Plankton energy flows using a global size-structured and trait-based model

Gabriela Negrete-García^{a,e}, Jessica Y. Luo^{b,c,e}, Matthew C. Long^b, Keith Lindsay^b, Michael Levy^b, Andrew D. Barton^{a,d}

^a*Scripps Institute of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA*

^b*Climate & Global Dynamics Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO*

^c*Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Princeton NJ*

^d*Section of Ecology, Behavior and Evolution, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA*

^e*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript*

Abstract

Plankton community models are critical tools for understanding the processes that shape marine plankton communities, how plankton communities impact biogeochemical cycles, and the feedbacks between community structure and function. Here, using the flexible Marine Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL), we present the Size-based Plankton Ecological TRAits (MARBL-SPECTRA) model, which is designed to represent a diverse plankton community while remaining computationally tractable. MARBL-SPECTRA is composed of nine phytoplankton and six zooplankton size classes represented using allometric scaling relationships for physiological traits and interactions within multiple functional types. MARBL-SPECTRA is embedded within the global ocean component of the Community Earth System Model (CESM) and simulates large-scale, emergent patterns in phytoplankton growth limitation, plankton phenology, plankton generation time, and trophic transfer efficiency. The model qualitatively reproduces observed global patterns of surface nutrients, chlorophyll biomass, net primary production, and the biogeographies of a range of plankton size classes. In addition, the model simulates how predator:prey dynamics and trophic efficiency vary across gradients

Email addresses: glnegret@ucsd.edu (Gabriela Negrete-García), jessica.luo@noaa.gov (Jessica Y. Luo)

in total ecosystem productivity. Shorter food chains that export proportionally more carbon from the surface to the ocean interior occur in productive regions, whereas in oligotrophic regions, the food chains are relatively long and export less organic matter from the surface. The union of functional type modelling with size-resolved, trait-based modelling approaches allows MARBL-SPECTRA to capture both large-scale elemental cycles and the structure of planktonic food webs affecting trophic transfer efficiency.

Keywords: plankton communities, trait-based models, phytoplankton, zooplankton, Earth system modelling

1. Introduction

Phytoplankton account for roughly half of the annual net primary productivity on Earth (Field et al., 1998), and marine net primary production is a fundamental constraint on total ecosystem production in the ocean. Phytoplankton are an extremely diverse set of microorganisms, ranging broadly in cell volume, cell morphology, and biogeochemical functions. The structure of plankton communities is shaped by variable physical, chemical, and predatory environments (Margalef, 1978). Marine plankton communities play key roles in biogeochemical cycling, which includes the export of carbon to the deep ocean (Henson et al., 2012) and the transfer of energy and organic matter to higher trophic levels (Ryther, 1969). Plankton community composition is important in regulating the efficiency of nutrient utilization and the character and quantity of exported organic matter on a regional and seasonal basis (Tréguer et al., 2018; Stemann and Boss, 2012). Mechanistic representations of the distribution of plankton diversity, biogeography, and phenology over the global ocean are essential to predict the function of oceanic ecosystems and global biogeochemical cycles.

Coupled physical-biogeochemical models have long been used to better understand the processes that shape phytoplankton communities in the ocean. Historically, many marine plankton community models were constructed from a common nutrient-phytoplankton-zooplankton-detritus (NPZD) structure (Evans and Parslow, 1985; Fasham et al., 1990; Franks, 2002). Although these models ignore substantial biological complexity, when coupled to ocean circulation models, they provided large-scale estimates of biologically-mediated carbon fluxes (Six and Maier-Reimer, 1996). Modern day 'intermediate complexity' marine ecosystem models (Stock et al., 2014a; Moore

et al., 2004b, 2013b; Aumont et al., 2015; Yool et al., 2013) coupled into Earth system models have been successful at simulating large-scale biogeographical variation in the efficiency of the biological pump and climate effects. Some have exhibited predictive capabilities for fisheries within Large Marine Ecosystems (Park et al., 2019) essential to sustain marine resources subject to climate-driven fluctuations and change. These marine ecosystem models typically include a minimum number of plankton functional types adequate for simulating broad plankton biogeography and biogeochemical interactions such as variations in export efficiency. However, they lack sufficient ecological resolution in the form of size-based differentiation within each plankton functional type to simulate the great diversity and complex interactions within plankton communities that are important to represent changes in community structure under climate change.

Trait-based models are a promising approach for increasing model diversity and ecological realism (Ward et al., 2012; Bruggeman and Kooijman, 2007; Follows et al., 2007; Dutkiewicz et al., 2019). Instead of simulating a few species or generic types of plankton, trait-based models resolve a higher diversity of organisms with distinct physiological and interaction traits, as well as trade-offs between these traits (Litchman et al., 2007). Trait-based models have been used to study the mechanisms shaping plankton biogeography, size structure, and diversity (Barton et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2012; Banas, 2011; Acevedo-Trejos et al., 2015; Monteiro et al., 2011; Follows et al., 2007). However, due to their higher complexity and greater computational cost, trait-based models are more difficult to embed in general circulation models (GCM) and implement in climate-timescale simulations.

Here we describe a new size-structured modelling framework, called MARBL-SPECTRA, that leverages advances in trait-based modelling while remaining computationally tractable within coupled climate simulations. Using the Marine Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL) (Long et al., 2021), a configurable ocean biogeochemical model that has been coupled to the Community Earth System Model (CESM), we implement the Size-based Plankton Ecological TRAits (SPECTRA) model, leveraging MARBL’s capacity for flexible ecosystem configuration. SPECTRA builds on the MARBL-CESM version 2.1 default ecosystem by expanding the number of groups within each phytoplankton and zooplankton functional type, using allometric scaling relationships to reduce the number of free parameters. MARBL-SPECTRA harmonizes the strengths of plankton functional type model representations crucial for capturing large-scale biogeochemical cycles, with the strengths

of trait-based models aimed at representing the richness of plankton food webs and associated trophodynamics, under the constraint that it must be lean enough to be run for century-scale simulations. MARBL-SPECTRA includes nine phytoplankton groups belonging to four different plankton functional types (picoplankton, mixed phytoplankton, diatoms, and diazotrophs). It also includes six zooplankton groups divided into two microzooplankton ($<200\ \mu\text{m}$ ESD) and four mesozooplankton size classes (between 0.2 mm and 20 mm). MARBL-SPECTRA allows us to better and more explicitly simulate plankton diversity while remaining computationally tractable to not preclude climate-timescale integrations within a global model. The model simulates important marine ecosystem dynamics such as phenology, biogeography, and trophic dynamics, as well as the coupled cycles of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous, iron, silicon, and oxygen.

Here, we describe the features and assumptions of MARBL-SPECTRA and use the model to explore large-scale, emergent patterns in phytoplankton growth limitation, plankton phenology, plankton generation time, and trophic dynamics. The model results were validated against a comprehensive suite of biogeochemical observations, as well as independent estimates of phytoplankton and zooplankton productivity to assess MARBL-SPECTRA's ability to capture global-scale patterns in the transfer of energy through the planktonic food web. The model qualitatively reproduces observed global patterns of surface nutrients and chlorophyll biomass and is consistent with empirical estimates of global primary production and phytoplankton size distributions. We use the expansion of plankton communities in MARBL-SPECTRA to explore growth limitation patterns between functional groups and size classes of phytoplankton. It also provides a venue to understand phenology and the trophic position of the plankton community, showing clear differences across productive and unproductive regions of the ocean. Using this model, we investigate mechanisms driving global patterns as well as regional differences in seasonal succession, generation time, and trophic scaling. These processes influence the rate of primary production, export, and transfer efficiency to higher trophic levels which are important in regulating the strength of the biological pump.

2. Methods

2.1. Size-based Plankton Ecological TRAits (SPECTRA) Model

The Size-based Plankton Ecological TRAits (SPECTRA) planktonic community model is implemented using the Marine Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL) (Long et al., 2021), which is the ocean biogeochemical component within the Community Earth System Model (CESM). MARBL is designed to allow for a flexible number of plankton functional types, and in its default configuration, invokes an updated version of the marine ecosystem of its predecessor, the Biogeochemistry Elemental Cycle (BEC) model (Moore et al., 2001, 2004b, 2013b). MARBL-SPECTRA is a new configuration of MARBL that resolves nine phytoplankton (Fig. 1) ranging in size from 0.47 μm to 300 μm in equivalent spherical diameter (ESD; (Fig. 1)). The nine model phytoplankton include one picoplankton, one diazotroph, three sizes of diatoms, and four sizes of mixed phytoplankton. Size classes were chosen such that: 1) within each phytoplankton group, characteristic size (geometric mean of the size range) was evenly spaced on a $\log_{10}$ scale, and 2) size classes across functional types were overlapping but not identical. The picoplankton group is analogous to *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* with a characteristic size of 0.89 μm ESD. Diazotrophs fix nitrogen and have a characteristic size of 6.2 μm ESD. Diatoms, the silicifiers in the community, range in size between 20 μm to 200 μm ESD. The mixed phytoplankton size ranges from 1.7 μm to 300 μm ESD, and represent solitary protists not included in the other functional groups, such as picoeukaryotes and autotrophic dinoflagellates. Within the mixed phytoplankton group, implicit calcifiers (including coccolithophores) are represented by size classes between 3 μm and 25 μm to encompass the main species of coccolithophores (e.g., *Emiliania huxleyi*) (Aloisi, 2015). Phytoplankton ESD was converted to carbon biomass according to carbon:biovolume (C:BV) relationships, for picoplankton (Bertilsson et al., 2003), small nanoplankton (Reynolds, 2006), diatoms, and other non-diatom phytoplankton (Menden-Deuer and Lessard, 2000). The traits and parameters for each model phytoplankton are determined by their body size and functional group, which we describe in greater detail below.

Figure 1: Schematic representation of MARBL-SPECTRA Model. The plankton community is composed of nine phytoplankton groups belonging to four different functional types; picoplankton (light blue), diazotrophs (sky blue), mixed phytoplankton (medium blue), and diatoms (dark blue), and six zooplankton groups composed of microzooplankton (light orange), and mesozooplankton (bright orange). Inorganic nutrients are taken up by phytoplankton (SiO_3 is only taken up by diatoms) who are grazed by zooplankton. Larger circles indicate larger organisms, but the circles are not to scale. Straight arrows indicate phytoplankton consumption by zooplankton, while dotted arrows indicate zooplankton consumption by zooplankton. Mortality and aggregation transfer living organic material into sinking particulate and dissolved organic detritus. The fluxes to particulate organic matter (POM), dissolved organic matter (DOM), and dissolved inorganic matter (DIM) pools are shown as arrows from phytoplankton and zooplankton groups.

MARBL-SPECTRA includes six zooplankton ranging in size from 20 μm to 20 mm ESD (Fig. 1), with each zooplankton consuming multiple phytoplankton and zooplankton prey types. The smallest two zooplankton ($<200 \mu\text{m}$ ESD) are heterotrophic organisms commonly referred to as microzooplankton. The smallest microzooplankton consumes only small phytoplankton, whereas the larger microzooplankton consumes both, small phytoplankton groups and the smallest microzooplankton. Mesozooplankton (between 0.2 mm and 20 mm) correspond to the largest four zooplankton size classes (zoo3-zoo6) and include a range of organisms such as copepods, krill, chaetognaths, and some gelatinous zooplankton. The first three mesozooplankton size classes are omnivorous, able to consume a range of phytoplankton and zooplankton prey, while the largest mesozooplankton is carnivorous, ranging from 6.3 to 20 mm in size. These feeding relationships between predators and prey are depicted by the feeding preference coefficient with an predator-to-prey optimal size ratio of 12.5:1 and maximum and minimum of 50:1 and 1:1, respectively 2.4 (Law et al., 2009; Fuchs and Franks, 2010; Taniguchi et al., 2014; Heneghan et al., 2020). Notably excluded from the mesozooplankton are gelatinous zooplankton like salps and pyrosomes with extremely wide predator-to-prey size ratios (e.g., between 10,000:1 and 50:1) (Conley et al., 2018). Zooplankton ESD was converted to carbon biomass using microzooplankton values from Menden-Deuer and Lessard (2000) and general non-gelatinous mesozooplankton values from Pitt et al. (2013).

MARBL-SPECTRA leverages MARBL’s flexible ecosystem configuration, which represents phytoplankton types P_i and grazers Z_j , where P_i (mmol C m^{-3}) is the phytoplankton biomass of the i^{th} phytoplankton type, and Z_j (mmol C m^{-3}) is the zooplankton biomass of the j^{th} zooplankton type. The rate of change of biomass for the i^{th} phytoplankton is a balance of growth and losses to grazing (predation), mortality, and aggregation, in addition to physical transport processes not shown here. Key model symbols and units are summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3. See Long et al. (2021) for a comprehensive presentation of the plankton community in CESM, version 2.

$$\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial t} = \text{growth} - \text{predation}_p - \text{loss}_p \quad (1)$$

Phytoplankton growth ($\text{mmol C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$) is determined by a carbon-specific, light-saturated photosynthesis rate PC_i^m (d^{-1}) for each phytoplankton group, modulated by a non-dimensional factor which reflects sensitivities to light (γ_i^I):

$$growth = PC_i^m \gamma_i^I P_i \quad (2)$$

The light sensitivity of growth rate (γ_i^I) is parameterized using a modified form of the Geider et al. (1997, 1998) dynamic growth model (Eq. 3), where α_i^{Chl} (mmol C m² (mg Chl W d)⁻¹) is the Chl-specific initial slope of the photosynthesis irradiance curve, I (W m⁻²) is the instantaneous irradiance, and θ_i^C is the *Chl*:C ratio (mg Chl mmol C⁻¹), as follows:

$$\gamma_i^I = 1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha_i^{Chl} \theta_i^C I}{PC_i^m}} \quad (3)$$

MARBL also uses a multi-column subgrid scale treatment for light, following Long et al. (2015), which reduces biases when light fields are heterogeneous, such as high latitude spring bloom conditions. The above equation describes the biomass-specific rate of photosynthesis as a saturating function of irradiance. PC_i^m is expressed as a function of the reference carbon-specific photosynthesis rate (PC_i^{ref}) (d⁻¹) (the maximum achievable carbon-specific photosynthesis rate at the reference temperature) for each phytoplankton group, the temperature dependence function (γ_i^T), and the nutrient limitation function (γ_i^N) for each phytoplankton type. α_i^{Chl} , θ_i^C and PC_i^{ref} are set according to allometric relationships defined by Edwards et al. (2015a), Geider et al. (1997) and (Marañón et al., 2013) explained in more detail in Section 2.2.

$$PC_i^m = PC_i^{ref} \gamma_i^T \gamma_i^N \quad (4)$$

Nutrient limitation of growth (γ_i^N) is determined by the most limiting nutrient resource (mmol m⁻³) for that phytoplankton, computed using Liebig's Law of the Minimum:

$$\gamma_i^N = \min(N_{N,i}^{lim}, N_{P,i}^{lim}, N_{Fe,i}^{lim}, N_{Si,i}^{lim}) \quad (5)$$

where the nutrients considered are nitrogen, iron, silicate, and phosphorous, yet not all nutrients are required for each phytoplankton group. Diatoms require nitrogen, phosphorous, silicate, and iron. Picoplankton, the mixed phytoplankton group and diazotrophs do not assimilate silicate, and diazotrophs are not limited by nitrogen due to their nitrogen fixing abilities. Simultaneous limitation by multiple nitrogen forms, i.e., nitrate (NO₃) and ammonium (NH₄), is represented following the substitutable model of O'Neill et al. (1989); See Long et al. (2021) for more details. A similar

193 approach is used to compute limitation terms for phosphate (PO_4) and semi-
 194 liable dissolved organic phosphate (DOP). The effect on growth rate of each of
 195 these nutrients for each phytoplankton is represented according to Michaelis-
 196 Menten kinetics:

$$N_i^{lim} = \frac{N}{N + k_i} \quad (6)$$

197 where, k_i (mmol N m^{-3}) represents the half-saturation nutrient concen-
 198 tration for each phytoplankton type i set according to allometric relationships
 199 defined by Edwards et al. (2012) explained in more detail in Section 2.2.4.

200 In contrast to the default MARBL configuration, which uses the Eppley
 201 (Eppley, 1972) temperature scaling with the Q_{10} factor, here, the tempera-
 202 ture modulation of growth for each phytoplankton (γ_i^T) is represented by the
 203 Arrhenius-Van't Hoff equation (Arrhenius, 1915). Kremer et al. (2017) found
 204 that the Arrhenius-Van't Hoff temperature scaling function more closely
 205 matched observations of how phytoplankton growth rates scale with temper-
 206 ature. Here, the temperature modulation of phytoplankton rates is expressed
 207 relative to the metabolic rate at a reference temperature.

$$\gamma_i^T = e^{\left(\frac{-Ea(T_0 - T)}{kT_0T}\right)} \quad (7)$$

208 where, Ea is the activation energy (eV), k is the Boltzmann's constant
 209 ($k = 8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV K}^{-1}$), T is temperature ($^{\circ}\text{K}$), and T_0 represents the
 210 reference temperature in the model (293.15°K). Ea for all phytoplankton is
 211 set to 0.32 eV (Kremer et al., 2017), except for picoplankton, where Ea^{pp} is
 212 set to 0.42 eV, a value derived from an analysis of the Kremer et al. (2017)
 213 dataset. Multiple studies have shown that picoplankton have a higher tem-
 214 perature sensitivity compared to phytoplankton of larger sizes (Chen et al.,
 215 2014; Stawiarski et al., 2016; Anderson et al., 2021), and model experimen-
 216 tation showed that lower Ea is key for excluding picoplankton from polar
 217 regions, compared to lower temperature sensitivity of larger sizes.

218 Predation on phytoplankton (predation_p ; $\text{mmol C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$) is modeled
 219 using a Holling type II function, where predation pressure increases approx-
 220 imately linearly as prey increases, before saturating to a maximum rate at
 221 high prey concentrations:

$$\text{predation}_p = \iota_j^{max} \gamma_j^T \phi \left(\frac{P_i'}{P_i' + K^P} \right) Z_j \quad (8)$$

Here, ι_j^{max} (d^{-1}) is the zooplankton maximum ingestion rate at a reference temperature, and scales with zooplankton size (Section 2.3.1). The temperature modulation of ingestion for each zooplankton (γ_j^T) is similar to that of phytoplankton, but differs by having a greater zooplankton activation energy (Ea^Z) compared to autotrophs (Allen et al., 2005), as Rubisco carboxylation (rate limiting for photosynthesis) has a lower Ea than ATP synthesis (Allen et al., 2005; Ángel López-Urrutia et al., 2006). Thus, for zooplankton, Ea^Z are set to 0.55 eV, a value similar to Ángel López-Urrutia et al. (2006) observations. This is in contrast with the default version of MARBL, which uses the same temperature sensitivity for both phytoplankton and zooplankton processes. Among global ocean biogeochemical models, very few models use a higher temperature sensitivity for zooplankton vs. phytoplankton (e.g., PISCES Aumont et al., 2015); the majority of models use either the same scaling for all plankton (e.g., COBALT Stock et al., 2014b, 2020), or no temperature scaling of zooplankton rates (e.g., MEDUSA Yool et al., 2013). Using a higher temperature sensitivity in zooplankton vs. phytoplankton may have implications for phytoplankton-zooplankton coupling and trophic transfer, particularly under climate change, however, a systematic study has not yet been done.

$$\gamma_j^T = e^{\left(\frac{-Ea^Z(T_0-T)}{kT_0T}\right)} \quad (9)$$

K^P ($mmol\ C\ m^{-3}$) is the half-saturation prey concentration which regulates ingestion efficiency at low prey concentrations, and is set as a constant value for all zooplankton (Section 2.3.1). ϕ (unitless) is the feeding preference coefficient, which describes the probability of a given predator ingesting prey of a particular size. The feeding preference coefficient will be discussed in greater detail in Section 2.4. P_i' is the phytoplankton concentration in excess of a temperature-and depth-dependent refuge, and is used to limit autotroph mortality at low biomass ($mmol\ C\ m^{-3}$) (Long et al., 2021).

Phytoplankton loss ($loss_p$; $mmol\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$) is represented by a linear loss term (m_i) (d^{-1}) that includes non-predation mortality and a collection of density-independent processes such as dissolved organic matter (DOM) exudation, viral lysis, and cell death. 6% of phytoplankton loss is routed to dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and the remaining 94% to the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). In MARBL-SPECTRA, instead of a single allometric scaling, linear mortality is set as a fraction of PC_i^{ref} , with a factor of 0.02 for diatoms and 0.03 for all other phytoplankton. The lower linear mortality for

257 diatoms provide a slight advantage over other phytoplankton, particularly in
258 nutrient rich (upwelling and polar) regions:

$$loss_p = m_i P'_i \gamma_i^T + A(P'_i) \quad (10)$$

259 $A(P'_i)$ (mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹) represents loss of phytoplankton due to aggre-
260 gation and unresolved predation, and this loss goes directly to particulate
261 organic matter (POC).

$$A(P'_i) = a_i (P'_i)^2 \quad (11)$$

262 $A(P'_i)$ is parameterized by a "quadratic mortality" rate, a_i (d⁻¹ mmol
263 C⁻¹ m³) for all phytoplankton that falls between imposed minimum (a_i^{min}
264 P'_i) and maximum aggregation ($a_i^{max} P'_i$) rates.

265 As with phytoplankton, the time rate of change in zooplankton is a bal-
266 ance between growth and losses to predation and non-predation mortality:

$$\frac{\partial Z_j}{\partial t} = \zeta ingestion - predation_z - loss_z \quad (12)$$

267 Zooplankton ingestion (mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹) represents the predation gains
268 by zooplankton from their prey, and ζ (*unitless*) represents the maximum
269 gross growth efficiency coefficient (i.e., the maximum fraction of ingestion
270 that goes to growth; Straile, 1997), and is set to be 30% for all zooplankton.
271 Zooplankton (Z_j) are able to feed on both phytoplankton (P_i) and other
272 zooplankton (Z_k , this excludes the largest zooplankton), modulated by a
273 feeding preference coefficient (ϕ). Ingestion is thus the total consumption for
274 a zooplankton (Z_j):

$$ingestion = \iota_j^{max} \gamma_j^T Z_j \phi \left(\left(\frac{P'_i}{P'_i + K^P} \right) + \left(\frac{Z'_k}{Z'_k + K^P} \right) \right) \quad (13)$$

275 where Z'_k is the zooplankton concentration in excess of a temperature-
276 and depth-dependent threshold, used to limit zooplankton mortality at low
277 biomass (mmol C m⁻³). γ_j^T , ι_j^{max} , K^P , and ϕ are described above.

278 Of the total zooplankton ingestion, 35% is egested, yielding an assimi-
279 lation efficiency (AE) of 65%, which is within the general range of 60-80% used
280 for zooplankton (Carlotti et al., 2000). Partitioning of the egestion into the
281 POC, DOC, and DIC fractions depends on zooplankton size, and is discussed
282 further in Section 2.4.1. Active respiration is 35% of ingestion, with the re-
283 maining biomass-based (basal) respiration represented by the linear fraction

Table 1: Size-independent biological parameters.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Phytoplankton activation energy	Ea	0.32	eV
Picoplankton activation energy	Ea^{PP}	0.42	eV
Zooplankton activation energy	Ea^Z	0.55	eV
Phytoplankton "quadratic mortality" rate	a_i	0.035	$\text{mmol C}^{-1} \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$
Phytoplankton linear mortality scaling	m_i	0.03	d^{-1}
Diatom linear mortality scaling	m_{diat}	0.02	d^{-1}
Grazing half-saturation coefficient	K^P	1.1	mmol m^{-3}

284 of zooplankton loss ($\text{mmol C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$). Thus, zooplankton production be-
 285 comes:

$$production = \zeta ingestion - m_j(Z'_j)\gamma_j^T \quad (14)$$

286 where m_j is the basal respiration rate (d^{-1}), and is set following allometric
 287 relationships, as discussed in Section 2.4.1 (see also Table 3).

288 Except for the largest mesozooplankton, all other zooplankton are also
 289 predated upon by larger zooplankton. These predator-prey relationships are
 290 displayed in Fig. 1. The predation term (predation_z ; $\text{mmol C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$) thus
 291 represents the predation losses from one zooplankton (Z_k) to another (Z_j):

$$predation_z = \iota_j^{max} \gamma_j^T \phi \left(\frac{Z'_k}{Z'_k + K^P} \right) Z_j \quad (15)$$

292 Zooplankton losses ($loss_z$; $\text{mmol C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$) consist of a linear loss
 293 term representing zooplankton mortality, as well as unresolved losses to
 294 higher trophic levels (Steele and Henderson, 1992), which are represented by a
 295 biomass- and temperature-dependent quadratic mortality term a_j ($\text{m}^3 \text{ mmol}$
 296 $\text{C}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$). The largest mesozooplankton size class has a higher quadratic loss
 297 mortality to compensate for higher trophic grazing not directly represented
 298 by grazing from the modeled ecosystem. Total non-predation losses include
 299 the linear (basal metabolic rate) and quadratic losses:

$$loss_z = m_j \gamma_j^T Z'_j + a_j \gamma_j^T (Z'_j)^2 \quad (16)$$

2.2. Allometric scaling of phytoplankton traits

Many phytoplankton traits, such as metabolic rate and nutrient affinity, are related to cell size (Chisholm, 1992; Litchman et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2012). There are also ecologically meaningful differences in key traits across phytoplankton functional groups. For example, large diatoms tend to grow more slowly than do smaller diatoms, but diatoms as a whole tend to grow more rapidly than other competing functional groups such as dinoflagellates (Litchman et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2012). We use key trade-offs among functional traits to model community composition of marine phytoplankton along environmental gradients. For example, major functional traits in phytoplankton parameters such as nutrient-dependent growth and uptake have physiological trade-offs in the ability to acquire and utilize resources (Litchman et al., 2007). Incorporating these traits and trait trade-offs into a model allows it to represent the fundamental and realized ecological niche of a species and facilitates its representation across a range of environmental and biotic conditions (Ward et al., 2012; Follows et al., 2007). This approach has been used in a range of plankton community and biogeochemical models (e.g. Fuchs and Franks, 2010; Ward et al., 2012; Taniguchi et al., 2014; Dutkiewicz et al., 2015a; Heneghan et al., 2020). MARBL-SPECTRA adopts this approach, and ties organismal traits and interactions to body size and functional group by employing allometric rules to distinguish within plankton groups instead of individually tuning each plankton functional type. The use of these allometric relationships substantially reduces the number of free parameters.

The effect of size variation on phytoplankton traits is often idealized using a series of power-law scaling function with the typical form:

$$t = \alpha V^\beta \quad (17)$$

where t is the physiological trait, V is the cell volume across plankton in the model, α is a scaling constant, and β is an exponent describing the size dependence. Below, we describe important allometric traits in the model, and discuss how our choices of α and β across functional groups were informed by empirical studies across many phytoplankton sizes and functional groups (Litchman et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2012; Marañón et al., 2013). Model traits are summarized in Fig. 2 and Tables 1 and 2.

Table 2: Size-dependent phytoplankton biological parameters and scaling coefficients (αV^β), where V is volume of each phytoplankton, α is a scaling constant, and β is an exponent describing the size dependence.

Parameter	Symbol	Picoplankton		Mixed phytoplankton		Diatoms		Diazotroph		Units
		α	β	α	β	α	β	α	β	
C-specific rate of photosynthesis	PC_i^{ref}	1.7		2.5	-0.14	5.4	-0.14	1.8		d^{-1}
Initial slope of the photosynthesis-irradiance curve	α_i^{chl}	0.56	-0.15	0.56	-0.15	0.67	-0.12	0.56	-0.15	$mmol\ C\ m^2\ (mg\ Chl\ W\ d)^{-1}$
Chlorophyll to C ratio	θ_i^C	0.12		0.28	0.026	0.28	0.026	0.28	0.026	$mg\ Chl\ mmol\ C^{-1}$
Half-saturation concentration NO_3	K_{NO_3}	0.22	0.30	0.22	0.30	0.20	0.30	2		$mmol\ NO_3\ m^{-3}$
Half-saturation concentration NH_4	K_{NH_4}	0.020	0.30	0.020	0.30	0.020	0.30	0.20		$mmol\ N\ m^{-3}$
Half-saturation concentration PO_4	K_{PO_4}	0.0060	0.30	0.0060	0.30	0.0060	0.30	0.006	0.30	$mmol\ PO_4\ m^{-3}$
Half-saturation concentration Fe	K_{Fe}	$0.60e^{-5}$	0.30	$0.60e^{-5}$	0.3	$0.6e^{-5}$	0.30	$0.60e^{-5}$	0.30	$mmol\ Fe\ m^{-3}$
Half-saturation concentration DOP	K_{DOP}	0.080	0.30	0.080	0.30	0.080	0.30	0.080	0.30	$mmol\ DOP\ m^{-3}$
Half-saturation concentration SiO_3	K_{SiO_3}					0.035	0.30			$mmol\ SiO_3\ m^{-3}$

Figure 2: Model phytoplankton traits and parameters. Relationships for picoplankton (light blue), diazotrophs (sky blue), mixed phytoplankton (medium blue), and diatoms (dark blue), between equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) and (a) daily C-specific rate of photosynthesis (PC_i^{ref}) at a reference temperature (20°C), (b) Linear mortality (m_i), (c) aggregation loss (a_i) representing aggregation and unresolved predation, (d) maximum value of the Chl to phytoplankton carbon ratio (θ_i^C), (e) initial slope of photosynthesis-irradiance curve (α_i^{Chl}), and half saturation nitrate concentration (k_{NO_3}).

2.2.1. Phytoplankton growth and photosynthesis

Within phytoplankton functional types and for cells larger than approximately $5 \mu\text{m}$ ESD, phytoplankton PC_i^{ref} rates generally decrease with increasing cell size (Marañón et al., 2013; Edwards et al., 2012; Tang, 1995). For phytoplankton smaller than $5 \mu\text{m}$ ESD, larger cells grow faster than do smaller ones, such that the overall relationship between PC_i^{ref} and cell size is unimodal, with the fastest growth rates achieved for cells around $5 \mu\text{m}$ ESD (Marañón et al., 2013; Edwards et al., 2012; López-Sandoval et al., 2014). In addition, different functional groups tend to deviate from this overall pattern. Diatoms, for example, tend to grow faster than other groups (Edwards et al., 2012).

Consistent with this overall paradigm, PC_i^{ref} rates in MARBL-SPECTRA

scale with cell volume and functional group with a scaling slope of -0.14 (Marañón et al., 2013) within functional types (Fig. 2a). Diatoms have higher PC_i^{ref} rates than other groups, but within diatoms, PC_i^{ref} decreases with body size ranging from $4.4 d^{-1}$ for the smallest diatoms, and $2.5 d^{-1}$ for the largest diatom, consistent with observations from Marañón et al. (2013) and López-Sandoval et al. (2014). The high PC_i^{ref} rates of diatoms facilitate their high biomass in nutrient-rich habitats and during bloom conditions (Margalef, 1978). Picoplankton have a low PC_i^{ref} rate of $1.3 d^{-1}$ compared to quickly growing but somewhat larger cells. We have found that incorporating lower PC_i^{ref} rates for picoplankton and mixed phytoplankton smaller than $5 \mu m$ ESD was essential for controlling small phytoplankton growth. Otherwise, the picoplankton and smallest mixed phytoplankton dominate, particularly in the higher latitude seasonal seas. PC_i^{ref} rates for the second smallest mixed phytoplankton through the largest mixed phytoplankton ranged between $2.3 d^{-1}$ and $0.8 d^{-1}$. Diazotrophs have PC_i^{ref} rates of $1.5 d^{-1}$, roughly half compared with other phytoplankton of their size, due to the high energetic demands of nitrogen fixation, which reduces growth rates (Margalef, 1978; Fu et al., 2005; Falcón et al., 2005; Breitbarth et al., 2008).

2.2.2. Chlorophyll-carbon ratios

The chlorophyll to carbon ratio (θ_i^C) reflects photoacclimation and nutrient stress and has been shown to track phytoplankton physiology both in the laboratory and in the field (Behrenfeld et al., 2005; Behrenfeld and Boss, 2003). Under the dynamic growth parameterization (Geider et al., 1997), the carbon-specific photosynthesis rate is a function of irradiance as well as θ_i^C . Chl synthesis is regulated by the balance between light absorption and photosynthetic carbon fixation (Geider et al., 1998). Depending on this ratio, a fraction of newly assimilated nitrogen is diverted to the synthesis of Chl. θ_i^C values vary greatly among species and are affected nonlinearly by ambient nutrients, light, and temperature (Geider et al., 1997; Behrenfeld et al., 2002). θ_i^C is maximal at high temperatures and low irradiances under nutrient-replete conditions and declines at high irradiances, especially at low temperature and under nutrient limiting conditions (Geider et al., 1997). The maximum chlorophyll to carbon ratio (θ_i^C) is used as an input parameter in the model but is weakly constrained by empirical studies, with generally higher θ_i^C values for large diatoms and lower values for picoplankton such as *Prochlorococcus* (Geider et al., 1997; Sathyendranath et al., 2009). We therefore used a single allometric scaling relationship for most of the phyto-

380 plankton, where θ_i^C ranges from 0.025 - 0.035 [mg Chl mg C^{-1}], except for
381 picoplankton which have a θ_i^C of 0.01 [mg Chl mg C^{-1}] (Fig. 2b), to match
382 with observed values (Hartmann et al., 2014; Li et al., 2010; Geider et al.,
383 1998, 1986).

384 2.2.3. Initial slope of the photosynthesis-irradiance curve

385 Phytoplankton growth rates generally increase under increasing light up
386 to an irradiance optima, at which point growth rates peak before declining
387 due to photoinhibition at higher irradiance levels (Falkowski et al., 1985).
388 These patterns can be illustrated by the photosynthesis-irradiance (P-I) curve,
389 described by the initial slope of the P-I curve (α_i^{Chl}) and the biomass-specific
390 rate of photosynthesis (PC_i^m) under optimal irradiance (Eq. 3). Variations
391 in α_i^{Chl} across phytoplankton can be explained in part by consistent differ-
392 ences between major taxonomic groups (Richardson et al., 1983; Cullen and
393 MacIntyre, 1998; MacIntyre, 1998; Boyd et al., 2010) as well as cells of dif-
394 fering size (Geider et al., 1986; Finkel, 2001). Where, a decrease in α_i^{Chl}
395 with cell size represents the ability of smaller cells to outperform larger cells
396 under low-light conditions (Edwards et al., 2015a). This is consistent with
397 self-shading of intercellular photosynthetic pigments, also referred to as the
398 "Package effect" (Kirk, 1976), where as cell size increases, the same concen-
399 tration of pigment, cellular volume, or unit of biomass will adsorb less light
400 due to self-shading of pigment molecules (Kirk, 1994).

401 Discrepancies across functional types exist, with higher α_i^{Chl} in diatoms
402 compared to other phytoplankton of similar sizes, due to the ability of di-
403 atoms to perform relatively well under both limiting light and excessive light
404 (Richardson et al., 1983), or fluctuating light (Litchman, 1998) environments.
405 Based upon the dataset of Edwards et al. (2015b), we set α , and β to be 0.67
406 mmol C m² (mg Chl W d)⁻¹ and -0.12 for diatoms (Table 4 & Fig. 2d). For
407 other groups, we used 0.56 mmol C m² (mg Chl W d)⁻¹ and -0.15 respec-
408 tively.

409 2.2.4. Nutrient acquisition

410 Phytoplankton growth in MARBL is a multiplicative factor of tempera-
411 ture, light, and nutrient limitation, with the nutrient limitation set by what-
412 ever nutrient concentration is lowest relative to the half-saturation constants
413 for nutrient uptake (Moore et al., 2004b; Long et al., 2021). Experimen-
414 tal data and theoretical evidence demonstrates that smaller cells have higher
415 rates of nutrient uptake per unit biomass and lower half-saturation constants

(Eppley et al., 1969; Aksnes and Egge, 1991) compared to larger cells. The observed β between k and cell volume falls between 0.24 and 0.45 for NO_3 , and 0.29 to 0.56 for PO_4 (Edwards et al., 2012). Since our model includes multiple limiting nutrients, we used a single size-scaling exponent of 0.3 for all nutrients. This means that within groups, picoplankton have more efficient nutrient uptake (smallest k_N) compared to the large diatoms and large mixed phytoplankton. Diazotrophs (e.g. *Trichodesmium* spp.) are the only exception from this allometric scaling, since they are less efficient at inorganic nutrient uptake (McCarthy and Carpenter, 1979) and they often occur as large colonies, where their surface to volume considerations imply higher half-saturation constants relative to the small phytoplankton and diatom groups (Letelier and Karl, 1998). However, higher half saturation constants for diazotrophs were only set for nitrogen and iron. See table 2 for all nutrient half-saturation constants and scaling coefficients.

MARBL-SPECTRA uses a fixed C:N:P stoichiometric ratio of nutrient uptake of 117:16:1 for all phytoplankton, which is a modified ratio following Anderson and Sarmiento (1994). While a dynamic model of C:P stoichiometry is provided within MARBL (Galbraith and Martiny, 2015; Long et al., 2021), enabling it with MARBL-SPECTRA would have added 15 additional tracers to the model, making computation extremely expensive. Additionally, we opted against using a size-dependent C:P stoichiometry (e.g., Finkel et al., 2010) to avoid undue complexity, as we found from initial tests that adding allometric C:P stoichiometry did not significantly impact plankton community composition in the present day. However, for future development of MARBL-SPECTRA, particularly for climate change experiments, size-dependent C:P stoichiometry can be explored.

Photoadaptation is calculated as a variable phytoplankton ratio of chlorophyll to nitrogen based on Geider et al. (1998). The model allows for variable Fe/C and Si/C ratios with an optimum and minimum value prescribed. As ambient Fe (or Si for diatoms) concentrations decline the phytoplankton lower their cellular quotas. Phytoplankton N/P ratios are fixed at the Redfield value of 16, but the diazotroph group has a higher N/P atomic ratio of 50 (see a detailed description of the model in Moore et al. (2001, 2004a)). Thus, community N/P uptake varies with the phytoplankton community composition.

2.3. Zooplankton allometric scaling terms

2.3.1. Zooplankton Ingestion

The vital rates of organisms depend on their size: ingestion, metabolism, and growth rates all increase with body size to a power of approximately 0.75, typically such that the mass-specific rates decline with body mass to a power of near -0.25 (Peters and Wassenberg, 1983; Kiørboe and Hirst, 2014; Hansen et al., 1997). In MARBL-SPECTRA, zooplankton are defined as heterotrophs that can consume phytoplankton, other zooplankton, or a combination of both. Zooplankton ingestion rates are calculated as a function of prey carbon concentrations using the Hollings type II (Michaelis-Menten) function. There are two free parameters, maximum ingestion rate (ι_j^{max}) and the half-saturation constant for grazing (K^P).

Size-based variations in maximum specific ingestion rates were calculated as an allometric function of the predator biomass, with biomass-specific rates decreasing as biomass increases (Hansen et al., 1997). ι_j^{max} are also modified by the feeding preference coefficient, which is a function of the ratio between the predator size and the prey size. K^P are highly variable and typically hard to constrain. Across all the zooplankton classes, K^P has been found to be independent of body size (Hansen et al., 1997). Therefore, the effective K^P is set to 1.1 (mmol C m⁻³) across zooplankton types (table 3).

2.4. Predator-prey relationships

In addition to physiological rates, predation in marine ecosystems is size-specific, with larger prey eating a characteristic size range of smaller prey (Sheldon et al., 1977; Hansen et al., 1994; Cohen et al., 1993; Barnes et al., 2008). We model these trophic links using a feeding kernel (FK) that is further modified to give the feeding preference coefficient (eq. 8, ϕ). Feeding kernels constitute the probability of a given predator ingesting prey of a particular size and can be highly variable, reflecting a great deal of measurement uncertainty and biological variability, with various studies employing gaussian, Laplace, and log-normal distributions (Law et al., 2009; Fuchs and Franks, 2010; Taniguchi et al., 2014; Heneghan et al., 2020). Here, we use the feeding kernel as a starting point to determine predator-prey feeding relationships, which are subject to additional tuning to achieve plankton distributions consistent with large-scale observational constraints. The feeding kernel FK_{Z_j} is represented as a complementary error function:

$$FK_{Z_j} = \text{Erfc} \left(\frac{\delta_{P_i, Z_j}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{Z_j}} \right) \quad (18)$$

where $\text{Erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{Erf}(x)$, the standard error function:

$$\text{Erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp -t^2 dt \quad (19)$$

and is closely related to the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

Here, the numerator of the Erfc function is δ_{P_i, Z_j} , which is the absolute value of the difference between the predator-prey size ratio and the optimal predator-prey size ratio for any given predator, Z_j :

$$\delta_{P_i, Z_j} = \left| \frac{ESD_{Z_j}}{ESD_{P_i}} - \text{Opt}_{Z_j} \right| \quad (20)$$

where ESD_{Z_j} and ESD_{P_i} refer to the ESD (in mm) of the predator Z_j and its prey, respectively, and Opt_{Z_j} is the predator specific optimal predator-to-prey size ratio. Note that we have used the P_i subscript here for simplicity, but the prey of Z_j encompasses both phytoplankton and zooplankton prey. Opt_{Z_j} varies from approximately 7.5 to 18 from the smallest to the largest zooplankton, and represents the phenomenon that the mean predator-to-prey size ratio will often increase as predator size increases (Hansen et al., 1994). The parameters that define Opt_{Z_j} are given in Table 3.

Similarly, the width of the feeding kernel also increases as predator size increases, reflecting both the wider organism size ranges, varied prey capture strategies, and multiphagy of larger zooplankton (Hansen et al., 1994; Kiørboe, 2011). Here it is represented by σ_{Z_j} , which is in the denominator of eqn. 18, and is defined as $\sigma_{Z_j} = 0.5 * \text{Opt}_{Z_j}$.

The feeding kernel as defined by the complementary error function has the property of being exactly 1 when $\delta_{P_i, Z_j} = 0$, and then declines in a sigmoidal manner as the predator-to-prey ratio increasingly differs from the optimum. Put together over the whole range of predator-to-prey size ratios, the resultant curve increases to a point at the center (the optimum), and declines on either side (see Fig. 3f), resembling the Laplace (double exponential) distribution, which was used in Fuchs and Franks (2010). It is important to note here that the exact shape of the feeding kernel is secondary relative to the following adjustments to the predator-prey feeding preference coefficient, as

they allowed us to tune this highly sensitive but poorly constrained grazing term to achieve plankton distribution patterns consistent with large-scale observational constraints.

Building upon this basic kernel formulation, we made several adjustments to model predator prey interactions to improve the representation of the model plankton community. First, we increased microzooplankton grazing on picoplankton relative to the value in the feeding kernel. The increased grazing accounts for unresolved grazing by heterotrophic nanoflagellates, and allows for a higher stability in picoplankton populations. Second, we increased grazing on small diatoms, kept medium diatoms the same, and decreased the grazing on large diatoms. The increase in grazing on small diatoms was necessary to provide a strong top-down control on the abundance of fast growing small diatoms. The reduced grazing on larger diatoms accounts for their ability to form colonies and/or frustules to reduce losses to predation (Oostende et al., 2018). Third, zooplankton production rates were lower than estimated values (Landry and Calbet, 2004), so we decreased zooplankton grazing on other zooplankton to increase zooplankton production. Fourth, we also increased grazing on the small implicit calcifying mixed phytoplankton group to increase zooplankton production and at the same time reduce their high abundance in subpolar regions. Fifth, to increase mesozooplankton production, we decreased microzooplankton grazing on small diatoms, to allow mesozooplankton to take advantage of diatom blooms especially in very productive regions of the ocean.

To ensure ingestion does not exceed maximum ingestion for a particular predator, the feeding kernel values were normalized by predator, such that the sum of all feeding kernel values per predator equalled 1. The individual feeding kernel values per predator-prey pair then modified predator ingestion rates (eq. 8).

2.4.1. Zooplankton egestion, metabolism, and mortality

Of the ingested prey carbon, 65% is assimilated into the predator biomass, and 35% is egested via sloppy feeding and fecal pellet production. The partitioning of the egestion into sinking particulate organic carbon (POC), semi-labile dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and highly labile DOC (which is instantly transformed to dissolved inorganic carbon, or DIC) varies by zooplankton size and phytoplankton prey type. The flux into sinking POC (ρ^{POC}) increases with zooplankton size. However, there is no distinction in the sinking speed of detritus by size. We used the ballast model of Armstrong

Table 3: Size-dependent zooplankton biological parameters and scaling coefficients ($t = \alpha V^\beta$).

Parameter	Symbol	Zooplankton		Units
		α	β	
Maximum ingestion rate	ι_j^{max}	4.3	-0.63	d^{-1}
Quadratic mortality	a_j	0.21	0.58	$m^3 \text{ mmol } C^{-1} d^{-1}$
Zooplankton linear mortality	m_j	0.11	-0.63	d^{-1}
Optimal predator to prey-ratio	Opt_{Z_j}	12.9	0.53	unitless

et al. (2002) to distinguish between sinking speeds for ballast minerals (silicate, biogenic calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$), and mineral dust). The routing of ingestion to POC ranges from 0% for microzooplankton feeding on picoplankton to 35% for large mesozooplankton feeding on large diatoms. The remainder of the egestion losses not going to POC are partitioned to DOC (ρ^{DOC} ; 25%) and DIC (ρ^{DIC} ; 75%).

In MARBL-SPECTRA, we distinguish between zooplankton ingestion-based (active) respiration and biomass-based (basal) respiration. Active respiration is a fixed fraction of ingestion (35%), but biomass-specific basal respiration decreases with size (Kiørboe and Hirst, 2014). Similar to the specific ingestion rate (Section 2.3.1), the biomass-specific linear mortality (m_j) is temperature-dependent and decreases with body size with a β of -0.25 (Hansen et al., 1997; Kiørboe and Hirst, 2014) and α of $0.12 d^{-1}$. These scaling relationships were converted to be scaled with volume with a β of -0.63 and α of $0.11 d^{-1}$.

Zooplankton mortality due to predation by other zooplankton is resolved in the model in the lower size classes, becoming progressively unresolved with increasing size class. To account for the unresolved predation by higher trophic levels (fish, carnivorous jellies, marine mammals), the zooplankton quadratic mortality (a_j) increases with biovolume with a β of 0.21 and α of $0.58 m^3 \text{ mmol } C^{-1} d^{-1}$. We increased the quadratic mortality for largest mesozooplankton by a factor of 4 because to account for higher level grazing. The fraction of zooplankton quadratic mortality fluxing into particulate and dissolved organic matter pools depends on diet and organisms size. With a greater proportion of large zooplankton mortality being transferred to particulate organic matter pools compared to smaller zooplankton.

Figure 3: Zooplankton parameters. Relationships for microzooplankton (<0.2 mm ESD) (light orange) and mesozooplankton (>0.2 mm ESD) (dark orange) between equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) and a) maximum zooplankton ingestion rate (l_j^{max}), b) zooplankton grazing half saturation constant for grazing (K^P), c) linear mortality (m_j), and (d) quadratic mortality (a_j) (representing predation by higher trophic levels). e) Maximum grazing rates between predator and prey pairs and f) Value of the feeding kernel, which is then modified to give the feeding preference coefficient. The mean and width of the feeding kernel increases as zooplankton sizes increase.

2.5. Model Calibration

Many of the parameters required to simulate planktonic food webs are difficult to measure directly, yet are highly important to simulate carbon and energy flow patterns (Stock and Dunne, 2010). In order to produce a balanced ecosystem, two main calibrations were done. First, the zooplankton loss terms (linear and quadratic mortality) were calibrated to preserve global totals of zooplankton production while largely maintaining allometric trait relationships across size classes (Kjørboe and Hirst, 2014; Hansen et al., 1997). We increased the zooplankton quadratic mortality for the largest mesozooplankton by a factor of four to account for unresolved predation by higher trophic levels. Phytoplankton linear mortality and aggregation loss were also calibrated because these parameters are poorly constrained by observations. Instead of a single allometric scaling, linear mortality was set as a fraction of PC_i^{ref} , with a factor of 0.02 for diatoms and 0.03 for all other phytoplankton. The lower linear mortality in diatoms provides an advantage over other phytoplankton and increased their global production. We also removed the allometric scaling of phytoplankton aggregation loss (a_i) with

body size to allow the same rate of aggregation loss for every phytoplankton.

Second, the grazing half-saturation prey concentration for zooplankton were calibrated to allow higher global total zooplankton production. These parameters are poorly constrained by observations (Hansen et al., 1997), but the values used (Table 3) still fall within the observed ranges in Hansen et al. (1997). Because grazing half-saturation constants have been shown to be independent of body size (Hansen et al., 1997), only one K^P had to be calibrated, because it was used for every zooplankton.

2.6. Physical Framework

MARBL-SPECTRA builds from the default MARBL configuration in CESM2.1 (MARBL-CESM2.1) in terms of biogeochemistry, plankton interaction and transmission of light as described by tables and equations in Long et al. (2021). However, we have increased the number of plankton functional types and size classes to include greater diversity. Here we briefly provide an overview of MARBL-SPECTRA, and some more detailed description of the more complex ecosystem. More details and the full set of equations and parameters can be found in Long et al. (2021). MARBL runs within the ocean component of the Community Earth System Model version 2 (CESM 2.1) (Moore et al., 2013b; Gent et al., 2011), which is the Parallel Ocean Program, version 2 (Smith et al., 2010). The physical configuration used here is very similar to that in CESM1, and a detailed description and evaluation of the ocean general circulation model in previous versions of CESM is given by Danabasoglu et al. (2012). The model has a nominal horizontal resolution of 1° , with 60 vertical depth levels ranging in thickness from 10 m in the upper 150m to 250 m in the deep ocean (Moore et al., 2013b). The sea-ice component (CICE) is described by Hunke et al. (2017).

MARBL-SPECTRA simulates 55 tracers, including 17 non-living tracers and 38 tracers associated with the plankton community. This includes 27 tracers associated with the nine phytoplankton size classes, with each phytoplankton C, Chl, and Fe tracked separated (Moore et al., 2001, 2004a). In addition, there are 3 phytoplankton Si tracers associated with the three diatom classes, as well as 2 phytoplankton CaCO_3 tracers associated with the two implicit calcifiers that are part of the mixed phytoplankton classes. Constant stoichiometry was assumed for zooplankton, therefore only 6 zooplankton carbon tracers were included. The model simulates six dissolved organic matter pools, including semi-labile and refractory dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus (Letscher and Moore, 2015; Letscher et al.,

2015). It also includes sinking particulate pools and an explicit simulation of the biogeochemical cycling of key elements (C, N, P, Fe, Si, O, plus alkalinity) (Moore et al., 2004a). Riverine nutrients (N, P, Si, Fe), dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and DOM fluxes are supplied to the CESM2 ocean model via the nutrient loading estimates from GlobalNEWS (Mayorga et al., 2010) and the Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environmental-Global Nutrient Model (IMAGE-GNM) (Beusen et al., 2015, 2016). The plankton community component is coupled with a carbonate chemistry module based on the Ocean Carbon Model Intercomparison Project (OCMIP) (Najjar et al., 1999), allowing dynamic computation of surface ocean $p\text{CO}_2$ and air-sea CO_2 flux.

MARBL-SPECTRA simulations are forced with the Common Ocean-Ice Reference Experiment (CORE-II) data set (Large and Yeager, 2009). The forcing period from 1948 to 2009 (62 years) underwent two repeating cycles. This differs from CORE-II protocol where forcing undergoes five repeating cycles (Griffies et al., 2009). A shorter integration does not provide a fully-equilibrated model solution in the deep ocean, but has been used for studying surface ocean dynamics (Stock et al., 2014b). Thus, by the end of the 62 year spin up time, surface biomass distributions are nearing an equilibrium state, even if deep ocean tracers may not be. We focus our analyses on the final 20 years of the simulation (1990-2009). Code for generating the namelist parameters for MARBL-SPECTRA are available at: https://github.com/jessluo/gen_spectra. The version of MARBL used for these simulations is available at: <https://github.com/jessluo/MARBL/tree/spectra>.

3. Results

3.1. Biogeochemical comparisons

MARBL-SPECTRA qualitatively captures large-scale global biogeochemical and ecological patterns evident in available observations. Simulated global annual mean marine NPP, POC export, and nitrogen fixation, averaged over the top 150 m, are shown in comparison to empirical estimates in Table 4. For these metrics, the model falls within range of empirical estimates. The global total marine diatom production is 14 Pg C yr^{-1} , which is about 28% of total NPP and falls within estimated values (20-40% of total NPP) (Nelson et al., 1995; Aumont et al., 2003). Global total zooplankton production is 12 Pg C yr^{-1} (23% of NPP), falling within empirical estimates

Table 4: Global annual averages of marine net primary production (NPP), sinking POC flux, and nitrogen fixation, averaged over the top 150m.

Biogeochemical field	Global average	Empirical estimate	Reference
NPP	52 Pg C yr ⁻¹	35-70 Pg C yr ⁻¹	(Carr et al., 2006)
POC flux	6.8 Pg C yr ⁻¹	4-12 Pg C yr ⁻¹	(Dunne et al., 2007; DeVries and Weber, 2017)
Nitrogen Fixation	107 Tg N yr ⁻¹	51 - 196 Tg N yr ⁻¹	(Luo et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Großkopf et al., 2012)

666 (21-25% of NPP) (Landry and Calbet, 2004), with microzooplankton con-
667 tributing 8.7 Pg C yr⁻¹ to overall zooplankton production, and the remainder
668 3.3 Pg C yr⁻¹ coming from mesozooplankton production.

669 The model captures the large-scale surface (top 10 m) NO₃ (Fig. 4a-c),
670 PO₄ (Fig. 4d-f), and SiO₃ (Fig. 4g-i) distributions, with low nutrient con-
671 centrations in the subtropical gyres and higher nutrient concentrations in
672 subpolar and upwelling regions. Because the model simulation is 62 years,
673 the global nutrient distributions are not likely to be in a time-average steady
674 state, particularly in the deep ocean. Compared with the 2018 World Ocean
675 Atlas (WOA18) macronutrient observations (Garcia et al., 2019) (Fig. 4b,e
676 & h), near-surface NO₃, PO₄, and SiO₃ concentrations are slightly higher in
677 the model, with a bias of +0.50, +0.090, and -1.4 mmol m⁻³ respectively.
678 For NO₃ and PO₄, the bias is greatest in the tropical Pacific Ocean. This
679 could be due to a slightly lower export flux from the upper oceans, due to
680 higher nutrient recycling in this region coming from the dominance of smaller
681 phytoplankton (Fig. 8). SiO₃ biases are highest in the Southern Ocean, po-
682 tentially due to insufficiently vigorous diatom production depressing SiO₃
683 consumption. In the subpolar North Pacific Ocean, the model shows lower
684 NO₃, PO₄, and SiO₃, compared to the WOA18 observations (Fig. 4 c, f, i).
685 This underestimation of macronutrients in the North Pacific is likely due to
686 insufficient vertical mixing in this region, with phytoplankton production uti-
687 lizing surface nutrients faster than they can be replenished. Simultaneously,
688 overproduction of diatoms, due to insufficient *Fe* limitation stimulates the
689 utilization of nutrients, leading to under-representation of the high nitrate,
690 low chlorophyll (HNLC) region of the sub-Arctic North Pacific.

Figure 4: Macronutrients. Annual average modeled (a,d,g) and observed (b,e,h) surface (top 5m) concentrations of NO₃, PO₄ and SiO₃ and their differences (Model-Observations; c,f,i). Observations are from the 2018 World Ocean Atlas release. (Garcia et al., 2019)

3.2. Limitation of model phytoplankton growth

Using phytoplankton-biomass-weighted means of the upper-ocean limitation terms, we show the nutrients most limiting growth for each phytoplankton in the model (Fig. 5). Phytoplankton growth is limited primarily by the availability of nitrate (NO₃) or Fe and regionally by PO₄ (diazotrophs) and SiO₃ (diatoms) (Fig. 5), consistent with previous modelling studies using CESM (Moore et al., 2013a; Long et al., 2021). The degree of growth limitation by nutrients becomes stronger as body size increases (Fig. 5). This occurs because smaller phytoplankton have greater capacity to acquire nutrients via diffusion relative to their nutrient demands (Edwards et al., 2012). Nutrient replete areas (white areas in Fig. 5) are characterized by where the concentration of nutrients is high enough to support growth rates > 90% of the maximum potential growth rate. For picoplankton, these occur in the equatorial upwelling region and the subpolar and polar regions (Fig. 5a). For diazotrophs, these occur in equatorial regions of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, as well as the western Pacific (Fig. 5b). Diatoms and mixed phytoplankton are rarely nutrient replete due to their high nutrient requirements (Fig. 5c,d). In addition to these overall patterns, diazotrophs undergo stronger PO₄ limitation in the North Atlantic due to enhanced N₂ fixation

710 simulated by Fe associated with dust deposition (Wu et al., 2000).

Figure 5: Phytoplankton nutrient limitation in top 100m. The nutrient most limiting phytoplankton growth over the 20 year climatology (January 1990- December 2009). Rows categorize phytoplankton by their functional group: (a) picoplankton, (b) diazotroph, (c) diatoms, and (d) mixed phytoplankton. Columns indicate relative phytoplankton size within each group, increasing from left to right.

711 3.3. Chlorophyll

712 Model annual-mean surface (top 10 m) chlorophyll exhibits plausible spa-
713 tial gradients tied to provision of nutrients to the ocean surface and good over-
714 all agreement with observations (Fig. 6). Surface chlorophyll observations
715 were obtained from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor(SeaWiFS)
716 climatology from 1998-2009, which corresponds to the last twelve years of
717 the CORE-II forcing dataset (Large and Yeager, 2009). Model chlorophyll is
718 low in subtropical gyres due to wind-driven downwelling and low surface nu-
719 trient availability (Fig. 4a). In higher latitudes and upwelling areas, higher
720 nutrient concentrations allow for higher chlorophyll concentrations (Fig. 6a).
721 Model annual average chlorophyll generally exceeds observations in subtrop-
722 ical and temperate locations, while the model underestimates chlorophyll in
723 the Arctic, Antarctic, and coastal upwelling regions (Fig. 6c).

Figure 6: Surface (top 10 m) chlorophyll concentration (mg Chl m^{-3}). (a) Simulated annual-mean surface chlorophyll, (b) satellite-derived (SeaWiFS) estimate of annual-mean surface chlorophyll, (c) model – SeaWiFS, (d) mean monthly modeled surface chlorophyll by biomes; (e) mean monthly SeaWiFS surface chlorophyll by biomes; and (f) difference between model and observations on a monthly, per-biome basis. Refer reader to biome map, and say how they were calculated.

The positive chlorophyll bias in subtropical and subpolar seasonally stratified biomes of the northern hemisphere is due to an earlier than observed phytoplankton bloom that starts in March and ends around June, about a month earlier than the observed bloom in April through June (Fig. 6f). This is perhaps due to lower mesozooplankton biomass in the spring from lack of diaupasing zooplankton, yielding insufficient top-down control on phytoplankton and leading to an earlier spring bloom (Behrenfeld, 2014). The higher chlorophyll concentrations in the central Equatorial Pacific between July to November (Fig. 6f) are associated with higher nutrient delivery to the surface from the Equatorial upwelling zone. In the subtropical and subpolar Southern Hemisphere, a stronger bloom initiates sooner (September) than observational estimates (November/December) (Fig. 6f), leading to a positive bias in subtropical and subpolar seasonally stratified biomes of the Southern Hemisphere.

Vertical profiles of model chlorophyll show important biases compared with observations. Comparing vertical chlorophyll profiles of The Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS), and the Hawaii Ocean Time-series (HOT)

stations (Fig. S2), MARBL-SPECTRA simulates a shallower deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) layer for BATS (60-80m) compared to HOT (70-100m). However, compared with observed values, MARBL-SPECTRA simulates DCMs that are too shallow for both of these regions. For instance, data from HOT and BATS indicates a DCM layer falling between 60-120m for BATS (Steinberg et al., 2001), and 100-150m for the HOT station (Leterrier et al., 2004). The tendency of these deep chlorophyll maximum to be shallower than observations may be due to a variety of reasons, such as the lack of representation of low-light adapted ecotypes of picoplankton which are generally restricted to the deep euphotic zone (Moore et al., 2002; Johnson et al., 2006; Moore and Chisholm, 1999) contributing to the deep chlorophyll maximum. The under-representation of mixotrophy in the model could also contribute to this bias, as it has been found that the incorporation of mixotrophy in models has helped represent DCMs more accurately (Moeller et al., 2019).

3.4. *Phytoplankton biogeography*

The distribution of small, medium, and large phytoplankton in the model is consistent with satellite-derived size class estimates from Hirata et al. (2011). The small group includes the picoplankton (pp) and the smallest mixed phytoplankton (mp1), the medium group includes the smallest diatom (diat1), the diazotroph (diaz), and the medium mixed phytoplankton (mp2), and the large group includes the largest two diatoms (diat2, diat3) and the largest two mixed phytoplankton (mp3, mp4). The satellite algorithm used by Hirata et al. (2011) estimates the biomass of three phytoplankton size classes as microphytoplankton ($>20 \mu m$), nanophytoplankton ($2-20 \mu m$) and picophytoplankton ($<2 \mu m$).

Small phytoplankton dominate the subtropical gyres with over 70% of the total Chl_a (Fig. 7c). These regions are characterized by strong vertical stratification and weak nutrient delivery to the surface. Here, small phytoplankton can outcompete larger phytoplankton due to their higher scaled nutrient and light affinities allowing them to maintain positive net growth at low nutrient concentrations compared to larger competitors (Edwards et al., 2012). Medium phytoplankton dominate in subpolar gyres, coastal upwelling zones, and equatorial upwelling regions where nutrient delivery is greater. Here, grazing pressure on small phytoplankton prevents small cells from consuming all resources and allows the medium phytoplankton to become established. The largest phytoplankton are found mainly in polar regions in the Arctic

Figure 7: Phytoplankton size class biogeography. Percent of total chlorophyll in each size class: (a) Small phytoplankton (includes picoplankton and smallest mixed phytoplankton, (b) Medium phytoplankton (includes the smallest diatom, the second smallest mixed phytoplankton, and the diazotroph), and (c) Large phytoplankton (includes the two largest mixed phytoplankton, and the largest two diatoms on the model).

778 and Southern Oceans, where the balance between growth and predation on
779 small and medium phytoplankton, together with lower light affinities, allows
780 these larger phytoplankton to survive.

781 Diatoms illustrate the importance of modelling different size classes within
782 each phytoplankton functional type. Diatoms are found from the tropics to
783 the poles, but are most abundant in polar to temperate, nutrient-rich regions,
784 where silicic acid and other nutrients are not limiting. However, the distribu-
785 tion of modeled diatoms varies by size and associated organism traits (Fig.
786 S3g-i). Compared with other diatoms, the smallest diatom has a higher spe-
787 cific growth rate, lower nutrient half-saturation constants, and higher affinity
788 for light (Fig. 2), but also proportionally higher losses to mortality and graz-
789 ing (Fig. 2; 3). Small diatoms are most abundant in coastal, equatorial
790 upwelling, and subpolar regions (Fig. S3g). Larger diatoms have somewhat
791 lower growth rates, weaker nutrient uptake abilities, and lower light affinity
792 α_i^{Chl} , but lower mortality and losses to grazing (Fig. 2; 3). Large diatoms,
793 therefore, are most abundant in subpolar and polar regions (Fig. S3i). The
794 ability to model different size classes within each functional group allows us
795 to observe these patterns that would otherwise not be resolved.

796 The phytoplankton size abundance relationship is a general descriptor
797 of phytoplankton community size structure, and plays a fundamental role
798 in pelagic ecosystems as it determines the trophic organization of plankton
799 communities and, hence, the biogeochemical functioning of the ecosystem
800 (Legendre and Rassoulzadegan, 1996; Kiørboe, 1993). The relationship be-
801 tween phytoplankton abundance and cell volume (V) follows a power law,
802 $N = \alpha V^\beta$, where N is the cell density and α is the intercept of the resulting
803 linear regression. The size-scaling exponent, β , is a descriptor of commu-

804 nity size structure (Marquet et al., 2005) and generally takes values between
805 -1.3 and -0.6 (Huete-Ortega et al., 2012). The slope of the size abundance
806 relationship was calculated by plotting the logarithmic abundances of all
807 phytoplankton size classes as a function of their volume.

Figure 8: Slope of the size abundance relationship. (a) The annual averaged surface slope of the size abundance relationship, and (b) mean monthly surface slope of the size abundance relationship by biomes (Fig. S1) over the period 1990–2009. More negative slopes are seen in the stratified waters of low-latitude, open-ocean environments, where small cells account for most of the biomass, and less negative slopes appear in more nutrient-rich, productive regions, where larger cells generally constitute a greater fraction of total biomass than in lower nutrient regions.

808 Overall, MARBL-SPECTRA captures the horizontal gradients in size
809 driven by the provision of nutrients to the ocean surface (Barton et al., 2013).
810 Locations with more negative slopes tend to have relatively few large phy-
811 toplankton present, whereas a less negative slope indicates the presence of
812 proportionally more large phytoplankton (Cermeno et al., 2006). In MARBL-
813 SPECTRA (Fig. 8b), the most negative slopes (between -1.2 and -0.9) oc-
814 cur in the permanently stratified oligotrophic subtropical gyres where small
815 phytoplankton dominate and large cells are scarce (Fig. 7). The highest
816 contribution of small cells is especially seen during the boreal and austral
817 summer of permanently stratified subtropical gyres, and lower latitude up-
818 welling regions (Fig. 8b). The least negative slopes (>-0.9) are found in
819 more productive regions of the ocean, like the subpolar and polar regions
820 where larger phytoplankton have a higher contribution to total phytoplank-
821 ton biomass (Fig. S3). Seasonally, less negative slopes are found during
822 the boreal and austral Winter of the seasonal ice zone, and the northern
823 seasonally stratified subpolar gyre (Fig. 8b).

3.5. Zooplankton production

MARBL-SPECTRA’s global zooplankton production is mostly composed of microzooplankton. Approximately 73% of the total zooplankton production comes from microzooplankton ($<200\ \mu\text{m}$ ESD), represented by the two smallest zooplankton groups. These zooplankton dominate the grazing on picoplankton and small mixed phytoplankton. As a result, the microzooplankton are broadly distributed and are most abundant in the oligotrophic and subpolar regions (Fig. S4a,b). MARBL-SPECTRA simulates cross-biome patterns in mesozooplankton biomass, with the highest values in the North Pacific, the equatorial Pacific, and coastal upwelling regions (Fig. 9a).

We compare model zooplankton biomass to observations from the NOAA COPEPOD global zooplankton database (<https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/copepod/>), of which the global mesozooplankton carbon biomass dataset is the most relevant and accessible (Moriarty and O’Brien, 2013), for model output comparison. However, since the COPEPOD database compiles measurements collected by net-tows of epipelagic mesozooplankton captured primarily using large, $300\ \mu\text{m}$ nets that under sample small mesozooplankton (Moriarty and O’Brien, 2013; Landry et al., 2001; O’Brien, 2005), we only used the three largest mesozooplankton (zoo4-zoo6) for our model-data comparison. Additionally, because the COPEPOD database includes more samples during summer months, we only compared with summer months of each hemisphere (Fig. 9a,b). When comparing modeled and observed mesozooplankton across biomes (Fig. 9c-e), we excluded biomes containing less than 25% of observations at each month. MARBL-SPECTRA’s annual average mesozooplankton biomass (only accounting for grid cells with observations) averages $2.7\ \text{mg C m}^{-3}$, compared with COPEPOD’s annual average of $4.7\ \text{mg C m}^{-3}$. The discrepancy from the model and observations comes from coastal upwelling regions in the model having lower biomass than observed in the COPEPOD database. Mesozooplankton biomass is lowest in the Southern Ocean and the sub-Arctic North Atlantic (Fig. 9a). Compared with the COPEPOD database observations, MARBL-SPECTRA overestimates mesozooplankton biomass in subtropical regions (Fig. 9e). This can be seen especially in gradients between coastal and offshore regions (e.g. near California Current), where high mesozooplankton production near the coast does not decrease to considerably lower values as you move to the oligotrophic regions in the model output. Thus, MARBL-SPECTRA captures basin-scale gradients in zooplankton biomass, but the dynamic range in the model is reduced compared with observations.

Figure 9: Mesozooplankton biomass. (a) Modeled annual mean mesozooplankton biomass (mg C m^{-3}) over the top 150 m (only including largest three mesozooplankton (zoo4 - zoo6), compared with (b) observed annual average mesozooplankton biomass using the COPEPOD database (mg C m^{-3}) (Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013). (c) Mean monthly modeled surface mesozooplankton biomass by biomes; (d) mean monthly COPEPOD mesozooplankton biomass by biomes (only showing biomes that have more than 25% of data at each month); and (e) difference between model and observations.

Model mesozooplankton biomass in MRABL-SPECTRA display a weaker spatial dynamic range compared to observations. The strong negative bias of modeled mesozooplankton (Fig. 9e) in the subpolar and subtropical seasonally stratified biomes of the Northern Hemisphere comes from the underestimation of mesozooplankton biomass in the sub-Arctic North Atlantic, along with a 3-4 month delay in the zooplankton bloom (Fig. 9e). MARBL-SPECTRA does not resolve zooplankton life histories, including dormancy or diapause, which may contribute to these discrepancies. Due to limited observations, we are unable to diagnose seasonal zooplankton biomass patterns in poorly-sampled regions of the ocean (Fig. 9d). However, MARBL-SPECTRA simulates a Southern Hemisphere subpolar zooplankton bloom from December to March and the subtropical seasonally stratified bloom in the Southern Hemisphere from October to June (Fig. 9c). The low latitude upwelling region in the Southern Hemisphere shows a model mesozooplankton bloom from June to October, similar to a shorter one observed in the equatorial upwelling region from June to September.

878 3.6. Generation time

879 MARBL-SPECTRA simulates plankton generation times and allows us to
 880 analyze their influence by organism size, temperature, and latitude. Plank-
 881 ton generation time was calculated as the ratio of depth-integrated biomass
 882 ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ C}$) over production ($\text{mmolC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), averaged over the top
 883 150m. The global average generation time for phytoplankton increased with
 884 body size, ranging from a few days for picoplankton to a few months for
 885 the largest mixed phytoplankton (Fig. 10a). Global average zooplankton
 886 generation times ranged from about a week for the smallest microzooplank-
 887 ton to a few months for the largest mesozooplankton. However, there are
 888 considerable regional variations in generation time. The longest generation
 889 times reached almost a year for the largest mixed phytoplankton and almost
 890 two years for mesozooplankton near the poles, with the shortest generation
 891 times found in the tropics (Fig. SI S7,S8). These variations in generation
 892 time reflect body size and temperature effects (Gillooly et al., 2001; Gillooly,
 893 2000; Gillooly et al., 2002), although, consumption, respiration, predation,
 894 and mortality are also of influence. Generation times for some copepod
 895 species have been observed to reach up to 3-4 years (Hirche, 1997). However,
 896 MARBL-SPECTRA does not resolve zooplankton life histories such as dia-
 897 pause, which limits generation times for some mesozooplankton especially in
 898 polar regions.

899 3.7. Trophic Scaling

900 The model indicates not only zooplankton biomass and generation length,
 901 but food chain length and zooplankton trophic level. Using the grazing fluxes
 902 between predators and prey, we calculated the trophic level for each zooplank-
 903 ton by assigning a value depending on their prey. For example, zooplankton
 904 in the second trophic level are those who only feed on phytoplankton, with
 905 additional trophic levels beyond this added depending on the trophic level of
 906 zooplankton they eat as prey at each grid cell. Model simulations show aver-
 907 age zooplankton trophic levels to be highest in the oligotrophic subtropical
 908 gyres and lowest in polar regions around the Southern Ocean and the Arctic
 909 Ocean (Fig. 10b & Fig. S6). Low productivity regions of the ocean tend to
 910 have longer, less efficient food chains, suggesting a greater flow of energy and
 911 carbon through the microbial loop (Steinberg and Landry, 2017). Produc-
 912 tive regions with lower zooplankton trophic levels tend to have shorter, more
 913 efficient food chains (Fig. 10b), suggesting a more efficient energy transfer
 914 towards upper food web levels. Thus, for each given zooplankton size class

Figure 10: Predator-prey generation time and trophic dynamics. (a) Annual global average generation time averaged over the top 150m in days for each phytoplankton (blue), and zooplankton (orange) size class, as a function of organisms body mass (units). (b) Zooplankton annual mean trophic level over the top 150 m. A trophic level of 2 indicates an entirely herbivorous zooplankton feeding on primary producers. A trophic level 3 indicates secondary consumers, which are carnivorous zooplankton that eat herbivores.

(Fig. S6), the average trophic level for each type of model zooplankton increased in the low nutrient, subtropical gyres, illustrating the predominance of lengthened food webs in those regions. In contrast, the Southern Ocean and the Arctic Ocean are not characterized by high trophic levels but are areas of elevated zooplankton biomass, especially large mesozooplankton.

3.8. Zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass ratio

MARBL-SPECTRA resolves spatial and temporal variations in the biomass pyramid in lower trophic levels of marine ecosystems, and consequently can provide mechanistic insights on factors regulating this structure. Regions of high phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass are concentrated in subpolar and coastal regions, whereas the oligotrophic gyres support much lower total biomass (Fig. S5a,b). The zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass ratio is at or below 1 in most of the ocean, consistent with observations from Irigoien et al. (2004); Gasol et al. (1997), and modelling results in marine (Vallina et al., 2014) and lake systems (Yuan and Pollard, 2018). Z:P biomass ratios are also shown to vary seasonally, in this case focusing on data from Northern Hemisphere subpolar and polar regions (35°N-90°N). Here, the highest

Z:P ratios occurred in winter months, driven by declines in phytoplankton biomass (Fig. 11c).

Figure 11: Predator-prey biomass ratios. (a) Global map of the zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass ratio, showing the depth integrated annual mean over the top 150 m. (b) Seasonal zooplankton biomass (dark pink), phytoplankton biomass (light pink), and zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass ratio (blue) of polar and subpolar regions in the Northern Hemisphere (35°N-90°N). (c) log₁₀ zooplankton and phytoplankton biomass relationship integrated over the top 150 m. The dashed black line represents the 1:1 line, and the solid black line represents the least squares line of best fit, which has an exponent of 0.7464 in bold (with 95% CI).

The model indicates that as phytoplankton biomass increases, so too does zooplankton biomass. However, the rate of increase in zooplankton biomass is less than for phytoplankton biomass, such that the slope of the log₁₀-log₁₀ relationship between model phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass is approximately 0.75 (Fig. 11b). Our results are consistent with a 3/4 scaling exponent between prey and predator biomass observed by Hatton et al. (2015). In regions of low phytoplankton biomass, such as the oligotrophic gyres, Z:P ratios are close to 1:1, suggesting a tight and efficient coupling between small phytoplankton and their microzooplankton consumers. In regions of higher phytoplankton biomass, Z:P ratios are lower, suggesting a greater degree of decoupling between predators and prey.

One exception from this overall relationship is the Arctic Ocean, which has much higher Z:P ratios, in some cases approaching 3:1. Here, the balance between strong seasonal bottom-up (light and temperature) controls and intense grazing pressure in these regions might explain the high Z:P biomass ratios.

3.9. Plankton phenology

The enhanced plankton community in MARBL-SPECTRA provides a mechanistic representation of the function and dynamics of plankton phenol-

Figure 12: Plankton phenology. Average number of plankton present at a level more than 1% of the total plankton biomass for a given grid cell at any time of the year (e). Seasonal cycles for phytoplankton (blue) and zooplankton (orange) in a 5° by 5° region in the (a) Subtropical North Pacific ($47-51^\circ N$, $165-160^\circ W$), (b) Arctic Ocean ($78-83^\circ N$, $1-6^\circ W$), (c) Subpolar North Atlantic ($45-50^\circ N$, $27-32^\circ W$), (d) Subtropical North Atlantic ($78-83^\circ N$, $1-6^\circ W$), (f) Equatorial Pacific ($2^\circ N-2^\circ S$, $97-101^\circ W$), (g) Southern Ocean ($47-61^\circ S$, $171-175^\circ W$), and (h) Southern Ocean sea ice zone ($66-70^\circ S$, $38-42^\circ W$). The seasonal cycles are calculated from 20 year (1990-2009) biomass climatologies from the model.

ogy, where the phenology of model plankton is tied to their body size, traits, and interactions. Here, we show the seasonal cycle of biomass for seven 5° by 5° locations in the global ocean (Fig. 12). While the details of a given site may differ between the model and observations for a range of reasons, the model simulates a seasonal succession at each location tied to nutrient delivery, temperature, light availability, and grazing pressure.

For example, in the subtropical North Pacific ($47 - 51^{\circ}N$, $165 - 160^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12a), low nutrient availability leads to picoplankton dominance throughout the year. Strong grazing pressure from small microzooplankton together with low nutrient delivery allows for the dominance of relatively small phytoplankton. The Equatorial Pacific ($2^{\circ}N$ - $2^{\circ}S$, 97 - $101^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12f) is similarly dominated by picoplankton throughout the year with weak seasonality, however shows a higher contribution of small diatoms due to higher nutrient inputs from Equatorial upwelling (Fig. 4). Conversely, in the subpolar North Atlantic region ($45 - 50^{\circ}N$, $27 - 32^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12c), MARBL-SPECTRA simulates a Spring bloom dominated by small diatoms and mixed phytoplankton. The bloom decreases with the emergence of small microzooplankton and mesozooplankton grazing, followed by the development of a fall bloom composed of small diatoms. The Subtropical North Atlantic ($78 - 83^{\circ}N$, $1 - 6^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12d), shows a similar, but weaker spring bloom dominated by the small diatoms, decreasing with the emergence of mesozooplankton grazing. This bloom is followed by a longer fall and summer picoplankton bloom. In the Southern Ocean ($47 - 61^{\circ}S$, $171 - 175^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12g), small diatoms and mixed phytoplankton drive a late Spring/early austral Summer bloom due to high nutrient supply. A similar but shorter bloom occurs in the Southern Ocean sea-ice zone ($66 - 70^{\circ}S$, $38 - 42^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12h) driven by the small diatoms and mixed phytoplankton. In the Arctic Ocean ($78 - 83^{\circ}N$, $1 - 6^{\circ}W$; Fig. 12b), small and medium diatoms and small mixed phytoplankton drive a boreal Summer bloom decreasing with the emergence of microzooplankton and mesozooplankton grazing.

Overall, MARBL-SPECTRA can simulate phenology and succession in a more diverse fashion than models with fewer taxa. We calculated the total number of phytoplankton and zooplankton taxa present at greater than 1% of total biomass of phytoplankton and zooplankton in each month of the year, and averaged this over all months, to find the averaged number of model species present at any time of year. The highest average number of plankton present contributing to more than 1% of plankton biomass are seen in the subtropical gyres, especially near coastal boundary currents (Fig. 12e). The weak seasonality, and high contribution of small phytoplankton and microzooplankton throughout the year might contribute to this greater number of plankton present in the subtropical gyres compared to other regions. Meanwhile, the higher nutrient concentration in the Equatorial upwelling region, drives a the opportunist small diatoms to dominate most of the plankton biomass decreasing the number of plankton types present (Fig. 12e & Fig.

997 S3). Polar regions display a lower average number of plankton types present
998 throughout the year due to strong seasonality, and higher dominance of larger
999 plankton types (Fig. 12e & Fig. 8).

1000 4. Discussion

1001 4.1. Significant model advances

1002 MARBL-SPECTRA is a plankton community model that expands on
1003 plankton functional types and size classes compared to previous CESM plank-
1004 ton community models. The union of functional type modelling with size-
1005 resolved, trait-based models provides a tractable approach to simulate the
1006 critical biogeochemical cycles mediating the large-scale structure of carbon
1007 and nutrient distributions and the complex and nuanced variation of plank-
1008 tonic ecosystems controlling trophic energy transfer. MARBL-SPECTRA
1009 provides a framework to simulate seasonal and regional changes in phyto-
1010 plankton phenology and diversity and their roles in ecosystem functioning
1011 and biogeochemical processes. The mechanistic representation of these pro-
1012 cesses allows for the identification of key drivers influencing plankton sea-
1013 sonal succession and uncovers the roles of various functional groups in com-
1014 munity interactions such as competition and predation. The incorporation
1015 of MARBL-SPECTRA into CESM enables mechanistic projections of how
1016 plankton communities are responding to seasonal and interannual changes
1017 in their environment as well as how they might respond to future environ-
1018 mental change. The inclusion of more plankton functional types and size
1019 classes improves the representation of plankton spatial and temporal bio-
1020 geography. Highly productive regions tend to be dominated by fast-growing
1021 nutrient opportunists (i.e. small diatoms) (Fig. S3). Stratified, unproduc-
1022 tive oligotrophic regions are dominated by slow-growing microbes with high
1023 nutrient affinity (i.e., picoplankton) (Fig. S3), whose low nutrient require-
1024 ments tied with their low growth and mortality rates allow them to prevail
1025 throughout the year. The largest phytoplankton survive mainly in higher
1026 latitudes in large part because their losses to predation are relatively low,
1027 even though they tend to grow slowly and are less competitive for nutrients
1028 compared with smaller phytoplankton. Additionally, including picoplankton
1029 in MARBL-SPECTRA compared to previous versions of CESM was key in
1030 differentiating community size structure across the globe. These advantages
1031 were particularly apparent in the oligotrophic gyres of the ocean, where pi-

1032 coplankton contribute to about 70% of the total Chl, substantially improving
1033 the dynamic range of chlorophyll in the model.

1034 The more diverse plankton community in MARBL-SPECTRA simulates
1035 the seasonal succession of plankton communities tied to nutrient delivery,
1036 temperature, light availability, and grazing pressure. Diatoms dominate the
1037 spring bloom in temperate regions due to the onset of thermal stratification
1038 increasing light availability. Sufficient light and nutrient supply aid the rapid
1039 growth of the smallest diatoms. Mixed phytoplankton develop in late spring
1040 following strong microzooplankton and mesozooplankton grazing pressure on
1041 diatoms. In autumn, a weaker small diatom bloom occurs in many regions,
1042 driven by nutrient delivery to the surface due to enhanced mixing under fa-
1043 vorable light conditions. In polar regions, this small diatom bloom is shifted
1044 towards boreal and austral summer due to lower light availability and sea ice
1045 dynamics influencing phytoplankton growth. The small diatoms and mixed
1046 phytoplankton dominate the onset of the bloom, but larger diatoms still con-
1047 tribute to overall biomass due to high nutrient concentrations. This bloom
1048 declines with increased microzooplankton and mesozooplankton grazing and
1049 decreases in light availability towards the end of the summer. Tropical and
1050 subtropical regions display a weaker seasonality in phytoplankton blooms
1051 coming from more stable light availability throughout the year and lower nu-
1052 trient concentrations. Throughout the tropics and subtropics, but especially
1053 in oligotrophic regions of the ocean, picoplankton dominate throughout the
1054 year, with lower contributions of mixed phytoplankton and diatoms to overall
1055 biomass.

1056 The grazing relationships between predator and prey capture information
1057 on food chain length and zooplankton trophic level with apparent differences
1058 across productive and unproductive regions of the ocean. In low-productivity
1059 waters, picoplankton are the dominant phytoplankton type (Fig. 7 & 8), with
1060 microzooplankton as their main predators, consuming 75% of the primary
1061 production in oligotrophic regions. The remaining production is channeled
1062 directly through mesozooplankton or lost to sinking and other advective pro-
1063 cesses. The tight coupling between phytoplankton, microzooplankton, and
1064 mesozooplankton results in longer food chains in oligotrophic regions com-
1065 posed of more trophic levels (Fig. 10b) compared to other regions in the
1066 ocean. Oligotrophic regions in the model favor the recycling of organic matter
1067 rather than its efficient transfer upward toward higher trophic levels (Azam
1068 et al., 1983; Legendre and Le Fèvre, 1995). Meanwhile, productive regions
1069 are characterized by shorter trophic pathways (Fig. 10b) with a larger frac-

tion of particulate organic carbon exported from the euphotic zone (Fig. S9). This is due either directly through the sinking of ungrazed cells or indirectly through the sedimentation of aggregated detritus and zooplankton fecal pellets, resulting in a biological pump more efficient in transporting biogenic carbon towards the ocean’s interior (Guidi et al., 2009; Boyd and Trull, 2007).

The increased model resolution of phytoplankton and zooplankton size classes enables us to study the relative abundance of predators and prey across regions of contrasting productivity. Zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass ratios (Z:P) are consistent with a $3/4$ scaling exponent observed by Hatton et al. (2015), with zooplankton biomass increasing at a lower rate than phytoplankton biomass. Coastal upwelling and other productive regions of the ocean display lower zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass fractions compared with oligotrophic regions of the ocean. The decrease in Z:P ratio with a eutrophication gradient is consistent with observations (Gasol et al., 1997; Yuan and Pollard, 2018; Hatton et al., 2015), but deviates from other modelling analyses that show an increase in Z:P ratio with a eutrophication gradient (Ward et al., 2014; Vallina et al., 2014). One reason for lower Z:P ratios in productive regions could be due to the longer generation times of mesozooplankton (weeks to months) compared to microzooplankton (days), which may impede them from thriving in upwelling regions where strong fluctuations in food supply and environmental conditions occur. Additionally, the use of the Holling Type II grazing function, which keeps predation pressure relatively high at low prey concentrations, may prevent mesozooplankton production from decreasing too much in oligotrophic regions of the ocean. Another reason for this deviation could be due to the high sensitivity of zooplankton biomass to linear and quadratic mortality values in the model. Higher zooplankton quadratic mortalities for the mesozooplankton reflect higher trophic level grazing. The high mortality values can therefore decrease mesozooplankton biomass especially in upwelling regions of the ocean, contributing to a weaker dynamic range in mesozooplankton biomass between oligotrophic and eutrophic regions.

4.2. Limitations & future improvement

All plankton community models, including MARBL-SPECTRA, are simplifications of natural plankton communities that seek to simulate phytoplankton physiology, predator-prey interactions, community structure, and biodiversity in a dynamic environment. MARBL-SPECTRA incorporates 9

1107 phytoplankton and 6 zooplankton types, where the traits of organisms and
1108 their interactions are determined by organism size and functional group, in
1109 the case of phytoplankton. While this approach is computationally tractable
1110 and allows for the study of lower trophic levels in the marine environment, it
1111 has several important limitations.

1112 First, our model does not account for zooplankton life histories such as
1113 diapause. Diapause is a critical component of the life history of copepods, as
1114 it allows them to survive long periods of unfavorable environmental condi-
1115 tions (Hairston Jr and Munns Jr, 1984). Copepods accumulate lipid reserves
1116 prior to diapause, and are highly nutritious prey for a wide variety of preda-
1117 tors in the oceans (Bauermeister and Sargent, 1979). Diapausing copepods
1118 are especially important in polar, subpolar, and temperate environments
1119 where *Calanoid* copepods are a key intermediary in the process of trophic
1120 energy transfer from phytoplankton to higher trophic levels (Baumgartner
1121 and Tarrant, 2017). The exclusion of zooplankton life histories can bias
1122 mesozooplankton biomass in polar, subpolar, and temperate regions, partic-
1123 ularly in the spring (Fig. 9), when copepods are emerging from diapause.
1124 As a consequence, there may be insufficient top-down control on the spring
1125 phytoplankton bloom, thought to be one of the key mechanisms controlling
1126 bloom timing (Banse, 2013).

1127 Second, some key phytoplankton and zooplankton functional groups are
1128 absent from the model. Calcifying phytoplankton are a key functional group
1129 important in the carbon cycle, producing more than half of the marine car-
1130 bonate flux (Schiebel, 2002). Although MARBL-SPECTRA accounts for this
1131 group implicitly, the inclusion of explicit calcifiers could improve the spatial
1132 and temporal representation of calcium carbonate production, as well as
1133 incorporate key carbon fertilization mechanisms thought to buffer coccol-
1134 ithophore responses to climate change (Krumhardt et al., 2017, 2019). In
1135 addition, phytoplankton dimethyl sulfide (DMS)-producers influence the at-
1136 mospheric sulfur cycle by producing dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and
1137 convert it into DMS using an extracellular enzyme (DMSP-lyase) (Stefels
1138 et al., 1995). *Phaeocystis antarctica* is especially important in the South-
1139 ern Ocean, where it has been observed to dominate the community during
1140 blooms (Alvain et al., 2008). The high prevalence in *Phaeocystis* blooms
1141 make it an important contributor to primary production and biogeochemical
1142 cycles where it occurs. The explicit incorporation of gelatinous zooplank-
1143 ton, such as *Cnidarian* jellyfish and *salps*, could improve the representation
1144 of top-down control on prey and the representation of carbon transfer effi-

ciency to depth (Luo et al., 2020). The ability of multiphagous gelatinous zooplankton to feed across a wide spectrum of size classes would provide an indirect route of carbon flux by which even small phytoplankton biomass can be transferred to the deep ocean.

Third, our model does not include zooplankton vertical migration, the active transport of organic carbon to depth by zooplankton consuming organic particles at the surface during the night and respiring the inorganic nutrients below the mixed layer during the day (Steinberg et al., 2000; Longhurst and Harrison, 1988). While the global inventory of carbon export is constrained in models by ocean circulation and the upward flux of nutrients driving new production, zooplankton diel vertical migration could be an important component in mesopelagic zones, contributing significantly to oxygen consumption, particularly at oxygen minimum zones, and carbon export into the ocean interior (Bianchi et al., 2013; Aumont et al., 2018).

Fourth, MARBL-SPECTRA does not represent mixotrophy. Mixotrophs combine the autotrophic use of light and inorganic resources with the heterotrophic ingestion of prey. The incorporation of mixotrophy in ecological models enhances the transfer of biomass to larger organisms at higher trophic levels, which in turn increases the efficiency of oceanic carbon storage through the production of larger, faster-sinking, and carbon-enriched organic detritus (Ward and Follows, 2016). The exclusion of mixotrophy decreases the production of larger phytoplankton, because the nutrient affinity of plankton decreases with increasing organism size. The highly efficient uptake of the small phytoplankton leaves insufficient nutrients to support photosynthesis in the larger groups, especially the mixed phytoplankton group.

Fifth, MARBL-SPECTRA does not include an explicit representation of higher trophic levels (fish, carnivorous jellies, etc.). Zooplankton losses to consumption by higher predators are implicitly modelled using a squared mortality term, which has a tendency to stabilize food webs (Edwards, 2001). Feedbacks between the higher trophic level predator and zooplankton are not resolved. One implication of this simplification is that the ecosystem effects of fishing, for example, cannot be resolved by MARBL-SPECTRA.

Lastly, bacterial activity is not explicitly modeled in our ecosystem model. The effect of the microbial loop is included through constant degradation rates of bacterial remineralization. That is, mortality and exudation losses are recycled to inorganic nutrients via constant rate degradation of several pools of organic matter (dissolved and particulate) for each essential element. Modelling bacterial activity explicitly would increase the model's realism at

capturing the microbial food web dynamics, but it should not significantly change our results because bacterial abundances are generally more stable than phytoplankton abundances seasonally in open-ocean waters (Spitz et al., 2001).

4.3. Outlook

Plankton community models embedded in ocean biogeochemical and circulation models are powerful tools for examining how organism traits shape species biogeography, interactions within plankton communities, the impacts of environmental changes on marine ecosystems, and feedbacks between ecosystems and biogeochemical cycles (e.g., Kwiatkowski et al., 2020; Follows et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2012; Dutkiewicz et al., 2015b). Here, we have developed and evaluated MARBL-SPECTRA, a trait-based plankton community model that resolves nine phytoplankton sizes classes across four functional groups and six zooplankton size classes, allowing for an enhanced understanding of the underlying mechanisms regulating marine plankton biogeography, and the community's role in biogeochemical cycles. Future increases in ocean temperatures and other environmental properties are expected to modify phytoplankton community diversity and distribution through a range of direct and indirect pathways and mechanisms, many of which are simulated in MARBL-SPECTRA. The future incorporation of MARBL-SPECTRA in a fully coupled climate model would allow for the projection of model organism fitness into the future to better predict changes in plankton communities structure, biogeochemical cycles, food web dynamics, and air-sea fluxes of climate-active gases.

5. Acknowledgements

We acknowledge high-performance computing support from Cheyenne (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX) provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF). This manuscript is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977, and the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. (DGE-2038238 and DGE-1650112). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science

1218 Foundation. In addition to NSF funds to NCAR, MARBL development has
 1219 been supported by the Office of Biological & Environmental Research (BER)
 1220 within the Department of Energy (DOE) (DE-SC0012603). We also grate-
 1221 fully acknowledge comments from John Dunne and Charles Stock, which
 1222 improved a previous version of this manuscript.

1223 6. Supplementary Information

Figure S1: Biomes. Designated oceanic biomes, using Sarmiento et al. (2004): Equatorial downwelling (Eq-D), Equatorial upwelling (Eq-U), Subtropical permanently stratified (ST-PS), Subtropical seasonally stratified (ST-SS), Lower latitude upwelling (LL-U), Sub-polar seasonally stratified (SP), Seasonal ice-covered zone (SIZ). In the analyses, the northern and Southern Hemisphere biomes are separated

Figure S2: Modelled annual average chlorophyll (mg m^{-3}) vertical profiles in the (a) BATS, and (b) HOT station over the period 1990 and 2009.

Figure S3: Phytoplankton biomass. Depth integrated average annual phytoplankton biomass for each phytoplankton type: (a) picoplankton (b) diazotrophs, (c) smallest mixed phytoplankton, (d) second smallest mixed phytoplankton, (e) second largest mixed phytoplankton, (f) largest mixed phytoplankton, (g) smallest diatom, (h) medium diatom and (i) largest diatom.

Figure S4: Zooplankton biomass. Depth integrated average annual zooplankton biomass for each zooplankton type: (a) smallest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 1) (b) largest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 2), (c) smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 1), (d) second smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 2), (e) medium mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 3), and (f) largest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 4).

Figure S5: Depth integrated carbon biomass over 1990-2009 for (a) zooplankton (mmol C m^{-2}) and (a) phytoplankton (mmol C m^{-2}); (c) shows the ratio of depth-integrated zooplankton to phytoplankton biomass over 1990-2009.

Figure S6: Zooplankton annual mean trophic level over the top 150 m between 1990 and 2009. (a) smallest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 1) (b) largest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 2), (c) smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 1), (d) second smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 2), (e) medium mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 3), and (f) largest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 4).

Figure S7: Zooplankton generation time. The average annual generation time is the zooplankton biomass divided by the zooplankton production at the top 150m for each zooplankton type: (a) smallest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 1) (b) largest microzooplankton (microzooplankton 2), (c) smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 1), (d) second smallest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 2), (e) medium mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 3), and (f) largest mesozooplankton (mesozooplankton 4).

Figure S8: Phytoplankton generation time. The average annual generation time represents the phytoplankton biomass divided by the phytoplankton production at the top 150m for each phytoplankton type: (a) picoplankton (b) diazotrophs, (c) smallest mixed phytoplankton, (d) second smallest mixed phytoplankton, (e) second largest mixed phytoplankton, (f) largest mixed phytoplankton, (g) smallest diatom, (h) medium diatom and (i) largest diatom.

Figure S9: Annual mean $\log_{10}$ POC production (a), annual mean $\log_{10}$ NPP (b), and export ratio (e-ratio) (c) at the top 150m between 1990 and 2009.

References

- Acevedo-Trejos, E., Brandt, G., Bruggeman, J., Merico, A., 2015. Mechanisms shaping size structure and functional diversity of phytoplankton communities in the ocean. *Scientific reports* 5, 1–8.
- Aksnes, D.L., Egge, J.K., 1991. A theoretical model for nutrient uptake in phytoplankton. *Marine ecology progress series*. Oldendorf 70, 65–72.
- Allen, A.P., Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., 2005. Linking the global carbon cycle to individual metabolism. *Functional Ecology* 19, 202–213.
- Aloisi, G., 2015. Covariation of metabolic rates and cell size in coccolithophores. *Biogeosciences* 12, 4665–4692. doi:10.5194/bg-12-4665-2015.
- Alvain, S., Moulin, C., Dandonneau, Y., Loisel, H., 2008. Seasonal distribution and succession of dominant phytoplankton groups in the global ocean: A satellite view. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 22.
- Anderson, L.A., Sarmiento, J.L., 1994. Redfield ratios of remineralization determined by nutrient data analysis. *Global biogeochemical cycles* 8, 65–80.
- Anderson, S., Barton, A., Clayton, S., Dutkiewicz, S., Rynearson, T., 2021. Marine phytoplankton functional types exhibit diverse responses to thermal change. *Nature communications* 12, 1–9.
- Armstrong, R.A., Lee, C., Hedges, J.I., Honjo, S., Wakeham, S.G., 2002. A new, mechanistic model for organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of poc with ballast minerals. *Deep-Sea Research II* 49, 219–236.
- Arrhenius, S., 1915. *Quantitative laws in biological chemistry*. volume 1915. G. Bell.
- Aumont, O., Éthé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., Gehlen, M., 2015. *Pisces-v2: an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies*. *Geoscientific Model Development* 8, 2465–2513.
- Aumont, O., Maier-Reimer, E., Blain, S., Monfray, P., 2003. An ecosystem model of the global ocean including fe, si, p colimitations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 17.

1255 Aumont, O., Maury, O., Lefort, S., Bopp, L., 2018. Evaluating the potential
1256 impacts of the diurnal vertical migration by marine organisms on marine
1257 biogeochemistry. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 32, 1622–1643.

1258 Azam, F., Fenchel, T., Field, J.G., Gray, J., Meyer-Reil, L., Thingstad, F.,
1259 1983. The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. *Marine*
1260 *ecology progress series* , 257–263.

1261 Banas, N.S., 2011. Adding complex trophic interactions to a size-spectral
1262 plankton model: Emergent diversity patterns and limits on predictability.
1263 *Ecological Modelling* 222, 2663–2675. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.05.018.

1264 Banse, K., 2013. Reflections about chance in my career, and on the top-down
1265 regulated world. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 5, 1–19.

1266 Barnes, C., Bethea, D., Brodeur, R., Spitz, J., Ridoux, V., Pusineri, C.,
1267 Chase, B., Hunsicker, M., Juanes, F., Kellermann, A., et al., 2008. Preda-
1268 tor and prey body sizes in marine food webs: *Ecological archives* e089-051.
1269 *Ecology* 89, 881–881.

1270 Barton, A.D., Dutkiewicz, S., Flierl, G., Bragg, J., Follows, M.J., 2010.
1271 Patterns of diversity in marine phytoplankton. *Science* 327, 1509–1511.

1272 Barton, A.D., Pershing, A.J., Litchman, E., Record, N.R., Edwards, K.F.,
1273 Finkel, Z.V., Kiørboe, T., Ward, B.A., 2013. The biogeography of marine
1274 plankton traits. *Ecology letters* 16, 522–534.

1275 Bauermeister, A., Sargent, J., 1979. Wax esters: major metabolites in the
1276 marine environment. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 4, 209–211.

1277 Baumgartner, M.F., Tarrant, A.M., 2017. The physiology and ecology of
1278 diapause in marine copepods. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 9, 387–
1279 411.

1280 Behrenfeld, M.J., 2014. Climate-mediated dance of the plankton. *Nature*
1281 *Climate Change* 4, 880–887.

1282 Behrenfeld, M.J., Boss, E., 2003. The beam attenuation to chlorophyll ratio:
1283 an optical index of phytoplankton physiology in the surface ocean? *Deep*
1284 *Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 50, 1537–1549.

1285 Behrenfeld, M.J., Boss, E., Siegel, D.A., Shea, D.M., 2005. Carbon-based
1286 ocean productivity and phytoplankton physiology from space. *Global Bio-*
1287 *geochemical Cycles* 19, 1–14. doi:10.1029/2004GB002299.

1288 Behrenfeld, M.J., Marañón, E., Siegel, D.A., Hooker, S.B., 2002. Photoac-
1289 climation and nutrient-based model of light-saturated photosynthesis for
1290 quantifying oceanic primary production. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
1291 228, 103–117.

1292 Bertilsson, S., Berglund, O., Karl, D.M., Chisholm, S.W., 2003. Elemental
1293 composition of marine prochlorococcus and synechococcus: Implications
1294 for the ecological stoichiometry of the sea. *Limnol. Oceanogr* 48, 1721–
1295 1731.

1296 Beusen, A., Van Beek, L., Bouwman, A., Mogollón, J., Middelburg, J., 2015.
1297 Coupling global models for hydrology and nutrient loading to simulate
1298 nitrogen and phosphorus retention in surface water—description of image—
1299 gnm and analysis of performance. *Geoscientific model development* 8,
1300 4045–4067.

1301 Beusen, A.H., Bouwman, A.F., Van Beek, L.P., Mogollón, J.M., Middelburg,
1302 J.J., 2016. Global riverine n and p transport to ocean increased during
1303 the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum.
1304 *Biogeosciences* 13, 2441–2451.

1305 Bianchi, D., Galbraith, E.D., Carozza, D.A., Mislán, K., Stock, C.A., 2013.
1306 Intensification of open-ocean oxygen depletion by vertically migrating an-
1307 imals. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 545–548.

1308 Boyd, P., Trull, T., 2007. Understanding the export of biogenic particles
1309 in oceanic waters: Is there consensus? *Progress in Oceanography* 72,
1310 276–312.

1311 Boyd, P.W., Strzepek, R., Fu, F., Hutchins, D.A., 2010. Environmental
1312 control of open-ocean phytoplankton groups: Now and in the future. *Lim-*
1313 *nology and Oceanography* 55, 1353–1376.

1314 Breitbarth, E., Wohlers, J., Kläs, J., LaRoche, J., Peeken, I., 2008. Nitrogen
1315 fixation and growth rates of trichodesmium ims-101 as a function of light
1316 intensity. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 359, 25–36.

1317 Bruggeman, J., Kooijman, S.A., 2007. A biodiversity-inspired approach to
1318 aquatic ecosystem modeling. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52, 1533–1544.

1319 Carlotti, F., Giske, J., Werner, F., 2000. Modeling zooplankton dynamics,
1320 in: *ICES zooplankton methodology manual*. Elsevier, pp. 571–667.

1321 Carr, M.E., Friedrichs, M.A., Schmeltz, M., Aita, M.N., Antoine, D., Arrigo,
1322 K.R., Asanuma, I., Aumont, O., Barber, R., Behrenfeld, M., Bidigare,
1323 R., Buitenhuis, E.T., Campbell, J., Ciotti, A., Dierssen, H., Dowell, M.,
1324 Dunne, J., Esaias, W., Gentili, B., Gregg, W., Groom, S., Hoepffner, N.,
1325 Ishizaka, J., Kameda, T., Quéré, C.L., Lohrenz, S., Marra, J., Mélin, F.,
1326 Moore, K., Morel, A., Reddy, T.E., Ryan, J., Scardi, M., Smyth, T.,
1327 Turpie, K., Tilstone, G., Waters, K., Yamanaka, Y., 2006. A comparison
1328 of global estimates of marine primary production from ocean color.
1329 *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 53, 741–770.
1330 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.01.028.

1331 Cermeño, P., Marañón, E., Harbour, D., Harris, R.P., 2006. Invariant scaling
1332 of phytoplankton abundance and cell size in contrasting marine environ-
1333 ments. *Ecology letters* 9, 1210–1215.

1334 Chen, B., Liu, H., Huang, B., Wang, J., 2014. Temperature effects on the
1335 growth rate of marine picoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 505,
1336 37–47. doi:10.3354/meps10773.

1337 Chisholm, S.W., 1992. Phytoplankton size.

1338 Cohen, J.E., Pimm, S.L., Yodzis, P., Saldaña, J., 1993. Body sizes of animal
1339 predators and animal prey in food webs. *Journal of animal ecology* , 67–78.

1340 Conley, K.R., Lombard, F., Sutherland, K.R., 2018. Mammoth grazers on
1341 the ocean’s minuteness: a review of selective feeding using mucous meshes.
1342 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 285, 20180056.

1343 Cullen, J.J., MacIntyre, J.G., 1998. Behavior, physiology and the niche of
1344 depth-regulating phytoplankton. *Nato Asi Series G Ecological Sciences* 41,
1345 559–580.

1346 Danabasoglu, G., Bates, S.C., Briegleb, B.P., Jayne, S.R., Jochum, M.,
1347 Large, W.G., Peacock, S., Yeager, S.G., 2012. The ccs4 ocean com-
1348 ponent. *Journal of Climate* 25, 1361–1389.

- 1349 DeVries, T., Weber, T., 2017. The export and fate of organic matter in the
1350 ocean: New constraints from combining satellite and oceanographic tracer
1351 observations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 31, 535–555.
- 1352 Dunne, J.P., Sarmiento, J.L., Gnanadesikan, A., 2007. A synthesis of
1353 global particle export from the surface ocean and cycling through the
1354 ocean interior and on the seafloor. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 21.
1355 doi:10.1029/2006GB002907.
- 1356 Dutkiewicz, S., Cermenó, P., Jahn, O., Follows, M., Hickman, A., Taniguchi,
1357 D., Ward, B., 2019. Dimensions of marine phytoplankton diversity. *Bio-*
1358 *geosciences Discussions* , 1–46doi:10.5194/bg-2019-311.
- 1359 Dutkiewicz, S., Hickman, A.E., Jahn, O., Gregg, W.W., Mouw, C.B., Fol-
1360 lows, M.J., 2015a. Capturing optically important constituents and proper-
1361 ties in a marine biogeochemical and ecosystem model. *Biogeosciences* 12,
1362 4447–4481. doi:10.5194/bg-12-4447-2015.
- 1363 Dutkiewicz, S., Morris, J.J., Follows, M.J., Scott, J., Levitan, O., Dyhrman,
1364 S.T., Berman-Frank, I., 2015b. Impact of ocean acidification on the struc-
1365 ture of future phytoplankton communities. *Nature Climate Change* 5,
1366 1002–1006. doi:10.1038/nclimate2722.
- 1367 Edwards, A.M., 2001. Adding detritus to a nutrient–phytoplankton–
1368 zooplankton model: a dynamical-systems approach. *Journal of Plankton*
1369 *Research* 23, 389–413.
- 1370 Edwards, K.F., Klausmeier, C.A., Litchman, E., 2015a. Nutrient utilization
1371 traits of phytoplankton ecological archives e096-202. *Data Papers Ecology*
1372 96, 2311. URL: <http://esapubs.org/archive>.
- 1373 Edwards, K.F., Thomas, M.K., Klausmeier, C.A., Litchman, E., 2012. Al-
1374 lometric scaling and taxonomic variation in nutrient utilization traits and
1375 maximum growth rate of phytoplankton. *Limnology and Oceanography*
1376 57, 554–566. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.2.0554.
- 1377 Edwards, K.F., Thomas, M.K., Klausmeier, C.A., Litchman, E., 2015b. Light
1378 and growth in marine phytoplankton: Allometric, taxonomic, and envi-
1379 ronmental variation. *Limnology and Oceanography* 60, 540–552. doi:doi:
1380 10.1002/lno.10033.

1381 Eppley, R.W., 1972. Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea.
1382 Fish. bull 70, 1063–1085.

1383 Eppley, R.W., Rogers, J.N., McCarthy, J.J., 1969. Half-saturation constants
1384 for uptake of nitrate and ammonium by marine phytoplankton. Limnology
1385 and oceanography 14, 912–920.

1386 Evans, G.T., Parslow, J.S., 1985. A model of annual plankton cycles. Bio-
1387 logical oceanography 3, 327–347.

1388 Falcón, L.I., Pluvinage, S., Carpenter, E.J., 2005. Growth kinetics of marine
1389 unicellular n₂-fixing cyanobacterial isolates in continuous culture in rela-
1390 tion to phosphorus and temperature. Marine Ecology Progress Series 285,
1391 3–9.

1392 Falkowski, P.G., Dubinsky, Z., Wyman, K., 1985. Growth-irradiance
1393 relationships in phytoplankton. Limnol. Oceanogr 30, 311–321.

1394 Fasham, M.J., Ducklow, H.W., McKelvie, S.M., 1990. A nitrogen-based
1395 model of plankton dynamics in the oceanic mixed layer. Journal of Marine
1396 Research 48, 591–639.

1397 Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T., Falkowski, P., 1998. Primary
1398 production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic compo-
1399 nents. science 281, 237–240.

1400 Finkel, Z.V., 2001. Light absorption and size scaling of light-limited
1401 metabolism in marine diatoms. Limnology and oceanography 46, 86–94.

1402 Finkel, Z.V., Beardall, J., Flynn, K.J., Quigg, A., Rees, T.A.V., Raven,
1403 J.A., 2010. Phytoplankton in a changing world: Cell size and el-
1404 elemental stoichiometry. Journal of Plankton Research 32, 119–137.
1405 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbp098.

1406 Follows, M.J., Dutkiewicz, S., Grant, S., Chisholm, S.W., 2007. Emergent
1407 biogeography of microbial communities in a model ocean. Science 315,
1408 1843–1846. doi:10.1126/science.1138544.

1409 Franks, P.J., 2002. Npz models of plankton dynamics: their construction,
1410 coupling to physics, and application. Journal of Oceanography 58, 379–
1411 387.

- 1412 Fu, F.X., Zhang, Y., Bell, P.R., Hutchins, D.A., 2005. Phosphate uptake and
1413 growth kinetics of trichodesmium (cyanobacteria) isolates from the north
1414 atlantic ocean and the great barrier reef, australia 1. *Journal of Phycology*
1415 41, 62–73.
- 1416 Fuchs, H.L., Franks, P.J., 2010. Plankton community properties determined
1417 by nutrients and size-selective feeding. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
1418 413, 1–15. doi:10.3354/meps08716.
- 1419 Galbraith, E.D., Martiny, A.C., 2015. A simple nutrient-dependence mech-
1420 anism for predicting the stoichiometry of marine ecosystems. *Proceedings*
1421 *of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112,
1422 8199–8204. doi:10.1073/pnas.1423917112.
- 1423 Garcia, H., Boyer, T., Baranova, O., Locarnini, R., Mishonov, A., Grodsky,
1424 A., Paver, C., Weathers, K., Smolyar, I., Reagan, J., et al., 2019. World
1425 ocean atlas 2018: Product documentation. A. Mishonov, Technical Editor
1426 .
- 1427 Gasol, J.M., Del Giorgio, P.A., Duarte, C.M., 1997. Biomass distribution in
1428 marine planktonic communities. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42, 1353–
1429 1363.
- 1430 Geider, R.J., MacIntyre, H.L., Kana, T.M., 1997. Dynamic model of phyto-
1431 plankton growth and acclimation: responses of the balanced growth rate
1432 and the chlorophyll a: carbon ratio to light, nutrient-limitation and tem-
1433 perature. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 148, 187–200.
- 1434 Geider, R.J., MacIntyre, H.L., Kana, T.M., 1998. A dynamic regula-
1435 tory model of phytoplanktonic acclimation to light, nutrients, and
1436 temperature. *Limnology and Oceanography* 43, 679–694. URL:
1437 <https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.4319/lo.1998.43.4.0679>,
1438 doi:<https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.4.0679>.
- 1439 Geider, R.J., Osbonie, B.A., Raven, J.A., 1986. Growth, photosynthesis and
1440 maintenance metabolic cost in the diatom phaeodactylum tricornutum at
1441 very low light levels 1. *Journal of Phycology* 22, 39–48.
- 1442 Gent, P.R., Danabasoglu, G., Donner, L.J., Holland, M.M., Hunke, E.C.,
1443 Jayne, S.R., Lawrence, D.M., Neale, R.B., Rasch, P.J., Vertenstein, M.,

1444 2011. The community climate system model version 4. *Journal of climate*
1445 24, 4973–4991.

1446 Gillooly, J.F., 2000. Effect of body size and temperature on generation time
1447 in zooplankton. *Journal of plankton research* 22, 241–251.

1448 Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B., Savage, V.M., Charnov, E.L., 2001.
1449 Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *science* 293, 2248–2251.

1450 Gillooly, J.F., Charnov, E.L., West, G.B., Savage, V.M., Brown, J.H., 2002.
1451 Effects of size and temperature on developmental time. *Nature* 417, 70–73.

1452 Griffies, S.M., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Bryan, F., Danabasoglu, G., Chas-
1453 signet, E.P., England, M.H., Gerdes, R., Haak, H., Hallberg, R.W., et al.,
1454 2009. Coordinated ocean-ice reference experiments (cores). *Ocean mod-
1455 elling* 26, 1–46.

1456 Großkopf, T., Mohr, W., Baustian, T., Schunck, H., Gill, D.,
1457 Kuypers, M.M.M., Lavik, G., Schmitz, R.A., Wallace, D.W.R.,
1458 LaRoche, J., 2012. Doubling of marine dinitrogen-fixation rates
1459 based on direct measurements. *Nature* 488, 361–364. URL:
1460 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11338>, doi:10.1038/nature11338.

1461 Guidi, L., Stemann, L., Jackson, G.A., Ibanez, F., Claustre, H., Legendre,
1462 L., Picheral, M., Gorsky, G., 2009. Effects of phytoplankton commu-
1463 nity on production, size, and export of large aggregates: A world-ocean
1464 analysis. *Limnology and Oceanography* 54, 1951–1963.

1465 Hairston Jr, N.G., Munns Jr, W.R., 1984. The timing of copepod diapause as
1466 an evolutionarily stable strategy. *The American Naturalist* 123, 733–751.

1467 Hansen, B., Bjørnsen, P.K., Hansen, P.J., 1994. The size ratio between
1468 planktonic predators and their prey. *Limnology and Oceanography* 39,
1469 395–403. doi:10.4319/lo.1994.39.2.0395.

1470 Hansen, P.J., Bjørnsen, P.K., Hansen, B.W., 1997. Zooplankton grazing
1471 and growth: Scaling within the 2-2,- μ m body size range. *Limnology and
1472 oceanography* 42, 687–704.

1473 Hartmann, M., Gomez-Pereira, P., Grob, C., Ostrowski, M., Scanlan, D.J.,
1474 Zubkov, M.V., 2014. Efficient co₂ fixation by surface prochlorococcus in
1475 the atlantic ocean. *ISME Journal* 8, 2280–2289. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.56.

- 1476 Hatton, I.A., McCann, K.S., Fryxell, J.M., Davies, T.J., Smerlak, M., Sin-
1477 clair, A.R., Loreau, M., 2015. The predator-prey power law: Biomass
1478 scaling across terrestrial and aquatic biomes. *Science* 349.
- 1479 Heneghan, R.F., Everett, J.D., Sykes, P., Batten, S.D., Edwards, M., Taka-
1480 hashi, K., Suthers, I.M., Blanchard, J.L., Richardson, A.J., 2020. A func-
1481 tional size-spectrum model of the global marine ecosystem that resolves
1482 zooplankton composition. *Ecological Modelling* 435, 109265.
- 1483 Henson, S.A., Sanders, R., Madsen, E., 2012. Global patterns in efficiency of
1484 particulate organic carbon export and transfer to the deep ocean. *Global*
1485 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 26.
- 1486 Hirata, T., Hardman-Mountford, N., Brewin, R., Aiken, J., Barlow, R.,
1487 Suzuki, K., Isada, T., Howell, E., Hashioka, T., Noguchi-Aita, M., et al.,
1488 2011. Synoptic relationships between surface chlorophyll-a and diagnos-
1489 tic pigments specific to phytoplankton functional types. *Biogeosciences* 8,
1490 311–327.
- 1491 Hirche, H.J., 1997. Life cycle of the copepod calanus hyperboreus in the
1492 greenland sea. *Marine Biology* 128, 607–618.
- 1493 Huete-Ortega, M., Cermenio, P., Calvo-Díaz, A., Maranon, E., 2012. Iso-
1494 metric size-scaling of metabolic rate and the size abundance distribution
1495 of phytoplankton. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
1496 279, 1815–1823.
- 1497 Hunke, E., Lipscomb, W., Jones, P., Turner, A., Jeffery, N., Elliott,
1498 S., 2017. Cice, the los alamos sea ice model, version 00. URL:
1499 <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1364126>.
- 1500 Irigoien, X., Huisman, J., Harris, R.P., 2004. Global biodiversity patterns of
1501 marine phytoplankton and zooplankton. *Nature* 429, 863–867.
- 1502 Johnson, Z.I., Zinser, E.R., Coe, A., McNulty, N.P., Woodward, E.M.S.,
1503 Chisholm, S.W., 2006. Niche partitioning among prochlorococcus ecotypes
1504 along ocean-scale environmental gradients. *Science* 311, 1737–1740.
- 1505 Kiørboe, T., 1993. Turbulence, phytoplankton cell size, and the structure of
1506 pelagic food webs. *Advances in marine biology* 29, 1–72.

- 1507 Kjørboe, T., 2011. How zooplankton feed: mechanisms, traits and trade-offs.
1508 Biological reviews 86, 311–339.
- 1509 Kirk, J., 1976. A theoretical analysis of the contribution of algal cells to the
1510 attenuation of light within natural waters: Iii. cylindrical and spheroidal
1511 cells. New Phytologist 77, 341–358.
- 1512 Kirk, J.T., 1994. Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems. Cambridge
1513 university press.
- 1514 Kjørboe, T., Hirst, A.G., 2014. Shifts in mass scaling of respiration, feeding,
1515 and growth rates across life-form transitions in marine pelagic organisms.
1516 American Naturalist 183. doi:10.1086/675241.
- 1517 Kremer, C.T., Thomas, M.K., Litchman, E., 2017. Temperature- and size-
1518 scaling of phytoplankton population growth rates: Reconciling the eppley
1519 curve and the metabolic theory of ecology. Limnology and Oceanography
1520 62, 1658–1670. doi:10.1002/lno.10523.
- 1521 Krumhardt, K.M., Lovenduski, N.S., Iglesias-Rodriguez, M.D., Kleypas,
1522 J.A., 2017. Coccolithophore growth and calcification in a changing ocean.
1523 Progress in oceanography 159, 276–295.
- 1524 Krumhardt, K.M., Lovenduski, N.S., Long, M.C., Levy, M., Lindsay, K.,
1525 Moore, J.K., Nissen, C., 2019. Coccolithophore growth and calcification
1526 in an acidified ocean: Insights from community earth system model simu-
1527 lations. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems 11, 1418–1437.
- 1528 Kwiatkowski, L., Torres, O., Bopp, L., Aumont, O., Chamberlain, M., Chris-
1529 tian, J.R., Dunne, J.P., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., John, J.G., et al., 2020.
1530 Twenty-first century ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation, and
1531 upper-ocean nutrient and primary production decline from cmip6 model
1532 projections. Biogeosciences 17, 3439–3470.
- 1533 Landry, M.R., Al-Mutairi, H., Selph, K.E., Christensen, S., Nunnery, S.,
1534 2001. Seasonal patterns of mesozooplankton abundance and biomass at
1535 station aloha. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography
1536 48, 2037–2061.
- 1537 Landry, M.R., Calbet, A., 2004. Microzooplankton production in the oceans.
1538 ICES Journal of Marine Science 61, 501–507.

1539 Large, W.G., Yeager, S.G., 2009. The global climatology of an interan-
1540 nually varying air - sea flux data set. *Climate Dynamics* 33, 341–364.
1541 doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3.

1542 Law, R., Plank, M.J., James, A., Blanchard, J.L., 2009. Size-spectra dy-
1543 namics from stochastic predation and growth of individuals. *Ecology* 90,
1544 802–811.

1545 Legendre, L., Le Fèvre, J., 1995. Microbial food webs and the export of
1546 biogenic carbon in oceans. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 9, 69–77.

1547 Legendre, L., Rassoulzadegan, F., 1996. Food-web mediated export of bio-
1548 genic carbon in oceans: hydrodynamic control. *Marine Ecology Progress*
1549 *Series* 145, 179–193.

1550 Letelier, R.M., Karl, D.M., 1998. *Trichodesmium* spp. physiology and nutri-
1551 ent fluxes in the north pacific subtropical gyre. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*
1552 15, 265–276.

1553 Letelier, R.M., Karl, D.M., Abbott, M.R., Bidigare, R.R., 2004. Light driven
1554 seasonal patterns of chlorophyll and nitrate in the lower euphotic zone of
1555 the north pacific subtropical gyre. *Limnology and Oceanography* 49, 508–
1556 519.

1557 Letscher, R.T., Moore, J.K., 2015. Preferential remineralization of dissolved
1558 organic phosphorus and non-redfield dom dynamics in the global ocean:
1559 Impacts on marine productivity, nitrogen fixation, and carbon export.
1560 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 29, 325–340.

1561 Letscher, R.T., Moore, J.K., Teng, Y.C., Primeau, F., 2015. Variable c: N: P
1562 stoichiometry of dissolved organic matter cycling in the community earth
1563 system model. *Biogeosciences* 12, 209–221.

1564 Li, Q.P., Franks, P.J., Landry, M.R., Goericke, R., Taylor, A.G., 2010. Mod-
1565 eling phytoplankton growth rates and chlorophyll to carbon ratios in cal-
1566 ifornia coastal and pelagic ecosystems. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
1567 *Biogeosciences* 115. doi:10.1029/2009JG001111.

1568 Litchman, E., 1998. Population and community responses of phytoplankton
1569 to fluctuating light. *Oecologia* 117, 247–257.

- 1570 Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C.A., Schofield, O.M., Falkowski, P.G., 2007. The
1571 role of functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton com-
1572 munities: Scaling from cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecology Letters* 10,
1573 1170–1181. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01117.x.
- 1574 Long, M.C., Lindsay, K., Holland, M.M., 2015. Modeling photosynthesis in
1575 sea ice-covered waters. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 7,
1576 1189–1206.
- 1577 Long, M.C., Moore, J.K., Lindsay, K., Levy, M.N., Doney, S.C., Luo, J.Y.,
1578 Krumhardt, K.M., Letscher, R.T., Grover, M., Sylvester, Z.T., 2021. Sim-
1579 ulations with the marine biogeochemistry library (marbl) .
- 1580 Longhurst, A.R., Harrison, W.G., 1988. Vertical nitrogen flux from the
1581 oceanic photic zone by diel migrant zooplankton and nekton. *Deep Sea*
1582 *Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 35, 881–889.
- 1583 López-Sandoval, D.C., Rodríguez-Ramos, T., Cermeño, P., Sobrino, C.,
1584 Marañón, E., 2014. Photosynthesis and respiration in marine phytoplank-
1585 ton: relationship with cell size, taxonomic affiliation, and growth phase.
1586 *Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology* 457, 151–159.
- 1587 Luo, J.Y., Condon, R.H., Stock, C.A., Duarte, C.M., Lucas, C.H., Pitt,
1588 K.A., Cowen, R.K., 2020. Gelatinous zooplankton-mediated carbon flows
1589 in the global oceans: a data-driven modeling study. *Global Biogeochemical*
1590 *Cycles* 34, e2020GB006704.
- 1591 Luo, Y.W., Lima, I.D., Karl, D.M., Deutsch, C., Doney, S., 2014. Data-based
1592 assessment of environmental controls on global marine nitrogen fixation.
1593 *Biogeosciences* 11, 691–708.
- 1594 Ángel López-Urrutia, Martin, E.S., Harris, R.P., Irigoien, X., 2006. Scaling
1595 the metabolic balance of the oceans. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
1596 *of Sciences* 103, 8739–8744.
- 1597 MacIntyre, S., 1998. Turbulent mixing and re-
1598 source supply to phytoplankton. URL:
1599 <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/CE054p0561>,
1600 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/CE054p0561>.

- 1601 Marañón, E., Cermeño, P., López-Sandoval, D.C., Rodríguez-Ramos, T., So-
1602 brino, C., Huete-Ortega, M., Blanco, J.M., Rodríguez, J., 2013. Unimodal
1603 size scaling of phytoplankton growth and the size dependence of nutrient
1604 uptake and use. *Ecology Letters* 16, 371–379. doi:10.1111/ele.12052.
- 1605 Margalef, R., 1978. Life-forms of phytoplankton as survival alternatives in
1606 an unstable environment. *Oceanologica acta* 1, 493–509.
- 1607 Marquet, P.A., Quiñones, R.A., Abades, S., Labra, F., Tognelli, M., Arim,
1608 M., Rivadeneira, M., 2005. Scaling and power-laws in ecological systems.
1609 *Journal of Experimental Biology* 208, 1749–1769.
- 1610 Mayorga, E., Seitzinger, S.P., Harrison, J.A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A.H.,
1611 Bouwman, A., Fekete, B.M., Kroeze, C., Van Drecht, G., 2010. Global
1612 nutrient export from watersheds 2 (news 2): model development and im-
1613 plementation. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 25, 837–853.
- 1614 McCarthy, J.J., Carpenter, E.J., 1979. *Oscillatoria* (trichodesmium) thiebau-
1615 tii (cyanophyta) in the central north atlantic ocean 1 2. *Journal of Phy-*
1616 *cology* 15, 75–82.
- 1617 Menden-Deuer, S., Lessard, E.J., 2000. Carbon to volume relationships for
1618 dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr* 45,
1619 569–579.
- 1620 Moeller, H.V., Laufkötter, C., Sweeney, E.M., Johnson, M.D., 2019. Light-
1621 dependent grazing can drive formation and deepening of deep chlorophyll
1622 maxima. *Nature communications* 10, 1–8.
- 1623 Monteiro, F.M., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M.J., 2011. Biogeographical con-
1624 trols on the marine nitrogen fixers. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 25.
- 1625 Moore, C.M., Mills, M.M., Arrigo, K.R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd,
1626 P.W., Galbraith, E.D., Geider, R.J., Guieu, C., Jaccard, S.L., Jickells,
1627 T.D., Roche, J.L., Lenton, T.M., Mahowald, N.M., Marañón, E., Marinov,
1628 I., Moore, J.K., Nakatsuka, T., Oschlies, A., Saito, M.A., Thingstad, T.F.,
1629 Tsuda, A., Ulloa, O., 2013a. Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient
1630 limitation. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 701–710. doi:10.1038/ngeo1765.

1631 Moore, J.K., Doney, S.C., Kleypas, J.A., Glover, D.M., Fung, I.Y., 2001. An
1632 intermediate complexity marine ecosystem model for the global domain.
1633 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 49, 403–462.

1634 Moore, J.K., Doney, S.C., Lindsay, K., 2004a. Upper ocean ecosystem dy-
1635 namics and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. Global Bio-
1636 geochemical Cycles 18.

1637 Moore, J.K., Doney, S.C., Lindsay, K., 2004b. Upper ocean ecosystem dy-
1638 namics and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. Global Bio-
1639 geochemical Cycles 18.

1640 Moore, J.K., Lindsay, K., Doney, S.C., Long, M.C., Misumi, K., 2013b.
1641 Marine ecosystem dynamics and biogeochemical cycling in the community
1642 earth system model [cesm1 (bgc)]: Comparison of the 1990s with the 2090s
1643 under the rcp4. 5 and rcp8. 5 scenarios. Journal of Climate 26, 9291–9312.

1644 Moore, L.R., Chisholm, S.W., 1999. Photophysiology of the marine
1645 cyanobacterium prochlorococcus: ecotypic differences among cultured iso-
1646 lates. Limnology and Oceanography 44, 628–638.

1647 Moore, L.R., Post, A.F., Rocap, G., Chisholm, S.W., 2002. Utilization of
1648 different nitrogen sources by the marine cyanobacteria prochlorococcus and
1649 synechococcus. Limnology and oceanography 47, 989–996.

1650 Moriarty, R., O’Brien, T.D., 2013. Distribution of mesozooplankton biomass
1651 in the global ocean. Earth System Science Data 5, 45–55. doi:10.5194/essd-
1652 5-45-2013.

1653 Najjar, R., Orr, J., Sabine, C., Joos, F., 1999. Biotic-howto. Internal OCMIP
1654 Report, LSCE/CEA Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France 15.

1655 Nelson, D.M., Tréguer, P., Brzezinski, M.A., Leynaert, A., Quéguiner, B.,
1656 1995. Production and dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean: revised
1657 global estimates, comparison with regional data and relationship to bio-
1658 genic sedimentation. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 9, 359–372.

1659 O’Brien, T.D., 2005. Copepod, a global plankton database: a review of the
1660 2005 database contents and creation of new global zooplankton biomass
1661 fields .

- 1662 O'Neill, R., DeAngelis, D., Pastor, J., Jackson, B., Post, W., 1989. Multiple
1663 nutrient limitations in ecological models. *Ecological Modelling* 46, 147–163.
- 1664 Oostende, N.V., Dussin, R., Stock, C.A., Barton, A.D., Curchitser, E.,
1665 Dunne, J.P., Ward, B.B., 2018. Simulating the ocean's chlorophyll dy-
1666 namic range from coastal upwelling to oligotrophy. *Progress in Oceanog-*
1667 *raphy* 168, 232–247. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2018.10.009.
- 1668 Park, J.Y., Stock, C.A., Dunne, J.P., Yang, X., Rosati, A., 2019. Seasonal
1669 to multiannual marine ecosystem prediction with a global earth system
1670 model. *Science* 365, 284–288.
- 1671 Peters, R.H., Wassenberg, K., 1983. The effect of body size on animal abun-
1672 dance. *Oecologia* 60, 89–96.
- 1673 Pitt, K.A., Duarte, C.M., Lucas, C.H., Sutherland, K.R., Condon, R.H.,
1674 Mianzan, H., Purcell, J.E., Robinson, K.L., Uye, S.I., 2013. Jellyfish body
1675 plans provide allometric advantages beyond low carbon content. *PLoS*
1676 *ONE* 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072683.
- 1677 Reynolds, C., 2006. *The Ecology of Phytoplankton*. Ecology, Bio-
1678 diversity and Conservation, Cambridge University Press. URL:
1679 <https://books.google.com/books?id=gDz5jGsPWZYC>.
- 1680 Richardson, K., Beardall, J., Raven, J.A., 1983. Adaptation of unicellular
1681 algae to irradiance: an analysis of strategies. *New Phytologist* 93, 157–191.
- 1682 Ryther, J.H., 1969. Photosynthesis and fish production in the sea. *New*
1683 *Series* 166, 72–76.
- 1684 Sarmiento, J.L., Slater, R., Barber, R., Bopp, L., Doney, S.C., Hirst, A.C.,
1685 Kleypas, J., Matear, R., Mikolajewicz, U., Monfray, P., Soldatov, V., Spall,
1686 S.A., Stouffer, R., 2004. Response of ocean ecosystems to climate warming.
1687 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 18. doi:10.1029/2003GB002134.
- 1688 Sathyendranath, S., Stuart, V., Nair, A., Oka, K., Nakane, T., Bouman, H.,
1689 Forget, M.H., Maass, H., Platt, T., 2009. Carbon-to-chlorophyll ratio and
1690 growth rate of phytoplankton in the sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
1691 383, 73–84. doi:10.3354/meps07998.

- 1692 Schiebel, R., 2002. Planktic foraminiferal sedimentation and the marine
1693 calcite budget. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 16, 3–1.
- 1694 Sheldon, R., Sutcliffe Jr, W., Paranjape, M., 1977. Structure of pelagic food
1695 chain and relationship between plankton and fish production. *Journal of*
1696 *the Fisheries Board of Canada* 34, 2344–2353.
- 1697 Six, K.D., Maier-Reimer, E., 1996. Effects of plankton dynamics on seasonal
1698 carbon fluxes in an ocean general circulation model. *Global Biogeochemical*
1699 *Cycles* 10, 559–583.
- 1700 Smith, R., Jones, P., Briegleb, B., Bryan, F., Danabasoglu, G., Dennis,
1701 J., Dukowicz, J., Eden, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Gent, P., 2010. The parallel
1702 ocean program (pop) reference manual ocean component of the community
1703 climate system model (ccsm) and community earth system model (cesm).
1704 LAUR-01853 141, 1–140.
- 1705 Spitz, Y., Moisan, J., Abbott, M., 2001. Configuring an ecosystem model
1706 using data from the bermuda atlantic time series (bats). *Deep Sea Research*
1707 *Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 48, 1733–1768.
- 1708 Stawiarski, B., Buitenhuis, E.T., Quéré, C.L., 2016. The physiological re-
1709 sponse of picophytoplankton to temperature and its model representation.
1710 *Frontiers in Marine Science* 3. doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00164.
- 1711 Steele, J.H., Henderson, E.W., 1992. The role of predation in plankton
1712 models. *Journal of Plankton Research* 14, 157–172.
- 1713 Stefels, J., Dijkhuizen, L., Gieskes, W., 1995. Dmsp-lyase activity in a spring
1714 phytoplankton bloom off the dutch coast, related to phaeocystis sp. abun-
1715 dance. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 123, 235–243.
- 1716 Steinberg, D.K., Carlson, C.A., Bates, N.R., Goldthwait, S.A., Madin, L.P.,
1717 Michaels, A.F., 2000. Zooplankton vertical migration and the active trans-
1718 port of dissolved organic and inorganic carbon in the sargasso sea. *Deep*
1719 *Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 47, 137–158.
- 1720 Steinberg, D.K., Carlson, C.A., Bates, N.R., Johnson, R.J., Michaels, A.F.,
1721 Knap, A.H., 2001. Overview of the us jgofs bermuda atlantic time-series
1722 study (bats): a decade-scale look at ocean biology and biogeochemistry.

- 1723 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 48, 1405–
1724 1447.
- 1725 Steinberg, D.K., Landry, M.R., 2017. Zooplankton and the ocean carbon
1726 cycle. Annual review of marine science 9, 413–444.
- 1727 Stemmann, L., Boss, E., 2012. Plankton and particle size and packaging:
1728 from determining optical properties to driving the biological pump. Annual
1729 Review of Marine Science 4, 263–290.
- 1730 Stock, C., Dunne, J., 2010. Controls on the ratio of mesozooplank-
1731 ton production to primary production in marine ecosystems. Deep-
1732 Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 57, 95–112.
1733 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.10.006.
- 1734 Stock, C., Dunne, J., John, J., 2014a. Drivers of trophic amplification of
1735 ocean productivity trends in a changing climate. Biogeosciences 11, 7125–
1736 7135.
- 1737 Stock, C.A., Dunne, J.P., Fan, S., Ginoux, P., John, J., Krasting, J.P.,
1738 Laufkötter, C., Paulot, F., Zadeh, N., 2020. Ocean biogeochemistry
1739 in gfdl’s earth system model 4.1 and its response to increasing at-
1740 mospheric co2. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems 12.
1741 doi:10.1029/2019MS002043.
- 1742 Stock, C.A., Dunne, J.P., John, J.G., 2014b. Global-scale carbon and en-
1743 ergy flows through the marine planktonic food web: An analysis with a
1744 coupled physical-biological model. Progress in Oceanography 120, 1–28.
1745 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2013.07.001.
- 1746 Straile, D., 1997. Gross growth efficiencies of protozoan and metazoan
1747 zooplankton and their dependence on food concentration, predator-prey
1748 weight ratio, and taxonomic group. Limnology and Oceanography 42,
1749 1375–1385.
- 1750 Tang, E.P.Y., 1995. The allometry of algal growth and respiration .
- 1751 Tang, W., Wang, S., Fonseca-Batista, D., Dehairs, F., Gifford, S., Gon-
1752 zalez, A.G., Gallinari, M., Planquette, H., Sarthou, G., Cassar, N.,

1753 2019. Revisiting the distribution of oceanic n₂ fixation and estimat-
1754 ing diazotrophic contribution to marine production. *Nature Communi-*
1755 *cations* 10, 831. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08640-0>,
1756 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08640-0.

1757 Taniguchi, D.A., Franks, P.J., Poulin, F.J., 2014. Planktonic biomass size
1758 spectra: An emergent property of size-dependent physiological rates, food
1759 web dynamics, and nutrient regimes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 514,
1760 13–33. doi:10.3354/meps10968.

1761 Tréguer, P., Bowler, C., Moriceau, B., Dutkiewicz, S., Gehlen, M., Aumont,
1762 O., Bittner, L., Dugdale, R., Finkel, Z., Iudicone, D., Jahn, O., Guidi,
1763 L., Lasbleiz, M., Leblanc, K., Levy, M., Pondaven, P., 2018. Influence of
1764 diatom diversity on the ocean biological carbon pump. *Nature Geoscience*
1765 11, 27–37. doi:10.1038/s41561-017-0028-x.

1766 Vallina, S.M., Follows, M., Dutkiewicz, S., Montoya, J.M., Cermenó, P.,
1767 Loreau, M., 2014. Global relationship between phytoplankton diversity
1768 and productivity in the ocean. *Nature communications* 5, 1–10.

1769 Wang, W.L., Moore, J.K., Martiny, A.C., Primeau, F.W., 2019. Convergent
1770 estimates of marine nitrogen fixation. *Nature* 566, 205–211.

1771 Ward, B.A., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M.J., 2014. Modelling spatial and
1772 temporal patterns in size-structured marine plankton communities: top–
1773 down and bottom–up controls. *Journal of Plankton Research* 36, 31–47.

1774 Ward, B.A., Dutkiewicz, S., Jahn, O., Follows, M.J., 2012. A size-structured
1775 food-web model for the global ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography* 57,
1776 1877–1891. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.6.1877.

1777 Ward, B.A., Follows, M.J., 2016. Marine mixotrophy increases trophic trans-
1778 fer efficiency, mean organism size, and vertical carbon flux. *Proceedings of*
1779 *the National Academy of Sciences* 113, 2958–2963.

1780 Wu, J., Sunda, W., Boyle, E.A., Karl, D.M., 2000. Phosphate depletion in
1781 the western north atlantic ocean. *Science* 289, 759–762.

1782 Yool, A., Popova, E., Anderson, T., 2013. Medusa-2.0: an intermediate
1783 complexity biogeochemical model of the marine carbon cycle for climate

- 1784 change and ocean acidification studies. *Geoscientific Model Development*
1785 6, 1767–1811.
- 1786 Yuan, L.L., Pollard, A.I., 2018. Changes in the relationship between zoo-
1787 plankton and phytoplankton biomasses across a eutrophication gradient.
1788 *Limnology and oceanography* 63, 2493–2507.