RESEARCH ARTICLE

Comparison of biological features of wild European rabbit mesenchymal stem cells derived from different tissues.

Marta Díaz-de Frutos¹, Alexandra Calle², María Zamora-Ceballos¹, Juan Bárcena¹, Esther Blanco¹ and Miguel Ángel Ramírez^{2*}

¹Instituto Centro de Investigación en Sanidad Animal (CISA), INIA-CSIC, marta.diaz@inia.es; <u>Zamora.maria@inia.es</u>, <u>barcena@inia.es</u>; <u>blanco@inia.es</u> ²Departamento de Reproducción Animal, INIA-CSIC, 28040 Madrid, Spain; <u>calle.alexandra@inia.es</u>; <u>ramirez@inia.es</u> *Correspondence: <u>ramirez@inia.es</u>

Abstract:

Although the European rabbit is an "endangered" species and a notorious biological model, the analysis and comparative characterization of new tissue sources of rabbit mesenchymal stem cells (rMSCs) has not been well studied. Here we report for the first time the isolation and characterization of rMSCs derived from an animal belonging to a natural rabbit population within the species native region. New rMSC lines were isolated from different tissues: oral mucosa (rOM-MSC), dermal skin (rDS-MSC), subcutaneous adipose tissue (rSCA-MSC), ovarian adipose tissue (rOA-MSC), oviduct (rO-MSC), and mammary gland (rMG-MSC). The six rMSC lines showed plastic adhesion with fibroblastlike morphology and were all shown to be positive for CD44 and CD29 expression (characteristic markers of MSCs), and negative for CD34 or CD45 expression. In terms of pluripotency features, all rMSC lines expressed NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2. Furthermore, all rMSC lines cultured under osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic conditions showed differentiation capacity. In conclusion, this study describes the isolation and characterization of new rabbit cell lines from different tissue origins, with a clear mesenchymal pattern. We show that rMSC do not exhibit differences in terms of morphological features, expression of the cell surface, and intracellular markers of pluripotency and *in vitro* differentiation capacities, attributable to their tissue of origin.

Keywords: rabbit mesenchymal stem cells; cell differentiation; cell surface markers; intracellular markers.

Introduction

The European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) originated in the Iberian Peninsula. The species expanded through anthropogenic dispersal to Europe, Australia, New Zealand, the Americas, and North Africa [1]. A single origin of domestication led to lower levels of genetic diversity within domestic rabbits than those found in wild populations [2]. Thus, natural populations within the European rabbit native region, the Iberian Peninsula, are considered a reservoir of genetic diversity [3]. Over the last 70 years, the European rabbit populations, both in its native and non-native ranges, have suffered declining population trends as reflected in the successive declaration statuses by IUCN: 1996 - Lower Risk / least concern (LR / LC); 2008 - Near Threatened (NT) and finally 2018 - Endangered (https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/41291/170619657). The emergence of two viral diseases: myxomatosis and rabbit hemorrhagic disease (RHD) was the

primary cause of the decline [4–7]. In this context, the European rabbit provides a suitable system to study host–viral pathogen interactions, coevolution, and ecological effects [8–11].

The European rabbit has been extensively studied as a laboratory model for human diseases in both biomedical and fundamental research [12]. After mice (60.9%) and rats (13.9%), the laboratory rabbit is the third most used mammal model in animal experimentation (3.12%) within the EU. Furthermore, the highest increase in comparison to the previous report in 2008 is noted for fish and rabbits (EU report 2013: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52013DC0859).

However, our current knowledge of important aspects such as rabbit immunogenetics or the characterization of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) lags behind that of other relevant model species, like the mouse.

MSCs are widely utilized in therapy because of their immunomodulatory properties [13], but their potential application in infectious viral diseases is less explored. MSCs are susceptible to infection by a wide variety of RNA and DNA viruses both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [8–11]. Despite their permissiveness to some viruses' entry, some evidence has also emerged that MSCs can mitigate viral infection via the upregulation of antiviral mechanisms. MSC-released miRNAs have been reported to inhibit hepatitis C virus replication [12]. MSCs can interact with and influence both the innate and adaptive immune cells, potentially altering the outcome of the response to a viral infection. While there is already information in the literature regarding the use of MSCs and/or their secretome as a therapy in several animal models of viral diseases, their applications in rabbits have still not been assessed [13].

MSCs have been found in some rabbit tissues (adipose, gingival, bone marrow, Wharton's jelly, amniotic fluid) exhibiting stem cell characteristics such as plastic adherence, multilineage differentiation capacity, MSC marker expression, and pluripotent gene expression [14–17]. Postnatal organs and tissues are good MSC sources; however, each source of MSCs has a different degree of differentiation potential and expression of a different set of stem cell-related markers, as well as other important characteristics such as high proliferation, immunomodulation, and allo- and xeno-transplantation ability.

Rabbit MSCs (rMSC) have been widely used as preclinical models for orthopedic, specifically bone, articular cartilage, ligament reconstruction, and spinal fusion, as well as cardiovascular regenerative medicine strategies [18–21]. Although rMSCs are being investigated in these models, they have not been fully characterized in terms of immunophenotype and differentiation potential, and many tissues have not yet been explored as a source of MSC in the rabbit. Moreover, all the previous rMSC reported in the literature had been obtained from domestic New Zealand White (NZW) line rabbits. Here we report for the first time the isolation and characterization of rMSC obtained from a female specimen belonging to a wild rabbit population of the species native range, the Iberian Peninsula.

The main goal of the present work was to perform the characterization of rMSC lines isolated from different tissues, in terms of morphological features, expression of mesenchymal and pluripotency-related markers, and *in vitro* chondrogenic, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation capacities.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and culture of rMSCs

Different tissue samples were obtained postmortem from an adult female wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*).

For the isolation of primary mesenchymal cultures from oral mucosa (rOM-MSC), dermal skin tissue (rDS-MSC), subcutaneous adipose tissue (rSCA-MSC), ovarian adipose tissue (rOA-MSC), oviduct (rO-MSC), and mammary gland (rMG-MSC), the procedures are detailed in Calle et al. [14]. Briefly, the collected samples were minced before the tissues were incubated in a collagenase type I solution. Thereafter, a volume of culture medium was added to block the action of collagenase. The resulting pellets were resuspended in culture medium and plated in a 100-mm² tissue culture dish (Jet-Biofil, Guangzhou, China) and incubated in an atmosphere of humidified air and 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The culture medium was changed every 48–72 h.

Isolated colonies of putative rMSCs were apparent after 5-6 days in culture and were maintained in growth medium until \sim 75% confluence.

The cells were then treated with 0.05% trypsin–EDTA (T/E) and further cultured for subsequent passage in 100-mm² dishes at 50,000 cells/cm².

Immunocytochemical analysis by flow cytometry

Cell cultures at 80–90% confluence were detached and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. Stainings were performed as detailed in Calle et al. [14], employing anti-CD44 (clone W4/86, Bio-Rad); anti-CD34 (Mouse monoclonal, Invitrogen), and anti-CD45 (clone L12/201, Bio-Rad). Appropriated secondary staining using F(ab')2-Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, APC (A10539) from Life Technologies. Negative control samples corresponded to samples in which the primary antibody was omitted. Samples were analyzed in a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) using Flow-JoX Software[®] version 10.0.7r2.

RT-PCR analysis of characteristic mesenchymal mRNA expression in rMSC

Total RNA was extracted from 2,5 ·10⁶ cells by RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA starting template was analyzed for integrity and quantity by Nanodrop test, and 600 ng were used in the RT-PCR reaction. cDNA was synthesized and amplified using OneStep RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and using the following gene-specific primers: Rabbit CD29, forward (AGAATGTCACCAACCGTAGCA), reverse (CACAAAGGAGCCAAACCCA); rabbit CD44, forward (TCATCCTGG- CATCCCTCTTG), reverse (CCGTTGCCATTGTTGATCAC); rabbit CD45, forward (TACTCTGCCTCCCGTTG), reverse (GCTGAGTGTCTGCGTGTC); rabbit CD34, forward (TTTCCTCATGAACCGTCGCA), reverse (CGTGTTGTCTTGCGGAATGG); NANOG, forward (GCCAGTCGTGGAGTAACCAT), reverse (CTGCATGGAGGACTGTAGCA); OCT4, forward (GAGGAGTCCCAGGACATGAA), reverse (GTGGTTTGGCTGAACACCTT); SOX2, forward (CAGCTCGCAGACCTACATGA), reverse (TGGAGTGGGAGGAAGAGGTA) [15]. PCR products were separated on a 1.5% agarose gel (MB Agarose, Bio-Rad) stained with GelRed® Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium, #41002).

In vitro differentiation potential assay

For adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic differentiation, cells were cultured according to the manufacturer's instructions of the StemPro[®] adipogenesis,

osteogenesis, or chondrogenesis differentiation kits, respectively (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Meridian Road Rockford, IL) and analyzed as detailed in Calle et al. [14].

Results

Morphological features of different rMSC

As shown in Fig. 1, we could successfully isolate rMSC from ovarian adipose tissue, subcutaneous adipose tissue, dermal skin, oral mucosa, oviduct, and mammary gland of an adult female wild rabbit.

In primary culture, rMSCs of all six sources adhered to the plastic surface of culture dishes, exhibiting a mixture of round, spindle, or elongated shape morphologies (Fig. 1-upper panels). However, after the first cell passage, cells formed a homogeneous population of fibroblast-like adherent cells (Fig. 1—lower panels).

Fig 1. Phase-contrast images of different rabbit rMSC lines at 6 days of culture of Passage 0 (upper panels; ×100 magnification) and Passage 17 (lower panels; x200 magnification). Bars = 50 μm.

Immunophenotypic characterization by flow cytometry

For further characterization of all six types of rMSCs, some characteristic cell surface markers were assessed by flow cytometry (Fig. 2). Cell surface CD44, a characteristic marker of rMSCs, was expressed at detectable levels in all cell lines. In none of the rMSC lines, expression of hematopoietic lineage markers like CD34 and CD45 was detected.

Fig 2. Analysis by flow cytometry of the expression levels of cell surface markers CD34, CD44, and CD45 in rOA-MSC, rSCA-MSC, rDS-MSC, rOM-MSC, rO-MSC, rMG-MSC, and RK13 rabbit kidney epithelial cells. Plots depict the log of fluorescence intensity for each sample and bar charts the mean fluorescence intensity related to the negative control sample.

Gene expression of surface and pluripotency markers detected by RT-PCR

RT-PCR analyses confirmed the expression in all rMSCs lines of those CD surface markers that are characteristic of MSCs (CD29 and CD44) (Fig. 3). In addition, CD34 and CD45, hematopoietic lineage markers, could not be detected at the mRNA level in the tested samples. Pluripotent markers OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG were detected by RT-PCR to be expressed in all rMSC lines.

Fig 3. RT-PCR analysis of surface and pluripotency marker gene expression in the different rMSC. A panel of surface markers including CD29, CD34, CD44, and CD45 was used for the identification of rMSC. Pluripotency genes expression (NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2) was detected in the different rMSC.

In vitro differentiation of rMSCs

As shown in Fig. 4, all rMSC lines cultured under chondrogenic conditions showed the presence of acidic proteoglycan synthesized by chondrocytes that were demonstrated at the monolayer of cells by Alcian blue staining, which appeared in most cases as stained nodules typical from cartilaginous tissue. Cells cultured under osteogenic conditions presented remarkable calcium deposits, indicating a high osteogenic differentiation potential of these lines. All rMSC lines obtained were also able to

differentiate to adipocytes when cultured under adipogenic conditions, presenting the formation of cytoplasmic lipid droplets visualized after the Oil red O solution stain.

Fig 4. *In vitro* differentiation of rMSC to different lineages. Images show Alcian blue staining of acidic proteoglycan in cells cultured in chondrogenic differentiation medium (top panel); Alizarin Red S staining of calcium deposits in cells cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium (middle panels); and Oil red O staining of lipid droplets in cells cultured in adipogenic differentiation medium (bottom panels). Bright-field images were acquired with 200× magnification (bars = 50 μ m) for top and middle panels and with 400x magnification (bars = 100 μ m) for bottom panels.

Discussion

Our findings revealed that rabbit MSCs could be isolated and expanded *in vitro* from visceral adipose depots, subcutaneous fat, dermal skin, oral mucosa, oviduct, and mammary gland tissues of an adult female wild rabbit. Our results also demonstrate that rOA-MSCs, rSCA-MSCs, rDS-MSCs, rOM-MSC, rO-MSC, and rMG-MSCs shared similar characteristics in terms of morphology, expression of mesenchymal and pluripotency-related markers, and differentiation ability into chondrocytes, osteocytes, and adipocytes. Passaged cells had a more homogeneous morphology and formed colonies as the culture progressed, compared to primary cultures. As we have shown in previous studies in porcine and bovine species, these morphological observations indicate that the isolated cells may contain both mature and progenitor populations [14, 16, 22].

Regarding cell surface markers characteristic of rMSC, contradictory results have been described in rabbits, as summarized by Zomer et al. [18]. Considering this, we have selected a panel of antibodies that have shown high sensitivity and repeatability and confirmed these results through RT-PCR assessment of expression at the mRNA level. Our data demonstrate that all rMSCs isolated were negative for CD34 and CD45 but positive for CD44, CD29, NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2, coinciding with the results reported in the literature for rMSCs isolated from different tissues: adipose [19], gingival [20], amniotic fluid [15] and bone marrow [21, 23].

The basic *in vitro* trilineage differentiation capacity of rMSC, that is adipocytes, osteocytes, and chondrocytes have been reported in the literature only for adipose [18] and for bone marrow rMSC [23–25]. Our results show that all isolated rMSC lines, regardless of their tissue of origin, were able to differentiate to the three mesodermal lineages, with lipid droplet accumulation, calcium deposits, or presence of proteoglycan in response to in vitro adipogenic, osteogenic, or chondrogenic stimuli, respectively.

The gene expression profile of adipose MSC from subcutaneous fat in Zucker rats was found to be distinct from the gene expression profiles of the other four visceral designated depots (epicardial, epididymal, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal), which clustered together but were not identical [26]. Also, differences between adipose-MSC from mesenteric and omental depots have been documented [27]. These findings show that individual visceral "subdepots" should not be considered interchangeable. Moreover, increased visceral (central) fat is more pathological, resulting in insulin resistance and chronic inflammation, whereas subcutaneous (peripheral) fat is more beneficial in systemic metabolism [28]. These differences are cell-intrinsic and persist in culture [27, 29], revealing the mechanisms underlying the pathophysiological differences between adipose depots. Individual adipose depots, which function as separate "mini-organs," are formed and maintained by distinct A-MSC populations, with

consistent differences in adipogenic potential and function later in life [30, 31]. We have however not observed major differences between rMSCs isolated from subcutaneous adipose tissue or ovarian adipose depot, although it would be interesting to make a more in-depth characterization.

Rabbit embryo and fetoplacental development are similar to human development, making the rabbit an excellent model for studying embryo-maternal communication, the effects of maternal metabolic disorders on offspring development and long-term health [32], and the development of *in vitro* models of pathogen infection during pregnancy. In 2009 Jazedje et al. showed for the first time that human fallopian tubes are a rich additional source of MSCs and these cells were designated as human tube MSCs (htMSCs) [33]. The presence of mesenchymal cell populations in this part of the female reproductive system has only been documented in humans until now [34–37]. Similarly, the presence of MSCs in the mammary gland has only been studied in mice [38–41]. Our rO-MSC will allow the development of both physiological and pathological *in vitro* models.

Due to the remarkable cyclical changes in proliferation, lactation, and involution that occur in the breast tissue throughout life and pregnancy, the field of mammary gland physiology has been historically interested in stem cell biology. Shackleton *et al.* demonstrated that a single cell lacking hematopoietic and endothelial antigens, and expressing high levels of CD29 could generate an entire mammary gland [42]. Following this description, in rMG-MSC we found no expression of the hematopoietic lineage markers CD34 and CD45, while they expressed CD29 and CD45 as well as pluripotency markers (NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2). Mammary gland stem cells have subsequently been shown to play key roles in both regeneration of the mammary gland and the development of mammary gland tumors. CD44 has been used as a marker of cancer-initiating cells in various cancers, including prostate, pancreas, and colon [43, 44]. Considering the above, rMG-MSC is a promising *in vitro* model for the study of both breast physiology and breast cancer, since it expressed CD44. Until now the presence of MSCs in the mammary gland has only been studied in mice [38–41].

The fact that rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV), a relevant member of the Caliciviridae family, cannot be propagated *in vitro*, has greatly hampered the progress of investigations into its mechanisms of pathogenesis, translation, and replication. In this regard, the isolation of new rMSC lines from target tissues for the main viral pathogens of the species (myxoma virus and RHDV) will allow an in-depth analysis of both their susceptibility to viral infection and their possible role in the regulation of host antiviral response.

Conclusions

In summary, this study describes the isolation and characterization for the first time of cell lines from different tissue origins with a clear mesenchymal pattern, derived from a natural rabbit population within the species native region. We show that rMSCs do not present differences in terms of morphological features, expression of the cell surface, and intracellular markers of pluripotency and *in vitro* chondrogenic, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation capacities attributable to their tissue of origin.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by grants from Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (PID2019-107145RB-I00), Spanish Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto Demográfico, through Fundación Biodiversidad (PRCV00820) and

European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme VetBioNet (grant agreement No. 731014).

The authors are grateful to Dra María Yáñez-Mó (Dpto. de Biología Molecular, UAM, Madrid, Spain) for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- 1. Ferreira P, Catarina. European rabbit research in the Iberian Peninsula: State of the art and future perspectives. European Journal of Wildlife Research. 2012;58: 885–895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-012-0664-9
- Carneiro M, Afonso S, Geraldes A, Garreau H, Bolet G, Boucher S, et al. The genetic structure of domestic rabbits. Mol Biol Evol. 2011;28: 1801–1816. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr003 PMID:21216839.
- Alves JM, Carneiro M, Afonso S, Lopes S, Garreau H, Boucher S, et al. Levels and Patterns of Genetic Diversity and Population Structure in Domestic Rabbits. PLoS One. 2015;10: e0144687. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144687 PMID:26689909.
- 4. Abrantes J, van der Loo W, Le Pendu J, Esteves PJ. Rabbit haemorrhagic disease (RHD) and rabbit haemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV): a review. Vet Res. 2012;43: 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-43-12 PMID:22325049.
- 5. Bertagnoli S, Marchandeau S. Myxomatosis. Rev Sci Tech. 2015;34: 549–56, 539. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26601455 PMID:26601455.
- Rouco C, Abrantes J, Serronha A, Lopes AM, Maio E, Magalhães MJ, et al. Epidemiology of RHDV2 (Lagovirus europaeus/GI.2) in free-living wild European rabbits in Portugal. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018;65: e373–e382. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12767 PMID:29150915.
- Villafuerte R, Castro F, Ramírez E, Cotilla I, Parra F, Delibes-Mateos M, et al. Large-scale assessment of myxomatosis prevalence in European wild rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) 60years after first outbreak in Spain. Res Vet Sci. 2017;114: 281–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2017.05.014 PMID:28558359.
- 8. Effler P. Australia's War against Rabbits: The Story of Rabbit Haemorrhagic Disease. Emerging Infectious Disease journal. 2015;21: 735. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2104.142009
- 9. Wilkinson L. Frank Fenner and Bernardino Fantini, Biological control of vertebrate pests: the history of myxomatosis, an experiment in evolution, Wallingford, CABI Publishing, 1999, pp. xii, 339, illus., £60.00 (0-85199-323-0). Medical History. 2001;45: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025727300067594
- Kerr PJ, Hall RN, Strive T. Viruses for Landscape-Scale Therapy: Biological Control of Rabbits in Australia. Methods Mol Biol. 2021;2225: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1012-1_1 PMID:33108654.
- 11. Monterroso P, Garrote G, Serronha A, Santos E, Delibes-Mateos M, Abrantes J, et al. Diseasemediated bottom-up regulation: An emergent virus affects a keystone prey, and alters the dynamics of trophic webs. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 36072. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36072 PMID:27796353.
- 12. Burkholder T, Linton G, Hoyt R, Young R. The Rabbit as an Experimental Model. 2012. pp. 529– 560.
- 13. Cagliani J, Grande D, Molmenti EP, Miller EJ, Rilo HLR. Immunomodulation by Mesenchymal Stromal Cells and Their Clinical Applications. J Stem Cell Regen Biol. 2017;3: 1–26. https://doi.org/10.15436/2471-0598.17.022 PMID:29104965.
- 14. Calle A, Barrajón-Masa C, Gómez-Fidalgo E, Martín-Lluch M, Cruz-Vigo P, Sánchez-Sánchez R, et al. Iberian pig mesenchymal stem/stromal cells from dermal skin, abdominal and subcutaneous adipose tissues, and peripheral blood: in vitro characterization and migratory properties in inflammation. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2018;9: 178. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-018-0933-y PMID:29973295.
- 15. Kovac M, Vasicek J, Kulikova B, Bauer M, Curlej J, Balazi A, et al. Different RNA and protein expression of surface markers in rabbit amniotic fluid-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Biotechnol Prog. 2017;33: 1601–1613. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2519 PMID:28653478.

- Calle A, López-Martín S, Monguió-Tortajada M, Borràs FE, Yáñez-Mó M, Ramírez MÁ. Bovine endometrial MSC: mesenchymal to epithelial transition during luteolysis and tropism to implantation niche for immunomodulation. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019;10: 239. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-018-1129-1
- Calle A, Toribio V, Yáñez-Mó M, Ramírez MÁ. Embryonic Trophectoderm Secretomics Reveals Chemotactic Migration and Intercellular Communication of Endometrial and Circulating MSCs in Embryonic Implantation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2021;22: 5638. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22115638
- Zomer HD, Roballo KC, Lessa TB, Bressan FF, Gonçalves NN, Meirelles FV, et al. Distinct features of rabbit and human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells: implications for biotechnology and translational research. Stem Cells Cloning. 2018;11: 43–54. https://doi.org/10.2147/SCCAA.S175749 PMID:30425533.
- 19. Tirpáková M, Vašíček J, Svoradová A, Baláži A, Tomka M, Bauer M, et al. Phenotypical Characterization and Neurogenic Differentiation of Rabbit Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Genes (Basel). 2021;12: https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12030431 PMID:33802902.
- 20. Nugraha AP, Rantam FA, Narmada IB, Ernawati DS, Ihsan IS. Gingival-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cell from Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus): Isolation, Culture, and Characterization. Eur J Dent. 2021;15: 332–339. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1719213 PMID:33260232.
- 21. Lin C, Shen M, Chen W, Li X, Luo D, Cai J, et al. Isolation and purification of rabbit mesenchymal stem cells using an optimized protocol. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2015;51: 1102–1108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-015-9933-8 PMID:26202303.
- Calle A, Gutiérrez-Reinoso MÁ, Re M, Blanco J, De la Fuente J, Monguió-Tortajada M, et al. Bovine peripheral blood MSCs chemotax towards inflammation and embryo implantation stimuli. J Cell Physiol. 2021;236: 1054–1067. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.29915 PMID:32617972.
- 23. Bakhtina A, Tohfafarosh M, Lichtler A, Arinzeh TL. Characterization and differentiation potential of rabbit mesenchymal stem cells for translational regenerative medicine. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2014;50: 251–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-013-9702-5 PMID:24150687.
- 24. Lee TC, Lee TH, Huang YH, Chang NK, Lin YJ, Chien PW, et al. Comparison of surface markers between human and rabbit mesenchymal stem cells. PLoS One. 2014;9: e111390. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111390 PMID:25380245.
- 25. Lee TH, Huang YH, Chang NK, Lin WC, Chien PW, Su TM, et al. Characterization and spinal fusion effect of rabbit mesenchymal stem cells. BMC Res Notes. 2013;6: 528. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-528 PMID:24325928.
- 26. Ferrer-Lorente R, Bejar MT, Badimon L. Notch signaling pathway activation in normal and hyperglycemic rats differs in the stem cells of visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue. Stem Cells Dev. 2014;23: 3034–3048. https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2014.0070 PMID:25035907.
- 27. Tchkonia T, Giorgadze N, Pirtskhalava T, Thomou T, DePonte M, Koo A, et al. Fat depot-specific characteristics are retained in strains derived from single human preadipocytes. Diabetes. 2006;55: 2571–2578. https://doi.org/10.2337/db06-0540 PMID:16936206.
- 28. Tran TT, Kahn CR. Transplantation of adipose tissue and stem cells: role in metabolism and disease. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2010;6: 195–213. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2010.20 PMID:20195269.
- 29. Macotela Y, Emanuelli B, Mori MA, Gesta S, Schulz TJ, Tseng YH, et al. Intrinsic differences in adipocyte precursor cells from different white fat depots. Diabetes. 2012;61: 1691–1699. https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-1753 PMID:22596050.
- Gesta S, Blüher M, Yamamoto Y, Norris AW, Berndt J, Kralisch S, et al. Evidence for a role of developmental genes in the origin of obesity and body fat distribution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103: 6676–6681. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601752103 PMID:16617105.
- Tchkonia T, Lenburg M, Thomou T, Giorgadze N, Frampton G, Pirtskhalava T, et al. Identification of depot-specific human fat cell progenitors through distinct expression profiles and developmental gene patterns. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;292: E298–307. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00202.2006 PMID:16985259.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.13.472420; this version posted December 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

- 32. Fischer B, Chavatte-Palmer P, Viebahn C, Navarrete Santos A, Duranthon V. Rabbit as a reproductive model for human health. Reproduction. 2012;144: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-12-0091 PMID:22580370.
- Jazedje T, Perin PM, Czeresnia CE, Maluf M, Halpern S, Secco M, et al. Human fallopian tube: a new source of multipotent adult mesenchymal stem cells discarded in surgical procedures. J Transl Med. 2009;7: 46. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-7-46 PMID:19538712.
- Wang C, Liu Y, Chang C, Wu S, Gao J, Zhang Y, et al. Human fallopian tube proteome shows high coverage of mesenchymal stem cells associated proteins. Biosci Rep. 2016;36: e00297. https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20150220 PMID:26759384.
- 35. Rahimzadeh A, Mirakabad FS, Movassaghpour A, Shamsasenjan K, Kariminekoo S, Talebi M, et al. Biotechnological and biomedical applications of mesenchymal stem cells as a therapeutic system. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2016;44: 559–570. https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.968823 PMID:25340260.
- 36. Wang J, Zhao Y, Wu X, Yin S, Chuai Y, Wang A. The utility of human fallopian tube mucosa as a novel source of multipotent stem cells for the treatment of autologous reproductive tract injury. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2015;6: 98. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-015-0094-1 PMID:25994820.
- Jazedje T, Bueno DF, Almada BV, Caetano H, Czeresnia CE, Perin PM, et al. Human fallopian tube mesenchymal stromal cells enhance bone regeneration in a xenotransplanted model. Stem Cell Rev Rep. 2012;8: 355–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12015-011-9297-8 PMID:21744049.
- Dawson CA, Visvader JE. The Cellular Organization of the Mammary Gland: Insights From Microscopy. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia. 2021;26: 71–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10911-021-09483-6 PMID:33835387.
- Song J, Ding W, Liu Y, Lin L, Jia M, Liu S, et al. The mesenchymal property of mouse mammary anlagen repopulating cell population is associated with its stemness. Gene Expr Patterns. 2020;38: 119151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gep.2020.119151 PMID:33132190.
- Joshi PA, Waterhouse PD, Kasaian K, Fang H, Gulyaeva O, Sul HS, et al. PDGFRα⁺ stromal adipocyte progenitors transition into epithelial cells during lobulo-alveologenesis in the murine mammary gland. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 1760. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09748-z PMID:30988300.
- 41. Guest I, Ilic Z, Ma J. Preparation of epithelial and mesenchymal stem cells from murine mammary gland. Curr Protoc Toxicol. 2011;Chapter 22: Unit22.3. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx2203s50 PMID:22058055.
- 42. Shackleton M, Vaillant F, Simpson KJ, Stingl J, Smyth GK, Asselin-Labat ML, et al. Generation of a functional mammary gland from a single stem cell. Nature. 2006;439: 84–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04372 PMID:16397499.
- 43. Dalerba P, Dylla SJ, Park IK, Liu R, Wang X, Cho RW, et al. Phenotypic characterization of human colorectal cancer stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104: 10158–10163. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703478104 PMID:17548814.
- 44. Li C, Heidt DG, Dalerba P, Burant CF, Zhang L, Adsay V, et al. Identification of pancreatic cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. 2007;67: 1030–1037. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2030 PMID:17283135.







