Original Research - Full Paper

Local adaptation to novel habitats and climatic changes in a wild tomato species via selective sweeps

Kai Wei *, Gustavo A Silva-Arias **, Aurélien Tellier

Professorship for Population Genetics, Department of Life Science Systems, School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Liesel-Beckmann Strasse 2, 85354 Freising, Germany

* these authors contributed equally

*Corresponding author: Gustavo A Silva-Arias: gustavo.silva@tum.de

Word count

Main body: 6165

Introduction: 1172

Materials and Methods: 1233

Results: 2879

Discussion: 881

5 figures (all in colour)

2 tables

3 supporting information files

1 Summary

- 2 ● Positive Darwinian selection is the driving force underpinning local adaptation,
3 and leaves footprints of selective sweeps at the underlying major genes.
4 Quantifying the timing of selection and revealing the genetic bases of local
5 adaptation in plants species occurring in steep and varying environmental
6 gradients is crucial to predict a species' ability to respond to climate changes
7 and explore new niches.
- 8 ● We use whole genome sequence data from six populations across three
9 different habitats of the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense*, to 1) infer the
10 past demographic history, and 2) search for genes under strong positive
11 selection. We then correlate current and past climatic projections with the
12 demographic history, allele frequencies in space and time, the age of
13 selection events, and changes in habitat suitability.
- 14 ● We find evidence for several selective sweeps targeting regulatory networks
15 involved in root hair development in low altitude, and response to photoperiod
16 and vernalization in high altitude populations. These sweeps occur in a
17 concerted fashion in a given regulatory gene network at particular periods of
18 substantial climatic change.
- 19 ● We decipher the genetic bases and the timing of local adaptation during plant
20 colonization of semi-arid habitats using a unique combination of genome
21 scans for selection and modelling of past climatic data.

Keywords:

Local adaptation, selective sweeps, age of sweeps, gene network, *Solanum chilense*, climate change

22 Introduction

23 Positive Darwinian selection is a key process underlying local adaptation, the
 24 process by which populations of a species evolve in response to geographically
 25 variable selection (Kawecki & Ebert, 2004). Spatial local adaptation results from the
 26 spatial heterogeneity of habitats promoting different selective pressures across
 27 populations, especially after species range expansion (Kawecki & Ebert, 2004;
 28 Savolainen *et al.*, 2013; Tiffin & Ross-Ibarra, 2014). Temporal local adaptation results
 29 from abiotic/biotic conditions slowly varying over time (over hundreds up to
 30 thousands of years) at a given location and differently across populations (Polechová
 31 *et al.*, 2009). In order to predict the future adaptive potential of plants in the face of
 32 climatic change, it is desirable to assess 1) how many genes and gene networks
 33 underpin spatial and temporal local adaptation, 2) what is the timing (time period) of
 34 local adaptation on a given trait, and 3) if selection at a given gene can be linked to
 35 past changes in climatic conditions.

36 Population genetics theory investigates the characteristics and polymorphism
 37 footprints of both types of polygenic local adaptation: spatial adaptation to the new
 38 local fixed optimum of the colonized habitat (Chevin *et al.*, 2010), and adaptation to
 39 track the moving environmental optimum (Polechová *et al.*, 2009; Matuszewski *et al.*,
 40 2014; Jain & Stephan, 2017a). Under large enough population sizes, both models
 41 predict that more significant steps of local adaptation occur first at sites with strong
 42 selective coefficients, possibly generating selective sweeps (Chevin *et al.*, 2010;
 43 Matuszewski *et al.*, 2014; Jain & Stephan, 2017a). Selective sweeps are
 44 polymorphism patterns (footprints) in the genome due to the rapid (tens to hundreds
 45 of generations) fixation of advantageous alleles and the associated hitchhiking effect
 46 (Smith & Haigh, 1974; Kim & Stephan, 2002). Population genomics studies often
 47 assume implicitly, as an approximation, that a gene under strong selection (selective
 48 sweep) in one population but not in another is indicative of potential involvement in

49 local adaptation (see discussion in Tiffin and Ross-Ibarra 2014). A rigorous
50 demonstration requires validating the fitness effect of that gene in common garden
51 experiments (Kawecki & Ebert, 2004). Thus, complete studies to uncover the genetic
52 bases of spatial adaptation have been conducted in animals such as humans or
53 invertebrates (*Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*) but also in few
54 amenable plant species (*Arabidopsis* spp., *Arabis* sp., *Capsella* spp., *Helianthus* spp.
55 *Senecio latus*, *Mimulus* spp.) in which the molecular bases for adaptation can be
56 studied in exquisite details using Genome Wide Association studies and functional
57 studies (review in e.g. Savolainen *et al.*, 2013; Josephs *et al.*, 2017; Fagny &
58 Austerlitz, 2021). Numerous population genomic studies of spatial local adaptation
59 are also conducted in non-model plant species, albeit with lower resolution regarding
60 the underlying molecular bases (Pannell & Fields, 2014; Bragg *et al.*, 2015).

61 Not surprisingly, we note that most spatial local adaptation is due to recent
62 selective sweeps estimated to be less than approximately 25,000 years old (e.g.
63 (Wright *et al.*, 2015; Schrider & Kern, 2017; Zou *et al.*, 2017; Garud *et al.*, 2021), and
64 often associated with either strong bottlenecks during colonization events, recent
65 (re)colonization processes, or selection on standing genetic variation. While recent
66 sweeps underpin spatial local adaptation, we note in the literature the absence of
67 reported older sweeps underpinning adaptation to past climatic changes (local
68 temporal adaptation). This is explained by several methodological and theoretical
69 limitations to detect loci under strong positive selection (Lotterhos & Whitlock, 2015;
70 Hoban *et al.*, 2016). First, there is a confounding effect of the past demographic
71 history of the populations (Stephan, 2016) especially under strong bottlenecks due to
72 the colonization of new habitats (François *et al.*, 2016; Hoban *et al.*, 2016). Indeed,
73 under strong demographic bottlenecks, it is notoriously difficult to carefully control the
74 statistical rate of false-positive sweeps and sweep signatures pre-dating the
75 bottleneck are erased (Stephan, 2016; Hoban *et al.*, 2016; Schrider & Kern, 2017;
76 Garud *et al.*, 2021). Second, strong selection at few genes is only the tip of the

iceberg, as adaptation likely occurs for polygenic traits with selection acting over many genes. Different number of major genes with selective sweeps signatures can be observed depending on the distribution of selection coefficients, the efficiency of selection (a function of effective population size and recombination rate), the architecture of the traits, place of genes in gene networks/pathways, and gene pleiotropy (Jain & Stephan, 2017b; Barghi *et al.*, 2020). Third, theory predicts that strong selective sweeps can be observed and detected reliably only for ca. $0.1N_e$ generations after selection, where N_e is the effective population size (Kim & Stephan, 2002). As a result of these three factors, in plant species undergoing habitat range expansion with strong bottleneck during colonization and life-history traits decreasing effective population size (e.g. selfing), we expect 1) only few sweeps to occur under polygenic selection, and 2) only recent sweeps to be detected using polymorphism data. In order to potentially recover and infer older selective events of local temporal and spatial adaptation, the study of alternative outcrossing plant species with larger effective population sizes during colonization events is required.

Solanum chilense is an outcrossing wild tomato species found in southern Peru and northern Chile in mesic to very arid habitats (Nakazato *et al.*, 2010), and its ancestral range is likely in marginal desert habitat of the coast and mid-altitude 'pre-cordillera' region (800 - 2000 m altitude) of southern Peru. *S. chilense* colonized independently two different southern, but arid, isolated regions around the Atacama desert at different time points (Böndel *et al.*, 2015; Stam *et al.*, 2019b): an early divergence (older than 50,000 years ago [ya]) with the colonization of coastal habitats (in Lomas formations), and a more recent lineage divergence (less than 20,000 ya) restricted to highland altitudes (above 2,400m) of the Andean plateau. Signatures of natural selection (positive or balancing) at genes involved in stress adaptation were found when scanning few candidate genes for biotic and abiotic stress response (Xia *et al.*, 2010; Fischer *et al.*, 2011; Böndel *et al.*, 2015; Nosenko *et al.*, 2016; Böndel *et al.*, 2018; Stam *et al.*, 2019b). In the present study, we obtain

full genome sequence data for 30 diploid and highly heterozygous plants from six populations representing the three main habitats of the species (Fig. 1a, defined in Böndel et al. 2015): the central group (area of origin at low to high altitude), south-coastal group, and south-highland group. The south-highland group strongly differs from the central group for its current climatic conditions, while the south-coastal appears as only marginally different from the environment prevailing in the central group (Fig. 1b). Our aims are first to infer accurately the past demographic history of the species colonization and to reconstruct recent dynamics of the species' distribution range in response to climatic history. Second, we conduct genome scans for selective sweeps and assign functions and gene network topology to these candidate genes. Third, we link climatic and genetic data at candidate genes using genotype-environment association analysis to highlight the relevance of key gene regulatory networks (pathways) for local adaptation, and discuss the history of spatial and temporal local adaptation in *S. chilense*.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection, sequencing and bioinformatics

Plants were grown from individual seeds obtained from the Tomato Genetics Resource Center (TGRRC, University of California, Davis, USA). We sampled five diploid plants from accessions LA1963, LA3111, LA2931, LA4330, LA2932, LA4107 (Fig. 1a; Table S1) grown in standard glasshouse conditions. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNA extraction kit from Qiagen and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 with standard library size of 300 bp (Eurofins Genomics, Germany). The 30 *S. chilense* whole-genome sequencing data are available on ENA in BioProject PRJEB47577.

We performed quality control of the raw reads and trimmed calls with insufficient quality or adapter contamination. The clean reads were mapped to the

132 *Solanum pennellii* reference genome (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) available from Solanaceae
133 Genomics Network using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool (v0.7.16) with default
134 settings (Li & Durbin, 2009) and sorted with Samtools (v1.5) (Wysoker *et al.*, 2009).
135 The raw alignments were then processed to add read groups, mark duplicates and fix
136 mates. Variant calling was performed using the HaplotypeCaller tool of GATK
137 (McKenna *et al.*, 2010) (Auwera *et al.* 2013) with default parameters for each sample.
138 Individual genomic variant files were then combined into a variant matrix with the
139 GenotypeGVCFs tool and annotated based on the gene annotation of the *S.pennellii*
140 reference (details in the Supplementary SI text 1).

141 **Population genetics analyses and inference of demographic history**

142 For all population genetics analyses we used *S. pennellii* population LA716 as
143 outgroup. We built a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree and performed a
144 principal component analysis (PCA) and the inference of population structure with
145 ADMIXTURE (Alexander *et al.*, 2009). Population genetics statistics, nucleotide
146 diversity (π), Tajima's D and F_{ST} , were calculated with VCFtools (v1.15) (Danecek *et*
147 *al.*, 2011) for each population (or pairs of populations) over 100 kb sliding non-
148 overlapping windows. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) levels were calculated per
149 population as the genotype correlation coefficient (r^2) between two loci using
150 VCFtools with a maximum distance of 1,000 kb.

151 The demographic inference was conducted using the Multiple Sequentially
152 Markovian Coalescent method (MSMC2) with phased VCF files and 40 hidden states
153 (Malaspinas *et al.*, 2016). The cross-coalescence analysis was performed for each
154 pairwise comparison of genomes between pairs of populations to estimate the
155 population separation history and the migration rate with MSMC-IM (Wang *et al.*,
156 2020). The phased data were generated with SHAPEIT (v2.17) (Delaneau *et al.*,
157 2012). We assumed generation time of 5 years (uncertainty interval 3-7) and a

158 mutation rate per generation of 1×10^{-8} (uncertainty interval $5.1 \times 10^{-9} - 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$,
159 based on Roselius *et al.*, 2005), accounting for ambiguity in these estimates.

160 **Modelling present and past species distribution**

161 We reconstructed and visualized the environmental space occupied by *S. chilense*
162 extracting the environmental conditions at the current occurrence points and
163 summarize them by PCA (Fig. 1b) (Legendre & Legendre, 2012). The environmental
164 data include 63 climatic layers obtained from three public databases WorldClim2
165 (Fick & Hijmans, 2017), ENVIREM (Title & Bemmels, 2018), and the Consultative
166 Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) (Trabucco & Zomer, 2018)
167 (Dataset S5). The PCA was performed by the *prcomp* function in R (R Core Team,
168 2020).

169 We then performed an ensemble modelling framework (Araujo & New, 2007)
170 using the BIOMOD package (Thuiller *et al.*, 2009, 2014) in R, including all known
171 localities covering the entire geographic range of *S. chilense* using eight modelling
172 algorithms, five cross-validation replicates, and ten pseudo-absence sampling sets,
173 therefore completing a total of 400 models. Consensus niche models were obtained
174 using a TSS-weighted average method to account for the predictive power of each
175 fitted model. Models with low predictive power ($TSS < 0.7$) were discarded. All fitted
176 suitability models were then projected to infer the distribution suitable habitat of *S.*
177 *chilense* under current climatic conditions and during the Last Glacial Maximum
178 (LGM; ~21 Kya).

179 **Genome-wide selection scans and statistical power**

180 We identified selective sweeps using biallelic SNPs by SweeD (Pavlidis *et al.*, 2013)
181 and OmegaPlus (Alachiotis *et al.*, 2012). The CLR statistics in SweeD were
182 calculated with default parameters with 10 kb intervals. OmegaPlus statistics (ω)
183 were computed at 10 kb intervals. We specified a minimum window of 10 kb and a

maximum window of 100 kb to be used for computing LD values between SNPs, respectively. Outlier CLR and ω statistics indicative of a selective sweep are defined by comparison to the genome-wide distribution values and the thresholds are defined by coalescent simulations of the inferred demographic history. We used the coalescent simulator SCRM (Staab *et al.*, 2015) to generate 10,000 neutral datasets of 10 Mb based on the demographic history of each population and assuming a varying recombination rate every 100 kb within each 10 Mb simulated block (recombination rate varied between $0.1 \times \theta$ and $10 \times \theta$). Using the genomic coordinates, we then extracted the overlap regions between the two methods, which are regarded as high confident selective sweep regions. As independent confirmation of the sweep regions, we used McSwan (Tournebize *et al.*, 2019) to detect sweeps and estimate their age. McSwan was run with the same parameters as SweeD.

To evaluate the sensitivity of our sweeps detection pipeline, we simulated 1,000 selective sweeps per selective coefficient value ($s=0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1$) for each of the six populations under the inferred demographic model (with a random sweep age distribution). We used the *generate_pseudoobs* function based on MSMS simulator implemented in the McSwan R package (Ewing & Hermisson, 2010; Tournebize *et al.*, 2019). We then ran SweeD, OmegaPlus and McSwan on all simulated data sets using the same parameters and thresholds defined above to quantify the percentage of sweeps detected per population (and age of the detected sweeps).

GO enrichment analysis and gene networks

Due to the lack of a complete gene function annotation database, we performed a BLASTX against the NCBI database of non-redundant proteins (nr) screened for green plants (e-value cutoff was 10^{-6}) and used Blast2GO to assign GO terms for each gene identified in the genome scan analysis (Conesa *et al.*, 2005; Conesa & Götz, 2008) as well as performed a blast to the *A. thaliana* dataset TAIR10 separately to remove redundant terms (Berardini *et al.*, 2015). The false discovery

rates (FDR) were calculated to estimate the extent to which genes were enriched in given GO categories (significance cutoff of P-values < 0.05). For each of the genes enriched in specific biological processes, we retrieved the interacting gene neighbours using GeneMANIA (Warde-Farley *et al.*, 2010). We generated aggregate interaction networks in GeneMANIA, based on physical interactions, predicted and co-expression. Finally, we performed hierarchical clustering and manually optimized the weighted value cutoff for displaying the gene network.

Redundancy analysis (RDA)

We tested for genotype-environment association (GEA) using RDA (Capblancq & Forester, 2021) using the *rda* function from the *vegan* package implemented in R (Oksanen *et al.*, 2015), modelling genotypes as a function of the same climatic predictor variables used for the niche reconstruction analyses, and producing constrained axes and representative predictors. The significance of RDA constrained axes was assessed using the *anova.cca* function and significant axes were then used to identify candidate loci ($P < 0.001$). Candidate loci were identified using 2.5 standard deviation as cut-off (two-tailed p-value = 0.012). In order to measure the rate of false-positive associations due to the demographic history, we also performed the same RDA analysis using 1) a set of 1,000 randomly chosen SNPs from non-sweep regions, and 2) polymorphism data from the neutral simulations used to calibrate the sweepD and OmegaPlus thresholds.

232 Results

233 Past colonization events and climatic variations in *Solanum chilense*

234 We sequence whole genomes of 30 heterozygous plants from *S. chilense* from six
 235 populations (LA3111, LA1963, LA2931, LA2932, LA4107, LA4330) (Fig. 1a; Table
 236 S1) and used the reference genome assembly of *S. pennellii*. All 30 *S. chilense*
 237 individuals show high-quality sequence and mapping scores with more than 97% of
 238 mapping paired reads, individual genome coverage ranging between 16 to 24 reads
 239 per base, and >70% genome coverage per sample (Dataset S1). After SNP calling
 240 and stringent filtering, a total of 34,109,217 SNPs are identified across all 30 samples
 241 (Table S2) for a genome size estimated approximately to 914Mb (Stam *et al.*, 2019a).
 242 Phylogenetic analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) and population genetics
 243 statistics (Fig. 1c, S1, S2; Table S3, S4) support the population structure into three
 244 genetic groups, confirming the results in (Böndel *et al.*, 2015): a central group
 245 (LA1963, LA3111, LA2931), the south-highland group (LA4330), and the south-coast
 246 group (LA2932 and LA4107). The two south-coast populations constitute
 247 independent groups (best K=4; Fig. 1d, S1b-d). Only the individuals of the population
 248 LA2931 (the southernmost of the central group) display small admixed ancestry
 249 coefficients (< 5%) with the south-highland group (LA4330, Fig. 1d). There is no
 250 significant correlation between genetic (pairwise Nei's distance) and geographical
 251 distance (Pearson test, $r = 0.35$, $P = 0.20$; Fig. S1e).

252 As we confirm that *S. chilense* independently colonized the coastal and
 253 highland southern habitats from a lowland area located north of the central group
 254 region (Böndel *et al.*, 2015; Stam *et al.*, 2019b), we further refine our estimates of the
 255 historical changes in effective population size (N_e , Fig. 2a, S3), divergence and
 256 potential post-divergence gene flow (Fig. S4), and finally construct a consensus
 257 demographic model (Fig. 2b; Dataset S2; see Fig. S3 for confidence intervals related
 258 to mutation rate and generation time uncertainty). These estimates are compared in

Fig. 2b with the reconstructed past climatic variation highlighting five marine isotope stages (MIS) climatic periods (Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005; Ritter *et al.*, 2019). The two south-coast populations found in Lomas habitats (LA2932 and LA4107) show early divergence consistent with the admixture analysis (during the Last Inter-Glacial period, MIS5) likely from the lowland area of the central group (LA1963). The colonization of the highland likely occurred later, first in the central group region (LA3111, LA2931) between the last interglacial and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) periods (ca. 75-130 kya, MIS3-4) and then with further colonization of southern highlands (from 30 kya, MIS1-2, LA4330). All populations show a moderate effective size reduction matching with the estimated time of the LGM characterized as a cold and dry period and supported by a contraction of the suitable habitats to a narrow strip in lower altitudes, and a subsequent expansion thereafter (Fig. 2a,c). Indeed, the local habitat at the current location of LA2931 and LA4330 was likely unsuitable for the establishment of southern highland populations until 15 kya (after the LGM, *i.e.* during MIS1-2; Fig. 2c). The lower genetic diversity of the south populations (and estimated N_e) is thus due to a mild colonization bottleneck during the southward expansion (Fig. 2a,c, S2; Table S3). Both south-coast populations show consistent signals of long-term history of colonization, subsequent isolation with negligible gene flow, and possible local specialization to sparsely suitable Lomas habitats along the coast (Fig. 2b,c, S3).

The divergence between the central group populations (during MIS3-4) occurs in parallel to the colonization of the coastal habitat (Fig. 2b, S4), but before the colonization of the south-highland (LA4330). Moreover, strong post-divergence gene flow and low differentiation are found in the central group, especially among the pairs LA1963-LA3111 and LA3111-LA2931 (Fig. S2c, S4), consistent with their geographical and/or environmental proximity (Fig. 1a,b) and the range contraction during the LGM (MIS2 in Fig. 2). The colonization of high-altitude regions in the central group is thus accompanied by high levels of gene flow despite these

populations ranging across a large altitudinal gradient (2500m of altitude difference between LA1963 and LA3111 or LA2931). The divergence history results in the south-coast and south-highland populations to be fairly isolated from one another (as separated by the Atacama desert) leading to the suggestion of an incipient speciation process (Fig. 2b, S4) (Raduski & Igić, 2021).

Selective sweeps underpin local adaptation

In total, we find 2,921 candidate sweep regions with SweepD (mean size 212,858 bp +/- 3,938) and 13,106 with OmegaPlus (mean size 59,618 bp +/- 521) across all six populations (Table 1), yielding a total of 520 overlapping regions (mean size 41,082 bp +/- 1,618). These regions contain 799 protein-coding candidate genes assumed to likely be under positive selection (Fig. S5; Dataset S3). In LA4107, we find 61 candidate genes and about 100 candidate genes are detected in each of the other four populations (Table 1). The largest number of candidate genes (354) is found in LA4330 (Table 1), likely because the population has been established recently (Fig. 2a,b), and its habitat is ostensible different from the rest of the species range (Fig. 1b).

We present here two arguments supporting the fact that our cutoff values are well designed on the basis of the population demography to reasonably discriminate between demography and selection signals (as shown in Huber *et al.*, 2014). First, comparison of genome-wide genetic diversity statistics (π and Tajima's D) between the observed data and the simulations show that our demographic scenario captures well the genomic diversity patterns in all populations (Fig. S2). Second, we estimate by simulations the accuracy (statistical power) to detect sweeps due to strong selection under our demographic model to be between 64 and 88% across populations and for each method (Table 2). LA4330 exhibits even relatively high statistical power compared to the other populations (Table 2). This demonstrates that

thresholds for sweep detection are conservative and allow minimizing the rate of false positives, at the small cost of not detecting all selective sweeps, especially if the selection coefficients are too small (Table 2, S5). Further, only a few candidate genes are shared among different populations, with the central and south-highland populations sharing a small number of candidate genes, while almost none are shared between the two south-coastal populations (Fig. S5c). This lack of common candidate genes among populations is likely due to 1) the high effective population sizes (Fig. 2a) generating new variants across many genes which are then differentially picked up by selection across different populations, and 2) the relatively old inter-population divergence and timing of local adaptation.

An overview of population genetics statistics shows that our candidate regions exhibit typical characteristics (lower nucleotide diversity, higher LD, more negative Tajima's D and higher pairwise F_{ST} values) of positively selected regions when compared to the genome-wide statistics (see SI Text 2; Fig. S6; Table S3, S4). Furthermore, we find an overlap between our candidate genes under selective sweep and genes exhibiting signals of positive selection in previous studies in *S. chilense* which are based on few chosen genes, different plants, different populations and different sample sizes than ours. Among our candidate genes, we indeed find three genes (*JERF3*, *TPP* and *CT189*) involved in abiotic stress tolerance such as salt, drought or cold (Böndel *et al.*, 2015) as well as three NLRs (nucleotide binding leucine rich repeat, SOLCI006592800, SOLCI001535800, SOLCI005342400) possibly linked to resistance to pathogens (Stam *et al.*, 2019b). We also find that two of the seven most up-regulated genes under cold conditions in a transcriptomic study of *S. chilense* (Nosenko *et al.*, 2016) do appear in our selection scan in high altitude populations: *CBF3* (Soly03g026270) in LA2931, and *CBF1* (Soly03g026280) in LA4330. These results indicate that our genome-wide selective sweep scan generalizes the previous selection studies in *S. chilense* and supports the functional relevance of our candidate genes.

342

343 **Gene regulatory networks underlying local adaptation in *S. chilense***

344 A Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 799 candidate genes reveals
 345 significantly different and common biological processes across populations. We find
 346 common GO categories in all populations, including basic cell metabolism, immune
 347 response, specific organ development, and response to external stimuli (Fig. S7).
 348 Most interesting, however, are four GO categories specifically found in several
 349 populations and linked to local differences in habitats: (i) root hair cell differentiation
 350 functions are enriched in 15 candidate genes, only in the three coastal populations
 351 (LA1963, LA2932 and LA4107); (ii) response to circadian rhythm, photoperiodicity
 352 and flowering time are enriched in 12 candidate genes in two high-altitude (LA3111
 353 and LA4330) and a south-coast (LA2932) populations; (iii) vernalization response is
 354 enriched in eight candidate genes in the three high-altitude populations (LA2931,
 355 LA3111, LA4330), and (iv) protein lipidation is enriched in seven candidate genes in
 356 the south-highland population (LA4330). Based on the wealth of available data in
 357 cultivated tomato, *S. pennellii* and *A. thaliana*, we further study the gene regulatory
 358 networks to which the candidate genes belong.

359 For adaptation to high-altitude conditions, 15 candidate genes are
 360 interconnected in a flowering gene network, which is itself subdivided into two sub-
 361 networks related to flowering, photoperiod and vernalization control pathways (Fig.
 362 3a; Dataset S4). Photoperiod responsive genes can sense changes in sunlight and
 363 affect the circadian rhythm to regulate plant flowering (Johansson & Staiger, 2015;
 364 Song *et al.*, 2015), while vernalization genes regulate the flowering and germination
 365 through long-term low temperature (Guo *et al.*, 2018; Xu & Chong, 2018; Iida &
 366 Mähönen, 2020). These two sub-networks are connected through several key genes,
 367 some of which appear as candidate genes entailing local adaptation in our
 368 populations: FL FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC or AGL25), FLOWERING LOCUS T

(FT) and AGAMOUS-LIKE genes (AGL) (Fig. 3a,b). These key genes are essential regulators acting on the flowering regulation pathway (Michaels & Amasino, 1999; Sheldon *et al.*, 2000; Turck *et al.*, 2008; Putterill & Varkonyi-Gasic, 2016). Remarkably, some candidate genes in the recently diverged south-highland population (LA4330) aggregate into an independent network involved in circadian rhythm regulation, connected to the photoperiod network by JUMONJI DOMAIN CONTAINING 5 (JMJD5) also a candidate gene in LA3111 (Fig. 3a). In the central-highland population (LA3111), several other candidate genes of the photoperiod network also regulate circadian rhythm and flowering time. The three high-altitude populations (LA3111, LA2931, and LA4330) have candidate genes of the AGAMOUS-LIKE (AGL) gene family in the vernalization network (Fig. 3a). We also note that the network of protein lipidation genes appears to be related to the synthesis of fatty acids in the south-highland population (Fig. 3d; Dataset S4). We speculate here that this latter adaptation may be related to adaptation to lowest-temperature stress of LA4330 (Dataset S5) (Maksimov *et al.*, 2017; Jiang *et al.*, 2018). Adaptation to high altitude involves the regulation of the flowering, including photoperiod and vernalization pathways, but through different genes in different populations, while cold stress and its consequence (adaptation in lipidation pathway) may be relevant for adaptation to the highest altitudes (LA4330).

Regarding adaptation to coastal conditions, we find 11 candidate genes related to root development and cellular homeostasis functions clustered in a single network (Fig. 3c; Dataset S4). We speculate that the drought and water shortage typical of the coastal conditions (Dataset S5) would promote the differentiation and extension of plant roots (Xiong *et al.*, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2017). The cell WALL ASSOCIATED LINASE 4 (WAK4), a candidate gene identified LA4107, acts as a linker of signal from the cell wall to the plasma membrane and thus serve a vital role in lateral root development (He *et al.*, 1999; Lally *et al.*, 2001). It is also possible that the soft soil at the seaside is also beneficial to the extension of root hairs to increase the water

absorption area (White & Kirkegaard, 2010; Bengough *et al.*, 2016). In addition to root development, we also find genes involved in cell homeostasis (Fig. 3c; Dataset S4), which would be critical for the coastal drought and salinity conditions to maintain the stability of the intracellular environment in the coastal habitats (Forni *et al.*, 2017; Zhao *et al.*, 2020).

Candidate genes show genotype-environment associations

Our candidate loci are hypothesized to be responsible for adaptation to local climatic conditions, so we test for genotype-environment association (GEA) using redundancy analysis (RDA). We perform first a “present day” RDA using 144,713 SNPs from all candidate regions and 63 climatic variables representing current (present) conditions for temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, and wind (Dataset S5). We find that the two-first RDA axes are significant (ANOVA's $P < 0.001$) and retain most (38% and 21%) of the putative adaptive genetic variance identified in the genome scans in all populations (Fig. 4a). Tables S6 and S7 summarize outlier SNPs in different RDA models and their correlation with climatic variables. In concordance with the PCAs of both climatic and genomic variation (Fig. 1b,c), the two main RDA axes cluster the individuals into three groups corresponding to the main geographical regions (central, south-highland, and south-coastal) supporting that those axes synthesize the principal selective pressures for local spatial adaptation along with the species distribution (Fig. 4a; Table S7). RDA1 represents the differentiation of the two south-coast populations in correlation with higher precipitation of the coldest quarter (Bio19) and annual variation of solar radiation (CV_R) and RDA2 summarizes a climatic gradient differentiating the south-highland population mainly driven by annual potential evapotranspiration (annualPET) and temperature annual range (Bio7) (Table S7).

Further RDA analyses based on gene variants of the GO categories circadian rhythm-photoperiodism, vernalization, root-hair differentiation, and protein lipidation highlight combinations of climatic variables and genetic variants related to local spatial adaptation (Fig. S8a,c,e,g). These analyses show that the two main RDA axes explain 40% of the variation. Climatic variables representing temperature variability through the year such as temperature seasonality (Bio4) and temperature annual range (Bio7) are consistently correlated with adaptive variation of the south-highland population (Fig. 4a, S8). A total of 68 SNPs within candidate genes of the population LA4330 are strongly associated with these two variables (Bio4, Bio7) in three of the RDA based on the GO categories (circadian rhythm-photoperiodism, vernalization, and protein lipidation; Dataset S6). The RDA based on the root-hair differentiation GO category exhibits a strong differentiation between lowland and highland populations based on atmosphere water vapour availability variables (ann_Vmin, ann_AET; Fig. S8e). Note that the RDA testing for false positives implemented on 1,000 random SNPs from non-sweep regions and neutral simulations produced no significant RDA axes and correlations with any climatic variable.

To assess the occurrence of selection in *S. chilense* as a response to past climatic changes, we implement an “LGM” RDA using 37 climate variables projected to the Last Glacial Maximum conditions (Fig. 4b, S8b,d,f,h; Dataset S5). This LGM RDA analysis aims to uncover additional genomic variation selected in response to temporal climatic changes and underlying the niche expansion towards the south-highland region (Fig. 1b). The LGM RDA analyses capture a smaller proportion of the genetic variability in the first two constrained axes (30%) compared to that using the current climatic variables. About 30% outlier SNPs are identified in genomic regions correlated with past climatic variables and not with the current variables (Table S6, S7). For example, the central populations LA3111 and LA2931 are separated in the past RDA of vernalization genes using LGM climatic variables indicating that warmer climate after LGM may drive gene flow among central populations as seen in the

current RDA (Fig. 2b, S4, S8c,d). The past RDA of LGM climatic variables unveils that high-altitude populations, especially LA4330, have SNPs correlating with temperature (*i.e.* annual mean minimum temperature; ann_mTmin, and temperature annual range; Bio7) whereas coastal populations SNPs do correlate with precipitation and potential evapotranspiration of the coldest and driest seasons (Fig. 4b). We advise caution in interpreting the LGM RDA results as these are based on climatic values from locations that likely had little or no population occurrence in the past, especially those in highland areas (but only mild bottlenecks could suggest local persistence, Fig. 2a,c). We suggest that this analysis is nevertheless useful for identifying alleles that arose in response to sudden changes in adaptive climatic optima during glacial-interglacial transitions, especially in the highland populations .

Age of selective sweeps and timing of selection

We finally estimate the age, that is the time of the appearance of the selected allele, of 112 selective sweep regions chosen to conservatively overlap between the three detection methods (McSwan, SweeD and OmegaPlus, Table 1). These regions contain 175 genes and exhibit a mean sweep age of ca. 28,000 years. The ages of sweeps range from as early as 65 kya up to 2.5 kya (Table 1, Fig. 5). The highland populations exhibit more recent sweeps (2.5 - 35 kya) than those at the coastal populations, consistent with the recent (re)colonization of higher altitudes (Fig. 5). The south-coastal populations exhibit older and large distributions of sweep age consistent with older events of colonization (2.5 - 65 kya). Regarding the key gene networks of relevance for local adaptation highlighted above (root hair, protein lipidation, vernalization and photoperiod), each of them exhibits a narrow range of sweep age values across several populations (Fig. 5). The averages of sweep ages observed (Table 1) are perfectly in line with the estimates obtained from the sweep simulations under our demographic model (Table 2), demonstrating that our statistical

power is adequate to estimate sweep ages under the demographic model and that old sweeps in the highland populations cannot be recovered (even if they occurred) in contrast to the coastal populations.

Discussion

After detecting selective sweeps at candidate genes, we draw correlations between allele frequencies and climatic data, age of seeps and species distribution range shifts driven by climate changes. We demonstrate that the distribution of the ages of selective sweeps at few key gene networks are restricted in time and can be associated with local spatial adaptation due to the colonization of new habitats , or climatic changes responsible for local temporal adaptations in marginal populations. To our knowledge, this is the first study to attempt to dissect the complex spatial and temporal selective processes involved during and after the colonization of new highly stressful environments such as arid and hyper-arid regions in plants.

Taking our demographic and selection results altogether, we formulate the following scenario for the highland colonization. During the past colder climate phases (LGM-MIS2 at 30-15kya), the suitable areas of the species likely decreased at high altitude (Fig. 2c). We speculate that the populations were already established at high altitude before the LGM (MIS 3-5; Fig. 2) likely in the northern part of the range (from the location of LA3111 up to that of LA2931), before a contraction of the species range occurred towards lower altitudes during the LGM, and the subsequent colonization of new southern locations concluded ~15kya (post-LGM, LA4330). The highland populations (LA3111, LA2931, LA4330) show adaptation by a burst, *i.e.* over a short time, of numerous selective sweeps across several gene networks (Fig. 5) but also show older selective events pre-dating the LGM (MIS3-4). Interestingly, the population LA4330 exhibits selective sweeps in the vernalization and photoperiod which pre-date its establishment. These selective events likely occurred in the

505 northern part of the range (LA3111, LA2931) during MIS2-4 acting as pre-adaptation
506 requisite for colonizing the more divergent and extreme environments of the south-
507 highlands (LA4330; Fig. 1b).

508 The *S. chilense* lineage likely originates from coastal up to 'pre-cordillera' (800 -
509 2000 m altitude) habitats in southern Peru, explaining the early divergence and
510 southward colonization process, accompanied by habitat fragmentation and
511 contraction, which yields two highly isolated populations on the coast (Fig. 2b,c;
512 LA2932 and LA 4107). The coastal colonization process seems to involve fewer
513 sweeps than the adaptation to higher altitudes, for example a burst of selective
514 sweeps in genes related to root anatomical traits during the LGM-MIS2 period (Fig.
515 5). We speculate here that these sweeps are due to temporal adaptation to changes
516 in the habitat after colonization. However, some of the adaptive genomic signals in
517 the coastal populations could be blurred due to the older divergence time and
518 stronger drift (due to habitat fragmentation along the coast), or be
519 incomplete/partial/soft sweeps (with small selection coefficients) which we do not
520 detect (e.g. Garud *et al.*, 2021).

521 We find between 60 and 350 selective sweeps per population, but contrary to
522 our naïve expectations and previous findings in the literature, sweeps show a large
523 distribution of ages, especially in the south-coastal populations. We suggest that
524 several sweeps do occur concomitantly in a given gene pathway/network at a given
525 time period, either to promote local spatial adaptation or in response to a moving
526 environmental optimum (our climatic periods, temporal adaptation) as predicted
527 under the polygenic model of adaptation (Polechová *et al.*, 2009; Chevin *et al.*, 2010;
528 Matuszewski *et al.*, 2014; Jain & Stephan, 2017a). Selective sweeps at genes with
529 large selection coefficients can be observed because the populations of *S. chilense*
530 exhibit large effective sizes (Fig. 2; Böndel *et al.*, 2015), especially when compared to
531 the small above ground abundance (census size) reported in these semi-arid habitats

(Tellier *et al.*, 2011). *S. chilense* is outcrossing and exhibits persistent seed banking. Both factors contribute to generate large effective population sizes by 1) decreasing linkage disequilibrium and the effect of linked selection, 2) buffering the negative impact of colonization bottlenecks, and 3) enhance the recovery post-colonization (Fig. 2; Tellier *et al.*, 2011; Živković & Tellier, 2018). Therefore, the detection of old sweeps dating up to 65 kya for the coastal populations and up to 35 kya for the highland populations (pre-dating the recent post-LGM colonization; Fig. 2) is made possible and stretching beyond the theoretical limit of $0.1N_e$ computed without the effect of seed banking (Kim & Stephan, 2002).

As a word of caution, we focus on four main GO categories, which can be reliably associated with physiological traits likely underlying adaptation: root hair differentiation, vernalization, photoperiod, and protein lipidation. Pinpointing the regulatory or non-coding SNPs under selection was not possible with our sample sizes and functional information on many candidate genes in Figure 5 and S6 is still lacking to provide a complete picture. Furthermore, we focus here on selective sweeps resulting from strong positive selection as we cannot assess in our data the occurrence of weaker positive (polygenic) selection or signatures of soft or incomplete sweeps which would be favoured by the presence of seed banking (Živković & Tellier, 2018). Yet, we are confident that our candidate genes under selection are functionally relevant, as demonstrated by the overlap with previous studies (Böndel *et al.*, 2015; Nosenko *et al.*, 2016; Stam *et al.*, 2019b). Our selection scans are not exhaustive and future work requires larger sample sizes as well as original material from *S. chilense* populations from the field to reveal the extent of positive and balancing selection in this species.

Supplementary information

Available as a single pdf with additional figures, tables and fully detailed methods.

558 **Acknowledgments**

559 AT acknowledges funding from DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) Grant
560 Number: 317616126 (TE809/7-1); KW was funded by the Chinese Scholarship
561 Council, and GSA funded by the Technical University of Munich. We thank the
562 Tomato Genetics Resource Center (TGRC) of the University of California, Davis for
563 generously providing us with the seeds of the accession included in this study. We
564 thank Daniela Scheikl for assistance with plant work and Christine Würmser for the
565 Illumina sequencing.

566 **Author contributions**

567 Conceptualization, GSA and AT; Software, Formal analysis and Investigation, KW
568 and GSA, Writing KW, GSA and AT; Funding Acquisition, AT; Supervision, GSA and
569 AT.

570 **Data availability**

571 The raw pair-end sequencing genomic data used in all the analyses can be accessed
572 at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) project accession PRJEB47577.

573 All code used in this study and other previously published genomic data is available
574 at the sources referenced. The developed scripts and extra data formats are found
575 on our gitlab: https://gitlab.lrz.de/population_genetics/wild-tomato-genomics.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Geographic distribution and population structure of *S. chilense*. (a) Map with distribution of all *S. chilense* populations by the TGRC, the six *S. chilense* populations in this study (black circles), and the four population groups (colorful circles). (b) The PCA of all populations using 63 current climatic variables (Dataset S5). Population structure using SNP data based on (c) PCA and (d) Admixture analysis (optimal K value is 4; Fig S1b).

Fig. 2 Demographic history and species distribution model of *S. chilense* for current and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) climate conditions (a) The estimation of historical patterns of effective population size (N_e) for 10 pairwise genome comparisons per population using the MSMC model. (b) Interpreted demographic scenario for the six samples populations of *S. chilense* including likely estimations of effective population size, divergence times and gene-flow. The width of the boxes represents the relative effective population size, arrows represent the migration between population pairs. Grey background boxes indicate five Marine isotope stages (MIS) climatic periods. (c) Overlay of the reconstruction of the distribution model for *S. chilense* using current climatic variables (red) and LGM past climatic variables (blue). Darker color of the gradient indicates higher suitable habitat for a given climatic period.

599 Fig. 3 Interaction genetic networks of candidate genes. (a) The network of flowering
600 regulation involved two sub-networks, photoperiod and vernalization pathways, for
601 regulation of flowering. (b) The schematic diagram of flowering regulation involved
602 photoperiod and vernalization is adapted from (Xu & Chong, 2018). “⊥” indicates
603 repressive effects on gene expression; “→” indicates promotive effects on gene
604 expression. (c) The network of root development and cell homeostasis. (d) The
605 networks of protein lipidation. Connections represent gene interactions based on
606 physical interactions, informatics predictions and co-expression analyses.
607 Connection thickness is proportional to weighted value of the connected genes. The
608 black lines connected two sub-networks, genes under selection were connected by
609 solid lines and other genes were connected by dashed line. Node colors correspond
610 to genes were detected the different populations in genome scans. Gray circles, not
611 detected in genome scan, but present in *S. chilense*; gray squares: not present in *S.*
612 *chilense*.
613
614
615

Fig. 4 Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination bi-plots between the climatic variables, populations and the genetic variants in all candidate sweeps. (a) RDA using current climatic variables. (b) RDA using LGM climatic variables. Arrows indicated the direction and magnitude of variables correlated with the populations. The abbreviations of climatic variables are shown in Dataset S5.

Fig. 5 Distribution of sweep age across the five MIS climatic periods.

Table 1. The summary of genome scans and estimation of sweep age.

Population	Genome Scans				Sweeps age			
	N_{SweeD}	$N_{\text{OmegaPlus}}$	$N_{\text{overlaps1}}$	N_{genes1}	N_{McSwan}	$N_{\text{overlaps2}}$	N_{genes2}	Age_{mean} (kyr)
LA1963	385	2,474	98 ^b	86	267	16	14	38 ± 16
LA2931	517	2,268	109	125	355	24	28	20 ± 10
LA2932	374	1,717	46	101	302	15	29	36 ± 15
LA3111	663	2,307	105	107	377	22	22	23 ± 11
LA4107	203	2,047	37	61	194	11	13	34 ± 10
LA4330	779	2,293	125	354	438	36	71	17 ± 8

N_{SweeD} , number of outlier regions from SweeD; $N_{\text{OmegaPlus}}$, number of outlier regions from OmegaPlus; $N_{\text{overlaps1}}$, number of overlapping regions between SweeD and OmegaPlus; N_{genes1} , number of candidate genes in overlaps1, and all candidate genes show in Data S1C; N_{McSwan} , number of outlier regions from McSwan; $N_{\text{overlaps2}}$, number of overlapping regions between McSwan and overlaps1; N_{genes2} , number of genes in overlaps2; Age_{mean} , mean age ± standard deviation of overlaps2.

Table 2. The estimation of sensitivity to detect selective sweeps using our pipeline with different selection strengths.

Population	s=0.5			s=1			Mean age $\pm$ sd (kya)
	Swee D	OmegaPlus	McSwan	SweeD	OmegaPlus	McSwan	
LA1963	22.4	31.2	29.8	74.2	81.6	76.4	36 $\pm$ 14
LA2931	27.3	35.1	30.6	76.3	84.1	77.2	24 $\pm$ 12
LA2932	19.7	27.4	29.4	68.5	78.3	75.3	35 $\pm$ 16
LA3111	26.8	33.5	30.2	74.1	80.5	78.4	27 $\pm$ 12
LA4107	17.4	21.7	28.7	63.6	74.8	71.7	33 $\pm$ 14
LA4330	30.1	37.9	34.6	81.7	88.3	79.8	19 $\pm$ 10

The validation of our pipeline to detect selective sweeps by simulating 1,000 data sets of selective sweeps with four different selective strengths ($s=0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1$; see also Table S5) in six populations. The numbers denote the percentage of the detected simulated sweeps using different methods. The mean age represents average age of simulated sweeps detected by McSwan.

References

- Alachiotis N, Stamatakis A, Pavlidis P. 2012.** OmegaPlus: a scalable tool for rapid detection of selective sweeps in whole-genome datasets. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 2274–2275.
- Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009.** Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome research* **19**: 1655–1664.
- Araujo M, New M. 2007.** Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**: 42–47.
- Barghi N, Hermisson J, Schlötterer C. 2020.** Polygenic adaptation: A unifying framework to understand positive selection. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **21**: 769–781.
- Bengough AG, Loades K, McKenzie BM. 2016.** Root hairs aid soil penetration by anchoring the root surface to pore walls. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**: 1071–1078.
- Berardini TZ, Reiser L, Li D, Mezheritsky Y, Muller R, Strait E, Huala E. 2015.** The Arabidopsis information resource: making and mining the “gold standard” annotated reference plant genome. *genesis* **53**: 474–485.
- Bolger A, Scossa F, Bolger ME, Lanz C, Maumus F, Tohge T, Quesneville H, Alseekh S, Sørensen I, Lichtenstein G, et al. 2014.** The genome of the stress-tolerant wild tomato species *Solanum pennellii*. *Nature Genetics* **46**: 1034–1038.
- Böndel KB, Lainer H, Nosenko T, Mboup M, Tellier A, Stephan W. 2015.** North–south colonization associated with local adaptation of the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 2932–2943.
- Böndel KB, Nosenko T, Stephan W. 2018.** Signatures of natural selection in abiotic stress-responsive genes of *Solanum chilense*. *Royal Society Open Science* **5**: 171198.
- Bragg JG, Supple MA, Andrew RL, Borevitz JO. 2015.** Genomic variation across landscapes: insights and applications. *New Phytologist* **207**: 953–967.
- Capblancq T, Forester BR. 2021.** Redundancy analysis: A Swiss Army Knife for landscape genomics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **12**: 2298–2309.
- Chevin L-M, Martin G, Lenormand T. 2010.** Fisher’s model and the genomics of adaptation: Restricted pleiotropy, heterogenous mutation, and parallel evolution. *Evolution* **64**: 3213–3231.

- 683 **Conesa A, Götz S. 2008.** Blast2GO: a comprehensive suite for functional analysis in
684 plant genomics. *International journal of plant genomics* **2008**.
- 685 **Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. 2005.**
686 Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional
687 genomics research. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3674–3676.
- 688 **Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker
689 RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST. 2011.** The variant call format and VCFtools.
690 *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156–2158.
- 691 **Delaneau O, Marchini J, Zagury J-F. 2012.** A linear complexity phasing method for
692 thousands of genomes. *Nature methods* **9**: 179–181.
- 693 **Ewing G, Hermisson J. 2010.** MSMS: a coalescent simulation program including
694 recombination, demographic structure and selection at a single locus. *Bioinformatics*
695 **26**: 2064–2065.
- 696 **Fagny M, Austerlitz F. 2021.** Polygenic adaptation: integrating population genetics
697 and gene regulatory networks. *Trends in Genetics* **37**: 631–638.
- 698 **Fick SE, Hijmans RJ. 2017.** WorldClim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution climate
699 surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* **37**: 4302–4315.
- 700 **Fischer I, Camus-Kulandaivelu L, Allal F, Stephan W. 2011.** Adaptation to drought
701 in two wild tomato species: the evolution of the *Asr* gene family. *New Phytologist* **190**:
702 1032–1044.
- 703 **Forni C, Duca D, Glick BR. 2017.** Mechanisms of plant response to salt and drought
704 stress and their alteration by rhizobacteria. *Plant and Soil* **410**: 335–356.
- 705 **François O, Martins H, Caye K, Schoville SD. 2016.** Controlling false discoveries in
706 genome scans for selection. *Molecular Ecology* **25**: 454–469.
- 707 **Garud NR, Messer PW, Petrov DA. 2021.** Detection of hard and soft selective
708 sweeps from *Drosophila melanogaster* population genomic data. *PLOS Genetics* **17**:
709 e1009373.
- 710 **Guo X, Liu D, Chong K. 2018.** Cold signaling in plants: Insights into mechanisms
711 and regulation. *Journal of integrative plant biology* **60**: 745–756.
- 712 **He Z-H, Cheeseman I, He D, Kohorn BD. 1999.** A cluster of five cell wall-associated
713 receptor kinase genes, *Wak1–5*, are expressed in specific organs of *Arabidopsis*.
714 *Plant molecular biology* **39**: 1189–1196.

- 715 **Hoban S, Kelley JL, Lotterhos KE, Antolin MF, Bradburd G, Lowry DB, Poss ML,**
716 **Reed LK, Storfer A, Whitlock MC. 2016.** Finding the Genomic Basis of Local
717 Adaptation: Pitfalls, Practical Solutions, and Future Directions. *The American*
718 *Naturalist* **188**: 379–397.
- 719 **Huber CD, Nordborg M, Hermisson J, Hellmann I. 2014.** Keeping it local:
720 Evidence for positive selection in swedish *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Biology*
721 *and Evolution* **31**: 3026–3039.
- 722 **Iida H, Mähönen AP. 2020.** Growth-mediated sensing of long-term cold in plants.
723 *Nature* **583**: 690–691.
- 724 **Jain K, Stephan W. 2017a.** Rapid adaptation of a polygenic trait after a sudden
725 environmental shift. *Genetics* **206**: 389–406.
- 726 **Jain K, Stephan W. 2017b.** Modes of rapid polygenic adaptation. *Molecular Biology*
727 *and Evolution* **34**: 3169–3175.
- 728 **Jiang H, Zhang X, Chen X, Aramsangtienchai P, Tong Z, Lin H. 2018.** Protein
729 lipidation: occurrence, mechanisms, biological functions, and enabling technologies.
730 *Chemical reviews* **118**: 919–988.
- 731 **Johansson M, Staiger D. 2015.** Time to flower: interplay between photoperiod and
732 the circadian clock. *Journal of experimental botany* **66**: 719–730.
- 733 **Josephs EB, Stinchcombe JR, Wright SI. 2017.** What can genome-wide
734 association studies tell us about the evolutionary forces maintaining genetic variation
735 for quantitative traits? *New Phytologist* **214**: 21–33.
- 736 **Kawecki TJ, Ebert D. 2004.** Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters*
737 **7**: 1225–1241.
- 738 **Kim Y, Stephan W. 2002.** Detecting a local signature of genetic hitchhiking along a
739 recombining chromosome. *Genetics* **160**: 765.
- 740 **Lally D, Ingmire P, Tong H-Y, He Z-H. 2001.** Antisense expression of a cell wall–
741 associated protein kinase, WAK4, inhibits cell elongation and alters morphology. *The*
742 *Plant Cell* **13**: 1317–1332.
- 743 **Legendre P, Legendre L. 2012.** *Numerical ecology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 744 **Li X, Cai W, Liu Y, Li H, Fu L, Liu Z, Xu L, Liu H, Xu T, Xiong Y. 2017.** Differential
745 TOR activation and cell proliferation in *Arabidopsis* root and shoot apices.
746 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**: 2765–2770.

- 747 **Li H, Durbin R. 2009.** Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
748 transform. *bioinformatics* **25**: 1754–1760.
- 749 **Lisiecki LE, Raymo ME. 2005.** A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally
750 distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records. *Paleoceanography* **20**: PA1003.
- 751 **Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2015.** The relative power of genome scans to detect
752 local adaptation depends on sampling design and statistical method. *Molecular*
753 *Ecology* **24**: 1031–1046.
- 754 **Maksimov EG, Mironov KS, Trofimova MS, Nechaeva NL, Todorenko DA,**
755 **Klementiev KE, Tsoraev G V, Tyutyaev E V, Zorina AA, Feduraev P V. 2017.**
756 Membrane fluidity controls redox-regulated cold stress responses in cyanobacteria.
757 *Photosynthesis research* **133**: 215–223.
- 758 **Malaspinas A-S, Westaway MC, Muller C, Sousa VC, Lao O, Alves I, Bergström**
759 **A, Athanasiadis G, Cheng JY, Crawford JE, et al. 2016.** A genomic history of
760 Aboriginal Australia. *Nature* **538**: 207–214.
- 761 **Matuszewski S, Hermisson J, Kopp M. 2014.** Fisher’s geometric model with a
762 moving optimum. *Evolution* **68**: 2571–2588.
- 763 **McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytzky A,**
764 **Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, et al. 2010.** The Genome Analysis
765 Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing
766 data. *Genome Research* **20**: 1297–1303.
- 767 **Michaels SD, Amasino RM. 1999.** FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS
768 domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. *The Plant Cell* **11**: 949–956.
- 769 **Nakazato T, Warren DL, Moyle LC. 2010.** Ecological and geographic modes of
770 species divergence in wild tomatoes. *American Journal of Botany* **97**: 680–693.
- 771 **Nosenko T, Böndel KB, Kumpfmüller G, Stephan W. 2016.** Adaptation to low
772 temperatures in the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense*. *Molecular Ecology* **25**:
773 2853–2869.
- 774 **Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O’Hara RB,**
775 **Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2015.** *VEGAN: Community*
776 *ecology package. R package version 2.3-0.*
- 777 **Pannell JR, Fields PD. 2014.** Evolution in subdivided plant populations: concepts,
778 recent advances and future directions. *New Phytologist* **201**: 417–432.
- 779 **Pavlidis P, Živković D, Stamatakis A, Alachiotis N. 2013.** SweeD: Likelihood-

780 Based Detection of Selective Sweeps in Thousands of Genomes. *Molecular Biology*
781 *and Evolution* **30**: 2224–2234.

782 **Polechová J, Barton N, Marion G. 2009.** Species' range: Adaptation in space and
783 time. *The American Naturalist* **174**: E186–E204.

784 **Putterill J, Varkonyi-Gasic E. 2016.** FT and florigen long-distance flowering control
785 in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **33**: 77–82.

786 **R Core Team. 2020.** *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.*
787 Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

788 **Raduski AR, Igić B. 2021.** Biosystematic studies on the status of *Solanum chilense*.
789 *American Journal of Botany* **108**: 520–537.

790 **Ritter B, Wennrich V, Medialdea A, Brill D, King G, Schneiderwind S, Niemann**
791 **K, Fernández-Galego E, Diederich J, Rolf C, et al. 2019.** Climatic fluctuations in
792 the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert during the past 215 ka. *Scientific Reports*
793 **9**: 5270.

794 **Roselius K, Stephan W, Städler T. 2005.** The relationship of nucleotide
795 polymorphism, recombination rate and selection in wild Tomato species. *Genetics*
796 **171**: 753–763.

797 **Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J. 2013.** Ecological genomics of local adaptation.
798 *Nature Reviews Genetics* **14**: 807–820.

799 **Schrider DR, Kern AD. 2017.** Soft sweeps are the dominant mode of adaptation in
800 the human genome. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**: 1863–1877.

801 **Sheldon CC, Rouse DT, Finnegan EJ, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES. 2000.** The
802 molecular basis of vernalization: the central role of FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC).
803 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **97**: 3753–3758.

804 **Smith JM, Haigh J. 1974.** The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. *Genetical*
805 *Research* **23**: 23–35.

806 **Song YH, Shim JS, Kinmonth-Schultz HA, Imaizumi T. 2015.** Photoperiodic
807 flowering: time measurement mechanisms in leaves. *Annual review of plant biology*
808 **66**: 441–464.

809 **Staab PR, Zhu S, Metzler D, Lunter G. 2015.** scrm: Efficiently simulating long
810 sequences using the approximated coalescent with recombination. *Bioinformatics* **31**:
811 1680–1682.

- 812 **Stam R, Nosenko T, Hörger AC, Stephan W, Seidel M, Kuhn JMM, Haberer G,**
813 **Tellier A. 2019a.** The *de novo* reference genome and transcriptome assemblies of
814 the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense* highlights birth and death of NLR genes
815 between tomato species. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **9**: 3933–3941.
- 816 **Stam R, Silva-Arias GA, Tellier A. 2019b.** Subsets of NLR genes show differential
817 signatures of adaptation during colonization of new habitats. *New Phytologist* **224**:
818 367–379.
- 819 **Stephan W. 2016.** Signatures of positive selection: From selective sweeps at
820 individual loci to subtle allele frequency changes in polygenic adaptation. *Molecular*
821 *Ecology* **25**: 79–88.
- 822 **Tellier A, Laurent SJY, Lainer H, Pavlidis P, Stephan W. 2011.** Inference of seed
823 bank parameters in two wild tomato species using ecological and genetic data.
824 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**: 17052–17057.
- 825 **Thuiller W, Georges D, Engler R. 2014.** *BIOMOD2: Ensemble platform for species*
826 *distribution modeling. R package version 3.1-62/r677.*
- 827 **Thuiller W, Lafourcade B, Engler R, Araújo MB. 2009.** BIOMOD - a platform for
828 ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Ecography* **32**: 369–373.
- 829 **Tiffin P, Ross-Ibarra J. 2014.** Advances and limits of using population genetics to
830 understand local adaptation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **29**: 673–680.
- 831 **Title PO, Bemmels JB. 2018.** ENVIREM: An expanded set of bioclimatic and
832 topographic variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological
833 niche modeling. *Ecography* **41**: 291–307.
- 834 **Tournebize R, Poncet V, Jakobsson M, Vigouroux Y, Manel S. 2019.** McSwan: A
835 joint site frequency spectrum method to detect and date selective sweeps across
836 multiple population genomes. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **19**: 283–295.
- 837 **Trabucco A, Zomer RJ. 2018.** Global aridity index and potential evapotranspiration
838 (ET0) climate database v2. *CGIAR Consort Spat Inf.*
- 839 **Turck F, Fornara F, Coupland G. 2008.** Regulation and identity of Florigen:
840 FLOWERING LOCUS T moves center stage. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **59**:
841 573–594.
- 842 **Wang K, Mathieson I, O’Connell J, Schiffels S. 2020.** Tracking human population
843 structure through time from whole genome sequences. *PLoS genetics* **16**: e1008552.
- 844 **Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, Franz**

845 **M, Grouios C, Kazi F, Lopes CT. 2010.** The GeneMANIA prediction server:
846 biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function.
847 *Nucleic acids research* **38**: W214–W220.

848 **White RG, Kirkegaard JA. 2010.** The distribution and abundance of wheat roots in a
849 dense, structured subsoil—implications for water uptake. *Plant, cell & environment* **33**:
850 133–148.

851 **Wright KM, Hellsten U, Xu C, Jeong AL, Sreedasyam A, Chapman JA, Schmutz**
852 **J, Coop G, Rokhsar DS, Willis JH. 2015.** Adaptation to heavy-metal contaminated
853 environments proceeds via selection on pre-existing genetic variation. *bioRxiv*
854 **029900.**

855 **Wysocki A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009.**
856 The Sequence alignment/map (SAM) format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–
857 2079.

858 **Xia H, Camus-Kulandaivelu L, Stephan W, Tellier A, Zhang Z. 2010.** Nucleotide
859 diversity patterns of local adaptation at drought-related candidate genes in wild
860 tomatoes. *Molecular Ecology* **19**: 4144–4154.

861 **Xiong Y, McCormack M, Li L, Hall Q, Xiang C, Sheen J. 2013.** Glucose–TOR
862 signalling reprograms the transcriptome and activates meristems. *Nature* **496**: 181–
863 186.

864 **Xu S, Chong K. 2018.** Remembering winter through vernalisation. *Nature Plants* **4**:
865 997–1009.

866 **Zhao C, Zhang H, Song C, Zhu J-K, Shabala S. 2020.** Mechanisms of plant
867 responses and adaptation to soil salinity. *The innovation* **1**: 100017.

868 **Živković D, Tellier A. 2018.** All but sleeping? Consequences of soil seed banks on
869 neutral and selective diversity in plant species. In: Morris RJ, ed. Mathematical
870 Modelling in Plant Biology. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 195–212.

871 **Zou Y-P, Hou X-H, Wu Q, Chen J-F, Li Z-W, Han T-S, Niu X-M, Yang L, Xu Y-C,**
872 **Zhang J, et al. 2017.** Adaptation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to the Yangtze River basin.
873 *Genome Biology* **18**: 239.