The AAA+ ATPase RavA-ViaA complex sensitizes *Escherichia coli* to aminoglycosides under anaerobic low energy conservation conditions

Jessica Y. El Khoury¹ , Jordi Zamarreño Beas^{2,#} , Allison Huguenot², Béatrice Py^{2*}, Frédéric Barras^{1*}

These authors contributed equally to this work and their names are listed in alphabetical order

* Co-corresponding authors

E-mail: frederic.barras@pasteur.fr

py@imm.cnrs.fr

Affiliations

¹ SAME Unit, Département de Microbiologie, Université de Paris, UMR CNRS IMM 2001, Institut Pasteur, France.

² Laboratoire de Chimie Bactérienne, Aix-Marseille Université-CNRS UMR7283, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

Current Address: Instituto Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier, Universidade Nova de Lisboa Avenida da República; 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal

Abstract

Aminoglycosides have been used against Gram-negative bacteria for decades. Yet, uncertainties remain about various aspects of their uptake mechanism. Moreover their killing efficiency is well known to vary as a function of growth conditions and types of metabolism used by the targeted bacterium. Here we show that RavA, an AAA+ ATPase from the MoxR subfamily, associated with its VWA-containing partner, ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to lethal concentrations of AG, including gentamycin (Gm) and tobramycin, but not of antibiotics of other classes. We show this sensitizing effect to be due to enhanced Gm uptake in a proton motive force dependent manner. We evaluated the influence of RavA ViaA throughout a series of growth conditions, including aerobiosis and anaerobiosis. This led us to observe that the sensitizing effect of RavA ViaA varies with the respiratory chain used, i.e. RavA ViaA influence was prominent in the absence of exogenous electron acceptor or with fumarate, i.e. in poor energy conservation conditions, and dispensable in the presence of nitrate or oxygen, i.e. in high level of energy conservation. We propose RavA ViaA to be able to sense energetic state of the cell and to be used under low energy conditions for facilitating uptake of chemicals across the membrane, including Gm.

Author Summary

Antibiotic resistance is a major public health, social and economic problem. Aminoglycosides are known for their high efficiency against Gram-negative bacteria but their use is restricted to life threatening infections because of their nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity at therapeutic dose. Elucidation of AG sensitization mechanisms in bacteria will allow the use of a decreased effective dose of AGs. Here we identified new molecular actors, RavA and ViaA, which sensitize *E. coli* to AG under anaerobiosis. RavA belongs to the AAA+ ATPase family while ViaA bears a VWA motif. Moreover we show here that the influence of RavA ViaA on AG sensitivity varies with growth conditions and respiratory metabolism used by *E. coli*. This is a significant step forward as anaerobiosis is well known

to reduce antibacterial activity of AG. This study emphasizes the crucial importance of the relationships between culture conditions, metabolism and antibiotic resistance.

Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is an important biomedical problem that challenges the ability to treat bacterial infections [1]. Modulation of intracellular concentrations of antibiotics is one of the most frequent processes leading to resistance whether it is due to limiting antibiotic entry, or increasing efflux [2]. The aminoglycosides (AGs) class of antibiotics targets the ribosome, leading to mistranslation and eventually cell death. AGs comprise kanamycin, tobramycin, gentamycin (Gm), neomycin, amikacin and streptomycin and are commonly used worldwide, thanks to their high efficacy and low cost. The mechanism of action of AG has been studied for decades. Yet, uncertainties remain on key mechanistic issues, such as mode of killing and penetration into cells. For instance, although ribosome as the primary targets of AG has been established for years, several studies have in the last decade challenged the idea that ribosome targeting was the actual reason for AG toxicity, giving to reactive oxygen species an equally important contribution to bacterial death [3–6]. Another issue under debate pertains to the mechanism of entry of AG and various non-exclusive mechanisms have been described. The current model [7,8] is that uptake starts with the entry of a small amount of AG through proton motive force (*pmf*)-dependent mechanisms [9–11] or other transport systems [12–14] priming mistranslation by the ribosome, which leads to membrane damage by incorporation of mistranslated proteins and a second wave of massive AG uptake [9,15]. The *pmf* is especially important during the first phase of uptake [16], while the second phase occurs in response to impaired translation. *pmf* is produced by the activity of electron transfer chains arising within respiratory complexes and we previously showed that maturation of respiratory complexes is directly impacting AG uptake efficiency and level of resistance [4].

The AAA+ ATPases are widely used as part of macromolecular machines [17]. AAA+ ATPases share structural features such as forming oligomers or coupling ATPase hydrolysis with remodeling of

substrates. AAA+ ATPases have been classified in different 7 clades [17]. Actual physiological role of several AAA+ ATPase remains to be elucidated, in particular within members of the MoxR subfamily, which is part of the AAA+ ATPase clade 7 [17]. Studies on different members of the MoxR family indicate that they could fulfill chaperone-like roles assisting assembly of protein complexes [18]. A hallmark of this type of AAA+ ATPase is that they interact with proteins containing the von Willebrand factor (VWA) domain, a domain that mediates protein-protein interactions. Among the MoxR family we are interested in the protein regulatory variant **A** (RavA) with its VWA containing partner ViaA. RavA ViaA (RV) complex was reported to interact physically with the respiratory complex fumarate reductase (Frd) but the role for RV in fumarate respiration remains unclear [19]. Briefly, Frd allows *E. coli* to use fumarate as terminal electron acceptor when oxygen is lacking. Frd complex is made of four subunits, including the cytosolic soluble FrdA and FrdB subunits and the membrane spanning FrdC and D subunits. FrdB hosts a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and FrdA three iron-sulfur (Fe-S) cluster cofactors. FrdB is a menaquinone (MQ) oxidoreductase, which relays electron from MQH₂ to FrdA active site that reduces fumarate into succinate [20]. RV was found to decrease Frd activity and it was proposed that RV complex might participate to the multi-step assembly process leading to functional Frd. A link between RV and anaerobic respiratory metabolism is also supported by the fact that *ravA-viaA* genes form an operon regulated by the anaerobic sensing transcriptional regulator Fnr [19]. Other potential partners/substrates of RV are some members of the Nuo respiratory complex and of proteins of the iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis machinery Isc [21].

RV activity appears to be important for sustaining stress imposed by the presence of sublethal concentration of AGs, both in *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae* [21–23]. Precisely, *E. coli* strains lacking *ravA*, *viaA* or both reached a higher final cell density than WT, in rich medium supplemented with sub-lethal concentrations of AGs [21]. Importantly, these observations were collected with *E. coli* strains growing under aerobic conditions, i.e. conditions in which neither Fnr transcriptional activating nor fumarate reductase activities are expected to intervene.

In the present work, we tested whether RV plays a role in *E. coli* sensitivity to lethal doses of AG. We carried out analyses both under anaerobic and aerobic growth conditions. Our study establishes RavA and ViaA as AG toxicity enhancers and found this activity to depend upon *pmf*. Unexpectedly, importance of RV in mediating AG toxicity varies with the growth conditions and nature of the electron acceptor provided to *E. coli* for respiring. We propose RV to connect the energetic status of the cell and AG uptake.

Results

RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to Gm under anaerobic fumarate respiratory conditions.

The *ravA-viaA* operon is activated under anaerobiosis by the Fnr transcriptional activator [19]. Moreover, previous studies have pointed out a link between RV complex and Frd fumarate reductase activity [19]. Therefore we tested the effect of RavA ViaA on AG sensitivity of *E. coli* grown under anaerobic fumarate respiratory conditions. First, we investigated the importance of the fumarate respiration for Gm survival. We found that in a time-dependent killing experiment using a concentration of Gm equivalent to 2X MIC (16 µg/mL), the $\Delta frdA$ mutant exhibited increased resistance to Gm compared to the WT strain (Fig. 1A). Under anaerobic respiration, menaquinone is predicted to be a prominent electron carrier [24]. Therefore we tested the $\Delta menA$ mutant, which lacks an 1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoate octaprenyltransferase involved in menaquinone biosynthesis. $\Delta menA$ mutant exhibited same level of Gm resistance as $\Delta frdA$ mutant (Fig. 1B). Fumarate respiration might involve the NADH:quinone oxidoreductase (Nuo) or the anaerobic glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GlpA, B & C) complexes as primary electron donors [25]. Accordingly, we tested the effect of mutations in both of these complexes, e.g. *nuoC* and *glpA*, on Gm killing. Neither $\Delta nuoC$ nor $\Delta glpA$ mutation, isolated or in combination, altered the level of Gm sensitivity (S1 Fig.). These results showed that functional menaquinone and fumarate reductase, as electron carrier and terminal reductase

respectively, are required for Gm killing. Then we tested the $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ mutant in a time-dependent killing experiment, using a concentration of Gm equivalent to 2X MIC (16 μ g/mL). We found $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ mutant exhibited increased resistance to Gm compared to the WT strain (Fig. 1A). Last, combining $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ and $\Delta frdA$ mutations showed no additive effect (Fig. 1A). Altogether these results indicated that in fumarate respiratory conditions RavA ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to Gm in a FrdA-dependent mechanism.

RavA and ViaA are not needed to grow under fumarate respiration.

Previous analysis showed that RV exerted, if anything, a slight negative effect on FrdA enzymatic activity [19]. We therefore tested if RV provided a growth advantage to strains growing using fumarate respiration. Both WT and $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ strains were grown in LB medium supplemented with 10mM fumarate. Colony-forming unit (CFU) counting showed no difference between $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ mutant and WT strain (S2.A Fig). Next, both strains were mixed at a 1:1 ratio, and grew together in M9-glycerol and fumarate under anaerobic conditions for 48h. Competitive index was determined by counting $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA$ CFU, using their kanamycin resistance phenotype, and WT strain CFU at t_0 and t_{48} . The competitive index $(CFU_{mutant}/CFU_{wt})_{t_{48}}/(CFU_{mutant}/CFU_{wt})_{t_0}$ gave a median value of 1.3, revealing no growth advantage of one strain over the other (S2.B Fig). Altogether these results showed that *in vivo* RavA and ViaA do not influence growth under fumarate respiration and presumably do not modulate FrdA enzymatic activity to a large extent, if at all.

RavA and ViaA do not sensitize *E. coli* grown under nitrate respiration to Gm.

Nitrate can be used as a terminal acceptor yielding to the most energetically favourable anaerobic respiratory chain in *E. coli* [26]. Therefore, we tested if RavA and ViaA were able to sensitize *E. coli* to Gm under nitrate respiration. Nitrate reductase NarGHI is used under anaerobic conditions and is the

major enzyme during nitrate respiration. First, we established the MIC value of Gm in LB medium supplemented with NaNO₃ at 10 mM and 0.2 % glycerol and found it was 8 µg/mL for the WT, *ΔravA-viaA*, *ΔnarG* and *ΔravA-viaA ΔnarG* strains. Next, in these conditions we performed a time-dependent killing assay with Gm at 16 µg/mL. The *ΔravA-viaA* mutant was as sensitive as the WT strain (Fig. 2), while *ΔnarG* mutation made *E. coli* less susceptible to Gm comparing to the WT. These results indicate that the sensitization of *E. coli* to Gm under nitrate respiration is dependent upon NarG but independent of RavA-ViaA. Note that combining *ΔravA-viaA* and *ΔnarG* had a slight additive effect as the resulting strain showed an even higher level of resistance than the *ΔnarG* single mutant (Fig. 2) suggesting that preventing respiration of the exogenously added electron acceptor, nitrate, permitted RV to sensitize *E. coli* to Gm.

RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to Gm in the absence of exogenously added electron acceptor in anaerobiosis.

Next, we investigated the extent of the RV sensitizing effect in the absence of exogenously added electron acceptor, i.e. in LB medium supplemented, or not, with 0.2% glycerol or 0.2% glucose. First, the MIC values for Gm in all of these media were found to be 8 µg/mL. Next, we performed a killing assay using Gm at 16 µg/mL (2x MIC) in LB (Fig. 3A), in LB glycerol (Fig. 3B) and at 30 µg/mL (~4x MIC) in LB glucose (Fig. 3C). The *ΔravA-viaA* mutant exhibited increased resistance to Gm compared to the WT strain in all media (Fig. 3). Notably, complementing the *ΔravA-viaA* mutant with the pRV plasmid suppressed its enhanced sensitivity (Fig. 3 C). These results indicated that RavA ViaA exerts a sensitizing effect on *E. coli* in anaerobic conditions in the absence of exogenous electron acceptor.

RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to aminoglycosides specifically.

To test whether the effect of RavA and ViaA proteins is specific to Gm, we measured the survival rate of the *ΔravA-viaA* strain to other antibiotics: another aminoglycoside (Tobramycin), a protein synthesis

inhibitor (tetracycline), a fluoroquinolone (Nalidixic Acid) and a β -lactam (ampicillin). We observed that the $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant was more resistant to tobramycin than the WT strain (Fig. 4A). A modest resistance effect was noticed at short time exposure to tetracycline (Fig. 4B). The $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutation had no effect on the toxic effect of nalidixic acid and ampicillin as its survival rate was the same as the WT strain (Fig. 4C & 4D). Altogether these results showed that in anaerobiosis, RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* specifically to aminoglycosides.

RavA and ViaA increase the intracellular gentamycin concentration under anaerobic conditions.

To understand the role of RavA ViaA in AG sensitization under anaerobic conditions, we performed a Gm uptake assay using ^3H -Gm. Gm uptake assay was done with strains that had grown in LB-glucose under anaerobic conditions. Accumulation of ^3H -Gm in the WT strain progressively increased to reach 1200 ng Gm/ 10^8 cells after 2.5 hours (Fig. 5). Instead, in the $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant, the accumulation of ^3H -Gm remained below 100 ng of Gm/ 10^8 cells after 2.5 hours. Our results indicated that RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to Gm by enhancing its uptake and as a consequence its intracellular concentration.

Increased *ravA-viaA* gene dosage enhances aminoglycoside killing of *E. coli* in aerobiosis.

The previously reported implication of RV in AG sensitivity was derived from studying *E. coli* grown in the presence of O_2 [21]. Moreover, this study monitored growth in the presence of sublethal concentrations of kanamycin, another AG. Therefore, we decided to reinvestigate the influence of RV in aerobiosis using killing assays. The MIC of Gm was found to be 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for both wild type (WT) and $\Delta ravA-viaA$ strains. In a time-dependent killing experiment using a concentration of Gm equivalent to

2.5x MIC (5 µg/mL), *E. coli* WT and $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* strains exhibited similar sensitivities to Gm (Fig. 6A). Hence these results failed to confirm previous data reporting a role of *ravA*-*viaA* in AG resistance in the presence of O₂. To further solve this apparent conundrum, we constructed a plasmid carrying the *ravA*-*viaA* operon (pRV plasmid) and tested whether this would sensitize *E. coli* to Gm. We observed a drastically altered survival of the WT/pRV strain (Fig. 6B) showing the capacity of RavA ViaA to sensitize *E. coli* to Gm killing under aerobiosis. Thus, we concluded that RV can sensitize *E. coli* to Gm in the presence of O₂ as well but only when they are produced above a threshold level value, that seems not to be reached in exponentially growing cells.

RavA-ViaA mediated *E. coli* killing by aminoglycosides in aerobiosis requires *pmf*.

Survival rate to Gm of the WT/pRV was tested in the presence of cyanide-m-chlorophenylhydrazine (CCCP), an ionophore, which dissipates the *pmf*. The data showed that addition of CCCP prevented Gm from killing the WT/pRV strain (Fig. 6C), demonstrating that pRV-mediated killing required *pmf*. Since *pmf* results from respiratory metabolism, we tested whether pRV-mediate sensitization was dependent upon electron transfer chain (ETC)-forming components. We analysed survival to Gm of strains defective for the synthesis of ubiquinones, the lipid that acts as electron carrier within aerobic ETCs. A $\Delta ubiA$ strain was highly resistant to Gm treatment and pRV plasmid failed to sensitize the strain defective for ubiquinones (Fig. 6D). Similarly, a Δnuo Δndh strain lacking both NADH dehydrogenase I and II, canceled pRV-mediated sensitization (Fig. 6E). These data supported the notion that respiration is required for pRV-mediated killing in aerobiosis.

RavA-ViaA have no effect on Nuo activity.

To test if RavA and ViaA affect the activity of the Nuo respiratory complex, the Nuo complex activity was measured using deamino-NADH as specific substrate and O₂ as a final electron acceptor. The level

of activity of the Nuo complex was in the $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* mutant comparable whether it carried the pRV plasmid or the empty vector control (S3 Fig). This result led us to conclude that RavA ViaA has no effect on Nuo activity level.

Discussion

AGs have been used for decades to treat Gram-negative infections. Yet our understanding of their mode of action, and in particular their mode of entry into the cells remains uncertain. A two step model has been put forward, including a first step wherein AG cross the membrane via a *pmf*-dependent pathway followed by a second step wherein aborted translational misfolded polypeptides allow massive entry [27]. In this work, we report the influential role of an AAA+ ATPase from the MoxR subfamily, RavA, associated with its VWA-containing partner, ViaA, in Gm uptake under anaerobiosis. We show that the first *pmf* dependent step is required for RavA-ViaA-dependent facilitating Gm uptake. We discuss a hypothesis wherein RavA-ViaA role would take place when cells are in low energetic state.

E. coli possess a highly versatile arsenal of respiratory chains. *E. coli* synthesizes multiple dehydrogenases and terminal reductases, which act as quinone reductases and oxydases, respectively [26,28]. Likewise, from the sole bioenergetic point of view, quinones can connect most of the dehydrogenases with most of the reductases and a great variety of respiratory chains be formed [26,28]. However, not all possible respiratory chain afford redox energy conservation as this requires redox-loop mechanism, which couples electron transfer across the membrane to expulsion of a proton in the periplasm. Energy conservation is maximal in aerobiosis, decreases under fumarate respiration while it gets to its lowest level in the absence of exoneously added electron acceptor [26]. Satisfyingly enough, we found predicted redox energy conservation level to parallel Gm sensitivity level (Fig. 7). Oxygen, nitrate and fumarate as electron acceptors, in this order, yield to higher level of *pmf* and

decreasing level of susceptibility of *E. coli* to Gm. Surprisingly, the importance of RavA ViaA in sensitizing *E. coli* to Gm appeared to follow the redox energy hierarchy defined above. Indeed influence of RV was apparent when exogenous electron acceptors providing lowest energy conservation, i.e. no exogenous electron acceptor or with fumarate (Fig. 7). It is unclear which mode *E. coli* is relying on to grow in the absence of “exogeneous added electron acceptor” and we assume that endogenously produced acetate as well as amino acids present in the rich medium can act as electron acceptors. In contrast, with nitrate or oxygen as electron acceptors, which yields to the two highest energy conservation processes, RavA and ViaA had no significant influence. Thus it is tempting to propose as a working hypothesis that RV sensitizing effect takes place only when cell energy goes beyond a threshold value.

The *ravA-viaA* operon is under Fnr control [19] and consistently we found a phenotype for $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant under anaerobiosis. In contrast, killing assays failed to show evidence of the expected enhanced Gm resistance of $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant in the presence of O₂. Yet our subsequent analysis using plasmid carrying RavA-ViaA copies revealed that RavA ViaA was able to sensitize *E. coli* to Gm, in other words, that aerobic condition was not intrinsically inhibitory to the RavA ViaA activity. Previous study showed the *ravA viaA* operon to be under sigma S control under aerobiosis, with an optimal synthesis of both RavA and ViaA proteins occurring in cells under stationary phase [19]. Hence it is likely that in our killing assays performed on cells growing exponentially in the presence of O₂, RavA and ViaA protein levels were not high enough for an influence to be detected. It will be interesting to reinvestigate the issue of RavA ViaA influence on Gm lethal activity on stationary resting cells. Besides, if RavA ViaA were to bear a sensitizing effect on starving cells, it would somehow be consistent with the hypothesis above predicting a capacity of RV to sense cells under low energetic metabolism.

We showed that RV complex sensitizes *E. coli* to AG via a *pmf*-dependent mechanism. A simplest explanation to account for the sensitizing role of RV is that RV enhanced respiratory chain activity,

thereby yielding to high *pmf* level and enhanced Gm uptake. Yet this explanation seems to fall short. Indeed, no positive effect of RV was found neither on Frd nor on Nuo activity. The effect of RV on Frd was previously investigated and no significant effect was found either [19]. Instead, it was proposed that RV could assist step-wise assembly of the multi-subunits Frd complex in the membrane [19]. Such an hypothesis was in line with the fact that several AAA+ ATPases do assist folding and assembly of multiprotein complexes. Yet, the experiments performed in this study did not show any advantage of the presence of RV on fumarate based growth, casting some doubt on the importance of RavA ViaA for Frd functioning *in vivo*. RavA ViaA were proposed to target the Nuo complex based upon pull-down assays [21]. Actually, only a subset of Nuo subunits did interact with RavA and/or ViaA, and these putative partnerships changed depending upon the growth conditions : NuoA and F interacting with RavA and ViaA under aerobiosis and NuoCD interacting with both RavA and ViaA under anaerobiosis. Yet in their phenotypic analysis, mutating *nuo* genes bore no effect on RavA-ViaA mediated sensitization to sublethal concentration of kanamycin, raising questions about the functional consequences of the interactions between RV and some Nuo subunits by pull-down assays. Moreover, we found no effect of RavA ViaA onto the level of Nuo activity. Thus, if the use of Gm toxicity as a read-out as we used here, clearly demonstrates a physiological link between RV and respiratory complexes, the mode of action of RV on these complexes remains enigmatic.

Antibiotic resistance is a major public health, social and economic problem. AGs are known for their high efficiency against Gram-negative bacteria but their use is restricted to life threatening infections because of their nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity at therapeutic doses [29]. Elucidation of AG sensitization mechanisms in bacteria will allow the use of a decreased effective dose of AGs, to safely treat a wider proportion of infections. Here we identified new molecular actors, RavA and ViaA, which sensitize *E. coli* to AG under anaerobiosis. This is a significant step forward as anaerobiosis is well known to reduce antibacterial activity of AG. This study extends our previous work [4,30,31] and

301 further emphasizes the influence that environmental conditions and composition can bear on level of
302 antibiotic resistance.
303
304

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The *E. coli* K-12 strain MG1655 and its derivatives used in this study are listed in Table 1. Deletion mutations ($\Delta ravA::Kan^R$, $\Delta viaA::Kan^R$, $\Delta ndh::Kan^R$, $\Delta frdA::Kan^R$, $\Delta menA::Kan^R$, $\Delta nuoC::Kan^R$, $\Delta glpA::Kan^R$, $\Delta narG::Kan^R$) from the KEIO collection were introduced by P1 transduction. The $\Delta ravA$ - $viaA::Kan^R$ mutant was constructed using the procedure described by Datsenko K. and Wanner B. [32], using oligos *ravA*wanner_up and *viaA*wanner_do, followed by a transduction in clean MG1655 background. Transductants were verified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), using primers pair hybridizing upstream and downstream the deleted genes. When performed, excision of the kanamycin cassette was done using the pCP20 plasmid [32]. Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table 2. *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) rich medium or in minimal M9 medium. Glucose (0.2%), Glycerol (0.2%), IPTG (1 mM), CCCP (5 µg/mL), fumarate (10 mM) or nitrate (10mM) were added when indicated. Solid media contained 1.5% agar. For standard molecular biology techniques antibiotics were used at the following concentrations, kanamycin at 50 µg/mL, and ampicillin at 50 or 100 µg/mL.

Plasmid construction

Plasmid pRV was constructed by, first, PCR amplification of the coding region of *ravA-viaA* from the *E. coli* MG1655 chromosomal DNA using the following primers pair: *ravA* UP *Bam*HI/ *viaA* DO *Hind*III (Table 2). The PCR product was then digested by *Bam*HI and *Hind*III and cloned into the *Bam*HI/*Hind*III linearized ptrC99A vector [33]. The sequence of the inserted fragment was checked by DNA sequencing.

Time-dependent killing assay

Overnight cultures were diluted (1/100) and grown aerobically or anaerobically in specific medium as indicated in the figures' legends at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2. At this point (T₀) antibiotics at the indicated concentration were added to the cells. At different incubation times, 100 µL of cells were diluted in sterile phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS buffer), spotted on LB agar and then incubated at 37°C for 24 to 48h. Cell survival was determined by counting colony-forming units per mL (CFU/mL). The absolute CFU at time-point 0 (used as the 100%) was $\approx 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL. Survival rate in anaerobic conditions was performed in anaerobic chamber (Coy and Jacomex Chambers). Materials (medium, tubes, plates...) were all previously equilibrated in the anaerobic chamber for at least 18 h.

MIC determination

The MICs were determined by the microdilution method in a 96 wells plate according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline. Briefly, serial dilutions of Gm in a 2-fold manner were done in 100 µL Cation Adjusted Müller-Hinton or in LB supplemented or not with either glucose (0.2%) or fumarate (10 mM) or nitrate (10mM). *E. coli* inoculum were prepared by suspending colonies grown overnight on LB agar using 1×PBS to achieve a turbidity of 0.5 McFarland (1×10^8 CFU/ml) and the final concentration of the inoculum in each well was around 5×10^5 CFU/mL. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 hours under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. MIC was defined as the lowest drug concentration that exhibited complete inhibition of microbial growth. All MICs were determined from at least three independent biological replicates.

Gentamycin uptake

[³H]-Gm (20 µCi/mg; Hartmann Analytic Corp.) was added at the indicated final concentration and cultures were incubated at 37°C on a rotary shaker. At given times, 500 µL aliquots were removed and collected on a 0.45 µM-pore-size HAWP membrane filter (Millipore) pre-treated with 1 mL of

unlabelled Gm (250 µg/mL). Filters were subsequently washed with 10 ml of 3 % NaCl, placed into counting vials, dried for 30 min at 52°C whereafter 8 mL of scintillation liquid were added and incubated overnight at room temperature. Vials were counted for 5 min. Gm uptake efficiency is expressed as total accumulation of Gm (ng) per 10⁸ cells.

Competition experiment in batch culture

The two strains tested were first grown separately overnight in M9 medium supplemented with casamino acids (0.1%). The cell density of each suspension was measured by OD_{600nm} reading and by CFU count. Each overnight culture containing approximately 3x10⁸ cells/mL was diluted 1/100-fold and mixed in a ratio of 1:1 to inoculate 25 mL of M9 supplemented with casamino acids (0.1%) (time 0 h) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C for a competitive growth. The co-culture was diluted 1/100 in 25 ml of fresh M9 medium and grown for another 24 h at 37°C. The initial density of each strain was determined in the initial co-culture (0 h) from CFU data by diluting and plating population samples onto LB agar and LB agar supplemented with kanamycin. Similarly, the final density of each strain was determined for all the co-cultures.

Enzymatic assay

Cells grown in LB (100 mL) to OD_{600nm} 0.6 were harvested by centrifugation, washed once in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5, and resuspended in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 (6 mL), lysed using a French press, aliquoted (100 µL) and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Nuo activity was assayed at 30°C by adding thawed samples to 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 containing reduced nicotinamide hypoxanthine dinucleotide (deamino-NADH) (250 µM) as specific substrate, and by following A_{340nm}. Protein concentration was determined using the protein A_{280nm} method on NanoDrop2000 spectrophotometer.

Table 1. *E. coli* strains used in this study

<i>E. coli</i> strains	Relevant genotype	Source
BP897	MG1655 $\Delta nuo::nptI$ Kan ^R	Ezraty B. <i>et al.</i> [4]
BP1046	MG1655 $\Delta nuo::nptI \Delta ndh::FRT$	This study
FBE051	MG1655 Wild type	Lab collection
FBE501	MG1655 $\Delta menA::FRT$	This study
FBE706	MG1655 $\Delta ravA-viaA::FRT$	This study
FBE790	MG1655 $\Delta frdA::FRT$	This study
FBE829	MG1655 $\Delta narG::FRT$	This study
FBE830	MG1655 $\Delta ravA-viaA \Delta narG::FRT$	This study
FBE831	MG1655 $\Delta ravA-viaA \Delta frdA::FRT$	This study
FBE950	MG1655 $\Delta glpA::FRT$	This study
FBE951	MG1655 $\Delta ravA-viaA \Delta glpA::FRT$	This study
FBE1055	MG1655 $\Delta glpA \Delta nuoC::FRT$	This study
FBE1057	MG1655 $\Delta nuoC::FRT$	This study
LL922	$\Delta ubiA$	Kazemzadeh K. <i>et al.</i> [34]

Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study

Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')
Construction of the <i>ΔravA-viaA</i> mutant	
ravAanner_up	CTCGCAATTTACGCAGAACTTTTGACGAAAGGACGCCACTTCATTTGTGTAGGCTGGAGC
viaAanner_do	GCCAGCTGCTGTTTCGCGAGAGCGTCCCTTCTCTGCTGTAATAATCATATGAATATCCTCC
Construction of the pRV plasmid	
ravA UP BamHI	GGCCGGATCCATGGCTCACCTCATTTATTA
viaA DO HindIII	GGCCAAGCTTTTATCGCCGCCAGCGTCTGAG
Checking the Knock-out mutants	
frdA_Frd	GTGGAATAGCGTTCGCAGACC
frdA_Rv	GCTATGCGGTGCGGTATCGAC
glpA_Frd	ATGAGCGAATATGCGCGAAATCAAA
glpA_Rv	GCAGTTGCAGGCCACAGAGTAA
menA_Frd	AACATCTGGATGCGTTGGTGG
menA_Rv	TAGGCTTAACATTAGTTGCTGC
narG_frd	AGGCTCCACAGGAGAAAACCG
narG_Rv	CACCATGCCGACTTGTGAACGAATTT
nuoC_Frd_2	TGCTCGATCGCTTCACGCTC
nuoC_Rv_2	TCGGCAAAGGGATTTTCTTCGC
ravA_up_verif	CCTAAATGCGGCCACATTAACC
viaA_do_verif	GGCGGCGGTATCGCCAGTCTCG

Figures legend

Fig 1. The *ravA-viaA* operon sensitizes fumarate respiring *E. coli* to gentamycin under fumarate respiration.

(A & B) Survival of WT (FBE051), Δ *ravA-viaA* (FBE706), Δ *frdA* (FBE790), Δ *ravA-viaA* Δ *frdA* (FBE831) and Δ *menA* (FBE501) strains after Gm treatment. Cells were grown anaerobically in LB supplemented with fumarate at 10 mM and then Gm was added at 16 μ g/mL. The survival values after 1.5 and 3 hours of treatment are represented. Black and red lines are for untreated and Gm-treated bacteria, respectively. For Δ *ravA-viaA* Δ *frdA* (FBE831) strain, lines of treated and untreated cells are overlapping. Survival measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which Gm was added (early log phase cells; $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL) and plotted as Log_{10} of % survival. The Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) value of Gm was 8 μ g/mL in LB medium supplemented with fumarate at 10 mM for the four strains, WT, Δ *ravA-viaA*, Δ *frdA* and Δ *menA*, grown anaerobically. Values are expressed as means of at least 3 biological replicates and error depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare at each time point (1.5 and 3 hours) the treated WT to each of the treated mutant, in (A) asterisks were similar for the three mutants and therefore were represented once for all (***) adjusted *p*Value = 0.0002 & **** adjusted *p*Value < 0.0001).

Fig 2. RavA and ViaA do not sensitize nitrate respiring *E. coli* to gentamycin.

Survival of WT (FBE051), Δ *ravA-viaA* (FBE706), Δ *narG* (FBE829), Δ *ravA-viaA* Δ *narG* (FBE830) strains after Gm treatment. Cells were grown anaerobically in LB supplemented with nitrate at 10 mM and glycerol at 0.2% and then Gm was added at 16 μ g/mL. The survival values after 1.5 and 3 hours of treatment are represented. Black and red lines are for untreated and Gm-treated bacteria, respectively. Lines of untreated WT and Δ *ravA-viaA* (FBE706) strains are overlapping. Lines of

untreated $\Delta narG$ (FBE829) and $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* $\Delta narG$ (FBE830) are overlapping. Survival measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which Gm was added (early log phase cells; $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL) and plotted as $\log_{10}$ of % survival. Values are expressed as means of at least 3 biological replicates and error depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare at each time point (1.5 and 3 hours) the treated WT to each of the treated mutant as well as treated $\Delta narG$ (FBE829) to $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* $\Delta narG$ (FBE830) (ns: not significant, * adjusted pValue < 0.05 and **** adjusted pValue < 0.0001).

Fig 3. RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to gentamycin under anaerobic conditions in the absence of exogenous added electron acceptor.

(A and B) Survival of WT (FBE051) and the $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* (FBE706) strains after Gm treatment. Cells were grown in LB **(A)** or in LB supplemented with 0.2% glycerol **(B)** until $OD_{600nm} \sim 0.2$ and then Gm was added at 16 μ g/mL. The survival values after 1.5 and 3 hours of treatment are represented. Black and red lines are for untreated and Gm-treated bacteria, respectively. Values are expressed as means of at least 3 biological replicates and error depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare at each time point (1.5 and 3 hours) the treated WT to $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* mutant (* adjusted pValue < 0.05, *** adjusted pValue = 0.0002 and **** adjusted pValue < 0.0001).

(C) Survival of WT (FBE051) and the $\Delta ravA$ -*viaA* (FBE706) strains carrying either the pRV plasmid or the control empty vector (p $\emptyset$). Cells were grown in LB supplemented with glucose (0.2 %), IPTG (1 mM) and ampicillin (50 μ g/mL) until $OD_{600nm} \sim 0.2$ and then Gm was added at 30 μ g/mL. The survival values after 3 hours of treatment are represented. Black and red bars are for untreated and antibiotic-treated bacteria, respectively. Survival measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which Gm was added (early log phase cells; $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL) and plotted as $\log_{10}$ of % survival. One-way

ANOVA tests followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare the treated WT to the treated $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant (ns = not significant and ** adjusted pValue < 0.05).

Fig 4. RavA and ViaA sensitize specifically to aminoglycosides.

Survival of WT (FBE051) and $\Delta ravA-viaA$ (FBE706) strains after antibiotic treatment. Cells were grown in LB supplemented with glucose (0.2 %) until $OD_{600nm} \sim 0.1$ and antibiotics were added: **(A)** Tobramycin (30 $\mu g/mL$); **(B)** Tetracycline (5 $\mu g/mL$); **(C)** Nalidixic acid (5 $\mu g/mL$); and **(D)** Ampicillin (5 $\mu g/mL$). Black and red lines are for untreated and antibiotic-treated bacteria, respectively. The survival values after 1.5 and 3 hours of treatment are represented. Survival, measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which the antibiotic was added and plotted as $\log_{10}$ of % survival. Values are expressed as means (n=3) and error bars depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare at each time point (1.5 and 3 hours) the treated WT to the treated $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant (ns = not significant, * adjusted pValue < 0.05 and **** adjusted pValue < 0.0001).

Fig 5. RavA and ViaA increase gentamycin uptake.

3H -Gm uptake in WT (FBE051) and $\Delta ravA-viaA$ (FBE706) strains was measured by incubating early exponential-phase cultures ($OD_{600nm} \sim 0.1$) with 30 $\mu g/mL$ 3H -Gm at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions (LB supplemented with glucose at 0.2%). Values are expressed as means (n=3) and error bars depict standard deviation. Unpaired t-test followed by Welch's correction was performed to compare the WT strain to the $\Delta ravA-viaA$ mutant at each time point (ns = not significant, * adjusted pValue < 0.05 and *** adjusted pValue = 0.0003).

Fig 6. Effect of RavA-ViaA on *E. coli* sensitivity to gentamycin under aerobiosis.

(A-B) Increased *ravA* and *viaA* genes dosage alters survival to gentamycin in killing assay.

Survival of the strain WT (FBE051) and the mutant $\Delta ravA-viaA$ (FBE706) **(A)** or of the WT (FBE051) strain containing either a plasmid that carries the *ravA-viaA* operon (pRV) or the empty vector control (p $\emptyset$) **(B)** after treatment with Gm (5 μ g/mL) for 1.5 and 3 hours.

(C-E) The RavA-ViaA gentamycin sensitization phenotype is abolished by p.m.f. inhibitor and is dependent upon a functional respiratory chain.

(C) Survival of the WT (FBE051) strain containing a plasmid that carries the *ravA-viaA* operon (pRV) after treatment with Gm (5 μ g/mL), in the presence or absence of CCCP (5 μ g/mL). Survival of $\Delta ubiA$ (LL922) **(D)** and $\Delta nuo \Delta ndh$ (BP1046) **(E)**, containing a plasmid that carries the *ravA-viaA* operon (pRV) or the empty vector control (p $\emptyset$), after Gm treatment. Cells were grown in LB supplemented with IPTG (1 mM) and ampicillin (50 μ g/mL) until OD_{600nm}~0.1 and Gm (5 μ g/mL) was added.

Survival, measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which the antibiotic was added (early log phase cells; $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL) and plotted as Log₁₀ of % survival. Values are expressed as means (n=3) and error bars depict standard deviation. Black and red lines are for untreated and Gm-treated, respectively. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed (ns = not significant and **** adjusted *p*Value < 0.0001).

Fig 7. Energy conservation level affects gentamycin sensitivity under anaerobic conditions.

Survival of WT (FBE051) and the $\Delta ravA-viaA$ (FBE706) strains after Gm treatment. Cells were grown in LB-glycerol (black), added with 10 mM fumarate (grey) or with 10 mM nitrate (white) until OD_{600nm}~0.2 and then Gm was added at 16 μ g/mL. The survival values after 3 hours of treatment are represented. The first two groups represent the untreated strains and the two last groups represent the treated strains (+Gm). Values are expressed as means of at least 3 biological replicates and error depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were

performed (ns = not significant, * adjusted p Value < 0.05, ** adjusted p Value < 0.005, *** adjusted p Value = 0.0003 and **** adjusted p Value < 0.0001).

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of the Py group (Marseille), the Barras unit (Paris) and Irina Gutsche (IBS, Grenoble) for fruitful discussions.

Authors Contributions

Conceptualization: Frédéric Barras, Béatrice Py.

Funding acquisition: Frédéric Barras.

Investigation and methodology: Jessica Y. El Khoury, Jordi Zamarreño Beas, Allison Huguenot, Béatrice Py.

Supervision: Frédéric Barras, Béatrice Py.

Writing review & editing: Frédéric Barras, Béatrice Py, Jessica Y. El Khoury.

References

1. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AKM, Wertheim HFL, Sumpradit N, et al. Antibiotic resistance-the need for global solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2013;13: 1057–1098. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9
2. Munita JM, Arias CA. Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiol Spectr.* 2016;4: 10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016–2015. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015
3. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ. A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. *Cell.* 2007;130: 797–810. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.049
4. Ezraty B, Vergnes A, Banzhaf M, Duverger Y, Huguenot A, Brochado AR, et al. Fe-S cluster

- 520 biosynthesis controls uptake of aminoglycosides in a ROS-less death pathway. *Science*. 2013;340:
521 1583–1587. doi:10.1126/science.1238328
- 522 **5.** Keren I, Wu Y, Inocencio J, Mulcahy LR, Lewis K. Killing by bactericidal antibiotics does not
523 depend on reactive oxygen species. *Science*. 2013;339: 1213–1216. doi:10.1126/science.1232688
- 524 **6.** Liu Y, Imlay JA. Cell death from antibiotics without the involvement of reactive oxygen species.
525 *Science*. 2013;339: 1210–1213. doi:10.1126/science.1232751
- 526 **7.** Davis BD. Mechanism of bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. *Microbiol Rev*. 1987;51: 341–
527 350.
- 528 **8.** Mechanism of Bactericidal Action of Aminoglycosides. *Microbiol Rev*. 1988;52: 153.
- 529 **9.** Taber HW, Mueller JP, Miller PF, Arrow AS. Bacterial uptake of aminoglycoside antibiotics.
530 *Microbiol Rev*. 1987;51: 439–457.
- 531 **10.** Fraimow HS, Greenman JB, Leviton IM, Dougherty TJ, Miller MH. Tobramycin uptake in
532 *Escherichia coli* is driven by either electrical potential or ATP. *J Bacteriol*. 1991;173: 2800–2808.
- 533 **11.** Herisse M, Duverger Y, Martin-Verstraete I, Barras F, Ezraty B. Silver potentiates
534 aminoglycoside toxicity by enhancing their uptake. *Mol Microbiol*. 2017;105: 115–126.
535 doi:10.1111/mmi.13687
- 536 **12.** Bryan LE, Van Den Elzen HM. Effects of membrane-energy mutations and cations on
537 streptomycin and gentamicin accumulation by bacteria: a model for entry of streptomycin and
538 gentamicin in susceptible and resistant bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1977;12: 163–177.
539 doi:10.1128/AAC.12.2.163
- 540 **13.** Alper MD, Ames BN. Transport of antibiotics and metabolite analogs by systems under cyclic
541 AMP control: positive selection of *Salmonella typhimurium* *cya* and *crp* mutants. *J Bacteriol*. 1978;133:
542 149–157. doi:10.1128/jb.133.1.149-157.1978
- 543 **14.** Nichols WW, Young SN. Respiration-dependent uptake of dihydrostreptomycin by *Escherichia*
544 *coli*. Its irreversible nature and lack of evidence for a uniport process. *Biochem J*. 1985;228: 505–512.
545 doi:10.1042/bj2280505

15. Davis BD, Chen LL, Tai PC. Misread protein creates membrane channels: an essential step in the bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1986;83: 6164–6168. doi:10.1073/pnas.83.16.6164
16. Eisenberg ES, Mandel LJ, Kaback HR, Miller MH. Quantitative association between electrical potential across the cytoplasmic membrane and early gentamicin uptake and killing in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Bacteriol*. 1984;157: 863–867. doi:10.1128/jb.157.3.863-867.1984
17. Jessop M, Felix J, Gutsche I. AAA+ ATPases: structural insertions under the magnifying glass. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2021;66: 119–128. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2020.10.027
18. Snider J, Houry WA. MoxR AAA+ ATPases: a novel family of molecular chaperones? *J Struct Biol*. 2006;156: 200–209. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2006.02.009
19. Wong KS, Bhandari V, Janga SC, Houry WA. The RavA-ViaA Chaperone-Like System Interacts with and Modulates the Activity of the Fumarate Reductase Respiratory Complex. *J Mol Biol*. 2017;429: 324–344. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2016.12.008
20. Cole ST, Grundström T, Jaurin B, Robinson JJ, Weiner JH. Location and nucleotide sequence of *frdB*, the gene coding for the iron-sulphur protein subunit of the fumarate reductase of *Escherichia coli*. *Eur J Biochem*. 1982;126: 211–216. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1982.tb06768.x
21. Wong KS, Snider JD, Graham C, Greenblatt JF, Emili A, Babu M, et al. The MoxR ATPase RavA and Its Cofactor ViaA Interact with the NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase I in *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS ONE*. 2014;9: e85529. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085529
22. Girgis HS, Hottes AK, Tavazoie S. Genetic architecture of intrinsic antibiotic susceptibility. *PloS One*. 2009;4: e5629. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005629
23. Baharoglu Z, Babosan A, Mazel D. Identification of genes involved in low aminoglycoside-induced SOS response in *Vibrio cholerae*: a role for transcription stalling and Mfd helicase. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014;42: 2366–2379. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1259
24. Unden G. Differential roles for menaquinone and demethylmenaquinone in anaerobic electron transport of *E. coli* and their *fnr*-independent expression. *Arch Microbiol*. 1988;150: 499–503.

doi:10.1007/BF00422294

25. Uden G, Steinmetz PA, Degreif-Dünnwald P. The Aerobic and Anaerobic Respiratory Chain of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*: Enzymes and Energetics. *EcoSal Plus*. 2014;6.

doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0005-2013

26. Uden G, Bongaerts J. Alternative respiratory pathways of *Escherichia coli*: energetics and transcriptional regulation in response to electron acceptors. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1997;1320: 217–

234. doi:10.1016/s0005-2728(97)00034-0

27. Krause KM, Serio AW, Kane TR, Connolly LE. Aminoglycosides: An Overview. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2016;6: a027029. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a027029

28. Kaila VRI, Wikström M. Architecture of bacterial respiratory chains. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2021;19: 319–330. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00486-4

29. Croes S, Koop AH, van Gils SA, Neef C. Efficacy, nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity of aminoglycosides, mathematically modelled for modelling-supported therapeutic drug monitoring. *Eur J Pharm Sci Off J Eur Fed Pharm Sci*. 2012;45: 90–100. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2011.10.022

30. Gerstel A, Beas JZ, Duverger Y, Bouveret E, Barras F, Py B. Oxidative stress antagonizes fluoroquinolone drug sensitivity via the SoxR-SUF Fe-S cluster homeostatic axis. *PLOS Genet*. 2020;16: e1009198. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009198

31. Chareyre S, Barras F, Mandin P. A small RNA controls bacterial sensitivity to gentamicin during iron starvation. *PLoS Genet*. 2019;15: e1008078. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008078

32. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2000;97: 6640–6645. doi:10.1073/pnas.120163297

33. Amann E, Ochs B, Abel KJ. Tightly regulated tac promoter vectors useful for the expression of unfused and fused proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *Gene*. 1988;69: 301–315. doi:10.1016/0378-1119(88)90440-4

34. Kazemzadeh K, Chehade MH, Hourdoir G, Brunet CD, Caspar Y, Loiseau L, et al. The Biosynthetic Pathway of Ubiquinone Contributes to Pathogenicity of *Francisella novicida*. *J Bacteriol*.

2021. doi:10.1128/JB.00400-21

Supporting information

S1 Fig. The Nuo complex and the GlpA complex are dispensable for the RavA/ViaA-dependent sensitization of *E. coli* to gentamycin under fumarate respiration.

(A, B, C) Survival of WT (FBE051), $\Delta nuoC$ (FBE1057), $\Delta glpA$ (FBE950) and $\Delta glpA \Delta nuoC$ (FBE1055) strains after Gm treatment. Cells were grown in LB supplemented with fumarate at 10 mM **(A)** and glycerol at 0.2% **(B, C)** and then Gm was added at 16 μ g/mL. The survival values after 1.5 and 3 hours of treatment are represented. Black and red lines are for untreated and Gm-treated bacteria, respectively. Most of the lines of untreated cells are overlapping. Survival measured by CFU per mL, was normalized relative to time zero at which Gm was added (early log phase cells; $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL) and plotted as Log_{10} of % survival. For **A** and **B**, values are expressed as means of at least 3 biological replicates and error depict standard deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed to compare at each time point (1.5 and 3 hours) the treated WT to each of the treated mutant (ns = not significant).

S2 Fig. RavA-ViaA have no effect on fumarate respiration dependent growth.

(A) CFU/mL of WT (FBE051) and $\Delta ravA$ - $\Delta viaA$ (FBE706) strains were determined when grown anaerobically in LB medium supplemented with fumarate (10 mM). At time 0, the $\text{OD}_{600\text{nm}}$ of the culture was approximately 0.1. **(B)** Strains WT (FBE051) and $\Delta ravA$ - $\Delta viaA$ (FBE706) were grown separately overnight in minimum M9 medium supplemented with glycerol (0.2 %), fumarate (10 mM) and casamino acids (0.1 %), in anaerobic conditions. Cultures were then diluted 1/100 into fresh medium and co-inoculated in a 1:1 ratio (t_0). The co-culture was incubated for 48h at 37°C for a competitive growth. The competitive index was calculated as follow

$(CFU_{mutant}/CFU_{wt})_{t_{48}}/(CFU_{mutant}/CFU_{wt})_{t_0}$ (circles represent the values obtained in three independent experiments, n=3, lines represent the medians).

S3 Fig. RavA-ViaA have no effect on Nuo activity.

Nuo specific activity in the WT (FBE051) and the $\Delta ravA-viaA$ (FBE706) strains containing the plasmid carrying the *ravA-viaA* genes (pRV) or the corresponding empty vector (p $\emptyset$). Nuo specific activity was measured in cells extracts using deamino-NADH as substrate. Values are expressed as means (n $\geq$ 3) and error bars depict mean deviation. One-way ANOVA tests followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison tests were performed (ns = not significant). The 100 % correspond to the activity in the WT strain is 127 nmol/min/mg protein.

Figures

Fig 1. The *ravA-viaA* operon sensitizes fumarate respiring *E. coli* to gentamycin under fumarate respiration.

Fig 2. RavA and ViaA do not sensitize nitrate respiring *E. coli* to gentamycin.

Fig 3. RavA and ViaA sensitize *E. coli* to gentamycin under anaerobic conditions in the absence of exogenous added electron acceptor.

Fig 4. RavA and ViaA sensitize specifically to aminoglycosides.

Fig 5. RavA and ViaA increase gentamycin uptake.

Fig 6. Effect of RavA-ViaA on *E. coli* sensitivity to gentamycin under aerobiosis.

Fig 7. Energy conservation level affects gentamycin sensitivity under anaerobic conditions.

Supporting figures

S1 Fig. The Nuo complex and the GlpA complex are dispensable for the RavA/ViaA-dependent sensitization of *E. coli* to gentamycin under fumarate respiration.

S2 Fig. RavA-ViaA have no effect on fumarate respiration dependent growth.

681

682 **S3 Fig. RavA-ViaA have no effect on Nuo activity.**

683

684