The price of defence: toxins, visual signals and oxidative state in an aposematic butterfly

Jonathan D. Blount^{1*†}, Hannah M. Rowland^{2,3†}, Christopher Mitchell¹, Michael P. Speed⁴, Graeme D. Ruxton⁵, John A. Endler⁶, Lincoln P. Brower⁷

¹ Centre for Ecology & Conservation, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK

²Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll-Straße 8, Jena, 07745, Germany

³Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK

⁴Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZB, UK

⁵School of Biology, Sir Harold Mitchell Building, Greenside Place, St Andrews, United Kingdom

⁶Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life & Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Waurn Ponds VIC 3216, Australia

⁷Department of Biology, Sweet Briar College, Sweet Briar VA 24595, USA

* Authors for correspondence: j.d.blount@exeter.ac.uk and hrowland@ice.mpg.de

† Joint first authors

Running headline: Oxidative stress and warning signal honesty

SUMMARY

In a variety of aposematic species, the conspicuousness of an individual's warning signal and the quantity of its chemical defence are positively correlated. This apparent honest signalling in aposematism is predicted by resource competition models which assume that the production and maintenance of aposematic defences compete for access to antioxidant molecules that have dual functions as pigments directly responsible for colouration and in protecting against oxidative lipid damage. Here we study a model aposematic system—the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) and make use of the variable phytochemistry of its larval host-plant, milkweeds (Asclepiadaceae), to manipulate the concentration of sequestered cardenolides. We test two fundamental assumptions of resource competition models: that (1) the possession of secondary defences is associated with costs in the form of oxidative lipid damage and reduced antioxidant defences; and (2) that oxidative damage or decreases in antioxidant defences can reduce the capacity of individuals to produce aposematic displays. Monarch caterpillars that sequestered the highest concentrations of cardenolides exhibited higher levels of oxidative lipid damage as adults. The relationship between warning signals, cardenolide concentrations and oxidative damage differed between the sexes. In male monarchs conspicuousness was explained by an interaction between oxidative damage and sequestration: as males sequester more cardenolides, those with high levels of oxidative damage become less conspicuous, while those that sequester lower levels of cardenolides equally invest in conspicuous with increasing oxidative damage. There was no significant effect of oxidative damage or concentration of sequestered cardenolides on female conspicuousness. Our results demonstrate physiological linkage between the production of coloration and protection from autotoxicity, that warning signals can be honest indicators of defensive capability, and that the relationships are different between the sexes.

- 25 **Key-words:** aposematism, cardenolides, cardiac glycosides, resource competition, oxidative
- 26 lipid damage, monarch butterfly, honest signalling.

Introduction

Many species of animals, plants and micro-organisms possess chemical defences that reduce the likelihood of their being eaten [1]. Aposematic species, such as the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*), signal their chemical defences to predators with conspicuous warning colours [2]. In some species, the conspicuousness of the warning signal and the quantity of chemical defence are positively correlated, for example in dendrobatid frogs [3-5], marine opisthobranchs [6], ladybird beetles [7, 8], and paper wasps *Polistes dominula* [9]. The identification of these relationships has led to renewed interest in the idea that warning signals may inform predators about levels of prey defence and that such signals are honest indicators of defensive capability [10]. Several theoretical studies have, however, predicted the opposite: that well defended prey should reduce investment in signals, because signalling carries an additional conspicuousness cost, and such prey stand a good chance of surviving any attack unharmed [11-13]. Negative signal-defence correlations have been reported across Dendrobatid species of poison dart frogs [14] including the granular poison dart frog *Dendrobates granuliferus* [15]. Using a novel theoretical model Blount et al [16] could explain both the positive and negative correlations between warning colours and chemical defences if these two traits are linked through the competitive use of a shared resource. One possible shared resource is energy, which can be limiting for the sequestration or biosynthesis of toxins [17]. Alternatively, sequestration of toxins could impose metabolic costs in terms of oxidative lipid damage and reduced antioxidant defences – an idea that has received limited empirical attention in regard to warning signals.

Many plant allelochemicals are powerful pro-oxidants, which, when ingested, can cause oxidative lipid damage [18]. In their resource competition framework, Blount et al [16] envisaged that pigments used in prey warning signals (e.g., carotenoids, flavonoids, melanins, and pteridines) might play a dual role imparting colour as well as acting as antioxidants that

prevent self-damage when storing toxins. In their model, if antioxidants are required to enable high levels of toxicity, signal reliability can be explained if the brightest and most toxic species gain access to more of the limiting resource than those that are less bright and less toxic. The prediction of signal honesty breaks down in their model when prey have very abundant resources, in which case it pays to divert these resources increasingly into toxins and not into warning signals, because less conspicuous but highly toxic prey encounter predators less often and have high chances of surviving attacks [16]. Because directly controlling antioxidant availability is challenging experimentally, whether colour-toxin relationships are influenced by the resource state of prey is poorly understood [14, 15], and has not yet been examined experimentally [11, 12, 16, 17, 19].

Here we manipulate diet-derived toxins available to an individual to test whether toxin sequestration and the production and maintenance of warning signals are linked via oxidative state. We achieve this by using a model aposematic system, the monarch butterfly, that selectively metabolises cardenolides present in its host-plants and stores them in its body [20, 21], and by using the known inter- and intraspecific variability in cardenolide concentration and cardenolide polarity of the monarch's larval milkweed host-plants (Asclepiadaceae; [21, 22]) to manipulate the toxins available to an individual to sequester. We test whether (1) the quantity of sequestered secondary defence by caterpillars is associated with oxidative lipid damage and reduced antioxidant defences in adults; and (2) whether oxidative lipid damage incurred during sequestration affects the capacity of adult butterflies to produce aposematic displays.

Because there is substantial genetic variation in sequestration ability, and because evolutionary history and contemporary species interactions may influence patterns of cardenolide sequestration [23] we used monarchs from the same population. We reared them

on four milkweed species that show within- and between-species, and among-population variation in cardenolide concentration, diversity, and polarity [24-27]. The polarity of cardenolides can influence their toxicity [21] and transport [28], so our four plant species were used to represent a gradient of distinct cardenolide defence, with the aim to create different costs of sequestration.

We reared monarch caterpillars on either *Asclepias curassavica*, which is a critical hostplant worldwide and contains a diversity of cardenolides, including apolar voruscharin which is detrimental to monarch performance [21, 29-32]; *A. syriaca* (common milkweed) which is the principal native food plant of the monarch east of the Rocky Mountains and is characterized by more polar cardenolides though also contains the apolar labriformin [33, 34]; *A. incarnata* (swamp milkweed) which is a preferred hostplant in the Midwest USA and has low concentrations of cardenolides [35]; or *A. tuberosa* (butterfly milkweed) which is an occasional native foodplant in the wild with low concentrations of cardenolides [21, 36].

Based on the published records of biological activity and chromatic quantification (e.g., HPLC and thin layer chromatography) of cardenolides of milkweeds in different populations/latitudes [37, 38], we predicted that concentrations of cardenolides in the body and wings of newly eclosed adults would differ according to the cardenolides of the host-plant on which they were reared (see methods): $A. curassavica (Ac) \geq A. syriaca (As) > A. incarnata (Ai) \geq A. tuberosa (At)$. Because sequestration, modification, and storage of allelochemicals are believed to be oxidatively stressful for many chemically defended organisms [18], we predicted that body levels of oxidative lipid peroxidation would differ amongst individuals according to host-plant, as follows: $Ac \geq As > Ai \geq At$. In turn, we predicted that survival to eclosion would differ according to host-plant as follows: $At \geq Ai > As \geq Ac$, and that the redness, luminance, and conspicuousness of the warning signals would differ:

$Ac \geq As > Ai \geq At$. In addition to testing for treatment effects, we examined associations between

individual levels of cardiac glycosides (CGs), oxidative state, and warning signal redness, luminance, and conspicuousness. We predicted a positive association between levels of CGs and and lipid peroxidation, a negative association of CGs with antioxidant defences, and that highly toxic prey will reduce investment in signals if they have high levels of oxidative damage because they cannot bear the oxidative cost of investing in both traits [16].

Materials and methods

(a) Milkweed plants

Milkweeds (*A. incarnata*, *A. tuberosa*, *A. curassavica*, and *A. syriaca*) were locally sourced in Amherst, Virginia, USA. We did not measure cardenolide content in the leaves of the plants used in the experiment, but used published records about the spatial repeatability of cardenolide defences that take into account latitudinal clines [37-39]. From these records we predicted that for milkweeds grown in Mid-Atlantic and Southeastern regions of the United States, total cardenolide content would vary in the order $A. curassavica (Ac) \geq A. syriaca (As) > A. incarnata (Ai) \geq A. tuberosa (At)$. The plants were grown without the use of pesticides as described previously [40]. All plants were healthy with undamaged leaves at the start of the experiment.

(b) Milkweed Feeding Assay and preparation of samples

Eggs were obtained from wild caught Monarch females in Virginia (USA) and larvae were reared by LPB on single plants. All larvae had abundant food during the experiment. Larvae were monitored daily for pupation starting at Day 12.

Upon eclosion, the date and the individual's sex were recorded. Adults were placed in glassine envelopes and frozen on dry ice until dead, then stored in a freezer at -80°C before being shipped to the UK on dry ice. Adults were stored at -80°C in JDB's laboratory until they were analysed.

For analysis, the gut was removed, and the head, thorax and abdomen (hereafter, ‘body’) were bisected longitudinally. One half of the body was returned to storage at -80°C for later analysis of cardenolides. The other half of the body was immediately homogenised in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 5 % w/v), for analyses of oxidative stress. The wings were removed using dissecting scissors and shipped on dry ice to HMR's laboratory for analyses of colouration (see below). Wings were then returned (on dry ice) to JDB's laboratory for cardenolide analysis.

(c) Determination of oxidative stress and cardenolide concentration

Determining oxidative state requires a range of assays including antioxidant defences and oxidative damage. We assayed three such components: total superoxide dismutase (SOD), which are metalloenzymes that catalyse the dismutation of superoxide into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide and form a crucial part of intracellular antioxidant defences; total antioxidant capacity (TAC), which measures the activity of low molecular weight chain-breaking antioxidants including ascorbate, α -tocopherol, carotenoids and flavonoids, and therefore gives an overview of the antioxidant status of individuals (including diet-derived antioxidants); and malondialdehyde (MDA), which is formed by the β -scission of peroxidised polyunsaturated fatty acids, and therefore is a definitive marker of oxidative damage.

(i) Total superoxide dismutase (SOD)

Total SOD was assayed by measuring the dismutation of superoxide radicals generated by xanthine oxidase and hypoxanthine. We followed the instructions of the kit (#706002; Cayman Chemical, Michigan, USA), except that tissue homogenates were further diluted with the supplied sample buffer (1:100 v/v) to ensure that SOD activity fell within the range of the standard curve. Samples were assayed in duplicate and are reported as units of SOD activity (U) per mg tissue.

(ii) *Total antioxidant capacity (TAC)*

TAC was assayed by measuring the capacity of tissue homogenate to inhibit the oxidation of 2,2'-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulphonate] (#709001; Cayman Chemical). The homogenate was further diluted with sample buffer (1:5 v/v) to bring the absorbance values within the range of the standard curve. Samples were assayed in duplicate, as per the kit instructions. Data are reported as nmols of TAC activity (Trolox equivalents) per g tissue.

(iii) *Malondialdehyde (MDA)*

MDA was measured using HPLC with fluorescence detection as described previously for tissue homogenates (e.g. Blount & Pike 2011). All chemicals were HPLC grade, and chemical solutions were prepared using ultra pure water (Milli-Q Synthesis; Millipore, Watford, UK). Samples were derived in 2 mL capacity polypropylene screw-top microcentrifuge tubes. For tissue homogenates and for the standard (1,1,3,3-tetraethoxypropane, TEP; see below) a 20 µl aliquot was added to 20 µl butylated hydroxytoluene solution (BHT; 0.05% w/v in 95 % ethanol), 160 µl phosphoric acid solution (H₃PO₄; 0.44 M), and 40 µl thiobarbituric acid solution (TBA; 42 mM). Samples were capped, vortex mixed for 5 s, then heated at 100 °C for 1 h in a dry bath incubator to allow formation of MDA-TBA adducts. Samples were then cooled on ice for 5 min, before 80 µl *n*-butanol was added and tubes were vortex mixed for 20s. Tubes were centrifuged at 12 x g and 4 °C for 3 min, before the upper (*n*-butanol) phase was collected and transferred to an HPLC vial for analysis. Samples (10 µl) were injected into a Dionex HPLC system (Dionex Corporation, California, USA) fitted with a 5µm ODS guard column and a Hewlett-Packard Hypersil 5µ ODS 100 x 4.6 mm column maintained at 37 °C. The mobile phase was methanol-buffer (40:60, v/v), the buffer being a 50 mM anhydrous solution of potassium monobasic phosphate at pH 6.8 (adjusted using 5 M potassium hydroxide solution), running isocratically over 3.5 min at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. Data were collected using a

fluorescence detector (RF2000; Dionex) set at 515 nm (excitation) and 553 nm (emission).
For calibration a standard curve was prepared using a TEP stock solution (5 mM in 40 %
ethanol) serially diluted using 40 % ethanol. Data are presented as nmols MDA per g tissue.

(iv) Cardenolide analysis

For cardenolide analysis, samples (individual wings and the remaining half of the body) were
dried in an oven at 60 °C for 16 h. The dried material was ground to a fine powder using a
pestle and mortar, and weighed to the nearest 0.0001 g using a GR-202 electronic balance
(A&D Instruments Ltd., Abington, UK). The samples were placed in screw-top
polypropylene tubes for de-fatting and cardenolide extraction. To remove fats, 2 ml hexane
was added and samples were incubated at 35°C for 30 min, before being centrifuged at 10 x g
and 4°C for 5 min; the supernatant was collected and discarded. Ethanol (1.9 ml) containing
20 µg digitoxin (#D5878; Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) as an internal standard were added to
the pellet and it was briefly vortexed to extract cardenolides (Ritland 1994). Samples were
placed in an XUB5 ultrasonic bath (Grant Instruments, Shepreth, UK) at 60°C for 60 min,
followed by further centrifugation (9.6 x g and 4°C for 5 min). The supernatant was removed
and the remaining pellet dried using a Savant ISS 110 SpeedVac Concentrator at room
temperature (Thermo Scientific, Altrincham, UK). Samples were then dissolved in 0.5 ml
methanol by vortexing, and 50 µl of the solution was injected into a Dionex HPLC system
fitted with a Waters Spherisorb 5µm ODS2 column (150 x 4.6 mm) maintained at 20°C. A
multistep gradient of acetonitrile (ACN) and ultra pure water was used as the mobile phase,
as follows: initial concentration 20 % ACN held for 5 minutes, ramp to 70 % ACN at 20 mins
and held until 25 minutes, ramp to 95 % ACN at 30 mins and held until 35 mins, returning to
20 % ACN at 40 mins, with an end time of 50 mins. The flow rate was 0.7 ml min⁻¹. Peaks
were collected using a PDA-100 photodiode array detector (Dionex) at an absorbance value
of 218 nm. Spectral data between 200-400 nm were collected, and cardenolides were

identified as peaks with a symmetrical absorbance band with a maximum absorbance between 217-222 nm [41, 42]. Data are presented as μg total cardenolides per 0.1 g tissue.

(d) Measurements and visual modelling of colouration

The reflectance of the fore- and hindwings of each butterfly, and of four leaves from one of the representative backgrounds of the leaves of *Asclepias syriaca* (obtained from A. Agrawal), were measured using an Ocean Optics USB2000 spectrophotometer, with specimens illuminated at 45° to normal by a DH1000 balanced halogen deuterium light source. The measuring spot diameter was 3 mm, with spectra recorded at 0.34nm intervals from 300 to 700nm and measured relative to a WS-1 reflectance standard. For each wing, 3-4 non-overlapping measurements were taken per orange segment of the wing (figure s1; total number of measurement spots per forewing = 13-14; hind wing = 28-29). For the leaves we took ten non-overlapping measurements. Spectrophotometry data were recorded using Ocean Optics OOBBase32.

Reflectance spectra were reduced to 1nm intervals ranging from wavelengths 300-700 nm using customised MATLAB code (written by I. C. Cuthill). To determine differences in chroma between treatments, we modelled the predicted photon catches of a generalized passerine bird (passerines being the main avian predators of monarchs [43]) for each spectrum following the Vorobyev-Osorio model [44], using a customized MATLAB code (provided by I. C. Cuthill, 2006). We used the cone types of a blue tit, *Cyanistes caeruleus* [45, longwave, LWS, λ_{max} 563nm; mediumwave, MWS, λ_{max} 503 nm; shortwave, SWS, λ_{max} 448 nm ; ultraviolet, UVS, λ_{max} 371 nm; and double dorsal, DD λ_{max} 563 nm; 46]. We calculated redness as the ratio of long wave to medium wave cone responses. We also calculated a measure of conspicuousness as ΔS between the butterflies and *A. syriaca* leaves using the Vorobyev-Osorio colour discrimination model, which is based on evidence that colour discrimination is determined by noise arising in the photoreceptors and is independent

of light intensity. We used a Weber fraction value of 0.05 for the most abundant cone type [47]. We calculated luminance as the response of the dorsal double cone [48].

(e) Data analyses

Left- and right-wing cardenolide concentration (from here on CG) were significantly positively correlated ($r = 0.96$, $t = 20.75$, $df = 38$, $p = 2.2e-16$). There was no significant correlation between asymmetry in wing CG concentrations and the mean CG of the wings ($r = 0.10$, $t = 0.64$, $df = 38$, $P = 0.52$), and so for each individual we calculated a mean wing CG concentration. Left- and right-wing redness were significantly positive correlated ($r = 0.65$, $t = 5.30$, $df = 38$, $P = 5.2e-06$), as were left- and right-wing conspicuousness ($r = 0.59$, $t = 4.41$, $df = 37$, $P = 8.6e-05$), and left- and right-wing luminance ($r = 0.396$, $t = 2.66$, $df = 38$, $P = 0.0114$). For each individual we calculated a mean forewing redness, conspicuousness, and luminance.

We log transformed malondialdehyde (MDA) and wing and body CG and to normalise the distribution of the residuals. We analysed the effect of foodplant on total CG content, bodyCG and wingCG content using a GLM with a Gaussian distribution and identity link function. We set *A. tuberosa* as the level with which we compared the other plants, because of its low cardenolide content. Eclosion success or failure was analysed with a binomial GLM. To analyse how cardenolides and oxidative stress affected colour metrics in the butterflies we used two methods. First, we used the R drop1 function to remove single model terms to find the minimal adequate model and compared models using AIC (see supplementary tables s1-s11). We explored interactions between two continuous variables using the coplot function in the R package car [49]. Second, we built a generalized linear model including six covariates *a priori* expected to affect warning signals (three markers of oxidative state and three measures of sequestration – body, wing, and total CG content). The model response variables were conspicuousness, redness, or brightness. We standardized all

covariates to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation (SD) of 1 to facilitate comparisons between models and variables. We ran all possible models containing each covariate (without interactions) using the R package MuMIn [50] and summed the cumulative AIC support for the models containing each covariate. MDA, body cardenolides, and total cardenolides had AIC weights of 0.153, 0.081, and 0.075 (supplementary table s8). All data were analysed using R version 4.0.4.

Results

CG concentrations

In support of our first hypothesis, concentrations of cardenolides in the body and wings of newly eclosed adults differed according to which host-plant species they were reared on (Figure 1a; $F_{(3,37)} = 8.262$, $P = 0.0002$). Monarchs reared on *Ac* and *As* sequestered significantly more cardenolides in total than those reared on *At* (estimate = 822.9 ± 184.3 , $t = 4.47$, $P = 7.6e-05$; estimate = 726.6 ± 187.1 , $t = 3.88$, $P = 0.0004$). Those reared on *Ai* did not sequester significantly different total amounts of CGs to those on *At* (estimate = 213.9 ± 189.2 , $t = 1.13$, $P = 0.27$). Males tended to sequester more cardenolides in total than females, but this was not significant at the alpha 0.05 level (estimate = 243.5 ± 133.4 , $t = 1.825$, $P = 0.076$).

Body CG concentration tended to differ between food plants, but this was not significant at the alpha 0.05 level (Figure 1b; $F_{(4,37)} = 2.471$, $P = 0.061$). Wing CG concentration significantly varied between host-plants (Figure 1c; $F_{(3,37)} = 26.23$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1c). Wing CGs were significantly higher in *Ac* and *As* compared to *At* (estimate = 1.56 ± 0.27 , $t = 5.698$, $P = 1.6e-06$; estimate = 1.77 ± 0.29 , $t = 6.120$, $P < 0.001$), but did not differ significantly between *At* and *Ai* ($p = 0.38$), nor between *As* and *Ac* ($p = 0.79$).

Survival to pupation and eclosion

We predicted that sequestration of cardenolides would impose a cost that would be associated with reduced survivorship to eclosion. Successful eclosion was significantly higher in monarchs reared on *At* (89%) compared with those reared on *As* (20%; estimate = -2.06 ± 0.751 , $t = 2.75$, $P = 0.009$), while there was no significant difference between *Ai* (70%) and *At* (89%, estimate = -0.696 ± 0.728 , $t = -0.956$, $P = 0.34$) or *At* (89%) and *Ac* (62%; estimate = -0.927 ± 0.690 , $t = -1.344$, $P = 0.19$). Survival to pupation did not differ significantly according to host-plant species (0% *At*, 23% *Ai*, 33% *As*, 27% *Ac*; Fisher's exact test $P = 0.28$).

CG and oxidative stress

Malondialdehyde (MDA)

Contrary to our prediction that oxidative lipid damage would differ by hostplant, we found that the minimal adequate model did not include species of host-plant, and only included sex and the total concentration of cardenolide. In line with our prediction of a positive association between concentration of sequestered CGs and markers of oxidative damage, across all treatment groups individuals that sequestered higher total concentrations of CGs had higher levels of MDA (estimate = 0.0005 ± 0.0002 , $t = 2.51$, $P = 0.016$). There was no significant difference between the sexes (estimate = -0.3097 ± 0.198 , $t = -1.57$, $P = 0.13$).

Superoxide dismutase activity (SOD)

The minimal adequate model included only body CG concentration, which had no statistically significant association with SOD (estimate = 0.933 ± 0.616 , $t = 1.51$, $P = 0.14$).

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC)

The minimal adequate model included foodplant, which did not significantly affect the concentrations of total antioxidant capacity (TAC: $F_{(3,38)} = 0.3203$, $P = 0.81$).

296 *Sequestration, oxidative stress and warning signals*

297 Because malondialdehyde was the only marker associated with individual levels of
 298 sequestration, we proceeded to analyse its association with warning signals, but did not
 299 conduct analyses on superoxide dismutase activity or total antioxidant capacity effects on
 300 warning signals. We found a significant three-way interaction between MDA x total CG
 301 concentration x sex on the conspicuousness (chroma ΔS) of monarch forewings (estimate = -
 302 0.009 ± 0.005 , $t = -2.05$, $P = 0.049$). Because males were more conspicuous than females, we
 303 separated the analysis by sex. We found a significant two way-interaction between MDA and
 304 total CG concentration on the conspicuousness (chroma ΔS) of male forewings (estimate = -
 305 0.006 ± 0.002 , $t = -2.98$, $P = 0.007$) that is represented by a curved surface (Figure 3). Males
 306 with the highest levels of sequestered cardenolides had the most conspicuous warning signals
 307 when oxidative damage was lowest, and reduced their warning signal conspicuousness as
 308 oxidative damage increased, while those that sequestered the lowest levels of cardenolides
 309 invested equally in warning signal conspicuous with increasing oxidative damage. There was
 310 no significant effect of oxidative damage or concentration of sequestered cardenolides on
 311 female forewing conspicuousness (MDA estimate = 0.320 ± 2.7859 , $t = 0.12$, $P = 0.911$; CG
 312 total estimate = -0.0005 ± 0.003 , $t = -0.14$, $P = 0.895$). Males had significantly redder
 313 forewings than females (estimate = 0.263 ± 0.063 , $t = 4.15$, $P = 0.0002$), and as the
 314 concentration of sequestered cardenolides increased the redness of the forewings increased
 315 for both males and females, but this was not significant at the alpha 0.05 level (estimate =
 316 0.0007 ± 0.0003 , $t = 1.95$, $P = 0.059$). Monarchs with low levels of oxidative damage
 317 produced redder warning signals with increasing concentrations of sequestered cardenolides,
 318 whereas monarchs with high levels of oxidative damage produced less red warning
 319 signals with increasing concentrations of sequestered cardenolides, but this was also not
 320 significant at the alpha 0.05 level (MDA x total CG interaction: estimate = $-0.0002 \pm$

0.00009, $t = -1.864$, $P = 0.071$). Males had significantly brighter forewings than females (estimate = 0.027 ± 0.007 , $t = 4.101$, $P = 0.0002$). Both male and female monarchs with higher levels of MDA had significantly less bright forewings (estimate = -0.01 ± 0.005 , $t = 2.04$, $P = 0.048$).

Discussion

We reared individual monarch caterpillars on whole plants from single populations of four milkweed species with varying phytochemistry [*Asclepias tuberosa*, *A. incarnata*, *A. syriaca*, and *A. curassavica*; 51]. Monarchs that sequestered higher concentrations of cardenolides experienced higher levels of oxidative damage than those that sequestered lower concentrations (measured as the concentration of malondialdehyde; MDA). Although there is some evidence that cardenolides can be a burden for monarch caterpillars [22, 52], our results are some of the first to show physiological costs of sequestration for monarchs [see also 21, 39]. Male monarchs that sequestered the highest concentrations of cardenolides had the most conspicuous warning signals when oxidative damage was lowest, and reduced their warning signal conspicuousness as oxidative damage increased, while those that sequestered the lowest levels of cardenolides invested equally in warning signal conspicuous with increasing oxidative damage. Our results suggest that highly toxic prey reduce investment in signals because they cannot bear the oxidative cost of investing highly in both traits. This is the first evidence to support Blount et al's [16] resource allocation trade-off model, and shows that variable access to resources can result in differential costs of signalling in monarch butterflies [53].

Some authors [54] have rejected the idea that there can be a mechanistic link between warning signals and chemical defences that involve intrinsic costs of production or maintenance. However, condition-dependent expression of colourful signals can arise when the underlying physiological pathways that produce colouration are dependent on the same

core cellular processes [16, 55]. We found stable levels of antioxidant defences (superoxidase dismutase and total antioxidant capacity) but increased oxidative damage was associated with reduced warning signal conspicuousness, which suggests that the monarchs that are better able to control pro-oxidant levels can sequester more cardenolides and still invest in warning signals [56]. Similar patterns are observed in *Aristolochia*-feeding pipevine swallowtails butterflies (*Battus philenor*) that store nitrophenanthrenes and have significantly higher tissue levels of carotenoids than related species which mimic them in coloration but do not store toxins [57]. Similarly, the rank order of body concentrations of carotenoids in three species of lepidoptera matches the rank order of dietary exposure to the prooxidant toxin furanocoumarin (8-MOP) [*Papilio polyxenes* > *Spodoptera eridania* > *Trichoplusia ni*; 18].

Antioxidants are involved in detoxification processes [58] as well as pigment synthesis pathways directly [59], or as cofactors of enzymes [60]. Our results allow us to speculate that antioxidant availability has a role in the biochemistry underlying the variations in warning colouration and toxicity in aposematic animals. However, warning colours are usually regulated by more than one mechanism, and we suggest that this area of research warrants further biochemical study.

It is likely that oxidative state depends on the combination of genetic, environmental and gene by environment interactions that determine an individual's condition. Monarchs show patterns of local adaptation to their hostplants [based on larval growth rate 39], and also show GxE interactions in sequestration ability [23] which may reflect either a lack of evolutionary history with different species of *Asclepias*, or a physiological trade-off in sequestration ability. Our results suggest that populations of monarchs that are locally adapted to high cardenolide milkweeds could be less subject to oxidative damage, either because they have evolved higher antioxidant efficiency, or because they are able to accumulate more antioxidants to provide enhanced antioxidant protection. Further research on this topic could

have interesting implications for understanding host shifts and range expansions as well as our understanding of automimicry (the occurrence of palatable ‘cheaters’ in a chemically defended population). Whether automimicry in monarchs arises directly from a deficiency in an individual’s capacity to cope with reactive oxygen species warrants further study [61].

The resource competition model [16] does not investigate or predict sex differences in resource limitation. However, Blount et al [62] found that ladybird females (the larger sex) are more susceptible to resource limitation than males, and hence more likely to signal honestly. In this study we found costs of signalling in male monarchs. Males are slightly larger than females and are the more active sex; this behavioural difference may increase their probability of detection and risk of predation, and also increase their sensitivity to oxidative damage. If predators are sensitive to the combined qualities of toxins and displays when they attack prey, this could mean they avoid highly conspicuous prey and reject highly defended but less conspicuous prey, and instead predate moderately defended and conspicuous individuals, this could maintain the signal variability we observe in males and in other aposematic prey. The relationship between visual signal strength, chemical defence, and predator response warrants further study.

Although we did not measure cardenolide content in milkweed plants, our results confirm previous research showing that the concentration of cardenolides in monarch butterflies varies depending on host-plant chemistry [63, 64], and follows a standard approach to using the natural between species and population variability in plant toxicity to test for costs of sequestration [21, 37, 51]. However, it is methodologically difficult to separate the costs of sequestration from other potential effects of plant allelochemicals [65]. Future work could focus on comparative phytochemical profiles to understand resource availability, and experiments that control nutritional content while manipulating toxin content.

In conclusion, our results support the proposal that oxidative state can be a key physiological mechanism that links warning colours to sequestration costs [16], and that the contribution of pigments to antioxidant activity for maintaining redox homeostasis is important [61]. Our results show that specialist herbivores must balance the benefits of plant secondary compounds for sequestration with the burden that these same compounds impose [though see 51, 66]. Future research should examine the possibility of natural selection for oxidative capacity, and whether aposematism evolves in species that have high antioxidant capacity. These studies could provide new theories for understanding the evolution of aposematism when coloration and toxicity do not coevolve. Documenting the costs associated with using secondary defences in natural systems is important for our understanding of the ecology and evolution of aposematism.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Linda Fink for her support in drafting the manuscript, Prof. Innes Cuthill for the MATLAB code for visual modelling, and Prof. Anurag Agrawal for leaves of *Asclepias syriaca*. JDB was supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship. HMR was supported by a Junior Research Fellowship from Churchill College, Cambridge, and by funding from the Max Planck Society.

Author contributions

Jonathan D. Blount conceptualization, methodology, resources, data curation, writing - original draft, project administration, funding acquisition.

Hannah M. Rowland methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing - original draft, visualization.

419 **Christopher Mitchell** investigation, data curation.

420 **Michael P. Speed** conceptualization, methodology, writing - review & editing.

421 **Graeme D. Ruxton** conceptualization, methodology, writing - review & editing.

422 **John A. Endler** conceptualization, writing - review & editing, visualization.

423 **Lincoln P. Brower** conceptualization, methodology, investigation, resources.

424

425 **References**

426

427 [1] Blum, M.S. 1981 *Chemical Defenses of Arthropods*. New York, Academic Press.

428 [2] Ruxton, G.D., Allen, W.L., Sherratt, T.N. & Speed, M.P. 2018 *Avoiding Attack. The*

429 *Evolutionary Ecology of Crypsis, Aposematism, and Mimicry*. Oxford, UK., Oxford

430 University Press.

431 [3] Summers, K. & Clough, M.E. 2001 The evolution of coloration and toxicity in the

432 poison frog family (Dendrobatidae). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **98**,

433 6227-6232.

434 [4] Santos, J.C. & Cannatella, D.C. 2011 Phenotypic integration emerges from aposematism

435 and scale in poison frogs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 6175-6180.

436 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1010952108).

437 [5] Maan, M.E. & Cummings, M.E. 2009 Sexual dimorphism and directional sexual selection

438 on aposematic signals in a poison frog. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

439 **106**, 19072-19077. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0903327106).

440 [6] Cortesi, F. & Cheney, K.L. 2010 Conspicuousness is correlated with toxicity in marine

441 opisthobranchs. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **23**, 1509-1518. (doi:doi:10.1111/j.1420-

442 9101.2010.02018.x).

- 443 [7] Bezzerides, A., L., McGraw, K.J., Parker, R.S. & Hussein, J. 2007 Elytra color as a
444 signal of chemical defense in the Asian ladybird beetle *Harmonia axyridis*. *Behavioral*
445 *Ecology and Sociobiology* **61**, 1401-1408. (doi:10.1007/s00265-007-0371-9).
- 446 [8] Winters, A.E., Stevens, M., Mitchell, C., Blomberg, S.P. & Blount, J.D. 2014 Maternal
447 effects and warning signal honesty in eggs and offspring of an aposematic ladybird beetle.
448 *Functional Ecology* **28**, 1187-1196. (doi:doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12266).
- 449 [9] Vidal-Cordero, J.M., Moreno-Rueda, G., López-Orta, A., Marfil-Daza, C., Ros-Santaella,
450 J.L. & Ortiz-Sánchez, F.J. 2012 Brighter-colored paper wasps (*Polistes dominula*) have larger
451 poison glands. *Frontiers in Zoology* **9**, 20. (doi:10.1186/1742-9994-9-20).
- 452 [10] Summers, K., Speed, M.P., Blount, J.D. & Stuckert, A.M.M. 2015 Are aposematic
453 signals honest? A review. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, n/a-n/a. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12676).
- 454 [11] Leimar, O., Enquist, M. & Sillentullberg, B. 1986 Evolutionary Stability of Aposematic
455 Coloration and Prey Unprofitability - a Theoretical-Analysis. *American Naturalist* **128**, 469-
456 490.
- 457 [12] Speed, M.P. & Ruxton, G.D. 2007 How bright and how nasty: Explaining diversity in
458 warning signal strength. *Evolution* **61**, 623-635.
- 459 [13] Ruxton, G.D., Speed, M.P. & Broom, M. 2009 Identifying the ecological conditions that
460 select for intermediate levels of aposematic signalling. *Evolutionary Ecology* **23**, 491-501.
461 (doi:10.1007/s10682-008-9247-3).
- 462 [14] Darst, C.R., Cummings, M.E. & Cannatella, D.C. 2006 A mechanism for diversity in
463 warning signals: Conspicuousness versus toxicity in poison frogs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
464 *A.* **103**, 5852-5857.
- 465 [15] Wang, I.J. 2011 Inversely related aposematic traits: reduced conspicuousness evolves
466 with increased toxicity in a polymorphic poison-dart frog. *Evolution* **65**, 1637-1649.
467 (doi:doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01257.x).

- 493 [26] Züst, T., Petschenka, G., Hastings, A.P. & Agrawal, A.A. 2019 Toxicity of Milkweed
494 Leaves and Latex: Chromatographic Quantification Versus Biological Activity of
495 Cardenolides in 16 *Asclepias* Species. *J Chem Ecol* **45**, 50-60. (doi:10.1007/s10886-018-
496 1040-3).
- 497 [27] Tao, L., Hoang, K.M., Hunter, M.D. & de Roode, J.C. 2016 Fitness costs of animal
498 medication: antiparasitic plant chemicals reduce fitness of monarch butterfly hosts. *J Anim*
499 *Ecol* **85**, 1246-1254. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12558).
- 500 [28] Groen, S.C., LaPlante, E.R., Alexandre, N.M., Agrawal, A.A., Dobler, S. & Whiteman,
501 N.K. 2017 Multidrug transporters and organic anion transporting polypeptides protect insects
502 against the toxic effects of cardenolides. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **81**, 51–
503 61.
- 504 [29] Seiber, J.N., Tuskes, P.M., Brower, L.P. & Nelson, C.J. 1980 Pharmacodynamics of
505 Some Individual Milkweed Cardenolides Fed to Larvae of the Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus-*
506 *Plexippus* L). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **6**, 321-339.
- 507 [30] Roeske, C.N., Seiber, J.N., Brower, L.P. & Moffitt, C.M. 1976 Milkweed Cardenolides
508 and Their Comparative Processing by Monarch Butterflies (*Danaus plexippus* L.). In
509 *Biochemical Interaction Between Plants and Insects* (eds. J.W. Wallace & R.L. Mansell), pp.
510 93-167. Boston, MA, Springer US.
- 511 [31] Faldyn, M.J., Hunter, M.D. & Elder, B.D. 2018 Climate change and an invasive,
512 tropical milkweed: an ecological trap for monarch butterflies. *Ecology* **99**, 1031-1038.
513 (doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2198>).
- 514 [32] Agrawal, A.A. 2017 *Monarchs and Milkweed: A Migrating Butterfly, a Poisonous Plant,*
515 *and their Remarkable Story of Coevolution* Princeton, NJ., Princeton University Press.
- 516 [33] Geest, E.A., Wolfenbarger, L.L. & McCarty, J.P. 2019 Recruitment, survival, and
517 parasitism of monarch butterflies (*Danaus plexippus*) in milkweed gardens and conservation
518 areas. *Journal of Insect Conservation* **23**, 211-224. (doi:10.1007/s10841-018-0102-8).

- 519 [34] Malcolm, S.B., Cockrell, B.J. & Brower, L.P. 1989 Cardenolide Fingerprint of Monarch
520 Butterflies Reared on Common Milkweed, *Asclepias-Syriaca*-L. *Journal of Chemical*
521 *Ecology* **15**, 819-853.
- 522 [35] Petschenka, G. & Agrawal, A.A. 2015 Milkweed butterfly resistance to plant toxins is
523 linked to sequestration, not coping with a toxic diet. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The*
524 *Royal Society* **282**, 20151865. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.1865).
- 525 [36] Brower, L.P. 1970 Plant poisons in a terrestrial food chain and implications for mimicry
526 theory. . In *Biochemical Coevolution (Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual Biology*
527 *Colloquium)*. (ed. K.L. Chambers), pp. 69-82. Corvallis, Oregon., Oregon State University
528 Press, .
- 529 [37] Soule, A.J., Decker, L.E. & Hunter, M.D. 2020 Effects of diet and temperature on
530 monarch butterfly wing morphology and flight ability. *Journal of Insect Conservation* **24**,
531 961-975. (doi:10.1007/s10841-020-00267-7).
- 532 [38] Woods, E.C., Hastings, A.P., Turley, N.E., Heard, S.B. & Agrawal, A.A. 2012 Adaptive
533 geographical clines in the growth and defense of a native plant. *Ecological Monographs* **82**,
534 149-168. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1446.1).
- 535 [39] Freedman, M.G., Jason, C., Ramírez, S.R. & Strauss, S.Y. 2020 Host plant adaptation
536 during contemporary range expansion in the monarch butterfly. *Evolution* **74**, 377-391.
537 (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13914).
- 538 [40] Pocius, V.M., Debinski, D.M., Pleasants, J.M., Bidne, K.G., Hellmich, R.L. & Brower,
539 L.P. 2017 Milkweed Matters: Monarch Butterfly (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) Survival and
540 Development on Nine Midwestern Milkweed Species. *Environ. Entomol.* **46**, 1098-1105.
541 (doi:10.1093/ee/nvx137).
- 542 [41] Malcolm, S.B. & Zalucki, M.P. 1996 Milkweed latex and cardenolide induction may
543 resolve the lethal plant defence paradox. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium*
544 *on Insect-Plant Relationships* (eds. E. Städler, M. Rowell-Rahier & R. Bauer), pp. 193-196.
545 Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands.

- 546 [42] Rasmann, S., Agrawal, A.A., Cook, S.C. & Erwin, A.C. 2009 Cardenolides, induced
547 responses, and interactions between above- and belowground herbivores of milkweed
548 (*Asclepias* spp.). *Ecology* **90**, 2393-2404. (doi:<https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1895.1>).
- 549 [43] Fink, L.S., Brower, L.P., Waide, R.B. & Spitzer, P.R. 1983 Overwintering Monarch
550 Butterflies as Food for Insectivorous Birds in Mexico. *Biotropica* **15**, 151-153.
- 551 [44] Vorobyev, M. & Osorio, D. 1998 Receptor noise as a determinant of colour thresholds.
552 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B-Biol. Sci.* **265**, 351-358.
- 553 [45] Keyser, A.J. & Hill, G.E. 2000 Structurally based plumage coloration is an honest signal
554 of quality in male blue grosbeaks. *Behavioral Ecology* **11**, 202-209.
- 555 [46] Hart, N.S., Partridge, J.C., Cuthill, I.C. & Bennett, A.T.D. 2000 Visual pigments, oil
556 droplets, ocular media and cone photoreceptor distribution in two species of passerine bird:
557 the blue tit (*Parus caeruleus* L.) and the blackbird (*Turdus merula* L.). *J. Comp. Physiol. A-*
558 *Sens. Neural Behav. Physiol.* **186**, 375-387.
- 559 [47] Stevens, M. & Merilaita, S. 2011 *Animal Camouflage: Mechanisms and Function*.
560 Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press.
- 561 [48] Osorio, D. & Vorobyev, M. 2005 Photoreceptor spectral sensitivities in terrestrial
562 animals: adaptations for luminance and colour vision. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
563 *London, Series B* **272**, 1745-1752.
- 564 [49] Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. 2019 *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. Third edition. ed,
565 Sage, Thousand Oaks CA.
- 566 [50] Bartoń, K. 2020 MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. (
- 567 [51] Erickson, J.M. 1973 The Utilization of Various *Asclepias* Species by Larvae
568 of the Monarch Butterfly, *Danaus Plexippus*. *Psyche* **80**, 028693.
569 (doi:10.1155/1973/28693).

- 570 [52] Zalucki, M.P., Brower, L.P. & Alonso, A. 2001 Detrimental effects of latex and cardiac
571 glycosides on survival and growth of first-instar monarch butterfly larvae *Danaus plexippus*
572 feeding on the sandhill milkweed *Asclepias humistrata*. *Ecological Entomology* **26**, 212-224.
- 573 [53] Zahavi, A. & Zahavi, A. 1997 *The handicap principle: a missing piece of Darwin's*
574 *puzzle*. Oxford, UK, Oxford University Press.
- 575 [54] Guilford, T. & Dawkins, M.S. 1993 Are warning colors handicaps? *Evolution* **47**, 400.
- 576 [55] Hill, G.E. 2011 Condition-dependent traits as signals of the functionality of vital cellular
577 processes. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 625-634. (doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01622.x)
578 [0248.2011.01622.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01622.x)).
- 579 [56] Costantini, D., Fanfani, A. & Dell'Omo, G. 2008 Effects of corticosteroids on oxidative
580 damage and circulating carotenoids in captive adult kestrels (*Falco tinnunculus*). *Journal of*
581 *Comparative Physiology B* **178**, 829-835. (doi:10.1007/s00360-008-0270-z).
- 582 [57] Harborne, J.B. & Williams, C.A. 2001 Anthocyanins and other flavonoids. *Natural*
583 *product reports*, **18**, 310-333.
- 584 [58] Enayati, A.A., Ranson, H. & Hemingway, J. (2005) Insect glutathione transferases and
585 insecticide resistance. *Insect Mol Biol*, **14**, 3-8.
- 586 [59] Ito, S. & Protta, G. (1977) A facile one-step synthesis of cysteinyl dopas using mushroom
587 tyrosinase. *Experientia*, **33**, 1118-1119.
- 588 [60] Shamim, G., Ranjan, K.S., Pandey, M.D. & Ramani, R. (2014) Biochemistry and
589 biosynthesis of insect pigments. *EJE*, **111**, 149-164.
- 590 [61] Costantini, D. & Verhulst, S. 2009 Does high antioxidant capacity indicate low oxidative
591 stress? *Functional Ecology* **23**, 506-509. (doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01546.x)
592 [2435.2009.01546.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01546.x)).
- 593 [62] Blount, J.D., Rowland, H.M., Drijfhout, F.P., Endler, J.A., Inger, R., Sloggett, J.J.,
594 Hurst, G.D.D., Hodgson, D.J. & Speed, M.P. 2012 How the ladybird got its spots: effects of

595 resource limitation on the honesty of aposematic signals. *Functional Ecology* **26**, 334-342.
596 (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2012.01961.x).

597 [63] Jones, P.L., Petschenka, G., Flach, L. & Agrawal, A.A. 2019 Cardenolide Intake,
598 Sequestration, and Excretion by the Monarch Butterfly along Gradients of Plant Toxicity and
599 Larval Ontogeny. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **45**, 264-277. (doi:10.1007/s10886-019-
600 01055-7).

601 [64] Brower, L.P., Nelson, C.J., Seiber, J.N., Fink, L.S. & Bond, C. 1988 Exaptation as an
602 alternative to coevolution in the cardenolide-based chemical defense of monarch butterflies
603 (*Danaus plexippus* L.) against avian predators. In *Chemical mediation of coevolution* (ed.
604 K.C. Spencer). London, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

605 [65] Bowers, M.D. 1992 The evolution of unpalatability and the cost of chemical defense in
606 insects. . In *Insect chemical ecology: an evolutionary approach*. Chapman & Hall, New York,
607 New York, USA. (eds. B.D. Roitberg & M.B. Isman), pp. 216–244.

608 [66] Petschenka, G. & Agrawal, A.A. 2015 Milkweed butterfly resistance to plant toxins is
609 linked to sequestration, not coping with a toxic diet. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
610 *Biological Sciences* **282**. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.1865).

611

612 **Figure 1.** cardenolide sequestration by monarchs feeding on *Asclepias tuberosa* (AT), *A.*
613 *Incarinata* (AI), *A. Syriaca* (AS), and *A. curassavica* (AC); a) total cardenolide (μg total
614 cardenolides per 0.1 g tissue) measured in the wings and bodies, b) body cardenolide content,
615 c) wing cardenolide content. Boxplots show the median, interquartile range, and the whiskers
616 represent the largest and smallest value within 1.5 times the 25th and 75th percentile. Outliers
617 are represented by small black dots. The mean cardenolide concentration is represented by
618 the larger black dot.

619 **Figure 2.** Total cardenolide concentration for males (filled triangle) and females (open circle)
 620 and Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration. Line is the smoothed conditional mean with
 621 95% confidence intervals.

622 **Figure 3.** Three-dimensional surface (perspective) plot for the response surface predicting
 623 the relationship between the conspicuousness of the male monarch's forewing, oxidative
 624 damage measured as malondialdehyde (MDA), and total cardenolide concentration.

Figure 1a, b, c.

Figure 2

631

632 Figure 3