was calculated using species-specific allometric regressions based on measured values of height, diameter at breast height (DBH) or basal stem diameter, and then the leaf biomass of each species within plots was calculated. Species-specific allometric regressions were obtained from the Chinese Ecosystem Research Network (Wang et al., 2015). The leaf biomass of herbs was measured using the harvest method. The total leaf area of each species was calculated as the product of total leaf biomass and specific leaf area. Community-weighted mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis were calculated as follows (Gross et al., 2017; Wiczyński et al., 2019):

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mean} &= \sum_1^n p_i \text{Trait}_i \\ \text{Variance} &= \sum_1^n p_i (\text{Trait}_i - \text{Mean})^2 \\ \text{Skewness} &= \sum_1^n \frac{p_i (\text{Trait}_i - \text{Mean})^3}{\text{Variance}^{\frac{3}{2}}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Kurtosis} = \sum_1^n \frac{p_i (\text{Trait}_i - \text{Mean})^4}{\text{Variance}^2}$$

where n is the species richness, p_i is the proportion of leaf area of i^{th} plant species in a specific community, and Trait_i represents stomatal traits (g , f , or e) of the i^{th} plant species.

The community trait variance, skewness, and kurtosis provide information beyond the community weighted mean, which can over-emphasize the role of dominant species (Enquist et al., 2015). Specifically, the community variance in a given traits represents the functional divergence, skewness the extent of asymmetric distribution of traits, and kurtosis the functional evenness, with a high kurtosis indicating strong trait optimization (Umaña et al., 2021). Skewness and kurtosis are mathematically related, according to skewness-kurtosis relationships (SKR):

$$\text{Kurtosis} \geq \text{Skewness}^2 + 1$$

Thus, for a given skewness, there is a minimum kurtosis. Here, we calculated the distance between the observed kurtosis and minimum kurtosis (D):

$$D = \text{Kurtosis} - (\text{Skewness}^2 + 1)$$

D signifies the extent to which functional diversity is maximized, with a $D = 0$ representing the strongest possible maximization of functional diversity (Gross et al., 2017).

Climate data and ecosystem productivity

Mean annual temperature and precipitation (MAT and MAP, respectively) were derived from the Resource and Environment Data Cloud Platform (<http://www.resdc.cn/>). Then, the de Martonne aridity index (de Martonne, 1926) was calculated the ratio of MAP and MAT+10.

To facilitate the interpretation of results, we calculated the climatic aridity index for each site as:

$$CI = 100 - \frac{MAP}{MAT + 10}$$

so all the CI values were positive, and higher values of this aridity level indicate drier conditions.

In these forests, gross primary productivity and net primary productivity were strongly correlated with each other across sites (Li et al., 2020); here, we focused on gross primary productivity (GPP). The average GPP data from 2000 to 2015 (Li et al., 2020) were obtained from the Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group (<http://www.ntsug.umt.edu/project/modis/mod17.php>). This dataset was derived from a widely used Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer product, and was calculated using the C5 MOD17 algorithm with data validation from flux towers (Li et al., 2020; Zhao and Running, 2010; Zhao et al., 2005).

Data analysis

We calculated statistical moments for stomatal traits, including mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis, for each of the 32 plant community plots. We tested whether to consider plots independently, rather than as nested within sites, for calculating community scale moments by comparing fixed effects models (*lm* function in R) and mixed effects models (*lmer* function from R package *lme4*). The fixed model considered plots as independent, and the mixed effects models, considered plots as a random factor nested within each site. Akaike information criterion (AIC) represented the support of the model by data, with the model

having a lower AIC value more likely to underlie the data (Burnham and Anderson, 2004). The AIC values of fixed and mixed effects models were compared, with differences greater than 2 considered decisive in selecting one model over another, representing a >100 times higher likelihood that the data were generated by that model. For 12 of the 13 relationships of traits with climate or ecosystem productivity tested in this study, the fixed effects model was selected (Table S1-S5). Thus, in our analyses, we considered each plot as a sample plant community.

Spearman rank correlation was used to test relationships between stomatal trait moments and climate variables. Ordinary least square regression was used to quantify relationships between statistical moments of stomatal traits, including the relationship between skewness² and kurtosis, and relationship between variance and kurtosis. To explore whether climatic aridity mediated the relationships between variance and kurtosis, plant communities were classified into wet and dry communities (threshold CI=40), and scatter diagrams of variance and kurtosis were plotted.

Focusing on the distance to the minimal kurtosis (D) enables resolution of variation across communities in trait evenness (Gross et al., 2021), and a test of the hypothesis that functional niche differentiation of *g* would be greater in drier communities, by determining the correlation between the distance to the minimal kurtosis (D) and climatic aridity. To clarify whether trait assembly of stomata would maximize stomatal trait diversity, we tested whether observed skewness-kurtosis relationships (SKRs) differed from random expectations, which can reveal the signature of niche differentiation in shaping ecological communities (Gross et al., 2021). We constructed two null models, and predictions from each null model

were derived from 2000 randomizations. In the first null model, we randomized the stomatal traits across all species, using the function “richness” in the R package PICANTE (Kembel et al., 2010). In the second null model, we shuffled stomatal traits across species occurring in each community, using the function “independentswap” in the R package PICANTE. These two null models have been the most common for analyzing community assembly, with the second null model more specific in its implication. The first null model allows tests for maximizing trait diversity locally, relative to a scenario of random selection of species from the regional pool. The second null model allows tests for maximizing trait diversity locally relative to a scenario of random selection of species from local pools. Stomatal trait moments were then calculated for each of the 2000 randomizations, for each of the null models used. Then, we assessed whether the observed SKR significantly differed from SKR_{random} . Monte Carlo analysis was used to test whether the observed SKRs differed from random expectations. We compared the observed slope β and intercept α (β_{obs} and α_{obs} , respectively) of the SKR with those generated by null models (β_{random} and α_{random} , respectively). Three Pseudo P values were calculated: $P(\beta|\alpha)$, the frequency of $\beta_{obs} > \beta_{random}$ within subset $\alpha_{obs} < \alpha_{random}$; $P(\alpha|\beta)$, the frequency of $\alpha_{obs} > \alpha_{random}$ within subset $\beta_{obs} < \beta_{random}$; and $P(\beta \cap \alpha)$, the frequency of $\alpha_{obs} < \alpha_{random}$ within subset $\beta_{obs} < \beta_{random}$. Further, we compared the observed distance to the minimal kurtosis (D_{obs}) with that generated by null models (D_{random}). $P(D)$ is the frequency of $D_{obs} < D_{random}$.

A multiple regression model was used to assess the potential influence of stomatal trait moments on ecosystem productivity, and quadratic terms of stomatal trait moments were also considered as potential drivers of non-linear effects of these variables on ecosystem

productivity. All variables, including ecosystem productivity and stomatal trait moments, were standardized (Z-scores) before analysis. We first used the “stepAIC” function (MASS package in R) to exclude less important predictors, then the “dredge” function (MuMIn package in R) was used to select the best models. Finally, the relative effect of each stomatal trait moment on ecosystem productivity was calculated as its absolute parameter compared with the sum of all the absolute parameters in the model.

Standardized effect sizes (SES) were used to assess the non-random influence of stomatal traits on ecosystem productivity. SES was calculated as (Bruehlheide et al., 2018)

$$SES = \frac{Adj. r_{obs}^2 - mean(Adj. r_{null}^2)}{s. d. (Adj. r_{null}^2)}$$

where $Adj. r_{obs}^2$ is the observed influence of stomatal traits on ecosystem productivity, $Adj. r_{null}^2$ is the influence of stomatal traits on ecosystem productivity of random communities generated from a null model, *mean* represents the average value, and *s. d.* is the standard deviation.

Data analyses and visualization were performed using R (<http://www.R-project.org/>). Statistical significance was set at the 0.05 level.

Author contribution

N.H. planned and designed the research; C.L. and Y.L. conducted fieldwork and collected data; C.L., L.S. and Y.L. analyzed data and wrote the manuscript; L.S. and C.L. revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

418 This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China [31988102,
419 31770655, 32001186], and the fellowship of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation
420 (2020M680663). There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

421

422 **Data accessibility**

423 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author
424 upon reasonable request.

425

References

- Beaulieu, J.M., Leitch, I.J., Patel, S., Pendharkar, A., Knight, C.A. (2008). Genome size is a strong predictor of cell size and stomatal density in angiosperms. *New Phytologist* 179: 975-986
- Bruehlheide, H., Dengler, J., Purschke, O., Lenoir, J., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Hennekens, S.M., Botta-Dukát, Z., Chytrý, M., Field, R., Jansen, F., et al. (2018). Global trait–environment relationships of plant communities. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2: 1906-1917
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. (2004). Multimodel inference: Understanding aic and bic in model selection. *Sociological methods & research* 33: 261-304
- Callaway, R.M. (1995). Positive interactions among plants. *The Botanical Review* 61: 306-349
- De Boer, H.J., Price, C.A., Wagner-Cremer, F., Dekker, S.C., Franks, P.J., Veneklaas, E.J. (2016). Optimal allocation of leaf epidermal area for gas exchange. *New Phytologist* 210: 1219-1228
- Edwards, D., Kerp, H., Hass, H. (1998). Stomata in early land plants: An anatomical and ecophysiological approach. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 49: 255-278
- Enquist, B.J., Norberg, J., Bonser, S.P., Violle, C., Webb, C.T., Henderson, A., Sloat, L.L., Savage, V.M. Chapter nine - scaling from traits to ecosystems: Developing a general trait driver theory via integrating trait-based and metabolic scaling theories. *Advances in ecological research*, 2015, 52: 249-318, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0065250415000070>
- Franks, P.J., Farquhar, G.D. (2001). The effect of exogenous abscisic acid on stomatal development, stomatal mechanics, and leaf gas exchange in *tradescantia virginiana*. *Plant Physiology* 125: 935-942
- Franks, P.J., Farquhar, G.D. (2006). The mechanical diversity of stomata and its significance in gas-exchange control. *Plant Physiology* 143: 78-87
- Franks, P.J., Beerling, D.J. (2009). Maximum leaf conductance driven by co₂ effects on stomatal size and density over geologic time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106: 10343-10347
- Franks, P.J., W. Doheny-Adams, T., Britton-Harper, Z.J., Gray, J.E. (2015). Increasing water-use efficiency directly through genetic manipulation of stomatal density. *New Phytologist* 207: 188-195
- Garnier, E., Navas, M.-L. (2012). A trait-based approach to comparative functional plant ecology: Concepts, methods and applications for agroecology. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 32: 365-399
- Garnier, E., Cortez, J., Billès, G., Navas, M.-L., Roumet, C., Debussche, M., Laurent, G., Blanchard, A., Aubry, D., Bellmann, A., et al. (2004). Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary succession. *Ecology* 85: 2630-2637
- Griffin-Nolan, R.J., Bushey, J.A., Carroll, C.J.W., Challis, A., Chieppa, J., Garbowski, M., Hoffman, A.M., Post, A.K., Slette, I.J., Spitzer, D., et al. (2018). Trait selection and community weighting are key to understanding ecosystem responses to changing precipitation regimes. *Functional Ecology* 32: 1746-1756
- Grime, J.P. (1998). Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: Immediate, filter and founder effects. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 902-910
- Gross, N., Bagousse-Pinguet, Y.L., Liancourt, P., Berdugo, M., Gotelli, N.J., Maestre, F.T. (2017). Functional trait diversity maximizes ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1: 0132
- Gross, N., Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Liancourt, P., Saiz, H., Violle, C., Munoz, F. (2021). Unveiling ecological assembly rules from commonalities in trait distributions. *Ecology Letters* n/a:
- Grossiord, C. (2020). Having the right neighbors: How tree species diversity modulates drought impacts on forests. *New Phytologist* 228: 42-49
- Grubb, P.J. (1998). A reassessment of the strategies of plants which cope with shortages of resources. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 1: 3-31
- Haworth, M., Elliott-Kingston, C., McElwain, J.C. (2011). Stomatal control as a driver of plant evolution. *Journal*

of Experimental Botany 62: 2419-2423

Haworth, M., Scutt, C.P., Douthe, C., Marino, G., Gomes, M.T.G., Loreto, F., Flexas, J., Centritto, M. (2018). Allocation of the epidermis to stomata relates to stomatal physiological control: Stomatal factors involved in the evolutionary diversification of the angiosperms and development of amphistomaty. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 151: 55-63

He, N., Liu, C., Tian, M., Li, M., Yang, H., Yu, G., Guo, D., Smith, M.D., Yu, Q., Hou, J. (2018). Variation in leaf anatomical traits from tropical to cold-temperate forests and linkage to ecosystem functions. *Functional Ecology* 32: 10-19

He, N., Liu, C., Piao, S., Sack, L., Xu, L., Luo, Y., He, J., Han, X., Zhou, G., Zhou, X., et al. (2019). Ecosystem traits linking functional traits to macroecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 34: 200-210

He, N., Li, Y., Liu, C., Xu, L., Li, M., Zhang, J., He, J., Tang, Z., Han, X., Ye, Q., et al. (2020). Plant trait networks: Improved resolution of the dimensionality of adaptation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 35: 908-918

Hetherington, A.M., Woodward, F.I. (2003). The role of stomata in sensing and driving environmental change. *Nature* 424: 901-908

Holland, N., Richardson, A.D. (2009). Stomatal length correlates with elevation of growth in four temperate species. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28: 63-73

Hooper, D.U. (1998). The role of complementarity and competition in ecosystem responses to variation in plant diversity. *Ecology* 79: 704-719

Hooper, D.U., Chapin III, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H., Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M., Naeem, S., et al. (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs* 75: 3-35

Jordan, G.J., Carpenter, R.J., Koutoulis, A., Price, A., Brodribb, T.J. (2015). Environmental adaptation in stomatal size independent of the effects of genome size. *New Phytologist* 205: 608-617

Kembel, S.W., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D.D., Blomberg, S.P., Webb, C.O. (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26: 1463-1464

Kraft, N.J., Valencia, R., Ackerly, D.D. (2008). Functional traits and niche-based tree community assembly in an amazonian forest. *Science* 322: 580-582

Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Gross, N., Maestre, F.T., Maire, V., De Bello, F., Fonseca, C.R., Kattge, J., Valencia, E., Leps, J., Liancourt, P. (2017). Testing the environmental filtering concept in global drylands. *Journal of Ecology* 105: 1058-1069

Li, Y., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Yang, H., Xu, L., Wang, Q., Sack, L., Wu, X., Hou, J., He, N. (2018). Variation in leaf chlorophyll concentration from tropical to cold-temperate forests: Association with gross primary productivity. *Ecological Indicators* 85: 383-389

Li, Y., Reich, P.B., Schmid, B., Shrestha, N., Feng, X., Lyu, T., Maitner, B.S., Xu, X., Li, Y., Zou, D., et al. (2020). Leaf size of woody dicots predicts ecosystem primary productivity. *Ecology Letters* 23: 1003-1013

Liu, C., Li, Y., Xu, L., Chen, Z., He, N. (2019). Variation in leaf morphological, stomatal, and anatomical traits and their relationships in temperate and subtropical forests. *Scientific Reports* 9: 5803

Liu, C., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Baird, A.S., He, N. (2020). Optimal community assembly related to leaf economic-hydraulic-anatomical traits. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:

Liu, C., He, N., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Wang, Q., Sack, L., Yu, G. (2018). Variation of stomatal traits from cold temperate to tropical forests and association with water use efficiency. *Functional Ecology* 32: 20-28

Loreau, M. (2000). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Recent theoretical advances. *Oikos* 91: 3-17

McElwain, J.C., Yiotis, C., Lawson, T. (2016). Using modern plant trait relationships between observed and theoretical maximum stomatal conductance and vein density to examine patterns of plant macroevolution.

514 New Phytologist 209: 94-103

515 Mensah, S., Salako, K.V., Assogbadjo, A., Kakai, R.G., Sinsin, B., Seifert, T. (2020). Functional trait diversity is a
516 stronger predictor of multifunctionality than dominance: Evidence from an afro-montane forest in south africa.
517 Ecological Indicators 115: 106415

518 Muir, C.D. (2015). Making pore choices: Repeated regime shifts in stomatal ratio. Proceedings of the Royal
519 Society B: Biological Sciences 282: 20151498

520 Muir, C.D. (2018). Light and growth form interact to shape stomatal ratio among british angiosperms. New
521 Phytologist 218: 242-252

522 Murray, M., Soh, W.K., Yiotis, C., Spicer, R.A., McElwain, J.C. (2019). Consistent relationship between
523 field-measured stomatal conductance and theoretical maximum stomatal conductance in c3 woody
524 angiosperms in four major biomes. International Journal of Plant Sciences 181: 142-154

525 Muscarella, R., Uriarte, M. (2016). Do community-weighted mean functional traits reflect optimal strategies?
526 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283: 20152434

527 Naeem, S., Thompson, L.J., Lawler, S.P., Lawton, J.H., Woodfin, R.M. (1994). Declining biodiversity can alter the
528 performance of ecosystems. Nature 368: 734-737

529 Oram, N.J., Ravenek, J.M., Barry, K.E., Weigelt, A., Chen, H., Gessler, A., Gockele, A., De Kroon, H., Van Der
530 Paauw, J.W., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., et al. (2018). Below-ground complementarity effects in a grassland
531 biodiversity experiment are related to deep-rooting species. Journal of Ecology 106: 265-277

532 Raven, J.A. (2002). Selection pressures on stomatal evolution. New Phytologist 153: 371-386

533 Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Mahecha, M.D., Kattge, J., Baldocchi, D.D. (2014). Linking plant and ecosystem
534 functional biogeography. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111: 13697-13702

535 Sack, L., Buckley, T.N. (2016). The developmental basis of stomatal density and flux. Plant Physiology 171:
536 2358-2363

537 Sack, L., Cowan, P.D., Jaikumar, N., Holbrook, N.M. (2003). The 'hydrology' of leaves: Co-ordination of structure
538 and function in temperate woody species. Plant, Cell & Environment 26: 1343-1356

539 Schumacher, J., Roscher, C. (2009). Differential effects of functional traits on aboveground biomass in
540 semi-natural grasslands. Oikos 118: 1659-1668

541 Schwendenmann, L., Pendall, E., Sanchez-Bragado, R., Kunert, N., Hölscher, D. (2015). Tree water uptake in a
542 tropical plantation varying in tree diversity: Interspecific differences, seasonal shifts and complementarity.
543 Ecohydrology 8: 1-12

544 Scoffoni, C., Rawls, M., Mckown, A., Cochard, H., Sack, L. (2011). Decline of leaf hydraulic conductance with
545 dehydration: Relationship to leaf size and venation architecture. Plant Physiology 156: 832-843

546 Siddiq, Z., Chen, Y.-J., Zhang, Y.-J., Zhang, J.-L., Cao, K.-F. (2017). More sensitive response of crown conductance
547 to vpd and larger water consumption in tropical evergreen than in deciduous broadleaf timber trees.
548 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 247: 399-407

549 Taylor, S.H., Franks, P.J., Hulme, S.P., Spriggs, E., Christin, P.A., Edwards, E.J., Woodward, F.I., Osborne, C.P. (2012).
550 Photosynthetic pathway and ecological adaptation explain stomatal trait diversity amongst grasses. New
551 Phytologist 193: 387-396

552 Umaña, M.N., Arellano, G., Swenson, N.G., Zambrano, J. (2021). Tree seedling trait optimization and growth in
553 response to local-scale soil and light variability. Ecology 102: e03252

554 Wang, H., Prentice, I.C., Keenan, T.F., Davis, T.W., Wright, I.J., Cornwell, W.K., Evans, B.J., Peng, C. (2017).
555 Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants. Nature Plants 3: 734-741

556 Wang, R., Yu, G., He, N., Wang, Q., Zhao, N., Xu, Z., Ge, J. (2015). Latitudinal variation of leaf stomatal traits from
557 species to community level in forests: Linkage with ecosystem productivity. Scientific Reports 5: 14454

558 West, A.G., Dawson, T.E., February, E.C., Midgley, G.F., Bond, W.J., Aston, T.L. (2012). Diverse functional
559 responses to drought in a mediterranean-type shrubland in south africa. *New Phytologist* 195: 396-407
560 Wieczynski, D.J., Boyle, B., Buzzard, V., Duran, S.M., Henderson, A.N., Hulshof, C.M., Kerkhoff, A.J., McCarthy,
561 M.C., Michaletz, S.T., Swenson, N.G., et al. (2019). Climate shapes and shifts functional biodiversity in forests
562 worldwide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116: 587-592
563 Xiong, D., Flexas, J. (2020). From one side to two sides: The effects of stomatal distribution on photosynthesis.
564 *New Phytologist* 228: 1754-1766
565 Zhang, D., Peng, Y., Li, F., Yang, G., Wang, J., Yu, J., Zhou, G., Yang, Y. (2019). Trait identity and functional diversity
566 co-drive response of ecosystem productivity to nitrogen enrichment. *Journal of Ecology* 107: 2402-2414
567 Zhao, M., Running, S.W. (2010). Drought-induced reduction in global terrestrial net primary production from
568 2000 through 2009. *science* 329: 940-943
569 Zhao, M., Heinsch, F.A., Nemani, R.R., Running, S.W. (2005). Improvements of the modis terrestrial gross and
570 net primary production global data set. *remote sensing of environment* 95: 164-176
571

Fig. 1 Stomatal trait moments are broadly related to climatic aridity.

CWM, community-weighted mean; CWV, community-weighted variance; CWS,

community-weighted skewness; CWK, community-weighted kurtosis

MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; CI, climatic aridity index;

Spearman rank correlation coefficients are shown in the panels.

Fan-shaped areas are proportional to the absolute Spearman rank correlation coefficients;

negative correlations are drawn with a counterclockwise fan and positive correlations with a

clockwise fan. The strength of negative correlation increases from white to red, and the

strength of positive correlation increases from white to blue.

ns, no significance at the 0.05 level; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$.

Fig. 2 Relationships between the community-weighted variance of stomatal traits and climatic aridity

Variance, community-weighted variance.

g , maximum stomatal conductance; f , stomata area fraction; e , stomatal space-use efficiency.

The blue lines are fitted using linear regression, and shaded areas indicate the 95% confidence interval.

Fig. 3 Observed skewness-kurtosis relationships (SKR) and deviation from null expectations.

Skewness, community-weighted skewness; Kurtosis, community-weighted kurtosis.

g , maximum stomatal conductance; f , stomatal area fraction; e , stomatal space-use efficiency.

The red dots in the left panels represent the observed skewness and kurtosis values; blue dots in the left panels represent the skewness and kurtosis values of simulated random communities. The orange line represents $y = x + 1$.

Red/blue dots in the right panels represent the observed/random slope (α) and intercept (β) of the SKRs. We indicate the conditional pseudo P values from null model ‘richness’ for the slope β , $P(\beta | \alpha)$, the y-intercept α , $P(\alpha | \beta)$, the whole model, $P(\beta \cap \alpha)$ and the distance to the lower boundary, $P(D)$ (see Table S6 for details).

Fig. 4 Relationships between the distance to the lower boundary (D) and climatic aridity.

g , maximum stomatal conductance; f , stomatal area fraction; e , stomatal space-use efficiency.

The blue lines were fitted using linear regression and the shaded areas indicate the 95%

confidence interval.

Fig. 5 Community-weighted skewness and kurtosis of g and f showed opposite effects on ecosystem productivity.

Mean, community-weighted mean; Variance, community-weighted variance; Skewness, community-weighted skewness; Kurtosis, community-weighted kurtosis.

g , maximum stomatal conductance; f , stomatal area fraction; e , stomatal space-use efficiency.

Average parameter estimates (standardized regression coefficients) of model predictors, associated 95% confidence intervals, and relative importance of each factor, expressed as the percentage of explained variance.

The adjusted r^2 of the averaged model and the p value of each predictor are given as: *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Colored labels in the right highlighted the different effects of g and f on ecosystem productivity.

Fig. 6 Conceptual diagrams of how stomatal trait distributions adapt drought stress and regulate ecosystem productivity.

g , maximum stomatal conductance, shown by orange; f , stomatal area fraction, shown by blue; e , stomatal space-use efficiency, shown by green.