1 **Sex differences in migration timing influence the network structure of avian**
2 **assemblages at a stopover site**

3

4 Bruno Bellisario^{1*}, Massimiliano Cardinale², Ivan Maggini³, Leonida Fusani^{3,4}, Claudio
5 Carere⁵

6

7 ¹Department of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences (DAFNE), Tuscia University of Viterbo, Italy

8 ²Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine
9 Research, Lysekil, Sweden

10 ³Austrian Ornithological Centre, Konrad-Lorenz Institute of Ethology, University of Veterinary Medicine
11 Vienna, Austria

12 ⁴Department of Cognitive Biology, University of Vienna, Austria

13 ⁵Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences (DEB), Tuscia University of Viterbo, Italy

14

15 *Corresponding author

16

17   **Abstract**

18

19   1. Shifts in migration phenology aimed at minimizing possible mismatches between the
20   timing of breeding and seasonal peaks in the availability of food resources are a well-
21   documented phenomenon in birds. As the extent of phenological adjustments are
22   likely to differ between species, populations, sexes and age classes, these shifts may
23   exert a strong influence on the potential interferences among co-migrants during
24   their journey to breeding grounds. This can be particularly true for species showing
25   asynchronous migration driven by sex-related mechanisms (i.e., protandry), for
26   which not only the decision on ‘when’, but also ‘with whom’ to move might
27   represent a way to minimize the costs associated with migrations.

28   2. Here, we used an extensive dataset from 16 years of standardized bird monitoring at
29   the spring stopover area on the island of Ponza (Italy), to study how long-term
30   changes in the phenology of species with protandric migration patterns affect the
31   rewiring of potential interferences in avian assemblages.

32   3. For each year, we reconstructed the temporal co-occurrence networks of females and
33   males of 17 sexually dimorphic species known to exhibit phenological shifts in their
34   migratory behaviour and measured network-specific properties related to
35   connectivity and cohesion. We also provided a measure of ‘co-migration fidelity’,
36   defined as the degree to which females and males tend to follow a consistent order of
37   association over time.

38   4. Co-occurrence networks in males showed higher cohesion and lower connectivity
39   than their female’s counterparts and a more clustered pattern in terms of co-
40   migration fidelity, with species wintering in sub-Saharan Africa having a more

41 pronounced tendency to aggregate in semi-independent groups of species
 42 characterized by common timing of migration with different foraging strategies.

43 5. The observed differences in the rewiring of associations over time showed an
 44 increasing clustering of species having common responses to changing migration
 45 phenology in males, but not in females. This finding suggests a sex-decoupled effect
 46 of changing migration phenology on the onset of potential interferences in migratory
 47 birds, possibly influencing the global migration economy of landbirds in the
 48 Palearctic-African migration system.

49

50 **Keywords:** protandric migrations; stopover areas; co-occurrence networks; migration
 51 phenology; co-migration patterns

52

53 **Introduction**

54

55 Migration represents a key life-history event for a huge variety of species, involving the
 56 seasonal movement of individuals in response to temporal and geographic variations of
 57 resource availability (Gauthreaux Jr., 1982). Alongside endogenous (e.g., circannual
 58 rhythms) and exogenous (e.g., photoperiodic cues) mechanisms (Gwinner, 1996;
 59 Maggini et al., 2010), the onset of favourable environmental conditions and food
 60 availability *en route* are key elements driving the timing of migration in birds
 61 (Hasselquist et al., 2017; Thorup et al., 2017; Ruthrauff et al., 2019).

62 Global change poses a major threat to animal migration as it drives restructuring of
 63 important phenological events worldwide (Walther et al., 2002; Cresswell & McCleery,
 64 2003; Visser et al., 2004). As climate change does not act equally across the globe, the
 65 timing at which major phenological changes occur might not always be the same across
 66 biota. For instance, arrival dates at breeding grounds of the Central-European
 67 populations of Pied flycatchers (*Ficedula hypoleuca*) showed no significant
 68 synchronization with advances in food-peak dates due to warming temperature, leading
 69 to a drastic decline (ca. 90%) in the abundance of those populations arriving after the
 70 peak (Both et al., 2006). Overall, differences in climatic conditions along migratory
 71 routes, as well as at wintering, stopover and breeding areas (Tryjanowski et al., 2002;
 72 Ahola et al., 2004; Gordo et al., 2005) increase the chance of phenological mismatches,
 73 (de)synchronizing the potential interactions between birds and the species with which
 74 they interact, including their food sources, predators and competitors.

75 Within this context, migratory connectivity, defined as the ‘geographic linking of
 76 individuals and populations between one life cycle stage and another’ (Webster et al.,

2002) represents a key factor having far-reaching consequences at multiple ecological scales, from individual fitness to community dynamics and ecosystem functioning. To date, most studies relied on a purely spatial perspective aimed at determining the extent to which different areas of a species' annual range are linked by the movement paths of individuals (Webster et al., 2002; Finch et al., 2017). The quantification of migratory connectivity can give insights on how changing climatic conditions *en route* might influence the ability of areas used by species to sustain migratory flows (e.g., migratory hot spots, *sensu* Bellisario, 2018). However, an explicit consideration of the temporal dimension (*sensu* Bauer, 2016) is fundamental to understand 'how', 'when' and 'for how long' species change their migratory patterns and what are the consequences at multiple ecological levels.

Species are known to change their migration phenology by advancing their departures from wintering areas in response to endogenous and/or exogenous mechanisms associated with changing climate conditions (Bairlein & Winkel, 2001; Lehikoinen et al., 2004; Maggini et al., 2020). However, such responses differ between species, and factors as population, sex, age, ecological requirements might affect the ability of species to change migration phenology (Hötter, 2002; Saino et al., 2004; Rubolini et al., 2007; Both, 2010; Hedlund et al., 2014; Emmenegger et al., 2016). A typical example is protandry, with males advancing their departures with respect to females, thus leading to asynchronous (differential) migratory dynamics within species (Rainio et al., 2007). Moreover, phenotypic plasticity and microevolutionary changes can influence the long-term responses of species to track changes along migratory routes, leading in this case to differences in the consistency of migration pattern (i.e., how repeatable migration phenology and synchrony are over time, Bauer, 2016).

101 Migrations involve the simultaneous movement of multiple species at once,
 102 connecting widely separated and diverse communities potentially having a strong
 103 impact on the dynamic and stability of ecosystems. Migratory routes of different species
 104 often converge both in space and time (i.e., co-migration, *sensu* Cohen & Satterfield,
 105 2020), creating the conditions for the emergence of direct and indirect ecological
 106 interactions, including competition, predation, facilitation and even social interactions
 107 (Cohen & Satterfield, 2020). For instance, studies have shown how the dynamic and
 108 prevalence of parasites transmission, as well as transient dynamics in lake ecosystems,
 109 can be somehow related to migration timing (Brodersen et al., 2008; Peacock et al.,
 110 2020). Similarly, the onset of intra- and interspecific competition may be affected by
 111 synchronous and asynchronous migrations, by excluding inferior competitors from
 112 high-quality foraging areas (Eichhorn et al., 2009, Beauchamp, 2012) or promoting
 113 coexistence when the joint use of a resource increases its quality and productivity
 114 (Holdo et al., 2007). Migration timing can also influence the outcomes of social
 115 interactions, as observed in songbirds migrating along the coasts of Baltic Sea, which
 116 use acoustic information as cues to assess the suitability of potential stopover habitats
 117 during landfall (Németh & Moore, 2007; Mukhin et al., 2008). However, despite the
 118 ecological importance of emerging interactions between co-occurring migrants, we still
 119 lack a proper understanding on how long-term phenological changes acting on sexes
 120 affect the restructuring of avian assemblages *en route*.

121 Investigating the causes and the effects of changing migration phenology from a
 122 community point of view entails inherent difficulties associated with the need to
 123 constantly track and monitor animals across oceans and continents (Cohen &
 124 Satterfield, 2020). A further source of uncertainty derives from the need to

125 appropriately model multi-species temporal movements to identify recurrent patterns in
 126 the aggregation of species and forecast the consequences of global change on
 127 migrations. Within this context, co-occurrence analysis and co-occurrence networks are
 128 valuable tools to elucidate mechanisms driving species assemblages. Recently, García-
 129 Navas et al. (2021) used null model approaches on 20 years of abundance data to
 130 investigate co-occurrence patterns in bird communities inhabiting habitats at different
 131 degree of productivity, showing the role of social information and microhabitat
 132 preferences over interspecific competition. Furthermore, Montañó-Centellas (2020)
 133 used spatial data to build and explore co-occurrence networks of avian mixed-species
 134 flocks across elevation gradients, identifying changes in interactions as the main driver
 135 of species turnover of Andean flocking birds. Co-occurrence networks can thus provide
 136 a useful framework to capture complex properties of animal movement with simple
 137 graphical metrics (Pasquaretta et al., 2021), while keeping in mind that the actual
 138 ecological meaning of links must be critically evaluated (see Blanchet et al., 2020 and
 139 references therein).

140 Here, we used time series data spanning 16 years of bird ringing activity at the
 141 Mediterranean spring stopover area of Ponza (Italy), to study how long-term changes in
 142 the migration phenology of African-European migratory landbirds (Maggini et al.,
 143 2020) correlate with the rewiring of potential associations in species showing
 144 asynchronous migrations driven by protandry. We used daily abundances to reconstruct
 145 the temporal co-occurrence networks of females and males, and measured network-
 146 specific properties associated with connectivity and cohesion to test the hypothesis that
 147 sex-dependent differences in the timing of migration would lead the onset of different
 148 patterns of associations in response to endogenous mechanisms driving migration.

149

150 **Materials and methods**

151

152 **Sampling site and bird ringing activities**

153

154 The study was conducted on Ponza (Fig. 1), a small island in the Tyrrhenian Sea with
 155 an extension of ca. 9.87 km², located about 50 km off the Western coast of Italy (40°55'
 156 N, 12°58' E), where we have been monitoring spring migration through capture and
 157 ringing since 2002 (<http://www.inanellamentoponza.it>). Ponza is located along one of
 158 the main Mediterranean migratory routes and attracts a large number of African-
 159 European migratory landbirds during spring migration (Maggini et al., 2020). The bird
 160 capture season started in March and ended in May almost every year (exact start and
 161 end dates can be found in the supplementary TableS1 in Maggini et al., 2020). Mist nets
 162 were opened every day except for days with heavy rain or strong winds (>15 knots) and
 163 the number of days with reduced effort was less than 1% of the total. Mist-nets were
 164 checked hourly from dawn until one hour after dusk, and birds were captured
 165 throughout the day with an average of 227 m of mist-nets over the time-period. We kept
 166 the net brand and model (Lavorazione Reti Bonardi, Monte Isola, BS, Italy,
 167 <http://www.vbonardi.it/>; 2.4 m height, 16 mm mesh size), as well as the vegetation
 168 height constant throughout the entire study period. After being captured, birds were
 169 ringed and measured using standard EURING procedures (Bairlein, 1995). For the
 170 purposes of this study, we selected a sub-sample of the 17 most abundant species
 171 characterized by sexual dimorphism (Table 1), using ringing data over a period of 16
 172 years (2005 to 2020), during which the procedures were fully standardized.

173

174 **Network inference**

175

176 For each year of survey ($n = 16$), we reconstructed the temporal co-occurrence networks
 177 for both females and males by means of the ranked correlation on absolute abundances.
 178 Correlational methods are becoming increasingly popular for inferring co-occurrence
 179 networks from time series data, as they do not require any *a priori* estimation of
 180 underlying parameters, being however able to capture signals of potential interferences
 181 among species within communities (Sander et al., 2017). We thus calculated all
 182 pairwise Spearman rank correlations using the daily abundance data for each species
 183 with each other species in the previous time step (i.e., the lag-1 correlation, Sander et
 184 al., 2017). We used the packages ‘Hmisc’ (Harrel & Dupont, 2021) and ‘qvalue’ (Storey
 185 et al., 2020) of R (R Core Team, 2020), adjusting the significance of correlations using
 186 the Bonferroni-Holm procedure. Here, we focused only on positive co-occurrences, and
 187 links were considered significant for correlation coefficients $r > 0.3$ and $p < 0.05$
 188 (Steinhauser et al., 2008). We used unweighted links, where potential co-occurrences
 189 were considered irrespective of the strength of the correlation.

190

191 **Network co-occurrence metrics**

192

193 For each year and sex, we measured descriptive metrics (number of co-occurring
 194 species and realized co-occurrences), as well as metrics related to network connectivity
 195 (connectance and link asymmetry) and cohesion (modularity). The number of co-
 196 occurring species, s , measures the number of species in each network having significant

temporal co-occurrences, while realized co-occurrences, L , describe the actual number of associations measured in the network. Connectance, C , measures the proportion in the number of realized co-occurrences from the pool of all possible ones between species of a network, providing a measure of how well associations are distributed between species (i.e., high values of C indicate an overall tendency of species to co-occur simultaneously with each other). To account for possible differences in the size of inferred networks (i.e., different number of nodes/species) that might inflate the comparison between connectance values, C was expressed as a proportion of the maximum possible connectance for each network, $C = L/[s(s-1)/2]$. We used the skewness to quantify the level of asymmetry in the frequency distribution of the number of realized co-occurrences within a network (i.e., the degree frequency distributions *sensu* Tylianakis et al., 2010). The more skewed the distribution, the greater will be the degree to which species tend to form sub-clusters of species occurring with frequency higher than expected. Finally, we used an eigenvector-based maximizing algorithm (i.e., the Louvain algorithm, Blondel et al., 2008) to measure modularity (Q), which quantifies the strength of division of a network into modules (or clusters) of densely connected nodes (Newman & Girvan, 2004). The higher the modularity, the greater the tendency of networks to cluster into subgroups of species characterized by common migration timing (i.e., high temporal co-occurrence). See Fig. 2 for a complete description of the metrics and the rationale behind their use.

The yearly measured network parameters were filtered by means of a simple moving average (SMA), with the aim of reducing background noise in the original time series and emphasizing the signal that might contain trends. Specifically, we used SMA with an automated selection procedure based on the Akaike information criterion to find the

optimal order of the moving average (Svetunkov & Petropoulos, 2017). Fitted values were then used to search for significant differences between sexes and for the existence of significant trends in the time series of measured parameters. We measured the network metrics with the package ‘igraph’ (Csardi & Nepusz, 2005) of R, while we used the functions ‘sma’ and ‘sen.slope’ in the packages ‘smooth’ (Svetunkov, 2021) and ‘trend’ (Pohlert, 2020), respectively, to smooth the time series and measure the Mann-Kendall statistics.

Species’ co-migration fidelity

We defined the ‘species co-migration fidelity’ as the frequency with which two species tend to co-occur in the same module over the years. Formally, we measured this tendency by computing the level of similarity between the classifications of females and males given by modularity through the normalized mutual information (*nmi*, Danon et al., 2005). Modularity allows obtaining a classification of species based on their association to a given module in each year. For each sex we created a matrix with rows corresponding to species and columns to years, with entries indicating the module to which species belonged. The normalized mutual information was then quantified by considering the row vectors of matrices (i.e., species), measuring the pairwise congruence based on module affiliation. If two species have always been part of the same module over the years (i.e., perfect congruence, high fidelity), then $nmi = 1$; conversely, if two species never co-occurred within the same module over the years (i.e., independent, no fidelity), then $nmi = 0$. The result is therefore a symmetric

244 similarity matrix expressing the pairwise mutual information among species in females
245 and males.

246 We used the multivariate homogeneity of groups dispersions (a multivariate analogue
247 of Levene's test for homogeneity of variances) to test for the effect of wintering sites
248 and foraging niches on the variability of co-migration fidelity. We therefore divided the
249 species in three main groups (Table 1) corresponding to those wintering either north of
250 the Sahara Desert (North African), in the sub-Saharan belt extended between the Sahara
251 Desert and the Sudan savannah (Sahel), or in the Afrotropical ecozone (Tropical).
252 Following Pigot et al. (2020), species were also characterized by considering their main
253 foraging niches, which describe different combinations of diet (carnivorous feeding on
254 invertebrates, invertivores *sensu* Pigot et al., 2020 and generalists), foraging manoeuvre
255 (glean and sally) and substrate (surface, aerial and ground). Dispersion in co-migration
256 fidelity was calculated as the average similarity of individual observations to their group
257 centroid in the multivariate space, with smaller dispersion indicating high co-migration
258 fidelity among species. Data were bias-adjusted by applying a square root correction to
259 account for the small and unequal number of samples among groups. Between-groups
260 differences were evaluated by means of a permutational multivariate analysis of
261 variance (PERMANOVA) under the null hypothesis of no difference in dispersion
262 between groups. The normalized mutual information and homogeneity of multivariate
263 dispersions were measured by using the function 'compare' and 'betadisper' in the
264 'igraph' and 'vegan' (Oksanen et al., 2020) packages of R, respectively.

265

266 **Results**

267

268   **General overview**

269

270   A total of ca. 90,000 individuals were ringed during the study period for the 17 species
 271   selected in our work, with males accounting for greater numbers (ca. 51,000) than
 272   females (ca. 38,000). The number of caught individuals showed a right-skewed
 273   distribution in both sexes, with few species (e.g., the whitethroat *Sylvia communis*, the
 274   whinchat *Saxicola rubetra* and the European pied flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca*)
 275   showing relatively high numbers and most of the species (e.g., western black-eared
 276   wheatear *Oenanthe hispanica*, the European turtle dove *Streptopelia turtur*, and the
 277   woodchat shrike *Lanius senator*) less abundant. More details on the distribution patterns
 278   of species over the years can be found in Fig. S1 of Supporting Information.

279

280   **Co-occurrence network structures**

281

282   Co-occurrence networks showed significant differences between females and males for
 283   almost all measured parameters (Fig. 3a), with the only exception for the number of
 284   realized co-occurrences ($t = -0.995$, $df = 15.606$, $p < 0.335$). Females showed a
 285   significantly (although not overly pronounced) lower number of co-occurring species (t
 286     $= -9.291$, $df = 29.96$, $p < 0.001$) and higher connectance ($t = 11.875$, $df = 26.196$, $p <$
 287   0.001), while both link asymmetry ($t = -17.685$, $df = 28.754$, $p < 0.001$) and modularity
 288   ($t = -6.877$, $df = 18.991$, $p < 0.001$) were lower with respect to males (Fig. 3a).

289   The trend analysis highlighted substantial differences between the structural
 290   parameters of different networks (Fig. 3b). Females showed an almost stable number of
 291   co-occurring species, realized co-occurrences and connectance, while both modularity

and link asymmetry significantly decreased (Fig. 3b). Males showed a significant trend for all parameters, with the only exception of the number of realized co-occurrences (Fig. 3b). While the number of potential co-occurring species significantly increased over the years, the number of realized co-occurrences was almost stable (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, connectance showed a significant decrease corresponding to an increase of both modularity and link asymmetry (Fig. 3b).

Species' co-migration fidelity

Co-occurrence networks differed in the distribution of normalized mutual information among species (Fig. 4). Females were characterized by medium to wide ranges of the index and a poorly defined clustering structure, with three species acting as outliers (i.e., the less abundant *Oenanthe hispanica*, *Upupa epops* and *Lanius senator*, Fig. S1 in Supporting Information). Conversely, the range of the index was very restricted in males, with species belonging to (at least) four main clusters and no outliers (Fig. 4). Interestingly, species that were characterized by wide ranges of the index in females' networks (e.g., *Merops apiaster*, *Hirundo rustica*) had an extremely narrow dispersion in their male counterparts (Fig. 4).

The multivariate homogeneity of groups dispersions test did not show differences in co-migration fidelity between sexes when considering the wintering sites. However, the extent to which species in females and males tended to co-occur in the same modules over the years was dependent on their supposed wintering sites ($F_{\text{♀}} = 4.739$, $p_{\text{♀}} = 0.0269$; $F_{\text{♂}} = 5.878$, $p_{\text{♂}} = 0.014$). Both for females and males, species wintering in North Africa showed high dispersion (greater in females) with respect to those

316 wintering in the sub-Saharan belt and the Afrotropical ecozone (post-hoc Tukey's test p
 317 = 0.033), with these latter showing no significant differences and low dispersion (post-
 318 hoc Tukey's test $p > 0.5$). Overall, foraging niches were not associated with co-
 319 migration fidelity, neither in females, nor in males ($F_{\text{♀}} = 1.193$, $p_{\text{♀}} = 0.383$; $F_{\text{♂}} = 1.887$,
 320 $p_{\text{♂}} = 0.179$).

321

322 **Discussion**

323

324 Using an extensive dataset covering 16 years of standardized bird ringing activity, we
 325 found that sex-dependent differences in the phenology, synchrony and consistency of
 326 migration timing (*sensu* Bauer et al., 2016) have the potential to rewire the pattern of
 327 species co-occurrence within the Palearctic-African migration system, with possible
 328 long-term consequences for community dynamics and species coexistence (Suttle et al.,
 329 2007). In our sample of species, males always showed lower connectivity, higher
 330 modularity and higher co-migration fidelity than females, with almost all structural
 331 parameters following significant temporal trends. These findings indicate an ever-
 332 increasing likelihood of males to cluster into semi-independent groups of highly
 333 associated species characterized by common timing of migration, and of females to
 334 undergo the opposite pattern.

335 At the population level, migration phenology represents a key mechanism to cope with
 336 temporal changes in the availability of key-resources to maximize fitness at breeding
 337 grounds (Radchuk et al., 2019), whereas at community level it may be viewed as a
 338 'timetable' determining 'when' and 'for how long' species interfere with each other
 339 (*sensu* Rudolf, 2019). Our findings suggest that differences in the migration timing of

340 females and males affect the outcome of potential interferences in a very different way.
 341 The overall tendency of females to be strongly connected and less cohesive indicates a
 342 more homogenous distribution of associations among species, unlike males which
 343 instead show higher cohesion than connectivity and, thus, a more heterogenous
 344 distribution of associations. Such differences might be related to differences in the
 345 migratory behaviour among species such as, for instance, the degree of protandry.

346 Sex differences in the timing of migration are widespread across animal taxa (Morbey
 347 & Ydenberg, 2001). In particular, protandry, the early arrival of males at stopover
 348 and/or breeding sites (Coppack & Pulido, 2009; Maggini & Bairlein, 2012) is a
 349 common feature in the spring migration of Palearctic-African migratory birds (Rubolini
 350 et al., 2004). Although there is no general consensus on the proximate causes regulating
 351 protandrous migration in birds (Kokko et al., 2006; Coppack & Pulido, 2009;
 352 Samplonius & Both, 2017), selection for early male arrival might have important
 353 consequences at the population level (Kokko, 2006). However, migration involves
 354 hundreds of species moving very often in overlapping time windows, so that the
 355 cumulative effect of protandry might have far reaching consequences for the outcomes
 356 of interspecific interferences in multi-species assemblages (Saino et al., 2010).

357 Our results suggest that protandry might act as a mechanism promoting a clustered
 358 distribution in the migration timing of species, by allowing the temporal
 359 aggregation/segregation between males. For instance, protandrous species such as the
 360 barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*), the wheatear (*Oenanthe oenanthe*) and the collared
 361 flycatcher (*Ficedula albicollis*) all group together in a common cluster characterized by
 362 low variability in the values of normalized mutual information (Fig. 4). Others, such as
 363 the Eurasian golden oriole (*Oriolus oriolus*), the whitethroat (*Sylvia communis*) and the

whinchat (*Saxicola rubetra*) belong to a completely different and well-separated cluster. These findings indicate the onset of synchronous migration patterns between species belonging to the same cluster, and the temporal segregation between species in different clusters, ultimately suggesting how the degree of protandry can be linked to some extent to co-migration fidelity in males. Further investigations are required to study the role of different mechanisms underlying the degree of protandry, such as the intensity of sexual dimorphism in determining the observed pattern of co-occurrence.

The network inference method used in this work considers all the pairwise correlations between the ranked abundances across the whole duration of the ringing activity. Therefore, a significant co-occurrence is given by the degree to which the timings of migration of two species are significantly correlated, regardless of the time window in which they occur. As a consequence, species can be significantly associated because their abundances covary across the whole ringing period, or because their peaks are limited within specific time-windows. Moreover, abundances may not necessarily follow a unimodal distribution, as species might exhibit more than one peak as, for instance, in the case of a bimodal distribution with peaks centred at the beginning and the end of the spring passage. The high temporal connectivity, low asymmetry and modularity suggest a clear tendency of females to have an overall interspecific synchrony in the migration timing. The opposite is true for males, whose pattern of migration translates into a differential synchronization between species. Furthermore, we found such patterns to be consistent over time and within sexes, with females and males significantly decreasing and increasing, respectively, both the link asymmetry and modularity. These findings suggest an ever-increasing tendency for females to synchronize their migration timing and for males to undergo a temporal segregation

among species having different responses to endogenous factors driving migration, possibly suggesting the role of selective pressures operating on a single sex only (Kokko, 1999).

One possible explanation for the different effects between sexes is that while most studies on protandry agree on a fitness advantage for early male arrivals, independently of the mechanisms driving it, the same studies suggest that for females the costs of late arrival might be lower than those associated with arriving too early to the breeding area (Kokko 2006; Saino et al. 2010; Samplonius & Both, 2017). Therefore, ecological factors related, for example, to food availability or competition for nesting sites might have a stronger channelling effect than environmental conditions, which appear to be the main determinant of the costs of premature arrival for males.

Early studies have shown that changes in the spring migration phenology of birds crossing the Mediterranean Sea can be related to specific ecological factors associated with travel distance and competition for nesting sites, as well as to the degree of sexual dimorphism in species (Rubolini et al., 2005). For instance, species wintering closer to the breeding areas, such as those wintering in North Africa, seem to be less affected by variation in the migration schedule due to their ability to better track changing conditions in the breeding grounds (Both & Visser, 2001). Latitudinal differences in wintering sites might thus affect the phenology of spring migration by advancing the peak date of passage of species wintering further north compared to sub-Saharan ones (Maggini et al., 2020). Moreover, recent findings have shown a substantial increase (up to one day *per* year) of the migration window for species wintering south of the Sahara Desert (from ca. 25 to ca. 40 days, Maggini et al., 2020), possibly related to more favourable conditions at wintering areas allowing for faster refuelling rates and, thus,

earlier departure and/or longer residence time. Our findings suggest that, in the long term, such patterns might result in higher co-migration fidelity for species wintering in the sub-Saharan belt and in the Afrotropical ecozone, resulting in an overall consistency in the species' changes in phenology, synchrony and consistency of migration timing.

How migratory birds manage to track and adapt to changing environmental conditions during their journey to breeding areas still remains an open question, often related to the extent to which shifts in migration phenology are driven by microevolutionary changes or phenotypic plasticity (Jonzén et al., 2006; Spottiswoode et al., 2006; Gienapp et al., 2007). Notwithstanding, phenotypic plasticity recently received increasing support as a mechanism able to provide faster adaptive responses, especially for species travelling shorter distance and undergoing faster rates of phenotypic change as immediate response to changing environmental conditions (Usui et al., 2016). However, how the cumulative (plastic) responses of species affect the composition of ecological communities remains unclear (but see Turcotte & Levine, 2016). Although our study provides only indirect evidence, the results suggest that the supposed lower ability of species wintering further away from the breeding sites to track changes along the migratory route might increase the fragmentation of species' migration timing. Indeed, the low dispersion and the significant high co-migration fidelity found in trans-Saharan migrants indicate a clear tendency to arrive at the stopover site in well-defined groups of species characterized by common changes in migration phenology. Conversely, species wintering further north (i.e., North Africa) did not show the same co-migration fidelity, being also characterized by high dispersion of the index. However, we caution that these results may depend on the low number of species belonging to the North African group, which might inflate the outcomes of our analysis. Notwithstanding, our

findings would suggest that different migratory behaviours not only allow for better tracking of changing conditions along the route but has also the potential to homogenise the rewiring of possible association through times. Put otherwise, the cumulative effect of phenotypic plasticity might have opposite directional outcomes at the whole community level, by fragmenting (or homogenizing) the synchrony and consistency of phenological changes in species with low (or high) plastic responses.

Consequences for coexistence

Although co-occurrence patterns cannot be used to infer real interspecific interactions, they can reveal the emergence of potential interferences among species, especially when these are forced to share small areas in limited time frames, such as in migratory bottlenecks. This is the case of stopover areas, where birds stop to rest, refuel and seek shelter during their migration activity, and where the decision on where, when and how long to rest is driven by physiological adaptations associated with migratory behaviour and habitat quality (Fusani et al., 2009; Lafleur et al., 2016; Ferretti et al., 2021). For instance, the amount of forest cover and productivity relates to the two-step mechanism determining the spatiotemporal use of stopover areas, by serving as cues to identify high-quality habitat prior to landing and by sustaining refuelling rates of migrants (Buler & Moore, 2011; Lafleur et al., 2016). Overall, stopover areas are important to replenish energetic reserves, where the availability of suitable habitats can influence the subsequent flight range (Bayly et al., 2013; Gómez et al., 2017). However, stopover sites can have different functions not exclusively related to foraging, as their ecological context (e.g., proximity to ecological barriers, spatial isolation) and intrinsic

characteristics (e.g., diversity and abundance of resources) may determine the use made by migrants (Mehlman et al., 2005; Maggini et al., 2020; Ferretti et al., 2021).

A recent study on passerine migrants in the stopover area of Ponza has shown that most species rest for no longer than one day and, in most cases, they are not able to successfully refuel (Maggini et al., 2020). These results corroborate the hypothesis that refuelling might not be the main reason to land in this area and that, probably, birds need a simple rest before continuing the last part of their journey (Ferretti et al., 2019; Ferretti et al., 2020). Therefore, coexistence at stopover areas might not be driven exclusively by mechanisms avoiding competition for resources, but also to some sort of social interactions to avoid, for instance, the risk of predation or to gain information on novel habitats and resources (Németh & Moore, 2007; Mukhin et al., 2008; Cooper et al., 2014).

In our study, the majority of 17 selected species (ca. 60-70%) has a strictly carnivorous diet (invertivores *sensu* Pigot et al., 2020), feeding mainly on insects, being however characterized by variable foraging niches (Pigot et al., 2020). Several studies have shown that trophically similar species switch to using different foraging substrates, as well as different attack manoeuvres to avoid competition and allowing coexistence (Kent & Sherry, 2020; Pigot et al., 2020). This is the case of species living in highly competitive environments as, for instance, large colonies of seabirds, where slight differences in foraging niches represent an evolutionary adaptation to reduce niche overlap (Petalas et al., 2021). Our findings show no association between co-migration fidelity and foraging strategies, neither in females, nor in males, possibly suggesting the onset of sex-independent foraging mechanisms promoting coexistence at stopover area.

483   However, if refuelling is not the main reason for migrants to land in the area (Maggini
484   et al., 2020), the onset of some sort of social (facilitative) interactions would explain the
485   observed intersexual differences in species' co-migration fidelity. Social interactions in
486   migratory birds have been observed in songbirds migrating south through Europe,
487   which use interspecific calls as cues to stopover habitat quality during landfall (Mukhin
488   et al., 2008). Also, other studies have shown the use of conspecific social information
489   during diurnal migration by American crows (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*), which take
490   advantage of information from other diurnally migrating bird species to locate patchily
491   distributed carrion (Ward & Raim, 2011). More recently, Gayk et al., (2020) showed
492   how wood warblers songbirds migrating in mixed-species flocks produce short
493   vocalizations called 'flight calls' to reduce disorientation during migration or to increase
494   the chance of finding high quality stopover sites, a pattern even more pronounced in
495   phylogenetically close species breeding at the same latitude with overlapping migration
496   timing.

497   Although indirectly, our findings suggest that in species with protandric migration the
498   onset of social facilitative interactions, as cues for stopover selection or to avoid
499   predation and disorientation, might differ between sexes. The observed tendency of
500   males to migrate in well-defined subgroups of (almost always the same) species with
501   synchronized migration times can be explained by the need to optimize the costs
502   associated with predation risks *en route* and optimal resting at stopover area, to improve
503   their competitive ability for territories at breeding areas. This would explain why, for
504   instance, the same pattern has not been observed in females, for which the costs for
505   territory acquisition are only marginal if compared with the risks associated with
506   predation. Therefore, late departures and higher overall synchronization among females

would favour a lower risk of predation during their journey to breeding areas (i.e., predation-dilution effect Jones, 2003; Harts et al., 2016).

Conclusions

As animal migrations involve the simultaneous movement of individuals and species in space and time, the emergence of potential interactions is far from been rare and capable of influencing the costs and benefits of migration. Long-term phenological changes have the potential to determine the timetable dictating ‘when’, ‘how long’ and ‘with whom’ species interfere with other members of the community. Furthermore, the consistency and synchronization of such changes between individuals, species, or even sexes might influence the global economy of migration. For instance, asynchronous migrations can be observed in those species characterized by high rates of protandry, where males usually precede females during migration at the breeding and/or stopover sites. Although our results are based on a sub-sample (i.e., sexually dimorphic species) of the whole set of species passing through Ponza, they clearly indicate that advances in departures by males has led to (and could lead in the near future to) a consistent migration fidelity of those species characterized by common responses to endogenous mechanisms driving migration. Further studies, extending the taxonomic and spatiotemporal coverage of the analysis, by including for instance the whole set of ringed species, as well as information at wintering, stopover and breeding areas, will be necessary to better understand the consistency of observed patterns. Notwithstanding, our study represents an important step toward the need of considering temporally explicit data to gain new insights on the consequence of species- and sex-specific long-

531 term changes in migration phenology on the restructuring of avian assemblages *en*
532 *route*.
533

534 **Acknowledgements**

535
536 We would love to dedicate this work to the loving memory of our friend and colleague
537 Prof. Dario Angeletti, torn from life too early. We would also like to thank Prof. Hanna
538 Kokko for the stimulating discussion that greatly improved the drafting of the
539 manuscript. The authors would also like to thank all the hundreds of volunteers at the
540 bird ringing station of Ponza (<http://www.inanellamentoponza.it>), who have made it
541 possible to constantly collect data over the years.

542

543 **Conflict of Interest**

544
545 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

546

547 **Authors' contributions**

548
549 BB conceived the paper together with CC; BB analysed the data and led the writing of
550 the manuscript; MC prepared and analysed the data. IM and LF contributed to data
551 collection and to writing of the manuscript. All authors have no perceived conflict of
552 interest and contributed critically to the writing of the manuscript and gave final
553 approval for publication.

554

555 **Data Availability Statement**

556

557 The data used to perform the analyses in this paper can be found at
558 <https://phaidra.vetmeduni.ac.at/view/o:114>. The R script used to extract the daily

559 abundances of the 17 species selected in this study from the original dataset is available
560 upon appropriate request to the corresponding author.

561

562

References

564

- 565 Ahola, M. P., Laaksonen, T., Sippola, K., Eeva, T., Rainio, K., & Lehikoinen, E.
566 (2004). Variation in climate warming along the migration route uncouples arrival and
567 breeding dates. *Global Change Biology*, 10, 1610–1617.
- 568 Bairlein F, Winkel W. (2001) Birds and climate change. In: J. L. Lozan, H. Graßl, P.
569 Hupfer (Eds.), *Climate of the 21st Century: Changes and Risks* (pp. 278-282). Hamburg:
570 Wissenschaftliche Auswertungen.
- 571 Bairlein, F. (1995) European-African songbird migration network Manual of field
572 methods. Revised edition. Wilhelmshaven, Jade Bight
- 573 Bayly, N., Gómez, C., & Hobson, K. (2013). Energy reserves stored by migrating Gray-
574 cheeked Thrushes *Catharus minimus* at a spring stopover site in northern Colombia are
575 sufficient for a long-distance flight to North America. *Ibis*, 155, 271–283. doi:
576 10.1111/ibi.12029
- 577 Bauer, S., Lisovski, S., & Hahn, S. (2016). Timing is crucial for consequences of
578 migratory connectivity. *Oikos*, 125, 605–612. doi: 10.1111/oik.02706
- 579 Beauchamp, G. (2012). Foraging speed in staging flocks of semipalmated sandpipers:
580 Evidence for scramble competition. *Oecologia*, 169, 975–980. doi: 10.1007/s00442-
581 012-2269-0

582 Bellisario, B. (2018). Conserving migration in a changing climate, a case study: The
583 Eurasian spoonbill, *Platalea leucorodia leucorodia*. *Biological Conservation*,
584 217(November 2017), 222–231. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2017.11.013

585 Blanchet, F. G., Cazelles, K., & Gravel, D. (2020). Co-occurrence is not evidence of
586 ecological interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 23, 1050–1063. doi: 10.1111/ele.13525

587 Both, C., & Visser, M. E. (2001). Adjustment to climate change is constrained by
588 arrival date in a long-distance migrant bird. *Nature*, 411(6835), 296–298.
589 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35077063>

590 Both, C., Bouwhuis, S., Lessells, C. M., & Visser, M. E. (2006). Climate change and
591 population declines in a long-distance migratory bird. *Nature*, 441, 81–83. doi:
592 10.1038/nature04539

593 Both, C. (2010). Flexibility of timing of avian migration to climate change masked by
594 environmental constraints en route. *Current Biology*, 20, 243–248. doi:
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.074>

596 Brodersen, J., Ådahl, E., Brönmark, C., & Hansson, L.-A. (2008). Ecosystem effects of
597 partial fish migration in lakes. *Oikos*, 117, 40–46. doi: 10.1111/j.2007.0030-
598 1299.16118.x

599 Buler, J., & Moore, F. (2011). Migrant-habitat relationships during stopover along an
600 ecological barrier: Extrinsic constraints and conservation implications. *Journal of*
601 *Ornithology*, 152, 101–112. doi: 10.1007/s10336-010-0640-7

602 Cohen, E. B., & Satterfield, D. A. (2020). ‘Chancing on a spectacle:’ co-occurring
603 animal migrations and interspecific interactions. *Ecography*, 43(11), 1657–1671. doi:
604 10.1111/ecog.04958

605 Cooper, W. E., Pyron, R. A., & Garland, T. (2014). Island tameness: living on islands
606 reduces flight initiation distance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
607 *Sciences*, 281(1777), 20133019. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2013.3019

608 Coppack, T., & Pulido, F. (2009). Proximate control and adaptive potential of
609 protandrous migration in birds. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 49(5), 493–506.
610 doi: 10.1093/icb/icp029

611 Cresswell, W., & McCleery, R. (2003). How great tits maintain synchronization of their
612 hatch date with food supply in response to long-term variability in temperature. *Journal*
613 *of Animal Ecology*, 72(2), 356–366. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00701.x)
614 [2656.2003.00701.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00701.x)

615 Csardi, G., & Nepusz, T. (2005). The igraph software package for complex network
616 research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems*, 1695.

617 Danon, L., Duch, J., Diaz-Guilera, A., & Arenas, A. (2005). Comparing community
618 structure identification. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. doi:
619 10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/P09008

620 Eichhorn, G., Drent, R., Stahl, J., Leito, A., & Alerstam, T. (2009). Skipping the Baltic:
621 The emergence of a dichotomy of alternative spring migration strategies in Russian

622 Barnacle Geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 78, 63–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
623 2656.2008.01485.x

624 Emmenegger, T., Hahn, S., Arlettaz, R., Amrhein, V., Zehndtjiev, P., & Bauer, S.
625 (2016). Shifts in vegetation phenology along flyways entail varying risks of mistiming
626 in a migratory songbird. *Ecosphere*, 7, e01385. doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1385

627 Ferretti, A., Rattenborg, N., C., Ruf, T., McWilliams, S., R., Cardinale, M., & Fusani,
628 L. (2019). Sleeping unsafely tucked in to conserve energy in a nocturnal migratory
629 songbird. *Current Biology*, 29: 2766–2772.e2764. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.028

630 Ferretti, A., McWilliams, S. R., Rattenborg, N. C., Maggini, I., Cardinale, M., &
631 Fusani, L. (2020). Energy stores, Oxidative Balance, and Sleep in Migratory Garden
632 Warblers (*Sylvia borin*) and Whitethroats (*Sylvia communis*) at a Spring Stopover Site.
633 *Integrative Organismal Biology*, 2. doi: 10.1093/iob/obaa010

634 Ferretti, A., Maggini, I., & Fusani, L. (2021). How to recover after sea crossing: the
635 importance of small islands for passerines during spring migration. *Ecology Ecology &
636 Evolution*, 33, 307–320. doi: 10.1080/03949370.2021.1886181

637 Finch, T., Butler, S., Franco, A., & Cresswell, W. (2017). Low migratory connectivity
638 is common in long-distance migrant birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86. doi:
639 10.1111/1365-2656.12635

640 Fusani, L., Cardinale, M., Carere, C., & Goymann, W. (2009). Stopover decision during
641 migration: physiological conditions predict nocturnal restlessness in wild passerines.
642 *Biology Letters*, 5, 302–305. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2008.0755

643 Gayk, Z. G., Simpson, R. K., & Mennill, D. J. (2021). The evolution of wood warbler
644 flight calls: Species with similar migrations produce acoustically similar calls.
645 *Evolution*, 75, 719–730. doi: 10.1111/evo.14167

646 García-Navas, V., Sattler, T., Schmid, H., & Ozgul, A. (2021). Bird species co-
647 occurrence patterns in an alpine environment supports the stress-gradient hypothesis.
648 *Oikos*, 130, 1905–1918. doi: 10.1111/oik.08588

649 Gienapp, P., Teplitsky, C., Alho, J. S., Mills, J. a, & Merilä, J. (2008). Climate change
650 and evolution: disentangling environmental and genetic responses. *Molecular Ecology*,
651 17, 167–178. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03413.x

652 Gómez, C., Bayly, N. J., Norris, D. R., Mackenzie, S. A., Rosenberg, K. V, Taylor, P.
653 D., ... Daniel Cadena, C. (2017). Fuel loads acquired at a stopover site influence the
654 pace of intercontinental migration in a boreal songbird. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3405. doi:
655 10.1038/s41598-017-03503-4

656 Gordo, O., Brotons, L., Ferrer, X., & Comas, P. (2005). Do changes in climate patterns
657 in wintering areas affect the timing of spring arrival of trans-Saharan migrant birds?
658 *Global Change Biology*, 11, 12–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00875.x

659 Gwinner, E. (1996). Circadian and circannual programmes in avian migration. *Journal*
660 *of Experimental Biology*, 199, 39–48. doi: 10.1242/jeb.199.1.39

661 Harrell Jr., F.E., Dupont, C. (2021). Hmisc: Harrell miscellaneous. R package version
662 4.5-0

663 Harts, A. M. F., Kristensen, N. P., & Kokko, H. (2016). Predation can select for later
664 and more synchronous arrival times in migrating species. *Oikos*, 125, 1528–1538. doi:
665 10.1111/oik.02973

666 Hasselquist, D., Monràs-Janer, T., Tarka, M., & Hansson, B. (2017). Individual
667 consistency of long-distance migration in a songbird: significant repeatability of autumn
668 route, stopovers and wintering sites but not in timing of migration. *Journal of Avian*
669 *Biology*, 48, 91–102.

670 Hedlund, J., Jakobsson, S., Kullberg, C., & Fransson, T. (2014). Long-term
671 phenological shifts and intra-specific differences in migratory change in the willow
672 warbler *Phylloscopus trochilus*. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 46. doi: 10.1111/jav.00484

673 Holdo, R., Holt, R., Coughenour, M., & Ritchie, M. (2006). Plant productivity and soil
674 nitrogen as a function of grazing, migration and fire in an African savanna. *Journal of*
675 *Ecology*, 95, 115–128. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01192.x

676 Hötter, H. (2002). Arrival of Pied Avocets *Recurvirostra avosetta* at the breeding site:
677 Effects of winter quarters and consequences for reproductive success. *Ardea*, 90, 379–
678 387.

679 Jonzén, N., Lindén, A., Ergon, T., Knudsen, E., Vik, J., O., Rubolini, D., Piacentini, D.,
680 Brinch, C., Spina, F., Karlsson, L., Stervander, M., Andersson, A., Waldenström, J.,
681 Lehtikoinen, A., Edvardsen, E., Solvang, R., & Stenseth, N., C. (2006). Rapid advance
682 of spring arrival dates in long-distance migratory birds. *Science*, 312: 1959–61. doi:
683 10.1126/science.1126119.

- 684 Lafleur, J., Buler, J., & Moore, F. (2016). Geographic position and landscape
685 composition explain regional patterns of migrating landbird distributions during spring
686 stopover along the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico. *Landscape Ecology*, 31. doi:
687 10.1007/s10980-016-0354-1
- 688 Lehtikoinen, E. S. A., Sparks, T. I. M. H., & Zalakevicius, M. (2004). Arrival and
689 Departure Dates. In *Advances in Ecological Research: Vol. 35. Birds and Climate*
690 *Change* (pp. 1–31). Academic Press. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(04)35001-4)
691 [2504\(04\)35001-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(04)35001-4)
- 692 Kent, C., & Sherry, T. (2020). Behavioural niche partitioning re-examined: Do
693 behavioural differences predict dietary differences in warblers? *Ecology*, 101. doi:
694 10.1002/ecy.3077
- 695 Kokko. (1999). Competition for early arrival in migratory birds. *Journal of Animal*
696 *Ecology*, 68(5), 940–50. Doi: /10.1046/j.1365-2656.1999.00343.x.
- 697 Kokko, H., Gunnarsson, T., Morrell, L., & Gill, J. (2006). Why do female migratory
698 birds arrive later than males? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 75, 1293–1303. doi:
699 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01151.x
- 700 Maggini, I., & Bairlein, F. (2010). Endogenous rhythms of seasonal migratory body
701 mass changes and nocturnal restlessness in different populations of Northern Wheatear
702 *Oenanthe oenanthe*. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, 25, 268–276. doi:
703 10.1177/0748730410373442

704 Maggini, I., & Bairlein, F. (2012). Innate sex differences in the timing of spring
705 migration in a songbird. *PLoS ONE*, 7, 5–8. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031271

706 Maggini, I., Cardinale, M., Sundberg, J. H., Spina, F., & Fusani, L. (2020). Recent
707 phenological shifts of migratory birds at a Mediterranean spring stopover site: Species
708 wintering in the Sahel advance passage more than tropical winterers. *PLoS ONE*, 15, 1–
709 15. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0239489

710 Mehlman, D., Mabey, S., Ewert, D., Duncan, C., Abel, B., Cimprich, D., ... Woodrey,
711 M. (2005). Conserving stopover sites for forest-dwelling migratory landbirds. *Auk*, 122.
712 doi: 10.1642/0004-8038

713 Montaña-Centellas, F. A. (2020). Interaction networks of avian mixed-species flocks
714 along elevation in the tropical Andes. *Ecography*, 1–13. doi: 10.1111/ecog.05135

715 Morbey, Y. E., & Ydenberg, R. C. (2001). Protandrous arrival timing to breeding areas.
716 *Ecology Letters*, 4, 663–673.

717 Mukhin, A., Chernetsov, N., & Kishkinev, D. (2008). Acoustic information as a distant
718 cue for habitat recognition by nocturnally migrating passerines during landfall.
719 *Behavioral Ecology*, 19, 716–723. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arn025

720 Németh, Z., & Moore, F. R. (2007). Unfamiliar stopover sites and the value of social
721 information during migration. *Journal of Ornithology*, 148, 369–376.

722 Newman, M. E. J., & Girvan, M. (2004). Finding and evaluating community structure
723 in networks. *Physical Review E*, 69(2), 26113.

724 Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn,
725 D., Minchin, P., R., O'Hara, R., B., Simpson, G., L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M., H., H.,
726 Szoecs, E., & Wagner, H. (2020). *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package
727 version 2.5-7.

728 Pasquaretta, C., Dubois, T., Gomez-Moracho, T., Delepoulle, V. P., Le Loc'h, G., Heeb,
729 P., & Lihoreau, M. (2021). Analysis of temporal patterns in animal movement
730 networks. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 12, 101–113. doi: 10.1111/2041-
731 210X.13364

732 Peacock, S. J., Krkošek, M., Lewis, M. A., & Molnár, P. K. (2020). A unifying
733 framework for the transient parasite dynamics of migratory hosts. *Proceedings of the*
734 *National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 10897–10903. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908777117

735 Petalas, C., Lazarus, T., Lavoie, R. A., Elliott, K. H., & Guigueno, M. F. (2021).
736 Foraging niche partitioning in sympatric seabird populations. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 1–
737 12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-81583-z

738 Pigot, A. L., Sheard, C., Miller, E. T., Bregman, T. P., Freeman, B. G., Roll, U.,
739 Seddon, N., Trisos, C., H., Weeks, B., C., & Tobias, J. A. (2020). Macroevo-lutionary
740 convergence connects morphological form to ecological function in birds. *Nature*
741 *Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 230–239. doi: 10.1038/s41559-019-1070-4

742 Pohlert, T. (2020). *trend: Non-Parametric Trend Tests and Change-Point Detection*. R
743 package version 1.1.4.

744 R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
745 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [https://www.R-](https://www.R-project.org/)
746 [project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/).

747 Radchuk, V., Reed, T., Teplitsky, C., van de Pol, M., Charmantier, A., Hassall, C., ...
748 Kramer-Schadt, S. (2019). Adaptive responses of animals to climate change are most
749 likely insufficient. *Nature Communications*, 10, 3109. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10924-
750 4

751 Rainio, K., Tøttrup, A., Lehikoinen, E., & Coppack, T. (2007). Effects of climate
752 change on the degree of protandry in migratory songbirds. *Climate Research*, 35, 107–
753 114. doi: 10.3354/cr00717

754 Rubolini, D., Spina, F., & Saino, N. (2004) Protandry and sexual dimorphism in trans-
755 Saharan migratory birds. *Behavioral Ecology*, 15, 592–601

756 Rubolini, D., Spina, F., & Saino, N. (2005). Correlates of timing of spring migration in
757 birds: A comparative study of trans-Saharan migrants. *Biological Journal of the*
758 *Linnean Society*, 85, 199–210. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00484.x

759 Rubolini, D., Moller, A., Rainio, K., & Lehikoinen, E. (2007). Intraspecific consistency
760 and geographic variability in temporal trends of spring migration phenology among
761 European bird species. *Climate Research*, 35, 135–146. doi: 10.3354/cr00720

762 Rudolf, V. H. W. (2019). The role of seasonal timing and phenological shifts for species
763 coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 22(8), 1324–1338. doi: 10.1111/ele.13277

764 Ruthrauff, D. R., Tibbitts, T. L., & Gill, R. E. (2019). Flexible timing of annual
765 movements across consistently used sites by Marbled Godwits breeding in Alaska. *The*
766 *Auk*, 136, 1–11.

767 Saino, N., Szép, T., Romano, M., Rubolini, D., Spina, F., & Moller, A. (2004).
768 Ecological conditions during winter predict arrival date at breeding quarters in a trans-
769 Saharan migratory bird. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 21–25. doi: 10.1046/j.1461-
770 0248.2003.00553.x

771 Saino, N., Rubolini, D., Serra, L., Caprioli, M., Morganti, M., Ambrosini, R., & Spina,
772 F. (2010). Sex-related variation in migration phenology in relation to sexual
773 dimorphism: a test of competing hypotheses for the evolution of protandry. *Journal of*
774 *Evolutionary Biology*, 23(10), 2054–2065. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02068.x

775 Samplonius, J. M., & Both, C. (2017). Competitor phenology as a social cue in breeding
776 site selection. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86, 615–623. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12640

777 Sander, E. L., Wootton, J. T., & Allesina, S. (2017). Ecological network inference from
778 long-term presence-absence Data. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-
779 017-07009-x

780 Spottiswoode, C. N., Tøttrup, A. P., & Coppack, T. (2006). Sexual selection predicts
781 advancement of avian spring migration in response to climate change. *Proceedings of*
782 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273(1605), 3023–3029. doi:
783 10.1098/rspb.2006.3688

784 Steinhauser, D., Krall, L., Müssig, C., Büssis, D., & Usadel, B. (2008). Correlation
785 Networks. In *Analysis of Biological Networks* (pp. 305–333). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
786 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470253489.ch13>

787 Storey, J. D., Bass, A. J., Dabney, A., & Robinson, D. (2020). qvalue: Q-value
788 estimation for false discovery rate control. R package version 2.22.0.

789 Suttle, K. B., Thomsen, M. A., & Power, M. E. (2007). Species interactions reverse
790 grassland responses to changing climate. *Science*, *315*, 640–642. doi:
791 10.1126/science.1136401

792 Svetunkov, I., & Petropoulos, F. (2017). Old dog, new tricks: a modelling view of
793 simple moving averages. *International Journal of Production Research*, *7543*, 1–14.
794 doi: 10.1080/00207543.2017.1380326

795 Svetunkov, I. (2021). smooth: Forecasting Using State Space Models. R package
796 version 3.1.0.

797 Thorup, K., Tøttrup, A. P., Willemoes, M., Klaassen, R. H. G., Strandberg, R., Vega,
798 M. L., Dasari, H. P., Araújo, M. B., Wikelski, M., & Rahbek, C. (2017). Resource
799 tracking within and across continents in long-distance bird migrants. *Science Advances*,
800 *3*, e1601360–e1601360. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1601360

801 Tryjanowski, P., Kuzniak, S., & Sparks, T. H. (2002). Earlier arrival of some farmland
802 migrants in western Poland. *Ibis*, *144*, 62–68.

803 Tylianakis, J. M., Laliberté, E., Nielsen, A., & Bascompte, J. (2010). Conservation of
804 species interaction networks. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 2270–2279. doi:
805 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.004>

806 Turcotte, M. M., & Levine, J. M. (2016). Phenotypic Plasticity and Species
807 Coexistence. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 803–813. doi:
808 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.07.013>

809 Usui, T., Butchart, S., & Phillimore, A. (2016). Temporal shifts and temperature
810 sensitivity of avian spring migratory phenology: A phylogenetic meta-analysis. *The*
811 *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12612

812 Visser, M. E., Both, C., & Lambrechts, M. M. (2004). Global Climate Change Leads to
813 Mistimed Avian Reproduction. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 35, 89–110.

814 Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C.,
815 Fromentin, J.-M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Bairlein, F. (2002). Ecological responses to
816 recent climate change. *Nature*, 416, 389–395. doi: 10.1038/416389a

817 Ward, M. P., & Raim, A. (2011). The fly-and-social foraging hypothesis for diurnal
818 migration: why American crows migrate during the day. *Behavioral Ecology and*
819 *Sociobiology*, 65, 1411–1418. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41414599>

820 Webster, M. S., Marra, P. P., Haig, S. M., Bensch, S., & Holmes, R. T. (2002). Links
821 between worlds: unraveling migratory connectivity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17,
822 76–83. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02380-1

823

List of Tables

Table 1 – List of the 17 bird species analysed in this study, with summary statistics subdivided for females and males referred to the period 2005-2020. Acronyms for different foraging niches: I-Sa, Invertivore sally air; Gen, Generalist; I-A, Invertivore aerial; I-Sg, Invertivore sally ground; G-G, Generalist ground; I-Ga, Invertivore glean arboreal; I-G, Invertivore ground.

Genus	species	Total number of individuals		Mean ($\pm$ SD) number of individuals		Range [min-max]		Wintering areas	Foraging niche
		Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males		
<i>Ficedula</i>	<i>albicollis</i>	804	877	50.25 ($\pm$ 48.664)	54.812 ($\pm$ 46.313)	[2-153]	[3-131]	Afrotropical ecozone	I-Sa
<i>Ficedula</i>	<i>hypoleuca</i>	4,858	6,032	303.625 ($\pm$ 129.534)	377 ($\pm$ 163.376)	[112-601]	[141-791]	Afrotropical ecozone	Gen
<i>Hirundo</i>	<i>rustica</i>	3,395	2,076	212.187($\pm$ 60.648)	129.75 ($\pm$ 48.537)	[104-337]	[62-239]	Afrotropical ecozone	I-A
<i>Lanius</i>	<i>senator</i>	293	342	18.312 ($\pm$ 7.226)	21.375 ($\pm$ 8.155)	[7-31]	[10-42]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Sg
<i>Merops</i>	<i>apiaster</i>	338	359	21.125 ($\pm$ 10.613)	22.437 ($\pm$ 15.543)	[9-48]	[2-66]	Afrotropical ecozone	I-Sa
<i>Oenanthe</i>	<i>hispanica</i>	159	315	9.937 ($\pm$ 14.308)	19.687 ($\pm$ 25.394)	[1-58]	[1-86]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Sg
<i>Oenanthe</i>	<i>oenanthe</i>	968	1,168	60.5 ($\pm$ 27.371)	73 ($\pm$ 43.411)	[20-109]	[19-150]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Sg

<i>Oriolus</i>	<i>oriolus</i>	751	626	46.937 ($\pm$ 27.155)	39.125 ($\pm$ 36.131)	[3-107]	[1-148]	Afrotropical ecozone	Gen
<i>Phoenicurus</i>	<i>ochruros</i>	677	2,137	42.312 ($\pm$ 41.272)	133.5625 ($\pm$ 205.261)	[2-166]	[1-698]	North Africa	I-Sg
<i>Phoenicurus</i>	<i>phoenicurus</i>	3,431	4,647	214.437 ($\pm$ 154.813)	290.437 ($\pm$ 207.451)	[63-596]	[28-702]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Sg
<i>Saxicola</i>	<i>rubetra</i>	5,367	6,255	335.437 ($\pm$ 192.966)	390.9375 ($\pm$ 341.965)	[4-734]	[3-1137]	Afrotropical ecozone	I-Sg
<i>Saxicola</i>	<i>torquatus</i>	315	624	19.687 ($\pm$ 25.801)	39 ($\pm$ 57.507)	[2-79]	[1-241]	North Africa	I-Sg
<i>Streptopelia</i>	<i>turtur</i>	251	1,639	15.687 ($\pm$ 20.544)	102.437 ($\pm$ 189.231)	[2-66]	[13-686]	sub-Saharan belt	G-G
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>atricapilla</i>	1,692	2,483	105.75 ($\pm$ 83.113)	155.187 ($\pm$ 145.732)	[18-256]	[6-418]	North Africa	Gen
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>cantillans</i>	4,792	9,535	299.5 ($\pm$ 318.388)	595.937 ($\pm$ 422.811)	[7-1328]	[20-1435]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Ga
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>communis</i>	10,159	11,523	634.937 ($\pm$ 486.846)	720.187 ($\pm$ 670.526)	[6-1634]	[7-1955]	sub-Saharan belt	I-Ga
<i>Upupa</i>	<i>epops</i>	309	589	19.312 ($\pm$ 25.351)	36.812 ($\pm$ 34.037)	[4-112]	[6-134]	sub-Saharan belt	I-G

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Geographical framework of the study area within the Palearctic-African migration system. The dotted circle in the box shows the “Pontine” islands system with the exact location of Ponza Island. Colours in the map show a synthetic view of the main African ecoregions corresponding to the supposed wintering areas of species used in this study.

Figure 2 – Illustrative scheme of tested hypothesis. Definitions, biological interpretations and predictions of network metrics are reported in the upper table. We hypothesized that differences in the synchrony and consistency of migration timing should allow for different patterns of aggregation between sexes, with temporal co-occurrence networks in males being less connected (low connectance and high asymmetry) and more cohesive (high modularity) than in females. Advances in the migration timing of males (i.e., protandry) should increase the probability of specific groups of species to co-occur more frequently, fragmenting the networks in modules of tightly associated species (coloured silhouette in the figure). Bird silhouettes were downloaded from <http://phylopic.org/>, which provides online repositories without restrictions on use.

Figure 3 – Boxplots showing the distribution (a) and observed trends (b) of network metrics in females (in red) and males (in blue). Dashed black lines in each plot in (b) indicate the original time series, while solid and dotted lines show the measured trends

and uncertainty in trend slopes, respectively. Asterisks indicate the significance of trends (Mann-Kendall statistic: * $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$).

Figure 4 – Density heatmaps with hierarchical clustering showing the distribution of the species pairwise normalized mutual information in different networks (*aka* species’ co-migration fidelity). Numbers on the right side of the plots show the quantiles and mean of distributions. Densities are computed by means of a gaussian kernel estimator with a bandwidth of 0.9 times the minimum of the standard deviation and the interquartile range divided by 1.34 times the sample size to the negative one-fifth power (Silverman's ‘rule of thumb’, Silverman 1986).

METRICS	DEFINITION	BIOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION	PREDICTION
Connectance	Proportion of observed associations out of all potential ones in the network.	Summarizes how many of all possible pairwise associations occur in the observed networks.	Connectance in males' networks should be lower than females due to a high proportion of species creating sub-groups of species tightly associated (i.e., modules).
Link asymmetry	Asymmetry in the degree distribution of associations within the network.	Summarizes how uneven the number of associations is among species.	Asymmetry is expected to be higher in males' network, reflecting an uneven rewiring of associations due to common timing in the migration pattern of some species.
Modularity	Measures the strength of network division into modules having dense connections between the nodes within the same modules but sparse connections between nodes in different modules.	Summarizes the degree to which species in the networks tend to create homogenous groups of tightly associated species.	Modularity is expected to be higher in males' network, with species being more evenly associated due to common patterns in the migration timing of some species.