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Supporting Figure 1. Quenching trajectories during light fluctuations in npq4lhcsr1 and its control strain. The response of NPQ (A-D, measured by PAM) and average Chl fluorescence lifetime (E-F, measured by TCSPC) were monitored in npq4lhcsr1 mutant and its control strain (red and blue curves respectively) during 40 minutes of light fluctuations with periods of 1, 2, 4 and 10 minutes (A/E, B/F, C/G and D/H respectively) as described in Fig. 1 of the main text. The solid lines represent the average of three biological replicates. Shaded regions represent standard deviation. For TCSPC data, each biological replicate was averaged from three technical replicates.
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Supporting Figure 2. Quenching trajectories during light fluctuations in lhcsr1 and npq4. The response of NPQ (A-D, measured by PAM) and average Chl fluorescence lifetime (E-F, measured by TCSPC) were monitored in Ihcsr1 and npq4 (purple and orange curves respectively) during 40 minutes of light fluctuations with periods of $1,2,4$ and 10 minutes (A/E, B/F, C/G and $\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{H}$ respectively) as described in Fig. 1 of the main text. The solid lines represent the average of three biological replicates. Shaded regions represent standard deviation. For TCSPC data, each biological replicate was averaged from three technical replicates.


Supporting Figure 3: Independent biological replicates of 77K fluorescence emission spectra for WT and npq4lhcsr1. Within each graph, the spectra colored in blue, orange, and gray represent those taken at 0 min (far-red acclimated), 10 min (HL-exposed cells), and 20 min (dark-exposed cells) timepoints, respectively. Samples were taken under PAM conditions. [supports Fig. 4 of main text]


Supporting Figure 4: Representative 77K fluorescence emission spectra for stt7 and npq4stt7 strains. For each strain, the spectra colored in blue, orange, and gray represent those taken at 0 min (far-red acclimated), 10 min (HL-exposed cells), and 20 min (dark-exposed cells) timepoints, respectively. Samples were taken under TCSPC conditions. [supports Fig. 4 of main text]
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Supporting Figure 5. Quenching trajectories during light fluctuations in stt7 and stt7npq4. The response of NPQ (A-D, measured by PAM) and average Chl fluorescence lifetime (E-F, measured by TCSPC) were monitored in stt7 and stt7npq4 (green and magenta curves respectively) during 40 minutes of light fluctuations with periods of $1,2,4$ and 10 minutes (A/E, B/F, C/G and $\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{H}$ respectively) as described in Fig. 1 of the main text. The solid lines represent the average of three biological replicates. Shaded regions represent standard deviation. For TCSPC data, each biological replicate was averaged from three technical replicates.


Supporting Figure 6. Maximum quenching envelopes defined by the maximum values of NPQ (measured by PAM, A-D) or NPQ $\tau$ (measured by TCSPC, E-H) during every high light period for WT (blue) and stt7 (green). Solid lines denote the experimental data points, while dashed lines above and below the curves show the addition and subtraction of the experimental standard deviation. Note that when comparing the envelopes between the two measurement techniques, there are qualitative differences in the envelope structure. In the case of the PAM measurements, WT shows a maximum NPQ value that gradually increases as light fluctuations progress (due to activation of $q T$ as the experiment progresses), while stt7 shows no such increase (due to the absence of qT ). For TCSPC measurements, WT shows a maximum NPQt value that is relatively flat as light fluctuations progress (includes the effects of qT), while stt7 shows a slightly decreasing quenching envelope (due to the absence of qT).


Supporting Figure 7: Kinetics of qT in STT7-containing lines, measured by TCSPC. Following 15 min of far-red acclimation, cells were exposed to 10 min of HL followed by 30 min of darkness. WT is shown in blue, npq4/hcsr1 in red. Each lifetime trajectory is the average of 3 biological replicates where each biological replicate is average of 3 technical replicates. Dashed horizontal lines highlight the extent of the decreasing lifetime observed upon HL-to-dark transition. Note that after 10-15 min of quenching (evidenced by the decreasing average fluorescence lifetimes in each strain), the quenching then begins to turn off and eventually returns to the starting lifetime.


Supporting Figure 8. Distribution of replicate measurements for the contribution of each protein to overall WT NPQ as a function of fluctuating light sequence. Each data point represents the percentage of the WT NPQ that is lost in each mutant following integration of the trajectories of NPQ (measured by PAM, circles) or NPQt (measured by TCSPC, triangles). The contribution of each protein is colored according to the respective mutant that was used for quantification relative to WT (red, LHCSRs from npq4lhcsr1; purple, LHCSR1 from Ihcsr1; orange, LHCSR3 from npq4; green, STT7 from stt7). Within each colored cluster, the triangles represent the 3 biological replicates measured by TCSPC (each biological replicate is the average of 3 technical replicates) and the circles represent the 3 biological replicates measured by PAM. The colored horizontal lines represent the average of all TCSPC and PAM data points for each protein.



| HL Contributions | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | $91 \pm 5 \%$ | $91 \pm 4 \%$ | $93 \pm 10 \%$ | $100 \pm 15 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| LHCSR1 | $22 \pm 12 \%$ | $24 \pm 10 \%$ | $27 \pm 13 \%$ | $23 \pm 30 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| LHCSR3 | $83 \pm 4 \%$ | $82 \pm 4 \%$ | $82 \pm 5 \%$ | $74 \pm 13 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| STT7 | $42 \pm 17 \%$ | $33 \pm 20 \%$ | $43 \pm 15 \%$ | $28 \pm 21 \%$ | $36 \%$ |


| Dark Contributions | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | $83 \pm 10 \%$ | $62 \pm 17 \%$ | $49 \pm 28 \%$ | $34 \pm 56 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| LHCSR1 | $22 \pm 13 \%$ | $15 \pm 17 \%$ | $11 \pm 33 \%$ | $8 \pm 35 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| LHCSR3 | $74 \pm 10 \%$ | $65 \pm 16 \%$ | $38 \pm 25 \%$ | $17 \pm 21 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| STT7 | $61 \pm 7 \%$ | $58 \pm 6 \%$ | $58 \pm 17 \%$ | $65 \pm 19 \%$ | $60 \%$ |


| Ratio HL/Dark | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | 1.09 | 1.43 | 1.91 | 2.99 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 6}$ |
| LHCSR1 | 0.99 | 1.66 | 2.41 | 2.87 | 1.98 |
| LHCSR3 | 1.13 | 1.26 | 2.19 | 4.48 | 2.27 |
| STT7 | 0.68 | 0.57 | 0.73 | 0.43 | 0.60 |
| Difference HL -Dark |  |  |  |  |  |
| LHCSRs | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| Ratio >1: larger role in HL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio larger role in Dark |  |  |  |  |  |
| LHCSR1 | $7.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| LHCSR3 | $-0.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| STT7 | $9.3 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Supporting Figure 9. Distribution of replicate measurements for the contribution of each protein to WT NPQ during HL (A) or dark (B) as a function of fluctuating light sequence. The tables below show the ratio of the contribution of each protein during HL to dark (obtained by dividing the two values) or the difference in contribution in HL and dark (obtained by subtracting the two values). All other details are as described in Supp Fig. $\mathbf{8}$.



| Early Contributions | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | $93 \pm 7 \%$ | $87 \pm 5 \%$ | $90 \pm 10 \%$ | $81 \pm 22 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| LHCSR1 | $17 \pm 15 \%$ | $23 \pm 14 \%$ | $25 \pm 19 \%$ | $28 \pm 31 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| LHCSR3 | $83 \pm 5 \%$ | $81 \pm 4 \%$ | $80 \pm 8 \%$ | $63 \pm 14 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| STT7 | $42 \pm 16 \%$ | $35 \pm 19 \%$ | $42 \pm 12 \%$ | $36 \pm 22 \%$ | $39 \%$ |


| Late Contributions | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | $85 \pm 8 \%$ | $81 \pm 9 \%$ | $75 \pm 18 \%$ | $72 \pm 27 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 8} \%$ |
| LHCSR1 | $26 \pm 11 \%$ | $21 \pm 8 \%$ | $23 \pm 14 \%$ | $12 \pm 34 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| LHCSR3 | $79 \pm 6 \%$ | $75 \pm 6 \%$ | $66 \pm 10 \%$ | $52 \pm 18 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| STT7 | $50 \pm 11 \%$ | $41 \pm 14 \%$ | $51 \pm 16 \%$ | $41 \pm 22 \%$ | $46 \%$ |


| Ratio Early/Late | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHCSRs | 1.10 | 1.07 | 1.20 | 1.12 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ |
| LHCSR1 | 0.65 | 1.10 | 1.07 | 2.43 | 1.31 |
| LHCSR3 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.14 |
| STT7 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference Early-Late | $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0}$ | AVERAGE |
| LHCSRs | $8.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| RHCSR1 | $-9.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Ratio $>1:$ larger role early |  |  |  |  |  |
| LHCSR3 | $3.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| STT7 | $-7.7 \%$ | $-6.3 \%$ | $-9.0 \%$ | $-5.0 \%$ | $-\mathbf{7 \%}$ |

Supporting Figure 10. Distribution of replicate measurements for the contribution of each protein to WT NPQ during the early portion ( $0-20 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~A}$ ) or during the later portion of the experiment $(20-40 \mathrm{~min}, \mathbf{B})$ as a function of fluctuating light sequence. The tables below show the ratio of the contribution of each protein during HL to dark (obtained by dividing the two values) or the difference in contribution in HL and dark (obtained by 10 subtracting the two values). All other details are as described in Supp Fig. 8.


Supporting Figure 11. Growth of cells under continuous LL ( 50 uE ) or HL ( 400 uE ) conditions. All other details are as described in Fig. 7 of the main text and in the Methods. [supports Fig. 7 of main text]


Supporting Figure 12. Analysis of the kinetics associated with the decrease in NPQ (measured by PAM, A-D) or NPQt (measured by TCSPC, E-H) during every high light ( HL ) period for the WT strain. Within each HL period, the maximum value of NPQ (or NPQt) and all subsequent data points after the maximum are shown (blue circles). Superimposed are solid black line segments. These lines segments have identical length and slope for panels A-D (PAM) and E-H (TCSPC). The line segments adequately capture the decrease of NPQ or NPQ in each HL period, corresponding to approximate rates of 0.3 units of NPQ per minute (A-D, PAM) and 0.2 units of NPQ per minute ( $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$, TCSPC). As the fluctuating light period increases, a larger extent of the decrease in both NPQ and NPQ is observed.


Supporting Figure 13. Comparison of integration results for $C$. reinhardtii cells (left) or $A$. thaliana leaves (right) exposed to $2 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{HL}-2 \mathrm{~min}$ dark fluctuating actinic light sequence. The quantification of the amount of NPQt remaining in each mutant lacking pH -sensing protein ( $C$. reinhardtii LHCSR1 and LHCSR3; A. thaliana PsbS) is shown. Both were measured by TCSPC. The C. reinhardtii data is taken from Fig. $\mathbf{2}$ of the main text. The A. thaliana data was derived from a previous paper published by our groups (Steen et al JPCB 2020).
[supports discussion section of main text]


Supporting Figure 14. Immunodetection of LHCSRs in mutants and their control strain. Cells were harvested before the measurements described in Fig. 1. Immunoanalysis of LHCSRs proteins was carried out using a previously described LHCSRs antibody (Richard et al, 2000). A tubilin antibody (Agrisera XXX) was used as a loading control.

