HDX-MS performed on BtuB in E. coli outer membranes delineates the luminal domain's allostery and unfolding upon B12 and TonB binding

Adam M. Zmyslowski¹, Michael C. Baxa¹, Isabelle A. Gagnon¹, Tobin R. Sosnick¹⁻³

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, ²Prizker School for Molecular Engineering,

³Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637

*Tobin R. Sosnick

Email: trsosnic@uchicago.edu

Author Contributions: AMZ and TRS designed experiments and AMZ, MB, and TRS wrote the manuscript. AMZ prepared outer membrane samples, performed the experiments, and analyzed the results. MB assisted in measurements, data analysis and visualizations and maintained the mass spectrometry system. AMZ and IG cloned and expressed protein samples.

Competing Interest Statement: No competing interests to disclose.

Classification: Biological sciences, Biochemistry

Keywords: Mass spectrometry, hydrogen exchange, TonB-dependent transporters, allostery, outer membranes

This PDF file includes:

Main Text

Figures 1 to 4

Table 1

23 **Abstract**

24 To import large metabolites across the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, TonB
 25 dependent transporters (TBDTs) undergo significant conformational change. After substrate
 26 binding in BtuB, the *E. coli* vitamin B12 TBDT, TonB binds and couples BtuB to the inner membrane
 27 proton motive force that powers transport ⁽¹⁾. But, the role of TonB in rearranging the plug domain
 28 to form a putative pore remains enigmatic. Some studies focus on force-mediated unfolding ⁽²⁾ while
 29 others propose force-independent pore formation ⁽³⁾ by TonB binding leading to breakage of a salt
 30 bridge termed the “*Ionic Lock*”. Our hydrogen exchange/mass spectrometry measurements in *E.*
 31 *coli* outer membranes find that the region surrounding the Ionic Lock, far from the B12 site, is fully
 32 destabilized upon substrate binding. A comparison of the exchange between the B12 bound and
 33 the B12&TonB bound complexes indicates that B12 binding is sufficient to unfold the Ionic Lock
 34 region with the subsequent binding of a TonB fragment having much weaker effects. TonB binding
 35 accelerates exchange in the third substrate binding loop, but pore formation does not obviously
 36 occur in this or any region. This study provides a detailed structural and energetic description of
 37 the early stages of B12 passage that provides support both for and against current models of the
 38 transport process.

39 **Significance Statement**

40 TonB dependent transporters such as BtuB are found in the outer membranes of Gram-negative
 41 bacteria. They import scarce nutrients essential for growth, such as B12, the substrate of BtuB.
 42 Many transport steps remain enigmatic. Recent studies have emphasized force-mediated unfolding
 43 or the breakage of the “Ionic Lock”, a moiety far from the B12 binding site. A strong dependence
 44 on the membrane environment has been noted. Accordingly, we measured hydrogen exchange on
 45 BtuB still embedded in native outer membranes and found that B12 binding is sufficient to break
 46 the Ionic Lock. The amino terminus then extends into the periplasm to bind TonB. But we find no
 47 evidence of pore formation, which likely requires energy transduction from the inner membrane by
 48 TonB.

Main Text

Introduction

TonB-dependent transporters (TBDTs) are ubiquitously found in the outer membranes (OMs) of Gram-negative bacteria where they import scarce nutrients ⁽⁴⁾. Some members of the family function as virulence factors, counteracting nutrient sequestration by the innate immune response ⁽⁵⁾. Aspects of the transport mechanism remain unclear, especially the nature of the structural changes involved in the creation of the pore required for substrate passage through the transmembrane β -barrel ⁽⁶⁾. Pore formation in each TBDT is tailored to its substrate, which can range in size from 56 Da (iron) to the 1.4 kDa cyanocobalamin (B12). In some TBDTs, the large extracellular loops close behind the substrate. This closure prevents back-diffusion ⁽⁷⁾ implying that formation of a pore through the lumen of the barrel need not imply bi-directional diffusion. Alternatively, the pore may form in stages, with no single conformation allowing for unrestricted diffusion across the length of the membrane. Though the individual mechanisms may be substrate-specific, pore formation generally involves conformational changes within the plug domain that normally occludes the lumen.

BtuB is a prototypical *E. coli* TBDT that has been studied extensively in both functional and biophysical contexts (**Figure 1**) ^(1, 8, 9). B12 is a relatively large TBDT substrate, which places constraints on the minimum pore size. In proposed models of pore formation ^(2, 3), B12 binding initiates an allosteric signaling event that leads to the release of the 7-residue, amino terminal “Ton box” ⁽¹⁰⁾ into the periplasm where it binds the periplasmic carboxy terminal domain (CTD) of TonB (TonB_{CTD}), an inner membrane (IM) protein ⁽¹¹⁾.

The steps leading from TonB_{CTD}-Ton box binding to the formation of a pore are currently under debate. The binding of TonB_{CTD} enables the energetic, and possibly mechanical, coupling between the IM and OM that is necessary for transport against a B12 gradient ⁽¹²⁾. In the periplasm, TonB interacts with the ExbB/ExbD complex, which harvests the proton motive force of the IM ⁽¹³⁾. Though many structures of the TonB/ExbB/ExbD complex have recently been solved, the relationship between the transport mechanism and the assumed rotation of this inner membrane complex on TBDTs remains ambiguous⁽⁶⁾. In force-dependent models, TonB transduces energy from the IM either by pulling the plug domain’s amino terminus towards the periplasm ^(2, 8, 14) or by applying a torque ⁽¹⁵⁾. These forces are proposed to remodel the plug to form a pore, as seen in simulations ^(2, 16). Other steered MD simulations have characterized the interactions of B12 as it was pulled through the lumen ⁽¹⁷⁾. Alternatively, pore formation has been proposed to be regulated in a force-independent manner by the *Ionic Lock (IL)*, a conserved salt bridge between Arg14 on the plug and Asp316 on the nearby inner surface of the transmembrane β -barrel ^(3, 18). In the force-independent model, TonB binding need only disengage the *IL* to allow pore-forming motions in the third substrate binding loop (SB3) when B12 is present ⁽³⁾.

In the B12-bound crystal structure of BtuB (PDBID 1NQH ⁽⁹⁾), the *IL* region adopts a very similar backbone conformation to the apo state (1NQE). The major change in the *IL* region is the rotation of the Arg14 sidechain and the loss of the salt bridge with Asp316. (**Figure S1**) In the ternary complex with TonB_{CTD}, the *IL* is fully broken and the Arg14 C α atom moves by 30.2 Å (2GSK, ⁽⁸⁾). This breakage occurs along with the residues immediately carboxy terminal to the Ton box adopting an extended conformation, which permits them to exit the lumen and bind TonB_{CTD} (**Figure S1**).

Cafiso and coworkers have suggested that the folded *IL* region in B12-bound BtuB (1NQH) is a feature resulting from crystallographic solutes and packing contacts perturbing the folding equilibrium ⁽¹⁹⁾. This potentially crucial difference leaves unresolved the actual conformation of the *IL* region in the B12 bound state in the native membrane. Determining when the *IL* region unfolds is important as the subsequent binding of TonB has recently been proposed to be the critical event that breaks the *IL* rather than the binding of B12 ⁽³⁾. This ambiguity on how the amino terminal region of BtuB responds to B12 and subsequent TonB binding events is the focus of the present investigation.

Studying the forces that promote transport in the context of the intact cell envelope is desirable but so far has not been accomplished. Hence, most biophysical measurements of BtuB have been performed in reconstituted, single bilayer systems. However, as recently emphasized ⁽³⁾, the results of these experiments have depended on the choice of environment, which have included detergents, liposomes, supported bilayers, OMs, or recently even live *E. coli* ⁽²⁰⁾. The pore-forming intermediate state of BtuB has proven difficult to characterize, and efforts have relied on either extraction from native membranes, the use of cysteine mutants and spin labels, or a combination thereof ^(2, 20).

Here we present a label-free investigation of the effects of B12 and TonB_{CTD} binding on the conformation of BtuB plug domain using hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) in OMs (**HDX-MS, Figure 1**). As anticipated, we find that binding of B12 slows down HDX on the plug's three substrate binding (SB) loops (**Figure 1, blue**). Upon the binding of B12 alone, however, HDX is *increased* by 10²-10³-fold (i.e., destabilized) for at least a dozen residues surrounding the *IL*. These residues stretch from the carboxy terminal side of the Ton box to the beginning of the first β -strand (**Figure 1, red**), supporting the proposal that a binding event breaks the *IL* as a prerequisite to transport ⁽³⁾. However, we find that the binding of B12 alone, rather than TonB, disrupts the *IL*. Accordingly, we propose that B12 binding leads to an allosterically-induced unfolding of BtuB's amino terminus via breakage of the *IL*, which in turn enables TonB to bind the newly-exposed Ton box (**Figure 1, red**). In addition, we observe a mild acceleration within a four-residue segment located between the *IL* and the B12-contacting apex of the SB3 loop, which is near a site of pore formation recently proposed by Cafiso and coworkers ⁽³⁾. This co-localization suggests that B12 initiates only the first step in a cascade of events that promotes its own transport (**Figure 2**). The binding of TonB is a subsequent step, but our results suggest that it too may be insufficient to cause pore formation in the absence of coupling to an active ExbB/ExbD complex. We end with a discussion of the similarity to prior findings ⁽³⁾ but also note some differences.

Results

We first discuss the protocols and reproducibility of our HDX measurements in OMs, followed by a presentation of the data for regions where stability increases due to B12 binding, focusing on the substrate binding loops SB1-SB3 (**Figure 3, shades of blue/green**). We next describe the large decrease in stability observed near the *IL* and the smaller stability losses for regions at the nearby amino terminus, the first strand, and the start of the SB3 loop (**Figure 3, red shading**). We conclude with an examination of the regions where minimal changes in HDX are seen upon B12 binding. To allow access to the HDX data of this study, we are including HDX data summary (**Table 1**), HDX peptide summary (**Table S1**), and HDX data tables (**Dataset 1**) ⁽²¹⁾.

OM sample preparation, sequence coverage.

We measured deuterium uptake at pD 7.2 ($pD_{read} = 6.8$), 22 °C for apo, B12- and TonB_{CTD}-liganded states of BtuB in labeled in native-like OMs from three biological replicates. To study the effects of TonB_{CTD} binding, we used TonB_{ΔN}, a construct containing the CTD and periplasmic linker, but lacking the amino terminal transmembrane helix (hereafter referred to as TonB within the context of our experiments). OMs and OM proteins (OMPs) are significantly more stable than their IM counterparts, and thus the protocols used to study OMPs employ strategies that are not as commonly used for IM proteins.

To our knowledge, the only published HDX-MS study of OMPs performed in a native OM-like environment was conducted on OmpF in *E. coli* OM vesicles ⁽²²⁾. BtuB, however, did not express well in OM vesicles, so we adapted protocols used in the EPR studies of BtuB embedded in native-like OMs ⁽²³⁾. Based on a purification strategy employed on FhuA ⁽²⁴⁾, a BtuB construct was created with five histidines, one glycine, and two serines inserted after His449 on the apex BtuB's seventh extracellular loop. This construct and the wild-type protein were overexpressed in *E. coli*, and after lysis, total membranes were separated using ultracentrifugation followed by extraction with sarkosyl to selectively remove the IMs. Initially, the OMPs were extracted with detergent, but detergent-solubilized BtuB failed to exhibit evidence of B12 binding in the *IL* region by HDX, consistent with earlier findings that detergent solubilization perturbs the folding of the amino terminus ⁽²⁵⁾. Hence, BtuB was left in its native OM environment for our HDX studies.

Accordingly, our workflow was altered to allow HDX labeling as well as digestion of BtuB still embedded in the OM preparations. After HDX labeling, we added denaturant and soluble pepsin in the low pH quench buffer rather than employing an in-line protease column as typically done in HD-XMS measurements. This change in protocol afforded us greater control over the cleavage conditions while eliminating fouling of the chromatographic system by OM components such as lipids. We optimized digestion for redundant and nearly complete peptide coverage of the plug domain, in part by decreasing β -barrel cleavage (**Figures 1, S2B**). Consistent with the known trends of BtuB unfolding in response to denaturant, ⁽²⁶⁾ the proteolysis was best near the amino-terminus. Pepsin's weak preference to cleave after hydrophobic residues caused the peptides to cluster into regions with similar boundaries with peptides within each region exhibiting similar HDX behavior. We use a nomenclature where regions are capitalized while the sequence bounds are denoted in subscript, with important peptides in parentheses, e.g., BtuB's amino terminus is covered by Region₃₋₈ (Peptide₁₋₈).

The imperfect correspondence between peptide and region boundaries is caused by an effect common to all HDX-MS studies. The intrinsic exchange rates for an exposed amide (k_{chem}) for the two amino-terminal residues are sufficiently fast that they largely lose their deuterium labels via back-exchange, and hence, they do not measurably impact the deuterium uptake level ⁽²⁷⁾. Consequently, we defined regions to start at the third residue of the most amino terminal peptide of the cluster except for situations where other peptides can provide information for those residues. Our partitioning of the plug domain into regions typically coincided with the secondary structure boundaries. Areas previously reported to undergo distinct motions usually separated into distinct regions (**Figure 3**) ⁽²⁸⁾. Despite each region having markedly different exchange behavior, peptides within a region were internally consistent with respect to their deuteration levels. Peptides spanning more than one region displayed intermediate effects, weighted by the number of amide protons in each region (**Figure S6**). While we have obtained peptide coverage sufficient to calculate site-resolved uptake at some positions, this is not generally possible. Accordingly, the analysis was conducted at the peptide level across the multiple replicates and conditions.

Reproducibility and heterogeneity.

Three biological replicates were initially prepared. One replicate used unmodified BL12 (DE3) *E. coli* whereas two replicates used a quadruple OMP knockout strain to examine the potential effects of endogenous OMPs⁽²⁹⁾. The use of the knockout strain did not significantly alter the HDX results (**Figures 3-4**), although it did reduce the non-BtuB OMP content as desired (**Figure S2A**). One of the two knockout replicates had high levels of non-native signals, complicating the analysis. Although the slowly exchanging subpopulations matched the other samples, this replicate was excluded from analysis to simplify data processing and a fourth knockout sample was prepared to take its place.

For most peptides, the slowest exchanging population was dominant and hence, was considered to represent the natively folded protein. For certain peptides, however, we observed multiple populations with distinct exchange kinetics (**Figure S3**). Their isotopic envelopes remained separated even in our longest measurements of 10⁵ seconds, implying that the underlying populations do not interconvert on this time scale. The heterogeneity generally was manifested as bimodal isotopic envelopes that varied in relative intensity for different peptides but exhibited a systematic correlation within a bioreplicate.

Given the observed heterogeneity, we utilized the bimodal analysis capability of HDExaminer 3.1 program despite the additional complexity. We note that the use of a unimodal analysis does not qualitatively change the major findings (**Figure S3**) but it does introduce systematic differences between bioreplicates. These, however, are resolved with bimodal analysis, which provides additional motivation for taking advantage of the software's bimodal capability.

When minor populations were present, they usually exchanged much faster than the major population, implying that they are less structured or stable. Several additional features such as their insensitivity to B12 suggested that these fast populations represent non-native or damaged proteins (**Figures S3-S4, S7**). The uptake trends of the minor populations were similar to membraneless controls measured in 0.5 M urea. These controls were prepared using a BtuB construct that lacked a signal sequence and were expressed in inclusion bodies and purified in 8 M urea so that the β -barrel was unlikely to have ever been properly folded. It should be noted, however, that the control samples still exhibited substantial protection relative to k_{chem} (see SI HDX formalism section), and thus we did not feel justified in using this control for estimating k_{chem} (**Figure S7**, see discussion). By comparing our main dataset to this control, we identified and excluded populations of peptides that likely arose from a subset of non-native BtuB molecules and focused our analysis on the major, slowly exchanging population(s). The minor subpopulations often had overlapping mass spectral envelopes for at least some time points, and so were not always computationally isolated or suppressed using the bimodal fitting capability of the HDExaminer 3.1 program. These features were not plotted in the main figures (see **Figures S3-S4** and **Table S1**) but are recorded in **Dataset 1**. We additionally required consistency between multiple peptides and replicates in constructing our comprehensive profile of the plug domain's behavior.

Our conclusions regarding B12 binding hold for every presented peptide across all replicates, though minor features of HDX uptake trends varied between bio-replicates (**Figure 4**). For the major population, the only significant difference between biological replicates is that one showed a diminished effect of TonB_{ΔN} binding (**Figure 4B**). Optimization of integration bounds for our data resulted in the apo state's deuterium level having a standard deviation of ~0.24 Da when

averaged across the 35 presented peptides. **Dataset 1** provides estimates of mass differences significant at the 98% confidence level for each peptide. These intervals varied from 0.17 (Peptide₄₅₋₅₃) to 8.30 Da (Peptide₈₀₋₁₀₃) and had a mean of 1.14 Da and median of 0.62 Da. The average level of back exchange observed in our data was 25%, with an interquartile range of 9.0%. Further plots summarizing the reproducibility are provided in **Figure S5**.

Substrate binding loops.

In apo-BtuB, substrate binding loops SB1-SB3 exchanged slowly with most associated peptides retaining greater than 50% of their H-level even after 10⁵ seconds, the longest measured time point. This slow exchange translates to an HDX protection factor $PF > 10^5$, where PF is defined as the relative slowing of the observed HDX rate (k_{obs}) compared to k_{chem} : $PF = k_{chem}/k_{obs}$ (see SI for HDX formalism and inference of EX2 behavior). Exchange was further slowed in the presence of 20 μ M B12. An examination of overlapping peptides indicated that this slowing was concentrated in the residues nearer to the B12 binding site. Sites in SB1 had the largest decrease in fractional deuterium uptake, followed by SB2 and SB3 (**Figure S6**). In the presence of B12, the exchange rates for the few observable sites on SB2 were about 10-fold slower, although most sites already exchanged very slowly in the apo state making any changes difficult to discern (**Figure S6.15-S6.24**). Whereas the overall exchange for SB3 was slowed only 5-fold, some peptides displayed complex behavior, and exchange for other peptides was even accelerated, as will be discussed later. Otherwise, the general slowing of HDX upon the addition of B12 in the three SB loops indicates that B12 binding stabilizes regions directly contacting the substrate.

Allosteric destabilization of the *Ionic Lock* and Ton box upon B12 binding.

Our major finding is that upon B12 binding, exchange drastically increased for the *IL* Region₁₁₋₂₃ (Peptides₉₋₂₃, 9-27, 9-28, 9-29, 9-30). The *IL* region contains the Arg14-Asp316 salt bridge that connects the plug domain to the inner wall of the β -barrel (**Figures 4, S6.2-S6.6**). In addition, exchange was mildly increased for the amino terminal tail Region₃₋₈ (**Figure S6.1**). Peptides in the *IL* region exchanged up to 10³-fold faster with B12 with a majority of the exchange occurring within the 6 second dead-time of the measurement. For the tail region, deuterium uptake increased mildly, with the uptake increasing from 50% to 80% after 6 seconds. From this widespread acceleration of HDX, we infer that both regions are unfolded in the B12 bound state and that upon B12 binding the amino terminus partially exits the lumen. The smaller change in HDX for the tail region is the result of it being less stable in the apo state to begin with.

We interpret the sizable B12 effect on the HDX of the *IL* region to result from the breaking of interactions between residues within this region and the β -barrel, most importantly the Arg14-Asp316 salt bridge. This disruption results in the Ton box's exit into the periplasm. Residues 1, 2, 9, and 10 are the first two residues on each of their respective peptides and thus unobservable due to back exchange (an inherent HDX-MS issue occurring during sample handling). These four residues likely are also unstructured given the rapid exchange of every observable residue surrounding them (e.g., Peptides₁₋₈ and 9-23). Notwithstanding, the data provide strong evidence that BtuB's first 23 residues undergo a complete or near-complete loss of structure in response to B12 binding. One might suppose the opposite situation where a sizable population of folded *IL* remains after B12 addition but exchanges via localized fluctuations for residues not encompassing the entire *IL* region; however, this scenario should, upon the addition of TonB, shift the equilibrium to an increased unfolded *IL* population and a corresponding acceleration of HDX for at least part of the

IL region. The opposite, however, was observed suggesting that the *IL* was broken for a majority of BtuB molecules in the presence of B12 alone.

Our inference that both the *IL* region and the Ton box were unfolded upon B12 binding alone is further supported by our finding that the addition of TonB_{ΔN} did not further accelerate exchange. The binding of TonB (specifically the carboxy terminal domain) to the Ton box requires that the Ton box be extended into the periplasm, which in turn requires that the *IL* be broken. Therefore, if the *IL* region remained folded after B12 binding and then unfolded when TonB_{ΔN} bound, one would have expected a marked increase in exchange for the *IL* region upon TonB_{ΔN} binding. However, exchange did not markedly increase upon the addition of TonB_{ΔN} (in fact, exchange even slowed for a few residues, which provides direct evidence of TonB binding) (**Figure S6.2-S6.6**). This minimal increase in exchange is in the opposite direction of what would be expected if B12 binding did not cause the *IL* to break. Therefore, the *IL* region is already unfolded prior to TonB_{ΔN} binding, and B12 binding alone is sufficient to unfold the *IL* region.

In ostensible disagreement with this conclusion is that the *IL* region retains a significant amount of HDX protection even after B12 binding. The deuterium buildup curve is ~10² slower than what would be expected for exposed amides in bulk solution ⁽²⁷⁾. To rationalize the observed slowing, we propose that the bulk solution rate may be an inappropriate reference in the present situation as the *IL* region's carboxy terminus is located 10 Å inside the barrel lumen, which prevents portions of the region from fully exiting into bulk solvent. In this partially confined state inside the barrel, the access of OD⁻ to the peptide backbone also could be reduced and exchange slowed. The residues that do exit into the periplasm may still be subject to a milder but similar blocking effect from interactions with the nearby peptidoglycan (**Figure S7**). In addition, the effective [OD⁻] should be lower in the barrel than in the bulk solvent as the anion prefers to be hydrated rather than reside in the lumen's lower dielectric environment ⁽³⁰⁾. Both the reduced access to the backbone and lower [OD⁻] concentration would retard exchange and may account for the slower than expected exchange observed upon B12 binding for the *IL* and for other regions, especially those further inside the barrel.

In the B12-bound state, Cafiso et al. proposed that the *IL* is broken and were able to infer residues 1-15 as being unstructured ⁽¹⁰⁾. Early EPR measurements probing the Ton box found that B12 binding caused the first residue within the Ton box, Asp6, to project 20-30 Å into the periplasm, leading the authors to model the first 15 residues as exiting the lumen with a broken *IL* ⁽¹⁰⁾. Consistently our HDX-MS measurements also identified this sizable unstructured region, finding that it extends out to residue 23. We observed rapid deuteration of every observable amide out to residue 23 (Peptides₁₋₈ and 9-23) suggesting that an additional eight residues are affected by the same B12 binding event. Hence, we have evidence of a B12-initiated unfolding that is larger than previously described.

A comparison of Peptide₉₋₂₃ with overlapping Peptides₉₋₂₇, 9-28, 9-29, and 9-30 indicates that acceleration of HDX was also occurring in some additional residues between 24-29, which encompass BtuB's first β-strand (**Figures S5.7-S5.12**). This acceleration did not equally affect all five exchangeable residues, however. The exchange for some residues within BtuB's first β-strand remained very slow, implying that the strand remains folded with its outer edge becoming more solvent exposed when B12 binds. In sum, we have used HDX to quantify the size of the B12-mediated unfolding in BtuB's amino-terminus.

Complex ligand effects in the third substrate binding loop, including destabilization by B12.

In sharp contrast to the generally slowed exchange observed for the substrate binding loops, the amino terminus of SB3 (Region₈₂₋₈₅) exhibited accelerated exchange upon B12 binding (**Figure S6.24**). The apparent timescale of exchange for the fastest amide proton among residues 82-85 on Peptide₈₀₋₈₅ was approximately 2,000 s, with B12 binding resulting in faster exchange rate of this single amide by ~5-fold. Other amides within Region₈₂₋₈₅ remained too protected to be observed exchanging in our experiments, so the data are agnostic on whether these positions were affected by B12, unlike the remaining portion of SB3 (Region₈₆₋₁₀₃) and the other SBs, which were affected (**Figures S6.15-S6.23**). The change in HDX for Region₈₂₋₈₅ upon B12 binding was relatively mild compared to that seen at the amino terminus of the protein. This region, however, was unique in having its exchange further enhanced beyond the already B12-accelerated rates by the addition of TonB_{ΔN}. (**Figure S6.24**). Hence, the SB3 region allosterically responds to distal binding of B12 as well as TonB binding events, supporting its relevance to the TonB-dependent transport mechanism⁽³⁾. Two reasons may explain the relatively small changes in HDX observed in the SB3 region. Possibly, one end of the SB3 loop is accelerated while the other end is slowed by binding B12, yielding a small net change in uptake. Additionally, rather than pore formation, the small changes may reflect a modest change in H-bonding across the region.

Regions with no significant HDX differences.

The HDX of the three remaining regions of the plug domain did not display a significant response to B12 binding (**Figures S5611-S6.13, S6.33-S6.35**). Two of these regions (Regions₃₀₋₄₆ and 47-53) lie between the *IL* and SB1 while the third region (Region₁₀₄₋₁₂₈) is carboxy terminal to SB3. A comparison of overlapping peptides within Region₃₀₋₄₆ (**Figures S6.11-S6.12**) confirmed the mild response to B12 within residues 26-29, as discussed earlier, demonstrated that the residues flanking BtuB's first stable helix do not respond to B12. Regions₄₇₋₅₃ and 104-128 (**Figures S6.33-S6.35**) exhibited almost no exchange, being extremely stable. The high overall stability for these regions and apparent lack of a response to ligand binding suggest that rearrangements in the plug domain during ligand binding are restricted to the areas surrounding the *IL* and SB3. Strictly speaking, however, we must be agnostic on potential changes for residues that exchange outside our experimental time window.

Discussion

Our major observation is that the binding of B12 promotes the complete breaking of the *Ionic Lock*⁽³⁰⁾, a critical salt bridge connecting the amino terminal end of the plug domain to the inner surface of the β-barrel (Arg14-Asp316). The breakage results in all of the residues within Region₁₁₋₂₃ unfolding, exposing the Ton box and permitting TonB binding. Upon subsequent TonB binding to the B12-bound state, we did not observe evidence of pore formation or further unfolding of the *IL* region. In fact, TonB binding alone only produced subtle HDX changes throughout the plug domain, suggesting that its full function in pore formation requires an energized inner membrane, or some other factor only present in live cells.

Binding of B12 alone resulted in stability changes throughout the plug domain, both increasing and decreasing HDX rates. We observed the anticipated slowing of exchange for the loops that directly contact B12. But the addition of B12 also resulted in a dramatic acceleration of HDX by up to 10³-fold for all amide protons in the *IL* Region (e.g., Peptide₉₋₂₃), even approaching the rapid exchange rates seen in BtuB's labile amino terminus (Peptide₁₋₈). The large increase in

HDX rates upon B12 binding for residues in the Ton box reflects the disruption of the entire *IL* region (11-23) and can occur in response to B12 binding alone. We believe this disruption is responsible for exposing the Ton box, thereby regulating TonB binding.

The *IL* is over 20 Å away from the B12 binding site, implying an allosteric mechanism is involved in the breaking of this salt bridge. The amino terminal segment of SB3, Region₈₂₋₈₅, is located near the *IL* and is also accelerated by B12 binding, pointing to the involvement of SB3 in the allosteric mechanism. Additionally, HDX of Region₈₂₋₈₅ is further accelerated by the binding of TonB to the Ton box, suggesting a bi-directional coupling of one or both Ton box-containing regions with the SB3 loop. The movement of SB3 upon B12 binding likely is a critical initial step in the signal propagation downwards to the *IL*. Overall, our data support Cafiso's proposal that SB3 may undergo further motions upon TonB binding⁽³⁾.

As discussed earlier, even with a 10²-10³-fold increase in exchange rate for the *IL* Region₁₁₋₂₃ upon binding B12, HDX exchange is still 10²-fold slower than the intrinsic exchange rate k_{chem} . One might consider that this residual protection is indicative of some apparent structure in the *IL* region. However, evidence exists that this region is indeed unfolded: Because the binding of TonB_{ΔN} resulted in no further unfolding of the *IL* region after B12 binding, the *IL* must already have been broken and the region unfolded in the B12-bound state. Therefore, we propose that the 10²-fold residual protection arises from other factors including restricted access to the peptide backbone as the region is tethered inside the lumen as well as a reduction of the local [OD⁻] in the lumen's lower dielectric environment⁽³⁰⁾. Additionally, H-bonding interactions with the peptidoglycan network, which normally undergirds the inner surface of the OM, may be conferring protection even to an otherwise unstructured chain. Further studies are needed to examine which, if any of the 3 mechanisms, is operational.

Comparisons to existing models

Current models of BtuB transport can be broadly separated into two categories based on the proposed location and energetics of pore formation, including whether it is force-independent (FI) or force-dependent (FD). In both models, either implicitly or explicitly, the binding of B12 is necessary for exposure of the Ton box and subsequent TonB binding. In the FI model⁽³⁾, TonB binding provides the energy for subsequent plug remodeling events, which are sufficient to open a pore near the *IL* region and permit B12 transport. By contrast, a recent FD model proposes that TonB binding establishes a mechanical linkage that transmits a force to unfold the "mechanically weak subdomain" within the plug⁽²⁾. This partial unfolding of the plug forms a substrate channel, although some uncertainty exists regarding whether this mechanism applies *in vivo*⁽³⁾.

While the results of our experiments do not fundamentally rule out either model, our HDX data demonstrate that binding of B12 alone is sufficient to disrupt the *IL* region and expose the Ton box. However, our data find that neither the individual B12 nor TonB binding events are sufficient to destabilize a substantial fraction of the plug domain, which is postulated to unfold under the FD model (Regions₃₁₋₄₆, 47-53, and 54-67). Peptides in Region₄₅₋₅₃ did not exchange in the duration of our measurements (10⁵ sec), implying a very high stability (Peptide₄₅₋₅₃; PF > 10⁷). Flanking regions that unfold in the FD model (as observed in the atomic force microscopy study⁽²⁾) were either unaffected (Peptide₃₁₋₄₆; PF ~10⁴) or even stabilized (Peptide₅₄₋₆₇; PF ~10³-10⁶) upon B12 binding. We believe that this high level of stability is unlikely, but not impossible, within the FD model⁽²⁾. Our data also corroborate the argument⁽³⁾ deployed against FD models related to the application of a

torque on BtuB by TonB⁽¹⁵⁾. We found that in the ternary complex of BtuB, B12, and TonB, nearly a dozen residues between the Ton box and BtuB's stably folded core are unstructured, consistent with findings for FhuA⁽³¹⁾. For these residues, the facile rotation of their (ϕ, ψ) backbone torsion angles likely precludes the transmission of a torque directly from the Ton box to the plug.

Nevertheless, our HDX results also differ with the FI model regarding the effects of ligand binding. We find that the *IL* is broken by B12 binding alone, whereas Cafiso et al.⁽³⁾ found *in vivo* that the *IL* is partially broken by B12 and only completely broken by TonB, based on the conformational response of the SB3 loop to B12 binding. Specifically, mutations that broke the *IL* (Arg14Ala, Asp316Ala) resulted in a 20 Å movement in SB3 and were reported to mimic TonB binding. In OMs, however, we find that the *IL* fully breaks in response to B12 binding, and additionally, that the *IL* is not broken by TonB binding at a concentration of 26 μM when B12 is absent. When B12 is added, however, we find the *IL* is sufficiently destabilized that subsequent TonB binding does not cause a significant slowing or acceleration of the HDX for the *IL* region. In our view, the Ton box is normally sequestered in the lumen of the β-barrel and becomes available for binding only when B12 binding allosterically induces the breakage of the *IL*. In this mechanism, the rest of the steps required to gate the pore cannot begin before the initial B12 binding event.

Whereas Cafiso et al.⁽³⁾ observed a 20 Å conformational change in SB3, we detected subtle HDX changes for this region upon B12 and TonB binding (**Figures S6.24 & S6.25**). It is difficult to reconcile large scale motions occurring in SB3 with the lack of a large HDX effect for peptides covering the apex of SB3, especially since a 10³-fold increase was seen in the *IL* region. Possible explanations for the difference include our use of sarkosyl to remove IMs⁽³⁾ and the EPR study's use of live cells which differ from our OM preparation. Furthermore, the two techniques measure very different quantities and timescales from which protein motions are inferred. HDX is sensitive to H-bonding whereas EPR provides distance information from the spectral changes based on energy transfer between spin probes.

In our HDX-MS measurements, the introduction of TonB and formation of the ternary complex reduced the signal quality for certain peptides due to chromatographic overlap and mass spectral crowding. Interestingly, for certain SB3 peptides, deuterium uptake trends became complex even with B12 alone, i.e., tri-modal isotope envelopes (**Figure S4**), possibly reflecting increased heterogeneity where a single population present in the apo state splits into multiple slowly interconverting populations upon ligand binding. Further study of the complex HDX behavior of the SB3 loops may shed additional light on the allosteric mechanism.

Conclusions

Using HDX-MS, we identified regions of the BtuB plug domain that both increase and decrease stability upon substrate binding. Most notably, the binding of B12 alone is sufficient to initiate an allosteric pathway involving the disruption of a dozen residues located up to 20 Å away from the B12 binding site. The process involves the unfolding of the *IL* region to permit the binding of TonB to the Ton box.

The remaining steps in the transport process are less clear. Potentially, an exit channel forms that follows a route from the B12 binding site, past SB3, and then out through the space formerly occupied by the *IL* region. Alternatively, TonB binding may initiate the force-induced unfolding of the plug core to create a substrate channel. This latter mechanism is appealing in its simplicity and generalizability, as one can envision the role of substrate binding is simply to release

the Ton box into solution where forces are applied by TonB, and the channel is then formed by the unfolding of approximately half of the plug domain core. However, the HDX data indicate that the core of the plug remains extremely stable when B12 and TonB are bound, providing a powerful example of how HDX's ability to access local thermodynamic information can help identify mechanism. Besides the study of mutations that constitutively break the *IL* or disrupt potential allosteric pathways that cross the membrane, the measurement of the denaturant dependence of the HDX ⁽²⁷⁾ could further define the conformational changes resulting from binding and force.

Because results across multiple techniques have depended on the membrane environment, future BtuB studies should be performed in as native-like a context as possible ⁽³⁾. Our application of HDX/MS in native OMs is a compromise between working in live cells, where transport intermediates may not accumulate due to the action of TonB, and in reconstituted systems such as detergents (which do not bind B12) or liposomes (which are compositionally very different from OMs). The use of OMs provides both a native-like bilayer and high yield, which may enable the ambitious goal of performing HDX *in vivo*.

Materials and Methods

Outer membranes used for HDX experiments were obtained by French press lysis of *E. coli* overexpressing BtuB followed by several rounds of centrifugation and a brief extraction with sarkosyl to selectively remove inner membranes. HDX labeling was initiated by diluting concentrated suspensions of the outer membranes into deuterated solutions. HDX labeling was terminated and digestion simultaneously initiated by diluting the HDX labeling reaction into ice cold quench buffer (pH 2.5) previously supplemented with urea, soluble pepsin, microporous zirconium oxide beads, and the detergent DDM. After a brief incubation period, the labeled peptides released into solution were separated from insoluble undigested protein and membranes by spin filtration immediately before injection onto the HDX-LCMS system. Further details are described in SI Materials and Methods.

Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted in SI Materials and Methods. All BtuB and TonB constructs were expressed and purified as described in SI Materials and Methods.

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Nakamoto, H. Hong, and N. Noinaj, E. Perozo, M. Clark, and members of the Sosnick lab for valuable conversations about TBDT biology, comments on the manuscript, or for kindly supplying plasmids and cell strains. This work was supported by NIH Research Grant R01 GM055694.

References

1. N. Noinaj, M. Guillier, T. J. Barnard, S. K. Buchanan, TonB-dependent transporters: regulation, structure, and function. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **64**, 43-60 (2010).
2. S. J. Hickman, R. E. M. Cooper, L. Bellucci, E. Paci, D. J. Brockwell, Gating of TonB-dependent transporters by substrate-specific forced remodelling. *Nature communications* **8**, 14804 (2017).
3. T. D. Nilaweera, D. A. Nyenhuis, D. S. Cafiso, Structural intermediates observed only in intact *Escherichia coli* indicate a mechanism for TonB-dependent transport. *Elife* **10** (2021).

- 483 4. K. Schauer, D. A. Rodionov, H. de Reuse, New substrates for TonB-dependent transport:
484 do we only see the 'tip of the iceberg'? *Trends Biochem Sci* **33**, 330-338 (2008).
- 485 5. M. Miethke, M. A. Marahiel, Siderophore-based iron acquisition and pathogen control.
486 *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **71**, 413-451 (2007).
- 487 6. H. Celia, N. Noinaj, S. K. Buchanan, Structure and Stoichiometry of the Ton Molecular
488 Motor. *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020).
- 489 7. C. R. Smallwood *et al.*, Concerted loop motion triggers induced fit of FepA to ferric
490 enterobactin. *J Gen Physiol* **144**, 71-80 (2014).
- 491 8. D. D. Shultis, M. D. Purdy, C. N. Banchs, M. C. Wiener, Outer membrane active transport:
492 structure of the BtuB:TonB complex. *Science* **312**, 1396-1399 (2006).
- 493 9. D. P. Chimento, A. K. Mohanty, R. J. Kadner, M. C. Wiener, Substrate-induced
494 transmembrane signaling in the cobalamin transporter BtuB. *Nat Struct Biol* **10**, 394-401
495 (2003).
- 496 10. Q. Xu, J. F. Ellena, M. Kim, D. S. Cafiso, Substrate-dependent unfolding of the energy
497 coupling motif of a membrane transport protein determined by double electron-
498 electron resonance. *Biochemistry* **45**, 10847-10854 (2006).
- 499 11. D. M. Freed, S. M. Lukasik, A. Sikora, A. Mokdad, D. S. Cafiso, Monomeric TonB and the
500 Ton box are required for the formation of a high-affinity transporter-TonB complex.
501 *Biochemistry* **52**, 2638-2648 (2013).
- 502 12. N. Cadieux, R. J. Kadner, Site-directed disulfide bonding reveals an interaction site
503 between energy-coupling protein TonB and BtuB, the outer membrane cobalamin
504 transporter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 10673-10678 (1999).
- 505 13. A. A. Ollis, K. Postle, Identification of functionally important TonB-ExbD periplasmic
506 domain interactions in vivo. *J Bacteriol* **194**, 3078-3087 (2012).
- 507 14. D. P. Chimento, R. J. Kadner, M. C. Wiener, Comparative structural analysis of TonB-
508 dependent outer membrane transporters: implications for the transport cycle. *Proteins*
509 **59**, 240-251 (2005).
- 510 15. P. E. Klebba, ROSET Model of TonB Action in Gram-Negative Bacterial Iron Acquisition. *J*
511 *Bacteriol* **198**, 1013-1021 (2016).
- 512 16. J. Gumbart, M. C. Wiener, E. Tajkhorshid, Mechanics of force propagation in TonB-
513 dependent outer membrane transport. *Biophys J* **93**, 496-504 (2007).
- 514 17. T. Pieńko, J. Trylska, Extracellular loops of BtuB facilitate transport of vitamin B12
515 through the outer membrane of E. coli. *PLoS Comput Biol* **16**, e1008024 (2020).
- 516 18. S. M. Lukasik, K. W. Ho, D. S. Cafiso, Molecular basis for substrate-dependent
517 transmembrane signaling in an outer-membrane transporter. *J Mol Biol* **370**, 807-811
518 (2007).
- 519 19. D. M. Freed, P. S. Horanyi, M. C. Wiener, D. S. Cafiso, Conformational exchange in a
520 membrane transport protein is altered in protein crystals. *Biophys J* **99**, 1604-1610
521 (2010).
- 522 20. D. A. Nyenhuis, T. D. Nilaweera, D. S. Cafiso, Native Cell Environment Constrains Loop
523 Structure in the Escherichia coli Cobalamin Transporter BtuB. *Biophys J* **119**, 1550-1557
524 (2020).
- 525 21. G. R. Masson *et al.*, Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting
526 hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. *Nat Methods*
527 **16**, 595-602 (2019).

- 528 22. D. Donnarumma *et al.*, Native State Organization of Outer Membrane Porins Unraveled
529 by HDx-MS. *J Proteome Res* **17**, 1794-1800 (2018).
- 530 23. A. Sikora, B. Joseph, M. Matson, J. R. Staley, D. S. Cafiso, Allosteric Signaling Is
531 Bidirectional in an Outer-Membrane Transport Protein. *Biophys J* **111**, 1908-1918
532 (2016).
- 533 24. G. S. Moeck *et al.*, Ligand-induced conformational change in the ferrichrome-iron
534 receptor of Escherichia coli K-12. *Mol Microbiol* **22**, 459-471 (1996).
- 535 25. G. E. Fanucci, N. Cadieux, R. J. Kadner, D. S. Cafiso, Competing ligands stabilize alternate
536 conformations of the energy coupling motif of a TonB-dependent outer membrane
537 transporter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 11382-11387 (2003).
- 538 26. R. H. Flores Jimenez, D. S. Cafiso, The N-terminal domain of a TonB-dependent
539 transporter undergoes a reversible stepwise denaturation. *Biochemistry* **51**, 3642-3650
540 (2012).
- 541 27. Y. Bai, J. S. Milne, L. Mayne, S. W. Englander, Primary structure effects on peptide group
542 hydrogen exchange. *Proteins* **17**, 75-86 (1993).
- 543 28. C. Balusek, J. C. Gumbart, Role of the Native Outer-Membrane Environment on the
544 Transporter BtuB. *Biophys J* **111**, 1409-1417 (2016).
- 545 29. I. Meuskens, M. Michalik, N. Chauhan, D. Linke, J. C. Leo, A New Strain Collection for
546 Improved Expression of Outer Membrane Proteins. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **7**, 464
547 (2017).
- 548 30. H. Gong, G. Hocky, K. F. Freed, Influence of nonlinear electrostatics on transfer energies
549 between liquid phases: charge burial is far less expensive than Born model. *Proc Natl*
550 *Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 11146-11151 (2008).
- 551 31. J. L. Sarver, M. Zhang, L. Liu, D. Nyenhuis, D. S. Cafiso, A Dynamic Protein-Protein
552 Coupling between the TonB-Dependent Transporter FhuA and TonB. *Biochemistry* **57**,
553 1045-1053 (2018).
- 554 32. M. A. Lomize, I. D. Pogozheva, H. Joo, H. I. Mosberg, A. L. Lomize, OPM database and
555 PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res*
556 **40**, D370-376 (2012).

557

558 **Figures and Tables**

Figure 1. BtuB purification and HDX protocol. Sample preparation in outer membranes (OMs) involves no chromatography, only repeated centrifugation and resuspension with a sarkosyl extraction step to remove inner membranes (IMs). All steps except HDX labeling occur on ice. Structure shown is for the apo state of BtuB (PDBID: 1NQE), with the β -barrel domain displayed transparently to highlight regions of the plug domain.

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

Figure 2. Model of the BtuB plug domain's conformational response to two ligand binding events. A, C, D) are the three major steps identified by the HDX data whereas B) is a structure with bound B12 observed by crystallography but not observed in our data. The *Ionic Lock* sidechains are shown as yellow sticks, with oxygen and nitrogen atoms colored in red and blue, respectively. Inset ovals show an expanded mesh representation of the *IL* in states A) and B).

Figure 3. Effects of B12 binding on HDX. Uptake plots show biologically triplicated data (circles, triangles, and squares) for BtuB measured in apo (black) and B12-bound (red) states. Insets contain uptake curves for the peptides depicted by the colored regions on the BtuB structure. The number of exchangeable sites is assumed to be the number of non-proline residues minus two. The exchanged and remaining masses at the last time point are given in grey, after correcting for back exchange by normalizing to non-native controls which assumed to be fully deuterated (purple circles with dashed lines).

Figure 4. HDX in the Ionic Lock Region. A, B) Uptake plots for Peptide₉₋₂₃, three biological replicates (black), and (red), and TonB_{ΔN} (green). Four long points of a non-native control shown in purple. **B)** Uptake plots for Peptide₉₋₂₃, apo (black), B12 (red), and B12+TonB_{ΔN} (blue) **C) & D):** Position of the amino terminal regions relative to the membrane plane. **C)** apo (1NQE) and **D)** BtuB:B12:TonB complex (2GSK) crystal structures, taken from the OPM database⁽³²⁾. N atoms for residues 6-23 are shown as red spheres. Distances from Leu23's N atom to the nearest membrane plane dummy atom are shown as dashed orange lines, in both cases about 10 Å.

588

Table 1. Biochemical and statistical details for each measured state.

Data Set	apo BtuB	BtuB + B12	BtuB + TonB	BtuB + B12 + TonB (Ternary)
HDX reaction details	46.7 mM Na ₂ HPO ₄ , 0.6 mM Tris, pD _{read} = 6.80, 22 °C	46.7 mM Na ₂ HPO ₄ , 0.6 mM Tris, pD _{read} = 6.80, 20 µM B12, 1 mM CaCl ₂ , 22 °C	46.7 mM Na ₂ HPO ₄ , 0.6 mM Tris, pD _{read} = 6.80, 22 °C	46.7 mM Na ₂ HPO ₄ , 0.6 mM Tris, pD _{read} = 6.80, 2 µM B12, 1 mM CaCl ₂ , 22 °C
HDX time course (min)	0.1, 0.316, 1.0, 3.16, 10, 31.6, 100, 316, 1620	0.1, 0.316, 1.0, 3.16, 10, 31.6, 100, 316, 1620	0.1, 0.33, 1.0, 3.5, 10, 36	0.1, 0.316, 1.0, 3.16, 10, 31.6, 100, 316
HDX control samples	Maximally-labeled control (LH4 construct, his-tagged on extracellular loop)	Maximally-labeled control (LH4 construct, his-tagged on extracellular loop)	Maximally-labeled control (LH4 construct, his-tagged on extracellular loop)	Maximally-labeled control (LH4 construct, his-tagged on extracellular loop)
Back-exchange (mean / IQR)	25.1% / 9.0%	25.1% / 9.0%	25.1% / 9.6%	24.9% / 9.3%
# of Peptides	35	35	29	31
Sequence coverage	95.4% (of 130 residues covering N-terminus & plug domain) 21.2% (whole protein)	95.4% (of 130 residues covering N-terminus & plug domain) 21.2% (whole protein)	90% (of 130 residues covering N-terminus & plug domain) 19.7% (whole protein)	90% (of 130 residues covering N-terminus & plug domain) 19.7% (whole protein)
Average peptide length / Redundancy	16.5 / 0.7	16.5 / 0.7	16.5 / 0.7	15.9 / 0.6
Replicates	3 (biological)	3 (biological)	1	3 (biological)
Repeatability	2% / 0.24 Da (average standard deviation)	2% / 0.24 Da (average standard deviation)	N/A	3.2% / 0.37 Da (average standard deviation)
Significant differences in HDX (ΔHDX > X Da)	1.14 Da (98% confidence interval)	1.16 Da (98% confidence interval)	N/A	2.66 Da (98% confidence interval)