**Thermal regime during parental sexual maturation, but not during offspring rearing,
modulates DNA methylation in brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*)**

Clare J Venney¹, Kyle W Wellband¹, Eric Normandeau¹, Carlyne Houle², Dany Garant², Céline Audet³, Louis Bernatchez¹

1. Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada G1V 0A6

2. Département de Biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada J1K 2R1

3. Institut des sciences de la mer de Rimouski (ISMER), Université du Québec à Rimouski (UQAR), Rimouski, QC, Canada G5L 2Z9

Abstract

Epigenetic inheritance can result in plastic responses to changing environments being faithfully transmitted to offspring. However, it remains unclear how epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation can contribute to multigenerational acclimation and adaptation to environmental stressors. Brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), an economically important salmonid, is highly sensitive to thermal stress, and is of conservation concern in the context of climate change. We studied the effects of temperature during parental sexual maturation and offspring rearing on whole-genome DNA methylation in brook charr juveniles (fry). Parents were split between warm and cold temperatures during sexual maturation, mated in controlled breeding designs, then offspring from each family were split between warm (8°C) and cold (5°C) rearing environments. We found 188 differentially methylated regions (DMRs) due to parental maturation temperature after controlling for family structure. In contrast, offspring rearing temperature had a negligible effect on offspring methylation. Stable intergenerational inheritance of DNA methylation and minimal plasticity in progeny could result in transmission of acclimatory epigenetic states to offspring, priming them for a warming environment. Our findings have implications pertaining to the role of intergenerational epigenetic inheritance in response to ongoing climate change.

Keywords: epigenetics, DNA methylation, epigenetic inheritance, climate change, fish, salmonids

Introduction

Climate change is a pervasive threat to global biodiversity and is expected to have profound effects on the resilience and abundance of species [1]. In Canada, the mean annual temperature has increased by 1.7°C from 1948 to 2016 and is expected to increase over the next 30 years in both low and high emission scenarios [2]. As sea surface temperatures increase, it is expected that biomass of aquatic organisms such as fish will decrease, resulting in considerable economic losses [3]. With most of Eastern Canada experiencing moderate increases in mean annual temperatures and temperature extremes, fish catch is predicted to decrease and considerable declines in fish stocks are forecast due to long-term increases in temperature [3]. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the evolutionary mechanisms through which fishes can respond to climate change is a priority for the conservation of fish stocks [4–6].

There is ample evidence for plasticity to thermal stress in fish, as observed through differences in physiology [7,8], morphology [8,9], and behaviour [9,10], among other traits. These plastic responses are often due to underlying differences in gene expression driven by thermal regimes [11–15]. In some instances, intergenerational plasticity can occur wherein plastic phenotypes are passed on from parent to offspring [16–18]. Thus, parents have the potential to pass on phenotypes to offspring based on environmental experience, which can influence offspring fitness [19,20] and fitness-related traits [17,21]. The underlying mechanisms for intergenerational plasticity have not been thoroughly characterized but may be partially due to epigenetic inheritance.

Epigenetic inheritance refers to the transmission of epigenetic marks (e.g., DNA methylation) from parent to progeny that can modify offspring gene expression, phenotype, and fitness [22,23]. DNA methylation is an important regulator of transcription and has been shown to change in response to temperature [24–27], differences in rearing environment [28,29], and other factors. Previous studies have shown that epigenetic changes are passed on to offspring due to parental exposure to factors such as thermal stress [30–35] and hatchery rearing [36–38]. Thus, epigenetic inheritance is common in response to parental experiences and can have important implications for offspring phenotype and fitness [22,23]. In particular, epigenetic inheritance could help future generations by preparing them for warming climates, and thus

merits further study as a mechanism for species to cope with climate change and increasingly inhospitable environments [35].

Brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) is one of the most prized sport fish in Eastern Canada [39]. The brook charr sport fishery supports 3 000 jobs and brings in \$340 million in revenue each year in Québec alone [40]. Large-scale stocking occurs each year to replenish stocks and preserve the sport fishery, costing a total of \$5 million yearly [41]. Nearly 650 000 kg of brook charr, representing ~70% of all stocked fish, are released into Québec lakes each year [41]. Brook charr is highly sensitive to thermal stress [39,42,43] and thus to climate change. An increase in summer air temperature of 1°C is sufficient to delay spawning by one week and reduce redd production by 22 to 65% [42]. Populations show little variation in their capacity to respond to temperature changes [44,45], though there is some evidence that populations from cooler climates have lower thermal tolerance [46]. Based on predicted climate scenarios, it is expected that suitable brook charr habitat will decrease over time across much of its native range [43,47–50], including in Québec and Eastern Canada where suitable habitat would shift to the northeast [51], resulting in reduced growth and survival of individuals and ultimately persistence of populations [43,45,52]. Due to the thermal sensitivity and economic importance of the species, a thorough understanding of the mechanisms through which brook charr acclimate and cope with thermal stress is needed to refine predictions of climate change impacts on this species.

An important unresolved question on epigenetic inheritance is whether offspring environmental influences supersede environmentally induced epigenetic states inherited from their parents. If inherited methylation patterns persist regardless of offspring environment, this would allow the persistence of epigenetic states that could prime offspring for warming environments and provide additional adaptive capacity to populations experiencing warming. However, high offspring plasticity in response to their own environment may reduce the advantage of epigenetic inheritance if offspring rapidly and appropriately acclimate to their perceived environment, overriding inherited epigenetic marks. Here we use a reciprocally crossed design of parents and offspring reared under contemporary and warming conditions combined with whole-genome sequencing to assess the relative importance of parental and offspring experience on the offspring epigenome. The results of this study add to our knowledge

of the molecular mechanisms through which organisms can respond to climate change, furthering our understanding of the role that epigenetic inheritance plays in acclimation and evolutionary inheritance.

Methods

Fish rearing and breeding design

Brook charr from the Laval strain [53], a captive strain descended from the Laval River in Québec and reared for six generations, were used as broodstock for the experiment. The fish were held at the ISMER (Institute de Sciences de la Mer de Rimouski) wet lab facilities at Université du Québec à Rimouski and were split between two thermal regimes shortly before sexual maturation: warm and cold treatments, separated by ~2°C. After sexual maturation, 2x2 breeding crosses were created when possible (see Figure S1 for breeding design). Eggs from each family were split in two batches and sent to the LARSA (Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Aquatiques) at Université Laval. There, half of the eggs from each family were incubated at a warm 8°C thermal regime treatment and the other half at 5°C which was representative of end of fall water temperature. Both temperature treatments were maintained through egg development and the yolk-sac fry period. This design allowed us to determine the relative influence of parental vs. offspring rearing temperature on the offspring epigenome. Upon yolk sac resorption, the offspring from both treatments were held at ~8.5°C, a typical rearing temperature for this developmental stage, to encourage feeding and minimize mortality. Fry from each family were sampled after two months of exogenous feeding at an approximate size of 85 mm/5 g. All fish were humanely euthanized with an overdose solution of tricaine methanesulfonate (200 ppm). Liver tissues were immediately dissected and preserved in RNAlater for future analysis. All animal care was performed humanely under permit VRR-18-111.

Parentage analysis

Parentage analysis was performed to confirm that the sampled fish corresponded to the correct family, as described in [54]. Briefly, DNA was extracted from fin clips from parents and offspring, amplified at 12 microsatellite loci [55], and visualized on an AB3500 automated DNA sequencer. GeneMapper V6 (Applied Biosystem) was used to determine allele lengths, which

were imported into both Cervus v3.0.7 [56] and COLONY v2.0.6 [57]. Parentage analysis was performed using COLONY's full likelihood approach and Cervus' 90% confidence likelihood approach. Parentage assignment was considered successful when both programs identified the same set of parents. If the identified parents were not crossed in the breeding design, the next most probable parentage assignment was used. If both programs did not suggest the same pair, the most probable pair between the possible crosses was assigned. Inability to assign both parents to an individual resulted in a failed assignment.

DNA extraction and whole-genome bisulfite sequencing

Livers were selected for 54 male offspring: two offspring per family, except for two families where only one offspring had confirmed parentage and sex (Figure S1). Liver tissue was used due to its homogeneity in cell types and involvement in metabolism and growth [58]. For each combination of parental and offspring temperatures (e.g., warm parental maturation and warm offspring maturation), one 2x2 cross and one partial 2x2 missing a family was used (Figure S1). DNA was extracted using a salt-based extraction protocol, quantified, and checked for quality. Offspring sex was verified using a genetic sex marker for salmonids [59] and only male offspring were used for sequencing to eliminate sex-specific methylation effects. Library preparation, quality control, and sequencing were performed by the Centre d'expertise et de services of Génome Québec, Montréal, Canada. Methyl-Seq with approximately 15X coverage was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq6000 using S4 flow cells for 150 bp paired-end reads across four sequencing lanes.

Sequence data processing

Data were trimmed using fastp [60] to remove sequences under 100 bp and with phred scores below 25, and the first and last nucleotides were trimmed. Bwa-meth (<https://github.com/brentp/bwa-meth>) was used to align the sequence data to the lake trout (*S. namaycush*) genome (SaNama v1.0; NCBI Refseq: GCF_016432855.1) [61], a closely related sister species of brook charr [62]. Duplicate reads were removed from the bam files with picard tools v1.119 *MarkDuplicates* (<https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard>). MethylDackel's *mbias* function was used to inform trimming of noisy, biased regions at the beginnings and ends of reads (<https://github.com/dpryan79/MethylDackel>). Methylation was called using MethylDackel

extract and the paired end reads were merged to produce bedGraph and methylKit files. The pipeline is available at https://github.com/enormandeau/bwa-meth_pipeline.

Identifying and masking SNPs from methylation data

Existing SNP data from pooled sire DNA including the eight sires from this study and 32 other males generated by a related study (Wellband et al. in prep) was used to identify and mask C/T SNPs which cannot be differentiated from true methylation calls in the Methyl-Seq data. SNP data were trimmed with fastp using the same quality requirements as the methylation data (minimum length of 100 bp, minimum phred score of 25, and trimming first and last bases). Sequences were aligned to the lake trout genome using bwa [63], duplicate reads were removed using picard tools *MarkDuplicates*, and overlapping reads were clipped using bamUtil *clipOverlap* [64]. Freebayes [65] was used to call SNPs covered by between 10 and 100 reads with a minimum allele frequency of 0.01 and at least two reads for the alternative allele. A list of C/T and A/G SNPs was extracted and exported into bed format. The pipeline is available online at <https://github.com/kylewellband/CT-poly-wgbs>.

DNA methylation analysis and jackknifing

SNPs were masked from the methylation data (bedGraph and methylKit files) using bedtools *intersect* with the *-v* option [66]. The bedGraph files were read into bsseq [67] and filtered to require between five and 80 reads per CpG in at least 80% of the samples (i.e., 44 of 54 individuals). Methylation data were smoothed over 500 bp regions using the built-in moving average algorithm in DSS to control for spatial correlation of methylation levels among proximal CpGs [68]. A beta-binomial generalized linear model for the effects of adult temperature, offspring temperature, and their interaction was implemented in DSS to identify differentially methylated loci (DMLs). DMLs were considered significant if they had a p-value of less than 0.001 and differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were then called based on the DML results for each term in the model using DSS.

We used jackknife resampling to confirm that DMRs were not driven by family effects, which we were not able to directly control for in DSS due to model overfitting. Based on the hierarchical clustering for the full dataset, we created 14 data subsets in bsseq, each with all offspring from a given full-sibling family dropped from the analysis. DML and DMR detection

were performed with DSS for each subset with a p-value cut-off of 0.05 to allow for some variation in the significance of DMLs in subsets. The DMR result files were converted to bed format and bedtools *intersect* was used to determine which subsets had DMRs that overlapped with the DMRs of the full dataset. Subset DMRs had to overlap at least 80% of the length of the original DMR to be considered equivalent. Subset DMRs obtained from jackknife resampling that satisfied this condition in all subsets were considered verified DMRs. All codes are available at <https://github.com/cvenney/methylUtil>. Results were visualized using the R package ComplexHeatmap [69].

Annotation and gene ontology enrichment analysis

Gene ontology analysis was performed for the jackknife verified DMRs for adult maturation temperature. First, the GCF_016432855.1_SaNama_1.0 genome and transcriptome available from GenBank were used with the GAWN v0.3.5 pipeline (github.com/enormandeau/gawn), using the default parameters, to annotate the transcripts and find the DMRs (i) directly overlapping transcripts, and (ii) within ± 5 kb of transcripts. Using the lists of DMRs and annotated transcripts, GO enrichment analysis was done using the go_enrichment v1.0.0 pipeline (github.com/enormandeau/go_enrichment), using the default parameters. A Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction was used to correct for multiple comparisons with a critical p-value of $p < 0.05$.

Redundancy analysis for family and offspring temperature effects

We used redundancy analysis (RDA) to determine whether family and offspring temperature affected overall methylation levels in offspring from each adult maturation temperature. The dataset was split by adult temperature to form two datasets which underwent the same analysis. MethylKit files were imported into R and filtered to include only CpG sites with coverage between five and 80 reads using the methylKit package [70]. CpG sites with data for all individuals were united into one large data frame using methylKit, which was transposed and used for further analysis. RDAs for the effects of family and offspring temperature on whole-genome methylation were performed for each dataset in the package vegan [71]. Significance was tested using an ANOVA-like permutation test with 999 permutations in vegan.

Results

We obtained an average of $352\,941\,309 \pm 50\,216\,202$ raw Methyl-Seq reads per individual, with an average of $148\,451\,587 \pm 35\,187\,570$ alignments to the lake trout genome after all processing and deduplication. We attained an average of $10.5X \pm 2.30$ coverage across $16\,106\,361$ analyzed CpG sites for each sample.

Differential methylation analysis and jackknifing

Adult temperature had the greatest influence on offspring DNA methylation: we identified 464 DMRs due to adult sexual maturation temperature, 34 DMRs due to offspring rearing temperature, and 11 DMRs due to an interaction between the two main terms. Hierarchical clustering of DMRs driven by adult temperature resulted in clear differentiation between the methylation patterns of offspring from warm vs. cold acclimated parents (Figure S2). Further clustering of offspring from full-sibling families was evident within groups (Figure S2), thus jackknife resampling was performed by dropping offspring from each family one by one and rerunning the analysis. Jackknifing resulted in the verification of 188 DMRs based on adult maturation temperature (Figure 1) and 10 DMRs due to offspring rearing temperature. No adult x offspring temperature DMRs persisted after jackknifing.

Functional annotation of DMRs

Fifty-six of the 188 jackknife verified adult temperature DMRs directly overlapped with transcripts. No gene ontology (GO) terms showed significant overrepresentation after FDR correction, either with direct transcript overlaps or ± 5 kb from the DMRs. However, many GO terms had multiple transcripts associated with them, including the biological processes of signal transduction, angiogenesis, cell cycle, brain development, and cell differentiation (Table S1).

RDAs for family and offspring temperature effects

While parental maturation temperature was the main factor driving differences in offspring methylation, we observed family effects on methylation in RDA analyses. RDA models testing for family and offspring temperature effects on whole-genome methylation for both datasets (warm and cold adult maturation temperature) were significant (warm: $p = 0.004$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.077$; cold: $p = 0.002$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.05$). The family effect was significant in

both models (warm: $p = 0.004$; cold: $p = 0.002$) but offspring temperature only significantly affected methylation of offspring from warm-matured parents (warm: $p = 0.041$; cold: $p = 0.657$). We observed grouping of full-sibling families in both temperatures, though this effect was stronger in offspring descended from cold-matured adults (Figure 2). There was some evidence of half-sibling families clustering based on paternal identity in the warm environment (i.e., M7C, M6C), and based on maternal (F8F) and paternal (M1F) identity in the cold environment (Figure 2A-B).

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to determine the relative importance of parent versus offspring thermal regimes on offspring DNA methylation. Our results showed that parental sexual maturation temperature, but not offspring rearing temperature, had considerable effects on offspring DNA methylation. This is one of the first studies to assess the relative importance of parental versus offspring rearing environment on offspring DNA methylation, particularly where offspring were split between environments that resembled and differed from the parental environment [22]. Previous studies that employed such reciprocal designs reached contrasting conclusions regarding the relative importance of parental and offspring environment on offspring DNA methylation. Greater parental influences on offspring methylation were reported in the purple sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) due to parental temperature and $p\text{CO}_2$ conditions [72] and in ribwort plantain (*Plantago lanceolata* L.) based on natural multigenerational exposure to varying CO_2 levels [73]. However, a study in self-fertilizing mangrove rivulus fish (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*) that manipulated parental and offspring environmental enrichment showed that most inherited methylation changes associated with parental environment were lost when offspring were reared in a mismatched environment [74]. A natural reciprocal transplant study in clonally propagated coral *Acropora millepora* found that transplanted corals that altered gene body methylation to resemble local corals had improved fitness-related traits relative to corals that showed minimal plasticity in methylation [75]. Therefore, organisms differ in their ability to override parentally inherited DNA methylation, which could affect the adaptive potential of epigenetic inheritance. Interestingly, the asexually reproducing species (mangrove rivulus and coral) in these studies were more capable of overwriting inherited marks than the sexually reproducing species (purple sea urchin, ribwort

plantain, and our study on brook charr), consistent with findings that reproductive mode likely influences the prevalence and persistence of epigenetic inheritance [22]. Since epigenetic variation can persist late into the lifespan of offspring and can be transmitted for multiple generations, inheritance in the absence of offspring plasticity can have profound impacts on phenotype and fitness for generations to come [22,76]. The considerable effects of adult sexual maturation temperature on brook charr offspring methylation reported, coupled with the lack of plasticity in DNA methylation due to offspring temperature, could have significant long-term implications for brook charr populations responding to climate change if offspring cannot override inherited epigenetic marks.

The conclusion that offspring rearing temperature had negligible effects on methylation was unexpected due to overwhelming evidence for thermal regime driving within-generation plastic changes in DNA methylation in fish [24–27,77] and various taxa [35]. It is possible that the 3°C difference between warm and cold rearing temperatures in our study was not sufficient to elicit plasticity in offspring DNA methylation. A lack of sensitivity to slight temperature increases was observed in the spiny chromis damselfish (*Acanthochromis polyacanthus*), which altered oxygen consumption due to a 3°C but not 1.5°C temperature increase [7], and in another fish, the longjaw mudsucker (*Gillichthys mirabilis*), which showed only minor transcriptional differences associated with mild heat stress [78]. For brook charr, this seems unlikely due to high thermal sensitivity [52] and reduction in fitness-related traits due to 1-2°C temperature increases [42,45]. Additionally, the 3°C increase in the warm temperature treatment in our study was sufficient to elicit epigenetic changes in parents that were passed to offspring. It is more likely that the lack of response to offspring temperature is due to an inability of offspring to override inherited DNA methylation, or to detect appropriate temperature cues. Studies have reported variable capacities for plastic responses to the environment through ontogeny [79,80]. Consistent with this, a previous study in European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) reported altered DNA methylation and gene expression in response to thermal stress in the larval stage, though juvenile free-feeding fish did not show temperature-specific methylation changes [24]. It is also possible that a set of “core” loci respond to both warm and cold temperature treatments through altered DNA methylation, as observed in threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) [27]; these “core” loci may not be identified as DMRs in our study as they might respond uniformly to both

warm and cold treatments. Overall, we show that adult temperature during sexual maturation has profound effects on offspring methylation regardless of offspring rearing temperature, resulting in stable epigenetic inheritance and low plasticity in response to juvenile brook charr thermal regime.

Based on the maternal match hypothesis, inherited phenotypic differences can be adaptive if offspring environment matches the environment predicted by the parent, but maladaptive if too different [81]. Since research has increasingly identified paternal effects on offspring phenotype across taxa [82], this concept can be expanded to the parental match hypothesis. By the end of the century, average temperature in Canada is expected to increase by 1.8°C under low emission scenarios and by 6.3°C in high emission scenarios [2]. Daily maximum and minimum temperatures are expected to increase by 1.5-6.1°C and 2.8-11.2°C, respectively [2], and extreme temperature events are predicted to gradually increase over the coming years [2,3]. If inherited differences in DNA methylation affect offspring phenotype, epigenetic inheritance due to parental thermal regime could prove adaptive for brook charr due to gradual but predictable warming in Canada, but maladaptive if brook charr are unable to acclimate to and survive transient temperature extremes. The offspring used in this study were sampled at the fry (i.e., early exogenous feeding) stage, thus it is possible that brook charr may exhibit greater plasticity later in development, due to either strong parental effects during early life stages, or developmental canalization resulting in low plasticity during early life [83]. Other studies have identified long-lasting parental effects on gene expression [18] and offspring size [84,85] in brook charr, and a related study using Laval strain brook charr identified persistent parental effects on phenotype past stocking [54]. Persistent parental effects on methylation could result in offspring primed for a warming climate, or epigenetic traps wherein stable epigenetic changes in offspring prove maladaptive but could intensify selection and adaptation to novel environments [86]. Further research into the capacity of offspring to overwrite parentally inherited methylation through ontogeny, and the fitness consequences of heritable epigenetic marks, is needed to determine the permanence and evolutionary consequences of epigenetic inheritance [22].

The family effects observed in this study could be caused by non-genetic parental effects or genetic control of DNA methylation [87], both of which can contribute to epigenetic variation.

Due to the consistent grouping of full-sibling families in our analysis, which was stronger for offspring from cold-matured parents, there is likely some extent of genetic control or non-additive effects on DNA methylation. Altered body mass heritability was previously reported due to manipulation of brook charr thermal environment [84], thus it is possible that stressful thermal environments led to increased variation in offspring traits including DNA methylation. Similar increases in offspring variation were reported in dandelion (*Taraxacum* spp.), where parental exposure to salicylic acid increased variation in offspring DNA methylation [88]. The clustering of both maternal and paternal half-sibling families in Figures 1 and 2 suggests that both maternal and paternal effects are acting on methylation, though the clustering was slightly biased towards paternal effects. Early research on epigenetic inheritance in fish suggested that the sperm methylome is primarily inherited while maternal methylation patterns are lost [89,90]. More recently, studies have provided evidence for maternal effects on methylation [91], though the prevalence of maternal effects depends on rearing environment [80]. It is therefore possible that the family effects are influenced by maternal effects, which we were not able to test due to model overfitting (i.e., sample size restrictions), or due to underlying genetic variation driving methylation states. However, it is difficult to disentangle epigenetic and genetic variation [22,76], and thus further research is needed to determine the proximate causes of family effects on methylation.

Our study reinforces the relevance of epigenetic inheritance in response to climate change as epigenetic changes due to parental sexual maturation temperature persisted regardless of offspring thermal environment. Such instances of epigenetic inheritance have the potential to prime offspring for an environment based on parental experience, though they could prove maladaptive for offspring if parental environment is too different from that of the offspring and if the offspring have limited capacity to overwrite inherited methylation. Since climate change will pose a significant threat to brook charr in the coming years [43,47,48,50–52], a thorough understanding of the mechanisms of plasticity through which fish can cope with changing environments is needed [6]. From an applied standpoint, the conservation implications of epigenetic inheritance remain unclear, particularly after release of stocked fish into natural environments. Our study provides a glimmer of hope that brook charr management programs could influence offspring through stable epigenetic changes due to short-term manipulation of

parental environment before spawning. Further research into the stability and fitness consequences of epigenetic inheritance is needed to understand the evolutionary implications of epigenetic variation [22]. If future studies prove these instances of epigenetic inheritance to be stable and adaptive, our findings could have significant implications for predicting the survival and persistence of stocked brook charr in warming climates. Our study reinforces the relevance of epigenetic inheritance in intergenerational responses to changes in thermal regime, with the potential to pre-emptively prepare organisms for changing environments. Given the ongoing climate crisis and habitat changes worldwide, a greater understanding of epigenetic and non-genetic heritable sources of variation is critical to understanding the evolutionary potential of organisms.

Acknowledgements

We thank Gabriel Piette-Lauzière for assistance with sample preparation, Charles Babin for assistance with sampling, and the staff of LARSA (Université Laval) and ISMER (UQAR) for fish rearing. This work was supported by Ouranos Inc., Ressources Aquatiques Québec (RAQ), and a NSERC strategic grant to LB, DG, and CA (grant number STPGP 521227 - 18).

Ethics

Animal care was performed humanely under Université Laval's Comité de protection des animaux permis VRR-18-111 for offspring and Université du Québec à Rimouski's Comité de protection des animaux permis CPA-76-19-205 for parents.

Data, code, and materials

Raw Methyl-Seq data and whole genome pool-seq data are being uploaded to NCBI SRA. Analysis codes are available on GitHub as indicated in the methods.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests.

References

1. Williams SE, Shoo LP, Isaac JL, Hoffmann AA, Langham G. 2008 Towards an integrated framework for assessing the vulnerability of species to climate change. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, e325. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060325)
2. Zhang X *et al.* 2019 Temperature and Precipitation Across Canada; Chapter 4. In *Canada's Changing Climate Report* (eds E Bush, DS Lemmen), pp. 112–193. Ottawa, Ontario: Government of Canada.
3. Cheung WWL, Frölicher TL, Lam VWY, Oyinlola MA, Reygondeau G, Rashid Sumaila U, Tai TC, Teh LCL, Wabnitz CCC. 2021 Marine high temperature extremes amplify the impacts of climate change on fish and fisheries. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, 1–16. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.abh0895)
4. Crozier LG, Hutchings JA. 2014 Plastic and evolutionary responses to climate change in fish. *Evol. Appl.* **7**, 68–87. (doi:10.1111/eva.12135)
5. Bernos TA, Jeffries KM, Mandrak NE. 2020 Linking genomics and fish conservation decision making: a review. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* **30**, 587–604. (doi:10.1007/s11160-020-09618-8)
6. Layton KKS, Bradbury IR. 2021 Harnessing the power of multi-omics data for predicting climate change response. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **00**, 1–9. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.13619)
7. Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Nilsson GE. 2011 Acclimation to predicted ocean warming through developmental plasticity in a tropical reef fish. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **17**, 1712–1719. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02339.x)
8. Nyboer EA, Chapman LJ. 2018 Cardiac plasticity influences aerobic performance and thermal tolerance in a tropical, freshwater fish at elevated temperatures. *J. Exp. Biol.* **221**. (doi:10.1242/jeb.178087)
9. Doctor KK, Berejikian BA, Winans GA, Van Doornik DM. 2015 Evidence of between-population variation in morphology and thermal plasticity of agonistic behavior in two genetically distinct populations of steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Environ. Biol. Fishes*

98, 1803–1821. (doi:10.1007/s10641-015-0399-z)

10. Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Pitcher CR. 2012 Rapid transgenerational acclimation of a tropical reef fish to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2**, 30–32. (doi:10.1038/nclimate1323)
11. Komoroske LM, Cannon RE, Jeffries KM, Fangue NA. 2015 Linking transcriptional responses to organismal tolerance reveals mechanisms of thermal sensitivity in a mesothermal endangered fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 4960–4981. (doi:10.1111/mec.13373)
12. Smith S, Bernatchez L, Beheregaray LB. 2013 RNA-seq analysis reveals extensive transcriptional plasticity to temperature stress in a freshwater fish species. *BMC Genomics* **14**, 375. (doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-375)
13. Logan CA, Somero GN. 2010 Transcriptional responses to thermal acclimation in the eurythermal fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* (Cooper 1864). *Am. J. Physiol. - Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **299**, 843–852. (doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00306.2010)
14. Rebl A, Korytář T, Borchel A, Bochert R, Strzelczyk JE, Goldammer T, Verleih M. 2020 The synergistic interaction of thermal stress coupled with overstocking strongly modulates the transcriptomic activity and immune capacity of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 1–15. (doi:10.1038/s41598-020-71852-8)
15. McCairns RJS, Smith S, Sasaki M, Bernatchez L, Beheregaray LB. 2016 The adaptive potential of subtropical rainbowfish in the face of climate change: heritability and heritable plasticity for the expression of candidate genes. *Evol. Appl.* **9**, 531–545. (doi:10.1111/eva.12363)
16. Jonsson B, Jonsson N. 2016 Trans-generational maternal effect: temperature influences egg size of the offspring in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *J. Fish Biol.* **89**, 1482–1487. (doi:10.1111/jfb.13040)
17. Janhunen M, Piironen J, Peuhkuri N. 2010 Parental effects on embryonic viability and growth in Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* at two incubation temperatures. *J. Fish Biol.* **76**, 2558–2570. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02648.x)

18. Bougas B, Audet C, Bernatchez L. 2013 The influence of parental effects on transcriptomic landscape during early development in brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*, Mitchell). *Heredity (Edinb)*. **110**, 484–491. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2012.113)
19. Doyle CM, Leberg PL, Klerks PL. 2011 Heritability of heat tolerance in a small livebearing fish, *Heterandria formosa*. *Ecotoxicology* **20**, 535–542. (doi:10.1007/s10646-011-0624-2)
20. Houde A, Fraser DJ, Reilly PO, Hutchings JA. 2011 Maternal and paternal effects on fitness correlates in outbred and inbred Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **68**, 534–549. (doi:10.1139/F11-001)
21. Eilertsen EM, Bårdsen B-J, Liljedal S, Rudolfson G, Folstad I. 2009 Experimental evidence for paternal effects on offspring growth rate in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **276**, 129–136. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0884)
22. Anastasiadi D, Venney CJ, Bernatchez L, Wellenreuther M. 2021 Epigenetic inheritance and reproductive mode in plants and animals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **36**, 1124–1140. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2021.08.006)
23. Ashe A, Colot V, Oldroyd BP. 2021 How does epigenetics influence the course of evolution? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **376**, 20200111. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2020.0111)
24. Anastasiadi D, Díaz N, Piferrer F. 2017 Small ocean temperature increases elicit stage-dependent changes in DNA methylation and gene expression in a fish, the European sea bass. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 12401. (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10861-6)
25. Beemelmans A *et al.* 2021 DNA methylation dynamics in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) challenged with high temperature and moderate hypoxia. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **7**, 3. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.604878)
26. Lallias D *et al.* 2021 Sources of variation of DNA methylation in rainbow trout: combined effects of temperature and genetic background. *Epigenetics* **16**, 1031–1052. (doi:10.1080/15592294.2020.1834924)

27. Metzger DCH, Schulte PM. 2017 Persistent and plastic effects of temperature on DNA methylation across the genome of threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **284**. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.1667)
28. Leitwein M, Laporte M, Le Luyer J, Mohns K, Normandeau E, Withler R, Bernatchez L. 2021 Epigenomic modifications induced by hatchery rearing persist in germ line cells of adult salmon after their oceanic migration. *Evol. Appl.* **14**, 2402–2413. (doi:10.1111/eva.13235)
29. Le Luyer J, Laporte M, Beacham TD, Kaukinen KH, Withler RE, Leong JS, Rondeau EB, Koop BF, Bernatchez L. 2017 Parallel epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing in a Pacific salmon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 12964–12969. (doi:10.1101/148577)
30. Wan X, He X, Liu Q, Wang X, Ding X, Li H. 2020 Frequent and mild scrotal heat stress in mice epigenetically alters glucose metabolism in the male offspring. *Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab.* **319**, E291–E304. (doi:10.1152/AJPENDO.00038.2020)
31. Weyrich A, Yasar S, Lenz D, Fickel J. 2020 Tissue-specific epigenetic inheritance after paternal heat exposure in male wild guinea pigs. *Mamm. Genome* **31**, 157–169. (doi:10.1007/s00335-020-09832-6)
32. Weyrich A, Lenz D, Jeschek M, Chung TH, Rübensam K, Göritz F, Jewgenow K, Fickel J. 2016 Paternal intergenerational epigenetic response to heat exposure in male wild guinea pigs. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 1729–1740. (doi:10.1111/mec.13494)
33. Liew YJ, Howells EJ, Wang X, Michell CT, Burt JA, Idaghdour Y, Aranda M. 2020 Intergenerational epigenetic inheritance in reef-building corals. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **10**, 254–259. (doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0687-2)
34. Valdivieso A, Ribas L, Monleón-Getino A, Orbán L, Piferrer F. 2020 Exposure of zebrafish to elevated temperature induces sex ratio shifts and alterations in the testicular epigenome of unexposed offspring. *Environ. Res.* **186**, 109601. (doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109601)

- 488 35. McCaw BA, Stevenson TJ, Lancaster LT. 2020 Epigenetic responses to temperature and
489 climate. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **60**, 1469–1480. (doi:10.1093/icb/icaa049)
- 490 36. Rodriguez Barreto D, Garcia De Leaniz C, Verspoor E, Sobolewska H, Coulson M,
491 Consuegra S. 2019 DNA methylation changes in the sperm of captive-reared fish: a route
492 to epigenetic introgression in wild populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 2205–2211.
493 (doi:10.1093/molbev/msz135)
- 494 37. Wellband K, Roth D, Linnansaari T, Curry RA, Bernatchez L. 2021 Environment-driven
495 reprogramming of gamete DNA methylation occurs during maturation and is transmitted
496 intergenerationally in Atlantic salmon. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* **11**, jkab353 .
497 (doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkab353)
- 498 38. Gavery MR, Nichols KM, Goetz GW, Middleton MA, Swanson P. 2018 Characterization
499 of genetic and epigenetic variation in sperm and red blood cells from adult hatchery and
500 natural-origin steelhead, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* **8**, 3723–
501 3736. (doi:10.1534/g3.118.200458)
- 502 39. Poesch MS, Chavarie L, Chu C, Pandit SN, Tonn W. 2016 Climate change impacts on
503 freshwater fishes: a Canadian perspective. *Fisheries* **41**, 385–391. (doi:10.1007/s10750-
504 017-3310-4)
- 505 40. Ministère des Forêts de la Faune et des Parcs. 2019 Plan de gestion de l’omble de fontaine
506 au Québec 2020-2028.
- 507 41. Ministère des Forêts de la Faune et des Parcs. 2017 Étude sur le marché de
508 l’ensemencement de plans d’eau au Québec.
- 509 42. Warren DR, Robinson JM, Josephson DC, Sheldon DR, Kraft CE. 2012 Elevated summer
510 temperatures delay spawning and reduce redd construction for resident brook trout
511 (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **18**, 1804–1811. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-
512 2486.2012.02670.x)
- 513 43. Carlson AK, Taylor WW, Schlee KM, Zorn TG, Infante DM. 2017 Projected impacts of
514 climate change on stream salmonids with implications for resilience-based management.

- 515 *Ecol. Freshw. Fish* **26**, 190–204. (doi:10.1111/eff.12267)
- 516 44. Wells ZRR, McDonnell LH, Chapman LJ, Fraser DJ. 2016 Limited variability in upper
517 thermal tolerance among pure and hybrid populations of a cold-water fish. *Conserv.*
518 *Physiol.* **4**, 1–13. (doi:10.1093/conphys/cow063)
- 519 45. Wood JLA, Fraser DJ. 2015 Similar plastic responses to elevated temperature among
520 different-sized brook trout populations. *Ecology* **96**, 1010–1019. (doi:10.1890/14-1378.1)
- 521 46. Stitt BC, Burness G, Burgomaster KA, Currie S, Mcdermid JL, Wilson CC. 2014
522 Intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance and acclimation capacity in brook trout
523 (*Salvelinus fontinalis*): physiological implications for climate change. *Physiol. Biochem.*
524 *Zool.* **87**, 15–29. (doi:10.1086/675259)
- 525 47. Mitro MG, Lyons JD, Stewart JS, Cunningham PK, Griffin JDT. 2019 Projected changes
526 in brook trout and brown trout distribution in Wisconsin streams in the mid-twenty-first
527 century in response to climate change. *Hydrobiologia* **840**, 215–226.
528 (doi:10.1007/s10750-019-04020-3)
- 529 48. Kanno Y, Letcher BH, Hitt NP, Boughton DA, Wofford JEB, Zipkin EF. 2015 Seasonal
530 weather patterns drive population vital rates and persistence in a stream fish. *Glob. Chang.*
531 *Biol.* **21**, 1856–1870. (doi:10.1111/gcb.12837)
- 532 49. Merriam ER, Fernandez R, Petty JT, Zegre N. 2017 Can brook trout survive climate
533 change in large rivers? If it rains. *Sci. Total Environ.* **607–608**, 1225–1236.
534 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.049)
- 535 50. Bassar RD, Letcher BH, Nislow KH, Whiteley AR. 2016 Changes in seasonal climate
536 outpace compensatory density-dependence in eastern brook trout. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **22**,
537 577–593. (doi:10.1111/gcb.13135)
- 538 51. Chu C, Mandrak NE, Minns CK. 2005 Potential impacts of climate change on the
539 distributions of several common and rare freshwater fishes in Canada. *Divers. Distrib.* **11**,
540 299–310. (doi:10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00153.x)
- 541 52. Kovach R, Jonsson B, Jonsson N, Arismendi I, Williams J, Kershner J, Al-chokhachy R,

- Letcher B, Muhlfeld C. 2019 Climate Change and the Future of Trout and Char. In *Trout and Char of the World*, pp. 685–716.
53. Crespel A, Bernatchez L, Garant D, Audet C. 2011 Quantitative genetic analysis of the physiological stress response in three strains of brook charr *Salvelinus fontinalis* and their hybrids. *J. Fish Biol.* **79**, 2019–2033. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03149.x)
54. Houle C, Gossieaux P, Bernatchez L, Audet C, Garant D. In review. Transgenerational parental and environmental effects on body size and survival in brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Evol. Appl.*
55. Gossieaux P, Sirois P, Bernatchez L, Garant D. 2018 Introgressive hybridization between wild and domestic individuals and its relationship with parasitism in brook charr *Salvelinus fontinalis*. *J. Fish Biol.* **93**, 664–673. (doi:10.1111/jfb.13752)
56. Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC. 2007 Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Mol. Ecol.* **16**, 1099–1106. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x)
57. Jones OR, Wang J. 2010 COLONY: A program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **10**, 551–555. (doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x)
58. Trefts E, Gannon M, Wasserman DH. 2017 The liver. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, R1147–R1151. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.09.019)
59. Yano A, Nicol B, Jouanno E, Quillet E, Fostier A, Guyomard R, Guiguen Y. 2013 The sexually dimorphic on the Y-chromosome gene (sdY) is a conserved male-specific Y-chromosome sequence in many salmonids. *Evol. Appl.* **6**, 486–496. (doi:10.1111/eva.12032)
60. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018 fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884–i890. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)
61. Smith SR *et al.* 2022 A chromosome-anchored genome assembly for lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*). *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **22**, 679–694. (doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13483)

62. Crête-Lafrenière A, Weir LK, Bernatchez L. 2012 Framing the Salmonidae family phylogenetic portrait: a more complete picture from increased taxon sampling. *PLoS One* **7**. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046662)
63. Li H. 2013 Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *ArXiv* **1303.3997v**.
64. Jun G, Wing MK, Abecasis GR, Kang HM. 2015 An efficient and scalable analysis framework for variant extraction and refinement from population-scale DNA sequence data. *Genome Res.* **25**, 918–925. (doi:10.1101/gr.176552.114.918)
65. Garrison E, Marth G. 2012 Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv:1207*.
66. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010 BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033)
67. Hansen KD, Langmead B, Irizarry RA. 2012 BSmooth: from whole genome bisulfite sequencing reads to differentially methylated regions. *Genome Biol.* **13**, 1-10. (doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-R83)
68. Park Y, Wu H. 2016 Differential methylation analysis for BS-seq data under general experimental design. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1446–1453. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw026)
69. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. 2016 Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 2847–2849. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313)
70. Akalin A, Kormaksson M, Li S, Garrett-Bakelman FE, Figueroa ME, Melnick A, Mason CE. 2012 MethylKit: a comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles. *Genome Biol.* **13**. (doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-R87)
71. Oksanen J *et al.* 2020 vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.5-7. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>.
72. Strader ME, Wong JM, Kozal LC, Leach TS, Hofmann GE. 2019 Parental environments alter DNA methylation in offspring of the purple sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus*

- 596   *purpuratus*. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **517**, 54–64. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2019.03.002)
- 597   73.   Saban JM, Watson-Lazowski A, Chapman MA, Taylor G. 2020 The methylome is altered
598   for plants in a high CO₂ world: insights into the response of a wild plant population to
599   multigenerational exposure to elevated atmospheric [CO₂]. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **26**, 6474–
600   6492. (doi:10.1111/gcb.15249)
- 601   74.   Berbel-Filho WM, Berry N, Rodríguez-Barreto D, Rodrigues Teixeira S, Garcia de Leaniz
602   C, Consuegra S. 2020 Environmental enrichment induces intergenerational behavioural
603   and epigenetic effects on fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **29**, 2288–2299. (doi:10.1111/mec.15481)
- 604   75.   Dixon G, Liao Y, Bay LK, Matz M V. 2018 Role of gene body methylation in
605   acclimatization and adaptation in a basal metazoan. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**,
606   13342–13346. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1813749115)
- 607   76.   Stajic D, Jansen LET. 2021 Empirical evidence for epigenetic inheritance driving
608   evolutionary adaptation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **376**.
609   (doi:10.1098/rstb.2020.0121)
- 610   77.   Sävilampi T, Papakostas S, Leder EH, Vøllestad LA, Debes P V., Primmer CR. 2021
611   Cytosine methylation patterns suggest a role of methylation in plastic and adaptive
612   responses to temperature in European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) populations.
613   *Epigenetics* **16**, 271–288. (doi:10.1080/15592294.2020.1795597)
- 614   78.   Logan CA, Somero GN. 2011 Effects of thermal acclimation on transcriptional responses
615   to acute heat stress in the eurythermal fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* (Cooper). *Am. J. Physiol. -*
616   *Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **300**, 1373–1383. (doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00689.2010)
- 617   79.   Meuthen D, Baldauf SA, Bakker TCM, Thünken T. 2018 Neglected patterns of variation
618   in phenotypic plasticity: age- and sex-specific antipredator plasticity in a cichlid fish. *Am.*
619   *Nat.* **191**, 475–490. (doi:10.1086/696264)
- 620   80.   Vennet CJ, Wellband KW, Heath DD. 2021 Rearing environment affects the genetic
621   architecture and plasticity of DNA methylation in Chinook salmon. *Heredity (Edinb).* **126**,
622   38–49. (doi:10.1038/s41437-020-0346-4)

- 623 81. Sheriff MJ, Love OP. 2013 Determining the adaptive potential of maternal stress. *Ecol.*
624 *Lett.* **16**, 271–280. (doi:10.1111/ele.12042)
- 625 82. Rutkowska J, Lagisz M, Bonduriansky R, Nakagawa S. 2020 Mapping the past, present
626 and future research landscape of paternal effects. *BMC Biol.* **18**, 1–24.
627 (doi:10.32942/osf.io/egsmk)
- 628 83. Irvine SQ. 2020 Embryonic canalization and its limits - a view from temperature. *J. Exp.*
629 *Zool. Part B Mol. Dev. Evol.* **334**, 128–144. (doi:10.1002/jez.b.22930)
- 630 84. Crespel A, Bernatchez L, Audet C, Garant D. 2013 Strain specific genotype-environment
631 interactions and evolutionary potential for body mass in brook charr (*Salvelinus*
632 *fontinalis*). *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* **3**, 379–386. (doi:10.1534/g3.112.005017)
- 633 85. Perry GML, Audet C, Laplatte B, Bernatchez L. 2004 Shifting patterns in genetic control
634 at the embryo-alevin boundary in brook charr. *Evolution (N. Y.)*. **58**, 2002–2012.
635 (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb00485.x)
- 636 86. O’Dea RE, Noble DWA, Johnson SL, Hesselson D, Nakagawa S. 2016 The role of non-
637 genetic inheritance in evolutionary rescue: epigenetic buffering, heritable bet hedging and
638 epigenetic traps. *Environ. Epigenetics* **2**, dvv014. (doi:10.1093/eep/dvv014)
- 639 87. Richards EJ. 2006 Inherited epigenetic variation - revisiting soft inheritance. *Nat. Rev.*
640 *Genet.* **7**, 395–402.
- 641 88. Preite V, Oplaat C, Biere A, Kirschner J, van der Putten WH, Verhoeven KJF. 2018
642 Increased transgenerational epigenetic variation, but not predictable epigenetic variants,
643 after environmental exposure in two apomictic dandelion lineages. *Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 3047–
644 3059. (doi:10.1002/ece3.3871)
- 645 89. Potok ME, Nix DA, Parnell TJ, Cairns BR. 2013 Reprogramming the maternal zebrafish
646 genome after fertilization to match the paternal methylation pattern. *Cell* **153**, 759–772.
647 (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.030.Reprogramming)
- 648 90. Jiang L *et al.* 2013 Sperm, but not oocyte, DNA methylome is inherited by zebrafish early
649 embryos. *Cell* **153**, 773–784. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.041)

- 650 91. Venney CJ, Love OP, Drown EJ, Heath DD. 2020 DNA methylation profiles suggest
651 intergenerational transfer of maternal effects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 540–548.
652 (doi:10.1093/molbev/msz244)

653

Figure captions

Figure 1: DMR jackknifing confirmed 188 DMRs due to adult sexual maturation temperature. Jackknifing was performed using 14 data subsets, each lacking one full-sibling family. Hierarchical clustering of samples across the x-axis was performed using Euclidean distance and shows strong clustering of samples based on adult maturation temperature. Unique dams and sires are colour-coded on the x-axis at the top of the figure, with consistent blocks of colour indicating clustering based on either maternal or paternal identity. Percent methylation is shown from 0% (white) to 100% (dark blue) in the heatmap with clear differences between offspring from warm and cold-matured parents.

Figure 2: RDAs for family and offspring temperature effects on whole-genome methylation in offspring descended from parents that underwent sexual maturation in warm (8°C, A and C) and cold (5°C, B and D) temperatures. Plots show RDA axes 1 and 2 (A and B) and axes 3 and 4 (C and D). Point colour indicates family of origin while point shape indicates offspring temperature regime.