Unique neural coding of crucial *versus* irrelevant plant odors in a hawkmoth

Sonja Bisch-Knaden^{*1}, Michelle A. Rafter², Markus Knaden¹, Bill S. Hansson¹

¹ Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Ecology, Dept. Evolutionary Neuroethology, Jena, Germany

² CSIRO Health & Biosecurity, Dutton Park Queensland, Australia

*Corresponding author. Email: sbisch-knaden@ice.mpg.de

Abstract

The sense of smell is pivotal for nocturnal moths to locate feeding and oviposition sites. However, these crucial resources are often rare and their bouquets are intermingled with volatiles emanating from surrounding ‘background’ plants. Here we asked if the olfactory system of female hawkmoths, *Manduca sexta*, could differentiate between crucial and background cues. To answer this question, we collected nocturnal headspaces of numerous plants in a natural habitat of *M. sexta*. We analyzed the chemical composition of these headspaces, and used them as stimuli in physiological experiments at the antenna and in the brain. The intense odors of floral nectar sources evoked strong responses in virgin and mated female moths, most likely enabling the localization of profitable flowers at a distance. Bouquets of larval host plants and most background plants, in contrast, were subtle, thus potentially complicating host identification. However, despite being subtle, antennal responses and brain activation patterns evoked by the smell of larval host plants were clearly different from those evoked by other plants. Interestingly, this difference was even more pronounced in the antennal lobe of mated females, revealing a status-dependent tuning of their olfactory system towards oviposition sites. Our study suggests that female moths possess unique neural coding strategies to find not only conspicuous floral cues but also inconspicuous bouquets of larval host plants within a complex olfactory landscape.

Introduction

Nocturnal insects largely rely on their sense of smell to locate food sources and oviposition sites. However, preferred nectar sources or suitable host plants are often rare, and odors emitted by these essential plants are mixed with bouquets released by neighboring plants (Bruce, Wadhams, & Woodcock, 2005). Plants that depend on nocturnal pollination, for example by hawkmoths, advertise their nectar-providing flowers with bright colors, and most notably by a strong scent to attract their nectar-feeding pollinators (Raguso, Henzel, Buchmann, & Nabhan, 2003). Evolution has thus formed a system that greatly facilitates the location of nectar sources by foraging insects. The situation is very different when gravid females search for a suitable host plant for oviposition. Vegetative parts of plants, probably to remain cryptic to herbivores, emit only trace quantities of volatiles that might be difficult to identify against the olfactory background provided by other plants (Turlings et al., 1995). At the same time, leaf damage by insect herbivores leads to an increased emission of volatiles, sometimes attracting parasitoids and predators of these insects (Paré & Tumlinson, 1999). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles are often similar across plant species (Mumm & Dicke, 2010), and herbivores are omnipresent in natural environments. Together, these facts suggest that female moths searching for an oviposition site encounter either undamaged, olfactorily unremarkable plants or damaged plants with a more conspicuous volatile profile, components of which are shared across many non-host plants. In any case, gravid moths have to identify suitable host plants against a vast odor scenery provided by the background vegetation. For this purpose, insects might depend on taxon-specific volatiles released by host plants but not by surrounding plants. An example is the cabbage moth *Plutella xylostella* that uses host-plant specific isothiocyanates to locate cruciferous hosts (Liu et al., 2020). Most insects, however, seem to identify host plants by

blends of ubiquitous components that are present in plant-specific ratios. This has been shown in experiments, where small changes in the composition and ratios of crucial odor blends had a huge impact on the behavior of insects (Cha et al., 2008; Karpati, Knaden, Reinecke, & Hansson, 2013; Visser & Ave, 1978; Webster, Bruce, Pickett, & Hardie, 2010). Furthermore, the chemical composition of crucial blends and the capability of the insect's antenna to detect specific components of these blends have been studied in detail (Conchou, Anderson, & Birgersson, 2017; Fraser, Mechaber, & Hildebrand, 2003; Tasin et al., 2010). In addition, neural activation patterns in the antennal lobe, the first olfactory processing center of the insect brain, upon stimulation with natural odor blends were investigated in different insect species (Burger et al., 2021; Lahondere et al., 2020; Saveer et al., 2012; Schubert, Hansson, & Sachse, 2014). Usually, only odor blends that are known to be essential in the ecology of the insect were tested, as the aim of those studies was to reveal how crucial blends are coded in the brain.

However, it remains unclear how the olfactory systems of insects can differentiate between crucial and irrelevant blends, i.e., how peripheral detection and central representation allow the identification of food sources and oviposition sites within a complex olfactory environment.

In our study, we collected headspaces of focal plants and background vegetation in the habitat of the tobacco hawkmoth *Manduca sexta* in Southern Arizona. Volatiles of hawkmoth-visited plants, like of most vegetation, differ between day and night both regarding floral (Hoballah et al., 2005; Raguso, Levin, Foose, Holmberg, & McDade, 2003) and leaf emissions (De Moraes, Mescher, & Tumlinson, 2001). We collected plant headspace only during the night, as we were interested in how the nocturnal *M. sexta* would detect and process these olfactory cues.

The primary nectar sources for *M. sexta* in the Southwestern United States are flowers of *Agave palmeri* and *Datura wrightii*. Pollen of these two species account for 90% of the pollen load on the proboscis of *M. sexta* (Alarcon, Davidowitz, & Bronstein, 2008), a measurement that can be used as a proxy for flower visitation. Presence of pollen from *Mirabilis longiflora* and *Mimosa dysocarpa* on the moth's proboscis and nighttime observations reveal that these plants are additional secondary nectar sources in the same habitat (Alarcon et al., 2008; Grant & Grant, 1983). *Datura* in addition to being a valuable nectar source for *M. sexta* is one of its two larval host plants in the area. *Datura* plants thus have to interact with an insect that is at the same time an important pollinator and a damaging herbivore. The other local host plant of *M. sexta* larvae is *Proboscidea* spp, the only known host belonging to a non-solanaceous family (Mechaber & Hildebrand, 2000). Flowers of *Proboscidea*, however, are not visited by foraging hawkmoths, i.e. *Proboscidea* plants are suffering from leaf consumption by *M. sexta* larvae but do not profit from pollination by ovipositing moths. Furthermore, we sampled odors from another eleven native, frequent plants in the direct neighborhood of *M. sexta*'s focal plants. These background plants have no documented relevance for *M. sexta*; they included flowering herbaceous plants, non-flowering woody shrubs or trees and tufts of grass. Three of the background plants, the desert willow *Chilopsis linearis*, the sunflower *Helianthus annuus*, and the wild grape *Vitis arizonica*, are larval hosts of other sympatric hawkmoth species (Table 1).

After collecting all nocturnal plant headspaces *in situ* in the field, we proceeded to analyze this comprehensive chemical database. We then used the plants' headspaces as stimuli in physiological experiments with female *M. sexta*. Specifically, we investigated which components of the volatile blends the moth's antenna can detect, and how the glomerular array of the antennal lobe is coding these complex odor bouquets. An insect's reaction to olfactory cues is known to be plastic in relation to its physiological condition. For example *M. sexta* has been demonstrated to differentially respond to plant odors depending on its age and mating status (Mechaber, Capaldo, & Hildebrand, 2002). Underlying this differential response is a state-dependent modulation of the olfactory system, which may take place at the level of the antenna, the brain or at both levels (Gadenne, Barrozo, & Anton, 2016; Saveer et al., 2012). Therefore, we investigated the peripheral detection of plant headspace and the central representation of this olfactory information in both virgin and mated *M. sexta* females.

Our results revealed that the olfactory system of female moths responds strongly to odors related to nectar sources. Suitable oviposition substrates elicited much weaker but specific responses, a specificity that was most pronounced in gravid females. Evolution thus seems to have shaped an olfactory system that allows efficient feeding at all stages and that enables the mated female to pinpoint an optimal home for her offspring.

Table 1. Headspace collections from plants at the Santa Rita Experimental Range in Arizona (US).

Plant species (plant family), common name	Type of sample		Nectar source for adult <i>M. sexta</i>	Host plant for <i>M. sexta</i> larvae	Larval host plant for sympatric hawkmoths	Nocturnal pollination
<i>Agave palmeri</i> (Asparagaceae), Palmer's century plant	Flower		X	–	–	X
<i>Datura wrightii</i> (Solanaceae), Sacred datura	Flower	Branch	X	X	X ^{*)}	X
<i>Mimosa dysocarpa</i> (Fabaceae), Velvetpod	Flowering branch		X	–	–	X
<i>Mirabilis longiflora</i> (Nyctaginaceae), Sweet four o'clock	Flowering branch		X	–	–	X
<i>Proboscidea parviflora</i> (Martyniaceae), Devil's claw	Flowering plant		–	X	–	–
<i>Chilopsis linearis</i> (Bignoniaceae), Desert willow	Branch with seeds		–	–	X ^{**))}	–
<i>Helianthus annuus</i> (Asteraceae), Common sunflower	Flowering plant		–	–	X ^{***))}	X
<i>Vitis arizonica</i> (Vitaceae), Wild grape	Branch		–	–	X ^{****))}	–
<i>Amaranthus palmeri</i> (Amaranthaceae), Carelessweed	Flowering plant		–	–	–	–
<i>Argemone pleiacantha</i> (Papaveraceae), Prickly poppy	Flowering branch		–	–	–	–
<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i> (Asteraceae), Seepwillow	Branch with buds		–	–	–	–
<i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i> (Asteraceae), Snakeweed	Flowering plant		–	–	–	–
Poaceae spp., Grass	Tuft of grass		–	–	–	–
<i>Prosopis velutina</i> (Fabaceae), Velvet mesquite	Branch		–	–	–	–
<i>Quercus emoryi</i> (Fagaceae), Emory oak	Branch		–	–	–	–
<i>Senna hirsuta</i> v <i>glaberrima</i> (Fabaceae), Woolly Senna	Flowering plant		–	–	–	–

^{*)} *M. quinquemaculata*, ^{**))} *M. rustica*, *M. florestan*, ^{***))} *M. muscosa*, ^{****))} *Eumorphia achemon*

Results

Nocturnal emissions of plants in the habitat of *M. sexta*

We collected the nocturnal headspaces of 17 plant species at the Santa Rita Experimental Range, our study site in southern Arizona (Fig. 1A, Table 1). Headspace samples were analyzed chemically by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS). We first evaluated the number of GC-peaks per sample as a proxy for the number of volatile compounds present. In ten of the 17 plant samples, the number of emitted compounds was in the range of blank control collections (Fig. 1B, grey area). The richest volatile bouquets, on the other hand, were emitted by the sunflower *Helianthus*, and by *M. sexta*'s nectar sources *Datura* flower, *Agave* flower, and *Mirabilis*. When we considered not only the number of GC-peaks but also their chemical identity, the same four bouquets revealed distinct chemical profiles. Headspaces of the remaining plants were statistically distinctive but largely overlapping due to low emission rates, and shared volatiles, which were also present in the blank control samples (Fig. 1C; one-way ANOSIM, R=0.67, p<0.0001; Bray-Curtis similarity index).

Fig. 1. Chemical analysis of nocturnal headspaces collected from plants in the habitat of *M. sexta* in southern Arizona.

(A) Representative photographs (left) and chromatographs (right) of each headspace collection. *X-axis of chromatographs*; retention time, *y-axis*, abundance, same scale for all headspaces, maximum abundance indicated in *Datura* flower headspace; *grey bar*, internal standard (5 ng 1-bromodecane).

(B) Number of GC-peaks. *Squares*, average values of 3-5 individual plant samples; *whiskers*, range; *dotted line and grey area*, average and range of control values obtained from nocturnal collections in the same habitat with empty bags (n=2), and with unused filter material (n=1); *open squares*, within control range, *filled squares*, outside control range.

(C) Non-metric multidimensional scaling plot (Bray-Curtis, 2D stress: 0.09) based on a nontargeted analysis (<https://xcmsonline.scripps.edu> (Tautenhahn, Patti, Rinehart, & Siuzdak, 2012)) of 69 chromatograms (Source data S1). Color code of plant samples as in B.

What does the moth detect?

So far, our analysis considered the chemistry of nocturnal plant emissions. However, *M. sexta* might still be able to detect plant volatiles occurring only in trace amounts but having a high biological significance, e.g. to identify an appropriate oviposition site. Therefore, we performed GC-coupled electro-antennographic detection (GC-EAD) using the antennae of female *M. sexta* as biological detectors. This technique allows successive presentation of headspace compounds in naturally occurring concentrations to the moth antenna and, in parallel, recording of the pooled response of all antennal olfactory sensory neurons (Fig. 2A). We first evaluated the number of EAD-active fractions in the effluent of the GC for each sample type (Fig. 2B). With the exception of two background plants (*Argemone*, *Gutierrezia*), all plant bouquets contained EAD-active fractions. The nectar sources *Agave* flower and *Datura* flower emitted the highest number of compounds (on average 20 and 17 active fractions, respectively), followed by the bouquets of host plants of sympatric hawkmoths (*Chilopsis*, *Helianthus*, *Vitis*) and a background tree (*Prosopis*) (11-14 active fractions). The two larval host plants of *M. sexta*, on the other hand, contained only 4-7 active compounds.

Across all headspaces, we found 77 EAD-active compounds (Fig. 2C) and could tentatively identify 69 of them. These compounds mainly belonged to three chemical classes: terpenes, aliphatic esters, and aromatics. The most potent antennal stimulants (n=16) elicited median EAD amplitudes >1.0 mV. Eight of these strongly activating odors were aliphatic esters present exclusively in the bouquet of *Agave* flowers; three more odors were present in the headspace of nectar sources (*Agave* flower, *Datura* flower, and/or *Mirabilis*) but not in other sample types. The remaining strongly activating odors each occurred in at least five plant species from all sample types and included the most common volatiles in our collections: (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate (11 plants) and beta-ocimene (10 plants). When we plotted the concentration of the most activating GC-fractions versus the EAD amplitude they evoked, we found that alpha-copaene, (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, and beta-ocimene were the most active odors at concentrations below 5 ng in 12 hours of odor collection (Fig. 2D).

The antenna of *M. sexta* was in addition reacting with a weaker response towards many more plant-released volatiles in a species-specific manner. Furthermore, two-thirds of all EAD-active GC-fractions (51 out of 77) were restricted to one of the plant species (Fig. 2C). Thus, beyond the impression received from the chemical analysis (Fig. 1C), the moths' antennae seemed to be well suited to distinguish between plant bouquets even when they had low volatile concentrations and inconspicuous chemical profiles, like the two larval host plants of *M. sexta* and most background plants. The mating status of the moth had no impact on its detection capabilities at the level of the antenna (two-way ANOSIM, mating status: R=-0.06, p=0.756, plant species: R=0.97, p<0.0001; Bray-Curtis similarity index).

(A) Examples of GC-EAD recordings after stimulation with four plant headspaces representing nectar sources (*Agave* flower), host plants (*Proboscidea*), host plants of sympatric hawkmoths (*Vitis*), and background plants (*Prosopis*). *Upper traces*, gas chromatograph-coupled flame ionization detection (GC-FID), *lower traces* electro-antennographic detection (EAD) of female *M. sexta*. Letters indicate EAD-active GC-peaks (labelled in C) that evoked a response in at least three animals. *Arrows*, internal standard: 5 ng 1-bromodecane; in *Agave* flower, the internal standard co-eluted with GC-peak 'z', and GC-peaks 'v' and 'x' are cropped.

(B) Number of EAD-active GC-peaks per plant species. We stimulated the antennae (4-7 moths/headspace) with the same representative sample per headspace type. *Filled squares*, average values; *whiskers*, range; *open squares*, no active GC-peaks detected in three moths. Each moth was tested only once.

(C) Antennal responses towards GC-peaks (*rows*) present in headspace (*columns*). Each cell in the heat map represents the median EAD amplitude of on average five moths (range: 4-7) per headspace. Rows are sorted by EAD-amplitude (Source data S2); magnitude of response is coded by shades of gray (see inset at top), *empty cells*, no response/GC-fraction not present. Color-code of compounds according to chemical class (see inset at bottom). Numbers next to ethyl sorbate and propyl sorbate label different enantiomers present in *Agave* flower, and depict their order by retention time; *DMNT*, (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,4,7-nonatriene. Numbers to the right of the heat map depict how often a given compound was present; rows without numbers indicate compounds found only in one headspace.

(D) Effectiveness of the strongest antennal stimulants. *X-axis*, concentration of compounds derived from their peak area (logarithmic scale); *y-axis*, median EAD-amplitudes ≥ 1 mV; *grey vertical bar*, range of peak areas of the internal standard 1-bromodecane (5 ng). For compounds present in more than one plant species, the lowest concentration eliciting a median EAD amplitude ≥ 1 mV was chosen; letters indicate compounds as in C; *, alpha-copaene, #, (E)-beta-farnesene. Peak area of ethyl sorbate² ('v') shows lower limit of concentration as the GC seemed overloaded with this odor.

How is plant headspace represented in the moth's antennal lobe?

In *in vivo* calcium imaging experiments, we successively stimulated the antennae of female *M. sexta* with puffs of the plant bouquets collected in Arizona and recorded the odor-evoked neural activity among the olfactory glomeruli of their antennal lobe. Olfactory glomeruli are functional subunits occurring in species-specific numbers. Female *M. sexta* possess 70 glomeruli arranged in a monolayer around a central neuropil (Grosse-Wilde et al., 2011). Activity patterns of glomeruli in the dorsal-frontal part of the antennal lobe can be monitored using *in vivo* calcium imaging (Hansson, Carlsson, & Kalinova, 2003; Sachse, Rappert, & Galizia, 1999). To enable comparison of headspace-evoked activation patterns among different animals, we identified 23 glomeruli in each moth using diagnostic, monomolecular odorants (Fig. 3A and B, (Bisch-Knaden, Dahake, Sachse, Knaden, & Hansson, 2018)). We found that plant headspace activated these 23 identified glomeruli (Fig. 3C). However, two glomeruli (22 and 23) responded exceptionally weak. They are tuned to acids and amines (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018), chemical classes that were functionally absent in the tested plant bouquets (Fig. 2C). Next, we tested which responses were true headspace-evoked responses, i.e. which responses were different from the response towards stimulations with the eluent dichloromethane (Fig. 3D), and normalized the fluorescent signals of headspace-evoked responses for each glomerulus and animal (Fig. 3E).

Consistent with the results from GC-EAD experiments, *Datura* and *Agave* bouquets were again unique regarding not only the number of activated glomeruli (Fig. 3D) but also the strength of response (Fig. 3E). *Datura* flower scent evoked the maximal response recorded in all but two glomeruli in virgin females (glomeruli 12 and 21), and in all but one glomerulus in mated females (glomerulus 12). *Agave* flower scent was the best activator for these remaining glomeruli. Apart from the weak activation levels of glomeruli 22 and 23, this exceptional representation of *M. sexta*'s two primary nectar sources was independent of the females' mating status (Table 2).

Volatiles emitted by *M. sexta*'s larval host plants each activated only a single glomerulus in the antennal lobe of females. Glomerulus 4 responded to the bouquet of *Datura* foliage irrespective of the female's mating status; *Proboscidea* headspace, however, activated a different single

glomerulus in virgin (glomerulus 15) than in mated females (glomerulus 12). Furthermore, we observed a notable effect of the mating status on the representation of plants that are oviposition sites of sympatric hawkmoths (*Chilopsis*, *Helianthus*, *Vitis*). These plants evoked a major response in the antennal lobe of virgin *M. sexta* females (8-13 activated glomeruli), and an even stronger response in mated females (11-16 activated glomeruli). In contrast, females became almost anosmic towards the headspace of background plants following mating, as these plants activated on average 3.1 glomeruli (range: 0-9) in virgin, but only 0.6 glomeruli (range: 0-2) in mated females. The few glomeruli still responding towards background bouquets were different from the two host plant-activated glomeruli. A multivariate analysis confirmed that mating status as well as plant species had a significant effect on overall activation patterns across glomeruli in the antennal lobe (two-way ANOSIM, mating status: $R=0.71$, $p=0.0001$, plant species: $R=0.83$, $p=0.0001$; Bray-Curtis similarity index).

Fig. 3. Headspace-evoked activity patterns in the antennal lobe of female *M. sexta*.

(A) Schematic of 23 olfactory glomeruli at the dorsal surface of the right antennal lobe. Entrance of the antennal nerve is in the upper left corner. Numbers, glomeruli identification as in (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018).

(B) Examples of *in vivo* calcium imaging recordings after stimulation with the eluent dichloromethane (first and second stimulation at the beginning and at the end of the experiment) and four plant headspaces representing nectar sources (*Agave* flower), host plants (*Proboscidea*), host plants of sympatric hawkmoths (*Vitis*), and background plants (*Prosopis*). Left column, schematic of individual antennal lobes, colors as in A. Right columns, false-color-coded imaging results of the right antennal lobe in a virgin female (top row), and a mated female (bottom row), normalized to their highest response (see color bar).

(C) Maximum increase of fluorescence in 23 identified glomeruli. Graph depicts for each glomerulus (color code as in A) the average maximum responses (bars) and one standard deviation (whiskers) of 10 virgin and 10 mated females after stimulation with plant headspaces.

(D) Number of activated glomeruli in the antennal lobe depending on female mating status. A glomerulus was scored as activated if its headspace-evoked response was different from the

averaged response to the two stimulations with the eluent dichloromethane ($p < 0.01$, Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test). For the identity of glomeruli activated by each plant headspace, see Table 2.

(E) Activity levels evoked by plant headspace in individual glomeruli in the antennal lobe. Colored dots represent median normalized responses of activated glomeruli in 10 virgin (*top*) and 10 mated (*bottom*) females; color-code of glomeruli as in A. Only values of activated glomeruli are shown (small circles, $p < 0.01$, large circles, $p < 0.001$, Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test, Source data S3).

Table 2. Headspace-activated glomeruli independent and dependent of mating status.

Glomerulus	Response independent of mating status	Response only before mating	Response only after mating
1*	<i>Agave, Datura</i>	<i>Mirabilis, Helianthus, Gutierrezia</i>	
2	<i>Agave, Datura, Mirabilis, Helianthus</i>	<i>Mimosa, Vitis, Gutierrezia</i>	
3	<i>Agave, Datura, Mirabilis, Helianthus</i>	<i>Mimosa, Chilopsis, Vitis, Gutierrezia</i>	
4*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Mirabilis, Datura foliage, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis</i>	<i>Gutierrezia</i>	
5	<i>Agave, Datura, Helianthus, Vitis</i>	<i>Mimosa, Chilopsis, Gutierrezia, Prosopis</i>	<i>Mirabilis</i>
6*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Mirabilis, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis</i>	<i>Gutierrezia, Prosopis</i>	
7	<i>Agave, Datura, Helianthus</i>	<i>Mimosa, Vitis, Gutierrezia</i>	
8	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis</i>	<i>Gutierrezia</i>	
9	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Helianthus, Vitis</i>	<i>Chilopsis, Gutierrezia</i>	
10	<i>Agave, Datura</i>		
11	<i>Agave, Datura</i>		
12*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa</i>	<i>Amaranthus</i>	<i>Mirabilis, Proboscidea, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis</i>
13*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa</i>	<i>Prosopis</i>	<i>Mirabilis, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis</i>
14	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Amaranthus</i>	<i>Prosopis, Senna</i>	<i>Chilopsis, Helianthus</i>
15	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Vitis, Prosopis, Senna</i>	<i>Proboscidea, Amaranthus</i>	<i>Mirabilis</i>
16	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Chilopsis, Helianthus, Prosopis</i>	<i>Amaranthus, Baccharis</i>	<i>Vitis</i>
17*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Helianthus, Vitis, Senna</i>	<i>Prosopis</i>	<i>Mirabilis, Chilopsis</i>
18*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa</i>		<i>Chilopsis, Helianthus</i>
19*	<i>Agave, Datura, Mimosa, Helianthus</i>	<i>Prosopis</i>	<i>Chilopsis, Vitis</i>
20*	<i>Agave, Datura</i>		
21*	<i>Agave, Datura</i>		
22		<i>Agave</i>	
23			<i>Datura</i>

Font colors: *orange*, nectar source of *M. sexta*; *green*, host plant of *M. sexta*; *blue*, host plant of sympatric hawk moths; *black*, background plant.

*Glomerulus whose activation level is positively correlated with odor-guided behavior of virgin females in wind tunnel experiments (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018).

Discussion

For a female moth, two plant-based resources are of overriding importance: flowers providing nectar for sustenance of the animal itself and plants providing suitable oviposition sites and thereby food for the offspring. Here, we studied how the olfactory system of the female hawkmoth, *M. sexta*, has evolved to allow unambiguous identification of these resources based on their emissions of volatile molecules.

As could be expected, plants that predominately (*Datura*, *Mirabilis*) or at least partly (*Agave*) rely on nocturnal pollination by hawkmoths (Alarcon et al., 2008; Emiliano Trejo-Salazar, Scheinvar, & Eguiarte, 2015) sent a clear and distinctive chemical signal in the night. In addition, the sunflower *Helianthus* emitted a strong and distinct scent, illustrating that its around-the-clock open flowers depend not only on diurnal but also on nocturnal pollinators. Although *M. sexta* is not described as a pollinator of *Helianthus* (Torretta, Navarro, & Medan, 2009), unspecified pollen from the sunflower family was found on the proboscis of *M. sexta* and other hawkmoths, indicating that these moths occasionally feed also on sunflowers (Alarcon et al., 2008). Low nighttime emissions observed in the remaining samples might reflect the plants' independence of nocturnal pollination (in the case of flowering plants), or an avoidance strategy against herbivory (for collections from non-flowering branches).

When we tested the antenna of female *M. sexta* with plant headspaces using GC-EAD, we found that the moths' detection and discrimination capability appeared to be better than could be inferred from the chemical analysis, and was independent of the female's mating status. Olfactory coding at the level of the antenna indicates that the moths might not only be able to discriminate between strong and complex scents emitted by nectar sources but also between host plant bouquets and background plants. However, the highest number of active compounds was present in the floral headspace of *Agave* and *Datura*. Particularly, the bouquet of *Agave* contained nine of the 16 strongest antennal stimulants, eight of them being aliphatic esters. These esters are signature compounds of *Agave* flowers, as they are rarely found in other floral headspace investigated in almost 1000 plant species from 90 families (Knudsen, Eriksson, Gershenzon, & Stahl, 2006). Particularly, this chemical class is lacking in typical hawkmoth-flowers (Raguso, Henzel, et al., 2003; Raguso, Levin, et al., 2003). Two enantiomers of the *Agave*-characteristic ester ethyl sorbate elicited the strongest antennal response of all active GC-peaks (median EAG-amplitudes: 2.6 mV and 2.9 mV, stimulus concentrations: ~ 0.5 µg and >> 0.5 µg, Fig. 2D). These responses are higher than the response of a male antenna when stimulated with bombykal, the main component of *M. sexta*'s sex-pheromone (1.9 mV, stimulus concentration: ~ 100 µg (Fandino et al., 2019)). Even if we consider that bombykal has a lower vapor pressure than ethyl sorbate and that less odor might reach the antenna in EAG than in GC-EAD experiments, this comparison indicates that the female antenna is at least as excitable by promising floral, i.e. nectar-indicating volatiles, as the male antenna by the female sex-pheromone.

EAD-activity might correlate with behavior (Liu et al., 2020; Zhu, Keaster, & Gerhardt, 1993), but a strong antennal response towards an odor does not always imply a strong behavioral response to this odor molecule (Honda, Omura, & Hayashi, 1998; Suckling, Karg, Gibb, & Bradley, 1996). In *M. sexta*, a comparison of physiological and behavioral data is possible as almost half of the active and identified GC-peaks in our current study were previously tested in a wind tunnel assay (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018). EAD amplitudes evoked by these 31 shared odors belonging to seven chemical classes are indeed positively correlated with the duration a female moth shows feeding behavior, i.e. contacts a scented filter paper with its proboscis (Pearson correlation coefficient $r=0.41$, $p=0.023$). In contrast, no correlation was found between EAD activity and the duration of abdomen curling behavior, i.e. behavior related to oviposition ($r=-0.03$, $p=0.9$). Hence, no conclusions from GC-EAD results can be drawn regarding an odor's relevance in connection with an oviposition site, whereas odors that evoked a strong response at the antenna of female *M. sexta* often are attractive in the context of feeding.

In previous GC-coupled single sensillum recordings (GC-SSR), 60% of randomly chosen olfactory sensilla on the antenna of female *M. sexta* reacted to the aliphatic ester (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate when stimulated with the scent of herbivore-damaged *Datura* foliage (Spaethe, Reinecke, Olsson, et al., 2013). If the olfactory sensory neurons housed in these sensilla would not only detect (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate but aliphatic esters in general, this could explain the prominent response towards typical *Agave* esters in our GC-EAD experiments. In addition, the antenna might harbor narrowly tuned neurons, or rather olfactory receptors, strongly responding only to *Agave* esters. Hawkmoth-pollinated flowers like *Datura*, *Nicotiana*, and *Petunia* emit oxygenated aromatics that are especially attractive to foraging hawkmoths and elicit a strong response from the antenna of *M. sexta*, and in its antennal lobe, respectively (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018; Hoballah et al., 2005; D. Kessler, Gase, & Baldwin, 2008; Riffell, Lei, Abrell, & Hildebrand, 2013). Our present study shows that *M. sexta* females in addition exhibit a robust physiological response towards the bouquet collected from *Agave* flowers, reflecting the significant role this copious nectar source — releasing a very different smell than typical hawkmoth-flowers — plays in *M. sexta*'s foraging behavior. The moths tested in our study were laboratory-reared on artificial diet, naïve to plant odors, not fed, and tested only once. Hence, we consider our results to reflect innate and not learned responses. Other hawkmoth species feeding on nectar from *Agave* flowers might share similar olfactory detection and processing abilities (Alarcon et al., 2008; Emiliano Trejo-Salazar et al., 2015).

Three volatiles, alpha copaene, (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate and beta ocimene, stood out as particularly strong activators of the female *M. sexta* antenna although they were present in very low concentrations. The high sensitivity towards these odors might indicate that they act as long-distance cues guiding the moth to places with vegetation (Webster & Carde, 2017). Furthermore, these odors have meanings that are more specific: alpha-copaene is involved in the oviposition decision process of *M. sexta* (Zhang et al., 2022) and in addition might indicate rewarding nectar sources as it was functionally present, i.e. EAD-active, only in the headspace of *Datura* flower and *Mirabilis*. (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate and beta ocimene, on the other hand, are typical herbivore-induced volatiles and are released by herbivore-damaged *Datura* leaves (Hare & Sun, 2011). They might thus inform an *M. sexta* female searching for oviposition sites about the presence of potential larval competitors and predators already at a distance.

An earlier GC-EAD study with female *M. sexta* reported that *Datura* and *Proboscidea* foliage each emit ten identified EAD-active compounds, and share eight of them (Fraser et al., 2003). Our work, in contrast, shows that both host plants emit only nine and four active compounds, respectively, and have no active compounds in common. In the case of *Proboscidea*, none of the active GC-peaks was even overlapping between both studies. Many methodological factors could have contributed to this discrepancy in the results. In detail, Fraser et al. collected headspace from single, potted, undamaged plants with buds, flowers and seeds removed; and a cultivar of *Proboscidea* was used, not the wild type. In our study, we collected headspace of local, mostly herbivore-damaged plants growing in a natural plant community and we did not remove any parts of the plant. In addition, our odor collection lasted 12 hours during the natural dark phase *versus* 24 hours of artificially induced scotophase in (Fraser et al., 2003). Conditions like growth in mixed plant populations or in monocultures (Kigathi, Weisser, Reichelt, Gershenzon, & Unsicker, 2019), light deprivation (He, Halitschke, Schuman, & Baldwin, 2021), herbivore-attack and other stress factors (Holopainen & Gershenzon, 2010) influence both composition and quantity of plant-emitted volatiles. Therefore, the observed differences between the studies could be expected and emphasize the significance of odor collections in the field.

Bath application of a fluorescent calcium-sensor allows monitoring of odor-induced neural activity in the brain. Each neuron type in the treated brain region might take up the marker molecules. However, as each glomerulus in the antennal lobe receives input from 4000-5000 olfactory sensory neurons (Oland & Tolbert, 1988), and is targeted by only four to five

projection, i.e. output neurons (Homberg, Montague, & Hildebrand, 1988), odor-evoked activation patterns in calcium imaging experiments can be assumed to reflect mainly the activity of input neurons. Additionally, about 360 local interneurons per antennal lobe (Homberg et al., 1988) with inhibitory and/or excitatory functions (Reisenman, Dacks, & Hildebrand, 2011) might synapse back onto the sensory neurons, thus modulating their activity and accordingly the observed calcium signal. Although most of these interneurons arborize in many, if not all glomeruli, some interneurons have a more restricted innervation pattern and connect only a few glomeruli (Christensen, Waldrop, Harrow, & Hildebrand, 1993). This type of interneuron seems predisposed to play a role in the coding of complex odor blends released by plants. Interestingly, patchy interneurons are present mainly in female *M. sexta* (Matsumoto & Hildebrand, 1981). In the vinegar fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, patchy interneurons are responsible for non-linear processing of binary odor mixtures (Mohamed et al., 2019). For some glomeruli in *D. melanogaster*, this modulation occurred already at the presynaptic level, i.e. the level we monitored in our calcium imaging experiments. We also found indications of non-linear processing in the antennal lobe of female *M. sexta*. The odor (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, for example, elicited a strong antennal response, was present in 11 out of 17 plant samples tested, and, when tested on its own activates several glomeruli (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018). However, two of the background plants although releasing this odor, and accordingly evoking a strong antennal response, did not elicit any activity in the antennal lobe. A similar inhibition of glomeruli in mixtures of odors was reported in a calcium imaging study in honey bees, where the inhibitory effect was stronger in ternary than in binary mixtures (Joerges, Kuttner, Galizia, & Menzel, 1997). As the plant bouquets tested in our study contained up to 20 EAD-active components, and as local interneurons in *M. sexta*, like in most insects, are mainly inhibitory (Christensen et al., 1993), the observed inhibitory mixture interactions after stimulation with blends of background plants seem plausible.

However, we also revealed coding characteristics that were similar at the periphery and in the brain, especially after stimulation with feeding-related odor bouquets. Representation of the essential nectar sources *Datura* and *Agave* flowers in the antennal lobe was outstanding, as none of the other plant bouquets elicited a higher response in any glomerulus than these two floral scents. Furthermore, the neural response of female *M. sexta* towards volatiles from its main nectar sources was not reduced following mating as it was reported for the noctuid moth *Spodoptera littoralis* (Saveer et al., 2012). Different life history traits of noctuid and sphingid moths might explain this different result: noctuid moths are generalists, and lay their eggs in clusters on a wide range of acceptable host plants. Sphingid moths like *M. sexta*, on the other hand, are usually specialized on a few host plant families and females lay only a few single eggs on a given plant. Thus, hawkmoths need to refill their energy reservoir between oviposition bouts at host plants that are rare in the habitat and therefore require long flights between them (Alarcon et al., 2008; Raguso, Henzel, et al., 2003). Moreover, female hawkmoths benefit from nectar feeding following mating as they live longer and produce more mature eggs compared to starved females (Sasaki & Riddiford, 1984; von Arx, Sullivan, & Raguso, 2013). The energy demand of hawkmoths is in addition especially high as both feeding and oviposition usually occur while the moth is hovering in front of the plant (Stockl & Kelber, 2019). Taken together, the prominent and mating-status independent representation of floral bouquets at the antenna and in the antennal lobe of female *M. sexta* is in accordance with the moths' ecology.

While the coding of flower volatiles in nectar-feeding moths is probably independent of sex, odors indicating oviposition sites should be of special importance for female moths after mating. Two enlarged, female-specific glomeruli that are located at the entrance of the antennal nerve into the female antennal lobe — at the same position as the sex pheromone-processing macroglomerular complex in males (Matsumoto & Hildebrand, 1981) — are suggested to be involved in oviposition choice (King, Christensen, & Hildebrand, 2000). However, *M. sexta*'s

host plant bouquets did not activate these glomeruli (glomeruli 1 and 2, Table 2), confirming results of a study using vegetative headspace from the solanaceous hosts *Datura*, *Nicotiana*, and tomato. These odors failed to evoke a response in sensilla targeting the two female-specific glomeruli (Shields & Hildebrand, 2000). Therefore, the intuitive hypothesis that these female-specific glomeruli might be involved in the female-specific behavior of identifying an oviposition site seems unlikely.

In contrast to the wide and strong activation of antennal lobe glomeruli by flower odors, *M. sexta*'s host plant bouquets each activated only a single glomerulus. While the responding glomerulus towards *Datura* foliage was independent of the female's mating status, *Proboscidea* activated a different glomerulus in virgin than in mated females. This result is in line with the fact that the ecological meaning of *Datura* foliage does not change after mating as its smell indicates both a suitable host plant, and a profitable nectar source (Karpati et al., 2013). *Proboscidea*, on the other hand, does not provide nectar for hawkmoths, and is therefore interesting for the female moth only after mating. Many EAD-active compounds were tested in a previous calcium imaging study using monomolecular odorants as stimuli (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018), allowing a comparison between these data and our imaging results obtained with natural mixtures. Some compounds present in the headspace of *Proboscidea* and *Datura* foliage, for example, when tested alone activated most strongly glomerulus 6, a glomerulus that was not activated after stimulation with the complete headspaces, again indicating non-linear processing and robust presynaptic inhibitory interactions between glomeruli (Joerges et al., 1997; Mohamed et al., 2019). The two host-plant-activated glomeruli in mated females were as well responding to nectar sources and hosts of sympatric hawkmoths. However, host plants exclusively activated one of these glomeruli, whereas the other sources activated additional glomeruli. Therefore, the resulting neural representation of non-host plants in the antennal lobe of mated females was very different from the pattern evoked by host plants.

Interestingly, virgin and mated females differed markedly in their response to the odor of background plants: these plants activated only a small number of glomeruli (range: 0-9) in virgin females, and even less glomeruli (range: 0-2) in mated females. The few background-activated glomeruli did not include the two host plant-activated glomeruli. The moths' reduced responses to background plants but not to host plants after mating, together with the finding that the host plant *Proboscidea* activates a different glomerulus in virgin and mated females, indicates that the olfactory system of *M. sexta* females becomes tuned towards host plants following mating. Mechanisms mediating these post-mating changes in moth olfactory processing seem to be independent of neurotransmitters like octopamine and serotonin (Barrozo et al., 2010) but might include neuropeptides as in the vinegar fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Hussain, Ucpunar, Zhang, Loschek, & Kadow, 2016), and regulation of chemosensory-related genes like in *Drosophila suzukii* (Crava, Sassu, Tait, Becher, & Anfora, 2019). Our data suggest that mated females could potentially be able to identify suitable oviposition sites by the relative activity of one or two glomeruli compared with the activity of other glomeruli. A similar sparse coding strategy was recently described for the discrimination of differentially attractive body odors by mosquitoes (Zhao et al., 2020). In this case, the relative activity of a single, human-odor-activated glomerulus *versus* a broadly tuned glomerulus has been proposed to enable the mosquito to identify its preferred human host.

As the single activated glomerulus was different after stimulation with the two host plant bouquets, which also did not share any EAD-active compounds, *M. sexta* females should be able to distinguish the two plants based on olfaction alone. Field observations and experiments show that females lay more eggs on *Proboscidea* than on solanaceous hosts, although the plants grow next to each other and have a similar leaf surface (Diamond & Kingsolver, 2010; Mechaber & Hildebrand, 2000). These findings indicate that *M. sexta* can indeed discriminate between host plants belonging to different plant families, although visual and tactile cues might play a role in combination with olfactory cues. The observed low overall activity across the

antennal lobe evoked by host plant odors corresponds to the preference of *M. sexta* for plants with a faint smell when looking for oviposition sites. Inbred horse nettle (*Solanum carolinense*) exhibits much lower nocturnal volatile emissions than outbred horse nettle, a solanaceous host plant of *M. sexta* in the southeastern US. Correspondingly, female moths spend more time hovering near inbred plants, and lay more eggs there than on outbred plants. This preference is governed by olfactory cues alone as it persists in the absence of visual and contact cues (Kariyat et al., 2013). Furthermore, when given the choice between headspaces of two solanaceous host plant species with different total volatile concentration, *M. sexta* clearly prefers the weaker smelling plant. Diluting the headspace of the more intensely smelling plant leads to a reduction of this preference (Spaethe, Reinecke, Haverkamp, Hansson, & Knaden, 2013). These findings show again that female moths consistently favor host plants with low volatile emission, probably because high emission of specific volatiles are signs of active plant defense mechanisms, indicating the presence of larval competitors (De Moraes et al., 2001), and leading to impaired larval growth (Delphia, De Moraes, Stephenson, & Mescher, 2009). High levels of these herbivore-induced volatiles also attract predators and parasitoids (A. Kessler & Baldwin, 2001; Turlings et al., 1995), and egg-laying moths therefore avoid these sites (De Moraes et al., 2001; Li, Garvey, Kaplan, Li, & Carrillo, 2018). Conversely, when *M. sexta* has to choose between flowering tobacco plants from populations that differ in their flower volatile concentration, the moths clearly prefer to forage at flowers with a stronger smell (Haverkamp, Hansson, Baldwin, Knaden, & Yon, 2018). Hence, *M. sexta* pursues different strategies when searching for oviposition or feeding sites, as the moths favor weakly or strongly scented sources, respectively.

In contrast to *M. sexta*'s host plants, the bouquets of host plants of sympatric hawkmoths activated many glomeruli in virgins, and even more glomeruli in mated females. Two glomeruli contributed mostly to this effect as they were responding to all three non-host bouquets only after mating (glomeruli 12 and 13; Table 2). Odor-induced activation levels of these two glomeruli were positively correlated to odor-induced behavior in wind tunnel experiments using monomolecular odorants (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018). However, only virgin females were included in this study, so conclusions regarding the behavior of mated females cannot be drawn. The strong activation of antennal lobe glomeruli by host plants of other hawkmoths living in the same habitat was in contrast to weak but specific activation of single glomeruli by host plants of *M. sexta*. The conspicuous activation patterns evoked by host plants of sympatric hawkmoths might serve as a stop signal for *M. sexta* during their search for a suitable oviposition site and therefore might help gravid females to avoid inappropriate hosts at a distance. It would be interesting to compare headspace-evoked activation patterns in the antennal lobe of co-occurring hawkmoths upon stimulation with odors of their own and of other species' host plants to test if this might be a general coding policy. Examples of olfaction-based avoidance of non-host plants was also reported for example in bark beetles (Huber, Gries, Borden, & Pierce, 2000). Antennae of these insects respond strongly to many volatiles released by non-host trees. Like in the case of *M. sexta*, some compounds are present in the bouquet of both host and non-host plants, corroborating the hypothesis that odor-guided choice of host plants relies on blends of ubiquitous compounds in a specific ratio (Bruce & Pickett, 2011), and concentration (Spaethe, Reinecke, Haverkamp, et al., 2013) rather than on the detection of host-exclusive odors.

By using ecologically relevant odors collected in the actual habitat of our model animal, *M. sexta*, we revealed olfactory coding strategies both for odors emanating from crucial resources but also for those emitted by substrates that should be avoided. We also show how the female mating status affects olfactory processing but, interestingly, in a way well adapted to the specific life history traits of the species under investigation. In a broader perspective, our study contributes to understanding innate neural representation of natural odor mixtures in the brain and coding strategies enabling animals to distinguish crucial resources from background noise.

Material und Methods

Headspace collection in the field

We collected the headspace of plants in a habitat of *Manduca sexta* at the Santa Rita Experimental Range, 40 km south of Tucson, Arizona, at the foot of the Santa Rita Mountains (31°78' N, 110°82' W). All plants species sampled (Table 1) are native to the habitat, and belong to the regular desert grassland vegetation at Santa Rita Experimental Range (Medina, 2003). At sunset, we carefully enclosed plants in polyethylene terephthalate bags (Toppits, Germany). Charcoal-filtered, environmental air was pumped into the bag through a silicone tube connected to a custom-made portable pump. Air was pumped out of the bag through a second silicone tube passing a volatile collection trap (Porapak-Q 25 mg, www.volatilecollectiontrap.com). Shortly after sunrise, we unpacked the plants, removed the volatile collection traps, and stored them at -20°C. We collected the headspaces of plants on nine consecutive nights (August 19-27, 2018). In the first and the last night, we made a control collection with an empty bag placed on the ground close to the collection sites of plant headspaces. One volatile collection trap not used but treated in the same way as headspace-collecting traps served as a handling control. In Jena, Germany, all volatile collection traps were eluted with 4 x 100 µl dichloromethane containing 5 ng/µl bromodecane as an internal standard.

Chemical analysis

Headspace samples were analyzed by GC-MS (7890B GC System, 5977A MSD, Agilent Technologies, www.agilent.com) equipped with a polar column (HP-INNOWAX, 30 m long, 0.25 mm inner diameter, 25 µm film thickness; Agilent) with helium as carrier gas. The inlet temperature was set to 240°C. The temperature of the GC-oven was held at 40°C for 3 min, and then increased by 10°C per min to 260°C. This final temperature was held for 15 min. The MS transferline was held at 260°C, the MS source at 230°C, and the MS quad at 150°C. Mass spectra were taken in electron ionization mode (70 eV) in the range from m/z 29 to 350. GC-MS data were processed with the MDS-ChemStation Enhanced Data Analysis software (Agilent).

Breeding of *Manduca sexta*

M. sexta larvae were reared in the laboratory on artificial diet (Grosse-Wilde et al., 2011). Female pupae were kept in a climate chamber (25°C, 70% relative humidity) with a reversed light cycle (8 hrs. dark/16 hrs. light), and moths were tested during their scotophase on day 2 to 4 after hatching (GC-EAD), or at day 3 after hatching (calcium imaging). Moths were unfed and had no experience with plant-derived volatiles. To obtain mated females, we placed them in a cage with an equal number of males one day before an experiment was planned. We checked the cage 3-4 hours later and removed all animals that were not mating.

GC-EAD recordings

We used GC with flame-ionization detection (GC-FID) coupled with electro-antennographic detection (EAD) to identify compounds in headspace collections that can be sensed by *M. sexta*. One antenna of a female moth (virgin and mated in equal numbers), age 2-4 days, was cut and connected to two glass-electrodes filled with physiological saline solution (Christensen & Hildebrand, 1987). The reference electrode was inserted into the basal segment of the antenna, and the recording electrode was brought in contact with the tip of the antennae. The EAD signal (transferred via Ag-AgCl wires) was pre-amplified (10x) with a probe connected to a high-impedance DC-amplifier (EAG-probe, Syntech, www.syntech.nl), and digitally converted (IDAC-4 USB, Syntech), visualized and recorded on a PC using the software Autospike (Syntech). For each run, 2 µl of a headspace sample (a lower amount of 1 µl for *Agave* flower and *Datura* flower) was injected into a GC-FID (6890N, Agilent) equipped with a polar column (HP-INNOWAX, 30 m long, 0.32 mm inner diameter, 0.25 µm film thickness, Agilent) with helium as carrier gas. The inlet temperature was set to 250°C. The temperature of the GC-oven was held at 40°C for 2 min, and then increased by 10°C per min to 260°C. This final temperature

was held for 10 min. The GC was equipped with an effluent splitter (Gerstel) at the end of the analytical column, with a GC:antenna split ratio of 1:10 and helium as carrier gas. One arm was connected with the FID of the GC, and the other arm entered a heated (270°C) GC-EAD interface (Syntech) that was connected to a bent glass tube (diameter: 12 mm). The antenna-directed GC effluent was mixed with a humidified, charcoal-filtered air stream (1l/min) to cool the effluent down, and guide it to the antenna. Signals from the moth's antenna and the FID were recorded simultaneously. Sample size was 4-7 antenna per sample type; if a given sample type did not elicit a single response in three different animals, it was not tested any further (*Argemone*, *Gutierrezia*, empty bag). A GC-peak was scored as EAD-active if it induced a response at the same retention time in at least three antennae, and if this GC-fraction was present in at least one other headspace of the same type.

Identification of compounds

On both GC-instruments (GC-MS and GC-FID) we ran a series of 20 n-alkanes, and matched retention times of EAD-active peaks and peaks obtained with the GC-MS using their Kovats retention indices. EAD-active peaks were tentatively identified by comparison of their mass spectra and Kovats retention indices with those from a reference library (National Institute of Standards and Technologies), and a database built in our laboratory with synthetic standards using the same GC-MS instrument. Compounds yielding a match of mass spectra above 90% were rated as tentatively identified. The fragmentation pattern of some EAD-active peaks could not be clearly matched to any library compound, and were labeled as unidentified (see Fig. 2C).

Preparation for calcium imaging experiments

Female moths were tested; they were either virgin, or mated on day 1 after emergence. On day 2, moths were positioned in a 15-ml plastic tube with the tip cut open. The head was protruding at the narrow end and was fixed in this position with dental wax. Labial palps and proboscis were also fixed with wax to reduce movement artifacts during the experiments. A window was cut in the head capsule between the compound eyes, and the tissue covering the brain was removed until the antennal lobes were visible. We added 50 µl Pluronic F-127 (Invitrogen) to 1 mg of the membrane-permeant form of a fluorescent calcium indicator (Calcium Green-1 AM, Invitrogen), and sonicated the solution for 10 min. Then, we added 800 µl physiological saline solution (Christensen & Hildebrand, 1987), and sonicated again for 10 min. Twenty µl of this dye solution was applied to the exposed brain, and the preparation was incubated in a humid chamber for 45 min at room temperature. Then, we rinsed the brain several times with physiological saline solution to remove excess dye, and stored the moths at 4°C overnight to calm them down and reduce their movements. Imaging experiments were performed the following day (day 3 after emergence).

Calcium imaging

The imaging setup consisted of a CCD camera (Olympus U-CMAD3) mounted to an upright microscope (Olympus BX51WI) equipped with a water immersion objective (Olympus, 10x/0.30). Calcium green-1 AM was excited at 475 nm (500 nm shortpass optical filter; xenon arc lamp, Polychrome V, Till Photonics), and fluorescence was detected at 490/515 nm (dichroic longpass/longpass). The set-up was controlled by the software Tillvision version 4.6 (Till Photonics). Four-fold symmetrical binning resulted in image sizes of 344 x 260 pixels, with one pixel corresponding to an area of 4 µm x 4 µm.

Odor stimulation

To create a functional map of glomeruli in the antennal lobe, we first tested 19 diagnostic odors (Bisch-Knaden et al., 2018) in each animal. Then, we tested 17 headspaces of plants (Table 1), and one collection with an empty bag. The same samples as in GC-EAD experiments were used. Ten µl of a diagnostic odor or an eluted headspace were applied onto a circular piece of filter paper (diameter: 12 mm, Whatman) that was inserted in a glass pipette; 10 µl of the solvent mineral oil (diagnostic odors) or the eluent dichloromethane (headspace) served as control stimuli. A pipet tip sealed with dental wax closed the pipettes until the start of the experiment.

As dichloromethane alone evoked a response in the antennal lobe (Fig. 3B), pipettes with headspace samples and two pipettes with dichloromethane were left open for 3-5 min before sealing them to allow evaporation of the eluent. Filter papers were renewed every experimental day (diagnostic odors), or the pipettes were stored at -20°C, and used on up to three experimental days (headspace). The immobilized moth was placed upright under the microscope. A glass tube (diameter: 5 mm) was directed perpendicular to one antenna, and delivered a constant stream of charcoal-filtered, moistened air (0.5 l/min). Two glass pipettes were inserted through small holes in the tube. One pipette (inserted 5.5 cm from end of tube) was empty and added clean air to the continuous airstream (0.5 l/min). This airstream could automatically be switched (Syntech Stimulus Controller CS-55) to the second pipette (inserted 3.5 cm from the end of tube) that contained an odor-laden filter paper. By this procedure, the airstream reaching the antenna was not altered during odor stimulation, thus reducing mechanical disturbances. One odor stimulation experiment lasted 10 s and was recorded with a sampling rate of 4 Hz corresponding to 40 frames. The time course of an odorant stimulation experiment was as follows: 2-s clean airstream (frame 1–8), 2-s odorous airstream (frame 9–16), and 6-s clean airstream (frame 17–40). Odors were presented with at least 1-min inter-stimulus interval to avoid adaptation. The sequence of headspace stimulations changed from animal to animal, and a control stimulus with dichloromethane was presented at the beginning and at the end of this sequence.

Processing of calcium imaging data

Stimulation experiments resulted in a series of 40 consecutive frames that were analyzed with custom written software (IDL, ITT Visual Informations Solutions). Several processing steps were applied to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio: (1) background correction: background activity was defined as the average fluorescence (F) of frames 3–7 (i.e., before stimulus onset) and was subtracted from the fluorescence of each frame. This background-corrected value (ΔF) was divided by the background fluorescence to get the relative changes of fluorescence over background fluorescence for each frame ($\Delta F/F$). (2) Bleaching correction: the fluorescent bleached slowly during the exposure to light, and therefore, we subtracted from each frame an exponential decay curve that was estimated from the bleaching course of frame 3–7 and frame 26–40 (i.e., before and after stimulus and response). (3) Median filtering: a spatial median filter with a width of 7 pixels was applied to remove outliers. (4) Movement correction: possible shifts of the antennal lobe from one stimulation experiment to the next one were corrected by aligning frame 20 of each experiment to frame 20 of the median experiment in a given animal. The outline of the antennal lobe and remains of tracheae served as guides for this movement correction procedure. Increased neural activity, indicated as an increase of the intracellular calcium concentration after stimulation with the diagnostic odors, was leading to spatially restricted spots of increased fluorescence in the antennal lobe. In the center of each activity spot, the average $\Delta F/F$ was recorded in an area of the size of a small to medium-sized glomerulus (60 μm x 60 μm). Time traces of $\Delta F/F$ were averaged over three successive frames for each activity spot. In these smoothed time traces, the maximum $\Delta F/F$ after stimulus onset was determined. The average of the maximum value and the value before and after the maximum was calculated and was defined as the response of the animal to the odor stimulation at the given activity spot.

Analysis of response to headspace stimulation

Activation patterns evoked by the diagnostic odors helped to establish an individual schematic of 23 putative glomeruli for each animal (Fig. 3). Then, responses in these 23 glomeruli were calculated for stimulations with headspaces and dichloromethane. To identify headspace-activated glomeruli, we tested for each glomerulus if its mean response towards the two control stimulations with dichloromethane was clearly different from the response to the headspaces ($p < 0.01$, Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test). We then normalized responses for each glomerulus in a given animal according to its response to headspaces and

dichloromethane (lowest response=0, highest response=100) to balance for variability between individuals.

Statistical analysis

Sample sizes and statistical tests used are given in the text and in figure legends. Statistical tests were performed with PAST (version 3.26, <http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/>), and GraphPad InStat (version 3.10, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com).

Source data S1. XCMS analysis of 69 headspaces.

Source data S2. GC-EAD results from 80 antennae.

Source data S3. Calcium imaging results from 10 virgin and 10 mated females.

Acknowledgments

We thank Brett C. Blum and Mark E. Heitlinger for kindly hosting us at the Santa Rita Experimental Range, Sascha Bucks for breeding *M. sexta*, Kerstin Weniger for help with chemical analyses, Mohammed Khallaf Ali for introducing us to XCMS, and Daniel Veit for building equipment for mobile headspace collection.

Funding: Max-Planck Society (all authors), CSIRO Health and Biosecurity Acorn Grant (MAR).

References:

- Alarcon, R., Davidowitz, G., & Bronstein, J. L. (2008). Nectar usage in a southern Arizona hawkmoth community. *Ecological Entomology*, 33(4), 503-509. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2311.2008.00996.x
- Barrozo, R. B., Jarriault, D., Simeone, X., Gaertner, C., Gadenne, C., & Anton, S. (2010). Mating-induced transient inhibition of responses to sex pheromone in a male moth is not mediated by octopamine or serotonin. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213(7), 1100-1106. doi:10.1242/jeb.040139
- Bisch-Knaden, S., Dahake, A., Sachse, S., Knaden, M., & Hansson, B. S. (2018). Spatial representation of feeding and oviposition odors in the brain of a hawkmoth. *Cell Reports*, 22(9), 2482-2492. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.082
- Bruce, T. J. A., & Pickett, J. A. (2011). Perception of plant volatile blends by herbivorous insects - finding the right mix. *Phytochemistry*, 72(13), 1605-1611. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.04.011
- Bruce, T. J. A., Wadhams, L. J., & Woodcock, C. M. (2005). Insect host location: a volatile situation. *Trends in Plant Science*, 10(6), 269-274. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2005.04.003
- Burger, H., Marquardt, M., Babucke, K., Heuel, K. C., Ayasse, M., Dotterl, S., & Galizia, C. G. (2021). Neural and behavioural responses of the pollen-specialist bee *Andrena vaga* to *Salix* odours. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 224(13). doi:10.1242/jeb.242166
- Cha, D. H., Nojima, S., Hesler, S. P., Zhang, A., Linn, C. E., Roelofs, W. L., & Loeb, G. M. (2008). Identification and field evaluation of grape shoot volatiles attractive to female grape berry moth (*Paralobesia viteana*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 34(9), 1180-1189. doi:10.1007/s10886-008-9517-0
- Christensen, T. A., & Hildebrand, J. G. (1987). Male-specific, sex pheromone-selective projection neurons in the antennal lobes of the moth *Manduca sexta*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 160(5), 553-569.
- Christensen, T. A., Waldrop, B. R., Harrow, I. D., & Hildebrand, J. G. (1993). Local interneurons and information-processing in the olfactory glomeruli of the moth

- Manduca sexta*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology*, 173(4), 385-399.
- Conchou, L., Anderson, P., & Birgersson, G. (2017). Host plant species differentiation in a polyphagous moth: olfaction is enough. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 43(8), 794-805. doi:10.1007/s10886-017-0876-2
- Crava, C. M., Sassu, F., Tait, G., Becher, P. G., & Anfora, G. (2019). Functional transcriptome analyses of *Drosophila suzukii* antennae reveal mating-dependent olfaction plasticity in females. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 105, 51-59. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2018.12.012
- De Moraes, C. M., Mescher, M. C., & Tumlinson, J. H. (2001). Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel conspecific females. *Nature*, 410(6828), 577-580. doi:10.1038/35069058
- Delphia, C. M., De Moraes, C. M., Stephenson, A. G., & Mescher, M. C. (2009). Inbreeding in horsenettle influences herbivore resistance. *Ecological Entomology*, 34(4), 513-519. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2311.2009.01097.x
- Diamond, S. E., & Kingsolver, J. G. (2010). Fitness consequences of host plant choice: a field experiment. *Oikos*, 119(3), 542-550. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17242.x
- Emiliano Trejo-Salazar, R., Scheinvar, E., & Eguiarte, L. E. (2015). Who really pollinates agaves? Diversity of floral visitors in three species of *Agave* (Agavoideae: Asparagaceae). *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad*, 86(2), 358-369.
- Fandino, R. A., Haverkamp, A., Bisch-Knaden, S., Zhang, J., Bucks, S., Nguyen, T. A. T., . . . Grosse-Wilde, E. (2019). Mutagenesis of odorant coreceptor Orco fully disrupts foraging but not oviposition behaviors in the hawkmoth *Manduca sexta*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116(31), 15677-15685. doi:10.1073/pnas.1902089116
- Fraser, A. M., Mechaber, W. L., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2003). Electroantennographic and behavioral responses of the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta* to host plant headspace volatiles. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 29(8), 1813-1833.
- Gadenne, C., Barrozo, R. B., & Anton, S. (2016). Plasticity in insect olfaction: to smell or not to smell? In M. R. Berenbaum (Ed.), *Annual Review of Entomology*, Vol 61 (Vol. 61, pp. 317-333).
- Grant, V., & Grant, K. A. (1983). Hawkmoth pollination of *Mirabilis longiflora* (Nyctaginaceae). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 80, 1298-1299.
- Grosse-Wilde, E., Kuebler, L. S., Bucks, S., Vogel, H., Wicher, D., & Hansson, B. S. (2011). Antennal transcriptome of *Manduca sexta*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(18), 7449-7454. doi:10.1073/pnas.1017963108
- Hansson, B. S., Carlsson, M. A., & Kalinova, B. (2003). Olfactory activation patterns in the antennal lobe of the sphinx moth, *Manduca sexta*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 189(4), 301-308. doi:10.1007/s00359-003-0403-5
- Hare, J. D., & Sun, J. J. (2011). Production of induced volatiles by *Datura wrightii* in response to damage by insects: effect of herbivore species and time. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 37(7), 751-764. doi:10.1007/s10886-011-9985-5
- Haverkamp, A., Hansson, B. S., Baldwin, I. T., Knaden, M., & Yon, F. (2018). Floral trait variations among wild tobacco populations influence the foraging behavior of hawkmoth pollinators. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 28. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00019>

- He, J., Halitschke, R., Schuman, M. C., & Baldwin, I. T. (2021). Light dominates the diurnal emissions of herbivore-induced volatiles in wild tobacco. *BMC Plant Biology*, 21(1). doi:10.1186/s12870-021-03179-z
- Hoballah, M. E., Stuurman, J., Turlings, T. C. J., Guerin, P. M., Connetable, S., & Kuhlemeier, C. (2005). The composition and timing of flower odour emission by wild *Petunia axillaris* coincide with the antennal perception and nocturnal activity of the pollinator *Manduca sexta*. *Planta*, 222(1), 141-150. doi:10.1007/s00425-005-1506-8
- Holopainen, J. K., & Gershenzon, J. (2010). Multiple stress factors and the emission of plant VOCs. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15(3), 176-184. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2010.01.006
- Homberg, U., Montague, R. A., & Hildebrand, J. G. (1988). Anatomy of antenno-cerebral pathways in the brain of the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta*. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 254(2), 255-281.
- Honda, K., Omura, H., & Hayashi, N. (1998). Identification of floral volatiles from *Ligustrum japonicum* that stimulate flower-visiting by cabbage butterfly, *Pieris rapae*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 24(12), 2167-2180. doi:10.1023/a:1020750029362
- Huber, D. P. W., Gries, R., Borden, J. H., & Pierce, H. D. (2000). A survey of antennal responses by five species of coniferophagous bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to bark volatiles of six species of angiosperm trees. *Chemoecology*, 10(3), 103-113. doi:10.1007/pl00001811
- Hussain, A., Ucpunar, H. K., Zhang, M., Loschek, L. F., & Kadow, I. C. G. (2016). Neuropeptides modulate female chemosensory processing upon mating in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Biology*, 14(5). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002455
- Joerges, J., Kuttner, A., Galizia, C. G., & Menzel, R. (1997). Representations of odours and odour mixtures visualized in the honeybee brain. *Nature*, 387(6630), 285-288.
- Kariyat, R. R., Mauck, K. E., Balogh, C. M., Stephenson, A. G., Mescher, M. C., & De Moraes, C. M. (2013). Inbreeding in horsenettle (*Solanum carolinense*) alters night-time volatile emissions that guide oviposition by *Manduca sexta* moths. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 280(1757). doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.0020
- Karpati, Z., Knaden, M., Reinecke, A., & Hansson, B. S. (2013). Intraspecific combinations of flower and leaf volatiles act together in attracting hawkmoth pollinators. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072805
- Kessler, A., & Baldwin, I. T. (2001). Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. *Science*, 291(5511), 2141-2144.
- Kessler, D., Gase, K., & Baldwin, I. T. (2008). Field experiments with transformed plants reveal the sense of floral scents. *Science*, 321(5893), 1200-1202. doi:10.1126/science.1160072
- Kigathi, R. N., Weisser, W. W., Reichelt, M., Gershenzon, J., & Unsicker, S. B. (2019). Plant volatile emission depends on the species composition of the neighboring plant community. *BMC Plant Biology*, 19. doi:10.1186/s12870-018-1541-9
- King, J. R., Christensen, T. A., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2000). Response characteristics of an identified, sexually dimorphic olfactory glomerulus. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 20(6), 2391-2399.
- Knudsen, J. T., Eriksson, R., Gershenzon, J., & Stahl, B. (2006). Diversity and distribution of floral scent. *Botanical Review*, 72(1), 1-120. doi:10.1663/0006-8101(2006)72[1:dadofs]2.0.co;2
- Lahondere, C., Vinauger, C., Okubo, R. P., Wolff, G. H., Chan, J. K., Akbari, O. S., & Riffell, J. A. (2020). The olfactory basis of orchid pollination by mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the*

- 843 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(1), 708-716.
844 doi:10.1073/pnas.1910589117
- 845 Li, X. H., Garvey, M., Kaplan, I., Li, B. P., & Carrillo, J. (2018). Domestication of tomato has
846 reduced the attraction of herbivore natural enemies to pest-damaged plants.
847 *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 20(3), 390-401. doi:10.1111/afe.12271
- 848 Liu, X. L., Zhang, J., Yan, Q., Miao, C. L., Han, W. K., Hou, W., . . . Knaden, M. (2020). The
849 molecular basis of host selection in a crucifer-specialized moth. *Current Biology*,
850 30(22), 4476-+. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.047
- 851 Matsumoto, S. G., & Hildebrand, J. G. (1981). Olfactory mechanisms in the moth *Manduca*
852 *sexta*: response characteristics and morphology of central neurons in the antennal
853 lobes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B-Biological Sciences*, 213(1192), 249-+.
854 doi:10.1098/rspb.1981.0066
- 855 Mechaber, W. L., Capaldo, C. T., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2002). Behavioral responses of adult
856 female tobacco hornworms, *Manduca sexta*, to hostplant volatiles change with age
857 and mating status. *Journal of Insect Science*, 2(5), 1-8.
- 858 Mechaber, W. L., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2000). Novel, non-solanaceous hostplant record for
859 *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) in the southwestern United States. *Annals*
860 *of the Entomological Society of America*, 93(3), 447-451. doi:10.1603/0013-
861 8746(2000)093[0447:nnsr]2.0.co;2
- 862 Medina, A. L. (2003). Historical and recent flora of the Santa Rita Experimental Range. *USDA*
863 *Forest Service Proceedings, RMRS-P-30*, 141-148.
- 864 Mohamed, A. A. M., Retzke, T., Das Chakraborty, S., Fabian, B., Hansson, B. S., Knaden, M., &
865 Sachse, S. (2019). Odor mixtures of opposing valence unveil inter-glomerular
866 crosstalk in the *Drosophila* antennal lobe. *Nature Communications*, 10.
867 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09069-1
- 868 Mumm, R., & Dicke, M. (2010). Variation in natural plant products and the attraction of
869 bodyguards involved in indirect plant defense. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 88(7),
870 628-667. doi:10.1139/z10-032
- 871 Oland, L. A., & Tolbert, L. P. (1988). Effects of hydroxyurea parallel the effects of radiation in
872 developing olfactory glomeruli in insects. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 278(3),
873 377-387. doi:10.1002/cne.902780307
- 874 Paré, P. W., & Tumlinson, J. H. (1999). Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores.
875 *Plant Physiology*, 121(2), 325-331. doi:10.1104/pp.121.2.325
- 876 Raguso, R. A., Henzel, C., Buchmann, S. L., & Nabhan, G. P. (2003). Trumpet flowers of the
877 Sonoran Desert: floral biology of *Peniocereus cacti* and Sacred *Datura*. *International*
878 *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 164(6), 877-892. doi:10.1086/378539
- 879 Raguso, R. A., Levin, R. A., Foose, S. E., Holmberg, M. W., & McDade, L. A. (2003). Fragrance
880 chemistry, nocturnal rhythms and pollination "syndromes" in *Nicotiana*.
881 *Phytochemistry*, 63(3), 265-284. doi:10.1016/s0031-9422(03)00113-4
- 882 Reisenman, C. E., Dacks, A. M., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2011). Local interneuron diversity in the
883 primary olfactory center of the moth *Manduca sexta*. *Journal of Comparative*
884 *Physiology A*, 197(6), 653-665. doi:10.1007/s00359-011-0625-x
- 885 Riffell, J. A., Lei, H., Abrell, L., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2013). Neural basis of a pollinator's buffet:
886 olfactory specialization and learning in *Manduca sexta*. *Science*, 339(6116), 200-204.
887 doi:10.1126/science.1225483
- 888 Sachse, S., Rappert, A., & Galizia, C. G. (1999). The spatial representation of chemical
889 structures in the antennal lobe of honeybees: steps towards the olfactory code.
890 *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 11(11), 3970-3982.

- 891 Sasaki, M., & Riddiford, L. M. (1984). Regulation of reproductive behavior and egg
892 maturation in the tobacco hawk moth, *Manduca sexta*. *Physiological Entomology*,
893 9(3), 315-327. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3032.1984.tb00713.x
- 894 Saveer, A. M., Kromann, S. H., Birgersson, G., Bengtsson, M., Lindblom, T., Balkenius, A., . . .
895 Ignell, R. (2012). Floral to green: mating switches moth olfactory coding and
896 preference. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 279(1737), 2314-
897 2322. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.2710
- 898 Schubert, M., Hansson, B. S., & Sachse, S. (2014). The banana code: natural blend processing
899 in the olfactory circuitry of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 5.
900 doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00059
- 901 Shields, V. D. C., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2000). Responses of a population of antennal olfactory
902 receptor cells in the female moth *Manduca sexta* to plant-associated volatile organic
903 compounds. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 186(12), 1135-1151.
- 904 Spaethe, A., Reinecke, A., Haverkamp, A., Hansson, B. S., & Knaden, M. (2013). Host plant
905 odors represent immiscible information entities - blend composition and
906 concentration matter in hawkmoths. *PLoS ONE*, 8(10), e77135.
907 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077135
- 908 Spaethe, A., Reinecke, A., Olsson, S. B., Kesavan, S., Knaden, M., & Hansson, B. S. (2013).
909 Plant species- and status-specific odorant blends guide oviposition choice in the
910 moth *Manduca sexta*. *Chemical Senses*, 38(2), 147-159.
- 911 Stockl, A. L., & Kelber, A. (2019). Fuelling on the wing: sensory ecology of hawkmoth
912 foraging. *Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Neuroethology Sensory Neural and*
913 *Behavioral Physiology*, 205(3), 399-413. doi:10.1007/s00359-019-01328-2
- 914 Suckling, D. M., Karg, G., Gibb, A. R., & Bradley, S. J. (1996). Electroantennogram and
915 oviposition responses of *Epiphyas postvittana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) to plant
916 volatiles. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, 24(4), 323-333.
- 917 Tasin, M., Backman, A. C., Anfora, G., Carlin, S., Ioriatti, C., & Witzgall, P. (2010). Attraction of
918 female grapevine moth to common and specific olfactory cues from 2 host plants.
919 *Chemical Senses*, 35(1), 57-64. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjp082
- 920 Tautenhahn, R., Patti, G. J., Rinehart, D., & Siuzdak, G. (2012). XCMS Online: a web-based
921 platform to process untargeted metabolomic data. *Analytical Chemistry*, 84(11),
922 5035-5039. doi:10.1021/ac300698c
- 923 Torretta, J. P., Navarro, F., & Medan, D. (2009). Nocturnal floral visitors of sunflower
924 (*Helianthus annuus*, Asterales: Asteraceae) in Argentina. *Revista de la Sociedad*
925 *Entomologica Argentina*, 68(3-4), 339-350.
- 926 Turlings, T. C. J., Loughrin, J. H., McCall, P. J., Rose, U. S. R., Lewis, W. J., & Tumlinson, J. H.
927 (1995). How caterpillar-damaged plants protect themselves by attracting parasitic
928 wasps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
929 *America*, 92(10), 4169-4174. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.10.4169
- 930 Visser, J. H., & Ave, D. A. (1978). General green leaf volatiles in the olfactory orientation of
931 the colorado beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et*
932 *Applicata*, 24(3), 738-749. doi:10.1111/j.1570-7458.1978.tb02838.x
- 933 von Arx, M., Sullivan, K. A., & Raguso, R. A. (2013). Dual fitness benefits of post-mating sugar
934 meals for female hawkmoths (*Hyles lineata*). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 59(4), 458-
935 465. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.01.006
- 936 Webster, B., Bruce, T., Pickett, J., & Hardie, J. (2010). Volatiles functioning as host cues in a
937 blend become nonhost cues when presented alone to the black bean aphid. *Animal*
938 *Behaviour*, 79(2), 451-457. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.11.028

- Webster, B., & Carde, R. T. (2017). Use of habitat odour by host-seeking insects. *Biological Reviews*, 92(2), 1241-1249. doi:10.1111/brv.12281
- Zhang, J., Raza, S. A. K., Wei, Z., Keeseey, I. W., Parker, A. L., Feistel, F., . . . Hansson, B. S. (2022). Competing beetles attract egg laying in a hawkmoth. *Current Biology*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.12.021>
- Zhao, Z., Zung, J. L., Kriete, A. L., Iqbal, A., Younger, M. A., Matthews, B. J., . . . McBride, C. S. (2020). Chemical signatures of human odour generate a unique neural code in the brain of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes *bioRxiv*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.01.363861>
- Zhu, Y. C., Keaster, A. J., & Gerhardt, K. O. (1993). Field observations on attractiveness of selected blooming plants to noctuid moths and electroantennogram responses of black cutworm (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) moths to flower volatiles. *Environmental Entomology*, 22(1), 162-166. doi:10.1093/ee/22.1.162