Synthetic evolution of herbicide resistance using a T7 RNAP-based random DNA base editor

Haroon Butt¹, Jose Luis Moreno Ramirez¹, and Magdy Mahfouz^{1, *}

¹Laboratory for Genome Engineering and Synthetic Biology, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.

*Correspondence to Magdy Mahfouz (Magdy.mahfouz@kaust.edu.sa)

Key words: Directed evolution, Base editing, T7 RNA polymerase, Continuous mutagenesis, Genome engineering.

Abstract

Synthetic directed evolution via localized sequence diversification and the simultaneous application of selection pressure is a promising method for producing new, beneficial alleles that affect traits of interest in diverse species; however, this technique has rarely been applied in plants. Developing systems to induce localized sequence diversification at high efficiency will expand our ability to evolve traits of interest that improve global food security. In this study, we designed, built, and tested a chimeric fusion of T7 RNA Polymerase (RNAP) and deaminase to enable the localized sequence diversification of a target sequence of interest. We tested our T7 RNAP–DNA base editor in *Nicotiana benthamiana* transient assays to target a transgene expressing *GFP* under the control of the T7 promoter. More than 7% of C nucleotides were converted to T in long segments of the *GFP* sequence. We then targeted the T7 promoter-driven *ACETOLACTATE SYNTHASE* (*ALS*) sequence that had been stably integrated in the rice (*Oryza sativa*) genome and generated C-to-T and G-to-A transitions. We used herbicide treatment as selection pressure for the evolution of the *ALS* sequence, resulting in the enrichment of herbicide-responsive residues. We then targeted these herbicide-responsive regions in the rice genome using a CRISPR-directed evolution platform and identified herbicide-resistant *ALS* variants. Thus, our system could be used for the continuous synthetic evolution of gene functions to produce variants with improved herbicide resistance, as well as for other trait engineering applications.

Background

In crop plants, natural evolution and artificial selection (i.e. during domestication) relied on naturally occurring genetic variation. Increasing genetic variation in crop plants provides a basis for breeding and developing new traits of value. Genetic variation generated through random mutagenesis provides a basis for creating protein variants and useful alleles for breeding, and for evolution to develop new traits [1, 2]. Random mutagenesis has been employed to improve crop traits; for example, radiation mutagenesis led to the generation of new traits that made the Green Revolution possible [3]. However, radiation and chemical mutagenesis methods are not gene-specific and produce many mutations that are deleterious to the host plant.

Synthetic directed evolution relies on generating targeted or random genetic variability, followed by screening under selection pressure to identify beneficial mutations within a gene or pathway of interest [4-7]. Conventional tools for synthetic directed evolution mainly rely on *in vitro* diversification of a target gene, followed by screening for variants with improved functions [8, 9]. These methods have been improved to generate different modifications. In single-site recombineering, exogenous oligonucleotides containing the desired sequence with flanking regions of homology are introduced to edit the target gene [10]. In the MAGE (multiplexed automated genome engineering) system, a library of oligonucleotides is introduced into an organism to target multiple regions of the genome, producing organisms with combinatorial diversity at the targeted regions [11]. Similarly, DiVERGE (directed evolution with random genomic mutations) can be employed to evolve multiple loci in a single targeted gene and its promoter regions by iteratively introducing oligonucleotides into an organism by electroporation [12]. Although these approaches have broad applicability, they have several disadvantages, including low mutation rates and the need for labor-intensive, discontinuous steps.

These drawbacks were recently overcome by the development of *in vivo* mutagenesis systems that simultaneously perform gene diversification and selection to enable continuous directed evolution. The random mutation of plasmid-based genes is achieved by continuous diversification in host organisms with elevated global mutation rates, such as *Escherichia coli* strain XL1-red [13]. A similar concept is used to engineer yeast via the

OrthoRep system. Using this system, targeted yeast genes can be continuously diversified separately from the rest of the host genome sequence by placing these genes on orthogonal, non-genomic plasmids that replicate using error-prone DNA polymerases [14, 15].

The pioneering method of phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE) is used for the continuous evolution of proteins. Using this system, the mutational throughput in *E. coli* can be increased *in vivo* via a continuous process using phages, enabling the rapid evolution of gene-encoded molecules that can be linked to protein production [4, 5, 16]. However, this approach is best suited for phenotypes that can be linked to phage growth and cannot be directly applied to eukaryotes. These tools for directed evolution require extensive effort, long time scales, and ample resources to select for sought-after traits and are limited by the frequency and nature of mutagenesis.

To date, synthetic directed evolution has mainly been performed in single-celled organisms. Developing systems that induce localized sequence diversification at high efficiency will expand our ability to evolve traits of interest in multicellular eukaryotes [4, 17]. Eukaryotic genes that have evolved in prokaryotic systems do not seem to exhibit the functions observed in the native cellular context due to non-physiological environmental conditions, the misfolding and aggregation of proteins, unintended intermolecular interactions, or unexpected modifications [17]. Therefore, establishing efficient systems for synthetic evolution within a native cellular context is essential for evolving gene functions that affect traits of interest in multicellular eukaryotes such as crop plants.

DNA base editors, including cytidine base editors (CBEs) and adenine base editors (ABEs), are powerful tools for introducing point mutations for genome engineering and synthetic evolution. Various naturally occurring cytosine deaminases, such as activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID), rAPOBEC1, and pmCDA1 convert cytosine to uracil [18-20]. The U•G mismatch can be misread, resulting in C•G to T•A inversions. The base excision repair enzyme uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG) reduces deamination activity by catalyzing the removal of uracil to initiate the base excision repair pathway [21, 22]. The UDG activity of CBEs is inactivated by fusing the CBE with a uracil N-glycosylase inhibitor

(UGI) [23, 24]. ABEs do not exist in nature; instead, they were developed using a directed evolution approach. ABEs can introduce A•T to G•C point mutations into living cells [25]. The recently evolved ABE8e has much faster catalytic activity and offers improved editing efficiency compared to the earlier ABE10.7 variant [26, 27].

Targeting the base editors to the desired sequence requires programmable systems that specifically recognize the sequence of interest. CRISPR/Cas has recently been applied to diversify gene sequences of interest. For example, CRISPR-X technology has been successfully used for protein engineering in mammalian cells. In this system, catalytically inactive dCas9 recruits variants of the cytidine deaminase base editor AID with MS2-modified sgRNAs to mutagenize endogenous targets [28]. Using a similar strategy, Cas9 fused with base editors and sgRNAs targeting the coding sequence of *OsALS* were employed to produce variants tolerant to the herbicide bispyribac sodium (BS) [32]. However, this system can only target short segments of DNA, and not in a continuous fashion, which may be desired for the synthetic evolution of traits. In the EvolvR system, which has thus far been used only in bacteria, CRISPR-guided nCas9 fused with nick-translating error-prone DNA polymerase nicks the target locus and performs mutagenesis at the target site [33]. Using CRISPR-X and EvolvR, high rates of mutagenesis at ~50-bp regions adjacent to the sgRNA target site have been achieved, but the mutation rate dropped with increasing distance from the sgRNA target window.

CRISPR-directed evolution (CDE) was recently performed by transforming rice (*Oryza sativa*) callus with CRISPR/Cas9 along with a pool of sgRNAs targeting the splicing factor locus *OsSF3B1*. Mutants were produced due to non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) under selection pressure imposed by the splicing inhibitor GEX1A. The recovered SF3B1 variants showed different levels of tolerance of GEX1A [29-31]. Therefore, efficient mutagenesis requires dozens of sgRNAs to diversify the target gene. In addition, these CRISPR/Cas-based mutagenesis platforms have limited utility due to PAM sequence restrictions, the change of PAM sites by mutation, and the narrow genomic window adjacent to the sgRNA binding site, thus exhibiting an overall lack of efficiency for self-recurring continuous mutagenesis.

For continuous *in vivo* mutagenesis of target sequences several kilobases long, base editors can be fused with bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) [34-36]. The target sequences are then placed under the control of the T7 RNAP promoter (pT7). Mutations are introduced by fusion proteins of the base editor with T7 RNAP, which recognizes the pT7 and reads through the target DNA. Chimeric T7 RNAP–deaminase enzymes can perform continuous mutagenesis of several kilobases of DNA and have been used for directed evolution, including MutaT7 in bacteria [34, 36, 37], TRIDENT in yeast [38], and TRACE in mammalian cells [35]. However, the use of this T7 RNAP–deaminase editing system to introduce localized sequence diversification, leading to synthetic evolution, has not been demonstrated in plants.

Here, we established a T7 RNAP deaminase editor system for targeted mutagenesis for the first time in plant cells. In transient experiments, we targeted the *GFP* sequence and achieved localized sequence diversification at high efficiency. Most of the transitions were C-to-T substitutions. Single-vector and two-vector approaches for the evolution of *OsALS* allowed us to recover gene variants conferring herbicide resistance, a trait of interest in rice. We identified herbicide-responsive residues in the resulting ALS proteins. By targeting these regions in the genome using our CRISPR-directed evolution system, we successfully recovered *ALS* variants conferring herbicide resistance. This technique opens up myriad possibilities for synthetic evolution in plants, including the development of crops with increased resistance to changing climate conditions, resistance to pests and pathogens, and improved productivity.

Results

Design, construction, and testing of the T7 RNAP–DNA base editor in *Nicotiana benthamiana* transient assays

Bacteriophage T7 RNAP transcribes DNA sequences under the control of the T7 promoter. A fusion protein of T7 RNAP with a cytidine deaminase (Editor) could continuously edit the DNA bases downstream of the T7 promoter (Target) (Figure 1a). Once the cytidine deaminase (AID, which converts C>U) is generated, the uracil–guanine

(U–G) mismatch can be misread, resulting in a C>T or G>A transition. Alternatively, an error-prone polymerase could be recruited through the mismatch-repair pathway, generating transitions and transversions near the lesion (Figure 1a).

To test the ability of the T7 RNAP-deaminase fusion to induce mutations within a target region, we constructed the AID-T7 RNAP-UGI fragment with hyperactivating mutations (P266L, G645A, and Q744R) [35] in the plant expression vectors pEditor (Figure 1b). We cloned the pAID-T7pol fragment using unique restriction enzymes to generate a full-length sequence flanked by attL1 and attL2 recombination sites, a nuclear localization signal fused to the N- and C-termini of the protein, and a 3x-HA tag fusion at the N-terminus to facilitate protein detection. Then, AID-T7 RNAP-UGI was subcloned into the destination binary vector pK2GW7 via Gateway recombination reaction to generate the overexpression construct p35S-AID-T7pol driven by the Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter. The sequence encoding the cytidine deaminase AID was removed for the mock control experiments (Figure 1b).

We tested this editing system by performing transient assays in *N. benthamiana* leaves using *GFP* as a target. *GFP* was expressed under the control of the T7 promoter as a binding target of T7 RNAP, the pTarget. For continuous expression of *GFP*, the constitutive CaMV 35S promoter was inserted upstream of the T7 promoter (Figure 1b). The binary vectors p35S-T7pol, p35S-AID-T7pol, and p35S-pT7-GFP were independently transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* via electroporation and co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves for transient expression (Figure 1c). We assessed the rate of random target mutagenesis at three days post infiltration (dpi) by visualizing the GFP signal in infiltrated leaves under ultraviolet (UV) light. The GFP signal was reduced in leaves co-infiltrated with p35S-AID-T7pol and p35S-pT7-GFP, whereas no difference in GFP signal was observed in wild-type (WT) *N. benthamiana* leaves infiltrated with p35S-pT7-GFP or p35S-T7pol and p35S-pT7-GFP (Figure 1c).

To confirm that the reduction in GFP signal was due to the targeting of *GFP* by the AID-T7 RNAP fusion protein, we extracted DNA from infiltrated leaves and amplified the approximately 800-bp region across the T7 promoter. The amplified fragments were cloned into the pJET1.2 vector and subjected to Sanger sequencing (Figure 1d). Of the

40 reads examined, 2 (5%) showed C>T substitutions of the *GFP* sequence (Figure 1d). The first C>T mutation changes the threonine (ACT) at the 62nd position to isoleucine (ATT), while the second C>T substitution is a silent mutation of asparagine (AAC to AAT) at the 198th position (Figure 1d). Importantly, we did not observe any substitutions in the region 800 bp upstream of the T7 promoter.

For in-depth analysis, we performed amplicon deep sequencing. We amplified and sequenced the amplicons from samples co-infiltrated with p35S-AID-T7pol and p35S-pT7-GFP. For the mock control, we sequenced amplicons from samples co-infiltrated with p35S-T7pol and p35S-pT7-GFP. Two different regions of the *GFP* sequence downstream of the T7 promoter were amplified (Figure 2a) and two biological replicates each were performed for the experimental and mock treatments. Illumina TruSeq DNA nano libraries were prepared and analyzed on the NovaSeq platform, analyzing the resulting data using the webtool CRISPR-sub [39] and subtracting the mock-treated reads from the experimental sample reads to calculate editing efficiency.

Most of the top reads had C>T substitutions covering the entire *GFP* sequence (Figure 2a). The base editing efficiency for sample 1 was 6.76% for GFP amplicon-1 and 9.13% for GFP amplicon-2. Similarly, the base editing efficiency for sample 2 was 6.37% for GFP amplicon-1 and 8.47% for GFP amplicon-2 (Figure 2b). No substitutions were observed in the mock-treated samples (Additional File 1: Fig. S1). The indel frequency was <0.15% (Additional File 1: Fig. S1).

To calculate the distribution of all types of base edits, we analyzed all experimental samples treated with p35S-AID-T7pol using Geneious Prime. On average, in all treated samples, > 99% of edited reads had C>T substitutions (Figure 2c). Only 0.4% of reads showed C>R substitutions, while 0.1% of reads showed G>A substitutions (Figure 2c). These results demonstrate that the T7 RNAP-driven CBE generated in this study can target and edit gene sequences in plant cells. In our experiment, over 99% of these mutations were C>T transitions.

Localized sequence diversification of *OsALS* in transgenic rice plants

We next expanded the applicability of the T7 RNAP deaminase editor system to evolve genes of interest in plants using the rice gene *ACETOLACTATE SYNTHASE* (*OsALS*, *LOC_Os02g30630*, 1935 bp). To this end, we cloned *OsALS* under the control of the T7 promoter in various rice expression vectors as a pTarget (Figure 3a) using two approaches. In the first approach, the single-vector system, we generated a vector harboring pEditor and pTarget. To avoid continuous targeting of pTarget in the progeny and to inhibit any possible activities in *Agrobacteria*, the two-vector system was designed such that pEditor and pTarget were in two different vectors (Figure 3a).

For the single-vector system, the AID-T7 RNAP-UGI fragment was cloned via Gateway recombination reaction under the control of the *Ubiquitin* promoter and inserted *OsALS* into this vector using unique restriction enzymes to generate the full-length vector p35S-pT7-ALS/pUBI-AID-T7pol (Figure 3a). The AID-T7pol fragment was removed from this vector and p35S-pT7-ALS only was used as the pTarget.

For the two-vector system, we also employed the *N. benthamiana* expression vector p35S-AID-T7pol in rice. Rice callus was co-transformed with p35S-pT7-ALS and p35S-AID-T7pol via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation and a combination of hygromycin and G418 was used for callus selection and shoot regeneration; for the single-vector system, only hygromycin was used for selection and regeneration. Once transgenic plantlets were established in soil, we extracted the DNA and amplified the 2.2-kb fragment covering the complete *OsALS* sequence along with vector sequences. The amplified fragments were cloned into the pJET1.2 cloning vector and 10 reads were analyzed for mutagenesis assessment via Sanger sequencing (Figure 3b, 3c).

In the single-vector experiment, 6 out of 8 (75%) plants showed at least one read with a base substitution, whereas in the two-vector experiment, 3 out of 8 (37.5%) plants contained at least one read with base substitutions. For the single-vector approach, 2 out of 10 reads in plant #1 contained C>T substitutions. These substitutions convert alanine 334 to valine (GCC to GTC) and histidine 415 to tyrosine (CAC to TAC) (Figure 3b). Plant #2 contained G>A and A>G substitutions, which convert glycine 133 to aspartic acid (GGC to GAC). The other mutation in plant #2 was a silent mutation at proline 248 (CCA to CCG) (Figure 3b). For the two-vector system, plant #1 contained two reads with G>A

edits. These edits convert alanine 467 to threonine (GCA to ACA) and glycine 485 to aspartic acid (GCG to ACG) (Figure 3c). Plant #2 of the two-vector system contained two silent mutations in the same read: glutamine 102 (CAG to CAA) and serine 132 (TCC to TCI) (Figure 3c).

To perform detailed analysis of the substitution rate and to calculate the mutation percent frequency, we performed amplicon deep sequencing using the PacBio platform. We analyzed the data using the offline version of CRISPR-sub and subtracted p35S-pT7-ALS reads to calculate the substitution efficiency. Similar to the Sanger sequencing results, the substitution frequency was 1.06% and 0.53% for the single- and two-vector systems, respectively (Figure 3d). We also analyzed the frequency of each type of substitution using Geneious prime (Figure 3E). Interestingly, 36.1% of the mutations were G>A substitutions, 32.3% were C>T substitutions, 17.2% were T>N substitutions, 6.2% were G>Y substitutions, 6.1% were C>R substitutions, and 2.0% were A>N substitutions (Figure 3E). Overall, these results indicate that T7 RNAP-mediated targeted mutagenesis can be performed in transgenic rice plants, albeit at low but sufficient frequencies.

Directed evolution of *OsALS* to generate herbicide resistance

We previously demonstrated that directed evolution is possible in plants using our newly established CDE platform. A library of sgRNAs was synthesized to tile all of the available PAM sites of the spliceosomal component SF3B1 in rice [29, 31]. The splicing inhibitor GEX1A was used as selection pressure to recover *OsSF3B1* variants with increased resistance to splicing inhibitors. However, the CDE platform has several drawbacks, including a tight, finite genomic window to the sgRNA target site and the lack of continuous mutagenesis. Here, to overcome these limitations, we exploited the T7 RNAP deaminase editing system to evolve herbicide resistance in rice.

To this end, we performed *Agrobacterium*-mediated stable transformation of rice callus using our single-vector and two-vector systems harboring *OsALS* as the target locus. Following co-cultivation, the callus was transferred to selection medium supplemented with 0.5 μ M or 0.75 μ M bispyribac sodium (BS) to inhibit the growth of wild-type callus

and exert selection pressure to generate *OsALS* variants. For the mock control, the callus was grown on selection medium without BS. After two weeks of selection, five independent actively growing callus pieces were pooled and used to amplify the *OsALS* sequence in the transgene (Figure 4a). The full-length amplicon was subjected to deep sequencing using PacBio sequencing technology and the data analyzed using the offline version of CRISPR-Sub. To identify the BS-responsive regions of *OsALS*, we compared the reads from BS-treated samples with data from mock-treated samples. The mutations that were common among BS-treated and mock-treated reads were subtracted during analysis.

We only identified substitutions in the hotspot of the *ALS* sequence. Many more substitution mutations were observed at this locus in BS- versus mock-treated samples (Figure 4b). We considered these to be BS-responsive substitutions. Interestingly, these substitutions were enriched in the C- and N-terminal regions of the *ALS* protein. In samples transformed with the single-vector system and selected on 0.5 μ M BS, we identified the enrichment of G>C causing the G629R substitution, G>A causing the A39T substitution, and A>G causing the K591E substitution. By contrast, in single-vector samples selected on 0.75 μ M BS, we identified the enrichment of G>T causing the W548C substitution (Figure 4b).

For samples transformed with the two-vector system and selected on 0.5 μ M BS, we identified the enrichment of C>G causing the P70R substitution, G>C causing the G94R substitution, C>G causing the P70R substitution, A>G causing the K591E substitution, and G>A causing G629S substitution. By contrast, for two-vector samples selected on 0.75 μ M BS, we identified the enrichment of C>T causing the P93S substitution and G>C causing the G629R substitution (Figure 4b). Some of these *ALS* sites and the nearby sites G94, W548, and G629 [40-43] are known to confer resistance to BS. Interestingly, G629 appeared to be an important residue in our analysis, as substitutions at this site were enriched in samples transformed with the single-vector system selected on 0.5 μ M BS and in samples transformed with the two-vector system on both concentration of BS (Figure 4b). These results highlight the important residues in the *OsALS* sequence that are enriched in response to BS treatment.

To further engineer these BS-responsive regions at the *ALS* locus in the genome and to generate herbicide-resistant *ALS* variants, we used our recently established CDE platform. We designed six sgRNAs covering the BS-responsive residues and constructed a binary vector harboring sgRNA expressed under the control of the *U3* promoter and Cas9 under the control of the *OsUbiquitin* promoter in the same plasmid (Figure 5a). We transformed embryonic rice callus with these plasmids, selected stably transformed callus, and used this callus to regenerate whole plants on 0.4 μ M BS. We used BS to provide selection pressure, to trigger NHEJ repair, and to obtain *ALS* variants resistant to BS.

Eight shoots were recovered on 0.4 μ M BS (Figure 5b). Four of these shoots harbored sgRNA targeting G629, two harbored sgRNA targeting P70R, and two harbored sgRNA targeting K591. To confirm that these seedlings carried mutations in the *ALS* gene, we genotyped the targeted regions via Sanger sequencing. Three of the sgRNA-G629 shoots were bi-allelic mutants (Figure 5c). One of these alleles harbored a two-nucleotide insertion causing a knockout mutation, while the other allele carried a G>T substitution (AGT to ATT) converting serine 627 to isoleucine (Figure 5c). The S627I substitution in one of these *ALS* variants is known to confer resistance to BS. The BS resistance shown by the shoots was most likely due to the S627I mutation. The other shoot obtained from sgRNA-G629-transformed callus carried two substitutions: G>T (GAG to GAT), converting glutamic acid 619 to aspartic acid; and the silent substitution G>C (GTG to GTC) at valine 621. The latter shoots also contained a three-nucleotide insertion and a 34-nucleotide deletion converting proline 623 to glutamic acid and causing premature termination of the sequence, leading to a deletion of the last 21 amino acids in *ALS* from methionine 624 to tyrosine 644 (Figure 5c). The four other shoots derived from callus transformed with sgRNA-P70 and sgRNA-K591 did not show any mutations after genotyping and were therefore false positives.

In conclusion, we successfully used the T7 RNAP deaminase editing system to identify the BS-responsive regions in the *ALS* sequence and used CRISPR-directed evolution to modify these sites in the genome for herbicide resistance trait engineering.

Discussion

Synthetic directed evolution involves targeted gene diversification, selection, and replication to obtain new functional biomolecules for use in research, biotechnology, and medicine [4, 5, 44]. The ideal synthetic directed evolution system must be performed *in vivo* in a continuous manner, preferably in the organism in which new variants are desired [17, 30, 45]. Directed evolution is extremely difficult in plants due to the nature of their anatomy and physiology; therefore, only a few successful attempts have been reported in plants based on CRISPR/Cas systems [29, 32]. To date, no continuous directed evolution system has been established to evolve plant proteins within plant cells. In the current study, we establish an efficient, continuous, localized targeted mutagenesis platform that could be used for synthetic evolution in plants. We used a chimeric protein of a cytidine deaminase and T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) for targeted mutagenesis of genes controlled by the T7 promoter. We achieved high C>T editing efficiency in *N. benthamiana* transient assays, establishing the utility of the system in plants.

We further modified the system to create single- and two-vector systems and used them to generate stably transformed rice plants. The advantage of the two-vector system is that the pEditor is removed after segregation and only pTarget persists in the progeny. Unlike the transient assays in *N. benthamiana*, we observed not only C>T but also G>A transitions in these stable rice lines. We took advantage of this system to improve the herbicide resistance trait in rice. We applied selection pressure using an herbicide and identified the herbicide-responsive residues in the *OsALS* gene sequence via a comparison with mock treatment. To modify these herbicide-responsive residues within the rice genome free of *ALS* transgene, we used our CRISPR-directed evolution platform in which single sgRNAs target the locus of interest and variants are produced due to NHEJ [29]. The resulting *ALS* variants showed herbicide resistance that did not involve the substitution of G629. Ideally, such modifications could be introduced into the rice genome via HDR-based technologies such as prime editing, RNA-templated DNA repair, or Cas9-VirD2 fusion-mediated repair [42, 46-48]. The evolution of *ALS* performed in this study represents a proof of concept; this system could be applied to other proteins of interest.

T7 RNAP based DNA editor system offers several advantages for the directed evolution of proteins in plant. Foremost, the plant proteins are evolved within the signaling nexus of the plant cell. The timing, location, and kinetics of these interactions are critical for the proper function of the proteins. The protein folding, post-translational modifications, localizations and interactions with other proteins are needed for performance in the cell [17].

Secondly, it ousted the designing and cloning of sgNRA library to tile the target gene for saturation mutagenesis. Thus it edits the target bases without PAM restrictions. For crop genes for which the functional SNPs are not known, T7 RNAP based DNA editor system can be used to produce valuable genetics variants with improved agronomic performances.

Third, the T7 RNAP based DNA editor system constantly mutate the target gene leading to increased genetic diversity. It enables the continuous directed evolution of the target gene under the varying selection pressure conditions.

Last but not the least, the mutation process can be fined tuned by controlling the expression of the T7 RNAP base editor. Then the selection pressure can be applied during any stage of plant development keeping the T7 RNAP base editor under the control of an inducible promoter.

Nevertheless, this system could be further amended by employing other CBEs, ABEs, or dual base editors containing ABEs and CBEs to diversify the editing. The editing efficiency could also be tuned by using repair factors, as described for yeast [38]. The continuous evolution of the entire target sequence is sometimes undesirable, as the editing of the conserved regions of a gene should sometimes be avoided. This problem could be overcome by using dCas9 as a “road block” and limiting the activity of T7 RNAP at the sgRNA binding site [37]. Another approach is to create a dual T7 promoter/terminator for the target, where the second T7 promoter/terminator pair transcribes the target gene in the reverse direction [34, 36]. The system can be further applied to pathogen- or stress-responsive genes where the SNPs of the mutant variants will be identified in the target gene by comparing the stress treatment with the mock

treatment. These stress-responsive SNPs could then be introduced into the genome using an efficient HDR editing system.

Conclusion

Our study has exploited the T7 RNAP base editor for continuous and localized gene sequence diversification for the first time in the plant system. We successfully developed and utilized the T7 RNAP base editor for localized sequence diversification of *GFP* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. This localized sequence diversification modality will unlock the power of synthetic evolution in plants. The T7 RNAP system could be used for localized sequence diversification and evolution of variants of interest, conferring a specific trait like herbicide resistance at the callus stage. Once these mutant variants have been identified, they can be engineered via CRISPR-Cas systems to generate transgene-free and foreign DNA-free mutant variants conferring the trait of interest. We have shown the power of this system to identify variants of *OsALS* under the selection pressure of herbicide. Finally, we targeted these *ALS* SNPs via our CDE platform and developed herbicide resistance in rice. This study opens up many possibilities for synthetic evolution in plant species, including developing crop plants resilient to climate change conditions, resistant to pests and pathogens, and improved productivity.

Methods

Plant materials

Oryza sativa L. ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare was used for the rice experiments. Wild-type *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were used for the *N. benthamiana* experiments. All wild type and transgenic plants were grown in a greenhouse at 28°C/25°C day/night temperatures under a natural light/dark cycle.

Vector construction and cloning strategy

The pEditor fragment of AID_T7_UGI was amplified from the vector pcDNA3.1 (+)-Hyperactive AID-T7 RNA Polymerase-UGI-T2A-td Tamato (Addgene 138610; [35]) using primers SphI_AID_T7_F and NsiI_XbaI_AID_T7_R, digested with SphI and NsiI, and cloned into attL1_3xFLAG_attL2_pUC57 to generate attL1_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_attL2_pUC57.

For the *N. benthamiana* experiments, the 3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI fragment was cloned into pK2GW7 via LR reaction under the control of the 35S promoter to generate pEditor p35S_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_pK2GW7. To prepare p35S_3xFLAG_T7_UGI_pK2GW7 without AID, the p35S_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_pK2GW7 vector was digested with XmaI and re-ligated. For GFP expression (pTarget), pLSLGF was used (addgene-51494; [49]), and SIR and the downstream LIR were removed by digestion with SacI. To clone the T7 promoter upstream of *GFP* for expression in *N. benthamiana*, oligos with the T7 promoter and RBS sequences were annealed with SbfI and XbaI overhangs and cloned into pL-GFP_SacI by replacing the upstream LIR. To clone the 35S promoter upstream of the T7 promoter, oligos (35sP_HinD_F and 35sP_PstI_HinD_R) were designed to amplify the 35S promoter. The 35S promoter was cloned upstream of the T7 promoter using HindIII and PstI to generate the final plasmid p35S_pT7_GFP_NOterm.

For the single-vector system in rice, the fragment 3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI was cloned into pRGEB32 via LR reaction under the control of the *OsUbiquitin* promoter to generate pEditor pUBI_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_pRGEB32. Oligos for the T7-promoter_RBS_MCS_T7-terminator_CaMV poly(A) signal sequence were annealed and ligated with HindIII-SbfI overhangs and cloned into the rice pEditor vector. The 35S promoter was cloned upstream of the T7 promoter in the rice pEditor vector using only HindIII. The *OsALS* sequence (*LOC_Os02g30630*) was amplified with oligos Apal_AvrII_ALS_F and XbaI_KpnI_ALS_R (1.963 Kb) and cloned under the control of pT7 or p35S-pT7 in rice pEditor vectors via digestion with Apal and XbaI.

In rice for the two-vector system, pEditor and pTarget were transformed into rice as separate vectors. *N. benthamiana* pEditor p35S_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_pK2GW7 was used as pEditor for rice. The AID_T7pol_UGI fragment was removed from

p35S_pT7_ALS_AID_T7pol_UGI_pRGEB32 by BsrGI digestion to prepare p35S_pT7_ALS_pRGEB32 as pTarget.

For CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in rice, the pRGEB32 vector was used for callus transformation as described before [50]. The expression of Cas9 was driven by the *OsUbiquitin* promoter, and the gRNA was expressed under the control of the *OsU3* promoter.

Agro-infiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves and GFP imaging

Constructs harboring pEditor (p35S_3xFLAG_AID_T7_UGI_pK2GW7), pEditor without AID (p35S_3xFLAG_T7_UGI_pK2GW7), pTarget (p35S_pT7_GFP_NOSTerm_T7term), and P19 were individually electroporated into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. Single colonies grown for two nights in selective medium were centrifuged, resuspended in infiltration medium (10 mM MES pH 5.7, 10 mM CaCl₂, and 150 μM acetosyringone), and incubated at ambient temperature for 2 h. For infiltration into wild-type plants, the cultures were mixed at an OD600 ratio of 0.2:0.4:0.4 (P19, pEditor, pTarget) and infiltrated into 3- to 4-week-old leaves of *N. benthamiana* plants with a 1-mL needleless syringe. GFP expression was observed at 3, 5, and 7 dpi using a hand-held UV light. Photographs were taken with a Nikon camera under UV light.

Rice transformation and mutant screening

Rice transformation plasmids were introduced into *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105. *Agrobacterium*-mediated rice transformation was performed as described previously [50, 51]. Calli transformed with pRGEB32 were selected on medium containing 50 mg/L hygromycin B. Calli transformed with pK2GW7 were selected on medium containing 150 mg/L G418 and regenerated on 100 mg/L G418.

To select resistant variants of pTarget OsALS, Bispribac sodium (BS) was used at a concentration of 0.5 μ M or 0.75 μ M. For the CRISPR/Cas experiments 0.4 μ M BS was used for selection of resistant calli.

After one week of growth, when plants were established in soil, DNA was extracted from a leaf sample. To genotype pTarget OsALS, the HindIII_T7_PT_F and Sbf_T7_PT_R oligos were used to amplify the OsALS sequence from T-DNA (2.28 Kb) and cloned using a CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (K1231). The same fragment was sequenced with three to four overlapping primers to cover the entire coding sequence. At least ten colonies were subjected to Sanger sequencing to analyze the mutation.

Amplicon deep sequencing

For amplicon deep sequencing of *GFP*, two regions were amplified with the primers GFP_F7+GFP_R1 (402 bp) and PGD_GFP_F+ GFP_R2 (280 bp). Purified PCR products were used to prepare Illumina TruSeq DNA Nano libraries according to the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were analyzed on the NovaSeq platform. The online tool CRISPR-Sub was used for data analysis [39]. pTarget-GFP samples co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves with p35S_3xFLAG_T7_UGI_pK2GW7 without AID were used as the control during data analysis. The edited samples were compared with the control samples to calculate the substitution rate.

For amplicon deep sequencing of rice callus samples, five independent callus pieces per treatment were collected after two weeks of culture on medium without BS, with 0.5 μ M BS, or with 0.75 μ M BS. Equal amounts of samples from the same treatment groups were pooled together and used for DNA extraction. The ALS sequence from T-DNA was amplified using the primers HindIII_T7_PT_F and Sbf_T7_PT_R (2278 bp). The purified PCR products were sequenced using PacBio Sequel I technology. The data were analyzed using the offline version of CRISPR-Sub. Data from the 0.5 μ M BS- and 0.75 μ M BS-treated samples were compared with data from untreated samples to identify the BS-responsive substitutions.

Geneious Prime software was used to calculate the mutation percent frequency of each type of base editing for the NovaSeq and PacBio data. The results of the data analysis are available as Additional_File_2 for Mutation percent frequency GFP and ALS, Additional_File_3 for Substitution rate for ALS and Additional_File_4 for BS-responsive regions at the ALS.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank members of the genome engineering and synthetic biology laboratory at KAUST for their critical discussion and technical help with this work.

Funding

This work was funded by KAUST-baseline funding to Magdy Mahfouz.

Author contributions

MM conceived the project; HB and JLMR conducted the experiments; HB, JLMR, and MM analyzed the data; and HB and MM wrote the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References:

1. Prohens, J., *Plant Breeding: A Success Story to be Continued Thanks to the Advances in Genomics*. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2011. **2**(51).
2. Hickey, L.T., et al., *Breeding crops to feed 10 billion*. Nature Biotechnology, 2019. **37**(7): p. 744-754.
3. Khush, G.S., *Green revolution: the way forward*. Nat Rev Genet, 2001. **2**(10): p. 815-22.
4. Simon, A.J., S. d'Oelsnitz, and A.D. Ellington, *Synthetic evolution*. Nat Biotechnol, 2019. **37**(7): p. 730-743.
5. Morrison, M.S., C.J. Podracky, and D.R. Liu, *The developing toolkit of continuous directed evolution*. Nat Chem Biol, 2020. **16**(6): p. 610-619.
6. Castle, S.D., C.S. Grierson, and T.E. Gorochowski, *Towards an engineering theory of evolution*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 3326.
7. Rao, G.S., W. Jiang, and M. Mahfouz, *Synthetic directed evolution in plants: unlocking trait engineering and improvement*. Synth Biol (Oxf), 2021. **6**(1): p. ysab025.
8. Smith, G.P., *Filamentous fusion phage: novel expression vectors that display cloned antigens on the virion surface*. Science, 1985. **228**(4705): p. 1315-7.
9. Chen, K. and F.H. Arnold, *Enzyme Engineering for Nonaqueous Solvents: Random Mutagenesis to Enhance Activity of Subtilisin E in Polar Organic Media*. Bio/Technology, 1991. **9**(11): p. 1073-1077.
10. Sharan, S.K., et al., *Recombineering: a homologous recombination-based method of genetic engineering*. Nat Protoc, 2009. **4**(2): p. 206-23.
11. Wang, H.H., et al., *Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution*. Nature, 2009. **460**(7257): p. 894-898.
12. Nyerges, A., et al., *Directed evolution of multiple genomic loci allows the prediction of antibiotic resistance*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2018. **115**(25): p. E5726-E5735.
13. Greener, A., M. Callahan, and B. Jerpseth, *An efficient random mutagenesis technique using an E. coli mutator strain*. Mol Biotechnol, 1997. **7**(2): p. 189-95.
14. Ravikumar, A., A. Arrieta, and C.C. Liu, *An orthogonal DNA replication system in yeast*. Nature Chemical Biology, 2014. **10**(3): p. 175-177.
15. Ravikumar, A., et al., *Scalable, Continuous Evolution of Genes at Mutation Rates above Genomic Error Thresholds*. Cell, 2018. **175**(7): p. 1946-1957.e13.
16. Esvelt, K.M., J.C. Carlson, and D.R. Liu, *A system for the continuous directed evolution of biomolecules*. Nature, 2011. **472**(7344): p. 499-503.
17. Hendel, S.J. and M.D. Shoulders, *Directed evolution in mammalian cells*. Nat Methods, 2021. **18**(4): p. 346-357.
18. Eid, A., S. Alshareef, and M.M. Mahfouz, *CRISPR base editors: genome editing without double-stranded breaks*. Biochem J, 2018. **475**(11): p. 1955-1964.
19. Porto, E.M., et al., *Base editing: advances and therapeutic opportunities*. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2020. **19**(12): p. 839-859.
20. Sakata, R.C., et al., *Base editors for simultaneous introduction of C-to-T and A-to-G mutations*. Nat Biotechnol, 2020. **38**(7): p. 865-869.
21. Krokan, H.E., F. Drablos, and G. Slupphaug, *Uracil in DNA--occurrence, consequences and repair*. Oncogene, 2002. **21**(58): p. 8935-48.
22. Hegde, M.L., T.K. Hazra, and S. Mitra, *Early steps in the DNA base excision/single-strand interruption repair pathway in mammalian cells*. Cell Res, 2008. **18**(1): p. 27-47.
23. Cortazar, D., et al., *The enigmatic thymine DNA glycosylase*. DNA Repair (Amst), 2007. **6**(4): p. 489-504.
24. Rees, H.A. and D.R. Liu, *Base editing: precision chemistry on the genome and transcriptome of living cells*. Nat Rev Genet, 2018. **19**(12): p. 770-788.
25. Gaudelli, N.M., et al., *Programmable base editing of A*T to G*C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage*. Nature, 2017. **551**(7681): p. 464-471.

26. Lapinaite, A., et al., *DNA capture by a CRISPR-Cas9-guided adenine base editor*. Science, 2020. **369**(6503): p. 566-571.
27. Richter, M.F., et al., *Phage-assisted evolution of an adenine base editor with improved Cas domain compatibility and activity*. Nat Biotechnol, 2020. **38**(7): p. 883-891.
28. Hess, G.T., et al., *Directed evolution using dCas9-targeted somatic hypermutation in mammalian cells*. Nat Methods, 2016. **13**(12): p. 1036-1042.
29. Butt, H., et al., *CRISPR directed evolution of the spliceosome for resistance to splicing inhibitors*. Genome Biol, 2019. **20**(1): p. 73.
30. Butt, H., et al., *CRISPR-Based Directed Evolution for Crop Improvement*. Trends Biotechnol, 2020. **38**(3): p. 236-240.
31. Butt, H., et al., *Overlapping roles of spliceosomal components SF3B1 and PHF5A in rice splicing regulation*. Commun Biol, 2021. **4**(1): p. 529.
32. Kuang, Y., et al., *Base-Editing-Mediated Artificial Evolution of OsALS1 In Planta to Develop Novel Herbicide-Tolerant Rice Germplasms*. Mol Plant, 2020.
33. Halperin, S.O., et al., *CRISPR-guided DNA polymerases enable diversification of all nucleotides in a tunable window*. Nature, 2018. **560**(7717): p. 248-252.
34. Moore, C.L., L.J. Papa, 3rd, and M.D. Shoulders, *A Processive Protein Chimera Introduces Mutations across Defined DNA Regions In Vivo*. J Am Chem Soc, 2018. **140**(37): p. 11560-11564.
35. Chen, H., et al., *Efficient, continuous mutagenesis in human cells using a pseudo-random DNA editor*. Nature Biotechnology, 2020. **38**(2): p. 165-168.
36. Park, H. and S. Kim, *Gene-specific mutagenesis enables rapid continuous evolution of enzymes in vivo*. Nucleic Acids Res, 2021. **49**(6): p. e32.
37. Alvarez, B., et al., *In vivo diversification of target genomic sites using processive base deaminase fusions blocked by dCas9*. Nat Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 6436.
38. Cravens, A., et al., *Polymerase-guided base editing enables in vivo mutagenesis and rapid protein engineering*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 1579.
39. Hwang, G.H., et al., *CRISPR-sub: Analysis of DNA substitution mutations caused by CRISPR-Cas9 in human cells*. Comput Struct Biotechnol J, 2020. **18**: p. 1686-1694.
40. Endo, M., et al., *Molecular breeding of a novel herbicide-tolerant rice by gene targeting*. Plant J, 2007. **52**(1): p. 157-66.
41. Okuzaki, A., et al., *A novel mutated acetolactate synthase gene conferring specific resistance to pyrimidinyl carboxy herbicides in rice*. Plant Mol Biol, 2007. **64**(1-2): p. 219-24.
42. Butt, H., et al., *Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing Using a Chimeric Single-Guide RNA Molecule*. Front Plant Sci, 2017. **8**: p. 1441.
43. Zhang, R., et al., *Generating broad-spectrum tolerance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides in rice by base editing*. Sci China Life Sci, 2020.
44. Zeymer, C. and D. Hilvert, *Directed Evolution of Protein Catalysts*. Annu Rev Biochem, 2018. **87**: p. 131-157.
45. Gionfriddo, M., L. De Gara, and F. Loreto, *Directed Evolution of Plant Processes: Towards a Green (r)Evolution?* Trends Plant Sci, 2019. **24**(11): p. 999-1007.
46. Anzalone, A.V., et al., *Search-and-replace genome editing without double-strand breaks or donor DNA*. Nature, 2019. **576**(7785): p. 149-157.
47. Ali, Z., et al., *Fusion of the Cas9 endonuclease and the VirD2 relaxase facilitates homology-directed repair for precise genome engineering in rice*. Commun Biol, 2020. **3**(1): p. 44.
48. Butt, H., et al., *Engineering herbicide resistance via prime editing in rice*. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 2020. **n/a**(n/a).

49. Baltes, N.J., et al., *DNA replicons for plant genome engineering*. Plant Cell, 2014. **26**(1): p. 151-63.
50. Butt, H., et al., *Multiplex CRISPR Mutagenesis of the Serine/Arginine-Rich (SR) Gene Family in Rice*. Genes (Basel), 2019. **10**(8).
51. Hiei, Y. and T. Komari, *Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of rice using immature embryos or calli induced from mature seed*. Nature Protocols, 2008. **3**(5): p. 824-834.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: A cytidine deaminase T7 RNA polymerase fusion mediates editing in *N. benthamiana* transient assays

(a) Schematic diagram of the targeted mutagenesis system. The hyperactive cytidine deaminase AID is fused to the N-terminus of T7 RNA polymerase (Editor) and recognizes the T7 promoter inserted upstream of the target gene (Target). Once the T7 polymerase transcribes the target

gene, ssDNA is available for AID deaminase activity, which converts cytosine to uracil. The U-G mismatch can be misread, resulting in C>T or G>A substitutions. Alternatively, error-prone polymerase can be recruited through the mismatch-repair pathway, generating transitions and transversions near the lesion. **(b)** Design of the pEditor and pTarget plasmids used for transient expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves. pEditor without AID was used as a control for editing. **(c)** The leaves were Agro-infiltrated with pEditor and pTarget plasmids. At 3 dpi, images were taken under UV light to detect GFP. The GFP signal intensity was reduced in leaves infiltrated with pEditor, AID-T7 RNAP. **(d)** Sanger sequencing analysis of p35S-GFP targeted by AID-T7 RNAP showing C>T substitutions.

Figure 2: In-depth analysis of base substitutions of the *GFP* target in *N. benthamiana*

(a) Amplicon deep sequencing analysis of *GFP* targeted by p35S-AID-T7 RNAP; p35S-GFP co-infiltrated with p35S-T7 RNAP was used as the mock control. Two independently infiltrated leaf samples were analyzed. The PCR products GFP-1 and GFP-2 were processed using an Illumina kit, and samples were sequenced on the NovaSeq platform. The p35S-T7 RNAP data were used as a control and subtracted during analysis to detect the true substitutions during base editing. The top three edited reads are shown for each sample showing C>T substitutions. The number of each type of edited read is shown in the right column. Red arrows point to the edited base. **(b)** The substitution rate was calculated after subtracting the mock-treated sample reads. **(c)** Mutation percent frequency represents the distribution of all types of base edits found in *GFP* samples treated with p35S-AID-T7 RNAP. The data were analyzed using Geneious Prime.

Figure 3: Targeted base editing in stable rice lines

(a) Design of the plasmid used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of callus. In single-vector editing, the target and editor were cloned in the same vector and transformed into rice. In the two-vector system, OsALS was inserted into the vector under the control of the T7 promoter and co-transformed with pEditor. **(b)** Sanger sequencing analysis of regenerated rice shoots transformed with the single-vector or two-vector system **(c)**. Ten reads were analyzed per plant. Most seedlings showed C>T and G>A substitutions. **(d)** Amplicon deep sequencing analysis of *ALS* targeted with the single-vector or two-vector system. p35S-ALS without the base editor was used as a mock control. The amplicons were sequenced using PacBio technology. The substitution rate was calculated after subtracting the mock-treated sample reads. **(e)** Mutation

percent frequency represents the distribution of base edits of all types found in the *ALS* samples with p35S-AID-T7 RNAP. The data are the means of the results for the single- and two-vector systems.

Figure 4: Identification of BS-responsive regions at the *OsALS* locus

(a) The *OsALS* amplicon fragment in the T-DNA is shown. The arrows represent the primers used to amplify the *ALS* sequence from the transgene. **(b)** The callus was transformed using the single-vector or two-vector system. After two weeks of selection, five proliferated callus pieces on selection medium containing 0.5 μ M and/or 0.75 μ M BS were selected and pooled into a single sample. Five proliferated callus pieces on selection medium without BS were pooled into a single sample and used as a control. The data were analyzed by comparing the BS-treated vs. control samples.

Figure 5: CRISPR-directed evolution of the herbicide-responsive regions of *OsALS*

(a) Six sgRNAs were designed to target the BS-enriched regions using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. **(b)** Each sgRNA was used for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. Regeneration was performed on medium containing 0.4 μ M BS. Shoots were recovered from the sixth sgRNA. **(c)** Sanger sequencing analysis of BS-resistant shoots.

A

GFP-1

		# Reads
	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	WT
Sample_1	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 294
	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 191
Sample_2	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 172
	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 289
	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 203
	gcccgaattcaglaaaggagaagaaccttcacaggaggtgcccactctgtgaattagatggtgatgttaatgggcacaaattttctcagtggtgaggggtgaagggtgaltgcaacatcggaaactaccctaaattatttgcactctggaactaccctgttcattggccaacactgtcactacttctctatgggtgtcaagctctttcaagataccagatcatatgaaggccagcacttctcaagagcgccatgccttgaaggatctgcaggagaggaccatcttctcaaggacgacgggaactacaagacgctgtgaagtcagttgaggagagacccctgcacacaggatcgagcttaagggaatcgatttcaaggag	C>T 173

GFP-2

			WT
	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag		
Sample_1	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 338	
	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 325	
	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 300	
Sample_2	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 304	
	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 287	
	atcatggcgacagcaaaagacggcatcaaaagccaactcaagaccgccacaacatcgaagacggcggtgcaactcgtgatcatatcaacaaaactcctcaattggcgatggccgtctctttaccagacacattaccctgtccacacatctgcctttgaaagatcccaagaaagagagaccacatggctctcttgaattgtaaacgtctggtgattacatggcatggatgaactatacaacatgatgag	C>T 276	

B

Substitution Rate (%)

	GFP-1	GFP-2
Sample_1	6.76	9.13
Sample_2	6.37	8.47

C

Mutation percent frequency

B

Single-vector system

C

Two-vector system

D

	Substitution Rate (%)
Single-vector system	1.06
Two-vector system	0.53

A

B

Single-vector system

BS-0.5 vs Control

BS-0.75 vs Control

Two-vector system

BS-0.5 vs Control

BS-0.75 vs Control

A

B

C

Plant # 1

Plant # 2