1 **Sensory plasticity in a socially plastic bee**

2 **Rebecca A Boulton^{*1,2} and Jeremy Field³**

3 ¹Laboratory of Genetics, Plant Sciences Group, Wageningen University & Research,

4 6708 PB, Netherlands

5 ²Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, FK9 4NF, United

6 Kingdom

7 ³College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn campus,

8 TR10 9FE, United Kingdom

9 *author for correspondence: rebeccaboulton87@googlemail.com

10

11 **Abstract**

12 The social Hymenoptera have contributed much to our understanding of the
 13 evolution of sensory systems. Attention has focussed chiefly on how sociality and
 14 sensory systems have evolved together. In the Hymenoptera, the antennal sensilla
 15 are important for optimising the perception of olfactory social information. Social
 16 species have denser antennal sensilla than solitary species, which is thought to
 17 enhance social cohesion through nest-mate recognition. In the current study, we test
 18 whether sensilla numbers vary between regional populations of the socially plastic
 19 sweat bee *Halictus rubicundus*. We found region level differences in both olfactory
 20 and hygro/thermoreceptive sensilla numbers. We also found evidence that olfactory
 21 sensilla density is developmentally plastic: when we transplanted bees from Scotland
 22 to the south-east of England, their offspring (which developed in the south) had more
 23 olfactory hairs than the transplanted individuals themselves (which developed in
 24 Scotland). The transplanted bees displayed a mix of social (a queen plus workers)
 25 and solitary nesting, but neither individual nor nest phenotype was related to sensilla

26 density. We suggest that this general, rather than caste-specific sensory plasticity
 27 provides a flexible means to optimise sensory perception according to the most
 28 pressing demands of the environment, and may support social plasticity in *H.*
 29 *rubicundus*.

30

31

32

33

34

35 **Introduction**

36

37 Natural selection on sensory abilities has resulted in enormous diversity in the
 38 mechanisms that organisms use to perceive their environment. For example, species
 39 of Lake Tanganyika cichlids that have colonised more complex, rocky habitats have
 40 greater visual acuity than sand-dwelling species (Dobberfuhl et al. 2005). These
 41 mechanisms can also feedback into selective regimes, facilitating micro- and
 42 macroevolutionary change through sensory drive. Sensory drive occurs when local
 43 adaptation results in populations with diverging modes of communication and
 44 perception. This has been proposed to lead to assortative mating between partners
 45 with complementary signalling and perception systems, reducing geneflow and
 46 promoting speciation (Boughman 2002).

47

48 The Hymenoptera have emerged as a model system to understand both the
 49 proximate and ultimate factors that underlie sensory evolution. These insects have
 50 antennal receptors with diverse functions and distinct forms that can easily be

characterised within and between species. This work has revealed that both the density and form of antennal sense organs (sensilla) correlate with diet (Polidori et al. 2012; 2020), sex (Babu 2019; Do Carmo Queiroz Fialho et al. 2014) and a cleptoparasitic lifestyle (Wcislo 1995; Galvani et al. 2017). Attention has focussed particularly on how eusociality has imposed selection to optimise antennal perception. Eusociality involves reproductive division of labour with a queen/s producing eggs and workers provisioning the brood. However, sociality takes diverse forms across the bees and wasps and group sizes vary enormously, providing rich opportunities for comparative study. Many obligately social species show worker polyphenism, where some workers specialise on brood care and others on foraging or guarding the nest (Andersson 1984). Compared with solitary species, social species require more finely tuned communication systems to distinguish intruders from kin and gain information from nestmates (Wcislo 1997; Renner and Nieh 2008). Across the Hymenoptera, social species tend to have greater densities of antennal sensilla that are involved in the perception of olfactory cues than solitary species (Elgar et al. 2018). This is thought to enhance social cohesion and performance by facilitating nest-mate recognition (Ozaki et al. 2005; Gill et al. 2013; Couto et al. 2017) and supporting caste polyphenisms (Spaethe et al. 2007; Riveros and Gronenberg 2010; Gill et al. 2013; Grueter et al. 2017; Elgar et al. 2018).

The olfactory antennal sensilla have been proposed as a pre-adaptation that acts alongside haplodiploidy to predispose the Hymenoptera to the evolution of sociality (Couto et al. 2017). A conserved, ancestral olfactory subsystem involving the basiconic antennal sensilla has been suggested to facilitate kin selection by allowing nestmate recognition from cuticular hydrocarbon (CHCs) profiles (Ozaki et al. 2005;

McKenzie et al. 2016; Couto et al. 2017; Pask et al. 2017). While empirical evidence for this subsystem exists for only two species (an ant and a hornet), the halictid bees have also provided support for the possibility that sensory pre-adaptations might contribute to the evolution of sociality. The Halictidae or sweat bees exhibit a range of social structures, from solitary nesting through to obligate sociality, including within-species social polymorphism and social plasticity (Gibbs et al. 2012). A comparative study by Wittwer et al (2017) has shown that ancestrally solitary species and their social sister species have equivalent densities of hair-like sensilla (basiconic and trichoid sensilla) that detect olfactory cues. Species that have reverted to a solitary existence from sociality on the other hand, have reduced densities of these sensilla. This suggests that in the Halictidae, sensitivity to olfactory cues precedes the evolution of sociality rather than evolving as a consequence of it.

88

In addition to caste polyphenisms, where individuals always form social groups but vary in the tasks that they perform, a number of species are facultatively social. In these species, individuals vary in their propensity to form social groups or nest as solitary individuals (Gibbs et al. 2012). This social plasticity is thought to be key to the evolutionary diversification of the Hymenoptera as it provides a genetic base from which obligate eusociality and caste polyphenism can evolve repeatedly (West-Eberhard 2003; Jones et al. 2017). It also provides an opportunity to test for correlates of social evolution without the complication of interspecific differences. In this study we characterise the antennal sensilla of a facultatively social species, *Halictus rubicundus*, for the first time. We measure the density of different antennal sensilla types between regional populations of this socially plastic sweat bee and test whether sensory plasticity mirrors social plasticity in a transplant experiment. Our

results represent an important step in disentangling the sequence of events that led to the evolution of eusociality and reproductive division of labour in the Hymenoptera.

Materials and methods

Study system

Halictus rubicundus is a ground-nesting bee that can be found throughout Europe and North America in areas with sandy-loamy soil that receive sufficient sunlight. In Great Britain and Ireland, *H. rubicundus* demonstrates social variation according to latitude but retains social plasticity throughout its range (Field et al. 2010; 2012). In the north bees are typically solitary as the short growing season and cooler climate precludes the bivoltinism that is required for social living. In a typical year in the north, foundresses produce a single brood of offspring per year, the females of which enter hibernation and emerge to become foundresses the following spring (figure 1a). In the south, growing seasons tend to be longer and the weather is warmer, so bivoltinism and sociality can occur more frequently. After overwintering underground, females produce a first brood (the B1) which become workers and provision a second brood (B2) which are offspring of either the original foundress or a B1 replacement queen. B1 females are also observed to provision their nests alone (without workers) in the same year that they emerge. The B2 hibernate and emerge the following year to found their own nests (figure 1b; Field et al. 2010; 2012).

Sociality is extremely plastic in *H. rubicundus* in Great Britain, and when solitary northern bees are transplanted to the south, the B1 brood will often provision as

workers or solitary foundresses in the same year (Field et al. 2010; 2012; this study). Here we test for fixed and plastic differences in antennal sensilla density in *H. rubicundus* across and within populations. We first test whether olfactory sensilla densities differ between bees from southern populations, where the climate allows some individuals to form social nests most years, and northern populations with limited opportunity to form social nests. Second, we test whether antennal sensilla numbers are developmentally plastic by transplanting bees from the north to the south. Do the offspring of northern bees that develop in the warmer south have different numbers of antennal sensilla than their parental generation which developed in the north? We also test for differences in sensilla number between individual and nest-level phenotypes: do social individuals/nests exhibit greater densities of olfactory sensilla to aid in nestmate recognition?

Collection

Halictus rubicundus females were collected from 4 sites in the UK in 2018, 2019 and 2020 (see fig 1c). Two sites in the south-west (SW): Boscastle (Cornwall: N 50° 41' 24" W 4° 41' 24"; N = 12; 2018) and Bodmin (Cornwall, N 50° 30' 36" W 4° 33' 36"; N = 4; 2019); a site at a mid-latitude (MID): Belfast (Northern Ireland, N 54° 32' 24" W 5° 58' 48"; N = 19; 2020); and a site in the far north of Scotland (SCO): Migdale (Scotland, N 57° 53' 24" W 4° 15' 0"; N = 15; 2018 and 2020). Previous studies and our own observations indicate that while a proportion of nests are social in SW (this study), nests are always non-social in Belfast (MID; Field et al. 2010) and Scotland (SCO; this study). However, bees from Belfast (MID; Field et al. 2010) and Scotland (SCO; this study) are socially plastic and may have social nests when moved to more southerly sites. Bees sampled were expected to be foundresses based on

collection date. We collected females by hand-netting in in late June in SCO, and in May and early June in the SW, when all bees had fairly worn wings indicative of several weeks of provisioning. In Belfast (MID) we excavated overwintering foundresses in February.

Transplant

In addition to sampling from native populations, we collected bees that had been transplanted from Scotland (SCO) to a site in the south-east (SE), the Knepp estate in West Sussex (N 50° 53' 60" W 0° 21' 36"; N = 47; see fig 1c). Buckets of soil were embedded within a nest aggregation at the Scottish site during winter 2018-19, and native foundresses subsequently nested in them during 2019. Their B1 offspring then emerged in late summer 2019 and hibernated in the buckets. The buckets containing hibernating Scottish bees were taken from Scotland to the south-east in the spring of 2020, where they were re-embedded in the ground. SCO foundresses that emerged were marked with a dot of enamel paint when they provisioned the B1 brood in the south-east. When the B1 emerged, they were marked with a different colour of enamel paint. Each nest was marked with a numbered nail at the entrance. This allowed us to distinguish fresh B1 females from the original foundresses and to determine whether nests were solitary (with a single B1 female or original foundress provisioning alone) or social (with multiple B1 females provisioning the nest). At the end of the B1 provisioning phase we collected SCO foundresses (which had emerged in Scotland and been transplanted to the SE) and their B1 offspring (which had developed and emerged in the SE).

Specimen storage and preparation

All specimens were stored in 95% Ethanol until they were prepared for imaging. One antenna was removed from each bee (whether it was the left or right was recorded) and mounted on a JEOL aluminium stub (10mm dia x 10mm high) using a PELCO carbon conductive sticky tab (10mm dia). Specimens were mounted dorsal side up. Specimens were carbon coated using spectrographically pure carbon.

Imaging

Mounted and carbon coated specimens were imaged using a TESCAN Vega SEM in High Vacuum mode at 20.0kV. The scan mode was set to resolution and the magnification was 485x. Only the two distal segments (11 and 12), which have the highest density of sensilla, were imaged. The length and area of each antennal segment was measured during imaging and images of each antennal segment from the SEM were saved in TIF format.

190

191

192 **Figure 1** (A) univoltine lifecycle of *Halictus rubicundus* which prohibits social nesting (B) bivoltine lifecycle of *Halictus rubicundus*
 193 where sociality is possible as the 1st brood (B1) can provision a second brood (B2). Note that in (B) the B1 females can either (i)
 194 overwinter and provision the following year as a solitary foundress (ii) provision a nest alone as a solitary foundress in the year that
 195 they emerge or (iii) provision the nest socially with their B1 sisters with a queen (their mother or a sister) in the year that they
 196 emerge. (C) Map depicting the sites where *H. rubicundus* females were studied. Dashed arrow represents the direction of the
 197 transplant experiment (SCO to SE).

Image scoring

Eight distinct types of sensilla with overlapping functions have been characterised in the Hymenoptera (Do Carmo Queiroz Fialho et al. 2014). Placoid sensilla are plate-like structures that are involved in olfaction and chemoreception. Tricoid and basiconic sensilla are hair-like projections with multiple sub-types that are used in olfaction involving contact. Coeloconic, campaniform and ampulliform sensilla are pore-like and are involved in sensing temperature, humidity and CO₂ concentration (Do Carmo Queiroz Fialho et al. 2014). For this study we grouped all sensilla types into three structural/functional groups that allowed for repeatability in scoring: (i) olfactory plate sensilla (sensilla placodea, fig 2), (ii) olfactory hair-type sensilla (sensilla trichodea and basonica; fig 2) and (iii) temperature/humidity/CO₂ pore sensilla (coeloconic/campaniform/ampulliform sensilla; fig 2).

Images of antennal segments 11 and 12 were scored using ImageJ software. For each segment, three 50µM x 50µM 'quadrats' were selected, the X and Y coordinates of which were derived by generating a random number using the excel function RANDBETWEEN. Each quadrat was saved as a TIF image and the number of sensilla types i and ii were counted. We counted sensilla type iii across the entire segment as they are distributed unevenly on the surface of the antenna. In some cases, bare patches with no sensilla were present on the surface of the antenna. Any quadrats which fell on the bare areas were discarded and another area was selected. We note that the scorer was not blind to the population of origin as the same person was required to mount, image and score the antennae.

Figure 2 SEM image showing a *Halictus rubicundus* antenna tip. (A) shows the distal 2 antennal segments (11 and 12) that were imaged, (B) and (C) show the types (i, ii, and iii) of antennal sensilla that were counted. Scale is given in μM.

Analysis

Repeatability

Thirty-three of the 130 sampled antennae were scored a second time (by the same person) for all sensilla types. The repeatability of image scoring was assessed using the intra-class correlation coefficient, function *ICC* in the R package *irr* (Gamer et al, 2019).

Sensilla numbers

We used linear mixed models in the R package *lme4* (Bates et al. 2019) to test for differences in the numbers of sensilla types (i-iii). For all models outlined below, the response variable was total sensilla counted, either i) olfactory plates, total across 3 quadrats for each segment per individual; ii) olfactory hairs, total across 3 quadrats for each segment per individual; or iii) temperature/humidity pores, total across each

segment per individual. The package DHARMA was used to determine the most appropriate error structure for all models (Gaussian) and to interpret residual plots for lack of fit (Hartig, 2020).

Region, development site and social phenotype

To test whether bees from different regions have different numbers of sensilla we included region as a fixed factor with 3 levels in LMMs: SW (Boscastle and Bodmin combined; N = 16 individuals), MID (Belfast, Northern Ireland; N = 19 individuals) and SCO (Migdale, Scotland, far north; N = 15 individuals).

We ran additional models to test whether sensilla density varies depending on where bees complete their development (which may suggest developmental plasticity). To do this we compared bees that developed in Scotland (SCO) with their offspring that developed in the south-east after transplantation. LMMs included a fixed effect of development site, with 2 levels: SCO (bees that emerged in Scotland and were either collected there (N = 15 individuals, same individuals that were used in the region models; or were moved to the south-east as adults, N = 4) and SE (bees that emerged as adults after immature development in the south-east, the B1 offspring of the bees that were transplanted from Scotland to the south-east; N = 27). Note that *H. rubicundus* females mate before overwintering and so both the mother and father of the B1 bees collected in the south-east originated in Scotland.

Additional LMMs were used to test whether B1 offspring collected in the SE (using the same individuals used in the *development site* model for which nest phenotypes were known, N = 14) had higher counts of sensilla if they were workers from social

nests of transplanted females compared to those which left and started their own solitary nests. Social nests had a queen and one or more workers, while solitary nests had a single B1 foundress that produced offspring in the same year. For these models we included nest phenotype as a fixed effect with 2 levels (social, N = 6 or solitary, N = 8). We did not sample multiple individuals from the same nest, so did not include nest as a random effect. We did not recover any queens from social nests and so could not test for an effect of bee phenotype within social nests. We also ran a model to test for effects of individual, rather than nest-level, phenotype across social and solitary nests, using the same bees. For this analysis bees were characterised as (1) a future reproductive (a B1 individual that had emerged in 2020 and did not provision that year; N = 13); (2) a worker (a B1 individual that provisioned a nest containing a queen; N = 7); (3) a solitary foundress from 2019 (a foundress that emerged in SCO in 2019 and laid eggs/provisioned a nest alone without workers in the south-east); (4) a solitary foundress from 2020 (a foundress that emerged in the B1 generation in 2020 in the SE and provisioned a nest alone; N = 4).

Finally, we ran a model using the bees collected in the SE to test whether age-related wear and tear reduces density of all 3 sensilla types. For these analyses age was binary: bees were scored as fresh (newly emerged and had not provisioned a nest; N = 12) or old (had provisioned a nest for several weeks N = 16).

All LMMs included antenna segment number (11 or 12) as a fixed factor. The interaction effect between region/development type/social phenotype and segment number was also fitted. We included a random effect of individual as two segments

were imaged for each bee. Models were run using the function *lmer* in the R package lme4 (Bates et al, 2015).

Results

Repeatability and effects of age

Counts of all sensilla types were highly repeatable (Koo and Li 2016; ICC greater than 0.85 for all; for a detailed summary see supplementary material table S1).

We also found no evidence that age-related wear and tear influences the variation in sensilla density; there was no effect of age on density of any of the three types of sensilla (type i: $X^2 = 0.25$, df = 1, p = 0.62; type ii: $X^2 = 0.01$, df = 1, p = 0.92; type iii: $X^2 = 0.09$, df = 1, p = 0.76).

Differences between antennal segments

Olfactory plates (type i) and olfactory hairs (type ii) were found in greater numbers on the most distal antennal segment, segment 12, while hygro/thermoreceptors (type iii) were found in higher numbers on segment 11. There were no interaction effects between segment number and region (table 1).

Region

There was a significant effect of region on the counts of type ii (olfactory hairs) and iii (thermo/hygroreceptors) sensilla, but not type i (olfactory plate receptors; see table 1, figure 3a-c). Pairwise tests show that bees from mid-latitude (MID; Belfast; N = 19)

had significantly more olfactory hairs (type ii) than bees collected from the far north (Scotland; N=30; $p = 0.03$; figure 3b), whereas bees from the south-west (N = 16) did not differ from MID (N = 32; $p = 0.06$) or Scottish (N = 15; $p = 0.93$) bees. Bees from SCO and MID had more thermo/hygro (type iii) receptors than SW bees ($p < 0.05$; figure 3c). Bees from the south-west had the most olfactory plate sensilla (type i) but this was not statistically significant (figure 3a).

Table 1 LMM results showing effect of region on antennal sensilla counts across segments using type II sums of squares (p-values for main effects are calculated independent from interaction effects which in all cases are non-significant).

Sensilla type	Effect	X ²	df	P
Type i) olfactory plates	Region	2.31	2	0.31
	Segment	19.48	1	1.01×10^{-5}
	Region*Segment	0.45	2	0.80
Type ii) olfactory hairs	Region	7.81	2	0.02
	Segment	41.74	1	1.04×10^{-10}
	Region*Segment	2.70	2	0.26
Type iii) thermo/hygro-receptive	Region	9.14	2	0.01
	Segment	19.17	1	1.20×10^{-5}
	Region*Segment	0.35	2	0.84

Figure 3 Numbers of (a) and (d) olfactory plates, i; (b) and (e) olfactory hairs, ii; and (c) and (f) hygro/thermoreceptive pores, iii, for *Halictus rubicundus* across: a-c three sampled regions: SW (South-west; mostly social), MID (Belfast; solitary) and SCO (Scotland; solitary); d-e for *Halictus rubicundus* originating from Scotland and collected after either developing as larvae in Scotland (SCO) or after developing as larvae at the transplanted site in the south-east (SE). Different uppercase letters over bars indicate significantly different counts of sensilla. Black points represent sensilla counts on segment 11 and grey points on segment 12. Box plots show median and quartiles across segments 11 and 12.

338 *Development site*

339 The density of olfactory hairs (type ii) varied according to where bees originating
 340 from Scotland spent their immature development (table 2; figure 3d-e). Offspring of
 341 transplanted bees which developed in the south-east (N=27) had more olfactory
 342 hairs (type ii, $p = 0.01$) than conspecifics that developed in their natal Scottish site
 343 (N=19; fig 3e). A similar trend was seen in olfactory plates (I; fig 3d) but this was not
 344 statistically significant ($p = 0.06$). There was no effect of origin on
 345 thermo/hygroreceptor numbers (iii; fig 3f).

Table 2 LMM results showing effect of origin of emergence on antennal sensilla counts across segments (p-values for main effects are calculated independent from interaction effects which in all cases are non-significant).

Sensilla type	Effect	X ²	df	P
Type i) olfactory plates	Development site	3.44	1	0.06
	Segment	34.82	1	3.6x10 ⁻⁹
	Development site *Segment	0.61	1	0.43
Type ii) olfactory hairs	Development site	6.41	1	0.01
	Segment	61.35	1	4.79x10 ⁻¹⁵
	Development site *Segment	1.57	1	0.21
Type iii) thermo/hygroreceptive	Development site	0.74	1	0.39
	Segment	33.25	1	8.12x10 ⁻⁹
	Development site *Segment	0.15	1	0.69

Sociality

B1 workers from social nests (N= 6) and solitary B1 females that founded new nests alone (N= 8) had equivalent numbers of all 3 sensilla types (i-iii, see table 3; fig 4a-c) and there were no interactions between nest phenotype and antenna segment number. There was some suggestion that solitary bees had higher numbers of olfactory plates (type i), but this was not statistically significant ($p = 0.07$). Similarly there were no differences in numbers of any sensilla types across different individual phenotypes (B1 future reproductive emerged in 2020 in SE and did not provision that year, will overwinter, N = 13; B1 worker emerged in 2020 in the SE, N = 7; B1 solitary foundress that emerged 2019 in SCO and provisioned in the SE in 2020, N = 4; and B1 solitary foundress emerged and provisioned in 2020 in SE, N = 4; see table 4; figure 4d-e). These results were the same if B1 solitary foundresses from 2019 (that developed and emerged in SCO) were excluded (see archived code and data; <https://github.com/DrBecky-B/Bee.Antennae>).

Table 3 LMM results showing effect of nest phenotype (social/solitary) on antennal sensilla counts across segments (p-values for main effects are calculated independent from interaction effects which in all cases are non-significant).

Sensilla type	Effect	X ²	df	P
Type i) olfactory plates	Nest phenotype	2.91	1	0.08
	Segment	11.80	1	0.0005
	Nest phenotype*Segment	1.08	1	0.30
Type ii) olfactory hairs	Nest phenotype	0.08	1	0.78

	Segment	42.03	1	8.98×10^{-11}
	Nest phenotype*Segment	1.29	1	0.26
Type iii) thermo/hygroreceptive	Nest phenotype	0.29	1	0.59
	Segment	33.08	1	8.86×10^{-9}
	Nest phenotype*Segment	0.10	1	0.75

Table 4 LMM results showing effect of bee phenotype (future reproductive/worker/solitary foundress 2019 and solitary foundress 2020) on antennal sensilla counts across segments (p-values for main effects are calculated independent from interaction effects which in all cases are non-significant).

Sensilla type	Effect	X ²	df	P
Type i) olfactory plates	Bee phenotype	1.51	3	0.67
	Segment	22.34	1	2.29×10^{-6}
	Bee phenotype*Segment	1.81	3	0.61
Type ii) olfactory hairs	Bee phenotype	1.24	3	0.74
	Segment	42.03	1	8.33×10^{-11}
	Bee phenotype*Segment	0.85	3	0.84
Type iii) thermo/hygroreceptive	Bee phenotype	3.39	3	0.34
	Segment	30.18	1	3.95×10^{-8}
	Bee phenotype*Segment	2.26	3	0.52

Figure 4 Numbers of (a) and (d) olfactory plates, i; (b) and (e) olfactory hairs, ii; and (c) and (f) hygro/thermoreceptive pores, iii; for *Halictus rubicundus* from Scotland collected from (a-c) social and solitary nests and according to individual phenotype (d-f) after being transplanted to the south-east in 2020. For figures 4d-4f: F-2021 female that emerged in the SE in 2020 but did not provision that year; F-2020 female that emerged in the SE in 2020 and provisioned a nest as a solitary foundress; F-2019 female that emerged in SCO in 2019 and provisioned a nest as a solitary

foundress in 2020; W-2020 female that emerged in the SE in 2020 and provisioned a social nest in the same year as a worker. Black points represent sensilla counts on segment 11 and grey points on segment 12. Box plots show median and quartiles across segments 11 and 12. There were no significant differences in counts of any sensilla type across the groups.

Discussion

The social Hymenoptera have contributed much to our understanding of the proximate and ultimate factors that underlie the evolution of sensory systems. Attention has focussed chiefly on how sociality has imposed selection to optimise olfactory communication, enhancing social cohesion through nest-mate recognition and supporting caste polyphenisms (Wcislo 1997; Ozaki et al. 2005; Spaethe et al. 2007; Renner and Nieh 2008; Riveros and Gronenberg 2010; Gill et al. 2013; Couto et al. 2017; Grueter et al. 2017; Elgar et al. 2018). In the current study we measured sensilla density in the socially plastic sweat bee *Halictus rubicundus*. We found that when bees were transplanted from Scotland, where they are solitary, to the south where they can be social, their offspring had higher densities of olfactory hairs. These results suggest that the density of olfactory hairs may, like sociality, be phenotypically plastic in *H. rubicundus*. We also found evidence for between-region differences in the density of basiconic/trichoid olfactory hairs (and hygro/thermoreceptive sensilla) for untransplanted bees, with mid-latitude bees having higher densities than more northerly Scottish bees. Differences were not perfectly correlated with the expected degree of sociality, however, because bees from the south-west did not differ from mid-latitude or Scottish bees.

414

415 The results from our transplant experiment suggest that olfactory hair density may be
416 phenotypically plastic, and may vary depending on the conditions that bees
417 experience during development. When Scottish bees were transplanted to the south-
418 east, their offspring that developed there (where air and soil temperatures in June
419 and July are 2-5°C warmer than their native site; table S2) had greater densities of
420 trichoid/basiconic hairs. In this scenario, warmer temperatures during development,
421 rather than social phenotype, lead to greater densities of olfactory hairs. A similar
422 pattern is seen in the parasitoid wasp *Trichogramma*; when males develop under
423 colder temperatures they have reduced numbers of basiconic hairs (Pinto et al.
424 1989). A direct response to temperature might explain why untransplanted
425 foundresses from the south-west, where bees are often social, but temperatures are
426 not as high as in the south-east, did not have higher basiconic hair densities than
427 non-social populations (Fig. 3).

428

429 In addition to plasticity within a population, we also found evidence that olfactory hair
430 density (type ii, basiconic/trichoid sensilla) varies across regional populations in *H.*
431 *rubicundus*. In contrast we saw no differences in the densities of placoid (plate-like,
432 type i) olfactory sensilla across regions. This may relate to the function of these
433 receptor types. Basiconic/trichoid hairs respond to contact with the CHCs of other
434 individuals, and so are likely to be required for nest-mate recognition and
435 communication, while placoid plates are thought to be involved in longer range
436 olfaction to detect food and hosts (Ozaki et al. 2005; McKenzie et al. 2016; Couto et
437 al. 2017; Pask et al. 2017). We know of no clinal variation in diet breadth in this

species (although this remains to be rigorously studied), which fits with the pattern we see here.

Bees collected from Belfast at a mid-latitude had the highest density of olfactory hair-like sensilla (type ii), higher than bees from Scotland (450km north of Belfast) but statistically equivalent to bees collected in the south-west. We predicted that *H. rubicundus* collected further south would have the greatest densities of olfactory sensilla to support social communication between nestmates (Wittwer et al. 2017; Elgar et al. 2018). In the south, summers are typically warmer and longer and a higher proportion of the population is expected to exhibit social behaviour more regularly. While we did see regional differences in olfactory sensilla density, bees from the south-west did not have the highest densities as we would have predicted based on clines in sociality. The regional differences we saw may be related to developmental plasticity, which could limit the scope for fixed population level differences to evolve in the predicted direction. Longitudinal studies of these same populations and additional, reciprocal transplant experiments (from south to north) would help to elucidate the extent to which genetically fixed differences in olfactory hair density and plastic expression contribute to the population level differences we saw in this trait.

We also found that the density of sensilla (type iii) involved in the perception of humidity, temperature and CO₂ varies across regions, but unlike olfactory hairs this does not appear to be plastic. Bees from a mid-latitude and the north of Scotland (Belfast and Scotland) have more thermo/hygro receptive sensilla than bees from the south-west (400 km south of Belfast and 850 km south of the Scottish site). The

density of these sensilla does not appear to be plastic as it was not influenced by where Scottish bees developed or their social phenotype. This pattern may be a result of a more extreme, variable climate in the north, which leads to consistent selection for higher densities of thermo/hygro receptors. Sweat bees including *H. rubicundus* are highly sensitive to temperature and rain. Flight activity is constrained by low ambient temperatures, lack of sunlight and rainfall (Potts and Wilmer 1997). In the north, bees experience colder ambient temperatures and greater rainfall. Bees with more of these receptors may be more sensitive to current and oncoming weather conditions, so that they have reduced mortality and improved foraging based on the climate. The potentially severe fitness consequences of incorrect perception of climatic cues may explain the lack of plasticity in the number of thermo/hygro receptors if selection has a strong and canalising effect on this trait.

Our results add a new dimension to the growing body of evidence that developmental temperature may contribute to adaptations which support sociality in the Hymenoptera. While previous studies suggest that temperatures experienced by developing larvae contribute to individual differences in social phenotype (i.e. caste polyphenism: Czekońska and Tofilski 2020; Becher et al. 2009; the development of status badges: Green et al. 2012; memory formation: Jones et al. 2005 and olfactory learning: Anton and Rossler 2021), sensilla density in the socially plastic *H. rubicundus* may be a more general response to the environment that is not directly related to the social phenotype of the nest or individual (i.e. a worker, solitary foundress or queen). Scottish bees that developed in the south-east had more olfactory hairs than their conspecifics that emerged in Scotland irrespective of their caste or nest phenotype.

488

489 In the Halictidae, Wittwer et al (2017) found that halictid bee species that had
 490 reverted back to solitary nesting from a state of sociality had reduced olfactory hair
 491 density compared to social species and ancestrally solitary species. They suggest
 492 that this is because dense olfactory sensilla are a pre-adaptation that facilitates the
 493 evolution of sociality and may contribute to the repeated evolutionary transitions to
 494 sociality seen in the halictid bees. Our results expand on this, suggesting that
 495 olfactory hair density may also be phenotypically plastic. More broadly, plasticity in
 496 traits such as this might contribute to the evolutionary lability of sociality in the
 497 Halictidae and in the Hymenoptera, acting alongside social plasticity in the
 498 hymenopteran ancestor to provide the 'flexible stem' which allowed for repeated
 499 evolutionary transitions to obligate sociality across the order (West-Eberhard 2003;
 500 Wittwer et al. 2017).

501

502 **Acknowledgements**

503 Many thanks to Gavyn Rollinson and Sharon Uren for SEM training and technical
 504 support. This work is part of a project that received funding from the European
 505 Research Council (ERC) under the European Horizon's 202 research and innovation
 506 programme (grant agreement No. 695744).

507

508 **Conflict of interest**

509 The authors have no conflict of interests to report.

510

511 **Ethics**

This research adheres to ethical codes of practice at the University of Exeter. No vertebrates were used in this research. Bee antenna samples were taken from living and dead stored specimens. Handling during antenna removal of live specimens was done as quickly as possible to minimize stress to individuals. Bees frequently lose parts of and whole antennae in nature and we did not see any negative effects on the survival or provisioning behaviour of live sampled individuals compared to intact bees. The sample sizes reported were considered appropriate to maximize statistical power while reducing the number of individuals involved in the experiments. Individuals were sampled from large populations > 500 individuals and numbers taken did not pose a threat to the persistence of these populations.

Data accessibility

All code and data are archived on Github and can be accessed here:
<https://github.com/DrBecky-B/Bee.Antennae>

References

- Andersson, M. 1984. The evolution of eusociality. *Annual review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 15:165–189.
- Anton, S., and W. Rössler. 2021. Plasticity and modulation of olfactory circuits in insects. *Cell and Tissue Research* 383:149–164.
- Babu, M. 2019. Antennal sensilla of the weaver ant *Oecophylla smaragdina* (F.) – males and females sense differently. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 81:418-422.

536 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B and W. Walker. 2015. Fitting Linear Fixed-Effects
537 Models using lme4. *Journal of statistical software* 67:1-48

538 Becher, M. A., H. Scharpenberg, and R. F. A. Moritz. 2009. Pupal developmental
539 temperature and behavioral specialization of honeybee workers (*Apis*
540 *mellifera* L.). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology, Sensory,*
541 *Neural, and Behavioral Physiology* 195:673–679.

542 Boughman, J. W. 2002. How sensory drive can promote speciation. *Trends in*
543 *Ecology and Evolution* 17:571–577.

544 Charnov, E. L. 1978. Evolution of eusocial behavior: Offspring choice or parental
545 parasitism? *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 75:451–465.

546 Couto, A., A. Mitra, D. Thiéry, F. Marion-Poll, and J. C. Sandoz. 2017. Hornets have
547 it: A conserved olfactory subsystem for social recognition in hymenoptera?
548 *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* 11:1–12.

549 Czekońska, K., and A. Tofilski. 2020. Body mass of honey bee drones developing in
550 constant and in changing temperatures. *Apidologie* 51:510–518.

551 Dobberfuhl, A. P., J. F. P. Ullmann, and C. A. Shumway. 2005. Visual acuity,
552 environmental complexity, and social organization in African cichlid fishes.
553 *Behavioral Neuroscience* 119:1648–1655.

554 Do Carmo Queiroz Fialho, M., C. P. Guss-Matiello, J. C. Zanuncio, L. A. Oliveira
555 Campos, and J. E. Serrão. 2014. A comparative study of the antennal sensilla
556 in corbiculate bees. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 53:392–403.

557 Elgar, M. A., D. Zhang, Q. Wang, B. Wittwer, H. T. Pham, T. L. Johnson, C. B.
558 Freelance, et al. 2018. Insect antennal morphology: The evolution of diverse
559 solutions to odorant perception. *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 91:457–
560 469.

561 Field, J., R. J. Paxton, A. Soro, and C. Bridge. 2010. Cryptic plasticity underlies a
562 major evolutionary transition. *Current Biology* 20:2028–2031.

563 Field, J., R. Paxton, A. Soro, P. Craze, and C. Bridge. 2012. Body size, demography
564 and foraging in a socially plastic sweat bee: A common garden experiment.
565 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 66:743–756.

566 Galvani, G. L., R. A. González-Vaquero, C. Guerra-Navarro, and B. P. Settembrini.
567 2017. Antennal sensilla of cleptoparasitic and non-parasitic bees in two
568 subfamilies of Apidae. *Apidologie* 48:437–449.

569 Gamer, M., Lemon, J., Fellows, L, and P. Singh. 2019. r: Various Coefficients of
570 Interrater Reliability and Agreement. R package version 0.84.1.
571 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=irr>

572 Gibbs, J., S. G. Brady, K. Kanda, and B. N. Danforth. 2012. Phylogeny of halictine
573 bees supports a shared origin of eusociality for *Halictus* and *Lasioglossum*
574 (Apoidea: Anthophila: Halictidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*
575 65:926–939.

576 Gill, K. P., E. van Wilgenburg, D. L. Macmillan, and M. A. Elgar. 2013. Density of
577 antennal sensilla influences efficacy of communication in a social insect.
578 *American Naturalist* 182:834–840.

579 Green, J. P., C. Rose, and J. Field. 2012. The role of climatic factors in the
580 expression of an intrasexual signal in the paper wasp *Polistes dominulus*.
581 *Ethology* 118:766–774.

582 Groom, S. V. C., and S. M. Rehan. 2018. Climate-mediated behavioural variability in
583 facultatively social bees. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 125:165–
584 170.

585 Grüter, C., F. H. I. D. Segers, L. L. G. Santos, B. Hammel, U. Zimmermann, and F.
586 S. Nascimento. 2017. Enemy recognition is linked to soldier size in a
587 polymorphic stingless bee. *Biology Letters* 13: 20170511.

588 Harrison, X. A. 2014. Using observation-level random effects to model
589 overdispersion in count data in ecology and evolution. *PeerJ* e616.

590

591 Hartig, F. 2020. DHARMA: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level/Mixed)
592 Regression Models (2017). R package version 0.1, 5.

593 Jones, B. M., C. J. Kingwell, W. T. Wcislo, and G. E. Robinson. 2017. Caste-biased
594 gene expression in a facultatively eusocial bee suggests a role for genetic
595 accommodation in the evolution of eusociality. *Proceedings of the Royal*
596 *Society B: Biological Sciences* 284:20162228.

597 Jones, J. C., P. Helliwell, M. Beekman, R. Maleszka, and B. P. Oldroyd. 2005. The
598 effects of rearing temperature on developmental stability and learning and
599 memory in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of comparative physiology*.
600 A, Neuroethology, sensory, neural, and behavioral physiology 191:1121–
601 1129.

602 Koo, T. K., and M. Y. Li. 2016. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass
603 Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. *Journal of Chiropractic*
604 *Medicine* 15:155–163.

605 Korner-Nievergelt, F., Roth, T., von Felten, S., Guelat, J., Almasi, B., and P. Korner-
606 Nievergelt. 2015. *Bayesian Data Analysis in Ecology using Linear Models with*
607 *R, BUGS and Stan*. Elsevier.

608 McKenzie, S. K., Fetter-Pruneda, I., Ruta, V., and Kronauer, D. J. C. 2016.
609 Transcriptomics and neuroanatomy of the clonal raider ant implicate an

610 expanded clade of odorant receptors in chemical communication.

611 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113: 14091–14096.

612 Ozaki, M., A. Wada-Katsumata, K. Fujikawa, M. Iwasaki, F. Yokohari, Y. Satoji, T.

613 Nisimura, et al. 2005. Behavior: Ant nestmate and non-nestmate

614 discrimination by a chemosensory sensillum. Science 309:311–314.

615 Pask, G. M., Slone, J. D., Millar, J. G., Das, P., Moreira, J. A., Zhou, X., Bello, J.,

616 Berger, S. L., Bonasio, R., Desplan, C., Reinberg, D., Liebig, J., Zwiebel, L. J.,

617 and Ray, A. 2017. Specialized odorant receptors in social insects that detect

618 cuticular hydrocarbon cues and candidate pheromones. Nature

619 Communications 8: 297.

620 Pinto, J. D., R. K. Velten, G. R. Platner, and E. R. Oatman. 1989. Phenotypic

621 plasticity and taxonomic tharacters in *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera:

622 Trichogrammatidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 82:414–

623 425.

624 Potts, S. G., and P. Willmer. 1997. Abiotic and biotic factors influencing nest-site

625 selection by *Halictus rubicundus*, a ground-nesting halictine bee. Ecological

626 Entomology 22:319–328.

627 Purcell, J. 2011. Geographic patterns in the distribution of social systems in

628 terrestrial arthropods. Biological Reviews 86:475–491.

629 Renner, M. A., and J.C. Nieh. 2008. Bumble bee olfactory information flow and

630 contact-based foraging activation. Insects Socieaux 55: 417-424.

631 Riveros, A. J., and W. Gronenberg. 2010. Sensory allometry, foraging task

632 specialization and resource exploitation in honeybees. Behavioral Ecology

633 and Sociobiology 64:955–966.

634 Spaethe, J., A. Brockmann, C. Halbig, and J. Tautz. 2007. Size determines antennal
635 sensitivity and behavioral threshold to odors in bumblebee workers.
636 *Naturwissenschaften* 94:733–739.

637 Wcislo, W. T. 1995. Sensilla numbers and antennal morphology of parasitic and non-
638 parasitic bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidea). *International Journal of Insect*
639 *Morphology and Embryology* 24:63–81.

640 Wcislo, W. T. 1997. Social interactions and behavioral context in a solitary bee
641 *Lasioglossum (Dialictus) figueresi* (Hymenoptera, Halictidae). *Insects Sociaux*
642 44: 199-208.

643 West-Eberhard, M.J. 2003. Developmental plasticity and evolution. Oxford University
644 Press, Oxford

645 Wittwer, B., A. Hefetz, T. Simon, L. E. K. Murphy, M. A. Elgar, N. E. Pierce, and S.
646 D. Kocher. 2017. Solitary bees reduce investment in communication
647 compared with their social relatives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
648 *Sciences of the United States of America* 114:6569–6574.

649