Identification and characterization of 19 predicted Myb-family DNA binding proteins in *Magnaporthe oryzae* concerning growth, conidiation, and pathogenicity

Ya Li^{1*}, Xiuxia Zheng¹, Mengtian Pei¹, Mengting Chen¹, Shengnan Zhang¹, Chenyu Liang¹, Luyao Gao¹, Pin Huang¹, Stefan Olsson^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Ecological Pest Control for Fujian and Taiwan Crops, College of Plant Protection, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China

²Plant Immunity Center, Haixia Institute of Science and Technology, College of Life Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China

*Correspondence:

Ya Li and Stefan Olsson

liya-81@163.com & Stefan@olssonstefan.com

Running title: Function of Myb family genes in *Magnaporthe oryzae*

Keywords: *Magnaporthe oryzae*; Myb; transcription factors; gene deletion; functional characterization

Author contributions:

Ya Li:

Domain prediction and evolutionary analysis, Myb gene deletions, phenotype tests, protein localization, RT-qPCR, data collection and analysis, figure making, manuscript preparation and writing

Xiuxia zheng:

MoMyb13 gene deletion, MoMyb13 mutant phenotype analysis, MoMyb13 protein localization, RT-qPCR of hydrophobin gene

Mengting Chen, Mengtian Pei, Chenyu Liang, Luyao Gao, Pin Huang:

Myb-gene deletions

Shengnan Zhang:

RT-qPCR of all Myb genes, protein localization of 8 Myb genes

Stefan Olsson:

RT-qPCR extended analysis including PCA and clustering, extended analysis of possible roles of Myb13 and Myb15, manuscript preparation, and writing.

Abstract

Genes encoding for proteins containing the DNA binding Myb domain have been suggested to be important in regulating development and stress response in eukaryotes, including fungi.

Magnaporthe oryzae (teleomorph *Pyricularia oryzae*) is considered the most destructive pathogen of rice. We screen the *M. oryzae* genome for all genes encoding proteins containing Myb domains since these genes could be essential during pathogenesis. We found 19 genes *Myb1-19*. Only a few have previously been investigated, and only one has proven to be involved in pathogenesis. We tried to delete the other 18 genes and succeeded with all except 6, five of which could be essential. RT-qPCR showed that all 19 genes are expressed during pathogenesis, although at different levels and with different expression profiles. To our surprise, only deletions of the genes encoding proteins MoMyb2, MoMyb13, and MoMyb15 showed growth, conidiation, and infection phenotypes, indicating that they are essential on their own during infection. This lack of phenotypes for the other mutants surprised us, and we extended the analysis to look for expression co-regulation and found 5 co-regulated groups of predicted proteins with Myb-domains. We point to likely compensatory regulations of the other Myb-family genes hiding the effect of many deletions. Further studies of the Myb-family genes are thus of interest since revealing the functions of these genes with a possible effect on pathogenicity since these could be targets for future measures to control *M. oryzae* in rice.

Introduction

Rice blast, caused by *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Teleomorph *Pyricularia oryzae*), is one of the most destructive diseases on rice worldwide. Each year, this disease leads to estimated economic damage of \$66 billion, which is enough to feed 60 million people (Pennisi, 2010). The disease initiates from the *M. oryzae* conidia contacting to rice surface, then the conidia germinate and develop a structure called appressoria for host penetration. The mature appressoria accumulate high internal turgor pressure (Howard et al., 1991) and mechanically penetrate rice cells by forming a hyphal peg (Kankanala et al., 2007). Once completing colonization, multiple infection hyphae expand intra- and intercellularly in rice tissue, and the typical blast lesions appear in 3 to 5 days (Sakulkoo et al., 2018). New conidia are produced from lesions and released to start new infection cycles. Besides attacking rice, *M. oryzae* can also infect wheat, barley, finger millet, and foxtail millet (Gladioux et al., 2018). Recently, this fungus caused a wheat blast outbreak in Bangladesh and resulted in huge losses (Islam et al., 2016; Malaker et al., 2016). Given the economic importance, genetic tractability, and genome sequence availability, *M. oryzae* has become a model to study the fungal pathogenesis and interaction with host plants (Dean et al., 2005; Ebbale, 2007). Understanding the transcription-factor-mediated cellular or biological processes of *M. oryzae* is beneficial to develop novel and practical strategies to control the blast disease and ensure global food security.

Based on the similarity of the DNA-binding domain, transcription factors are categorized into up to 61 families, including bZIP, bHLH, C2H2 zinc finger, homeobox, Zn2Cys6, Myb, etc. (Verma et al., 2017). The Myb family is one of the largest and most diverse families characterized by a highly conserved Myb DNA-binding domain (Ambawat et al., 2013; Du et al., 2009; Prouse and Campbell, 2012; Roy, 2016; Verma et al., 2017; L. Wang et al., 2018; X. Wang et al., 2018). The Myb domain generally consists of 1 to 3 imperfect amino acid repeats; each repeat contains 50 to 53 amino acids and spatially constitutes a helix turn helix structure. The name Myb domain was acquired from v-Myb, the oncogenic motif of avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV), where it was first discovered (X. Wang et al., 2018). The Myb family is present in all eukaryotes and could thus have more than 1 billion years of evolutionary history (Eme et al., 2017). The Myb family does not have many members comprising 4 to 5 proteins in animals (Prouse and Campbell, 2012). While in plants, the Myb family has expanded to 100 to 200 members (Prouse and Campbell, 2012). The fungal Myb family size is smaller than in plants but more extensive than in animals with 10 to 50 members (Verma et al., 2017). Animal Myb proteins are reported to regulate cell division and a discrete subset of cellular differentiation events (X. Wang et al., 2018). Plant Myb proteins regulate many metabolic, cellular, and developmental processes especially connected to stress responses (Prouse and Campbell, 2012; Roy, 2016). Fungal DNA binding proteins of the Myb family has been implicated to be essential to withstand stresses (Verma et al., 2017; L. Wang et al., 2018) and consequently to play an essential role in the pathogenicity of plant pathogens (Verma et al., 2017), especially during the necrotrophic phase. In *M. oryzae*, 13 Myb family genes were identified (Verma et al., 2017), and we decided to study the Myb family genes to investigate if any of them singly have roles in the pathogenicity of *M. oryzae* on rice.

First, we searched for the Myb-like domains in *M. oryzae*. We found 19 genes containing Myb DNA binding domains, including *Myb1*, previously proven to be involved in pathogenicity (Dong

et al., 2015). We attempted to delete and complement all the remaining 18 genes and succeeded in deleting 12 genes. Only the deletion of 2 of the 12 genes had a strong effect on pathogenicity when deleted singly. The detailed functions of these genes with effect on pathogenicity should further be studied since these could be targets for future measures to control *M. oryzae* in rice.

Methods

1. Organisms used and media used

Magnaporthe oryzae (B. Couch) anamorph of the teleomorph *Pyricularia oryzae* (Cavara) was used for this research. As background *M. oryzae* strain, we used Ku80 to minimize random integration events (Villalba et al., 2008). The susceptible Indica rice (cv. CO-39) and barley (cv. Golden Promise) used for the fungal pathogenicity test were from the seed bank of our laboratory. The CM (complete medium), MM (minimal medium), and RBM (rice bran medium) used for growing the fungus were prepared as described (Li et al., 2019). The *Escherichia coli* strain DH-5 α used for routine bacterial transformations (Li et al., 2015) and maintenance of various plasmid vectors was bought from Solarbio Life Sciences, China.

2. Knockouts, complementations, and verifications

The *Myb* gene deletion vectors were constructed by inserting the 1 kb up-and-down-stream fragments of the target gene's coding region as the flanking regions of the HPH (hygromycin phosphotransferase) gene of the plasmid pBS-HYG (Li et al., 2012). No less than 2 μ g of the deletion vector DNA of the target gene was introduced to Ku80 protoplasts, and transformants were selected for hygromycin resistance to perform gene deletion transformations. Southern blotting was conducted to confirm the correct deletion using the digoxigenin (DIG) high prime DNA labeling and detection starter Kit I (11745832910 Roche Germany). The *Myb* gene complementation vectors were constructed by cloning the entire length of the target gene with the native promoter region (about 1.5-kb) to the pCB1532 plasmid. When making the complementation vector, *GFP* was linked to the C-terminal of the target genes to study the sub-cellular localization of Myb proteins. The constructed vector DNA was introduced into the mutation protoplast for the gene complementation assay, and the transformants were screened using 50 μ g/ml chlorimuron-ethyl to select successful complementation strains. The detailed fungal protoplast preparation and transformation methods have been described previously (Li et al., 2016). All primers needed for these knockouts and complementations are listed (Table S1). The sub-cellular localization of Myb proteins was observed by confocal microscopy (Nikon A1). The excitation wavelength of GFP and RFP were 488 nm and 561 nm, respectively.

3. Colony and growth phenotypes measurements

Vegetative growth was tested by measuring the colony diameter after ten days of growth in 9 cm Petri dishes at 25°C under 12h-to-12h light and dark periods. Conidia production was evaluated by flooding the 12-day-old colony with double distilled water, filtering out the mycelia by gauze, and counting the conidia using a hemacytometer. The conidiophore induction assay was performed by excising one thin agar block from the fungal colony and then incubating it in a sealed chamber for 24 h with constant light (Li et al., 2010b). Mycelia appressoria were induced by placing a suspension of mycelial fragments on a hydrophobic surface in a humid environment

at 25°C for 24h. The pathogenicity assay on live rice was performed by spraying 5 ml conidial suspension (5×10^4 spores/ml) on 15-day-old plants (Li et al., 2014). Post spray, inoculated plants were kept in a sealed chamber with a 90% relative humidity at 25°C for 24 h. Then, the inoculated plants were removed from the chamber to allow disease symptoms to develop for 4-5 days. The pathogenicity assay on excised barley and rice leaves was performed by cutting a small block from the agar culture of the fungus and placing it on excised leaves for five days in a moist chamber for disease development (Li et al., 2010a). The sexual reproduction was performed by crossing the tested strain with the sexually compatible strain TH3 in OM plates and then incubating at 19°C for 30 days with continuous light. The perithecia and clavate asci were photographed by a microscope equipped with a camera (OLYMPUS BX51).

4. RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted using Eastep® Super Total RNA Extraction Kit (Promega (Beijing) Biotechnology, LS1040) to perform RT-qPCR, and 5 mg of RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the Evo M-MLV RT kit with gDNA to clean before the qPCR (Accurate Biotechnology (Hunan), AG11705) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting cDNA was then diluted ten times and used as the template for qPCR. The qPCR reactions were performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System. Each reaction contained 25 µl of SuperRealPreMix Plus SYBR Green (Tiangen Biotechnology, Beijing, FP205-02), one µl of cDNA, and 1.5 µl of each primer solution. The thermal cycling conditions were 15 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 20 s at 60 °C. The threshold cycle (Ct) values were obtained by analyzing amplification curves with a normalized reporter threshold of 0.1. The relative expression value was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

5. PCA analysis and clustering

We used the freeware PAST (Hammer et al., 2001) version 4.08 (released November 2021) for PCA analysis. It is available from the University of Oslo, Natural History Museum <https://www.nhm.uio.no/english/research/infrastructure/past/>. The data was handled and entered in MS Excel and then copy-pasted into PAST for analysis. For the PCA, we used correlation of the data for the different genes since they have different average expression levels. The same data was also presented using the clustering function to present the data in a more standard way. In addition, we normalized the expression for each gene against the average expression of the gene during infection to focus the analysis on the expression profiles at increasing HPis.

Results

1. Myb family domain protein identification in *M. oryzae* and phylogenetic analysis of their relationships

Genes for 19 *Myb* family transcription factors were predicted in *M. oryzae* by Fungal Transcription Factor Database (FTFD, <http://ftfd.snu.ac.kr/index.php?a=view>). One gene was previously reported as MoMyb1 (Dong et al., 2015), and 9 more recently identified as *MoMyb2-10* (Lee et al., 2021) while we were writing up this work. The other 9 are named *MoMyb11* to *19* in this study (Fig. 1). Protein size and domain structure analysis showed that the 19 MoMyb protein sizes vary from the maximum 2305 aa to the minimum 250 aa, and each protein consists of at least 1 Myb domain and up to 3 (Fig. 1A). According to amino acid sequence similarities, the 19 *M. oryzae* Myb domain-containing proteins cluster into four main groups (Fig. 1B). To investigate some of the possible biological functions of MoMyb-proteins and especially if some of the Myb proteins, other than MoMyb1 and MoMyb8 (Dong et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2021), are involved in the pathogenesis of rice in *M. oryzae*, 12 Myb gene deletion mutants (*Δmomyb2*, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 18) were generated and confirmed by Southern blot (Fig S1). Mutants of 5 other *Myb* genes (*MoMyb7*, 11, 12, 17, 19) could not be obtained, even when screened from more than 200 transformants of each gene, containing the gene fragment that potentially can replace the target gene. *MoMyb7* has been deleted before (Lee et al., 2021); even if we could not achieve a mutation for this one, our lack of mutations indicates that *MoMyb17*, 19, 11, and 12 could be essential genes.

2. Only MoMyb2, 13, and 15 are involved in regulating *M. oryzae* growth among the newly found and deleted MoMyb encoding genes,

A growth assay was performed by growing the mutants on three types of media, including complete medium (CM), minimal medium (MM), and rice bran medium (RBM), for ten days (Fig. 2 and S2), to analyze whether Myb-proteins have essential roles in *M. oryzae* growth. The colony diameter was tested to evaluate the radial growth rate on the different media. We found 3 MoMyb mutants lacking proteins MoMyb2, 13, and 15 (*Δmomyb2-101*, *Δmomyb13-11*, and *Δmomyb15-7*) showed significantly decreased colony size on all three media as compared to the background isolate Ku80 and the corresponding gene complementary strains (*Δmomyb2-101/MoMyb2*, *Δmomyb13-11/MoMyb13*, and *Δmomyb15-7/MoMyb15*) (Fig. 2). In contrast, the other 9 Myb mutants showed the same colony size as the Ku80 strain (Fig. S2), suggesting that MoMyb2, 13, and 15 regulate some aspects of *M. oryzae* growth. The growth inhibition rate of these three mutants on different media was also calculated. The result showed that the mutants show different growth inhibition effects on different media. *Δmomyb13-11* showed the highest inhibition on RBM, followed by MM and CK; *Δmomyb2-101* showed the highest inhibition on CM, followed by MM and RBM; *Δmomyb15-7* showed the highest inhibition on MM, followed by on CM and RBM. The found results are probably because the different nutrients of these media exerted different stress on these 3 Myb mutants. This result also implies that MoMyb2, 13, and 15 possibly regulate the fungal responses to different stresses.

3. Only MoMyb13 and 15 appear to be involved in *M. oryzae* pathogenicity on rice

We tested all the 12 *Myb* gene mutations we had achieved for pathogenicity on rice leaves using

conidia inoculation or inoculation with mycelia on agar blocks. Only *Momyb13* and *15* showed reduced pathogenicity when agar block inoculation was used (**Fig. 3 A-D**), and complementations with their respective gene restored pathogenicity. Conidia inoculation could not be used for these two mutants since no conidia were formed (**Fig. 4**). The lack of pathogenicity does not appear to result from defective appressoria formed from the mycelia (**Fig. 3E**). There were no effects on pathogenicity for the other mutants of the remaining 10 *MoMyb* genes we managed to delete (**Fig. S3**).

4. MoMyb13 and MoMyb15 are involved in conidiation, and MoMyb13 regulates hydrophobins

Since conidia formation is essential for the fungus to spread rice blast disease from plant to plant, conidiation was investigated. A conidia induction assay was performed on CM and RBM media to analyze the function of Myb proteins in *M. oryzae* conidiation. Conidia was absent for both mutants, and their respective complementation completely restored conidiation showing that both MoMyb13 and MoMyb15 are necessary for conidiation, at least on these traditional growth media (**Fig. 4A and B**). Small hydrophobic proteins cover aerial mycelia of many ascomycetes, so-called hydrophobins, making aerial hyphae, including conidiophores, hydrophobic (Bayry et al., 2012; Berger and Sallada, 2019). We had noticed that the $\Delta momyb13$ had a more wettable mycelium (**Fig. 5A**) and decided to check if any hydrophobins genes were downregulated in $\Delta momyb13$. It turned out that *MPG1* was strongly downregulated (**Fig. 5B**). We artificially upregulated *MPG1* in the $\Delta momyb13$ (**Fig. 5C**), but this did not restore the pathogenicity (**Fig. 5D**), indicating that MoMyb13 regulates more than hydrophobins necessary for successful conidia production and infection of rice.

5. Sensitivity of Momyb13, Momyb2, and Momyb15 mutants to different stresses

A panel of stresses was used to test if the MoMyb mutants affected growth rates on different media. Complete medium (CM) without additions was used as the control medium (**Fig. 6**). Then the following additions were made to that medium, Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS); anionic Surfactant affect membrane integrity, Congo Red (CR); affect cell wall integrity, Sodium chloride (NaCl); ionic strength, water potential and eventual sodium toxicity, Sorbitol (SOR): osmotic strength and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2); oxidative stress. The MoMyb13 mutant is inhibited by CR and H_2O_2 but stimulated by NaCl and SOR. That can result from a weakened cell wall with less melanization (melanin is an antioxidant) combined with less membrane permeability as compensation for these cell wall weaknesses. Consequently, MoMyb15 and less MoMyb2 mutants are most inhibited by SDS affecting membrane integrity, indicating these two proteins are indeed involved in strengthening the cell wall barrier.

6. The Myb proteins replaced by Myb-GFP localize to the nucleus

All the 18 newly identified Myb-protein-encoding genes were replaced by *GFP*-containing constructs, and the localization of these MoMyb protein GFP-fusions was investigated (**Fig. 7 and S3**). It was confirmed that all genes we got strong enough GFP signal to visualize through confocal microscopy encode for proteins that localize to the nucleus, as expected for DNA binding proteins containing Myb domains. The three genes that showed changed deletion phenotypes were investigated in more detail in a Histone1-RFP background to mark the nucleus (Zhang et al.,

2019). It became evident that the relatively small green “dots” of the MoMyb2-GFP indicate a localization to the nucleolus, where no other of the MoMybs seems to locate specifically (**Fig. 7** and **S3**).

7. Expression of all identified *MoMyb* genes during infection.

All *MoMyb* genes (1-19) were investigated for expression during different HPI, *in vitro* (MY), and in conidia using RT-qPCR. First, expression values were normalized using the beta-tubulin gene as the housekeeping reference gene. The average expression at all the measured HPI was used for normalization to focus on the *in planta* variation over different HPIs and make easier comparisons of genes. Thus, the analysis focuses on each gene’s HPI profiles and makes them comparable. The data was then used to make a PCA analysis of the correlations (**Fig. S5**) shows a PCA bi-plot of the PC1 and PC2 with gene names and variables’ (the HPIs, MY, and Con) contribution to the principal components as vectors. In addition, a minimum spanning tree that is nearly equivalent to clustering is also shown (**Fig. S5**). In principle, the same can be visualized in a cluster plot using neighbor-joining clustering (Gower similarity index and final branch as root) (**Fig. 8**) and also in the table with added expression profiles for each gene (**Table S2**) and also compared with blast similarities (**Table S3**). MoMyb2 and 15 appear to have essential roles in the necrotrophic stage and *in vitro*. On the other hand, MoMyb13 appears to be upregulated and used during the early stage (8h) of plant contact, indicating that it could be a regulator of penetration and establishment in the plant.

MoMyb8 is upregulated both in the early phase and has a peak in the latter and seems to be involved in the light response (Lee et al., 2021). *MoMyb1* has previously been shown to be essential for infection (Dong et al., 2015) and belongs to the regulatory cluster upregulated at 8 HPI. Since we could not find growth or infection phenotypes for more than 3 MoMyb proteins encoded by the newly identified genes, it was expected that some of the *MoMyb* genes are not expressed during plant infection or at all but all were expressed and expressed during different HPIs (**Fig. 8**).

Discussion

The 19 genes predicted to encode Myb domain-containing proteins in *M. oryzae* (MoMyb1-19) by Fungal Transcription Factor Database (FTFD) encodes proteins with very different sizes and content of other domains (**Fig. 1A**). That indicates that they have diverse functions and might not all be traditional transcription factors as often implied since they have a Myb DNA binding domain (Cao et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2015; Du et al., 2009; Dubos et al., 2010; Li et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2015; Roy, 2016; Verma et al., 2017). We found 6 more Myb-domain protein-encoding genes than the 13 *MoMyb* genes identified earlier (Verma et al., 2017) and 9 more than was recently identified (Lee et al., 2021). Interestingly, all 19 MoMyb-protein-encoding genes are expressed at different stages of plant infection (**Fig. 7A**). Thus, it surprised us that the successful deletion of only two of our identified and deleted genes ($\Delta moMyb13$ and $\Delta moMyb15$) had notable effects on plant infection (**Fig. 3**). There are many possible explanations for this. One explanation is that these deleted genes seem not involved in the infection process, as what

seems to be the case for MoMyb8 (Lee et al., 2021). Nevertheless, since all genes are expressed reasonably well in planta at different stages of plant infection (**Table S2**), a more likely explanation is redundancy in function or genetic compensation for most of these gene deletions (El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017). Similarly regulated genes likely create this redundancy or genetic compensation (**Fig. 8, Fig. S4 and Table S2**). These genes are likely collaborating with the deleted genes at different time points or by upregulation of genes with similarity in amino acid sequence since we found the MoMyb-genes (**Fig. 1A**) sorts into 4 clusters. Of course, the 5 genes we could not delete and that have not been deleted before (*momyb11, 12, 14, 17, and 19*) could also have a role in pathogenicity. If these are truly essential, they naturally have a profound effect on pathogenicity since they might be essential for survival also during infection. Interestingly these potentially essential genes are members of different co-regulated clusters identified (**Fig. 8 and Fig. S4**), making it plausible that they could encode for proteins that act together with the other Myb proteins and take over their functions. That would be a type of genetic compensation often found with knockouts (El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017).

The gene encoding a Myb protein that affected both growth and infection phenotypes was *MoMyb13*. This protein is large (**Fig. 1A**) and has no orthologues outside Ascomycota. We investigated it further and found similar large proteins predicted in 19 other published genomes (**Fig. 9**). Three of these hits were other strains of *Pyricularia oryzae* (*M. oryzae* teleomorph) which is not strange. Maybe more interesting, common to all hits, including *M. oryzae*, they are all fungi known to produce melanized hydrophobic structures (Collado et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009; Kokaew et al., 2011; Al-Khawaldeh et al., 2020; Geisen et al., 2021; Sarsaiya et al., 2020; Li et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021) indicating that our results showing a possible involvement of *MoMyb13* in hydrophobin production could be relevant also for these similar proteins in diverse fungi all belonging to the class Sordariomycetes. Interestingly, all these melanized fungi are also known to grow endophytically, as *M. oryzae* do in the first biotrophic stages of infection (Kankanala et al., 2007) when *MoMyb13* is upregulated (**Fig. 8 and Fig. S4**). Whether these genes and gene products have similar functions as in *M. oryzae* is unknown. Future research should investigate whether these genes could complement *MoMyb13* or each other since *MoMyb13* seems very important in the early stages of *M. oryzae* infection of rice.

The other gene that gave apparent phenotypes when deleted was *MoMyb15*, which encodes for an ISW2 like protein potentially involved in gene regulation by regulating the access of transcription factors by binding to DNA and controlling nucleosome positioning. Thus it regulates the access of DNA to other transcription factors and repressors instead of being a transcription factor itself (Fazzio et al., 2005; Hada et al., 2019; Kagalwala et al., 2004). It would be interesting to study this further and focus on which DNA sequences it binds to (ChIP-seq) and the regulatory effect of the deletion on physically adjacent genes in the genome. According to our results (**Fig. 8 and S4 and Table S2**), the *MoMyb15* gene is upregulated at HPs indicative of the transition from biotrophy to necrotrophy (Kankanala et al., 2007), indicating it could be essential for regulating the fungus defenses against plant defenses that are strongly upregulated during the necrotrophic stage (Kou et al., 2019).

Conclusion

The identified 19 Myb-protein encoding genes are differentially expressed during rice infection. However, we replaced Myb-domain encoding genes with Myb-GFP encoding genes and got a

strong enough GFP signal from all encoded proteins localized to the nucleus predicted for Myb-domain-containing proteins. Thus the 19 *Myb* genes have likely many different and overlapping functions and are, in addition, not all encoding classical DNA binding transcription factors (Prouse and Campbell, 2012) as sometimes implicated (Cao et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2015; Du et al., 2009; Dubos et al., 2010; Li et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2015; Roy, 2016; Verma et al., 2017). Deleting the two genes encoding MoMyb13 and MoMyb15 resulted in phenotypes affecting the pathogenicity of the fungus, and the two genes appeared to be most active at different stages of the plant infection. These two genes should be studied in detail in future experiments for different reasons.

MoMyb13 is active during the biotrophic establishment phase. The orthologues of its gene's products are only present in relatively closely related ascomycetes having biotrophic/endophytic relationships with plants, possibly indicating that they are not this gene and its product has some essential function for establishing biotrophic interactions. This gene product could also potentially be targeted by control measures since it does not seem to be widely spread among fungi outside the class Sordariomycetes.

MoMyb15 is an ISW2 type Myb-containing protein conserved in eukaryotes; it affects local heterochromatin formation and gene expression (Fazzio et al., 2005). Thus, we have initiated detailed research on MoMyb15 to investigate if it has a similar function in *M. oryzae*. The other genes encoding proteins with Myb-domains gave no phenotypes or appeared to be essential. However, these genes should be studied using knockdown systems mutation-induced genetic compensation (El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017) or conditional knockdown systems for their possibly essential genes.

Acknowledgments

The strain Ku80 used in this study was obtained initially from Nicholas J. Talbot, University of Exeter, UK. This research was financially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC31871914)

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Domain structure of the identified genes encoding for Myb domain-containing proteins and their phylogenetic relationships. **(A)** Identified conserved domain in the found Myb domain containing proteins. **(B)** Phylogenetic relationship based on similarity of predicted amino acid sequences showed that the 19 proteins could be sorted into 4 groups marked by the color code.

Figure 2. The radial growth rates of *Δmomyb2*, *Δmomyb13*, and *Δmomyb15* are slower than in the background strain Ku80. **(A)** Images of the morphology of the different strains on the three different media, complete medium (CM), minimal medium (MM), and rice bran medium (RBM). **(B)** Measured colony diameter after 10-day incubation in alternating dark/light (12h/12h) at 25 °C. **(C)** Growth inhibition in comparison with the background strain Ku80. Bars indicate SEM and bars with the same letters are not significantly different ($P>0.05$; t-test).

Figure 3. Only MoMyb13 and MoMyb15 appear to be involved in *M. oryzae* pathogenicity on rice as tested by the agar block technique on excised barley and rice leaves since the mutants formed no conidia. **(A)** Test of mutants and background strain on barley leaves. **(B)** Test of mutants and background strain on rice leaves. **(C)** Mycelia appressorium formation from mycelial fragments on a hydrophobic surface.

Figure 4. *Δmomyb13* and *Δmomyb15* form no conidia. **(A)** Conidiophore morphology and conidiation. **(B)** Conidia production on CM and RBM.

Figure 5. Hydrophobin production is affected by the *Δmomyb13* mutation. **(A)** colonies of *Δmomyb13* are easily wettable, while the surface of the background strain (Ku80), the complementation strain, or the *Δmomyb13* strain containing the overexpressed hydrophobin *MPG1* (*Δmomyb13/TrpC-MPG1*) is not easily wettable. **(B)** Expression of 4 hydrophobin genes in *Δmomyb13* showing that *MPG1* is severely downregulated. **(C)** *MPG1* expression in strain Ku80, *Δmomyb13*, and *Δmomyb13/TrpC-MPG1* strains. **(D)** Upregulation of *MPG1* does not restore the pathogenicity of *Δmomyb13*.

Figure 6. The three mutants, *Δmomyb2*, *Δmomyb13*, and *Δmomyb15*, showed reduced stress tolerance compared to the background strain Ku80. **(A)** Colony size and morphology Ku80, the mutants, and the complements growing on CM or CM with additions of SDS, CR, NaCl SOR, or H₂O₂ (see methods) after growth for 10 days in alternating dark/light (12h/12h) at 25°C. **(B)** Same as in **(A)** but showing the average of the replicates. Bars indicate the standard error of the respective means.

Figure 7. All three MoMyb proteins that showed apparent phenotypes when deleted (*Δmomyb13*, *Δmomyb2*, and *Δmomyb15*) localize to conidial, appressorial, and mycelial nuclei as indicated by co-localization with the mCherry labeled nuclear marker MoHis1-mCherry. **(A)** MoMyb13-GFP localizes to nuclei. **(B)** MoMyb2-GFP localizes to nuclei and mainly to what is most probably the nucleoli. **(C)** MoMyb15-GFP localizes to the nuclei.

Figure 8. The different *MoMyb* genes show different expression profiles. The *MoMyb* gene expression profiles cluster into 5 clusters mainly dependent on hours post-infection (HPI) values. This clustering is, in principle, similar to what can be seen in the PCA plot (**Fig. S4**). Transcripts from growth on agar medium (MY), conidia (late in the formation of these), 8 HPI (8h), 24 HPI (24h), 48 HPI (48h), 72 HPI (72h), and 96 HPI (96h). The color code used is the same as in **Fig. 1A** for the phylogeny clusters showing that the regulatory clusters contain sets of genes with little similarity in homology. Ellipses mark the 3 genes with phenotypes different from Ku80 for their respective deletion mutants.

Figure 9. Alignments of highly similar orthologues to the *MoMyb13* protein (E-values less or equal 3E-135 and with more than 70% query cover) (**Table S3**). Data from an NCBI produced alignment.

Figure S1. Southern blot confirmation for all the 12 mutants obtained in this study.

Figure S2. Localization of the *MoMyb*-GFP complements from which we could find strong enough GFP signals.

Figure S3. No change in pathogenicity due to the mutations can be seen for 9 of the 12 mutants tested (**A**) Test of mutants and background strain (Ku80) on barley leaves using the agar block technique (**B**) Test of mutants and background strain (Ku80) conidia sprayed on rice leaves.

Figure S4. The different *MoMyb* genes show different expression profiles visualized by Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Variables were transcription with different treatments and HPis from infected rice leaves, growth on agar medium (MY), conidia (Conidia late), 8 HPI (8h), 24 HPI (24h), 48 HPI (48h), 72 HPI (72h) and 96 HPI (96h). The expression values of the 19 identified genes encoding Myb domain-containing proteins were the objects. The first and second PCA are presented after a PCA based on the correlation of the expression profiles (as shown in **Fig. S5**). The plot is a biplot also showing the loading of the variables in the two PCs. A minimum spanning tree nearly identical to the tree in Fig. 8 joins the most similar genes in all PCs calculated. The color code used for the markers beside the gene names is the same as in **Fig. 1A** for the phylogeny clusters, showing that the regulatory clusters contain sets of genes with little similarity in sequence homology. An X as a marker indicates a gene we could not delete. Boxes mark the 3 genes with noted phenotypes for their deletion mutants.

Table S1. Primers used in this study

Table S2. List of *MoMyb* proteins organized after their expression profile similarities.

Table S3. Similarity of NCBI hit data of highly similar orthologues to *MoMyb13* (E value less or equal 3E-135) With more than 70% query cover.

References

- Al-Khawaldeh, M.M., Araj, S.-E., Alananbeh, K.M., Antary, T.M.A., 2020. WHEAT CULTIVABLE FUNGAL ENDOPHYTES IN JORDAN. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin* 29, 13.
- Bayry, J., Aïmanianda, V., Guijarro, J.I., Sunde, M., Latgé, J.-P., 2012. Hydrophobins—Unique Fungal Proteins. *PLoS Pathog* 8, e1002700. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002700>
- Berger, B.W., Sallada, N.D., 2019. Hydrophobins: multifunctional biosurfactants for interface engineering. *J Biol Eng* 13, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13036-018-0136-1>
- Cao, Y., Li, K., Li, Y., Zhao, X., Wang, L., 2020. MYB Transcription Factors as Regulators of Secondary Metabolism in Plants. *Biology* 9, 61. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology9030061>
- Chen, H., Mao, L., Zhao, N., Xia, C., Liu, J., Kubicek, C.P., Wu, W., Xu, S., Zhang, C., 2021. Verification of TRI3 Acetylation of Trichodermol to Trichodermin in the Plant Endophyte *Trichoderma taxi*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 731425. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.731425>
- Collado, J., Gonzalez, A., Platas, G., Stchigel, A.M., Guarro, J., Pelaez, F., 2002. *Monosporascus ibericus* sp. nov., an endophytic ascomycete from plants on saline soils, with observations on the position of the genus based on sequence analysis of the 18S rDNA. *Mycological Research* 106, 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756201005172>
- Dean, R.A., Talbot, N.J., Ebbole, D.J., Farman, M.L., Mitchell, T.K., Orbach, M.J., Thon, M., Kulkarni, R., Xu, J.-R., Pan, H., Read, N.D., Lee, Y.-H., Carbone, I., Brown, D., Oh, Y.Y., Donofrio, N., Jeong, J.S., Soanes, D.M., Djonovic, S., Kolomiets, E., Rehmeier, C., Li, W., Harding, M., Kim, S., Lebrun, M.-H., Bohnert, H., Coughlan, S., Butler, J., Calvo, S., Ma, L.-J., Nicol, R., Purcell, S., Nusbaum, C., Galagan, J.E., Birren, B.W., 2005. The genome sequence of the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Nature* 434, 980–986. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03449>
- Dong, Y., Zhao, Q., Liu, X., Zhang, X., Qi, Z., Zhang, H., Zheng, X., Zhang, Z., 2015. MoMyb1 is required for asexual development and tissue-specific infection in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *BMC Microbiol* 15, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0375-y>
- Du, H., Zhang, L., Liu, L., Tang, X.-F., Yang, W.-J., Wu, Y.-M., Huang, Y.-B., Tang, Y.-X., 2009. Biochemical and molecular characterization of plant MYB transcription factor family. *Biochemistry Moscow* 74, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0006297909010015>
- Dubos, C., Stracke, R., Grotewold, E., Weisshaar, B., Martin, C., Lepiniec, L., 2010. MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends in Plant Science* 15, 573–581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.06.005>
- Ebbole, D.J., 2007. *Magnaporthe* as a Model for Understanding Host-Pathogen Interactions. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 45, 437–456. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.45.062806.094346>
- El-Brolosy, M.A., Stainier, D.Y.R., 2017. Genetic compensation: A phenomenon in search of mechanisms. *PLoS Genet* 13, e1006780. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006780>
- Eme, L., Spang, A., Lombard, J., Stairs, C.W., Ettema, T.J.G., 2017. Archaea and the origin of eukaryotes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 15, 711–723. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.133>
- Fazio, T.G., Gelbart, M.E., Tsukiyama, T., 2005. Two Distinct Mechanisms of Chromatin Interaction by the Isw2 Chromatin Remodeling Complex In Vivo. *Mol Cell Biol* 25, 9165–9174. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.21.9165-9174.2005>

- Gao, H., Pan, M., Tian, C., Fan, X., 2021. Cytospora and Diaporthe Species Associated With Hazelnut Canker and Dieback in Beijing, China. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 11, 664366. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.664366>
- Geisen, S., Hooven, F.C., Kostenko, O., Snoek, L.B., Putten, W.H., 2021. Fungal root endophytes influence plants in a species-specific manner that depends on plant's growth stage. *J Ecol* 109, 1618–1632. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13584>
- Gladieux, P., Condon, B., Ravel, S., Soanes, D., Maciel, J.L.N., Nhani, A., Chen, L., Terauchi, R., Lebrun, M.-H., Tharreau, D., Mitchell, T., Pedley, K.F., Valent, B., Talbot, N.J., Farman, M., Fournier, E., 2018. Gene Flow between Divergent Cereal- and Grass-Specific Lineages of the Rice Blast Fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *mBio* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01219-17>
- Hada, A., Hota, S.K., Luo, J., Lin, Y., Kale, S., Shaytan, A.K., Bhardwaj, S.K., Persinger, J., Ranish, J., Panchenko, A.R., Bartholomew, B., 2019. Histone Octamer Structure Is Altered Early in ISW2 ATP-Dependent Nucleosome Remodeling. *Cell Reports* 28, 282-294.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.106>
- Hammer, O., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis 4, 9.
- Howard, R.J., Ferrari, M.A., Roach, D.H., Money, N.P., 1991. Penetration of hard substrates by a fungus employing enormous turgor pressures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 88, 11281–11284. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.24.11281>
- Islam, M.T., Croll, D., Gladieux, P., Soanes, D.M., Persoons, A., Bhattacharjee, P., Hossain, Md.S., Gupta, D.R., Rahman, Md.M., Mahboob, M.G., Cook, N., Salam, M.U., Surovy, M.Z., Sancho, V.B., Maciel, J.L.N., NhaniJúnior, A., Castroagudín, V.L., Reges, J.T. de A., Ceresini, P.C., Ravel, S., Kellner, R., Fournier, E., Tharreau, D., Lebrun, M.-H., McDonald, B.A., Stitt, T., Swan, D., Talbot, N.J., Saunders, D.G.O., Win, J., Kamoun, S., 2016. Emergence of wheat blast in Bangladesh was caused by a South American lineage of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *BMC Biol* 14, 84. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0309-7>
- Kagalwala, M.N., Glaus, B.J., Dang, W., Zofall, M., Bartholomew, B., 2004. Topography of the ISW2–nucleosome complex: insights into nucleosome spacing and chromatin remodeling. *EMBO J* 23, 2092–2104. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600220>
- Kankanala, P., Czymmek, K., Valent, B., 2007. Roles for Rice Membrane Dynamics and Plasmodesmata during Biotrophic Invasion by the Blast Fungus. *The Plant Cell* 19, 706–724. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.046300>
- Kokaew, J., Manoch, L., Worapong, J., Chamswarn, C., Singburadom, N., Visarathanonth, N., Piasai, O., Strobel, G., 2011. *Coniochaeta ligniaria* an Endophytic Fungus from *Baeckea frutescens* and Its Antagonistic Effects Against Plant Pathogenic Fungi 44, 9.
- Kou, Y., Qiu, J., Tao, Z., 2019. Every Coin Has Two Sides: Reactive Oxygen Species during Rice–*Magnaporthe oryzae* Interaction. *IJMS* 20, 1191. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20051191>
- Lee, S., Völz, R., Song, H., Harris, W., Lee, Y.-H., 2021. Characterization of the MYB Genes Reveals Insights Into Their Evolutionary Conservation, Structural Diversity, and Functional Roles in *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 721530. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.721530>
- Li, H., Lu, J., Liu, X., Xhang, L., Lin, F., 2012. Vector Building and Usage for Gene Knockout, Protein Expression and Fluorescent Fusion Protein in The Rice Blast Fungus. *Journal of*

- Agricultural Biotechnology 20, 94–104. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-7968.2012.01.013>
- Li, J., Han, G., Sun, C., Sui, N., 2019. Research advances of MYB transcription factors in plant stress resistance and breeding. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 14, 1613131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2019.1613131>
- Li, T., Wang, X., Luo, J., Yang, M., Kong, L., 2016. Antioxidant sordariol dimers from *Sordaria macrospora* and the absolute configuration determinations of their two simultaneous linear 1,2-diols. *Tetrahedron Letters* 57, 2754–2757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.05.014>
- Li, Y., Liang, S., Yan, X., Wang, H., Li, D., Soanes, D.M., Talbot, N.J., Wang, Zonghua, Wang, Zhengyi, 2010a. Characterization of *MoLDB1* Required for Vegetative Growth, Infection-Related Morphogenesis, and Pathogenicity in the Rice Blast Fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *MPMI* 23, 1260–1274. <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-03-10-0052>
- Li, Y., Que, Y., Liu, Y., Yue, X., Meng, X., Zhang, Z., Wang, Z., 2015. The putative Gy subunit gene MGG1 is required for conidiation, appressorium formation, mating and pathogenicity in *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Curr Genet* 61, 641–651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-015-0490-1>
- Li, Y., Yan, X., Wang, H., Liang, S., Ma, W.-B., Fang, M.-Y., Talbot, N.J., Wang, Z.-Y., 2010b. MoRic8 Is a Novel Component of G-Protein Signaling During Plant Infection by the Rice Blast Fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *MPMI* 23, 317–331. <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-23-3-0317>
- Li, Y., Yue, X., Que, Y., Yan, X., Ma, Z., Talbot, N.J., Wang, Z., 2014. Characterisation of Four LIM Protein-Encoding Genes Involved in Infection-Related Development and Pathogenicity by the Rice Blast Fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *PLoS ONE* 9, e88246. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088246>
- Liu, J., Osbourn, A., Ma, P., 2015. MYB Transcription Factors as Regulators of Phenylpropanoid Metabolism in Plants. *Molecular Plant* 8, 689–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.03.012>
- Liu, K., Ding, X., Deng, B., Chen, W., 2009. Isolation and characterization of endophytic taxol-producing fungi from *Taxus chinensis*. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 36, 1171–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-009-0598-8>
- Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. <https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262>
- Malaker, P.K., Barma, N.C.D., Tiwari, T.P., Collis, W.J., Duveiller, E., Singh, P.K., Joshi, A.K., Singh, R.P., Braun, H.-J., Peterson, G.L., Pedley, K.F., Farman, M.L., Valent, B., 2016. First Report of Wheat Blast Caused by *Magnaporthe oryzae* Pathotype *triticum* in Bangladesh. *Plant Disease* 100, 2330–2330. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-16-0666-PDN>
- Pennisi, E., 2010. Armed and Dangerous. *Science* 327, 804–805. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.327.5967.804>
- Prouse, M.B., Campbell, M.M., 2012. The interaction between MYB proteins and their target DNA binding sites. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms* 1819, 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagr.2011.10.010>
- Roy, S., 2016. Function of MYB domain transcription factors in abiotic stress and epigenetic

- control of stress response in plant genome. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 11, e1117723.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1117723>
- Sakulkoo, W., Osés-Ruiz, M., Oliveira Garcia, E., Soanes, D.M., Littlejohn, G.R., Hacker, C., Correia, A., Valent, B., Talbot, N.J., 2018. A single fungal MAP kinase controls plant cell-to-cell invasion by the rice blast fungus. *Science* 359, 1399–1403.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0892>
- Sarsaiya, S., Jain, A., Fan, X., Jia, Q., Xu, Q., Shu, F., Zhou, Q., Shi, J., Chen, J., 2020. New Insights into Detection of a Dendrobine Compound From a Novel Endophytic *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* Strain and Its Toxicity Against Phytopathogenic Bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 337. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00337>
- Verma, S., Gazara, R.K., Verma, P.K., 2017. Transcription Factor Repertoire of Necrotrophic Fungal Phytopathogen *Ascochyta rabiei*: Predominance of MYB Transcription Factors As Potential Regulators of Secretome. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1037.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01037>
- Villalba, F., Collemare, J., Landraud, P., Lambou, K., Brozek, V., Cirer, B., Morin, D., Bruel, C., Beffa, R., Lebrun, M.-H., 2008. Improved gene targeting in *Magnaporthe grisea* by inactivation of MgKU80 required for non-homologous end joining. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 45, 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2007.06.006>
- Wang, L., Gao, W., Wu, X., Zhao, M., Qu, J., Huang, C., Zhang, J., 2018. Genome-Wide Characterization and Expression Analyses of *Pleurotus ostreatus* MYB Transcription Factors during Developmental Stages and under Heat Stress Based on de novo Sequenced Genome. *IJMS* 19, 2052. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19072052>
- Zhang, L., Zhang, D., Chen, Y., Ye, W., Lin, Q., Lu, G., Ebbole, D.J., Olsson, S., Wang, Z., 2019. *Magnaporthe oryzae* CK2 Accumulates in Nuclei, Nucleoli, at Septal Pores and Forms a Large Ring Structure in Appressoria, and Is Involved in Rice Blast Pathogenesis. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* 9, 113. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00113>

Figures

Figure 1.

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure S1

W, Wildtype strain; M1 and M2, 1st and 2nd mutant of the target gene; Ma, Mark.

Figure S2

Figure S3

A

B

Figure S4

Figure S5

Table S1

Table S1. Primers used in this study

Name	Sequences	Application
MGG_01720-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtacctctctgggagaccctgttg	Gene deletion
MGG_01720-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagctttgtggttaggggtcgaa	Gene deletion
MGG_01720-down-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagttgtcactacgtcaggagaga	Gene deletion
MGG_01720-down-R	cgctctagaactagtggatcccgcttacagtcctgttga	Gene deletion
MGG_01012-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccACGGCTTGACGTTACTTGT	Gene deletion
MGG_01012-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttTTGTCGTGAGATCCCTGGT	Gene deletion
MGG_01012-down-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagGTTTGGAACCTTTGATGGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01012-down-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccGGGCTACTTTGACTTTATGT	Gene deletion
pCB1532-MGG_01012-Pro-F	cgctctagaactagtggatccAGGTGGATGATGCGATTGCC	Gene complementation
pCB1532-MGG_01012-EcoR1	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTTTCTTCTGCCCTTGCC	Gene complementation
MGG_00138-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccCGTTGAGCCTGCGGTTTAT	Gene deletion
MGG_00138-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttGAAGGTGCGGTCTGTTGT	Gene deletion
MGG_00138-down-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagTGGCATTGGCGTTTGTGAG	Gene deletion
MGG_00138-down-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccCAGGAGCATTATTGCGTGGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01426-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccGCTGGAGCGGCTTTGTTGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01426-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttTGGAGATGAGCGGCGACTGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01426-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagCAAACGAGAAGAAGGGGAAG	Gene deletion
MGG_01426-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccCGCGACTATGAAAGGAAGCT	Gene deletion
MGG_08095-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccGAACAAAGTTGAAAAGAGCG	Gene deletion
MGG_08095-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttAAATCCTGGATAATCGAGCA	Gene deletion
MGG_08095-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagTATAATTCATGAGCCACCT	Gene deletion
MGG_08095-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccCTATTCTCTCCCTTTGCT	Gene deletion
MGG_02746-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccGTAAGTAAGGTGTAGGAGCG	Gene deletion
MGG_02746-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttCGACTAATAAGAAAGCGGAG	Gene deletion
MGG_02746-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagTGGGGAGAACCTTTGTGTGA	Gene deletion
MGG_02746-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccTTGAGGGTCAGGGTTGAGGA	Gene deletion
pCB1532-MG02746- BamH1-F	cgctctagaactagtggatccCCGTAGTCAATTGTGTCGC	Gene complementation
pCB1532-MG02746-EcoR1-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTTTCTGCTGTCGCTAGAAGC	Gene complementation
MGG_03899-UP-F:	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccCAAATGAAGCAGCGACAGC	Gene deletion
MGG_03899-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttACCATAGAAATTACAGGAA	Gene deletion
MGG_03899-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagGTTATATGGTGTTTAGGAG	Gene deletion
MGG_03899-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccGGAAGTTGTTGAATTTAGTG	Gene deletion
MGG_10426-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccGAATAACAACCAACTCTCC	Gene deletion
MGG_10426-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttCATAAAATCCGTTTCTCAGC	Gene deletion
MGG_10426-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagGCTTTGCTCGGTGTTGATT	Gene deletion
MGG_10426-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtggatccCTTTGGTTGATGCCTCTGT	Gene deletion
pCB1532-MGG_10426-BamH1-F	cgctctagaactagtggatccAACAGCCTACGACATCCCAAG	Gene complementation
pCB1532-MGG_10426-EcoR1-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcCTGCTCGATCGTCATTGACT	Gene complementation
MGG_05099-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctggtaccAATGCTTCTCGTATTTCGG	Gene deletion
MGG_05099-UP-R:	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttTGGTAGTCGTGTAGCTGTTT	Gene deletion
MGG_05099-DW-F	cggggaaccagttaacctgcagTCGGGCGTGACTAGGGATA	Gene deletion

MGG_05099-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccCAAACCTTGGAAAGTTTGGC	Gene deletion
MGG_05945-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccGTTGGGGTTTTGGTTGTTT	Gene deletion
MGG_05945-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttGTTTGGCACTCTCGTCTTA	Gene deletion
MGG_05945-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagCGCACCTGACCCGAAACAAC	Gene deletion
MGG_05945-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccTCCTCATGCCGACAAATGAA	Gene deletion
MGG_05240-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccTGCTGCTTCAATCTTGTTT	Gene deletion
MGG_05240-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttGATGGGCGTGATGCTCCGTG	Gene deletion
MGG_05240-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagCACATGAATCATCCACACC	Gene deletion
MGG_05240-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccCAAAGCAACAGTCACTACCG	Gene deletion
MGG_06120-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccGGGCAGCCTGCTCCACGAGT	Gene deletion
MGG_06120-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttATGGGAAGATGGGCGATTTT	Gene deletion
MGG_06120-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagGTCCGTGCTGTCCGTCTCT	Gene deletion
MGG_06120-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccCGCGGTGCAGCTGATTTTTT	Gene deletion
MGG_01133-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccAGTTCATCTTGCTTGGGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01133-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttCGTTGGTGTGTACCTTTTGG	Gene deletion
MGG_01133-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagCACTTTTTTATACTGCGCT	Gene deletion
MGG_01133-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccATCTTTACCTGTTACGACC	Gene deletion
pCB1532-MGG_01133-BamH1-F	cgctctagaactagtgatccGGAGAATAGCGGCTAGACCT	Gene complementation
pCB1532-MGG_01133-EcoR1-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattCTCTGATTGCCGAGTTAGG	Gene complementation
MGG_06434-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccggaagcatttctctctg	Gene deletion
MGG_06434-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagctttaaagggttctggtattg	Gene deletion
MGG_06434-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagcgcaaaaaaacgagctca	Gene deletion
MGG_06434-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccgcgtgtgtggtgttgatg	Gene deletion
MGG_05748-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccctggggctaatttgattg	Gene deletion
MGG_05748-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttactcgcgttctccgaatag	Gene deletion
MGG_05748-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagtggtgacgaatgtctgtgt	Gene deletion
MGG_05748-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccggacatgtacggcaaggatt	Gene deletion
MGG_01130-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtacctcacccccagtcacacta	Gene deletion
MGG_01130-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttttctgcgcgtgtttttaa	Gene deletion
MGG_01130-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagccgcatagatgatttgat	Gene deletion
MGG_01130-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccctggaggaaatgaagttgc	Gene deletion
MGG_08137-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccaggagcagttcagttgtgg	Gene deletion
MGG_08137-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttaaagcttgagaagcaggaa	Gene deletion
MGG_08137-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagggccggttttacaatgcta	Gene deletion
MGG_08137-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccctggcgacaaaaagacaaaaca	Gene deletion
MGG_14558-UP-F	aagggaacaaaagctgggtaccATGTGCGTACCTATTGCAACCT	Gene deletion
MGG_14558-UP-R	tcagttaacgtcgacaagcttGGTACCGGTCACGATCATATA	Gene deletion
MGG_14558-DW-F	cgggaaccagttaacctgcagAGGAAAGGAAAGTACTTGATGG	Gene deletion
MGG_14558-DW-R	cgctctagaactagtgatccAAGTCTTGGGTAGTCGAGCTT	Gene deletion
pCB1532-MGG_14558-BamH1-F	cgctctagaactagtgatccACAGCCAACATCATGCAAGACA	Gene complementation
pCB1532-MGG_14558-EcoR1-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattTCGCCGTATCGATCCCG	Gene complementation
MGG_00138-XbaI-F	tacccaagcatccaatctagaATGCTCAAGAAGAAAGGCGCC	Protein localization
MGG_00138-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcACCACCAATCCCAACAAATCG	Protein localization
MGG_01426-XbaI-F	tacccaagcatccaatctagaATGCTGTCTGTCAAAGGAG GG	Protein localization
MGG_01426-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTGGTACCCATTAGTAACACAG	Protein localization
MGG_08985-XbaI-F	tacccaagcatccaatctagaATGACTTCACTCGACGTCCG C	Protein localization

MGG_08985-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcACGTTTCTGTCTCTTGCCCTTG	Protein localization
MGG_08137-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGGCCCCGGCAAGGAC	Protein localization
MGG_08137-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTGCTTCGCCTCCAACCTTG	Protein localization
MGG_03899-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGGCTGAGATGTCGCTAGGC	Protein localization
MGG_03899-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcCCCGGTTGCTTTGGCAAG	Protein localization
MGG_06434-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGAAGTGGATGCGGACAGACT	Protein localization
MGG_06434-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTTCGGCAGGCCTTCCAGG	Protein localization
MGG_05099-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGGGTGTCATACGAAAAAGACA	Protein localization
MGG_05099-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcAGCCTTGCCAACCCATCC	Protein localization
MGG_06898-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGACTCTACCCATTTCGCCAA	Protein localization
MGG_06898-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcGTTTCATGATGGAGGCGATCG	Protein localization
MGG_05945-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGCCCAAATCACGCGA	Protein localization
MGG_05945-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcGACGCCGTGGTGTCCAGA	Protein localization
MGG_05240-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGAGCAGCCACTCGGGG	Protein localization
MGG_05240-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTAAGGGACTTCCAAGTGGATGTC	Protein localization
MGG_06120-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGGCATCAAGATGGAATCTTCG	Protein localization
MGG_06120-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcCAAGGGTAGGAGATCCTCAACTGC	Protein localization
MGG_01130-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGTCTCCGATGCACATGAGC	Protein localization
MGG_01130-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcTGCGCCAACCTTTCCTC	Protein localization
MGG_05748-XbaI-F	taccaagcatccaatctagaATGCTTCTCCCCTCTGCAGTC	Protein localization
MGG_05748-EcoRI-R	gcccttgctcaccatgaattcCCTGGACTTTGCTAGACGAGCT	Protein localization
MGG_01720-F	cttgaccgtctggccttag	RT-qPCR
MGG_01720-R	tggtcacatctgtgccatt	RT-qPCR
MGG_01012-F	ccaaagaggtaaacccaaa	RT-qPCR
MGG_01012-R	ccttgcggtagaagcagtc	RT-qPCR
MGG_00138-F	cagcgcaagactccacagta	RT-qPCR
MGG_00138-R	atgctcgtgattgagcctct	RT-qPCR
MGG_01426-F	gagaggaggagctggaggat	RT-qPCR
MGG_01426-R	cgagtaagagccaaccaagc	RT-qPCR
MGG_08095-F	gtttcattccagaccgact	RT-qPCR
MGG_08095-R	ttcgtaatagctgctgctg	RT-qPCR
MGG_08137-F	acgtcaccaacgctttctct	RT-qPCR
MGG_08137-R	tcgtttacgacgatgctgag	RT-qPCR
MGG_02746-F	gcagctaccacttcgcctac	RT-qPCR
MGG_02746-R	gtgtccggctgagaatcat	RT-qPCR
MGG_03899-F	gccaagaacgggatatctga	RT-qPCR
MGG_03899-R	ctgctgccttgctctatcc	RT-qPCR
MGG_10426-F	ggatgtcgagtttgagggt	RT-qPCR
MGG_10426-R	tcgaggaggtcttctaggtga	RT-qPCR
MGG_06434-F	tggttgacctgagatgcag	RT-qPCR
MGG_06434-R	cagtgcgagctcatagaaa	RT-qPCR
MGG_05099-F	tcctgatcagacccagacc	RT-qPCR
MGG_05099-R	tccttgagcaactgctcct	RT-qPCR
MGG_06898-F	gacacctgcctccaattgtt	RT-qPCR
MGG_06898-R	ctcgtgatgcgctttgtcta	RT-qPCR

MGG_05945-F	actcgagcgcagtttacgat	RT-qPCR
MGG_05945-R	gcactgatacctgcagacga	RT-qPCR
MGG_05240-F	caaagaccagaggacacaa	RT-qPCR
MGG_05240-R	gacctccaggggaagaactc	RT-qPCR
MGG_06120-F	gacggttgagactggtggtt	RT-qPCR
MGG_06120-R	aagtctgcgtccacttcac	RT-qPCR
MGG_01130-F	ccatgaatctcgttccgtct	RT-qPCR
MGG_01130-R	ctgttggtgacatgatgct	RT-qPCR
MGG_01133-F	gctgaagagcacatgctgaa	RT-qPCR
MGG_01133-R	gcttttcacactccctcg	RT-qPCR
MGG_05748-F	aacaacaacaacggccaact	RT-qPCR
MGG_05748-R	tttgtgtgcttttctgtc	RT-qPCR
MGG_14558-F	tcgatagagcatcccgtacc	RT-qPCR
MGG_14558-R	atctggtgaattgggtctcg	RT-qPCR
MGG_00138-TZ-F	ACAGTGGCACGAGAGGTGGT	Southern blot probe
MGG_00138-TZ-R	CGCATGTAGTCGAAGAGATAGAT	Southern blot probe
MGG_01012-TZ-F	gactttcgggacaagatgga	Southern blot probe
MGG_01012-TZ-R	acacccatcgcgaatagaac	Southern blot probe
MGG_01130-TZ-F	tctgcacgttcgtaggttag	Southern blot probe
MGG_01130-TZ-R	cgtcctcaggggtttgacat	Southern blot probe
MGG_01133-TZ-F	tctgcacgttcgtaggttag	Southern blot probe
MGG_01133-TZ-R	cgtcctcaggggtttgacat	Southern blot probe
MGG_01426-TZ-F	cgcgtttctcaaagactcc	Southern blot probe
MGG_01426-TZ-R	aaagtggggacaaacgtcac	Southern blot probe
MGG_01720-TZ-F	TGTTGGAAAGAGCGGGAGCA	Southern blot probe
MGG_01720-TZ-R	AAGCGTGGATTGGCGAGATA	Southern blot probe
MGG_02746-TZ-F	GCCAAGCGGGACCTTACAAT	Southern blot probe
MGG_02746-TZ-R	GGAGGAGCCATCACGAGAATA	Southern blot probe
MGG_05099-TZ-F	AAGTGGGCTGGATGTTGTTT	Southern blot probe
MGG_05099-TZ-R	CTTGGTAGTCGTGTAGCTGTTTAA	Southern blot probe
MGG_05240-TZ-F	gcagcatgtgtcgatgattt	Southern blot probe
MGG_05240-TZ-R	actgtttacgagggaagga	Southern blot probe
MGG_05945-TZ-F	ctcttgatgaccaccact	Southern blot probe
MGG_05945-TZ-R	caaatgaaaggggtttcacg	Southern blot probe
MGG_08095-TZ-F	GAGTAGAACAAAGTTGAAAAGAGCG	Southern blot probe
MGG_08095-TZ-R	CTGGGGAGTAATTGGGAGGA	Southern blot probe
MGG_10426-TZ-F	gacgatgacgacgaagatca	Southern blot probe
MGG_10426-TZ-R	gtctttccaagaaggcaag	Southern blot probe
MGG_14558-TZ-F	TGCGTGTTTAGAGCTTGTGC	Southern blot probe
MGG_14558-TZ-R	ATTGGCGTGCCTTTTGGT	Southern blot probe

Table S2

	Myb	Deleted	MY	Con	8hpi	24hpi	48hpi	72hpi	96hpi	Profile		
MGG_01720	Myb17	0	0.29377	2.42739	0.87019	0.43711	2.6996	1.5613	1.12981		48-96HPI and MY	
MGG_00138	Myb16	X	0.26869	3.17626	0.40819	0.69448	4.62889	1.88862	1.59181
MGG_15357	Myb12	0	0.11866	4.32665	0.50869	0.39091	1.63571	1.67785	1.49131
MGG_10426	Myb2	X	0.13445	2.89151	0.53407	0.29494	2.832	0.87167	1.46593
MGG_01012	Myb15	X	0.15935	2.26624	0.7686	0.41188	1.68994	1.141	1.2314
MGG_01426	Myb4	X	0.05159	1.40114	0.1297	0.21713	0.86051	0.74395	1.8703		Conidia late (formation)	
MGG_01133	Myb5	X	0.10831	5.86972	0.12101	0.09898	0.65065	0.8766	1.87899
MGG_01130	Myb6	X	0.08542	0.17164	0.18395	0.54365	1.80768	0.4777	1.81605
MGG_05748	Myb10	X	0.14046	6.18289	0.67901	0.63356	0.66202	0.41418	1.32099
MGG_05240	Myb9	X	0.03941	0.3715	0.82057	1.73475	1.53485	1.02202	1.17943		24HPI	
MGG_06120	Myb19	0	0.23444	0.42749	0.66767	1.90164	0.62877	0.72898	1.33233
MGG_08095	Myb9	X	0.36388	1.46227	1.18499	0.7764	0.5427	0.47904	0.81501		Little regulation	
MGG_05099	Myb12	X	0.20749	1.79146	1.05538	0.33089	0.2874	0.60759	0.94462
MGG_03899	Myb14	X	0.08897	1.09643	1.66564	0.22732	0.33903	0.14622	0.33436		8HPI	
MGG_06898	Myb1	X	0.00636	0.37845	1.96182	0.83833	0.0172	0.02004	0.03818
MGG_02746	Myb7	0	0.19945	2.77815	1.59925	0.27626	0.07351	0.3101	0.40075
MGG_08137	Myb3	X	0.08723	0.8337	1.36378	0.8053	0.23667	0.5252	0.63622
MGG_05945	Myb8	X	0.02566	0.07209	1.07608	1.00636	0.14351	0.52577	0.92392
MGG_14558	Myb13	X	0.10274	2.97662	1.23138	0.68951	0.91194	0.45073	0.76862

Table S3

Sequences producing significant alignments with more than 70 percent cover:

Sequences	Description	Scientific Name	Max Score	Total Score	Query Cover	E value	Per. Ident	Acc. Len	Accession
Select seq ref XP_003709785.1	uncharacterized protein MGG_14558 [Pyricularia oryzae 70-15]	Pyricularia oryzae 70-15	4526	4526	100%	100%	0	2305XP_003709785.1	
Select seq gb ELQ36651.1	hypothetical protein OOU_Y34scaffold00649g34 [Pyricularia oryzae Y34]	Pyricularia oryzae Y34	4510	4510	100%	100%	0	2615ELQ36651.1	
Select seq gb QBZ54440.1	hypothetical protein PoMZ_10140 [Pyricularia oryzae]	Pyricularia oryzae	4444	4444	100%	100%	0	2632QBZ54440.1	
Select seq gb ELO68318.1	hypothetical protein OOW_P131scaffold00255g20 [Pyricularia oryzae P131]	Pyricularia oryzae P131	4404	4404	100%	100%	0	2571ELO68318.1	
Select seq gb ROW02559.1	hypothetical protein VPNG_07863 [Cytospora leucostoma]	Cytospora leucostoma	555	681	90%	90%	7.00E-158	2285ROW02559.1	
Select seq gb KUI62100.1	hypothetical protein VP1G_09221 [Valsa mali var. pyri]	Valsa mali var. pyri	530	659	86%	86%	2.00E-149	2284KUI62100.1	
Select seq gb OIW27663.1	hypothetical protein CONLIGDRAFT_682689 [Coniochaeta ligniaria NRRL 30616]	Coniochaeta ligniaria NRRL 30616	614	723	82%	82%	5.00E-178	2253OIW27663.1	
Select seq gb KAB558523.1	hypothetical protein GE09DRAFT_29357 [Coniochaeta sp. 2T2.1]	Coniochaeta sp. 2T2.1	579	690	78%	78%	4.00E-166	2211KAB558523.1	
Select seq gb KAA8631205.1	hypothetical protein SMACR_04153 [Sordaria macrospora]	Sordaria macrospora	506	782	78%	78%	3.00E-141	2610KAA8631205.1	
Select seq gb KAH7635984.1	hypothetical protein B0T09DRAFT_31245 [Sordaria sp. MPI-SDFR-AT-0083]	Sordaria sp. MPI-SDFR-AT-0083	506	781	78%	78%	5.00E-141	2687KAH7635984.1	
Select seq gb TRX97365.1	hypothetical protein FHL15_001643 [Xylaria flabelliformis]	Xylaria flabelliformis	535	609	77%	77%	4.00E-152	2024TRX97365.1	
Select seq gb RYP84680.1	hypothetical protein DL769_001114 [Monosporascus sp. CRB-8-3]	Monosporascus sp. CRB-8-3	604	664	76%	76%	7.00E-176	2020RYP84680.1	
Select seq gb RYP88518.1	hypothetical protein DL770_004599 [Monosporascus sp. CRB-9-2]	Monosporascus sp. CRB-9-2	598	652	76%	76%	2.00E-173	2035RYP88518.1	
Select seq ref XP_030990550.1	uncharacterized protein E0L32_009657 [Phialemoniopsis curvata]	Phialemoniopsis curvata	531	934	75%	75%	5.00E-151	2017XP_030990550.1	
Select seq ref XP_024511445.1	uncharacterized protein SMAC_04153 [Sordaria macrospora k-hell]	Sordaria macrospora k-hell	508	780	73%	73%	1.00E-141	2675XP_024511445.1	
Select seq gb KAH6848250.1	hypothetical protein B0I37DRAFT_159719 [Chaetomium sp. MPI-CAGE-AT-0009]	Chaetomium sp. MPI-CAGE-AT-0009	509	768	72%	72%	2.00E-143	2000KAH6848250.1	
Select seq gb RYP0937.1	hypothetical protein DL764_004149 [Monosporascus ibericus]	Monosporascus ibericus	484	692	71%	71%	3.00E-135	2014RYP0937.1	