***C. difficile* is overdiagnosed in adults and a commensal in infants**

Pamela Ferretti¹, Jakob Wirbel^{1,x}, Oleksandr M Maistrenko^{1,2,x}, Thea Van Rossum^{1,x}, Renato Alves¹, Anthony Fullam¹, Wasiiu Akanni¹, Christian Schudoma¹, Anna Schwarz¹, Roman Thielemann¹, Leonie Thomas¹, Michael Kuhn¹, Georg Zeller¹, Thomas SB Schmidt¹ and Peer Bork^{1,3,4,5}

Affiliations:

1 European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Structural and Computational Biology Unit, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

2 Present address: Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Department of Marine Microbiology & Biogeochemistry, 1797 SZ, 't Horntje (Texel), Netherlands

3 Max Delbrück Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany

4 Yonsei Frontier Lab (YFL), Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea

5 Department of Bioinformatics, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

x These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: sebastian.schmidt@embl.de and Bork@embl.de

Abstract

Clostridioides difficile is an urgent threat in hospital-acquired infections world-wide, yet the microbial composition associated with *C. difficile*, in particular in *C. difficile* infection (CDI) cases, remains poorly characterised. Here, we analysed 534 metagenomes from 10 publicly available CDI study populations. While we detected *C. difficile* in only 30% of CDI samples, multiple other toxigenic species capable of inducing CDI-like symptomatology were prevalent, raising concerns about CDI overdiagnosis. We further tracked *C. difficile* in 42,814 metagenomic samples from 253 public studies. We found that *C. difficile* prevalence, abundance and association with other bacterial species is age-dependent. In healthy adults, *C. difficile* is a rare taxon associated with an overall species richness reduction, while in healthy infants *C. difficile* is a common member of the gut microbiome and its presence is associated with a significant increase in species richness. More specifically, we identified a group of species co-occurring with *C. difficile* exclusively in healthy infants, enriched in obligate anaerobes and in species typically found in the gut microbiome of healthy adults. Overall, gut microbiome composition in presence of *C. difficile* in healthy infants is associated with multiple

parameters linked to a healthy gut microbiome maturation towards an adult-like state. Our results suggest that *C. difficile* is a commensal in infants, and that its asymptomatic carriage is dependent on the surrounding microbial context.

Keywords: *Clostridioides difficile*, metagenomics, CDI, antibiotic-associated diarrhea, infant microbiome, overdiagnosis

Introduction

Clostridioides difficile (previously *Clostridium* or *Peptoclostridium difficile*) was first isolated in 1935 from the stools of healthy infants¹, but later identified as a causative agent of pseudomembranous colitis², a severe condition with potentially life-threatening outcomes. While not all *C. difficile* strains are toxigenic, *C. difficile* toxin A (TcdA) and toxin B (TcdB) can damage the host by increasing intestinal permeability and promoting intense inflammation³, a condition referred to as *C. difficile* infection (CDI). CDI is typically characterised by diarrhea and/or pseudomembranous colitis and often unresponsive to repeated antibiotic treatments. CDI has an increasing clinical relevance (at an estimated annual economic burden of US\$6.3 billion in the US alone⁴) and is considered one of the most urgent threats in hospital-acquired infections⁵. *C. difficile* has primarily been studied as a pathogen, with a strong focus on CDI aetiology⁶, mechanisms of *C. difficile* toxicity³ or efficacious therapies⁷. However, an increasing number of other enteropathogens has been linked to antibiotics-associated diarrhea (AAD) with similar, and sometimes indistinguishable, symptomatology to CDI^{8–10}, making the correct diagnosis, estimation and management of CDI particularly challenging.

Far less is known about asymptomatic *C. difficile* carriage among the healthy population, as sentinel studies are often limited in sample size and geography^{11,12}. Gut microbial composition associated with *C. difficile* presence in healthy subjects, in particular in infants where *C. difficile* is highly prevalent¹³, remains poorly understood.

Here we leverage public shotgun metagenomic data to characterise the gut microbial signature associated with CDI in adult and elderly patients and to quantify other AAD-associated species with similar signatures. We then contextualise our analysis by tracing *C. difficile* in a broad multi-habitat collection of 42,814 metagenomes. We investigate *C. difficile* prevalence, relative abundance and its associated microbial community structure and composition in the human gut over lifetime, with particular focus on healthy infants, where several lines of evidence point to *C. difficile* being a commensal and a hallmark species of healthy infant gut microbiome maturation.

Results

***C. difficile* is detectable in only 30% of patients with a CDI diagnosis**

We first conducted a metagenomic meta-analysis of the gut microbiome associated with CDI, analysing 534 fecal samples across 10 geographically diverse study populations^{14–22}, including patients diagnosed with CDI, diseased subjects without CDI (D-Ctr, see Methods), and healthy subjects (H-Ctr) (**Figure 1A** and **Supplementary Table 1**).

Using mOTUs-based species-level taxonomic profiling²³, *C. difficile* was detectable in just 30% of CDI samples at established metagenomic detection limits²³ (**Figure 1A**), with considerable variance across study populations (9.2%-92.3%), and with much lower prevalence among diseased (2.6%) and healthy (1.1%) controls (**Supplementary Figure 1A**). *C. difficile* toxin genes *tcdA* and *tcdB* were detectable in about half of *C. difficile*-positive CDI metagenomes, but not in any *C. difficile*-negative CDI samples nor controls, indicating a lower sensitivity of toxin-based detection (**Figure 1A**, see Methods). We confirmed that marker gene-based *C. difficile* detection was not limited by sequencing depth (linear mixed effect model $p=0.35$, ANOVA, adjusted $p=0.54$; $R^2=0.01$), and that detection was not skewed towards the theoretical metagenomic detection limit of 10^{-5} relative abundance²⁴. Neither species richness (**Figure 1B**) nor composition (**Supplementary Figure 2**) differed between *C. difficile*-positive and -negative CDI samples, suggesting that *C. difficile* presence was not associated with characteristic community level shifts in these generally disbalanced microbiomes.

The microbiome of diagnosed CDI patients is characterised by an enrichment of enteropathogens beyond *C. difficile*

Several other species were enriched in prevalence or abundance in CDI patients relative to controls (**Figure 1C**, **Supplementary Figure 3**). Among these, we identified several opportunistic enteropathogens known to induce antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD), resulting in a CDI-like diarrheal symptomatology^{9,10,25–29}, such as *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Citrobacter amalonaticus*, *Clostridium innocuum*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In particular, *C. innocuum* was highly prevalent (63.2%) in CDI samples, and significantly enriched relative to both healthy and diseased controls (**Figure 1C**, Wilcoxon test $p=8.2 \times 10^{-16}$ and $p=4.3 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively). 94% of all CDI samples contained at least one of these other AAD species (*C. difficile* excluded) with variable prevalence across study populations (Fisher's test, $p=2.2 \times 10^{-16}$,

OR=29.62; **Supplementary Figure 1A and 1B**). Presence and potential toxigenicity of several enteropathogens was further confirmed by the detection of characteristic toxin genes (see Methods) that were likewise enriched in CDI samples (**Figure 1D**). In particular, enteropathogenic *E. coli* and *S. flexneri* were, either alone or in combination with other species, the most common species with toxin genes. Overall, CDI samples, independently of *C. difficile* presence, were significantly enriched ($p=2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) in species carrying toxin genes in terms of cumulative relative abundance, indicating that CDI symptomatology could be entirely or partially driven by other enteropathogens than *C. difficile*.

To integrate these univariate associations of individual species into characteristic and predictive multi-species signatures of CDI, we next trained a series of LASSO-regularised logistic regression models in a leave-one-study-out validation approach (see Methods). As expected, *C. difficile* was the most predictive species for CDI samples, although the enrichment of other putative AAD enteropathogens (such as *S. aureus* or *C. perfringens*) was also highly characteristic, in particular in CDI samples where *C. difficile* was not detectable (**Figure 2A**). Moreover, several oral species (such as *Veillonella parvula*, *Veillonella atypica* or *Rothia dentocariosa*) were enriched in CDI gut metagenomes, implying increased oral-intestinal microbial transmission³⁰ among these patients. Enrichment of common probiotics (*Lactobacillus casei*, *L. plantarum* or *L. fermentum*) in CDI is likely due to the widespread use of probiotic therapy among these patients^{31,32} or to the increased antibiotic resistance of these species³³. Using these models, CDI samples were distinguishable from diseased and healthy controls with high accuracy, at an area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUROC) of 0.78, ranging between 0.56 and 0.98 depending on the study population (**Figure 2B**), in line with a previous 16S-based investigation³⁴. Model performance moderately improved when considering only healthy controls (overall AUROC = 0.81) (**Figure 2B**). To assess the importance of *C. difficile* to predicting CDI state, we next re-trained models under explicit exclusion of *C. difficile*. Interestingly, this did not lead to a noticeable reduction in accuracy (**Figure 2B**), indicating that the presence or enrichment of *C. difficile* was indeed not an essential defining feature of CDI samples. Rather, *C. difficile* appeared to be just one of several AAD species characterising the CDI-associated microbiome in varying constellations, forming a loose clique instead of fixed co-occurring species groups.

Extensive asymptomatic carriage of *C. difficile* across age and geography

Given that *C. difficile* appears to have a much lower prevalence in CDI patients than anticipated, we next studied its prevalence in an extended collection of 253 publicly available studies, for a total of 42,814 shotgun metagenomic samples (**Supplementary Table 2**), including healthy and diseased subjects of all ages, with samples from multiple body sites, hosts, environments and geographic locations (**Figure 3A and 3B**). Results from this point onwards refer to this extended collection of samples.

C. difficile was detected almost exclusively in human and animal feces (5.9% and 1.7% prevalence, respectively) with sporadic detection in hospital surfaces (0.19%), the respiratory tract of diseased patients (0.37%) and freshwater (0.88%) (**Figure 3C** and **Supplementary Table 3**). *C. difficile* was also detectable in the colon, ileum and cecum lumen, and biopsies from the colon and rectal mucosa of healthy subjects. We again confirmed in this larger dataset that there is no relationship between *C. difficile* detection and sequencing depth (linear mixed effect model $p=0.36$; logistic regression, ANOVA adjusted $p=0.44$, **Supplementary Figure 4A**). Nevertheless, we adjusted all subsequent analyses for total sequencing depth.

In healthy human populations, both *C. difficile* prevalence and relative abundance in fecal samples varied considerably across age ranges. Infants aged one year or younger showed by far the highest *C. difficile* carriage, up to 76.5% prevalence at the age of 8 to 10 months (**Figure 4A**), at an average relative abundance of 1.1% (and up to 50% in a two-weeks-old healthy preterm infant). *C. difficile* prevalence remained elevated (44.5%) between 1 and 4 years of age, in contrast to previous reports^{35,36}. Among older individuals, *C. difficile* was increasingly rare, found in less than 1.06% of the healthy population (7.6% in adolescents, 0.92% in adults) (**Figure 4A** and **Supplementary Table 3**) at average abundances of 0.2%, suggesting a considerably lower asymptomatic carriage among adults than previously estimated (up to 15%³⁷). *C. difficile* carriage varied across geography (**Supplementary Figure 4B**) and was lower in non-westernised populations, at 4.76% and 0.35% in infants and adults, respectively.

C. difficile is enriched upon antibiotic treatment

Diseased or antibiotics-treated subjects exhibited consistently increased *C. difficile* carriage rates across all age groups (**Figure 4A**). Prevalence was highest among infants taking antibiotics (81.1%, relative abundance 2.22×10^{-2}) and infants suffering from cystic fibrosis (78.7%,

4×10^{-3}) or neonatal sepsis/necrotizing enterocolitis (55.3%, 3.2×10^{-2} ; **Supplementary Figure 5**). An elevated *C. difficile* asymptomatic carriage rate in cystic fibrosis patients is well documented³⁸ and may be the result of long-term antibiotics usage and frequent exposure to the hospital environment³⁹. Among adolescents, adults and elderly, *C. difficile* prevalence was highest in subjects taking antibiotics (on average 43.6% across age groups, relative abundance 4.4^{-3}), followed by patients suffering from CDI (30%, see above) or undefined diarrhea (25.9%). Inflammatory bowel diseases, liver diseases, diabetes, and various forms of cancer were likewise associated with an increased *C. difficile* carriage relative to the healthy background population (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

***C. difficile* is a frequent commensal in the healthy infant gut**

C. difficile was among the most prevalent species in infants during the first year of life. Carriage was increased in infants born via C-section (30.16%; logistic regression, adjusted ANOVA $p=2.1 \times 10^{-5}$, $\log OR=3.1 \times 10^{-5}$) compared to age- and health status-matched vaginally born infants (24.94%) (**Figure 4B** and **Supplementary Figure 6**). Likewise, prevalence was moderately increased among pre-term (36.17%; $p=3.3 \times 10^{-4}$, $\log OR=2.5 \times 10^{-5}$) compared to full-term healthy infants (25.62%) (**Figure 4C** and **Supplementary Figure 6**). Exclusively formula-fed infants were more frequently colonised by *C. difficile* (43.18%; $p=4.8 \times 10^{-9}$, $\log OR=5 \times 10^{-5}$), compared to partially or exclusively breastfed infants during the first 6 months of life (14.23% and 8.6% respectively) (**Figure 4D** and **Supplementary Figure 6**), in line with previous reports^{40,41}.

C. difficile was not maternally acquired during birth: across 820 mother-infant pairs included in the dataset, we found no single case of vertical transmission of *C. difficile* from any of the maternal body sites investigated (gut, vagina and oral cavity). Rather, *C. difficile* was likely sourced from the environment during early life, in line with previous observations on *Clostridia*⁴². Leveraging longitudinal data, we observed that the first appearance of *C. difficile* was not arbitrarily distributed over the first year of life, but instead concentrated in two defined time windows, between the 2nd-4th and 8th-10th months of age (**Supplementary Figure 7**). The first interval coincided with the increased strain influx from environmental sources to the infant gut reported in a previous study⁴³, probably due to the start of mouthing. The second interval, dominated by samples from the UK, overlaps with the paid maternity leave length in that country⁴⁴, suggesting that it could be due to the start of day care. C-section and premature birth were associated with increased rate of *C. difficile* appearance, compared to vaginally born and

full-term infants (**Supplementary Figure 7**). Together these results suggest that *C. difficile* is acquired from the environment, and that increased exposure to novel environmental sources increases the chances of *C. difficile* acquisition. In addition, during the first year of life, the gut microbiome of healthy infants colonised with *C. difficile* was significantly more similar, in terms of composition, to that of their mothers (**Supplementary Figure 8**).

These vast differences in *C. difficile* carriage across age did not translate into differential toxin burdens. *C. difficile* toxin genes were detectable in metagenomes of healthy *C. difficile*-positive infants (22.6%) and adults (26.8%) at similar rates (**Supplementary Figure 9**).

***C. difficile* occurs in distinct biotic and physiological contexts in infants and adults**

The *C. difficile*-associated microbiome varied considerably across age ranges. *C. difficile* carriage was associated with a significantly decreased overall species richness in subjects above 1 year of age, independently of the health status (**Figure 4E**). However, in healthy infants we observed the opposite trend: species richness was higher in subjects carrying *C. difficile* (**Figure 4E** and **Supplementary Figure 10A**), irrespective of delivery mode, gestational age or geography (**Supplementary Figure 10B** and **10C**). Species richness was also elevated in *C. difficile*-positive diseased infants, albeit to a lesser degree. In line with these trends, *C. difficile* carriage was associated with higher community evenness (lower dominance of individual species) in infants, but a more uneven community structure in adults (**Supplementary Figure 10D**).

To further characterise how the biotic context of *C. difficile* in the gut changes over lifetime, we studied its co-occurrence with other intestinal species, stratified by age group and health status. We broadly distinguished two groups of species based on their co-occurrence relationships with *C. difficile* (**Figure 5**), independently of the presence of its toxin genes. The first set of species (“Group 1”) consistently co-occurred with *C. difficile* across all age groups. Part of this group are *Clostridium paraputrificum* and *Clostridium neonatale* (**Supplementary Figure 11A**), in line with previous reports⁴⁵, an association that was conserved also in animal hosts (**Supplementary Figure 11B**). Group 1 was enriched in facultative anaerobic or aerobic species and comprised several known AAD enteropathogens, such as *K. oxytoca*, *C. perfringens*, *C. amalonaticus* or *C. innocuum*. A second set of species (“Group 2”) co-occurred with *C. difficile* exclusively in healthy infants, but showed strong avoidance patterns in later life stages and, to a lesser extent,

in diseased infants. Group 2 was characterised by obligate anaerobic species typical of the healthy adult gut microbiome and included several common butyrate producers (such as *Roseburia* sp., *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* or *Anaerostipes hadrus*) (**Figure 5** and **Supplementary Figure 12**).

To investigate whether healthy infants were associated with specific *C. difficile* strains, we performed an analysis of metagenomic single nucleotide variants (SNVs). Despite the distinct biotic context associated with *C. difficile* presence in healthy infants at the species level, *C. difficile* strain populations found in this group did not cluster separately from those identified in other age groups and health status, with consistent patterns of toxin-gene carriage (**Supplementary Figure 13**). Therefore, the general asymptomatic nature of *C. difficile* carriage in healthy infants is likely determined by its surrounding microbial community and host age, rather than specific strain groups.

Discussion

C. difficile has been predominantly studied in the context of its pathogenicity, but our analysis suggests that considering *C. difficile* exclusively as a pathogen is falling short. *C. difficile*'s role in causing antibiotics-associated diarrhea (AAD) or pseudomembranous colitis (PMC) may have been overestimated as our results strongly suggest that symptoms can also be explained by other AAD or PMC associated species, which would indicate CDI over-diagnosis in clinical practice. We detected fecal *C. difficile* in just 30% of cases diagnosed with CDI. This is consistent with the absence of *C. difficile* among CDI samples reported in previous 16S rRNA^{45,46} and WGS metagenomic studies^{14,47}, even when combined with laboratory-based tests⁴⁶. Although the sensitivity of our analysis is dependent on metagenomic detection limits in principle, we found that variance in sequencing depth did not explain the observed variability in *C. difficile* detection. While it is possible that *C. difficile* might have been successfully eradicated by the antibiotic treatments following the CDI diagnosis but anteceding the sampling, the CDI samples included in this analysis were labelled as CDI in the original studies. In this context, we hypothesise that the under-detection of *C. difficile* among CDI patients is likely due to a lack of diagnostic specificity. Proper CDI diagnosis is surprisingly challenging in current health systems, with only marginal consensus on the use of the vast array of protocols and diagnostic algorithms available in the clinical practice. Diarrheal symptoms, often the initial diagnostic prompt, are not

specific to CDI^{48–51} and pseudomembranous colitis, long thought to be characteristic (and often eponymously referred to as “*C. difficile* colitis”), may indeed be caused by other enteropathogens, such as *C. innocuum* or *E. coli*^{8,9,52}. Additional challenges include the large variability in sensitivity and specificity in diagnostic laboratory tests^{40,53–62}, contradicting results between cultivation and toxin detection assays⁶³ and an excessive reliance on single molecular tests⁶⁴. Recommended guidelines discourage stand-alone tests for CDI diagnosis and recommend a two-step procedure^{53,57}, but due to costs, test availability, capacity and turnaround times, diagnostic algorithms vary between hospitals and often deviate from recommended procedures⁵⁸. In our CDI meta-analysis, almost two thirds (62.5%) of the studies with published CDI diagnostic protocols did deviate from recommended best practices. The use of multiple alternative protocols within studies, combined with the lack of published per-sample diagnostic information, prevented further investigation of variability in *C. difficile* detection rates between different diagnostic protocols.

Simultaneous colonisation of multiple enteropathogens is very common among CDI patients^{65,66}. We found several species known to induce AAD or PMC enriched in CDI samples, along with their characteristic toxin genes, independently of *C. difficile* presence. In particular, at least one of these species is present in 90% of *C. difficile* negative CDI samples (toxins were detected in 43% of samples). While *C. difficile* was the most individually characteristic species in CDI, it was not an essential input to microbiome-based classification models accurately predicting the disease. Enteropathogens like *C. perfringens*, *C. innocuum*, *S. aureus*, *E. coli* or *S. flexneri*, among others, may contribute to or indeed drive clinical manifestations of CDI^{9,10,25–27,29}. Our data strongly suggests that differential diagnosis against multiple enteropathogens may stratify patients with CDI-like symptoms, towards adapted therapeutic interventions. Disentangling the real burden of *C. difficile* from other AAD species could also aid its classification, which remains inconsistent, with most studies classifying *C. difficile* as a pathogen, some as an opportunistic pathogen, and few others as a pathobiont. This is also attributed to the limited study of *C. difficile* in healthy individuals and hence potential commensal features. To address this, we tracked *C. difficile* across an extensive set of 42,814 metagenomes sampled from multiple habitats and hosts. Samples from the human gut (n=28,347, 66,2%) covered a wide range of subject ages, disease states and geographic origins. *C. difficile* was very common among healthy and diseased infants, peaking at >75% prevalence at 8-10 months of age. On average *C. difficile* was present in 25% of healthy infants aged 1 year or younger, in line with previous studies^{35,36}.

In healthy infants, we could associate the occurrence of *C. difficile* with an increased resemblance to the maternal gut microbial community, general increase in microbiome richness and with several obligate anaerobic commensals, butyrate producers and a generally more adult-like microbiota, indicating that *C. difficile* may be a transient hallmark of healthy gut microbiome maturation. Indeed, an increase in species richness and number of obligate anaerobes are among the community-wide changes that the infant gut microbiome undergoes during its healthy development, as shown in several previous studies^{43,67–70}. In contrast, *C. difficile* presence in adulthood was associated with decreased microbiome richness and an enrichment in facultative and obligate aerobes. Increased oxygen tolerance in the adult gut has been associated with dysbiotic states found in IBD patients and cases of *Salmonella* proliferation^{71,72}. We found multiple lines of evidence suggesting that the transition between these apparent dichotomic states (commensal in infancy, pathobiont in adulthood), begins at around 10 (± 2) months of age. We hypothesise that *C. difficile* could be an indicator species of a larger-scale and fundamental change taking place in the microbial ecology of the gut.

C. difficile toxin genes were likewise prevalent among infants, in line with previous reports^{13,73}, indicating asymptomatic carriage of toxigenic strains. Indeed, *C. difficile* testing is generally discouraged by pediatric guidelines even in presence of diarrheal symptoms^{40,74} and toxin concentration may not be a reliable proxy for inferring disease and its severity^{73,75}. The apparent protection from CDI symptoms has been ascribed to a lack of toxin receptors in the immature infant gut, although this early hypothesis⁷⁶ has since been called into question^{77,78}. The biotic context of *C. difficile* suggests additional or alternative explanations. We observed a significant enrichment in butyrate producers co-occurring with *C. difficile* in healthy infants, but not later in life nor in diseased infants. High levels of butyrate have been linked to inflammation inhibition, regulation of cell-to-cell tight junctions and increased mucin production (and therefore mucosal layer thickness and integrity)^{79,80}, all considered protective against *C. difficile* toxins⁸¹.

Our analyses are descriptive and arguably inherently limited by the underlying metagenomic data, such as DNA extraction bias against endospores⁸², incomplete correlation of gene dosage and activity⁶⁴ or general detection limits due to finite sequencing depth. Moreover, although some longitudinal data was available, time series were too sparse to infer causal relationships, such as e.g. the possible role of *C. difficile* in a partially deterministic community succession in the infant gut. To our knowledge, ours is the largest single-species metagenomic survey to date,

demonstrating the utility of metagenomic meta-studies as a nuanced approach to gut microbial ecology, beyond *C. difficile*. Overall, our results suggest that the ability of *C. difficile* to induce disease may be context-dependent and multifactorial (i.e influenced by the rest of the microbiome composition, toxin presence and host age). Further study of *C. difficile*'s association with health outcomes should therefore adopt a more holistic view of the ambivalent role of this species over the human lifespan.

Online Methods

Data overview

CDI meta-analysis

In the CDI meta-analysis, out of 534 samples in total (from as many subjects, with an average age of 60 ± 19.88 years), 234 were identified as CDI, 114 as diseased controls and 186 as healthy controls. Samples from subjects diagnosed with CDI in the original study population were classified as CDI in our meta-analysis. Samples were identified as controls in any of the following cases: (i) H-Ctr: healthy subject, reported as “control” in the metadata of the original study population; or (ii) D-ctr: diseased subject, that either had diarrheal symptoms but negative CDI diagnostic outcome, or was diarrhea-free but diagnosed for a disease other than CDI. The majority of the samples in the latter group (D-Ctr) belong to hospitalised subjects.

Global meta-analysis

We analysed a total of 42,814 publicly available metagenomic samples (collectively >220Tbp) from 253 different studies (see **Supplementary Table 2**). The collection includes samples from 84 countries, 35 animal species and 6 different human body sites: gastrointestinal tract (stools, rectal swabs and biopsies), vagina, skin, oral cavity, respiratory tract and human milk. The selected environmental samples come from potentially faecally contaminated habitats, such as wastewater, freshwater, indoor surfaces, soil and polluted harbor marine waters.

Data download

Metagenomes publicly available on the 22nd of April 2021 were downloaded using fetch-data⁸³. Only shotgun metagenomic samples sequenced using Illumina platforms have been included. No minimum number of samples per study or minimum sequencing depth threshold was applied during data download.

Metadata curation

Manually curated metadata for each dataset include: health status, age, geography (country and continent name), westernised lifestyle or not, diagnosis for *C. difficile* infection (CDI), use of antibiotics, delivery mode, premature birth (pre-term or full-term), and sex. Subjects were categorised by age group, defined as follows: $0 \leq \text{infant} \leq 12 \text{ months}$, $1 \text{ year} < \text{child} \leq 10 \text{ years}$, $10 \text{ years} < \text{adolescent} \leq 18 \text{ years}$, $18 \text{ years} < \text{adult} \leq 65 \text{ years}$, and $\text{elderly} > 65 \text{ years of age}$. If a specific age was not available, a range of age was provided in alternative. Given the broad range of perturbations in the gut microbiome associated with antibiotics intake, we ad-hoc defined “diseased” samples as any sample taken from a subject with any medically diagnosed disease or syndrome and/or intake of one or more antibiotics at the time of the sampling.

Filtering on read count

Two consecutive read count filtering steps were performed on all samples: (i) samples with zero reads were discarded ($n=1,091$) and (ii) samples with less than 59 reads, corresponding to 95thile calculated on the remaining samples, were discarded ($n=2,083$). An additional third read count filtering was performed only on human gut stool samples, corresponding to 99thile, removing samples with less than 100 reads ($n=138$ samples). The resulting filtered dataset included 39,502 samples, of which 26,784 were human fecal metagenomes.

Identification of timeseries-representative samples

Out of 26,784 samples, 24,864 had subject-level metadata and were associated with 12,402 unique subjects. For 3,576 subjects, multiple timepoints were available. The mean number of timepoints per subject was 2 (3.18 for infants, 1.68 for children, 3.56 for adolescents, 1.68 for adults and 1.34 for elderly), with a maximum of 205 time points per subject.

In order to avoid under- or over-estimating *C. difficile* prevalence, only one sample per time series was used in cross-sectional analyses. We distinguished three cases for each time series:

(i) *C. difficile* observed in all samples. In this case, the sample with the highest *C. difficile* read count is selected as representative and the corresponding subject was considered *C. difficile* positive.

(ii) *C. difficile* observed in none of the samples. In this case, the sample of the first time point was selected as representative and the corresponding subject was considered *C. difficile* negative.

(iii) *C. difficile* observed in only some samples. In this case, the sample with the highest *C. difficile* read count was selected as representative and the corresponding subject was considered *C. difficile* positive.

No subject metadata were available for 1,920 samples. In this case, we assumed one sample per subject. One representative sample for each time series was used for all downstream analyses, if not specified otherwise. The timeseries dereplication procedure described above has also been applied to the CDI study populations before downstream analysis.

Metagenomic data processing

Taxonomic profiling

Metagenomes were taxonomically profiled at the species level with mOTUs v2.0²³, requiring the confident detection of at least two taxonomic marker genes. All data analyses were conducted in the R Statistical Computing framework v3.5 or higher. Only *C. difficile* positive samples were considered for *C. difficile* relative abundance estimation.

Microbiome diversity

Local community diversities ('alpha' diversities) of human gut samples were estimated by iteratively rarefying taxonomic count tables to 100 marker gene-mapping reads and computing average Hill diversities at $q=0$ (species richness), $q=1$ (exponential Shannon entropy) and $q=2$ (inverse Simpson index), as well as evenness measures as ratios thereof. Unless otherwise stated, results in the main text refer to taxa richness. Differences in alpha diversity were tested using ANOVA followed by post hoc tests and Benjamini-Hochberg correction, as specified in the main text.

Prevalence and abundance estimations of C. difficile

Prevalence estimations of *C. difficile* over life time were based on human gut samples (stools, rectal swabs and biopsies) where the precise subject age in months was available (samples

with missing or too broad or age ranges were discarded). Both *C. difficile* mOTUs2.0 (“ref_mOTU_0051” and “ref_mOTU_0052”) were considered in downstream analysis. Abundance estimations included only *C. difficile* human stool samples with precise age metadata.

Species co-occurrence analysis

Human gut stool samples, with at least 100 reads per sample and with known age group and health status were considered for this analysis. One representative sample per time series was considered. Fisher’s exact test, followed by Benjamini-Hochberg correction, were applied to identify co-occurring species. Meaningful positive association was identified for species with adjusted p-value <0.05 and logarithm of the odds ratio >1, while meaningful negative association was identified for species with adjusted p-value <0.05 and logarithm of the odds ratio < -1.

Machine learning modelling

L1-regularised LASSO logistic regression models to predict CDI status were built using the SIAMCAT R package⁸⁴ with 10-fold cross-validation. For this analysis, we focused on the subset of 10 CDI or diarrhea-associated datasets (**Supplementary Table 1**) and then trained two different sets of models: one set of models to distinguish CDI samples and samples from healthy controls (excluding controls from diseased subjects) and another set of models to distinguish CDI samples and any type of control samples. In order to minimise overfitting and to counter batch effects⁸⁴, we pooled datasets across studies in a leave-one-study-out approach. In short, all except one study were jointly processed to train a LASSO model that was then used to predict the left-out study. Additionally, to check if the microbial signature for CDI was independent of *C. difficile*, we trained another set of models with the same cross-validation splits but excluded *C. difficile* from the feature table. Feature weights were extracted from the models, normalised by the absolute sum of feature weights, and averaged across cross-validation folds. For the heatmap in Figure 2, all microbial species that were assigned non-zero weights in at least 80% of cross-validation folds were included.

Linear mixed effect model

To test for differential abundance of microbial species between CDI and non-CDI samples while taking into account possible confounding factors, we employed linear mixed effect models as

implemented in the lmerTest package⁸⁵. After filtering for prevalence (prevalence of at least 5% in three or more studies), we tested the log-transformed abundance of each microbial species using a linear mixed effect model with "CDI status" as fixed and "Study" and "Age group" as random effects. Effect size and p-values were extracted from the model and p-values were corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. In addition, we used a linear mixed effect model to test for a relationship between sequencing depth and the ability to detect *C. difficile* in CDI study populations as well as on the wider global set of samples (considering only dereplicated samples from time series). In this analysis, study was considered as a random effect.

Pathogenic toxin genes detection in metagenomes

Identification of toxin-codifying genes and their assignment was performed using the VFDB database⁸⁶, as downloaded in March 2021. In our analysis we did not investigate the presence of the binary toxin gene, since this toxin alone has not been associated with disease severity⁸⁷. Mapping against VFDB was performed via BWA⁸⁸ and filtering via NGLess⁸⁹, using 99% as minimal alignment percentage identity threshold and 75bp as minimal read length match. Toxin gene profiles were computed with gffquant (https://github.com/grp-bork/gff_quantifier, version 1.2.3) using the 1overN count model. Toxin genes included in VFDB refer to the following strains: *C. difficile* 630, *E. coli* CFT073, O44:H18 042 and O157:H7 str. EDL933, *S. flexneri* 2a str.301, *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, *C. perfringens* str.13 and SM101, *S. aureus* RN4220, subsp. aureus MW2 and N315.

Subspecies analysis

C. difficile subspecies detection was performed on all *C. difficile* positive samples with MetaSNV2⁹⁰. Reads from 2441 samples were mapped against the proGenomes v1⁹¹ species representatives genomes for 3 species in the Clostridium genus: i) specl_v2_0051 (NCBI taxonomy ID 272563, PRJNA78) *Clostridioides difficile*, ii) specl_v2_0052 (NCBI taxonomy ID 1151292, PRJNA85757) *Clostridioides difficile* and iii) specl_v2_1125 (NCBI taxonomy ID 1408823, PRJNA223331) *Clostridioides mangenotii*.

By mapping to multiple genomes and only using the uniquely mapped reads, we essentially focus on the species-specific core genomic regions. Mappings that had at least 97% identity and a match length of at least 45bp were kept. Mapping and filtering was performed using BWA⁸⁸ and NGLess⁸⁹. Reads that mapped uniquely across the 3 reference genomes were used

to call single nucleotide variants (SNVs) using metaSNV2 with default parameters. Of the initial 2441 samples, 197 passed the requirements for robust SNV calling (8%) and 179 could be used to detect subspecies presence. Substructure within the population was assessed in the resultant SNV profiles according to previously reported approach^{88,92}. Briefly, dissimilarities between samples were calculated based on SNV abundance profiles and the resultant distance matrix was tested for clusters using the Prediction Strength algorithm⁹³. Distance matrix is plotted using R and the pheatmap package with average clustering. Six samples with extreme dissimilarity to all other samples were removed from the distance matrix for illustrative purposes (SAMN08918181, SAMN09980608, SAMN10722477, SAMN13091313, SAMN13091317, SAMN13091322).

Building SNP-tree

SNPs obtained via metaSNV2 were arranged into an alignment PHY input format file suitable for IQTREE2 tree-builder⁹⁴. Sites which had multiple variants/alleles (in this case only SNPs) were replaced with alternative non-reference allele if they were supported by >50% of reads mapped against specI_v2_0051 (NCBI taxonomy ID 272563, PRJNA78), see 'Subspecies analysis' section for mapping details. This results in forced fixing of alleles across polymorphic sites within each sample. To include specI_v2_0052 (NCBI taxonomy ID 1151292, PRJNA85757) *Clostridioides difficile* and specI_v2_1125 (NCBI taxonomy ID 1408823, PRJNA223331) *Clostridioides mangenotii* into SNP input file for IQTREE2 we used Mauve⁹⁵ to 1) order contigs of both genomes against specI_v2_0051 genome, 2) align ordered contigs, 3) export SNP profile from Mauve and further merged alignment SNP profile on metaSNV2 output file using matching positions. Missing coverage was kept as gaps in the alignment and tree construction. Following command was used to build phylogenetic tree: `iqtree -s <input snp file> -m GTR+ASC -B 1000`.

Data availability

Raw metagenomic sequencing data have been uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive under the accession number PRJEB50977. The accession numbers relative to the other public studies included in this meta-analysis are available in Supplementary Table 2. The filtered taxonomic profiles and associated metadata used for the analyses are available in

Supplementary Tables 4-6. The analysis code and commands used in the project are deposited in the GitHub repository at <https://github.com/pamela314/cdifficile>.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the members of the groups of Peer Bork, Georg Zeller and Ed Kuiper, Prof. Thomas Dandekar (University of Würzburg) and Dr. Athanasios Typas (EMBL) for fruitful discussions. We are also grateful to Lia Oken for her continuous support and her availability for brainstorming sessions. We acknowledge funding from EMBL and the European Research Council (MicrobioS grant no. ERC-AdG-669830 to P.B.). This work comprises results from Pamela Ferretti's doctoral thesis.

Figure & Table Legends

Figure 1. (A) On top, fecal metagenomes used in the meta-analysis from 10 public CDI or diarrheal study populations, divided by groups: subjects diagnosed with CDI (CDI, n=234), subjects diagnosed with other diseases than CDI (D-Ctr, n=114), and healthy subjects (H-Ctr, n=186) samples. See Methods for further group description. At the bottom, fraction of *C. difficile* positive samples per group. *C. difficile* toxin genes were found only among CDI *C. difficile* positive samples. (B) Rarefied species richness across the three groups. (C) Prevalence, relative abundance of species that induce antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) among CDI and controls. (D) Prevalence of single and multiple toxigenic species found among *C. difficile* positive and *C. difficile* negative samples, divided by sample group. Mean comparison p-values calculated using Wilcoxon-test.

Figure 2. (A) Microbial species signature associated with CDI, healthy controls and diseased controls, as seen by LASSO model and (B) its associated AUC values for all samples as well as for single study populations, when comparing CDI to healthy and diseased controls combined (left) and CDI with healthy controls only (right). In the latter, the AUC value of Vincent_2016 is left intentionally blank as only diseased controls (D-Ctr) are available for this study population (see Supplementary Table 1).

Figure 3. (A) Overview of the global dataset collection composed of 42,814 samples, from 253 publicly available studies, including host-associated and environmental samples (internal ring), and

their subcategories (external ring). The human gut was the most represented environment (66,2%, n=28,347) in our collection. (B) Stratification of the human gut samples, divided by health status and age group (see Methods for detailed age group description, numbers refer to the number of samples prior to read filtering). (C) Prevalence of *C. difficile* positive samples per category. Total values refer to the number of samples after the initial read filtering and before time series dereplication (see Methods). From this point onwards, results refer to this extended collection of 42,814 samples.

Figure 4. (A) *C. difficile* prevalence in stool samples in healthy and diseased human (left) and animal (right) hosts over lifetime. *C. difficile* prevalence divided by (B) health status and delivery mode, (C) health status and prematurity and (D) feeding mode within the first semester of life. For animal samples, only species with at least ten total samples are shown. (E) Species richness of human stool samples, with and without *C. difficile*, across age groups in healthy (left) and diseased subjects (right). See Methods for details on age group definitions. For samples belonging to time series, only the representative samples are included in the estimations (see Methods). Mean comparison p-values calculated using t-test.

Figure 5. Species associated with *C. difficile*, divided by age group and health status: significant positive associations are shown in dark orange, significant negative ones in dark blue (p-values adjusted using BH). Lighter shades indicate non significant associations. Only species significantly associated with *C. difficile* in at least one age group are shown. Number of samples per each category shown in the lower part. See Methods for details on age group definitions. Species group separation was not affected by presence of *C. difficile* toxin genes (data not shown). On the right, per species annotation of their i) oxygen requirement (see also Supplementary Figure 12 for the differential enrichment across the two groups) and ii) trend over lifetime. Positive Spearman's rho values indicate species more commonly found in the gut microbiome of healthy adults, negative values the opposite trend.

Supplementary figure 1. (A) Prevalence of *C. difficile* and other antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) species, divided by study. For *C. difficile* only, the portion of samples with toxigenic *C. difficile* is shown (dotted segments). CDI diagnosis procedure is shown on top of each study. Each row represents the diagnostic algorithm (combination of tests) used to diagnose CDI. Tests performed are indicated with black dots (white if not). For example, in “Langdon et al. 2021”, CDI was diagnosed if symptoms were present and toxigenic culture for *C. difficile* was positive, or if symptoms were present and the enzymatic immunoassays for *C. difficile* was positive, or if pseudomembranous colitis was identified. Diagnostic protocols abbreviations: “EIA”: Enzymatic

ImmunoAssays, “GDH”: glutamate dehydrogenase, “NAAT” nucleic acid amplification test (including PCR), “PMC”: pseudomembranous colitis. (B) Prevalence of the number of AAD species (*C. difficile* not included) identified in each CDI sample, divided by *C. difficile* positivity.

Supplementary Figure 2. Species-level composition for CDI, diseased control and healthy control samples as seen by mOTUs v2.0. Species with minimum relative abundance ≥ 0.01 and prevalence ≥ 0.1 are shown.

Supplementary figure 3. Species significantly enriched (in yellow) or depleted (in blue) in terms of relative abundance in CDI compared to diseased and healthy controls, as identified by linear mixed effect model analysis. Species known to cause antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) are highlighted in red. *C. difficile* is not included in the analysis. Study and age group were considered as nuisance variables (and modeled as random effects). To be noted that *Enterobacter* sp. (ref_mOTU_0036) includes *Shigella flexneri* and *Escherichia coli*.

Supplementary figure 4. (A) Adjusted p-values for ANOVA analysis aiming to explain variance in *C. difficile* presence by the available metadata, either as single factors (two top rows) or by a combination of multiple factors (sequential, bottom rows, in the exact order shown along the X axis), in data sets that were broken down by age group (infants versus adults). (B) Geographical distribution across continents and countries for *C. difficile* prevalence in the stools of healthy infants (left) and adults (right). Only countries with *C. difficile* prevalence above 1% are shown. Prevalence was calculated based on presence/absence of *C. difficile* from mOTUs v2.0³⁰ taxonomic profiles.

Supplementary figure 5. Prevalence of *C. difficile* across subjects diagnosed with specific diseases (left) and subjects taking antibiotics (right). Average *C. difficile* prevalence is shown with grey bars, age-group specific prevalence is highlighted with colored dots. Number of datasets used for prevalence estimations is reported in the lower part. Abbreviations: NEC: “Necrotizing enterocolitis”; ASCVD: “atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease”; T2D: “Type 2 diabetes”; CRC: “Colorectal cancer”; ADA: “advanced adenoma”; NAA: “non-advanced adenoma”. See Methods for details on age group definitions.

Supplementary figure 6. *C. difficile* prevalence in infants and children, divided by possible combinations of health status, prematurity and delivery mode.

Supplementary figure 7. Time of the first appearance of *C. difficile* in infants and children timeseries. The grey line indicates the total number of samples per age interval, considering all samples independently of health status, delivery mode, gestational age and diet.

Supplementary figure 8. Community similarity (Bray-Curtis index) of healthy infant-mother pairs in presence or absence of *C. difficile* in stools across the first four years of life. Only full-term infant samples were included.

Supplementary figure 9. Prevalence of *C. difficile* toxin genes in the stools of healthy and diseased subjects over lifetime, based on the detection of either one or both of *C. difficile* Toxin A (TcdA) or Toxin B genes (TcdB) (see Methods for details). Prevalence is calculated on the number of *C. difficile* positive samples. *C. difficile* toxin genes were exclusively found among human stool samples. See Methods for details on age group definitions.

Supplementary figure 10. Alpha diversity calculations in *C. difficile* positive and negative samples. (A) Species richness in healthy infants with detailed age resolution. (B-C) species richness in infant samples divided by delivery mode, gestational age and health status. (D) Species evenness (as the inverse Simpson index divided by Richness) across age groups and health status. Species richness Continents with at least five *C. difficile* positive samples are shown. The number of samples per group is shown under each boxplot. Mean comparison p-values calculated using t-test. See Methods for details on age group definitions.

Supplementary figure 11. Species with co-occurrence ($\log OR > 0$) or co-exclusion ($\log OR < 0$) pattern with *C. difficile* across gut stool samples from humans ($n=14,095$) (A) and animals ($n=3,967$) (B). Labelled species are highlighted in aquamarine and violet, for humans and animals respectively. *Clostridium paraputrificum* and *Clostridium neonatale* were among the very few species significantly associated with *C. difficile* in both human and animal stools.

Supplementary Figure 12. Spearman's Rho values for the species listed in Figure 5, across the two groups.

Supplementary Figure 13. SNV similarity across *C. difficile* positive samples from our global metagenomic survey. Tree rooted with *Clostridioides mangenotii* as outgroup. Missing metadata

are shown in white. All metagenomes have ANI similarity >95%. See Methods for detailed analysis description.

Supplementary Table 1. Overview of the public metagenomic CDI study populations included in the CDI meta-analysis, divided by sample group.

Supplementary Table 2. Overview of the public metagenomic studies included in the global meta-analysis.

Supplementary Table 3. Mean *C. difficile* prevalence and relative abundance estimation across age groups and health status.

Supplementary Table 4-5. Samples metadata before and after timeseries dereplication.

Supplementary Table 6. Samples filtered taxonomic profiles, as provided by mOTUs v2.0.

References

1. Hall, I. C. & O'toole, E. INTESTINAL FLORA IN NEW-BORN INFANTS: WITH A DESCRIPTION OF A NEW PATHOGENIC ANAEROBE, BACILLUS DIFFICILIS. *Am. J. Dis. Child.* **49**, 390–402 (1935).
2. Bartlett, J. G., Moon, N., Chang, T. W., Taylor, N. & Onderdonk, A. B. Role of *Clostridium difficile* in antibiotic-associated pseudomembranous colitis. *Gastroenterology* **75**, 778–782 (1978).
3. Voth, D. E. & Ballard, J. D. *Clostridium difficile* toxins: mechanism of action and role in disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **18**, 247–263 (2005).
4. Zhang, S. *et al.* Cost of hospital management of *Clostridium difficile* infection in United States-a meta-analysis and modelling study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **16**, 447 (2016).
5. CDC. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2019. (2019) doi:10.15620/cdc:82532.
6. Smits, W. K., Lyras, D., Lacy, D. B., Wilcox, M. H. & Kuijper, E. J. *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **2**, 16020 (2016).
7. Kociolek, L. K. & Gerding, D. N. Breakthroughs in the treatment and prevention of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **13**, 150–160 (2016).
8. Tang, D. M., Urrunaga, N. H. & von Rosenvinge, E. C. Pseudomembranous colitis: Not always *Clostridium difficile*. *Cleve. Clin. J. Med.* **83**, 361–366 (2016).
9. Chia, J.-H. *et al.* *Clostridium innocuum* is a vancomycin-resistant pathogen that may cause antibiotic-associated diarrhoea. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **24**, 1195–1199 (2018).
10. Larcombe, S., Hutton, M. L., Riley, T. V., Abud, H. E. & Lyras, D. Diverse bacterial species contribute to antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and gastrointestinal damage. *J. Infect.* **77**, 417–426 (2018).
11. Galdys, A. L. *et al.* Prevalence and duration of asymptomatic *Clostridium difficile* carriage among healthy subjects in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 2406–2409

- (2014).
12. Kato, H. *et al.* Colonisation and transmission of *Clostridium difficile* in healthy individuals examined by PCR ribotyping and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **50**, 720–727 (2001).
 13. Rousseau, C. *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* carriage in healthy infants in the community: a potential reservoir for pathogenic strains. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **55**, 1209–1215 (2012).
 14. Vincent, C. *et al.* Bloom and bust: intestinal microbiota dynamics in response to hospital exposures and *Clostridium difficile* colonization or infection. *Microbiome* **4**, 12 (2016).
 15. Smillie, C. S. *et al.* Strain Tracking Reveals the Determinants of Bacterial Engraftment in the Human Gut Following Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. *Cell Host Microbe* **23**, 229–240.e5 (2018).
 16. Podlesny, D. & Florian Fricke, W. Microbial Strain Engraftment, Persistence and Replacement after Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. doi:10.1101/2020.09.29.20203638.
 17. Kim, J. *et al.* Quantitative characterization of *Clostridioides difficile* population in the gut microbiome of patients with *C. difficile* infection and their association with clinical factors. *Scientific Reports* vol. 10 (2020).
 18. Langdon, A. *et al.* Microbiota restoration reduces antibiotic-resistant bacteria gut colonization in patients with recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection from the open-label PUNCH CD study. *Genome Med.* **13**, 28 (2021).
 19. Monaghan, T. M. *et al.* Metagenomics reveals impact of geography and acute diarrheal disease on the Central Indian human gut microbiome. *Gut Microbes* **12**, 1752605 (2020).
 20. Stewart, D. B. *et al.* Integrated Meta-omics Reveals a Fungus-Associated Bacteriome and Distinct Functional Pathways in *Clostridioides difficile* Infection. *mSphere* vol. 4 (2019).
 21. Kumar, R. *et al.* Identification of donor microbe species that colonize and persist long term in the recipient after fecal transplant for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. *npj Biofilms and Microbiomes* vol. 3 (2017).

22. Watson, A. R. *et al.* Adaptive ecological processes and metabolic independence drive microbial colonization and resilience in the human gut. doi:10.1101/2021.03.02.433653.
23. Milanese, A. *et al.* Microbial abundance, activity and population genomic profiling with mOTUs2. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1014 (2019).
24. Parks, D. H. *et al.* Evaluation of the Microba Community Profiler for Taxonomic Profiling of Metagenomic Datasets From the Human Gut Microbiome. *Front. Microbiol.* **0**, (2021).
25. Kiu, R. & Hall, L. J. An update on the human and animal enteric pathogen *Clostridium perfringens*. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **7**, 141 (2018).
26. Zollner-Schwetz, I. *et al.* Role of *Klebsiella oxytoca* in antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **47**, e74–8 (2008).
27. Högenauer, C., Hammer, H. F., Krejs, G. J. & Reisinger, E. C. Mechanisms and management of antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **27**, 702–710 (1998).
28. Chia, J.-H. *et al.* *Clostridium innocuum* is a significant vancomycin-resistant pathogen for extraintestinal clostridial infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **23**, 560–566 (2017).
29. Larcombe, S., Hutton, M. L. & Lyras, D. Involvement of Bacteria Other Than *Clostridium difficile* in Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhoea. *Trends Microbiol.* **24**, 463–476 (2016).
30. Schmidt, T. S. *et al.* Extensive transmission of microbes along the gastrointestinal tract. *Elife* **8**, (2019).
31. Golić, N. *et al.* In vitro and in vivo antagonistic activity of new probiotic culture against *Clostridium difficile* and *Clostridium perfringens*. *BMC Microbiol.* **17**, 108 (2017).
32. Na, X. & Kelly, C. Probiotics in *clostridium difficile* Infection. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **45 Suppl**, S154–8 (2011).
33. Klare, I. *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Lactobacillus*, *Pediococcus* and *Lactococcus* human isolates and cultures intended for probiotic or nutritional use. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **59**, 900–912 (2007).
34. Schubert, A. M. *et al.* Microbiome data distinguish patients with *Clostridium difficile* infection

- and non-C. difficile-associated diarrhea from healthy controls. *MBio* **5**, e01021–14 (2014).
35. Jangi, S. & Thomas Lamont, J. Asymptomatic Colonization by *Clostridium difficile* in Infants: Implications for Disease in Later Life. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* vol. 51 2–7 (2010).
 36. Lees, E. A., Miyajima, F., Pirmohamed, M. & Carrol, E. D. The role of *Clostridium difficile* in the paediatric and neonatal gut - a narrative review. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **35**, 1047–1057 (2016).
 37. Crobach, M. J. T. *et al.* Understanding *Clostridium difficile* Colonization. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **31**, (2018).
 38. Deane, J. *et al.* A multicentre analysis of *Clostridium difficile* in persons with Cystic Fibrosis demonstrates that carriage may be transient and highly variable with respect to strain and level. *J. Infect.* **82**, 363–370 (2021).
 39. Bauer, M. P. *et al.* Patients with cystic fibrosis have a high carriage rate of non-toxigenic *Clostridium difficile*. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **20**, O446–9 (2014).
 40. McDonald, L. C. *et al.* Clinical Practice Guidelines for *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Adults and Children: 2017 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA). *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **66**, e1–e48 (2018).
 41. Drall, K. M. *et al.* *Clostridioides difficile* Colonization Is Differentially Associated With Gut Microbiome Profiles by Infant Feeding Modality at 3–4 Months of Age. *Frontiers in Immunology* vol. 10 (2019).
 42. Korpela, K. *et al.* Selective maternal seeding and environment shape the human gut microbiome. *Genome Res.* **28**, 561–568 (2018).
 43. Ferretti, P. *et al.* Mother-to-Infant Microbial Transmission from Different Body Sites Shapes the Developing Infant Gut Microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 133–145.e5 (2018).
 44. Thevenon, O., Adema, W. & Clarke, C. *Background brief on fathers' leave and its use.*

- <http://rgdoi.net/10.13140/RG.2.2.27717.24808> (2016) doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.27717.24808.
45. Daquigan, N., Seekatz, A. M., Greathouse, K. L., Young, V. B. & White, J. R. High-resolution profiling of the gut microbiome reveals the extent of *Clostridium difficile* burden. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **3**, 35 (2017).
 46. Seekatz, A. M., Rao, K., Santhosh, K. & Young, V. B. Dynamics of the fecal microbiome in patients with recurrent and nonrecurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Genome Med.* **8**, 47 (2016).
 47. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Metagenomic Approach for Identification of the Pathogens Associated with Diarrhea in Stool Specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **54**, 368–375 (2016).
 48. Bartlett, J. G. & Gerding, D. N. Clinical recognition and diagnosis of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **46 Suppl 1**, S12–8 (2008).
 49. Polage, C. R., Solnick, J. V. & Cohen, S. H. Nosocomial diarrhea: evaluation and treatment of causes other than *Clostridium difficile*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **55**, 982–989 (2012).
 50. Jackson, M., Olefson, S., Machan, J. T. & Kelly, C. R. A high rate of alternative diagnoses in patients referred for presumed *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **50**, 742–746 (2016).
 51. Reich, N. *et al.* Prospective Review of *Clostridioides difficile* Testing Indications to Inform Local Laboratory Stewardship Initiatives. *Infection Prevention in Practice* **1**, 100017 (2019).
 52. Tang, D. M. *et al.* Pseudomembranous Colitis: Not Always Caused by *Clostridium difficile*. *Case Rep. Med.* **2014**, 812704 (2014).
 53. Gateau, C., Couturier, J., Coia, J. & Barbut, F. How to: diagnose infection caused by *Clostridium difficile*. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **24**, 463–468 (2018).
 54. Humphries, R. M., Uslan, D. Z. & Rubin, Z. Performance of *Clostridium difficile* toxin enzyme immunoassay and nucleic acid amplification tests stratified by patient disease severity. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **51**, 869–873 (2013).
 55. Burnham, C.-A. D. & Carroll, K. C. Diagnosis of *Clostridium difficile* infection: an ongoing

- conundrum for clinicians and for clinical laboratories. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **26**, 604–630 (2013).
56. Lee, H. S., Plechot, K., Gohil, S. & Le, J. Clostridium difficile: Diagnosis and the Consequence of Over Diagnosis. *Infect Dis Ther* **10**, 687–697 (2021).
 57. Crobach, M. J. T. *et al.* European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases: update of the diagnostic guidance document for Clostridium difficile infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **22 Suppl 4**, S63–81 (2016).
 58. Tenover, F. C., Baron, E. J., Peterson, L. R. & Persing, D. H. Laboratory diagnosis of Clostridium difficile infection can molecular amplification methods move us out of uncertainty? *J. Mol. Diagn.* **13**, 573–582 (2011).
 59. Rodriguez, C. *et al.* Laboratory identification of anaerobic bacteria isolated on Clostridium difficile selective medium. *Acta Microbiol. Immunol. Hung.* **63**, 171–184 (2016).
 60. Martínez-Meléndez, A. *et al.* Current knowledge on the laboratory diagnosis of Clostridium difficile infection. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **23**, 1552–1567 (2017).
 61. Litvin, M. *et al.* Identification of a pseudo-outbreak of Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) and the effect of repeated testing, sensitivity, and specificity on perceived prevalence of CDI. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **30**, 1166–1171 (2009).
 62. Website. ‘BBLTM Clostridium Difficile Selective Agar.’ n.d. Accessed October 21, 2021. <https://www.bd.com/resource.aspx?IDX=20564>.
 63. Parks, T., Chapman, T., Culver, E. & Scarborough, M. Persistent diarrhoea after presumed Clostridium difficile infection at Oxford Radcliffe Hospitals NHS Trust: an unrecognised entity. *Gut* **60**, A72–A72 (2011).
 64. Polage, C. R. *et al.* Overdiagnosis of Clostridium difficile Infection in the Molecular Test Era. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **175**, 1792–1801 (2015).
 65. Hensgens, M. P. M. *et al.* Diarrhoea in general practice: when should a Clostridium difficile infection be considered? Results of a nested case-control study. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **20**,

O1067–74 (2014).

66. Spina, A. *et al.* Spectrum of enteropathogens detected by the FilmArray GI Panel in a multicentre study of community-acquired gastroenteritis. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **21**, 719–728 (2015).
67. Bäckhed, F. *et al.* Dynamics and Stabilization of the Human Gut Microbiome during the First Year of Life. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**, 852 (2015).
68. Yassour, M. *et al.* Natural history of the infant gut microbiome and impact of antibiotic treatment on bacterial strain diversity and stability. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **8**, 343ra81 (2016).
69. Chu, D. M. *et al.* Maturation of the infant microbiome community structure and function across multiple body sites and in relation to mode of delivery. *Nat. Med.* **23**, 314–326 (2017).
70. Rodríguez, J. M. *et al.* The composition of the gut microbiota throughout life, with an emphasis on early life. *Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.* **26**, 26050 (2015).
71. Rigottier-Gois, L. Dysbiosis in inflammatory bowel diseases: the oxygen hypothesis. *ISME J.* **7**, 1256–1261 (2013).
72. Rivera-Chávez, F. *et al.* Depletion of Butyrate-Producing Clostridia from the Gut Microbiota Drives an Aerobic Luminal Expansion of Salmonella. *Cell Host Microbe* **19**, 443–454 (2016).
73. Kubota, H. *et al.* Longitudinal Investigation of Carriage Rates, Counts, and Genotypes of Toxigenic *Clostridium difficile* in Early Infancy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 5806–5814 (2016).
74. Schutze, G. E., Willoughby, R. E., Committee on Infectious Diseases & American Academy of Pediatrics. *Clostridium difficile* infection in infants and children. *Pediatrics* **131**, 196–200 (2013).
75. Davis, M. Y., Zhang, H., Brannan, L. E., Carman, R. J. & Boone, J. H. Rapid change of fecal microbiome and disappearance of *Clostridium difficile* in a colonized infant after

- transition from breast milk to cow milk. *Microbiome* **4**, 53 (2016).
76. Chang, T. W., Sullivan, N. M. & Wilkins, T. D. Insusceptibility of fetal intestinal mucosa and fetal cells to *Clostridium difficile* toxins. *Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao* **7**, 448–453 (1986).
 77. Keel, M. K. & Songer, J. G. The distribution and density of *Clostridium difficile* toxin receptors on the intestinal mucosa of neonatal pigs. *Vet. Pathol.* **44**, 814–822 (2007).
 78. Egloff, R. *et al.* Diminished *Clostridium difficile* toxin A sensitivity in newborn rabbit ileum is associated with decreased toxin A receptor. *J. Clin. Invest.* **90**, 822–829 (1992).
 79. Cornick, S., Tawiah, A. & Chadee, K. Roles and regulation of the mucus barrier in the gut. *Tissue Barriers* **3**, e982426 (2015).
 80. Willemsen, L. E. M., Koetsier, M. A., van Deventer, S. J. H. & van Tol, E. A. F. Short chain fatty acids stimulate epithelial mucin 2 expression through differential effects on prostaglandin E(1) and E(2) production by intestinal myofibroblasts. *Gut* **52**, 1442–1447 (2003).
 81. Pruitt, R. N. & Lacy, D. B. Toward a structural understanding of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2**, 28 (2012).
 82. Felczykowska, A., Krajewska, A., Zielińska, S. & Łoś, J. M. Sampling, metadata and DNA extraction - important steps in metagenomic studies. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* **62**, 151–160 (2015).
 83. Coelho, L. P. *et al.* Towards the biogeography of prokaryotic genes. *Nature* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04233-4.
 84. Wirbel, J. *et al.* Microbiome meta-analysis and cross-disease comparison enabled by the SIAMCAT machine learning toolbox. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 93 (2021).
 85. Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B. & Christensen, R. H. B. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. *Journal of Statistical Software* vol. 82 (2017).
 86. Liu, B., Zheng, D., Jin, Q., Chen, L. & Yang, J. VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D687–D692 (2019).

87. Goldenberg, S. D. & French, G. L. Lack of association of tcdC type and binary toxin status with disease severity and outcome in toxigenic *Clostridium difficile*. *J. Infect.* **62**, 355–362 (2011).
88. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
89. Coelho, L. P. *et al.* NG-meta-profiler: fast processing of metagenomes using NGLess, a domain-specific language. *Microbiome* **7**, 84 (2019).
90. Van Rossum, T. *et al.* metaSNV v2: detection of SNVs and subspecies in prokaryotic metagenomes. *Bioinformatics* (2021) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab789.
91. Mende, D. R. *et al.* proGenomes: a resource for consistent functional and taxonomic annotations of prokaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D529–D534 (2017).
92. Costea, P. I. *et al.* Subspecies in the global human gut microbiome. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **13**, 960 (2017).
93. Yuan, Q., Guo, X., Ren, Y., Wen, X. & Gao, L. Cluster correlation based method for lncRNA-disease association prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics* **21**, 180 (2020).
94. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).
95. Darling, A. C. E., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R. & Perna, N. T. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res.* **14**, 1394–1403 (2004).

A

B

C