Trehalose increases tomato drought tolerance, induces defenses, and increases resistance to bacterial wilt disease

April M. MacIntyre^{1,2,6}, Valerian Meline³, Zachary Gorman⁴, Steven P. Augustine⁵, Carolyn J. Dye^{1,7}, Corri D. Hamilton¹, Anjali S. Iyer-Pascuzzi³, Michael V. Kolomiets⁴, Katherine A. McCulloh⁵, and Caitilyn Allen^{1*}

*Corresponding author: callen@wisc.edu +1-608-556-3369

¹University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Plant Pathology

²University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Bacteriology

³Purdue University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology

⁴Texas A&M University, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology

⁵ University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Botany

⁶ Current address: Valent Biosciences, Libertyville, IL

⁷ Current address: Soil Carbon Co., Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN

Contributions: AMM and CA designed the experiments and wrote the text with contributions from VM, AIP, and ZG. AMM carried out most of the experiments and created most of the figures. VM performed the meta-transcriptomic analysis. ZG performed the xylem sap metabolite analysis. SPA advised and assisted with LI-COR experiments and created the trehalose stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, transpiration, and water efficiency graphs. CJD assisted with trehalose physiology, ABA treatment, and *Xanthomonas* experiments. CDH advised on xylem sap collection. AIP, MK, CDH, and KM provided feedback and edited the manuscript.

Abstract

Ralstonia solanacearum causes bacterial wilt disease, leading to severe crop losses. Xylem sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected tomato is enriched in the disaccharide trehalose. Water-stressed plants also accumulate trehalose, which increases drought tolerance via abscisic acid (ABA) signaling. Because *R. solanacearum*-infected plants suffer reduced water flow, we hypothesized that bacterial wilt physiologically mimics drought stress, which trehalose could mitigate. We found that *R. solanacearum*-infected plants differentially expressed drought-associated genes, including those involved in ABA and trehalose metabolism, and had more ABA in xylem sap. Consistent with this, treating tomato roots with ABA reduced both stomatal conductance and stem colonization by *R. solanacearum*. Treating roots with trehalose increased xylem sap ABA and reduced plant water use by lowering stomatal conductance and temporarily improving water use efficiency. Trehalose treatment also upregulated expression of salicylic acid (SA)-dependent tomato defense genes; increased xylem sap levels of SA and other antimicrobial compounds; and increased bacterial wilt resistance of SA-insensitive *NahG* tomato plants. Additionally, trehalose treatment increased xylem concentrations of jasmonic acid and related oxylipins. Finally, trehalose-treated plants were substantially more resistant to bacterial wilt disease. Together, these data show that exogenous trehalose reduced both water stress and bacterial wilt disease and triggered systemic disease resistance, possibly through a Damage Associated Molecular Pattern (DAMP) response pathway. This suite of responses revealed unexpected linkages between plant responses to biotic and abiotic stress and suggested that *R. solanacearum*-infected plants increase trehalose to improve water use efficiency and increase wilt disease resistance. The pathogen may degrade trehalose to counter these efforts. Together, these results suggest that treating tomatoes with exogenous trehalose could be a practical strategy for bacterial wilt management.

Keywords: *Ralstonia solanacearum*, bacterial wilt disease, drought tolerance, trehalose, plant hormones, xylem water physiology

Introduction

Ralstonia solanacearum is a vascular plant pathogen that causes bacterial wilt disease in over 200 species of plants worldwide (Denny 2006). It is widely studied because it is economically damaging to food, fiber, and feed production (Elphinstone 2005, Mansfield, Genin et al. 2012). *R. solanacearum* is also notoriously difficult to eradicate because it persists long-term in soil, water, and latently infected hosts (Álvarez, Bosca et al. 2010).

Most *R. solanacearum* strains infect plants through the soil (Elphinstone 2005). *R. solanacearum* chemo- or aero-taxes towards roots, evades root border cell traps, enters roots at points of lateral root emergence, moves to the root cortex, and eventually migrates to the xylem, all with the help of secreted proteins such as hydrolytic enzymes and Type III secreted effectors (Vasse, Frey et al. 1995, Tans-Kersten, Huang et al. 2001, Liu, Zhang et al. 2005, Yao and Allen 2006, Yao and Allen 2007, Digonnet, Martinez et al. 2012, Deslandes and Genin 2014, Tran, MacIntyre et al. 2016). Once in xylem vessels, *R. solanacearum* forms biofilm matrices composed of extracellular DNA and polysaccharide (EPS) and proliferates to $>10^9$ CFU/g of stem tissue (Orgambide, Montrozier et al. 1991, Mori, Inoue et al. 2016, Tran, MacIntyre et al. 2016). *R. solanacearum* also conditions the xylem environment to favor bacterial growth (Lowe-Power, Hendrich et al. 2018). The large amounts of bacteria and EPS clog xylem vessels and disrupt water flow (Ingel, Caldwell et al. 2021). If the plant cannot contain bacterial growth and spread, it will wilt and eventually die.

Researchers long assumed that *R. solanacearum* and other vascular pathogens cause drought-like physiological symptoms in host plants and that severity of the disease is affected by environmental water availability (Abd El-Rahim, Fahmy et al. 1998, McElrone, Sherald et al. 2003, Daugherty, Lopes et al. 2010). *R. solanacearum* dies more quickly in drought-stressed chickpea plants, and *R. solanacearum* infection and water stress induce similar osmolyte biosynthesis and expression of genes related to the plant signaling molecule abscisic acid (ABA), a well-characterized marker of water stress (Sinha, Gupta et al. 2016, Sinha, Gupta et al. 2017). Further, stomatal conductance decreases in *R. solanacearum* infected plants (Lowe-Power, Hendrich et al. 2018). These studies suggest but do not prove that plant response to bacterial wilt mimics the drought stress response.

During water and osmotic stress, many plants, including tomatoes, accumulate trehalose and trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P), which contribute to tolerance of these stresses (Romero, Bellés et al. 1997, Garg, Kim et al. 2002, Cortina and Culiáñez-Macià 2005, Lyu, Min et al. 2012, Khater, Dawood et

al. 2018). Xylem sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected tomato plants contains about 20-fold more trehalose than healthy plants (Lowe-Power, Hendrich et al. 2018). This non-reducing disaccharide composed of glucose and UDP-glucose is best known for its role as a compatible solute. Trehalose synthesis helps *R. solanacearum* tolerate osmotic stress and contributes to pathogen fitness and virulence (MacIntyre, Barth et al. 2019). However, the tomato host produces the trehalose in xylem sap during infection; *R. solanacearum* does not export its trehalose in culture and it aggressively expresses the secreted trehalase TreA *in planta* (Jacobs, Babujee et al. 2012).

The roles of trehalose and T6P as nutritional signaling metabolites in plants have been reviewed (Lunn 2007, Paul 2007, Iordachescu and Imai 2008, Paul, Primavesi et al. 2008, Lunn, Delorge et al. 2014). In *Arabidopsis*, most trehalose metabolism-related proteins have predicted functions in development or signaling (Vandesteene, Ramon et al. 2010, Vandesteene, Lopez-Galvis et al. 2012). The current paradigm is that T6P, and by extension trehalose, are direct indicators of sucrose levels that help plants maintain sucrose-to-starch ratios through SnRK1 signaling (Schluepmann, Pellny et al. 2003, Kolbe, Tiessen et al. 2005, Lunn, Feil et al. 2006, Schluepmann, Berke et al. 2012). Trehalose also likely improves drought tolerance in plants by two mechanisms: by regulating carbohydrate levels during stress conditions, possibly via the SnRK1 kinase; and by altering ABA signaling and stomatal conductance (Garg, Kim et al. 2002, Paul, Gonzalez-Uriarte et al. 2018, Yu, Zhao et al. 2019). Members of another kinase family, SnRK2, also integrate with ABA signaling, and trehalose metabolism may connect to this network via the TOR regulator (Signorelli, Tarkowski et al. 2019, Chen, Li et al. 2020).

Some studies suggest that trehalose may mediate host-microbe interactions and contribute to plant defense. For example, treating *Arabidopsis* seedlings in liquid culture with 30 mM exogenous trehalose triggered the expression of defense genes in ethylene and methyl-jasmonate pathways (Bae, Herman et al. 2005). Infusing tobacco leaves with a 10-50 mM trehalose solution increased resistance to tobacco mosaic virus and triggered a transcriptomic response suggestive of biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (Shi, Sun et al. 2019). A *Xanthomonas citri* trehalose synthesis mutant had reduced fitness and infusing citrus leaves with a trehalose solution induced defense gene expression, suggesting that trehalose might simultaneously be a virulence factor and an indicator of pathogen attack (Piazza, Zimaro et al. 2015). In another study, spraying tomato leaves with the trehalase inhibitor Validamycin A increased resistance to bacterial wilt and *Fusarium* wilt and activated systemic acquired resistance against a wide range of other pathogens in *Arabidopsis* (Ishikawa, Fujimori et al. 1996, Ishikawa, Shirouzu et al. 2005, Bian, Duan et al. 2020). Additionally, silencing some tomato trehalose-6-phosphate

synthase genes decreased resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* and *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 and affected expression of JA, ET, and SA signaling responsive, defense related genes (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016).

We investigated the relationship between bacterial wilt and drought stress in tomatoes and explored the role of trehalose in this interaction. We tested the following hypotheses: 1) *R. solanacearum* infection mimics abiotic stress triggered by disrupted water flow; and 2) Exogenous trehalose inhibits bacterial wilt development in tomatoes by enhancing plant water use efficiency and inducing defense responses. To test H₀1, we used meta-transcriptomic analyses to show that tomato genes involved in trehalose metabolism and water stress responses are differentially expressed during *R. solanacearum* infection in susceptible tomato hosts. Using ABA treatment to close stomates, we found that *R. solanacearum* depends on stomatal conductance and water flow for effective host colonization. We also discovered that *R. solanacearum* infection increases xylem sap ABA levels.

Testing H₀2 revealed that treating plants with exogenous trehalose improved water use efficiency in drought-stressed tomatoes, decreased short-term stomatal conductance and transpiration, and increased ABA concentration in xylem sap. Trehalose-treated tomato plants were more resistant to bacterial wilt. This protective effect appeared to be mediated by up-regulation of salicylic acid (SA)- and jasmonic acid (JA)-related defense genes and by increased levels of jasmonates and other defense compounds in xylem sap.

Results

Bacterial wilt disease altered expression of tomato trehalose and water stress genes

To investigate the relationship between bacterial wilt and drought stress, we measured expression of all tomato genes annotated as related to trehalose metabolism, ABA, or water stress responses. Candidate genes included those known or predicted to encode synthesis and degradation of trehalose, including trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP), trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS), and trehalase (Leyman, Van Dijck et al. 2001, Vandesteene, Ramon et al. 2010, Vandesteene, Lopez-Galvis et al. 2012). Genes encoding water stress-associated proteins included: 1) those involved in biosynthesis, regulation, and response to ABA, a known indicator of water stress (Song, Huang et al. 2016, Zhou, Yu et al. 2019); 2) late embryogenesis/dehydrins (LEAs), which accumulate in water-stressed plants (Cao and Li 2015); and 3) aquaporins, channel proteins that allow living cells to move water and small solutes more efficiently (Kaplan, Vaziri et al. 2018).

We used four datasets that profiled transcriptomes of two wilt-susceptible tomato plants (WV700 and Bonny Best) responding to infection by *R. solanacearum* strain K60 or GMI1000 (French, Kim et al. 2018). After normalizing the datasets to allow comparisons across these experiments, we measured the expression of these candidate genes in healthy plants relative to their levels in *R. solanacearum*-infected plants.

The datasets came from diverse experiments involving different plant genotypes, tissues, and *R. solanacearum* strains. Despite considerable experiment-associated variation across datasets, this analysis revealed that *R. solanacearum*-infected tomato plants significantly changed the expression of several water stress physiology genes (Fig. 1). Nine genes meeting the annotation criteria were significantly differentially expressed across all datasets. Notably, the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene *SITPS7* was upregulated in all of the susceptible tomatoes in response to *Rs*, suggesting this may be the main T6P protein responsible for elevated trehalose levels in xylem sap during infection. All infected plants upregulated an ABA hydroxylase and an ABA- and environmental stress-inducible dehydrin protein TAS14 and downregulated many diverse aquaporins, consistent with transcript changes observed in plants undergoing abiotic water stress. These results led us to directly measure physiological and metabolic indicators of drought stress in *R. solanacearum*-infected plants.

Figure 1. *R. solanacearum* infection consistently changes expression of nine tomato genes involved in trehalose metabolism and water stress physiology. Meta-analysis of transcriptomic data from four different experiments measuring gene expression of tomato plants responding to infection by *R. solanacearum* identified nine genes of interest that were commonly differentially expressed across all

data sets. The heatmaps show relative expression (as log₂ fold change) compared to mock-inoculated control plants of all tomato genes that were both: 1) Annotated with the terms *trehalose*, *drought*, or *abscisic acid* / *ABA*; and 2) Identified by the meta-transcriptomic analysis as significantly differentially expressed across four different tomato RNAseq studies. The four studies were: **S1**, roots of wilt-susceptible West Virginia 700 tomato plants (WV) sampled 24 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain K60; **S2**, roots of WV sampled 48 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain K60; **S3**, seedling roots of wilt-susceptible cv. Bonny Best (BB) sampled 24 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000; **S4**, mid-stems of BB sampled 72 h after inoculation with *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000. Tomato locus tags, gene names, and PANTHER families are from NCBI and the Sol Genomics Network, accessed in 03/2020. Transcriptomic data were normalized across experiments to allow comparisons as described in Methods.

Bacterial wilt disease increased ABA concentration in xylem sap

Plants can use ABA to mitigate drought stress. This plant hormone, synthesized locally in guard cells or the vasculature, closes stomates to reduce water loss through transpiration (Raghavendra, Gonugunta et al. 2010). To test the hypothesis that tomato plants produce ABA in response to bacterial wilt, we used LCMS/MS to compare ABA levels in xylem sap of healthy plants and *R. solanacearum*-inoculated plants showing early wilt symptoms. Xylem sap from the *R. solanacearum*-infected plants contained 40% more ABA than sap from mock-inoculated plants (Fig. 2A).

Figure 2. ABA concentration increased in *R. solanacearum*-infected plants, and ABA treatment reduced stomatal conductance, which correlated with reduced *R. solanacearum* stem colonization.

A) ABA concentration in xylem sap harvested from healthy and *R. solanacearum*-infected Bonny Best tomato plants showing early wilt symptoms (1-25% of leaf area wilted) (T-test, *P=0.03). Bars show mean and standard deviation of xylem sap ABA levels, measured by GC-MS, from six plants per treatment. **B)** Stomatal conductance in tomato leaves as measured with LI-COR instrument. Conductance of plants watered with 50 or 100 μM of ABA differed from that of mock-inoculated controls at all time points (2-way ANOVA Tukey's multiple comparisons, P<0.05). Data represent four biological replicate experiments, each with three plants analyzed per treatment per time point. Bars represent standard deviation. **C)** *R. solanacearum* population sizes as quantified in tomato plant stems five days after soil-soak inoculation with *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000. *R. solanacearum* colonization was lower in ABA-treated plants at 5 dpi, which corresponds to 168 h of ABA treatment (Kruskal Wallis, 50 μM ABA, ***P<0.001; 100 μM ABA, **P<0.01). The proportion of ABA-treated plants containing detectable *R. solanacearum* cells (shown below X-axis labels) was also lower (Chi-square, P=0.0016). Dots represent *R. solanacearum* population sizes in individual plants. Black bars represent the geometric mean and standard deviation of the data. Plants with undetectable *R. solanacearum* populations were given a value of 1 for statistical analysis. Data represent three biological replicate experiments, each with 12 plants per treatment (36 total plants/treatment).

***R. solanacearum* depends on host stomatal conductance to establish infection**

We previously observed that *R. solanacearum*-infected tomatoes had reduced stomatal conductance (Lowe-Power, Khokhani et al. 2018). To test the hypothesis that the increased ABA levels and lowered stomatal conductance in infected plants also affect bacterial wilt disease development, we measured the effects of exogenous ABA treatment on stomatal conductance, transpiration rates, and *R. solanacearum* colonization of susceptible tomato plant stems. We watered tomato plants daily with 50 mL of either 100 μ M ABA, 50 μ M ABA, or water as control and measured stomatal conductance four to six hours later. After 48 h of ABA treatment, plants were drench-inoculated by adding a suspension of *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000 to the ABA treatment solutions, which we determined were neither nutritious nor cytotoxic to *R. solanacearum* (Supp. Fig. 1). Treatment with ABA reliably reduced stomatal conductance in the plants over the seven days of the experiment; 100 μ M and 50 μ M ABA treatment had similar effects (Fig. 2B).

ABA treatments continued for four days after plants were inoculated with *R. solanacearum*. At five dpi we measured *R. solanacearum* population sizes in the stems. Stems of ABA-treated plants were less frequently colonized by *R. solanacearum* than control plants (50 μ M ABA = 17% plants colonized; 100 μ M = 23% plants colonized; water control = 53% plants colonized) and they contained lower *R. solanacearum* population sizes than untreated plants (Fig. 2C). The correlation between ABA treatment, reduced stomatal conductance, and lower *R. solanacearum* colonization in tomato stems suggests that plant water movement assists *R. solanacearum* infection either at the roots or in the stem and that reducing host water flow reduces or slows *R. solanacearum* spread in the plant.

Trehalose treatment transiently improved water use efficiency in tomatoes

Tomato plants become more drought tolerant following exposure to exogenous trehalose via ABA signaling and stomatal closure (Yu, Zhao et al. 2019). Because ABA and trehalose concentrations increased in xylem sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected plants and pathogen spread depends on host xylem water transport, we asked whether exogenous trehalose could also protect plants from bacterial wilt.

We first confirmed that trehalose increases tomato drought tolerance under our experimental conditions. Two-week-old wilt-susceptible Bonny Best tomato plants were treated with 50 mL of 30 mM trehalose solution or water and then were not watered for the rest of the experiment. Trehalose-treated plants remained turgid for three days after the water-treated control plants began wilting,

showing that trehalose treatment delayed wilting from lack of water under our conditions (Fig. 3A). Quantitative mass spectrometry analysis of tomato xylem sap revealed that ABA content was 5-fold higher in trehalose-treated plants at 24 h (Fig. 3B). However, at 6 and 48 h after treatment, ABA levels were no different from those of water-treated control plants. Gravimetric assays quantifying water loss in trehalose-treated plants compared to water controls confirmed that trehalose-treated plants lose water at a slower rate due to transpiration than controls (data not shown).

Figure 3. Trehalose treatment delayed tomato wilting due to drought, likely by transiently increasing ABA content in xylem sap and by decreasing stomatal conductance and transpiration. A) Curves show how long it took plants to wilt following a single treatment with either water (blue) or 30 mM trehalose (green) and then no water for two weeks ($P=.01$, T-test of areas under the curve). Data shown represent four biological replicates totaling 38 plants per treatment. **B)** ABA concentration in trehalose treated plants (one-way ANOVA Fisher's LSD multiple comparisons to water-treated control: 6 h tre $P=.63$; 24 h tre $P<.0001$; 48 h tre $P=.76$). Water controls were collected concurrently with the treated samples and averaged. Data shown represent xylem sap samples from six plants. **C)** Transpiration and **D)** stomatal conductance were measured with LI-COR. Asterisks indicate $P>.05$, mixed-model ANOVA, Tukey's HSD. Data shown represent 20 plants/treatment. Measurements were taken 10 min after treatment with trehalose or water, then each day after that in the morning two hours after growth chamber lights went on. Shaded gray vertical bars on the

graphs represent night periods. Bars on the data points represent the standard deviation. All data were calculated from the same LICOR time points.

Next, we assessed stomatal conductance, transpiration, and photosynthesis of trehalose-treated plants. We measured tomato leaf responses with a LI-COR portable photosynthesis machine at 10 min, 6, 24, 48, and 72 h after treatment with 30mM trehalose or water. Transpiration in trehalose-treated plants was lower than in controls at 6, 24, and 48 h (Fig. 3B). Relative to untreated plants, trehalose treatment detectably reduced stomatal conductance after just 10 min, an effect that persisted for at least 6 h. By 24 h after treatment, stomatal conductance of treated plants was indistinguishable from that of water controls (Fig. 3C). Water use efficiency, the relationship between water loss and plant productivity (photosynthesis/transpiration), was temporarily improved at 6 h by trehalose treatment (Supp. Fig. 2A). Trehalose treatment did not negatively affect photosynthesis (Supp. Fig. 2B).

We found that tomato plant growth was not significantly altered by trehalose treatment and the leaf relative water content was the same in trehalose-treated and water-treated plants at 48h (Supp. Fig. 2C). Further, 1-3 days of watering with trehalose solution did not affect tomato seedling root growth *in vitro* relative to water controls (Supp. Fig. 2D). Chlorophyll content, measured by SPAD meter, was transiently lower in trehalose treated plants 2-10 days after treatment, although it eventually returned to the same levels as in untreated plants (Supp. Fig. 2E). Together, these diverse physiological and biochemical analyses showed that treating tomato plants with exogenous trehalose temporarily increased their drought tolerance, likely because trehalose increased ABA levels and ABA signaling closes stomates.

Trehalose is a potent inhibitor of bacterial wilt disease

After finding that bacterial wilt impacts host water stress physiology and that exogenous trehalose reduces stomatal conductance in tomatoes, we wondered if the decreased water movement in trehalose-treated plants would slow bacterial wilt disease development. We therefore tested the hypothesis that trehalose makes plants more wilt resistant. Forty-eight hours after plants had been treated with 30 mM trehalose solution or water, they were drench inoculated with a suspension of *R. solanacearum* bacteria. Bacterial wilt incidence and severity was reduced by 25-75% across biological replicates in the trehalose-treated plants, demonstrating that exogenous trehalose increases tomato resistance to bacterial wilt (Fig. 4A).

Figure 4. Treating plants with trehalose at the root delayed bacterial wilt symptoms and reduced *R. solanacearum* stem colonization, but only following soil inoculation. A) Wilt disease progress of cv. Bonny Best tomato plants treated with trehalose, then after 48 h soil soak inoculated with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000. Disease symptoms were rated on a 0-4 disease index scale where 0=no wilt, and 4=76-100% wilted or dead. Trehalose treatment delayed appearance of wilt symptoms ($P=.0082$, t-test comparing areas under the curves or AUC). Data represent three replicate experiments, each with 15 plants/treatment. Bars represent standard error. **B)** Bacterial population sizes were smaller in stems of trehalose-treated plants at 5-7 days after inoculation (Mann-Whitney, $P=.0009$). Data represent three biological replicates with 15 plants/day sampled/treatment; each dot represents the *R. solanacearum* population size in one plant (including plants without detectable *R. solanacearum*). Black bars represent geometric mean and standard deviation of the data. The percentage of plants that contained any detectable *R. solanacearum* cells is shown below the X-axis; the limit of detection is $\sim 10^2$ cells. Relative to controls, fewer trehalose-treated plants contained detectable *R. solanacearum* populations (Chi-square, $P=.0016$). **C)** Disease progress of plants treated with trehalose (green) or water (blue), then 48 h later inoculated by introducing *R. solanacearum* directly into the xylem through a cut leaf petiole. Trehalose treatment did not affect wilt disease development in petiole-inoculated plants ($P=.2067$, T-test of AUC). Data represent three replicate experiments, each containing 15 plants/treatment. Bars represent standard error. **D)** Disease progress of plants treated with 30 mM trehalose or water, then 120 h later inoculated with *R. solanacearum* the soil drench

method ($P=.0003$, T-test of AUC) Data represent four replicate experiments, each containing 15 plants/treatment. Bars represent standard error of the mean.

Since *R. solanacearum* can infect plants without causing visible symptoms, the absence of wilt in trehalose treated plants could indicate either the lack of disease or latent infection. To distinguish between these possibilities, we measured *R. solanacearum* colonization of tomato midstems at 5, 6, and 7 dpi. Water-treated control plants were three times more likely to contain detectable *R. solanacearum* cells than trehalose-treated plants (20.7% compared to 7.4%), and control plants contained significantly higher bacterial population sizes (Fig. 4B). This showed that trehalose treatment did not increase the frequency of latent infections but rather that *R. solanacearum* was less likely to colonize stems of trehalose treated plants. The reduced colonization frequency at this relatively early time point is consistent with the observed trehalose-associated delay in disease development.

We tested the protective limits of trehalose treatment by varying inoculation sites, treatment methods, and pathogens. Interestingly, inoculating *R. solanacearum* cells directly into the xylem through a cut petiole overwhelmed any protective benefit of trehalose treatment (Fig. 4C). This result suggests that *R. solanacearum* must overcome a trehalose-enhanced checkpoint at or in tomato roots to colonize plant stems. However, the protective effect of root drenching is enduring; trehalose treatment reduced bacterial wilt incidence even when plants were inoculated five days later (Fig. 4D).

R. solanacearum produces a trehalase enzyme and can use trehalose as a carbon source, so we wondered if treating tomato roots with trehalose nutritionally enriched the soil environment, thereby de-incentivizing *R. solanacearum* root invasion. We tested this hypothesis by inoculating trehalose-treated tomato plants with either wild-type *R. solanacearum* or a $\Delta treA$ trehalase mutant that cannot grow on trehalose (MacIntyre, Barth et al. 2019). Trehalose treatment similarly reduced disease caused by wild type and $\Delta treA$ *R. solanacearum*, so bacterial catabolism of trehalose cannot explain trehalose treatment's protective effect (Suppl. Fig. 3A).

Spraying trehalose solution onto leaves and then inoculating *R. solanacearum* by soil-soak 48 h later also did not affect bacterial wilt progress (Supp. Fig. 3B). To explore the effects of trehalose treatment on another bacterial pathogen, we dip-inoculated tomato leaves with the tomato leaf spot pathogen *Xanthomonas gardneri* 72 h after plant roots were treated with trehalose. Trehalose had no significant effect on leafspot disease severity or *X. gardneri* colonization of leaves sampled 3, 6, and 9 dpi (Supp. Fig. 3C). These experiments demonstrated that trehalose needs to be applied at the roots to protect against bacterial wilt and that trehalose might increase resistance only to *R. solanacearum*.

Exogenous trehalose increases the expression of tomato defense genes, and SA signaling may mediate its protective effect

Although trehalose treatment of roots decreased plant transpiration and stomatal conductance temporarily (6-48 h), this treatment protected plants from bacterial wilt for at least five days (Fig. 4D), suggesting that trehalose induced plant defense responses. We tested this by quantifying the effect of trehalose treatment on defense gene expression, bacterial wilt resistance of defense hormone-insensitive tomato plants, and levels of hormones and defense compounds.

To measure the effect of trehalose on defense gene expression, we extracted RNA from tomato stem sections 6 or 48 h after roots were treated with 30 mM trehalose solution or water. We measured expression of two JA-responsive genes, *PIN2* and *LOXA* (Peña-Cortés, Fisahn et al. 1995, Zhao, Thilmony et al. 2003). *PIN2* was significantly downregulated 6 h after trehalose treatment (Fig. 5A), suggesting JA levels may have been affected by trehalose treatment, while *LOXA* expression did not change after trehalose treatment. *GLUA*, *PR1A*, and *PR1B* are tomato pathogenesis-related (PR) genes induced by SA and by pathogen challenge (Joosten and De Wit 1989, Tornero, Gadea et al. 1997, Block, Schmelz et al. 2005). Both *GLUA* and *PR1A* were expressed 10-fold more in trehalose-treated plants compared to water controls 6 h after treatment (Fig. 5A) and their expression increased to over 100-fold at 48 h (Fig. 5B). *PR1B* and *OSM*, which respond to the defense hormone ethylene (ET), were also significantly upregulated 48 h after trehalose treatment (Tornero, Gadea et al. 1997, Smart, Myers et al. 2003). The ET synthesis gene *ACO5* was also 10-fold more highly expressed compared to water controls 6 and 48 h after trehalose treatment (Fig. 5A+B) (Jacobs, Milling et al. 2013). Transcripts of the ABA-responsive genes *RD22* and *DHN_TAS* were slightly but significantly upregulated 48 h after trehalose treatment, possibly in response to the increased levels of ABA in xylem sap shown in Fig. 5B (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 1993, Shinozaki, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki et al. 2003, Kissoudis, Seifi et al. 2016). Together, these qRT-PCR experiments revealed that tomato plants respond to trehalose by increasing expression of genes in JA, SA, ET, and ABA hormonal pathways involved in, among other things, biotic and abiotic responses.

Figure 5. Exogenous trehalose treatment affects expression of hormone-responsive tomato defense genes, and trehalose-mediated disease resistance is partially regulated by salicylic acid signaling.

Effects of trehalose treatment on expression of diverse defense genes in Bonny Best tomato stems, determined by qRT-PCR at **A**) 6 h and **B**) 48 h after trehalose treatment at the roots (one-sample t-test to a hypothetical mean of 1.0 where 1.0 = no difference in expression; asterisks: *, $P=0.01-0.05$; **, $P=0.001-0.01$; ***, $P=0.0001-0.001$; ****, $P<0.0001$). Expression levels are shown as fold-change relative to those in mock (water)-treated plants. Data represent three biological replicates per time point, each containing five plants per treatment. Bars represent standard deviation. **C**) Wilt disease progress following *R. solanacearum* inoculation of water- or trehalose-treated *NeverRipe* ET-insensitive tomatoes and their parent cultivar ‘Pearson’ (one-way ANOVA of Area Under the Curve or AUC; ‘Pearson’ H₂O vs. *NeverRipe* H₂O, $P=0.91$; ‘Pearson’ tre vs. *NeverRipe* tre, $P=0.98$). **D**) Wilt disease progress following *R. solanacearum* inoculation of water- or trehalose-treated SA-insensitive *NahG* over-expressing plants and their parent cv. MoneyMaker (one-way ANOVA of AUC, MoneyMaker H₂O control vs. *NahG* H₂O control, $P=0.74$; MoneyMaker tre vs. *NahG* tre, $P=0.04$). For **C**) and **D**) plants were treated at the roots with trehalose, then inoculated with *R. solanacearum* via soil drenching 48 h later. Data represent three biological replicates, each containing 15 plants/treatment (45 plants total). Bars represent standard error.

The finding that SA- and ET-responsive tomato genes were upregulated 6 and 48 h after trehalose treatment suggested that ET perception and SA responses might be necessary for trehalose-mediated increase in bacterial wilt resistance. We tested this hypothesis by treating with trehalose the roots of ET-insensitive *NeverRipe* mutant tomatoes (cv. Pearson) and SA-degrading *NahG* transgenic tomatoes (cv. Moneymaker). After 48 h, all plants were soil drench inoculated with *R. solanacearum*. As observed for cv. Bonny Best, trehalose treatment increased bacterial wilt resistance of Pearson and Moneymaker plants. Trehalose also increased bacterial wilt resistance of both the *NeverRipe* and *NahG* plants compared to untreated controls (Fig. 5C and 5D). However, trehalose-mediated bacterial wilt resistance does not require ET perception since bacterial wilt developed similarly on trehalose-treated *NeverRipe* and the parental Pearson genotype ($P < .98$). In contrast, trehalose-treated *NahG* tomatoes were significantly more resistant to bacterial wilt than trehalose-treated Moneymaker parental line ($P < .04$). These results suggest that either a lack of downstream SA responses or overaccumulation of SA degradation products contributes to the trehalose-mediated increase in bacterial wilt resistance. Alternatively, trehalose may improve resistance downstream of SA responses.

More than half of the *NahG* tomato plants displayed a transient marginal leaf necrosis phenotype following trehalose treatment (data not shown), which could have been due to increased ROS production. To test this, we infused leaves from four-week-old wild type Bonny Best, Moneymaker, or *NahG* tomato plants with water, *R. solanacearum* cells, or 30 mM trehalose solution and observed ROS production with DAB staining. Infusing leaves with trehalose did not trigger excess ROS production (Supp. Fig. 4), suggesting that another physiological effect caused the transient marginal necrosis in the *NahG* plants.

Trehalose treatment promoted accumulation of hormones and defense compounds in xylem sap

To better understand the mechanisms of trehalose-mediated wilt resistance, we measured levels of a suite of plant hormones, oxylipins, and defense-related compounds in xylem sap from infected and trehalose-treated Bonny Best tomatoes. We harvested sap from tomatoes displaying a disease index of 1 (DI=1, partially wilted, late-stage infection) and from uninoculated plants at 6, 24, and 48 h after trehalose treatment. Using targeted quantitative mass spectrometry, we measured metabolites from the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, several oxylipins from the 9-lipoxygenase and 13-lipoxygenase pathways, and other selected defense metabolites (Supplemental Table 2).

Sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected plants and trehalose-treated plants contained different levels of targeted metabolites compared to sap from healthy tomatoes. Sap collected 24 h after trehalose treatment had the highest number of enriched compounds. These were consistent with a

shock or wound response even though plants were not wounded (Vallad and Goodman 2004). Surprisingly, trehalose treatment, but not *R. solanacearum* infection, increased SA concentration at 24 h (Fig. 6A). This result could mean that trehalose plays a role in SA signaling and suggested that SA might not have a significant role in xylem sap at late-stage disease. However, benzoic acid levels did increase in xylem sap from both trehalose treated and *R. solanacearum* infected plants (Fig. 6B). Benzoic acid is a potent antimicrobial compound produced through the phenylpropanoid pathway that can be a direct precursor to SA (Chen, Zheng et al. 2009, Widhalm and Dudareva 2015). Interestingly, coumaric acid, another phenylpropanoid defense compound that *R. solanacearum* can degrade, was significantly increased in sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected plants but not in sap from trehalose treated plants (Fig. 6C) (Lowe, Ailloud et al. 2015). Levels of traumatic acid, which results from the oxidation of traumatin produced by wounded plants, were higher in sap from both *R. solanacearum*-infected plants and trehalose-treated plants at 24 h (Fig. 6D) (Zimmerman and Coudron 1979).

Figure 6. Trehalose treatment increased metabolites related to defense and wounding in tomato xylem sap. LC-MS/MS determined concentrations of hormones, oxylipins, and other metabolites in

xylem sap collected from cv. Bonny Best tomato plants that were mock-inoculated (H₂O), inoculated with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 when wilt symptoms first appeared (*Rs* DI=1), or had been treated at the roots with 30mM trehalose (Tre (6h), etc.) Differences from water-treated control plants were determined by one-way ANOVA Fisher's LSD multiple comparison test: *, *P*=.01-.05; **, *P*=.001-.01; ***, *P*=.0001-.001; ****, *P*<.0001. Data shown represent the means of samples from 4-6 individual plants. Bars indicate mean, vertical lines show standard deviation. **A)** SA=salicylic acid; **B)** BA=benzoic acid; **C)** COUMA=coumaric acid; **D)** TA= Traumatic acid; **E)** 12-OPDA= 12-oxo-8(Z),13(Z)-phytodienoic acid; **F)** JA=jasmonic acid; **G)** JA-Ile=jasmonic acid isoleucine; **H)** 9-HOT= 9(S)-hydroxy-10(E),12(Z),15(Z)-octadecatrienoic acid; **I)** 9-HOD= 9(S)-hydroxy-10(E),12(Z)-octadecadienoic acid; **J)** 13-HOD= 9(S)-hydroxy-10(E),12(Z)-octadecadienoic acid; and **K)** 13-KOD= 13-oxo-9(Z),11(E)-octadecadienoic acid.

The chemical signatures exclusively present in trehalose treated plants were the persistent increases in JA, the JA precursor 12-OPDA, and JA-Ile, the biologically active form of JA (Fig. 6E-G). This accumulation of jasmonates, together with the upregulation of JA and ET-pathway defense genes, suggested that trehalose treatment induces a systemic defense response that contributes to its protective effect (Vallad and Goodman 2004, Choudhary, Prakash et al. 2007).

Curiously, several defense-related oxylipins from the reductase and lipoxygenase branches of the LOX pathway were enriched in sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected plants and 24 h post-trehalose treatment plants (Fig. 6H-K). These oxylipins include 9(S)-hydroxy-10(E),12(Z),15(Z)-octadecatrienoic acid (9-HOT, Fig. 6H); 9(S)-hydroxy-10(E),12(Z)-octadecadienoic acid (9-HOD, Fig. 6I); 13(S)-hydroxy-9(Z),11(E)-octadecatrienoic acid (13-HOD, Fig. 6J); and 13-oxo-9(Z),11(E)-octadecadienoic acid (13-KOD, Fig. 6K). All these oxylipins have antimicrobial and signaling activity in defense against pathogens (Prost, Dhondt et al. 2005, Vicente, Cascón et al. 2012, Marcos, Izquierdo et al. 2015). Induction of these oxylipins in this study suggests involvement in resistance to bacterial wilt. Altogether, trehalose treatment had an unexpectedly large impact on xylem levels of defense metabolites, particularly jasmonates and SA, which have often been considered antagonistic signals.

Discussion

To survive drought, plants must respond to and overcome multiple adverse physiological events (Shinozaki, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki et al. 2003, Osakabe, Osakabe et al. 2014). Our stringent meta-analysis

of four different transcriptomic studies found that plants infected with *R. solanacearum* have a gene expression signature like that of water-stressed plants, suggesting that bacterial wilt disease physiologically mimics abiotic drought stress. The consistency of this response across multiple tomato cultivars suggest that this is a robust, replicable phenomenon.

Infected tomatoes slightly upregulated TPS7, (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016), suggesting this gene could be responsible for the observed increased trehalose synthesis during bacterial wilt. This gene was also upregulated 36 h after infection with *P. syringae* and silencing this gene (annotated as *SITPS3* in that study) decreased tomato trehalose content in response to the fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* and also decreased plant resistance to *B. cinerea* (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016).

In response to *R. solanacearum* infection, tomatoes downregulated multiple aquaporins, suggesting that plants may try to alter water flow during bacterial wilt. In particular, SITIP2;2 (Soly03g120470), an aquaporin that improved transpiration and yield when overexpressed in tomato plants, was notably downregulated in our meta-transcriptomic analysis (Sade, Vinocur et al. 2009). Accordingly, *Arabidopsis* PIP family aquaporin proteins were generally downregulated during drought stress (Alexandersson, Frayse et al. 2005). Tomato aquaporins are encoded by diverse families and channel other solutes in addition to water, so it would not be expected that all aquaporins play the same role during bacterial wilt (Reuscher, Akiyama et al. 2013, Patel and Mishra 2021).

An ABA-inducible dehydrin and an ABA hydroxylase gene were strongly upregulated in infected plants. In *Arabidopsis* and tomato, CYP707A ABA hydroxylases, which catalyze the first step in ABA degradation, are involved in dehydration and rehydration (Kushiro, Okamoto et al. 2004, Saito, Hirai et al. 2004, Umezawa, Okamoto et al. 2006, Ji, Kai et al. 2014). This suggests both that tomatoes tightly regulate ABA catabolism during bacterial wilt and that bacterial wilt causes water stress. Expression of TAS14, a dehydrin inducible by environmental stress and ABA, was highly upregulated in infected plants, further evidence that bacterial wilt causes drought stress (Godoy, Pardo et al. 1990). *Arabidopsis* ABA synthesis, signaling, and responsive genes were similarly affected during late-stage bacterial wilt infection (Hu, Barlet et al. 2008). Elevated ABA levels are usually associated with drought stress; together with the observation that *R. solanacearum* infection lowers plant stomatal conductance, this indicates that bacterial wilt disease significantly disrupts tomato water physiology. Further characterization of ABA-responsive networks in tomatoes could identify targets for breeding more hydraulically efficient tomatoes that are also more resistant to bacterial wilt.

Our data suggest that there might be an indirect defensive component to ABA concentration and signaling. The levels of ABA in xylem sap increased in response to both *R. solanacearum* infection and trehalose treatment, and our transcriptomic data suggest tomato plants degrade ABA during disease via an ABA hydroxylase. Although it would be interesting to test the effects of trehalose and *R. solanacearum* on tomato ABA synthesis mutants, existing ABA mutants (*flacca*, *notabilis*, *sitiens*) share a wilting phenotype due to ethylene overproduction and lack of control over stomatal closure. This physiological wilting interferes with assessing pathogen-caused wilting symptoms (Tal 1966, Thompson, Thorne et al. 2004, Kaiser, Morales et al. 2020). However, *Arabidopsis* studies also indicate that ABA is important for tomato resistance to wilt disease. Deleting several cellulose synthase (*irx*) genes increased *Arabidopsis* resistance to bacterial wilt in an ABA-dependent manner and ABA synthesis mutants were more susceptible to *R. solanacearum* (Hernández-Blanco, Feng et al. 2007). Further, ABA perception mutants are also hyper-susceptible to bacterial wilt (Zhao, Wang et al. 2019).

Treating tomato roots with ABA reduced both stomatal conductance and *R. solanacearum* colonization of stems, suggesting that *R. solanacearum* spread depends, at least in part, on active hydraulic conductance and a negative pressure gradient in host xylem. It has long been assumed that *R. solanacearum* uses the plant's own water transport to spread within its host, but this is now demonstrated experimentally. When this pathogen blocks host plant water flow, it decreases its own spread and pathogenic fitness. Interestingly, some wilt-resistant tomato varieties thrive even when they are latently infected. This supports the theory that resistant hosts can, to some extent, locally limit vascular bacterial growth enough to prevent occlusion of xylem vessels (Beattie 2011). We speculate that ancestors of *R. solanacearum* were once endophytes, but lost the ability to moderate their population growth in susceptible hosts, possibly as a result of evolutionary selection pressures imposed by agriculture, as has been proposed for *Xylella fastidiosa* (Beattie and Lindow 1995).

In our system, exogenous trehalose temporarily improved water conservation in uninfected tomatoes and delayed abiotic wilting of drought-stressed plants. This was likely because trehalose treatment temporarily reduced stomatal conductance and transpiration and increased water use efficiency. Trehalose increased ABA content in xylem sap 24 h post-treatment, and disease symptoms often occurred after ABA concentration peaked, consistent with a potential signaling role for ABA. These results confirm and extend findings that trehalose, ABA signaling, and water use interact in complex ways. Additional studies are needed to connect ABA signaling and concentration in xylem sap to downstream effects on disease resistance.

Other *Arabidopsis* and tomato trehalose/water stress studies have confirmed some of the phenotypes we observed. The *Arabidopsis* *TPS1* gene, which is responsible for maintaining T6P levels, responds to ABA and helps regulate stomatal aperture (Avonce, Leyman et al. 2004, Gomez, Gilday et al. 2010). At least two *Arabidopsis* TPP proteins (AtTPPI and AtTPPE) that are controlled by ABA-responsive transcription factors contribute to trehalose production and mitigate drought stress (Lin, Yang et al. 2019, Lin, Wang et al. 2020, Wang, Chen et al. 2020). Yu and co-workers found that applying trehalose to tomato roots improved water conservation, decreased ABA biosynthesis gene expression, increased expression of ABA-responsive genes, kept stomates closed five days after trehalose treatment without water, and increased ABA levels in leaves after 24 h (Yu, Zhao et al. 2019). Our results contrast in some ways with this paper. We found that ABA content temporarily increased in xylem sap 24 h after trehalose treatment, while stomatal conductance was reduced for less than 24 h. These differences may be explained by methodological variation: Yu and co-workers did not include non-drought stressed tomato controls, they measured ABA in leaves rather than xylem, and they measured stomatal aperture rather than conductance. We observed a lag between the stomatal conductance response in leaves and ABA concentration in stem xylem sap, leading us to hypothesize that ABA concentration in leaves would be a more biologically relevant marker for behavior of leaf stomates. It would be interesting to determine if either exogenous trehalose or ABA treatment changes trehalose concentration in tomato xylem sap.

In our system, trehalose protected plants against disease when applied via soil drenching, but not when applied as a spray. Spraying wheat leaves with trehalose did increase plant growth, improve water stress tolerance, and provide resistance to powdery mildew, a leaf disease (Reignault, Cogan et al. 2000, Renard-Merlier, Randoux et al. 2007, Smeekens 2017). Multiple applications of trehalose to leaves via spray also increased tobacco growth under nitrogen limiting conditions (Lin, Zhang et al. 2017). We found no studies demonstrating that spraying tomato leaves with trehalose promotes resistance to pathogens, although leaf infiltration provides resistance to aphid predation (Singh and Shah 2012). These findings suggest that all plants do not respond to exogenous trehalose in the leaves in the same way. Additionally, the receptors for sugar-mediated DAMP response in tomatoes are unknown; grafting experiments may offer insights into whether these are primarily expressed in the roots.

The plant hormone SA, which mediates resistance to *R. solanacearum* in many systems, is also necessary for induction of plant innate immunity and activation of systemic acquired resistance (Deslandes, Olivier et al. 2002, Hirsch, Deslandes et al. 2002, Chen, Lin et al. 2009, An and Mou 2011,

Boatwright and Pajerowska-Mukhtar 2013, Jacobs, Milling et al. 2013).. We found SA-responsive tomato defense genes were highly upregulated 6 and 48 h after trehalose treatment. These same genes are upregulated in diseased tomato plants following *R. solanacearum* soil soak infection, suggesting trehalose treatment activates SA-mediated resistance pathways that could affect *R. solanacearum* infection (Milling, Babujee et al. 2011). Expression of the SA-responsive marker gene PR1b is affected in several tomato trehalose metabolism knockdown mutants (decreased in *SITPS4*, increased in *SLTPS5* and *SITPP2*) after *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* challenge (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016), further suggesting interplay between trehalose metabolism and resistance to bacterial pathogens.

Trehalose treatment increased wilt resistance of SA-degrading *NahG* tomato plants, but not of the parental MoneyMaker cultivar. There are several possible explanations for this result. First, trehalose treatment could have increased SA levels so much that overproduction of catechol, the breakdown product of SA, contributed to resistance (Retig and Chet 1974). Second, the excess ET and JA in *NahG* plants could promote resistance to *R. solanacearum*. After infection with *P. syringae* DC3000 *NahG* tomatoes produce more ET and JA, both of which can contribute to disease resistance (Spoel, Koornneef et al. 2003, López-Gresa, Lisón et al. 2016). ET is involved in *R. solanacearum*-host interactions and ET-responsive defense genes were upregulated at 6 and 48 h post trehalose treatment. Interestingly, ET and JA signaling-responsive defense-related genes were downregulated in tomatoes with silenced *SITPS3*, 4, and 7 (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016). However, ET-insensitive *NeverRipe* tomatoes were neither more nor less resistant to *R. solanacearum* than wild type after trehalose treatment. Treatment with a biocontrol strain of the oomycete *Pythium* enhanced resistance to *R. solanacearum* in *NahG* tomatoes, but not in a JA mutant (Hase, Takahashi et al. 2008). Since the ET defense pathway appeared less relevant in our study, it may be useful to determine if JA enhances *R. solanacearum* resistance in tomatoes. Our experiments with tomato defense hormone mutants also demonstrated that trehalose treatment significantly delays disease incidence relative to water-treated control plants in multiple tomato genotypes.

Plants use hormones to fine-tune their growth, development, and defense, and xylem vessels can act as signal highways for long-distance transport of auxin, ABA, JA, and SA (Jackson 1997, Park, Lee et al. 2017). We observed increased SA concentration in xylem sap of trehalose-treated plants but not in sap from *R. solanacearum*-infected plants. This was surprising because SA signaling and concentration are integral to plant response to bacterial wilt (Jacobs, Milling et al. 2013). However, *R. solanacearum* actively degrades SA, which is toxic to the pathogen (Lowe-Power, Jacobs et al. 2016), so SA concentrations might be higher in root xylem than in stem xylem. For instance, an *Arabidopsis* mutant

impaired in secondary wall deposition had higher SA concentrations in the root and increased resistance to *R. solanacearum* infection, possibly through vascular immunity (Denancé, Ranocha et al. 2013). Taken together, the increased SA levels in xylem sap, the increased expression of SA-dependent defense genes, and the trehalose-dependent *NahG* resistance phenotype suggest that the protective effect of trehalose requires systemic resistance induced via SA.

Unexpectedly, jasmonate levels were elevated in trehalose-treated plants but not in *R. solanacearum*-infected plants. As discussed earlier, there is some evidence that JA can play a role in *R. solanacearum* defense. Genes related to ET, JA, and auxin signaling were upregulated in resistant LS-89 tomato seedlings one day after stem inoculation with *R. solanacearum* (Ishihara, Mitsuhashi et al. 2012). The higher concentration of jasmonates in trehalose-treated xylem sap may have contributed to resistance. This hypothesis could be tested using JA-deficient tomato mutants.

SA- and JA-mediated plant defense responses are often antagonistic (Glazebrook 2005, Derksen, Rampitsch et al. 2013). Our gene expression analysis followed this pattern; at 6 h post trehalose treatment JA-responsive *pin2* was downregulated, while SA-responsive *PR1b* and *gluA* were upregulated. Roots of wilt resistant Hawaii7996 tomatoes displayed a similar gene expression profile 24 h after *R. solanacearum* infection (French, Kim et al. 2018). Despite the antagonism suggested by the gene expression data, the concentrations of SA, JA, and the JA precursor 12-OPDA all increased in trehalose-treated plants at 24 h. In maize, levels of OPDA and JA are irrelevant for the classic SA/JA-Ile antagonism and 12-OPDA and SA are co-regulated (Wang, Borrego et al. 2020). Infusing maize xylem sap with 12-OPDA increased resistance to the fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum graminicola* while infusing similar concentrations of JA increased susceptibility. Disease experiments with JA-insensitive tomato mutants could determine if 12-OPDA or JA contribute to resistance and define their relationship to SA signaling.

JA signaling also induces leaf senescence, and chlorosis is associated with increased JA production (He, Fukushige et al. 2002). Consistent with this, trehalose treatment significantly reduced chlorophyll content 2-10 days post-treatment, which correlated with the increased JA production in trehalose-treated plants. Similarly, applying 5 mM trehalose to soybean roots did not affect JA concentration, but it did decrease chlorophyll content at ten days (Asaf, Khan et al. 2017).

Trehalose treatment and *R. solanacearum* infection both increased xylem sap levels of several antimicrobial compounds, notably phenolics. *R. solanacearum* requires at least two multi-drug efflux pumps and a hydroxycinnamic acid degradation pathway for full virulence and fitness, showing that

antimicrobials, including phenolics, protect tomato plants from bacterial wilt (Brown, Swanson et al. 2007, Lowe, Ailloud et al. 2015). Plants have specialized cells that store and release phenolics into the xylem as part of their defense response (Beckman 2000), and our data indicate that trehalose treatment and *R. solanacearum* infection both induce this release.

Levels of the oxylipins 9-HOT, 9-HOD, 13-HOD, and 13-KOD increased in sap from both *R. solanacearum*-infected plants and trehalose-treated plants 24 h after treatment. These metabolites, which accumulate in wounded maize, bean, and *Arabidopsis*, can be potent inducers of defense gene response (Alméras, Stolz et al. 2003, Walters, Cowley et al. 2006, He, Borrego et al. 2020). Further, these oxylipins have direct antimicrobial activity against fungal and bacterial pathogens (Prost, Dhondt et al. 2005). The data generated by this study deserve further analysis since little is known about oxylipin signatures during bacterial wilt or if oxylipins are toxic to *R. solanacearum*. However, 9-LOX-derived oxylipins, including 9-HOT and 9-HOD, increase in tobacco leaves inoculated with *R. solanacearum* GM100, which triggers an intense defensive hypersensitive response (HR) on tobacco (Montillet, Agnel et al. 2002). We speculate that the presence of related oxylipins in our study may indicate vascular HR. It would be interesting to see if defense compound concentration changed in the xylem sap of resistant tomatoes challenged with *R. solanacearum*.

We propose that tomato plants activated induced systemic resistance because they recognized trehalose as an endogenous (self-derived) damage associated molecular pattern or DAMP (Tanaka and Heil 2021). DAMPs are products released during pathogen invasion or wounding that alert the plant to an attack (Ranf, Eschen-Lippold et al. 2011, De Lorenzo, Ferrari et al. 2018, Hou, Liu et al. 2019). A DAMP can be almost anything that is usually intracellular like DNA, sugars, or NAD (indicating cellular leakage), or products of lignin and cellulose degradation (indicating mechanical or enzymatic disruption of plant cell walls). Plant systemic resistance response to DAMPs, mechanical wounding, or pathogen challenge typically involves accumulation of SA, JA, and defense oxylipins, and increased expression of SA, JA, and ET-responsive defense genes (Vallad and Goodman 2004, Choudhary, Prakash et al. 2007, Savatin, Gramegna et al. 2014, Wang, Borrego et al. 2020). Consistent with this definition, we found that treating tomato roots with trehalose increased SA, JA, and defense oxylipins, and upregulated SA, JA, and ET defense genes. Our finding adds to the growing evidence that sugars, specifically trehalose, contribute to plant innate immunity (Bolouri Moghaddam and Van den Ende 2012).

Could treating roots with compatible solutes like trehalose in the field provide temporary resilience until disease or drought pressure have passed? Other chemical inducers of plant defense

responses can reduce bacterial wilt. Acibenzolar-S-methyl (Actigard) and DL-3-aminobutyric acid (BABA) both lowered wilt disease incidence in greenhouse and field by promoting defense gene expression and defense compound production, respectively (Jakab, Cottier et al. 2001, Pradhanang, Ji et al. 2005, Hassan and Abo-Elyousr 2013). Additionally, growing tomatoes hydroponically with SA and JA increased defense enzyme production and reduced bacterial wilt incidence (Mandal, Kar et al. 2013). Finally, exogenous L-histidine induced resistance to bacterial wilt in an ET-dependent manner in *Arabidopsis* and tomato (Seo, Nakaho et al. 2016). Further studies are needed to know if treating tomatoes with exogenous trehalose could be a practical strategy for bacterial wilt management.

R. solanacearum GMI1000 produces and secretes abundant amounts of a trehalase (TreA) during tomato infection. Trehalose is not an important nutrient for the pathogen but the ability to degrade trehalose contributes to bacterial fitness and virulence by an unknown mechanism (Jacobs, Babujee et al. 2012, Hamilton, Steidl et al. 2021). Interestingly, treating plants with the trehalase inhibitor Validamycin A increased bacterial wilt resistance (Ishikawa, Fujimori et al. 1996). We previously showed that the trehalose in xylem sap of *R. solanacearum*-infected tomato plants is produced by the plant (MacIntyre, Barth et al. 2019). Could *R. solanacearum* secrete trehalase to counter the effects of plant-produced trehalose?

Breaking down plant-produced trehalose could benefit *R. solanacearum* in several ways. First, the pathogen may degrade xylem sap trehalose in order to reduce host plant defenses by removing this potential DAMP. Second, as discussed above, trehalose reduces tomato transpiration, and transpiration helps *R. solanacearum* spread and colonize host xylem. *R. solanacearum* may degrade trehalose to maintain this disseminating sap flow by preventing trehalose-mediated stomatal closing. Third, *R. solanacearum* could degrade trehalose to interfere with the trehalose-T6P signaling that plants use to fine-tune their sucrose to starch ratios. Trehalose degradation could thus indirectly increase the amount of available sucrose, which is a key carbon source fueling *R. solanacearum* growth in xylem (Jacobs, Babujee et al. 2012, Hamilton, Steidl et al. 2021). The fact that the *R. solanacearum* effector RipTPS is a functional trehalose-6-phosphate synthase is consistent with the hypothesis that this pathogen benefits from manipulating host plant trehalose metabolism (Poueymiro et al., 2014). Additional experiments are needed to test these non-exclusive hypotheses about how trehalase benefits *R. solanacearum*.

Conclusion

This study argues that trehalose is present in xylem sap of *R. solanacearum*-infected tomatoes as a response to wilt disease-mediated water stress. Both trehalose levels and the expression of water stress genes changed in response to *R. solanacearum* infection. Further, ABA content, an indicator of drought status, increased in xylem sap of diseased plants. We confirmed the link between trehalose and ABA signaling and drought stress in plants by demonstrating that trehalose treated plants temporarily reduce stomatal conductance, contain more ABA in their xylem sap, and are more drought tolerant.

When applied to plant roots, trehalose reduced bacterial wilt incidence and reduced host xylem conductance, which aids bacterial colonization. SA-responsive defense genes were upregulated in trehalose-treated plants, SA non-responsive *NahG* plants were more resistant to *R. solanacearum* after trehalose treatment, and SA concentration transiently spiked in xylem sap from treated plants. JA, other oxylipins, and many antimicrobial compounds also increased in xylem sap of trehalose-treated plants. Taken together, these results suggest that plants perceive trehalose as a DAMP and respond with systemic disease resistance. In turn, *R. solanacearum* degrades trehalose as a counter-defense.

Methods

Total RNA extraction and RNA-seq sample preparation | RNA extraction and RNA-seq sample preparation for the transcriptomic studies were performed as described (Khokhani, Lowe-Power et al. 2017, French, Kim et al. 2018).

RNA-seq data analysis | Illumina HiSeq2500 paired-end 100-bp; Illumina HiSeq2500 paired-end 150 bp and Illumina HiSeq2000 paired-end 100-bp RNA sequencing were performed on the 18, 6, and 12 samples from three independent studies (two previously unpublished [Studies A+B], and [Study C] French, Kim et al. 2018). RNA-sequencing data were analyzed through the same analysis pipeline. Read quality was controlled using FastQC version 0.11.9 (Andrews). Reads were trimmed to remove low-quality sequences and the Illumina sequencing adaptors (TruSeq3-PE-2) using Trimmomatic version 0.39 (Bolger, Lohse et al. 2014). After trimming and mapping, a total of 930,004,276, 135,532,392, and 103,831,164 paired-end reads were generated for Studies A, B, and C, respectively. Reads for each sample were mapped to the ITAG4.0 *S. lycopersicum* reference genome using STAR version 2.7.4.a (Dobin, Davis et al. 2012). Library type was set to strand-specific (reverse) for Study A and Study B, and set to no-stranded in Study C. Gene expression was measured as the total number of reads for each sample uniquely mapped to the reference using HTSeq version 0.11.1 and samtools version 1.10 (Li,

Handsaker et al. 2009, Anders, Pyl et al. 2014). Each sample averaged about 12, 9, and 4 million uniquely aligned reads for Studies A, B, and C, respectively. “EdgeR” package version 3.28.0 was used to filter for low counts such that at least three of the samples in each study had at least three counts per million, resulting in a total of 19123, 18193, and 18917 genes remaining for Studies A, B, and C, respectively (Robinson, McCarthy et al. 2009).

Differential gene expression within each study was conducted using the DESeq2 package Bioconductor version 3.11 and a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate multiple testing correction of $P=.05$ (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995, Love, Huber et al. 2014). Meta-transcriptomic analysis was performed using the metaRNASeq package version 1.0, and differential gene expression within the meta-analysis was determined with the DESeq2 results, Fisher, and inverse normal techniques with a $P=.05$, as described (Rau, Marot et al. 2014). Differentially expressed genes were filtered using a log2 fold change $>|0.585|$ (corresponds to a fold change of $|1.5|$).

Gene Ontology analysis was performed using the ShinyGO analysis tool version 0.61, agriGO version 2.0, and PANTHER GO analysis tool version 14 (Tian, Liu et al. 2017, Mi, Muruganujan et al. 2018, Ge, Jung et al. 2019). *Arabidopsis thaliana* homologs of *S. lycopersicum* genes coding for transcription factors were identified using Phytozome platform version 12.1 (Goodstein, Shu et al. 2011). Heatmaps were visualized with R software version 3.6.1 package “pheatmap” version 1.0.12 (R, Kolde 2019). Boxplots were visualized using package “ggplot2” (Wickham 2016).

Candidate TPS and TPP proteins were identified in the most recent annotations of the tomato (cv. ‘Heinz 1706’) genome available on NCBI and the Sol Genomics Network (ITAG4.0, <https://solgenomics.net/tools/blast/>, accessed 03/2020) using BLASTP with standard settings (Sato, Tabata et al. 2012). BLASTP protein sequences were derived from the *E. coli* K-12 *otsA* and *otsB* proteins, as well as *Arabidopsis* trehalose-related proteins (Leyman, Van Dijk et al. 2001, Vandesteene, Ramon et al. 2010, Vandesteene, Lopez-Galvis et al. 2012). Tomato cv. Heinz 1706 genome contained ten TPS genes, eight TPP genes, and one trehalase gene. These genes were previously identified as summarized (Zhang, Hong et al. 2016). Tomato ABA-related, LEA, and aquaporin genes were identified by their PANTHER annotation, and many were previously annotated (Ma, Szostkiewicz et al. 2009, Reuscher, Akiyama et al. 2013).

The transcriptomic studies were performed and labeled as follows: S1, roots of wilt-susceptible West Virginia 700 tomato plants (WV) sampled 24 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain K60; S2,

roots of WV sampled 48 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain K60; S3, seedling roots of wilt-susceptible cv. Bonny Best (BB) sampled 24 h after infection with *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000; S4, mid-stems of BB sampled 72 h after inoculation with *R. solanacearum* strain GMI1000.

Hormone analysis leaf and sap collection | For the hormone analysis, xylem sap was harvested and collected as described (Lowe-Power, Hendrich et al. 2018). Unwounded three-week-old wilt-susceptible Bonny Best plants were inoculated with wild-type *R. solanacearum* or water via naturalistic soil soak in which 50 mL of 1×10^8 CFU/mL were poured into a pot containing one plant. Plants were harvested when they reached DI=1 (1-25% of leaf area wilted). Trehalose-treated plants were treated with 50 mL of 30 mM trehalose solution or water, then harvested 6, 24, or 48 h later. Xylem sap was harvested by detopping plants 1 cm above the cotyledon and collecting the exuded sap over 30 minutes. The sap that seeped within the first five minutes was discarded to reduce phloem contamination. Sap was kept on ice. Bacteria were removed from sap via chilled centrifugation, and sap was subsequently flash frozen. Stems were destructively sampled to quantify *R. solanacearum* colonization as CFU/g stem by serial dilution plating. Xylem sap was normalized by sap volume (250-500 μ L) and concentration of bacteria in the stem. Each test group contained six technical replicates (individual plants).

For metabolite analysis of sap, 95 μ L of xylem sap was mixed with 5 μ L of 50 μ M of internal standard consisting of; d-ABA ($[^2\text{H}_6]$ (+)-*cis*, *trans*-abscisic acid, Olchem, Olomouc, Czech Republic), d-CA (d_7 -CA, *trans*-cinnamic acid), d-IAA ($[^2\text{H}_5]$ indole-3-acetic acid, Olchem), d-JA ($2,4,4\text{-d}_3$; acetyl-2,2- d_2 jasmonic acid, CDN Isotopes, Pointe Claire, Quebec, Canada), and d-SA (d_6 -SA; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Samples were transferred into autosampler vials for LC-MS/MS analysis. Authentic analytical external standards for each metabolite were used to identify select ions and retention times for each metabolite (Supplemental Table 3). The simultaneous detection of several phytohormones used the following methods with modifications (Müller and Munné-Bosch 2011). We used an Ascentis Express C-18 Column (3 cm $\times$ 2.1 mm, 2.7 μ m) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) connected to an API 3200 LC-MS/MS (Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) using electrospray ionization in negative mode with multiple reaction monitoring. The injection volume was 10 μ L and had a 500 μ L min^{-1} mobile phase consisting of Solution A (0.2% acetic acid in water) and Solution B (0.2% acetic acid in acetonitrile) with a gradient consisting of (time – %B): 0.5 – 10%, 1.0 – 20%, 21.0 – 70%, 24.6 – 100%, 24.8 – 10%, 29 – stop. After integration with Analyst v1.6.3 (Sciex), the concentration of endogenous metabolites was determined by comparing their peak areas to those of isotopically labeled internal standards using calculated response factors.

Stomatal conductance, transpiration, and photosynthesis measurements with LI-COR | To assess the effect of trehalose treatment on tomato stomatal conductance (g_s), transpiration (E), and photosynthesis (A_{net}), we used a LI-COR portable photosynthesis system (model LI-6400XT, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) equipped with a CO₂-controller and a red-blue LED chamber (model LI-6400-02b). The ambient CO₂ concentration was set to 400 ppm, flow rate to 300 $\mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$, and the chamber light source set at an intensity of 1200 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for all measurements. Fifteen-day-old Bonny Best plants were treated with 50 mL of trehalose solution or water, then watered every day after for the duration of the experiment. LI-COR measurements were taken at 10min, 6 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h post trehalose treatment. The experiment was repeated twice with ten plants per treatment.

***R. solanacearum* culture conditions** | *R. solanacearum* strains were cultured from water stocks or -80 °C glycerol stocks on TZC plates containing casamino acids, peptone, glucose, and tetrazolium chloride, and incubated at 28 °C for 48 h (Hendrick and Sequeira 1984). *Rs ΔtreA* cultures were supplemented with 20 mg L⁻¹ spectinomycin. The kanamycin-resistant variant of wild-type GMI1000 was supplemented with 25 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin on plates and in liquid culture. Overnight cultures of *R. solanacearum* were grown at 28 °C in CPG in a shaking incubator.

ABA treatments | We assessed the effect of ABA treatment on two-week-old tomato stomatal conductance and subsequent bacterial wilt incidence. ABA was prepared at a stock concentration of 50mg/mL in DMSO. The water + DMSO control plants were watered daily with 50 mL of water supplemented with the volume of DMSO used to make the 100 μM ABA solution. The ABA-treated plants were watered daily with 50 mL of 50 or 100 μM ABA solution in water. After 48 h of ABA treatment, plants were soil drenched with 50 mL of a 1×10^8 CFU/mL of *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 with kanamycin resistance (to aid in recovery from the plant) (Lowe, Ailloud et al. 2015). Bacteria were resuspended at the desired O.D. in water + DMSO or the ABA solutions, so plants were inoculated and ABA treated concurrently. Tomatoes were destructively sampled five days post-infection (after 168 h of ABA treatment) to assess bacterial colonization of stems. Stomatal conductance, transpiration, and photosynthesis measurements were taken for the entirety of the experiment with the LI-COR as described 4-6 h after watering/ABA treating in the morning, which was the length of time it took ABA treatment to affect conductance.

We assessed ABA toxicity to *R. solanacearum* by supplementing CPG broth with 50-100 μM ABA or the equivalent volume of DMSO, and growing *R. solanacearum* cells in this media for 48 h. Cells were

grown in a shaking 96 well plate at 28°C in a BioTek Synergy HT plate reader, which measured Abs₆₀₀ every half hour. The experiment was performed once with eight technical replicates per treatment.

Plant assays with *R. solanacearum* | Plant growth conditions, colonization, and disease curve assays were performed as described (Khokhani, Tuan et al. 2018, MacIntyre, Barth et al. 2019). All plant assays were grown on 12 h light/dark cycles at 28 °C with ~60% relative humidity unless stated otherwise. For the disease progress assays with trehalose treatments, fifteen-day old Bonny Best tomatoes were treated with either water (control) or 50 mL of 30 mM trehalose solution. Plants were not watered 24 h post-treatment per standard procedure for soil soak inoculation assays. At 48 h post-treatment plants were soil drenched with 50 mL of 1x10⁸ CFU/mL of wild type *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 (or $\Delta treA$ for the trehalose treatment experiments with this mutant). For the petiole inoculations, 3-week-old tomatoes were treated with trehalose or water as described above; after 48 h a true leaf was removed, and 2000 wild type GMI1000 cells in 10 μ L of water were pipetted onto the cut stem. To assess the effect of spraying trehalose on bacterial wilt incidence, fifteen-day-old Bonny Best plants were either treated with 30 mM trehalose solution through the soil as described or leaves were sprayed with trehalose solution (or water) to run-off. For all assays, plants were inoculated with *R. solanacearum* 48 h post trehalose root or leaf treatment.

After treatments and inoculations, all plants in disease assays were watered on alternating days post-inoculation with half-strength Hoagland's solution. Disease assay plants were rated daily on a 0-4 disease index scale where 0= no symptoms, 1=1-25% of leaf area wilted, and 4=76-100% of leaf area wilted or dead. All disease curves represent four biological replicates, each containing 15 plants/treatment.

To assess *R. solanacearum* colonization of trehalose treated plants, fifteen-day-old Bonny Best plants were treated with 50 mL 30 mM trehalose solution or water. 48 h post-treatment, plants were inoculated with 50 mL of 1x10⁸ CFU/mL wild type *R. solanacearum* GMI100. At 5, 6, and 7 dpi plant stems were destructively sampled 1 cm above the cotyledon, ground, and serially dilution plated.

NahG salicylic insensitive tomato seeds ('Moneymaker' background) and *NeverRipe* ET-insensitive seeds ('Pearson' background) were propagated in our lab (Lanahan, Yen et al. 1994, Brading, Hammond-Kosack et al. 2000). Disease assays with trehalose-treated hormone insensitive plants were as described above.

Water conservation | Two-week-old Bonny Best plants grown as described above were treated with trehalose or water. Water was subsequently withheld and plants were assessed for wilting symptoms, using the same visual index scale as for disease progress assays. The experiment included four biological replicates, each with ten plants per treatment.

QRT-PCR measurement of tomato trehalose and defense gene expression | JA, SA, and ET defense gene primers were previously characterized in tomato *R. solanacearum* response (Supplemental Table 1) (Milling, Babujee et al. 2011). The *rd22* and *dhn_tas* ABA-responsive primers were previously described (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 1993, Kissoudis, Seifi et al. 2016). *ACTIN* and *DNAJ* primers served as our constitutively expressed normalizing genes.

To measure defense gene expression, two-week-old Bonny Best tomatoes were treated with 50 mL of 30 mM trehalose or water. 100 mg of stem tissue was harvested 6 or 48 h post-treatment, then flash frozen. For all samples, stems were homogenized for a minute in a Powerlyzer (Qiagen) at room temperature in 450 µL of Buffer RLT from the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA extraction proceeded as specified in the kit protocol with off-column DNase I treatment using a DNA-free kit (Thermo-Fisher). RNA quality and quantity were assessed with a NanoDrop (Thermo-Fisher) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen). Lack of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed with PCR of no reverse transcriptase controls. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed in 10 µL reactions with EvaGreen Mastermix (Biotium) using kit-specified reaction parameters in a Quant Studio 5 Real-Time PCR machine (ThermoFisher Scientific). Averaged actin and *dnaJ* values were used as the stably expressed normalization genes. Fold change values were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method as described (Milling, Babujee et al. 2011). The data are presented as fold change in gene expression compared to mock-inoculated controls and represent 16 plants per treatment over four biological replicates.

Relative water content | To assess the water status and osmotic adjustment of tomato plants treated with trehalose, we measured relative water content (RWC) in leaves. This directly measures cellular hydration. Three-week-old Bonny Best tomato plants were treated with 50 mL of water or 30 mM trehalose solution. 48 h post-treatment, leaves were excised at the petiole and weighed for fresh weight. Leaves were then rehydrated by floating on distilled water in closed petri dishes for 4 h, at which time they were blotted, and their turgid weight was assessed. Leaves were then thoroughly dried in a food dehydrator oven for 24 h, and dry weight was evaluated. RWC was calculated as follows: % RWC = [(Leaf fresh weight – dry leaf weight)/(turgid leaf weight-leaf dry weight)] x 100 (Barrs and

Weatherley 1962). The data represent two biological replicates with five technical reps (leaf from an individual plant) per treatment.

Root length assays | Root length assays to quantify trehalose treatment effect on root length were performed as described with modification (Tran, MacIntyre et al. 2016). Tomato seeds were sterilized in 10% bleach for 10 min, then 95% ethanol for 10 min, then washed five times with sterile water and germinated in the dark on 1% water agar plates at room temperature. Four-day-old tomato seedlings were transferred to germination pouches (Mega International, Newport, MN) then watered with either 10 mL of water or 30 mM trehalose solution and incubated in a 28°C growth chamber. Following the initial trehalose treatment, pouches were kept moist as needed with sterile water and imaged ten days post-treatment. This experiment was performed three times with ten tomato seedlings/pouch/treatment. New root growth was calculated as % new root growth= (new growth/total root length) x 100.

Chlorophyll determination | To measure the amount of chlorophyll in tomatoes, we used a SPAD-502 meter (Konica Minolta, Inc.) (Ling, Huang et al. 2011). Readings were performed on the first true leaves of two-week-old Bonny Best plants treated with 50 mL of a 30 mM trehalose solution or water. The data represent two biological replicates with ten plants per treatment per replicate.

Bacterial leaf spot of tomato inoculations | *Xanthomonas gardneri* culture conditions, infection, and colonization analysis were as described (Potnis, Soto-Arias et al. 2014). *X. gardneri* strains were cultured on nutrient agar plates (Millipore-Sigma) supplemented with nalidixic acid (50 µg/mL) at 28°C. Inoculum was grown for 48 h in a shaking incubator at 28°C in nutrient broth supplemented with nalidixic acid. The inoculum was adjusted to OD₆₀₀=0.3 and diluted 1:100 in 400 mL sterile water with 75 µL Silwet (Fisher Scientific). Four-week-old Bonny Best tomato plants that were treated with water or 50 mL of 30 mM trehalose solution 72 h previously were dip-inoculated for 30 s in the inoculum. Plants were incubated in high humidity conditions (85-90%) in plastic containers in the growth chamber for 48 h. After 48 h, plants were moved to low humidity conditions during the day (taken out of containers, 45-60%) and high humidity conditions at night on a 12 h cycle. Bacterial spot symptoms appeared 5-6 days post-inoculation. Leaf tissue from individual plants was sampled at 3, 6, and 9 days post-inoculation. Two 2 cm² portions of leaf were sampled from each plant, ground in a Powerlyzer (Qiagen) in water, and serially diluted and enumerated on nutrient agar + nalidixic acid. The data represent three biological replicates with 12 technical reps/treatment/timepoint.

DAB staining tomato leaves for ROS production | Tomato leaves were stained with 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB, Sigma-Aldrich) as described (Flores-Cruz and Allen 2009). Leaves from 4-5-week-old Bonny Best tomato plants were infused with water, 30 mM of trehalose solution, or 1×10^9 CFU/mL *R. solanacearum* cells by pricking with a needle then flushing the apoplast with the solution. Plants were returned to the growth chamber overnight. At 24 h, leaves were cut and placed in 0.1 mg/mL DAB solution prepared at pH 3.8 at room temperature (Thordal-Christensen, Zhang et al. 1997). Containers holding the stain and leaves were wrapped in aluminum foil to exclude light and incubated for 8 h at room temperature with gentle shaking. At 8 h, leaves were bleached by boiling in 95% ethanol for 10 min, then further cleared with overnight incubation in 70% ethanol before imaging. These experiments were performed three times with leaves from four individual tomato plants per treatment.

Statistical Analyses | Statistical analyses and graphs were generated with GraphPad Prism 8.

Acknowledgments: Thanks to Dr. Raka Mitra (Carleton College) for manuscript review and valuable discussions. Thank you to Dr. Eric Kruger for the use of equipment and Dr. Kim Cowles for *X. gardneri* cultures and technical advice. This research was supported by a USDA-NIFA Predoctoral Fellowship to AMM and by the University of Wisconsin-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences.

Captions for Supplemental Materials

Supplemental Table 1. Strains and primers used in this study

Supplemental Table 2. Concentration of hormones, oxylipins, and phenylpropanoids in tomato xylem sap following various treatments

Supplemental Table 3. Metabolite key for hormone analysis

Supplemental Figure 1. ABA and DMSO are not toxic to Rs. Growth of Rs cultured in rich CPG broth supplemented with ABA in DMSO, or water with DMSO because ABA stock solutions were dissolved in DMSO (100 μ M treatments contained 0.1 μ L of either DMSO or ABA stock solution, and 50 μ M treatments contained 0.05 μ L DMSO or ABA stock solution) (ANOVA Area Under Curve/AUC, Fisher's LSD multiple comparisons to CPG control, 100 μ M DMSO, $P=0.55$; 50 μ M DMSO, $P=0.53$; 100 μ M ABA, $P=0.67$;

50 μ M ABA, $P=.45$). Growth was measured spectrophotometrically using a Bio-Tek plate reader; the data represent eight technical reps/treatment. The bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Supplemental Figure 2. Trehalose treatment temporarily improves water efficiency without affecting photosynthesis; trehalose treatment does not affect root growth and relative water content of leaves but lowers chlorophyll concentration. A) Water efficiency, measured with a LI-COR as photosynthetic rate/transpiration, in trehalose treated plants compared to water only controls (t-test, $P<0.5$). **B)** Photosynthesis, measured as A_{net} , in trehalose treated plants (Mixed model ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, $P>.05$). The water efficiency and photosynthetic data represent 20 plants/treatment. LI-COR measurements were taken ~10 min after treatment and then in the morning every time point after. Shaded bars on the graphs represent night periods. All data were calculated from the same LICOR time points. The bars on the data points represent the standard deviation. **C)** Relative water content of leaves was calculated from the fresh weight, hydrated weight, and dry weight of leaves from water control or trehalose treated BB tomatoes (t-test, $P=.14$). The data represent two biological replicates with five technical replicates (leaves)/treatment. The bars represent the standard deviation. **D)** 'Bonny Best' tomato seedlings were placed in root growth pouches, watered with 10mL of either water or trehalose for one day, then watered as needed for ten days. Root length was measured after ten days (t-test, $P=.31$). The data represent three biological replicates with ten roots/treatment. Percent of new root growth was calculated as %new root growth= (new growth/total root length)*100. The bars represent the standard deviation. **E)** SPAD meter measurements of chlorophyll content in leaves following trehalose treatment (2-way ANOVA Fishers LSD multiple comparison, * = $P<0.5$ or lower). The bars represent the standard deviation.

Supplemental Figure 3. Trehalose treatment does not nutritionally enrich the soil environment for *R. solanacearum*, and its protection is limited to root application. A) Disease progress curve of plants treated with trehalose or water, then infected with either wild-type *Rs* or a $\Delta treA$ mutant unable to catabolize trehalose (one-way ANOVA of areas under the curve, WT H₂O vs. WT tre, $P=.0008$, $\Delta treA$ H₂O vs. $\Delta treA$ tre, $P=.017$). The data represent three bioreps each containing 13-15 plants per treatment. The bars represent the standard error. **B)** Disease development in plants sprayed once with 30 mM trehalose or water, and then soil-soak inoculated with *Rs* 48 h later (ANOVA of AUC, Fisher's LSD multiple comparisons to H₂O soil, H₂O spray, $P=.67$; tre spray, $P=.63$; tre soil, $P=.63$). The data represent three biological replicates each containing fifteen plants per treatment. The bars represent the standard error. **C)** Colonization of tomato leaves by the bacterial leaf spot pathogen *Xanthomonas gardneri*; plants were

dip-inoculated with *X. gardneri* 72 h after root treatment with water or 30 mM trehalose (Mann-Whitney, day 3, $P=.06$; day 6, $P=.61$; day 9, $P=.08$). The data represent three biological replicates with twelve plants/treatment/timepoint. The graph displays the geometric means; bars indicate the geometric standard deviation of the data.

Supplemental Figure 4. Infusing leaves with trehalose did not trigger ROS production in ‘Bonny Best’ tomato leaves. DAB staining of ‘Bonny best’ tomato leaves infused with water, 10^9 CFU/mL *Rs*, or 30 mM trehalose solution to assess the effect of trehalose treatment on ROS production. The data represent three biological replicates, with four plants per biological replicate per treatment. Photos are representative samples and images were uniformly sharpened 25% to increase contrast.

References

- Abd El-Rahim, M. F., G. M. Fahmy and Z. M. Fahmy (1998). "Alterations in transpiration and stem vascular tissues of two maize cultivars under conditions of water stress and late wilt disease." Plant Pathology **47**(2): 216-223.
- Alexandersson, E., L. Frayse, S. Sjövall-Larsen, S. Gustavsson, M. Fellert, M. Karlsson, U. Johanson and P. Kjellbom (2005). "Whole gene family expression and drought stress regulation of aquaporins." Plant Mol Biol **59**(3): 469-484.
- Alméras, E., S. Stolz, S. Vollenweider, P. Reymond, L. Mène-Saffrané and E. E. Farmer (2003). "Reactive electrophile species activate defense gene expression in *Arabidopsis*." The Plant Journal **34**(2): 205-216.
- Álvarez, B., E. G. Bosca and M. M. López (2010). "On the life of *Ralstonia solanacearum*, a destructive bacterial plant pathogen." Technology and Education Topics in Applied Microbiology and Microbial Biotechnology: 267-279.
- An, C. and Z. Mou (2011). "Salicylic acid and its function in plant immunity." Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **53**(6): 412-428.
- Anders, S., P. T. Pyl and W. Huber (2014). "HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data." Bioinformatics **31**(2): 166-169.
- Andrews, S. FastQC A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data, Babraham Bioinformatics.
- Asaf, S., A. L. Khan, M. A. Khan, Q. M. Imran, B.-W. Yun and I.-J. Lee (2017). "Osmoprotective functions conferred to soybean plants via inoculation with *Sphingomonas* sp. *LK11* and exogenous trehalose." Microbiological Research **205**: 135-145.
- Avonce, N., B. Leyman, J. O. Mascorro-Gallardo, P. Van Dijck, J. M. Thevelein and G. Iturriaga (2004). "The *Arabidopsis* trehalose-6-P synthase AtTPS1 gene is a regulator of glucose, abscisic acid, and stress signaling." Plant Physiology **136**(3): 3649-3659.
- Bae, H., E. Herman, B. Bailey, H.-J. Bae and R. Sicher (2005). "Exogenous trehalose alters *Arabidopsis* transcripts involved in cell wall modification, abiotic stress, nitrogen metabolism, and plant defense." Physiologia Plantarum **125**(1): 114-126.
- Barrs, H. D. and P. E. Weatherley (1962). "A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves." Australian Journal of Biological Sciences **15**(3): 413-428.
- Beattie, G. A. (2011). "Water relations in the interaction of foliar bacterial pathogens with plants." Annual Review of Phytopathology **49**(1): 533-555.
- Beattie, G. A. and S. E. Lindow (1995). "The secret life of foliar bacterial pathogens on leaves." Annual Review of Phytopathology **33**: 145-172.
- Beckman, C. H. (2000). "Phenolic-storing cells: keys to programmed cell death and periderm formation in wilt disease resistance and in general defence responses in plants?" Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology **57**(3): 101-110.
- Benjamini, Y. and Y. Hochberg (1995). "Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) **57**(1): 289-300.
- Bian, C., Y. Duan, J. Wang, Q. Xiu, J. Wang, Y. Hou, X. Song and M. Zhou (2020). "Validamycin A induces broad-spectrum resistance involving salicylic acid and jasmonic acid/ethylene signaling pathways." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **33**(12): 1424-1437.
- Block, A., E. Schmelz, P. J. O'Donnell, J. B. Jones and H. J. Klee (2005). "Systemic acquired tolerance to virulent bacterial pathogens in tomato." Plant Physiology **138**(3): 1481-1490.
- Boatwright, J. L. and K. Pajerowska-Mukhtar (2013). "Salicylic acid: an old hormone up to new tricks." Molecular Plant Pathology **14**(6): 623-634.

1002 Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse and B. Usadel (2014). "Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
1003 data." Bioinformatics **30**(15): 2114-2120.

1004 Bolouri Moghaddam, M. R. and W. Van den Ende (2012). "Sugars and plant innate immunity." Journal of
1005 Experimental Botany **63**(11): 3989-3998.

1006 Brading, P. A., K. E. Hammond-Kosack, A. Parr and J. D. G. Jones (2000). "Salicylic acid is not required for
1007 Cf-2- and Cf-9-dependent resistance of tomato to *Cladosporium fulvum*." The Plant Journal **23**(3): 305-
1008 318.

1009 Brown, D. G., J. K. Swanson and C. Allen (2007). "Two host-induced *Ralstonia solanacearum* genes, *acrA*
1010 and *dinF*, encode multidrug efflux pumps and contribute to bacterial wilt virulence." Applied and
1011 Environmental Microbiology **73**(9): 2777-2786.

1012 Cao, J. and X. Li (2015). "Identification and phylogenetic analysis of late embryogenesis abundant
1013 proteins family in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)." Planta **241**(3): 757-772.

1014 Chen, K., G.-J. Li, R. A. Bressan, C.-P. Song, J.-K. Zhu and Y. Zhao (2020). "Abscisic acid dynamics,
1015 signaling, and functions in plants." Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **62**(1): 25-54.

1016 Chen, Y. Y., Y. M. Lin, T. C. Chao, J. F. Wang, A. C. Liu, F. I. Ho and C. P. Cheng (2009). "Virus-induced gene
1017 silencing reveals the involvement of ethylene-, salicylic acid- and mitogen-activated protein kinase-
1018 related defense pathways in the resistance of tomato to bacterial wilt." Physiologia Plantarum **136**(3):
1019 324-335.

1020 Chen, Z., Z. Zheng, J. Huang, Z. Lai and B. Fan (2009). "Biosynthesis of salicylic acid in plants." Plant
1021 signaling & behavior **4**(6): 493-496.

1022 Choudhary, D. K., A. Prakash and B. N. Johri (2007). "Induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants:
1023 mechanism of action." Indian Journal of Microbiology **47**(4): 289-297.

1024 Cortina, C. and F. A. Culiáñez-Macià (2005). "Tomato abiotic stress enhanced tolerance by trehalose
1025 biosynthesis." Plant Science **169**(1): 75-82.

1026 Daugherty, M. P., J. R. S. Lopes and R. P. P. Almeida (2010). "Strain-specific alfalfa water stress induced
1027 by *Xylella fastidiosa*." European Journal of Plant Pathology **127**(3): 333-340.

1028 De Lorenzo, G., S. Ferrari, F. Cervone and E. Okun (2018). "Extracellular DAMPs in plants and mammals:
1029 Immunity, tissue damage and repair." Trends in Immunology **39**(11): 937-950.

1030 Denancé, N., P. Ranocha, N. Oria, X. Barlet, M.-P. Rivière, K. A. Yadeta, L. Hoffmann, F. Perreau, G.
1031 Clément, A. Maia-Grondard, G. C. M. van den Berg, B. Savelli, S. Fournier, Y. Aubert, S. Pelletier, B. P. H.
1032 J. Thomma, A. Molina, L. Jouanin, Y. Marco and D. Goffner (2013). "*Arabidopsis wat1 (walls are thin1)*-
1033 mediated resistance to the bacterial vascular pathogen, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, is accompanied by
1034 cross-regulation of salicylic acid and tryptophan metabolism." The Plant Journal **73**(2): 225-239.

1035 Denny, T. P. (2006). Plant pathogenic *Ralstonia* species. Plant-Associated Bacteria. S. S. Gnanamanickam,
1036 Springer: 573-644.

1037 Derksen, H., C. Rampitsch and F. Daayf (2013). "Signaling cross-talk in plant disease resistance." Plant
1038 Science **207**: 79-87.

1039 Deslandes, L. and S. Genin (2014). "Opening the *Ralstonia solanacearum* type III effector tool box:
1040 insights into host cell subversion mechanisms." Current Opinion in Plant Biology **20**: 110-117.

1041 Deslandes, L., J. Olivier, Theuli, xe, F. res, xe, xe, ric, J. Hirsch, D. X. Feng, P. Bittner-Eddy, J. Beynon and Y.
1042 Marco (2002). "Resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is conferred by the
1043 recessive RRS1-R gene, a member of a novel family of resistance genes." Proceedings of the National
1044 Academy of Sciences **99**(4): 2404-2409.

1045 Digonnet, C., Y. Martinez, N. Denance, M. Chasseray, P. Dabos, P. Ranocha, Y. Marco, A. Jauneau and D.
1046 Goffner (2012). "Deciphering the route of *Ralstonia solanacearum* colonization in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
1047 roots during a compatible interaction: focus at the plant cell wall." Planta **236**(5): 1419-1431.

1048 Dobin, A., C. A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, P. Batut, M. Chaisson and T. R.
1049 Gingeras (2012). "STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner." Bioinformatics **29**(1): 15-21.

Elphinstone, J. G. (2005). The Current Bacterial Wilt Situation: A Global Overview. Bacterial Wilt Disease and the *Ralstonia solanacearum* Species Complex. C. Allen, P. Prior and A. C. Hayward. St. Paul, MN, APS Press.

Flores-Cruz, Z. and C. Allen (2009). "*Ralstonia solanacearum* encounters an oxidative environment during tomato infection." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **22**(7): 773–782.

French, E., B. S. Kim, K. Rivera-Zuluaga and A. S. Iyer-Pascuzzi (2018). "Whole root transcriptomic analysis suggests a role for auxin pathways in resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in tomato." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **31**(4): 432-444.

Garg, A. K., J.-K. Kim, T. G. Owens, A. P. Ranwala, Y. D. Choi, L. V. Kochian and R. J. Wu (2002). "Trehalose accumulation in rice plants confers high tolerance levels to different abiotic stresses." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **99**(25).

Ge, S. X., D. Jung and R. Yao (2019). "ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants." Bioinformatics **36**(8): 2628-2629.

Glazebrook, J. (2005). "Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens." Annual Review of Phytopathology **43**(1): 205-227.

Godoy, J. A., J. M. Pardo and J. A. Pintor-Toro (1990). "A tomato cDNA inducible by salt stress and abscisic acid: nucleotide sequence and expression pattern." Plant Molecular Biology **15**(5): 695-705.

Gomez, L. D., A. Gilday, R. Feil, J. E. Lunn and I. A. Graham (2010). "AtTPS1-mediated trehalose 6-phosphate synthesis is essential for embryogenic and vegetative growth and responsiveness to ABA in germinating seeds and stomatal guard cells." The Plant Journal **64**(1): 1-13.

Goodstein, D. M., S. Shu, R. Howson, R. Neupane, R. D. Hayes, J. Fazo, T. Mitros, W. Dirks, U. Hellsten, N. Putnam and D. S. Rokhsar (2011). "Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics." Nucleic Acids Research **40**(D1): D1178-D1186.

Hamilton, C. D., O. R. Steidl, A. M. MacIntyre, C. G. Hendrich and C. Allen (2021). "*Ralstonia solanacearum* depends on catabolism of myo-inositol, sucrose, and trehalose for virulence in an infection stage-dependent manner." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions.

Hase, S., S. Takahashi, S. Takenaka, K. Nakaho, T. Arie, S. Seo, Y. Ohashi and H. Takahashi (2008). "Involvement of jasmonic acid signalling in bacterial wilt disease resistance induced by biocontrol agent *Pythium oligandrum* in tomato." Plant Pathology **57**(5): 870-876.

Hassan, M. A. E. and K. A. M. Abo-Elyousr (2013). "Activation of tomato plant defence responses against bacterial wilt caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum* using DL-3-aminobutyric acid (BABA)." European Journal of Plant Pathology **136**(1): 145-157.

He, Y., E. J. Borrego, Z. Gorman, P.-C. Huang and M. V. Kolomiets (2020). "Relative contribution of LOX10, green leaf volatiles and JA to wound-induced local and systemic oxylipin and hormone signature in *Zea mays* (maize)." Phytochemistry **174**: 112334.

He, Y., H. Fukushima, D. F. Hildebrand and S. Gan (2002). "Evidence supporting a role of jasmonic acid in *Arabidopsis* leaf senescence." Plant Physiology **128**(3): 876.

Hendrick, C. A. and L. Sequeira (1984). "Lipopolysaccharide defective mutants of the wilt pathogen *Pseudomonas solanacearum*." Applied and Environmental Microbiology **48**(1): 94-101.

Hernández-Blanco, C., D. X. Feng, J. Hu, A. Sánchez-Vallet, L. Deslandes, F. Llorente, M. Berrocal-Lobo, H. Keller, X. Barlet, C. Sánchez-Rodríguez, L. K. Anderson, S. Somerville, Y. Marco and A. Molina (2007). "Impairment of cellulose synthases required for *Arabidopsis* secondary cell wall formation enhances disease resistance." The Plant Cell **19**(3): 890.

Hirsch, J., L. Deslandes, D. X. Feng, C. Balagué and Y. Marco (2002). "Delayed symptom development in *ein2-1*, an *Arabidopsis* ethylene-insensitive mutant, in response to bacterial wilt caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*." Phytopathology **92**(10): 1142-1148.

Hou, S., Z. Liu, H. Shen and D. Wu (2019). "Damage-Associated Molecular Pattern-triggered immunity in plants." Frontiers in Plant Science **10**: 646.

1098 Hu, J., X. Barlet, L. Deslandes, J. Hirsch, D. X. Feng, I. Somssich and Y. Marco (2008). "Transcriptional
1099 responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* during wilt disease caused by the soil-borne phytopathogenic
1100 bacterium, *Ralstonia solanacearum*." PLOS ONE **3**(7): e2589-e2589.

1101 Ingel, B., D. Caldwell, F. Duong, D. Y. Parkinson, K. A. McCulloh, A. S. Iyer-Pascuzzi, A. J. McElrone and T.
1102 M. Lowe-Power (2021). "Revisiting the source of wilt symptoms: X-ray microcomputed tomography
1103 provides direct evidence that *Ralstonia* biomass clogs xylem vessels." bioRxiv: 2021.2003.2019.436187.

1104 Iordachescu, M. and R. Imai (2008). "Trehalose biosynthesis in response to abiotic stresses." Journal of
1105 Integrative Plant Biology **50**(10): 1223-1229.

1106 Ishihara, T., I. Mitsuhashi, H. Takahashi and K. Nakaho (2012). "Transcriptome analysis of quantitative
1107 resistance-specific response upon *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection in tomato." PLOS ONE **7**(10):
1108 e46763.

1109 Ishikawa, R., K. Fujimori and K. Matsuura (1996). "Antibacterial activity of Validamycin A against
1110 *Pseudomonas solanacearum* and its efficacy against tomato bacterial wilt." Annals of the
1111 Phytopathological Society of Japan **62**(5): 478-482.

1112 Ishikawa, R., K. Shirouzu, H. Nakashita, H.-Y. Lee, T. Motoyama, I. Yamaguchi, T. Teraoka and T. Arie
1113 (2005). "Foliar spray of Validamycin A or Validoxylamine A controls tomato *Fusarium* wilt." Phytopathology **95**(10): 1209-1216.

1114 Jackson, M. (1997). "Hormones from roots as signals for the shoots of stressed plants." Trends in Plant
1115 Science **2**(1): 22-28.

1116 Jacobs, J. M., L. Babujee, F. Meng, A. Milling and C. Allen (2012). "The *in planta* transcriptome of
1117 *Ralstonia solanacearum*: conserved physiological and virulence strategies during bacterial wilt of
1118 tomato." MBio **3**(4).

1119 Jacobs, J. M., A. Milling, R. M. Mitra, C. S. Hogan, F. Ailloud, P. Prior and C. Allen (2013). "*Ralstonia*
1120 *solanacearum* requires PopS, an ancient AvrE-family effector, for virulence and to overcome salicylic
1121 acid-mediated defenses during tomato pathogenesis." MBio **4**(6): e00875-00813.

1122 Jakab, G., V. Cottier, V. Toquin, G. Rigoli, L. Zimmerli, J.-P. Métraux and B. Mauch-Mani (2001). "β-
1123 Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in plants." European Journal of Plant Pathology **107**(1): 29-37.

1124 Ji, K., W. Kai, B. Zhao, Y. Sun, B. Yuan, S. Dai, Q. Li, P. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Pei, H. Wang, Y. Guo and P. Leng
1125 (2014). "SINCED1 and SICYP707A2: key genes involved in ABA metabolism during tomato fruit ripening." Journal of Experimental Botany **65**(18): 5243-5255.

1126 Joosten, M. H. A. J. and P. J. G. M. De Wit (1989). "Identification of several Pathogenesis-Related
1127 proteins in tomato leaves inoculated with *Cladosporium fulvum* (syn. *Fulvia fulva*) as 1,3-β-glucanases
1128 and chitinases." Plant Physiology **89**(3): 945.

1129 Kaiser, E., A. Morales, J. Harbinson, E. Heuvelink and L. F. M. Marcelis (2020). "High stomatal
1130 conductance in the tomato *flacca* mutant allows for faster photosynthetic induction." Frontiers in Plant
1131 Science **11**(1317).

1132 Kapilan, R., M. Vaziri and J. J. Zwiazek (2018). "Regulation of aquaporins in plants under stress." Biological Research **51**(1): 4.

1133 Khater, M. A., M. G. Dawood, M. S. Sadak, M. A. F. Shalaby, M. E. El-Awadi and K. Gamal El-Din (2018).
1134 "Enhancement of the performance of cowpea plants grown under drought conditions via trehalose
1135 application." Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research **7**(3): 782-800.

1136 Khokhani, D., T. M. Lowe-Power, T. M. Tran and C. Allen (2017). "A single regulator mediates strategic
1137 switching between attachment/spread and growth/virulence in the plant pathogen *Ralstonia*
1138 *solanacearum*." MBio **8**(5).

1139 Khokhani, D., T. Tuan, T. Lowe-Power and C. Allen (2018). "Plant assays for quantifying *Ralstonia*
1140 *solanacearum* virulence." Bio-Protocol **8**(18).

1144 Kissoudis, C., A. Seifi, Z. Yan, A. T. Islam, H. van der Schoot, C. C. van de Wiel, R. G. Visser, C. G. van der
1145 Linden and Y. Bai (2016). "Ethylene and abscisic acid signaling pathways differentially influence tomato
1146 resistance to combined powdery mildew and salt stress." *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**: 2009.
1147 Kolbe, A., A. Tiessen, H. Schluepmann, M. Paul, S. Ulrich and P. Geigenberger (2005). "Trehalose 6-
1148 phosphate regulates starch synthesis via posttranslational redox activation of ADP-glucose
1149 pyrophosphorylase." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**(31): 11118–11123.
1150 Kolde, R. (2019). "pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps." Retrieved June 7, 2020, from [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html)
1151 [project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html).
1152 Kushi, T., M. Okamoto, K. Nakabayashi, K. Yamagishi, S. Kitamura, T. Asami, N. Hirai, T. Koshiba, Y.
1153 Kamiya and E. Nambara (2004). "The Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 CYP707A encodes ABA 8'-
1154 hydroxylases: key enzymes in ABA catabolism." *The EMBO Journal* **23**(7): 1647-1656.
1155 Lanahan, M. B., H.-C. Yen, J. J. Giovannoni and H. J. Klee (1994). "The *Never Ripe* mutation blocks
1156 ethylene perception in tomato." *The Plant Cell* **6**: 521-530.
1157 Leyman, B., P. Van Dijck and J. M. Thevelein (2001). "An unexpected plethora of trehalose biosynthesis
1158 genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Trends in Plant Science* **6**(11): 510-513.
1159 Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin and S.
1160 Genome Project Data Processing (2009). "The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools." *Bioinformatics*
1161 **25**(16): 2078-2079.
1162 Lin, Q., S. Wang, Y. Dao, J. Wang and K. Wang (2020). "The *Arabidopsis thaliana* trehalose-6-phosphate
1163 phosphatase gene *AtTPPI* enhances drought tolerance by regulating stomatal apertures." *Journal of*
1164 *Experimental Botany*.
1165 Lin, Q., J. Yang, Q. Wang, H. Zhu, Z. Chen, Y. Dao and K. Wang (2019). "Overexpression of the trehalose-
1166 6-phosphate phosphatase family gene *AtTPPF* improves the drought tolerance of *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *BMC Plant Biology*
1167 **19**(1): 381.
1168 Lin, Y., J. Zhang, W. Gao, Y. Chen, H. Li, D. W. Lawlor, M. J. Paul and W. Pan (2017). "Exogenous trehalose
1169 improves growth under limiting nitrogen through upregulation of nitrogen metabolism." *BMC Plant Biol*
1170 **17**(1): 247.
1171 Ling, Q., W. Huang and P. Jarvis (2011). "Use of a SPAD-502 meter to measure leaf chlorophyll
1172 concentration in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Photosynth Res* **107**(2): 209-214.
1173 Liu, H., S. Zhang, M. A. Schell and T. P. Denny (2005). "Pyramiding unmarked deletions in *Ralstonia*
1174 *solanacearum* shows that secreted proteins in addition to plant cell-wall-degrading enzymes contribute
1175 to virulence." *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **18**(12): 1296-1305.
1176 López-Gresa, M. P., P. Lisón, L. Yenush, V. Conejero, I. Rodrigo and J. M. Bellés (2016). "Salicylic acid is
1177 involved in the basal resistance of tomato plants to Citrus Excoriis Viroid and Tomato Spotted Wilt
1178 Virus." *PLOS ONE* **11**(11): e0166938.
1179 Love, M. I., W. Huber and S. Anders (2014). "Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
1180 RNA-seq data with DESeq2." *Genome Biology* **15**(12): 550.
1181 Lowe, T. M., F. Ailloud and C. Allen (2015). "Hydroxycinnamic acid degradation, a broadly conserved
1182 trait, protects *Ralstonia solanacearum* from chemical plant defenses and contributes to root
1183 colonization and virulence." *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **28**(3): 286-297.
1184 Lowe-Power, T. M., C. G. Hendrich, E. von Roepenack-Lahaye, B. Li, D. Wu, R. Mitra, B. L. Dalsing, P.
1185 Ricca, J. Naidoo, D. Cook, A. Jancewicz, P. Masson, B. Thomma, T. Lahaye, A. J. Michael and C. Allen
1186 (2018). "Metabolomics of tomato xylem sap during bacterial wilt reveals *Ralstonia solanacearum*
1187 produces abundant putrescine, a metabolite that accelerates wilt disease." *Environmental Microbiology*
1188 **20**(4): 1330-1349.
1189 Lowe-Power, T. M., J. M. Jacobs, F. Ailloud, B. Fochs, P. Prior and C. Allen (2016). "Degradation of the
1190 plant defense signal salicylic acid protects *Ralstonia solanacearum* from toxicity and enhances virulence
1191 on tobacco." *MBio* **7**(3).

1192 Lowe-Power, T. M., D. Khokhani and C. Allen (2018). "How *Ralstonia solanacearum* exploits and thrives
1193 in the flowing plant xylem environment." Trends Microbiol **26**(11): 929-942.

1194 Lunn, J. E. (2007). "Gene families and evolution of trehalose metabolism in plants." Functional Plant
1195 Biology **34**(6).

1196 Lunn, J. E., I. Delorge, C. M. Figueroa, P. Van Dijck and M. Stitt (2014). "Trehalose metabolism in plants." The Plant Journal **79**(4): 544-567.

1198 Lunn, J. E., R. Feil, J. H. Hendriks, Y. Gibon, R. Morcuende, D. Osuna, W. R. Scheible, P. Carillo, M. R.
1199 Hajirezaei and M. Stitt (2006). "Sugar-induced increases in trehalose 6-phosphate are correlated with
1200 redox activation of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase and higher rates of starch synthesis in *Arabidopsis*
1201 *thaliana*." Biochem J **397**(1): 139-148.

1202 Lyu, J. I., S. R. Min, J. H. Lee, Y. H. Lim, J.-K. Kim, C.-H. Bae and J. R. Liu (2012). "Overexpression of a
1203 trehalose-6-phosphate synthase/phosphatase fusion gene enhances tolerance and photosynthesis
1204 during drought and salt stress without growth aberrations in tomato." Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ
1205 Culture **112**(2): 257-262.

1206 Ma, Y., I. Szostkiewicz, A. Korte, D. Moes, Y. Yang, A. Christmann and E. Grill (2009). "Regulators of PP2C
1207 Phosphatase Activity Function as Abscissic Acid Sensors." Science **324**(5930): 1064.

1208 MacIntyre, A. M., J. X. Barth, M. C. Pellitteri Hahn, C. O. Scarlett, S. Genin and C. Allen (2019). "Trehalose
1209 synthesis contributes to osmotic stress tolerance and virulence of the bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia*
1210 *solanacearum*." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions: MPMI-08-19-0218-R.

1211 Mandal, S., I. Kar, A. K. Mukherjee and P. Acharya (2013). "Elicitor-induced defense responses in
1212 *Solanum lycopersicum* against *Ralstonia solanacearum*." The Scientific World Journal **2013**: 561056.

1213 Mansfield, J., S. Genin, S. Magori, V. Citovsky, M. Sriariyanum, P. Ronald, M. Dow, V. Verdier, S. V. Beer,
1214 M. A. Machado, I. Toth, G. Salmond and G. D. Foster (2012). "Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in
1215 molecular plant pathology." Molecular Plant Pathology **13**(6): 614-629.

1216 Marcos, R., Y. Izquierdo, T. Vellosillo, S. Kulasekaran, T. Cascón, M. Hamberg and C. Castresana (2015).
1217 "9-Lipoxygenase-derived oxylipins activate brassinosteroid signaling to promote cell wall-based defense
1218 and limit pathogen infection." Plant Physiology **169**(3): 2324-2334.

1219 McElrone, A. J., J. L. Sherald and I. N. Forseth (2003). "Interactive effects of water stress and xylem-
1220 limited bacterial infection on the water relations of a host vine." Journal of Experimental Botany
1221 **54**(381): 419-430.

1222 Mi, H., A. Muruganujan, D. Ebert, X. Huang and P. D. Thomas (2018). "PANTHER version 14: more
1223 genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools." Nucleic Acids
1224 Research **47**(D1): D419-D426.

1225 Milling, A., L. Babujee and C. Allen (2011). "*Ralstonia solanacearum* extracellular polysaccharide is a
1226 specific elicitor of defense responses in wilt-resistant tomato plants." PLOS ONE **6**(1): e15853.

1227 Montillet, J.-L., J.-P. Agnel, M. Ponchet, F. Vailleau, D. Roby and C. Triantaphylidès (2002).
1228 "Lipoxygenase-mediated production of fatty acid hydroperoxides is a specific signature of the
1229 hypersensitive reaction in plants." Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **40**(6): 633-639.

1230 Mori, Y., K. Inoue, K. Ikeda, H. Nakayashiki, C. Higashimoto, K. Ohnishi, A. Kiba and Y. Hikichi (2016). "The
1231 vascular plant-pathogenic bacterium *Ralstonia solanacearum* produces biofilms required for its
1232 virulence on the surfaces of tomato cells adjacent to intercellular spaces." Molecular Plant Pathology
1233 **17**(6): 890-902.

1234 Müller, M. and S. Munné-Bosch (2011). "Rapid and sensitive hormonal profiling of complex plant
1235 samples by liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry." Plant
1236 Methods **7**(1): 37.

1237 Orgambide, G., H. Montrozier, P. Servin, J. Roussel, D. Trigalet-Demery and A. Trigale (1991). "High
1238 heterogeneity of the exopolysaccharides of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* strain GM1000 and the
1239 complete structure of the major polysaccharide." Journal of Biological Chemistry **266**(13): 8312-8321.

1240 Osakabe, Y., K. Osakabe, K. Shinozaki and L.-S. Tran (2014). "Response of plants to water stress."
1241 Frontiers in Plant Science **5**: 86.

1242 Park, J., Y. Lee, E. Martinoia and M. Geisler (2017). "Plant hormone transporters: what we know and
1243 what we would like to know." BMC biology **15**(1): 93-93.

1244 Patel, J. and A. Mishra (2021). "Plant aquaporins alleviate drought tolerance in plants by modulating
1245 cellular biochemistry, root-architecture, and photosynthesis." Physiologia Plantarum **172**(2): 1030-1044.

1246 Paul, M. (2007). "Trehalose 6-phosphate." Current Opinion in Plant Biology **10**(3): 303-309.

1247 Paul, M. J., A. Gonzalez-Uriarte, C. A. Griffiths and K. Hassani-Pak (2018). "The role of trehalose 6-
1248 phosphate in crop yield and resilience." Plant Physiology **177**(1): 12-23.

1249 Paul, M. J., L. F. Primavesi, D. Jhurrea and Y. Zhang (2008). "Trehalose metabolism and signaling." Annu
1250 Rev Plant Biol **59**: 417-441.

1251 Peña-Cortés, H., J. Fisahn and L. Willmitzer (1995). "Signals involved in wound-induced proteinase
1252 inhibitor II gene expression in tomato and potato plants." Proceedings of the National Academy of
1253 Sciences **92**: 4106-4113.

1254 Piazza, A., T. Zimaro, B. S. Garavaglia, F. A. Ficarra, L. Thomas, C. Marondedze, R. Feil, J. E. Lunn, C.
1255 Gehring, J. Ottado and N. Gottig (2015). "The dual nature of trehalose in citrus canker disease: a
1256 virulence factor for *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* and a trigger for plant defence responses." Journal of
1257 Experimental Botany **66**(9): 2795-2811.

1258 Potnis, N., J. P. Soto-Arias, K. N. Cowles, A. H. C. van Bruggen, J. B. Jones and J. D. Barak (2014).
1259 "*Xanthomonas perforans* colonization influences *Salmonella enterica* in the tomato phyllosphere."
1260 Applied and environmental microbiology **80**(10): 3173-3180.

1261 Pradhanang, P. M., P. Ji, M. T. Momol, S. M. Olson, J. L. Mayfield and J. B. Jones (2005). "Application of
1262 Acibenzolar-S-Methyl enhances host resistance in tomato against *Ralstonia solanacearum*." Plant
1263 Disease **89**(9): 989-993.

1264 Prost, I., S. Dhondt, G. Rothe, J. Vicente, M. J. Rodriguez, N. Kift, F. Carbonne, G. Griffiths, M.-T.
1265 Esquerré-Tugayé, S. Rosahl, C. Castresana, M. Hamberg and J. Fournier (2005). "Evaluation of the
1266 antimicrobial activities of plant oxylipins supports their involvement in defense against pathogens."
1267 Plant Physiology **139**(4): 1902.

1268 R. "R: The R Project for Statistical Computing." Retrieved June 7, 2020, from [https://www.r-](https://www.r-project.org/)
1269 [project.org/](https://www.r-project.org/).

1270 Raghavendra, A. S., V. K. Gonugunta, A. Christmann and E. Grill (2010). "ABA perception and signalling."
1271 Trends in Plant Science **15**(7): 395-401.

1272 Ranf, S., L. Eschen-Lippold, P. Pecher, J. Lee and D. Scheel (2011). "Interplay between calcium signalling
1273 and early signalling elements during defence responses to microbe- or damage-associated molecular
1274 patterns." The Plant Journal **68**(1): 100-113.

1275 Rau, A., G. Marot and F. Jaffrézic (2014). "Differential meta-analysis of RNA-seq data from multiple
1276 studies." BMC Bioinformatics **15**(1): 91.

1277 Reignault, P., A. Cogan, J. Muchembled, A. Lounes-Hadj Sahraoui, R. Durand and M. Sancholle (2000).
1278 "Trehalose induces resistance to powdery mildew in wheat." New Phytologist **149**(3): 519-529.

1279 Renard-Merlier, D., B. Randoux, E. Nowak, F. Farcy, R. Durand and P. Reignault (2007). "Iodur 40,
1280 salicylic acid, heptanoyl salicylic acid and trehalose exhibit different efficacies and defence targets during
1281 a wheat/powdery mildew interaction." Phytochemistry **68**(8): 1156-1164.

1282 Retig, N. and I. Chet (1974). "Catechol-induced resistance of tomato plants to *Fusarium* wilt."
1283 Physiological Plant Pathology **4**(4): 469-475.

1284 Reuscher, S., M. Akiyama, C. Mori, K. Aoki, D. Shibata and K. Shiratake (2013). "Genome-wide
1285 identification and expression analysis of aquaporins in tomato." PLOS ONE **8**(11): e79052.

1286 Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy and G. K. Smyth (2009). "edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
1287 expression analysis of digital gene expression data." Bioinformatics **26**(1): 139-140.

1288 Romero, C., J. M. Bellés, J. L. Vayá, R. Serrano and A. Francisco (1997). "Expression of the yeast
1289 trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene in transgenic tobacco plants: Pleiotropic phenotypes include
1290 drought tolerance." *Planta* **201**(3): 293-297.

1291 Sade, N., B. J. Vinocur, A. Diber, A. Shatil, G. Ronen, H. Nissan, R. Wallach, H. Karchi and M. Moshelion
1292 (2009). "Improving plant stress tolerance and yield production: is the tonoplast aquaporin SLP1;2 a key
1293 to isohydric to anisohydric conversion?" *New Phytologist* **181**(3): 651-661.

1294 Saito, S., N. Hirai, C. Matsumoto, H. Ohgashi, D. Ohta, K. Sakata and M. Mizutani (2004). "Arabidopsis
1295 CYP707As encode (+)-abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase, a key enzyme in the oxidative catabolism of abscisic
1296 acid." *Plant Physiology* **134**(4): 1439-1449.

1297 Sato, S., S. Tabata, H. Hirakawa and e. al (2012). "The tomato genome sequence provides insights into
1298 fleshy fruit evolution." *Nature* **485**(7400): 635-641.

1299 Savatin, D. V., G. Gramegna, V. Modesti and F. Cervone (2014). "Wounding in the plant tissue: the
1300 defense of a dangerous passage." *Frontiers in Plant Science* **5**: 470.

1301 Schluepmann, H., L. Berke and G. F. Sanchez-Perez (2012). "Metabolism control over growth: a case for
1302 trehalose-6-phosphate in plants." *Journal of Experimental Botany* **63**(9): 3379-3390.

1303 Schluepmann, H., T. Pellny, A. van Dijken, S. Smeekeens and M. Paul (2003). "Trehalose 6-phosphate is
1304 indispensable for carbohydrate utilization and growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Proceedings of the
1305 National Academy of Sciences* **100**(11): 6849.

1306 Seo, S., K. Nakaho, S. W. Hong, H. Takahashi, H. Shigemori and I. Mitsuhara (2016). "L-Histidine induces
1307 resistance in plants to the bacterial pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* partially through the activation of
1308 ethylene signaling." *Plant Cell Physiol* **57**(9): 1932-1942.

1309 Shi, Y., H. Sun, X. Wang, W. Jin, Q. Chen, Z. Yuan and H. Yu (2019). "Physiological and transcriptomic
1310 analyses reveal the molecular networks of responses induced by exogenous trehalose in plant." *PLOS
1311 ONE* **14**(5): e0217204.

1312 Shinozaki, K., K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and M. Seki (2003). "Regulatory network of gene expression in the
1313 drought and cold stress responses." *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **6**(5): 410-417.

1314 Signorelli, S., L. P. Tarkowski, W. Van den Ende and D. C. Bassham (2019). "Linking Autophagy to Abiotic
1315 and Biotic Stress Responses." *Trends in Plant Science* **24**(5): 413-430.

1316 Singh, V. and J. Shah (2012). "Tomato responds to green peach aphid infestation with the activation of
1317 trehalose metabolism and starch accumulation." *Plant Signal Behav* **7**(6): 605-607.

1318 Sinha, R., A. Gupta and M. Senthil-Kumar (2016). "Understanding the impact of drought on foliar and
1319 xylem invading bacterial pathogen stress in chickpea." *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**: 902.

1320 Sinha, R., A. Gupta and M. Senthil-Kumar (2017). "Concurrent drought stress and vascular pathogen
1321 infection induce common and distinct transcriptomic responses in chickpea." *Frontiers in Plant Science*
1322 **8**(333).

1323 Smart, C. D., K. L. Myers, S. Restrepo, G. B. Martin and W. E. Fry (2003). "Partial resistance of tomato to
1324 *Phytophthora infestans* is not dependent upon ethylene, jasmonic acid, or salicylic acid signaling
1325 pathways." *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **2**: 141-148.

1326 Smeekeens, S. (2017). "Drought resistance: Spraying for yield." *Nature Plants* **3**(3).

1327 Song, L., S.-s. C. Huang, A. Wise, R. Castanon, J. R. Nery, H. Chen, M. Watanabe, J. Thomas, Z. Bar-Joseph
1328 and J. R. Ecker (2016). "A transcription factor hierarchy defines an environmental stress response
1329 network." *Science* **354**(6312): aag1550.

1330 Spoel, S. H., A. Koornneef, S. M. C. Claessens, J. P. Korzelius, J. A. Van Pelt, M. J. Mueller, A. J. Buchala, J.-
1331 P. Métraux, R. Brown, K. Kazan, L. C. Van Loon, X. Dong and C. M. J. Pieterse (2003). "NPR1 modulates
1332 cross-talk between salicylate- and jasmonate-dependent defense pathways through a novel function in
1333 the cytosol." *The Plant Cell* **15**(3): 760.

1334 Tal, M. (1966). "Abnormal stomatal behavior in wilted mutants of tomato." *Plant Physiology* **41**(8): 1387-
1335 1391.

1336 Tanaka, K. and M. Heil (2021). "Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) in plant innate
1337 immunity: applying the danger model and evolutionary perspectives." Annual Review of Phytopathology
1338 **59**(1): 53-75.

1339 Tans-Kersten, J., H. Huang and C. Allen (2001). "*Ralstonia solanacearum* needs motility for invasive
1340 virulence on tomato." J Bacteriol **183**(12): 3597-3605.

1341 Thompson, A. J., E. T. Thorne, A. Burbidge, A. C. Jackson, R. E. Sharp and I. B. Taylor (2004).
1342 "Complementation of *notabilis*, an abscisic acid-deficient mutant of tomato: importance of sequence
1343 context and utility of partial complementation." Plant, Cell & Environment **27**(4): 459-471.

1344 Thordal-Christensen, H., Z. Zhang, Y. Wei and D. B. Collinge (1997). "Subcellular localization of H₂O₂ in
1345 plants. H₂O₂ accumulation in papillae and hypersensitive response during the barley—powdery mildew
1346 interaction." The Plant Journal **11**(6): 1187-1194.

1347 Tian, T., Y. Liu, H. Yan, Q. You, X. Yi, Z. Du, W. Xu and Z. Su (2017). "AgriGO v2.0: a GO analysis toolkit for
1348 the agricultural community, 2017 update." Nucleic Acids Research **45**(W1): W122-W129.

1349 Tornero, P., J. Gadea, V. Conejero and P. Vera (1997). "Two PR-1 genes from tomato are differentially
1350 regulated and reveal a novel mode of expression for a pathogenesis-related gene during the
1351 hypersensitive response and development." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **5**: 624-634.

1352 Tran, T., A. MacIntyre, M. C. Hawes and C. Allen (2016). "Escaping underground Nets: Extracellular
1353 DNases degrade plant extracellular traps and contribute to virulence of the plant pathogenic bacterium
1354 *Ralstonia solanacearum*." PLOS Pathogens **12**(6): e1005686.

1355 Tran, T., A. MacIntyre, D. Khokhani, M. C. Hawes and C. Allen (2016). "Extracellular DNases of *Ralstonia*
1356 *solanacearum* modulate biofilms and facilitate bacterial wilt virulence." Environmental Microbiology
1357 **18**(11): 4103-4117.

1358 Umezawa, T., M. Okamoto, T. Kushiro, E. Nambara, Y. Oono, M. Seki, M. Kobayashi, T. Koshiba, Y.
1359 Kamiya and K. Shinozaki (2006). "CYP707A3, a major ABA 8'-hydroxylase involved in dehydration and
1360 rehydration response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." The Plant Journal **46**(2): 171-182.

1361 Vallad, G. E. and R. M. Goodman (2004). "Systemic acquired resistance and induced systemic resistance
1362 in conventional agriculture." Crop Science **44**(6): 1920-1934.

1363 Vandesteene, L., L. Lopez-Galvis, K. Vanneste, R. Feil, S. Maere, W. Lammens, F. Rolland, J. E. Lunn, N.
1364 Avonce, T. Beeckman and P. Van Dijck (2012). "Expansive evolution of the trehalose-6-phosphate
1365 phosphatase gene family in *Arabidopsis*." Plant Physiology **160**(2): 884-896.

1366 Vandesteene, L., M. Ramon, K. Le Roy, P. Van Dijck and F. Rolland (2010). "A single active trehalose-6-P
1367 synthase (TPS) and a family of putative regulatory TPS-like proteins in *Arabidopsis*." Molecular Plant
1368 **3**(2): 406-419.

1369 Vasse, J., P. Frey and A. Trigalet (1995). "Microscopic studies of intercellular infection and protoxylem
1370 invasion of tomato roots by *Pseudomonas solanacearum*." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **8**(2):
1371 241-251.

1372 Vicente, J., T. Cascón, B. Vicedo, P. García-Agustín, M. Hamberg and C. Castresana (2012). "Role of 9-
1373 lipoxygenase and α -dioxygenase oxylipin pathways as modulators of local and systemic defense."
1374 Molecular Plant **5**(4): 914-928.

1375 Walters, D. R., T. Cowley and H. Weber (2006). "Rapid accumulation of trihydroxy oxylipins and
1376 resistance to the bean rust pathogen *Uromyces fabae* following wounding in *Vicia faba*." Annals of
1377 Botany **97**(5): 779-784.

1378 Wang, K.-D., E. J. Borrego, C. M. Kenerley and M. V. Kolomiets (2020). "Oxylipins other than jasmonic
1379 acid are xylem resident signals regulating systemic resistance induced by *Trichoderma virens* in maize."
1380 The Plant Cell **32**(1): 166.

1381 Wang, W., Q. Chen, S. Xu, W.-C. Liu, X. Zhu and C.-P. Song (2020). "Trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase
1382 e modulates ABA-controlled root growth and stomatal movement in *Arabidopsis*." Journal of Integrative
1383 Plant Biology.

Wickham, H. (2016). "ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis." from <https://ggplot2-book.org/>.
Widhalm, Joshua R. and N. Dudareva (2015). "A familiar ring to it: biosynthesis of plant benzoic acids." *Molecular Plant* **8**(1): 83-97.
Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and K. Shinozaki (1993). "The plant hormone abscisic acid mediates the drought-induced expression but not the seed-specific expression of *rd22*, a gene responsive to dehydration stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*." *Molecular and General Genetics MGG* **238**(1): 17-25.
Yao, J. and C. Allen (2006). "Chemotaxis is required for virulence and competitive fitness of the bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*." *J Bacteriol* **188**(10): 3697-3708.
Yao, J. and C. Allen (2007). "The plant pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* needs aerotaxis for normal biofilm formation and interactions with its tomato host." *J Bacteriol* **189**(17): 6415-6424.
Yu, W., R. Zhao, L. Wang, S. Zhang, R. Li, J. Sheng and L. Shen (2019). "ABA signaling rather than ABA metabolism is involved in trehalose-induced drought tolerance in tomato plants." *Planta* **250**(2): 643-655.
Zhang, H., Y. Hong, L. Huang, S. Liu, L. Tian, Y. Dai, Z. Cao, L. Huang, D. Li and F. Song (2016). "Virus-Induced Gene Silencing-based functional analyses revealed the involvement of several putative trehalose-6-phosphate synthase/phosphatase genes in disease resistance against *Botrytis cinerea* and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 in tomato." *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**(1176).
Zhao, C., H. Wang, Y. Lu, J. Hu, L. Qu, Z. Li, D. Wang, Y. He, M. Valls, N. S. Coll, Q. Chen and H. Lu (2019). "Deep sequencing reveals early reprogramming of *Arabidopsis* root transcriptomes upon *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection." *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*® **32**(7): 813-827.
Zhao, Y., R. Thilmony, C. L. Bender, A. Schaller, S. Y. He and G. A. Howe (2003). "Virulence systems of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* promote bacterial speck disease in tomato by targeting the jasmonate signaling pathway." *The Plant Journal* **36**(4): 485-499.
Zhou, R., X. Yu, T. Zhao, C.-O. Ottosen, E. Rosenqvist and Z. Wu (2019). "Physiological analysis and transcriptome sequencing reveal the effects of combined cold and drought on tomato leaf." *BMC Plant Biology* **19**(1): 377.
Zimmerman, D. C. and C. A. Coudron (1979). "Identification of traumatin, a wound hormone, as 12-oxo-*trans*-10-dodecenoic acid." *Plant Physiology* **63**(3): 536.