The brassinosteroid biosynthesis gene *TaD11-2A* controls grain size and its elite haplotype improves wheat grain yields

3

Huiyuan Xu^{1,†}, Han Sun^{1,†}, Jiajin Dong¹, Chengxue Ma¹, Jingxue Li¹, Zhuochun Li¹,
Yihuan Wang¹, Junqi Ji¹, Xinrong Hu¹, Meihui Wu¹, Chunhua Zhao¹, Ran Qin¹, Jiajie
Wu², Fei Ni², Fa Cui^{1,*}, Yongzhen Wu^{1,*}

¹College of Agriculture, Key Laboratory of Molecular Module-Based Breeding of
High Yield and Abiotic Resistant Plants in Universities of Shandong, Ludong
University, Yantai, Shandong, China

²State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, College of Agronomy, Shandong Agricultural
University, Taian, Shandong, China

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

13

***Correspondence:**

Yongzhen Wu; Tel: +86-15668001616; Email: yongzhenwu1204@163.com

Fa Cui; Tel: +86-17805451072; Email: sdaucf@126.com

17

Running title: *TaD11-2A* regulates grain size and root length in wheat

19

Number of words: Abstract, 196; Word count, 3990.

Figure and table: 7 figures; 5 supplementary figures; 7 supplementary tables.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Highlight

The brassinosteroid biosynthesis gene *TaD11-2A* regulates grain size and root length and its haplotype favorably improves grain yields and salt tolerance in wheat.

Abstract

Brassinosteroids (BRs) control many important agronomic traits, therefore the manipulation of BR components could improve crop productivity and performance. However, the potential effects of BR-related genes on yield-related traits and stress tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) remain poorly understood. Here, we identified *TaD11* genes in wheat (rice *D11* orthologs) that encoded enzymes involved in BR biosynthesis. *TaD11* genes were highly expressed in roots (Zadoks scale: Z11) and grains (Z75), while expression was significantly suppressed by exogenous BR (24-epiBL). Ectopic expression of *TaD11-2A* rescued the abnormal panicle structure and plant height (PH) of the *cpb1* mutant, and also increased endogenous BR levels, resulting in improved grain yields and grain quality in rice. Natural variations in *TaD11-2A* were associated with significant differences in yield-related traits, including PH, grain width (GW), 1000-grain weight (TGW), and grain yield per plant (GYPP), and its favorable haplotype, *TaD11-2A-HapI* was subjected to positive selection during wheat breeding. Additionally, *TaD11-2A* influenced root length and salt tolerance in rice and wheat at seedling stages. These results indicated the important role of BR *TaD11* biosynthetic genes in controlling grain size and root length, and also highlighted their potential in the molecular biological analysis of wheat.

Keywords: *Triticum aestivum*, favorable haplotype, grain size, 1000-grain weight, grain yield per plant

58 Introduction

59 Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important crops in the world. It is
60 highly adaptable and widely distributed, and provides approximately 20% of humans'
61 calorie and protein quotas. By 2034, it is estimated that wheat production will have to
62 increase by another 50% from current levels to feed increasing global populations
63 (Chen *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, current wheat breeding efforts have focused on factors
64 improving grain yield and grain quality, one of which is grain size. To date, several
65 genes regulating wheat grain size have been identified, characterized, and shown to
66 affect grain weight or grain quality; *TaCYP78A3* (Ma *et al.*, 2015), *TaGS3* (Yang *et al.*,
67 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2020), *TaGS5* (Ma *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2015), *TaGW2* (Qin *et al.*,
68 2014; Simmonds *et al.*, 2016; Su *et al.*, 2011; Yang *et al.*, 2012), *TaGW8* (Ma *et al.*,
69 2019; Yan *et al.*, 2019), *TaDA1* (Liu *et al.*, 2020a), *TaSG-D1* (Cheng *et al.*, 2020),
70 and *TaNAC019* (Gao *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2020b). These wheat gene counterparts
71 are believed to control grain size via different pathways, including G-protein signaling,
72 protein degradation, phytohormone signaling and starch synthesis, or unknown
73 pathways (Gao *et al.*, 2021; Ma *et al.*, 2015; Zuo and Li, 2014). Nevertheless, few
74 studies have reported grain size regulation via brassinosteroid (BR) biosynthesis in
75 wheat.

76 BRs are a class of plant steroid hormones that play essential roles in plant growth
77 and development by eliciting a wide range of morphological and physiological
78 responses, and also tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Nolan *et al.*, 2020; Wei
79 and Li, 2016). BR biosynthetic pathways have been largely elucidated, and include
80 three pathways leading to the production of C₂₇-, C₂₈-, and C₂₉-types of BR (Bajguz *et al.*,
81 2020). C₂₈-BR types are the most widely distributed pathways and include the
82 brassinolide (BL) which display the greatest biological activity (Clouse and Sasse,
83 1998). Thus far, most BR-related genes, such as *CYP90C1*, *CYP85A2*, and *DWF4* in
84 *Arabidopsis* (Choe *et al.*, 1998; Kim *et al.*, 2005; Nomura *et al.*, 2005), *BRD1* (also
85 known as *OsBR6ox*), and *OsDWARF4* and *DWARF11* (*D11*) in rice (Hong *et al.*, 2002;
86 Mori *et al.*, 2002; Sakamoto *et al.*, 2006; Tanabe *et al.*, 2005) have been characterized

via the C₂₈-BR biosynthesis pathway. These genes belong to the cytochrome P450 family and affect a wide range of agronomic traits. For example, *DWF4* encodes CYP90B1 which catalyzes the C-22 hydroxylation of campesterol and influences plant architecture in *Arabidopsis* (Choe *et al.*, 1998). *D11* (also known as *CPB1*) encodes CYP724B1 which catalyzes the conversion of 6-deoxocathasterone and 3-dehydrotestosterone to 6-deoxotyphasterol and typhasterol, respectively, and affects plant height (PH), panicle architecture, and grain shape in rice (Tanabe *et al.*, 2005; Wu *et al.*, 2016).

Previous studies reported that the genetic manipulation of genes in BR biosynthetic pathways provide considerable potential for improved crop productivity and performance (Nolan *et al.*, 2020). In rice, transgenic plants using an *S-adenosylmethionine synthase* to drive *DWF4* expression (derived from rice, maize, or *Arabidopsis*) increased yields by approximately 15%–44% over wild type plants (Wu *et al.*, 2008). Targeted expression of *CPB1*, using panicle-specific promoters, enhanced grain size and grain yield per plant (GYPP) (Wu *et al.*, 2016). *ZmD11* overexpression significantly increased seed size, seed weight, and seed quality-related traits in rice and maize (Sun *et al.*, 2021). The ectopic overexpression of *AtDWF4* in the oilseed crop, *Brassica napus* significantly increased seed yields, improved tolerance to dehydration and heat stress, and resistance to two necrotrophic fungal pathogens (Sahni *et al.*, 2016). However, the potential impact of BR biosynthetic genes on wheat yields and stress resistance remains unknown.

In this study, we isolated and characterized three *TaD11* homologs from wheat. Expression analyses showed that the *TaD11* genes were dominantly expressed in roots (Z11) and grains (Z75) and were significantly repressed by exogenous BR (24-epiBL) or the BR synthesis inhibitor, brassinazole (BRZ). Transgenic assays demonstrated that *TaD11-2A* overexpression restored the defective phenotypes of the *cpb1* mutant containing an allelic mutation of *OsD11*, and enhanced grain yield and grain quality by increasing endogenous BR levels. The *tad11-2a* mutant exhibited dwarfism, smaller grains, and 24-epiBL sensitivity. Haplotype analysis revealed that the

favorable haplotype, *TaD11-2A* was significantly associated with higher grain width (GW), 1000-grain weight (TGW), and GYPP. Moreover, *TaD11-2A* affected root length and salt tolerance in rice and wheat at seedling stages. These findings suggested that *TaD11*'s are valuable reagents for dissecting grain yield patterns and grain quality balance, and also investigating BR functions in wheat grain and root development.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The rice *cpb1* mutant (Wu *et al.*, 2016) and *japonica* cultivar Zhonghua 17 (ZH17) were both used for genetic transformation and functional validation studies. The wheat *tad11-2a* mutant (Kronos2702) was screened from the *Triticum turgidum* cv. Kronos TILLING population, developed by Dr. Jorge Dubcovsky at UC Davis, CA, USA, and used for gene function analysis. These reagents were grown in experimental fields at Ludong University in Yantai, Shandong province, China. The natural population used for haplotype analysis contained 314 wheat accessions, including 250 in Asia, three in Africa, nine in Europe, 42 in North America, four in South America, and six in Oceania, (Supplementary Table S4). These natural group materials were planted in seven different environments (E1–E7) in four regions during the years 2017–2020, using a randomized complete block design with two replications (Supplementary Table S5).

Phylogenetic tree and conserved domain analysis

Full-length amino acid sequences of *TaD11* and homologs in cereals were retrieved from the Unité de Recherche Génomique Info (URGI) (<http://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/>) and Gramene (<http://www.gramene.org/>) databases. Multiple protein sequences were aligned using the ClustalW program in DNASTAR v11.1 (<https://www.dnastar.com/>). Based on amino acid sequence alignment results, a neighbor-joining tree was constructed in MEGA v10.1 using the Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model with 1000

145 bootstraps (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Conserved domains in protein sequences were
146 checked using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Batch Web
147 CD-Search Tool (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi>).

148

149 *RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)*

150 Total RNAs were extracted from various tissues using the MiniBEST Plant RNA
151 Extraction Kit (Takara Bio, Beijing, China). First-strand cDNAs were synthesized
152 using TransScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix
153 (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). RT-PCR was conducted using TB Green Premix
154 Ex Taq II (Takara Bio) in a CFX96 Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad,
155 Hercules, CA, USA). Each set of experiments had at least three biological replicates
156 with at least three technical triplicates. Rice *ubiquitin* and wheat *GAPDH* were used
157 as internal references for rice and wheat, respectively, and gene levels were
158 normalized using the relative quantitative method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).
159 Primers were designed by Primer Premier 6.0 (Supplementary Table S1).

160

161 *Vector construction, rice transformation, and trait measurements*

162 To generate the overexpression vector, p*Ubi::TaD11-2A*, the full-length open reading
163 frame of *TaD11-2A* was generated using PCR and inserted into the modified binary
164 vector, pCambia1301 (Wu *et al.*, 2016) using a homologous recombination method.
165 The construct was then transformed into the *cpb1* mutant and ZH17 plants,
166 respectively, using an *Agrobacterium*-mediated approach. Phenotypic measurements
167 of transgenic plants were performed using three independent transgenic lines (15
168 positive plants/line). GL, GW, and grain area were evaluated using the intelligent test
169 and analysis system (TOP Cloud-Agri Technology, Zhejiang, China). For grain
170 quality-related traits, total starch and amylose levels were determined using the
171 K-TSTA and K-AMYL kits (Megazyme, County Wicklow, Ireland), respectively,
172 according to manufacturer's instructions, while protein levels were measured
173 according to a previous method (Bradford, 1976).

174

175 *Phenotypic evaluation in wheat*

176 Each wheat accession from the natural population was space-planted in a 1.5 m
177 single-row plot, with 5 cm between plants, and 30 cm between rows. Five plants of
178 each accession in each replicate were selected to investigate 15 agronomic traits,
179 including PH, SL, SSL, AL, FLL, SN, SNPS, GNPS, GL, GW, TGW, GYPP, PC, TW,
180 and WGC. Three quality-related traits, including PC, TW, and WGC, were measured
181 using the Antaris II FT-NIR analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The BLUE
182 values for each trait were calculated using the R package lme4 (Douglas Bates *et al.*,
183 2015). Root phenotypes at seedling stages, including total root length and total root
184 volume, were scanned using the Microtek ScanMaker (Zhongjing Technology,
185 Shanghai, China) and analyzed using the LA-S plant root phenotype analysis system
186 (Wseen Testing Technology, Hangzhou, China).

187

188 *24-Epibrassinolide, chemical treatments, and the quantification of endogenous BRs*

189 For all treatments, 24-epibrassinolide (24-epiBL, Sigma-Aldrich, Shanghai, China)
190 and BRZ (Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in absolute ethanol to a stock concentration
191 of 5 mM, and diluted in sterile water to a final concentration of 1 μ M. Subsequently,
192 7-day-old seedlings of the wheat cultivar, Chinese Spring were transferred to a
193 hydroponic tank containing 1 μ M 24-epiBL or 1 μ M BRZ or mock control (distilled
194 water). The roots from six seedlings were stored in liquid nitrogen at 0 h, 1 h, and 3 h
195 after treatments for *TaD11* expression analysis. For endogenous BR quantification,
196 approximately 2 g shoot tissues at the seedling stage were collected from control and
197 transgenic plants, under hydroponic conditions. Three BRs, brassinolide, castasterone,
198 and 6-deoxocastasterone were measured at NJRuiYuan Co., Ltd. as described
199 previously (Xin *et al.*, 2013). Three biological replicates were assayed for each
200 sample.

201

202 *Lamina inclination assay*

203 Normally germinated 2-day-old wheat seeds were transferred to hydroponic boxes
204 containing 96 wells, and grown for 5 days in a growth chamber at 23°C, 50% relative
205 humidity, and a photoperiod of 16 h/8 h light/darkness. After this, 2 µl ethanol
206 containing 0, 10, 100, or 1000 ng 24-epiBL (Sigma-Aldrich) was spotted at the top of
207 the lamina of seedlings (Fujioka *et al.*, 1998). After 3 days incubation, seedlings were
208 photographed and the angle between the lamina and leaf sheath measured using
209 ImageJ (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html>). Fifteen plants were used for each
210 measurement.

211

212 *Salt and drought tolerance assay*

213 For this assay, the culture conditions of wheat seedlings were the same as the lamina
214 inclination assay. Seedlings at the one leaf stage were treated in 1/2 Hogland solution
215 (pH 6.0) containing 1.5% NaCl (Sigma-Aldrich) or 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG)
216 6000 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 or 5 days, respectively. Then, growth conditions were
217 restored to control conditions and plants recovered for 5 days. Seedlings with new leaf
218 growth were counted as surviving plants. The water and nutrient solution was
219 replaced every 3 days. In rice, the evaluation of tolerance to salt and drought at
220 seeding stages was conducted as described previously (Sun *et al.*, 2021).

221

222 *Population structure and GWAS*

223 Genotyping of the 314 accessions was performed using the Wheat 55K SNP array at
224 Beijing Compass Biotechnology Co., Ltd. In total, 24838 unique SNPs were obtained
225 by filtering with probe sequences BLAST the reference genome (IWGSC RefSeq v2.1)
226 and PLINK1.9 ‘--maf 0.01 --geno 0.2 --mind 0.2’. The population structure was
227 assessed using a subset of 3871 SNPs (LD < 0.4) using ADMIXTURE v1.3.0
228 (Alexander *et al.*, 2009). The R package pophelper was used to generate ancestry
229 barplots (Francis, 2017). We performed a GWAS of 24840 markers (*TaD11*
230 haplotypes and 24838 unique SNPs) and 15 agronomic traits using the GLM approach
231 in TASSEL v5.2.73 (Bradbury *et al.*, 2007), with principal components of principal

component analysis (PCA) as covariates. The LDBlockShow v1.40 (Dong *et al.*, 2021) package was used to draw a LD heatmap.

Statistical analysis

Two-tailed Student's *t* tests and Duncan's multiple-range tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Significance was accepted at $*P < 0.05$ and $**P < 0.01$.

Results

Identification and characterization of TaD11 genes

To investigate the functions of *D11* in wheat, we performed BLASTP analyses using the rice D11 (OsD11) protein sequence as a query to search the International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) RefSeq v2.1 database (Zhu *et al.*, 2021). We identified three highly homologous genes located on chromosomes 2A (TraesCS2A03G0818100), 2B (TraesCS2B03G0904700), and 2D (TraesCS2D03G0759600), which we designated *TaD11-2A*, *TaD11-2B*, and *TaD11-2D*, respectively (Fig. 1A). Primers were designed according to assembled sequences (Supplementary Table S1) to clone genomic sequences and cDNAs from the wheat cultivar, Chinese Spring. The genomic length of *TaD11-2A*, *TaD11-2B*, and *TaD11-2D* sequences were 4050 bp (base pairs), 4144 bp, and 4087 bp, respectively. Each gene consisted of nine exons and eight introns, and encoded 476, 475, and 476 amino acid proteins, respectively (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Table S2). Sequence analyses showed that predicted TaD11 proteins all contained a CYP90-like domain (cd11043) and belonged to the cytochrome P450 superfamily (cl41757) (Supplementary Fig. S1), suggesting similar functions in wheat. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that D11 homologs were divided into two groups in cereals, C3 and C4 clades, and that TaD11 proteins were most closely related to HvD11 (HORVU2Hr1G081650) from *Hordeum vulgare* (Fig. 1A).

To clarify *TaD11* expression profiles, we investigated gene transcript levels in 20 tissues from Chinese Spring using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and genome-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1). All three *TaD11* genes were ubiquitously expressed in the examined tissues, with higher expression levels in root (Zadoks scale: Z11) and grain (Z75) (Fig. 1C), suggesting these genes may have potential roles in regulating root and grain development. Notably, *TaD11-2A* and *TaD11-2D* tissue expression levels were significantly higher than *TaD11-2B*. To assess *TaD11* responses to exogenous BR (24-epiBL) and the BR synthesis inhibitor, BRZ, 7-day-old Chinese Spring seedlings were treated with 1 μ M 24-epiBL or 1 μ M BRZ or a mock control (distilled water). When compared with the control, *TaD11* expression levels were all significantly decreased by 24-epiBL or BRZ treatment (Fig. 1D–F). This was not completely consistent with previously reported BR biosynthetic gene responses, i.e., *TaDWF4* genes were induced by BRZ (Hou *et al.*, 2019). These observations suggested a complex feedback regulatory mechanism underpinning BR gene function in wheat.

Functional complementarity of TaD11-2A in rice

Due to high amino acid sequence and expression pattern similarities of the three *TaD11* genes, *TaD11-2A* was selected for further functional analyses. To verify the biological function of *TaD11-2A*, we introduced an overexpression transgenic construct (pUbi::*TaD11-2A*, referred to as OEW) into the rice *cpb1* mutant containing an allelic mutation of *OsD11* that exhibits clustered primary panicle branches and plant dwarfism (Wu *et al.*, 2016). We showed that three independent *cpb1*-OEW lines rescued abnormal PH and panicle architecture of the *cpb1* mutant (Fig. 2A). *TaD11-2A* transcript levels in *cpb1*-OEW transgenic plants were also significantly elevated when compared with control *cpb1* plants (Fig. 2B). To further investigate if *TaD11-2A* was related to BR biosynthesis, we measured three endogenous BRs, brassinolide (BL), castasterone (CS), and 6-deoxocastasterone (6-deoxoCS) in the wild-type (WT) (CP78), *cpb1*, and transgenic plants at seeding stages. The levels of

all three endogenous BRs in *cpb1*-OEW transgenic plants were significantly higher than *cpb1* and CP78 plants, especially 6-deoxoCS (Fig. 2C). Notably, when compared with CP78 plants, *cpb1*-OEW transgenic plants showed significant increases in grain length (GL) (+14.3% to +14.7%), grain area (+10.4% to +10.9%), and TGW (+13.5% to +14.6%), but a significant decrease in GW (−2.8% to −3.4%) (Fig. 2D–H). Thus *TaD11-2A* was a BR biosynthesis-related gene, with overexpression completely restoring the abnormal phenotypes of the *cpb1* mutant.

TaD11-2A increases grain yield and grain quality in rice

To further evaluate the potential effects of *TaD11-2A* toward grain yield, the OEW construct was introduced into the *japonica* cultivar, ZH17 (Fig. 3A). When compared with the control ZH17, ZH17-OEW transgenic plants revealed dramatically elevated *TaD11-2A* expression levels and endogenous BR levels (Fig. 3B, C), significant increases in GL (+14.1% to +15.5%), GW (+2.1% to +2.8%), grain area (+20.9% to +21.1%), TGW (+13.0% to +14.7%), and GYPP (+13.7% to +14.9%) (Fig. 3D–I). We also examined total starch, amylose, and total protein levels in control ZH17 and ZH17-OEW transgenic plants to assess whether *TaD11-2A* affected rice quality traits. ZH17-OEW transgenic plants showed a significant increase in total starch levels (+3.0% to +3.4%) and a significant decrease in amylose levels (−5.0 to −5.9%), while protein levels were not significantly different (Fig. 3J–L). Therefore, *TaD11-2A* constitutive expression increased grain yield and grain quality in rice, indicating the potential of *TaD11-2A* in improving crop yield and quality traits.

*Phenotypic characterization of the wheat *tad11-2a* mutant*

To investigate the role of *TaD11* in wheat, we screened the *Triticum turgidum* cv. Kronos TILLING population (Krasileva *et al.*, 2017) and identified the *tad11-2a* mutant (Kronos2702). After three generations of backcrossing, the homozygous *tad11-2a* mutant line was selected for further analysis (Fig. 4A, B). Our qRT-PCR data revealed that *TaD11-2A* expression in grain (Z75) was significantly lower in the

mutant than the WT (Kronos), while no significant differences were identified in other tissues (Fig. 4C). Agronomic trait investigations showed that when compared with the WT (Kronos), the *tad11-2a* mutant exhibited significant decreases in PH (−18.9%), spike length (SL) (−12.5%), spikelet number per spike (SNPS) (−5.5%) and grain number per spike (GNPS) (−31.0%) (Fig. 4D, F–I). Furthermore, GL, GW, and the grain area of the *tad11-2a* mutant were significantly reduced by 5.6%, 8.0%, and 11.4%, respectively, when compared with WT (Kronos) (Fig. 4E, J–L), leading to serious decreases in TGW (−31.0%) and GYPP (−41.4%) (Fig. 4M, N). We also tested three quality related traits in the mutant and WT and found that protein and wet gluten content (WGC) levels were significantly higher in the mutant than the WT by 17.1% and 14.2% (Fig. 3O, Q), respectively, while no significant difference was observed for test weight (Fig. 4P). In addition, the wheat lamina joint inclination assay showed that the *tad11-2a* mutant was more sensitive to 24-epiBL treatment than the WT (Fig. 4R). Thus, *TaD11-2A* was a BR-related gene affecting grain yield and grain quality in wheat.

Haplotype identification and molecular marker development of TaD11

To explore the relationship between natural variations in *TaD11* and agronomic traits, we analyzed polymorphisms in the coding and 2-kb promoter regions of *TaD11* in 60 wheat accessions with high genetic diversity (Supplementary Table S3) from 17 countries. Two *TaD11-2A* haplotypes were characterized by nine single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and two insertions/deletions (InDels), most of which were located in promoter or intron regions, except InDel2 in the 5' untranslated region (UTR) (Supplementary Fig. S2A). An InDel marker (DA-InDel2) was developed to distinguish the two *TaD11-2A* haplotypes by denaturing polyacrylamide gel (Supplementary Fig. S2A). For *TaD11-2B*, ten SNPs in promoter or intron regions were identified and resulted in two haplotypes (Supplementary Fig. S2B). A derived cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (dCAPS) marker, DB-SNP10 was designed to detect the two *TaD11-2B* haplotypes by agarose gel (Supplementary Fig. S2B). For

348 *TaD11-2D*, no nucleotide variations were observed in promoter and coding regions
349 (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

350

351 *TaD11 haplotypes are associated with grain yield and grain quality in wheat*

352 We scanned 314 wheat accessions (Supplementary Table S4) from 23 countries using
353 the Wheat 55K SNP array, and filtered it to generate 24838 high-quality unique SNPs.

354 Population structure analysis showed that the natural population was divided into four
355 subgroups (Fig. 5A–C). Based on a total of 24840 markers from *TaD11* haplotypes

356 and the Wheat 55K SNP array in the natural population, and combined with 15
357 agronomic traits in seven environments during the years 2017–2020 (Supplementary

358 Table S5), we performed a genome-wide association analysis (GWAS) using the
359 general linear model (GLM) and principal component analysis (PCA). *TaD11-2A* was

360 significantly associated with ten yield-related traits ($P < 0.05$), such as PH (seven
361 environments), awn length (AL) (seven environments), and GYPP (four environments)

362 (Fig. 5D; Supplementary Table S6), and also with two quality-related traits, protein
363 content (PC) (one environment) and WGC (two environments) (Supplementary Fig.

364 S3A; Supplementary Table S6). In contrast, *TaD11-2B* was significantly associated
365 with only three yield-related traits, spike number (SN) (two environments), SNPS

366 (one environment), and TGW (one environment) (Supplementary Fig. S4A;
367 Supplementary Table S6), and with two quality traits, protein (two environments) and

368 WGC (one environment) (Supplementary Fig. S3B; Supplementary Table S6), which
369 may have been due to low minor allele frequency (MAF = 2.5%). We also performed

370 linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis on 5-Mb regions upstream and downstream of
371 *TaD11* genes, and found that *TaD11-2A* exhibited weak LD ($r^2 < 0.5$) (Fig. 5E), while

372 *TaD11-2B* and *TaD11-2D* regions had no LD due to few markers. These data indicated
373 the homolog-specific functions of *TaD11*.

374 To further clarify the effects of *TaD11* haplotypes on agronomic traits, we
375 calculated best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) values for 15 traits in 314 wheat
376 accessions using phenotypic data in multiple environments. Overall, *TaD11-2A-Hapl*

was more favorable when compared to *TaD11-2A-HapII*, as evidenced by significant decreases in PH (−12.7%), spike stem length (SSL) (−25.9%) and flag leaf length (FLL) (−10.1%), while significant increases were observed in GW (+3.3%), TGW (+5.0%) and GYPP (+7.6%), despite decreases in GNPS (−2.6%) and PC (−3.9%) (Fig. 5F–Q; Supplementary Fig. S3B–D). Furthermore, *TaD11-2B-HapII* was a rare variant, and when compared with *TaD11-2B-HapI*, no significant changes were observed in all traits except for FLL, which were significantly shorter (−20.5%) (Supplementary Fig. S3F–H; Supplementary Fig. S4B–M). These findings suggested *TaD11-2A-HapI* was a novel marker for grain yield, with potential use in wheat breeding programs.

TaD11-2A-HapI is positively selected in wheat breeding

To determine the selection characteristics of *TaD11* haplotypes in wheat breeding, we assessed the frequency variations of *TaD11-2A* and *TaD11-2B* haplotypes in 200 Chinese wheat accessions from different breeding years (Supplementary Table S4). According to BLUE data from these accessions, PH showed a gradual decrease from landraces to modern cultivars, while GW, TGW, and GYPP showed a gradual increase (Fig. 5R, S). Correspondingly, the frequency of *TaD11-2A-HapI* increased from 71.43% for landraces to 87.93% for modern cultivars of the 2010s (Fig. 5T), while the *TaD11-2B-HapI* frequency remained essentially unchanged (Supplementary Fig. S4N). These results suggested that *TaD11-2A-HapI* underwent positive selection in wheat breeding processes in China.

We also examined the global geographic distributions of *TaD11-2A* and *TaD11-2B* haplotypes in 314 wheat accessions from six continents (Supplementary Table S4). For *TaD11-2A*, *HapI* had the highest overall global proportion, especially in Asia and North America, where proportions were 80.40% and 95.24%, respectively, while other continents had a lower proportion of *HapI* when compared to *HapII*, probably due to the small number of wheat accessions (Supplementary Fig. S5A). For *TaD11-2B*, the overall percentage of *HapI* was 96.76% globally, while *HapII* was only

marginally distributed in Asia and Oceania (Supplementary Fig. S5B). These results indicated that *TaD11-2A-HapI* had been subject to positive global wheat breeding selection.

Natural variations of OsD11 affect panicle length and GW in rice

Previous studies revealed that *OsD11* regulated multiple agronomic traits, such as PH, panicle architecture, and grain size (Tanabe *et al.*, 2005; Wu *et al.*, 2016), but potential associations between its natural genetic variations and yield-related traits in rice have remained unclear. Therefore, we investigated polymorphisms in the coding and 2-kb promoter regions of *OsD11* in 3024 germplasm accessions based on sequence variation data of the 3K rice genome panel (Alexandrov *et al.*, 2015). We identified 42 polymorphic sites and performed association analyses with four yield-related traits, including panicle length (PL), GL, GW, and TGW. Several sites were significantly associated with yield-related traits ($P < 0.05$), especially the SNP13 on intron 1 of *OsD11* which had the highest association with PL and GW, as well as a significant association with GL and TGW (Fig. 6A, B; Supplementary Table S7). The LD of this SNP with other polymorphic sites was not significant ($r^2 < 0.1$) (Fig. 6C). Further analysis indicated this SNP was divided into two haplotypes, *OsD11-HapI* (REF: T) and *OsD11-HapII* (ALT: C). When compared with *OsD11-HapI*, *OsD11-HapII* showed a significant decrease in PL (−12.5%) and a significant increase in GW (+10.1%) (Fig. 6D, E). In addition, the majority of *xian/indica* (XI) and *AUS* accessions contained *OsD11-HapI*, while 12.4% of *geng/japonica* (GJ) accessions carried *OsD11-HapII*, including 27.2% in *temperate japonica* (GJ_tmp), 0.8% in *tropical japonica* (GJ_trp), 13.3% in *subtropical japonica* (GJ_subtrp), and 12.2% in *admix* (GJ_adm) (Fig. 6F). Taken together, *OsD11-HapII* was a rare allelic variant of *OsD11* and was mainly distributed in the temperate regions of Asia.

TaD11-2A influences root length and salt tolerance in rice and wheat

Given the high *TaD11* expression in wheat roots (Fig. 1C), we hypothesized these

genes may have important roles in the regulation of root development and abiotic stress tolerance. Thus, comparative root phenotype analyses and salt and drought tolerance studies were conducted at seeding stages in rice and wheat, respectively. In rice, ZH17-OEW transgenic plants overexpressing *TaD11-2A* had significantly increased shoot height (+12.3% to +13.9%) and significantly shorter total root length (−28.2% to −30.2%) when compared with control plants, ZH17 (Fig. 7A). Our salt and drought tolerance assays revealed that the survival rates of ZH17-OEW transgenic plants under salt stress (1% NaCl) were significantly higher than controls (ZH17). In contrast, the survival rates of ZH17-OEW transgenic plants under drought stress (20% PEG6000) were significantly lower than controls (Fig. 7B, C). In wheat, shoot height and total root length of the *tad11-2a* mutant were both significantly decreased by 26.2% and 22.4%, respectively, when compared with the WT (Kronos) (Fig. 7D). Under salt stress (1.5% NaCl), the survival rate of the *tad11-2a* mutant was significantly reduced compared to the WT (Kronos), whereas under drought stress (20% PEG6000), no significant differences were observed between survival rates of test and control plants (Fig. 7E–F).

To clarify the effects of natural variation in *TaD11* on root length, root phenotyping was performed on wheat accessions (E3) in the natural population. *TaD11-2A-HapI* had longer total root length (+11.4% to +19.8%) and larger total root volume (+15.3% to +25.4%) relative to *TaD11-2A-HapII* under both normal and salt treatment conditions (Fig. 7G, H). Interestingly, the total root length ratio and total root volume ratio (NaCl/control) for *TaD11-2A-HapI* was significantly higher than *TaD11-2A-HapII* under salt treatment (Fig. 7I), suggesting a greater salt tolerance. Thus, *TaD11-2A* had an important role regulating root development and salt tolerance at the wheat seeding stage.

Discussion

BRs represent the sixth class of phytohormones, and while the major biosynthesis pathways have been largely elucidated, it is unclear how to finely control BR-related

genes and regulate BR bioactivity levels in response to various developmental processes and environmental stresses (Bajguz *et al.*, 2020; Zhao and Li, 2012). In rice, *D11* is a key gene in the BR biosynthetic pathway, with several of its alleles involved in the regulation of a variety of agronomic traits, including PH, leaf angle, panicle architecture, grain shape, and grain number (Tanabe *et al.*, 2005; Wu *et al.*, 2016; Zhou *et al.*, 2017). *OsDWARF4* and *D11* are functionally redundant, and mutation of *OsDWARF4* alone exerts limited effects on BR biosynthesis and plant morphology (Sakamoto *et al.*, 2006). In our study, we identified *TaD11* genes in wheat; the *tad11-2a* mutant exhibited a typical BR deficient phenotype characterized by dwarfism and small round seeds, shorter SL, reduced grain number, and sensitivity to 24-epiBL (Fig. 4). *TaD11-2A* overexpression rescued the defective phenotypes in the rice *cpb1* mutant and increased endogenous BR levels (Fig. 2), suggesting *TaD11-2A* had conserved functions. The *TaD11* genes were significantly down-regulated during 24-epiBL or BRZ treatment (Fig. 1D-F), which was not entirely consistent with BR responses in wheat; *TaDWF4* genes were induced by BRZ treatment (Hou *et al.*, 2019). BRZ is a triazole compound and specifically inhibits BR biosynthesis by blocking the cytochrome P450 steroid, C-22 hydroxylase which is encoded by *AtDWF4* (Asami *et al.*, 2000; Asami *et al.*, 2001). Thus, *TaD11* and *TaDWF4* may have different roles in the BR biosynthetic pathway in wheat, leading to different responses to BRZ treatment.

Grain yield is the most important agronomic trait in grain crops; extensive efforts have been made to improve outputs, especially for the three major global cereal crops, rice, maize, and wheat (Vriet *et al.*, 2012). Engineering BR biosynthesis and signaling pathways may provide effective strategies for improving crop production. For example, the specific up-regulation of the BR biosynthesis gene, *OsD11* increased grain size and GYPP in rice (Wu *et al.*, 2016), whereas editing the BR signal transduction gene, *ZmRAVLI* enhanced high-density yields in maize (Tian *et al.*, 2019). However, the potential exploitation of natural variations in BR-related genes toward wheat grain yield is rarely reported. In this study, the constitutive

overexpression of *TaD11-2A* in rice significantly improved grain size, grain weight, GYPP, and grain quality, but was unsuitable for dense planting due to loose plant architecture and excessive leaf angles (Fig. 3). These factors suggested that controlling the precise and specific expression of *TaD11* in grain development was essential for further yield improvements. Our analyses on *TaD11* genetic variability in the natural population provided evidence that a specific haplotype, *TaD11-2A-HapI*, was positively associated with GW, TGW, and GYPP, and was positively selected in wheat breeding (Fig. 6O–T). Interestingly, natural variations in *OsD11* were significantly associated with several yield-related traits in rice, including PL and GW, suggesting *D11* may have conserved and specific roles in different crops. Taken together, *TaD11-2A-HapI* represented an effective molecular marker for wheat breeding programs.

Roots are important determinants of crop yield (Vriet *et al.*, 2012). Root growth is promoted by low concentrations and inhibited by high concentrations of exogenous BR (Mussig *et al.*, 2003). Many BR-deficient mutants have reduced root length and lateral roots, indicating the positive effect of BRs on root development at physiological concentrations (Bao *et al.*, 2004; Ferguson *et al.*, 2005). In wheat, BR has a conserved function in regulating root length, and also novel roles by controlling lateral root emergence and root diameter (Hou *et al.*, 2019). In our study, endogenous BR levels were significantly enhanced in ZH17-OEW transgenic plants, which also exhibited increased shoot height and shorter root length at seedling stages (Fig. 7A), suggesting shoots and roots may have different physiological BR concentrations. When compared with control ZH17 plants, ZH17-OEW transgenic plants also showed enhanced salt tolerance and decreased drought resistance (Fig. 7B, C), suggesting BR physiological responses due to different abiotic stresses may be different. In addition, the *tad11-2a* mutant exhibited reduced root length and decreased salt tolerance (Fig. 7D, E), and *TaD11-2A-HapI* showed longer total root length and larger total root volume under normal and salt stress conditions (Fig. 7G, H). Notably, *TaD11-2A-HapI* had a higher total root length ratio and total root volume ratio

(NaCl/control) under salt stress (Fig. 8I) conditions. Thus, *TaD11-2A* has important roles regulating root development in wheat, and its favorable haplotype may have increased salt tolerance.

Supplementary data

Fig. S1. Multiple sequence alignment of *TaD11* and homologs.

Fig. S2. Haplotypes and molecular markers of *TaD11*.

Fig. S3. Haplotype analysis of *TaD11* associations with quality-related traits in wheat.

Fig. S4. Haplotype analysis of *TaD11-2B* associations with yield-related traits in wheat.

Fig. S5. Global distribution of *TaD11* haplotypes.

Table S1. Primers used in this study.

Table S2. *TaD11* information and homologs in cereals.

Table S3. Sixty wheat accessions for polymorphism analysis.

Table S4. Origins and *TaD11* haplotypes of 314 wheat accessions.

Table S5. Information on the seven environments used in this study.

Table S6. *P* values for association analyses between *TaD11* haplotypes and 15 agronomic traits in seven environments.

Table S7. *P* values for association analyses between *OsD11* natural variations and four yield-related traits in rice.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32072051), Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. ZR2019PC003), the Major Basic Research Project of Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. ZR2019ZD16), the Agricultural Variety Improvement Project of Shandong Province (Grant No. 2021LZGC013), the Youth Innovation Technology Support Planning Project for Institution of Higher Education of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. 2019KJF002), the National Natural Science Foundation for Young Scholars of China (Grant No. 32101726), and the Yantai

Institute of Replacing Old Growth Drivers with New Ones & Yantai Demonstration
Base for the Transfer and Transformation of Sci-tech Achievements Funded Project
(2019XJDN007). We thank Prof. Lubin Tan (China Agricultural University) for
sharing the *cpb1* mutant.

Author contributions

YW and FC designed the experiments and managed the project. HX, HS, JD, CM, JL,
ZL, YW, JJ, XH, and MW performed experiments; CZ, RQ, JW, and FN supervised
the project and provided technical assistance. YW, HX, and HS analyzed data and
wrote the manuscript. All authors read and agreed to the final published version of the
manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data availability

All data related to this manuscript can be found within this paper and its
Supplementary data.

References

- Alexander DH, Novembre J and Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research* **19**, 1655-1664.
- Alexandrov N, Tai S, Wang W, Mansueto L, Palis K, Fuentes RR, Ulat VJ, Chebotarov D, Zhang G and Li Z. 2015. SNP-Seek database of SNPs derived from 3000 rice genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, D1023-D1027.
- Asami T, Min YK, Nagata N, Yamagishi K, Takatsuto S, Fujioka S, Murofushi N, Yamaguchi I and Yoshida S. 2000. Characterization of brassinazole, a triazole-type brassinosteroid biosynthesis inhibitor. *Plant Physiology* **123**, 93-100.
- Asami T, Mizutani M, Fujioka S, Goda H, Min YK, Shimada Y, Nakano T, Takatsuto S, Matsuyama T and Nagata N. 2001. Selective interaction of triazole derivatives with DWF4, a

cytochrome P450 monooxygenase of the brassinosteroid biosynthetic pathway, correlates with brassinosteroid deficiency in planta. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 25687-25691.

Bajguz A, Chmur M and Gruszka D. 2020. Comprehensive overview of the brassinosteroid biosynthesis pathways: substrates, products, inhibitors, and connections. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**, 1034.

Bao F, Shen J, Brady SR, Muday GK, Asami T and Yang Z. 2004. Brassinosteroids interact with auxin to promote lateral root development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **134**, 1624-1631.

Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y and Buckler ES. 2007. TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 2633-2635.

Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* **72**, 248-254.

Chen Y, Yan Y, Wu T-T, Zhang G-L, Yin H, Chen W, Wang S, Chang F and Gou J-Y. 2020. Cloning of wheat keto-acyl thiolase 2B reveals a role of jasmonic acid in grain weight determination. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1-11.

Cheng X, Xin M, Xu R, Chen Z, Cai W, Chai L, Xu H, Jia L, Feng Z and Wang Z. 2020. A single amino acid substitution in STKc_GSK3 kinase conferring semispherical grains and its implications for the origin of Triticum spheerococcum. *The Plant Cell* **32**, 923-934.

Choe S, Dilkes BP, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Sakurai A and Feldmann KA. 1998. The *DWF4* gene of Arabidopsis encodes a cytochrome P450 that mediates multiple 22 α -hydroxylation steps in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *The Plant Cell* **10**, 231-243.

Clouse SD and Sasse JM. 1998. Brassinosteroids: essential regulators of plant growth and development. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **49**, 427-451.

Dong S-S, He W-M, Ji J-J, Zhang C, Guo Y and Yang T-L. 2021. LDBlockShow: a fast and convenient tool for visualizing linkage disequilibrium and haplotype blocks based on variant call format files. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **22**, bbaa227.

Douglas Bates M, Bolker B and Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1-48.

Ferguson BJ, Ross JJ and Reid JB. 2005. Nodulation phenotypes of gibberellin and brassinosteroid mutants of pea. *Plant Physiology* **138**, 2396-2405.

Francis RM. 2017. pophelper: an R package and web app to analyse and visualize population structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **17**, 27-32.

Fujioka S, Noguchi T, Takatsuto S and Yoshida S. 1998. Activity of brassinosteroids in the dwarf rice lamina inclination bioassay. *Phytochemistry* **49**, 1841-1848.

Gao Y, An K, Guo W, Chen Y, Zhang R, Zhang X, Chang S, Rossi V, Jin F and Cao X. 2021. The endosperm-specific transcription factor *TaNAC019* regulates glutenin and starch accumulation and its elite allele improves wheat grain quality. *The Plant Cell* **33**, 603-622.

Hong Z, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Shimizu-Sato S, Inukai Y, Fujioka S, Shimada Y, Takatsuto S, Agetsuma M, Yoshida S, Watanabe Y, Uozu S, Kitano H, Ashikari M and Matsuoka M. 2002. Loss-of-function of a rice brassinosteroid biosynthetic enzyme, C-6 oxidase, prevents the organized arrangement and polar elongation of cells in the leaves and stem. *Plant Journal* **32**,

625 495-508.

626 **Hou L, Zhang A, Wang R, Zhao P, Zhang D, Jiang Y, Diddugodage CJ, Wang X, Ni Z and**
627 **Xu S.** 2019. Brassinosteroid regulates root development with highly redundant genes in hexaploid
628 wheat. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **60**, 1761-1777.

629 **Kim GT, Fujioka S, Kozuka T, Tax FE, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S and Tsukaya H.** 2005.
630 CYP90C1 and CYP90D1 are involved in different steps in the brassinosteroid biosynthesis
631 pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **41**, 710-721.

632 **Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, Bailey P, Paraiso F, Clissold L, Simmonds J,**
633 **Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Wang X, Borrill P, Fosker C, Ayling S, Phillips AL, Uauy C and**
634 **Dubcovsky J.** 2017. Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. *Proceedings of the National*
635 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **114**, E913-E921.

636 **Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C and Tamura K.** 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary
637 genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**, 1547.

638 **Liu H, Li H, Hao C, Wang K, Wang Y, Qin L, An D, Li T and Zhang X.** 2020a. *TaDA1*, a
639 conserved negative regulator of kernel size, has an additive effect with *TaGW2* in common wheat
640 (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **18**, 1330-1342.

641 **Liu Y, Hou J, Wang X, Li T, Majeed U, Hao C and Zhang X.** 2020b. The NAC transcription
642 factor NAC019-A1 is a negative regulator of starch synthesis in wheat developing endosperm.
643 *Journal of Experimental Botany* **71**, 5794-5807.

644 **Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD** 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
645 quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* **25**, 402-408.

646 **Ma L, Hao C, Liu H, Hou J, Li T and Zhang X.** 2019. Diversity and sub-functionalization of
647 *TaGW8* homoeologs hold potential for genetic yield improvement in wheat. *The Crop Journal* **7**,
648 830-844.

649 **Ma L, Li T, Hao C, Wang Y, Chen X and Zhang X.** 2016. *TaGS5-3A*, a grain size gene selected
650 during wheat improvement for larger kernel and yield. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **14**,
651 1269-1280.

652 **Ma M, Wang Q, Li Z, Cheng H, Li Z, Liu X, Song W, Appels R and Zhao H.** 2015.
653 Expression of *TaCYP78A3*, a gene encoding cytochrome P450 CYP78A3 protein in wheat
654 (*Triticum aestivum* L.), affects seed size. *The Plant Journal* **83**, 312-325.

655 **Mori M, Nomura T, Ooka H, Ishizaka M, Yokota T, Sugimoto K, Okabe K, Kajiwarra H,**
656 **Satoh K, Yamamoto K, Hirochika H and Kikuchi S.** 2002. Isolation and characterization of a
657 rice dwarf mutant with a defect in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* **130**, 1152-1161.

658 **Mussig C, Shin G-H and Altmann T.** 2003. Brassinosteroids promote root growth in *Arabidopsis*.
659 *Plant Physiology* **133**, 1261-1271.

660 **Nolan TM, Vukašinović N, Liu D, Russinova E and Yin Y.** 2020. Brassinosteroids:
661 multidimensional regulators of plant growth, development, and stress responses. *The Plant Cell* **32**,
662 295-318.

663 **Nomura T, Kushiro T, Yokota T, Kamiya Y, Bishop GJ and Yamaguchi S.** 2005. The last
664 reaction producing brassinolide is catalyzed by cytochrome P-450s, CYP85A3 in tomato and
665 CYP85A2 in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**, 17873-17879.

666 **Qin L, Hao C, Hou J, Wang Y, Li T, Wang L, Ma Z and Zhang X.** 2014. Homologous
667 haplotypes, expression, genetic effects and geographic distribution of the wheat yield gene *TaGW2*.
668 *BMC Plant Biology* **14**, 1-19.

669 **Sahni S, Prasad BD, Liu Q, Grbic V, Sharpe A, Singh SP and Krishna P.** 2016.
670 Overexpression of the brassinosteroid biosynthetic gene *DWF4* in *Brassica napus* simultaneously
671 increases seed yield and stress tolerance. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 28298.

672 **Sakamoto T, Morinaka Y, Ohnishi T, Sunohara H, Fujioka S, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Mizutani**
673 **M, Sakata K, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S, Tanaka H, Kitano H and Matsuoka M.** 2006. Erect
674 leaves caused by brassinosteroid deficiency increase biomass production and grain yield in rice.
675 *Nature Biotechnology* **24**, 105-109.

676 **Simmonds J, Scott P, Brinton J, Mestre TC, Bush M, Del Blanco A, Dubcovsky J and Uauy**
677 **C.** 2016. A splice acceptor site mutation in *TaGW2-A1* increases thousand grain weight in
678 tetraploid and hexaploid wheat through wider and longer grains. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*
679 **129**, 1099-1112.

680 **Su Z, Hao C, Wang L, Dong Y and Zhang X.** 2011. Identification and development of a
681 functional marker of *TaGW2* associated with grain weight in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).
682 *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **122**, 211-223.

683 **Sun H, Xu H, Li B, Shang Y, Wei M, Zhang S, Zhao C, Qin R, Cui F and Wu Y.** 2021. The
684 brassinosteroid biosynthesis gene, *ZmD11*, increases seed size and quality in rice and maize. *Plant*
685 *Physiology and Biochemistry* **160**, 281-293.

686 **Tanabe S, Ashikari M, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Yoshida DS, Yano M, Yoshimura A, Kitano H,**
687 **Matsuoka M, Fujisawa Y, Kato H and Iwasaki Y.** 2005. A novel cytochrome P450 is implicated
688 in brassinosteroid biosynthesis via the characterization of a rice dwarf mutant, *dwarf11*, with
689 reduced seed length. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 776-790.

690 **Tian J, Wang C, Xia J, Wu L, Xu G, Wu W, Li D, Qin W, Han X, Chen Q, Jin W and Tian F.**
691 2019. Teosinte ligule allele narrows plant architecture and enhances high-density maize yields.
692 *Science* **365**, 658-664.

693 **Vriet C, Russinova E and Reuzeau C.** 2012. Boosting Crop Yields with Plant Steroids. *The Plant*
694 *Cell* **24**, 842-857.

695 **Wang S, Zhang X, Chen F and Cui D.** 2015. A single-nucleotide polymorphism of *TaGS5* gene
696 revealed its association with kernel weight in Chinese bread wheat. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**,
697 1166.

698 **Wei Z and Li J.** 2016. Brassinosteroids regulate root growth, development, and symbiosis.
699 *Molecular Plant* **9**, 86-100.

700 **Wu C-y, Trieu A, Radhakrishnan P, Kwok SF, Harris S, Zhang K, Wang J, Wan J, Zhai H,**
701 **Takatsuto S, Matsumoto S, Fujioka S, Feldmann KA and Pennell RI.** 2008. Brassinosteroids
702 regulate grain filling in rice. *The Plant Cell* **20**, 2130-2145.

703 **Wu Y, Fu Y, Zhao S, Gu P, Zhu Z, Sun C and Tan L.** 2016. *CLUSTERED PRIMARY BRANCH*
704 *1*, a new allele of *DWARF11*, controls panicle architecture and seed size in rice. *Plant*
705 *Biotechnology Journal* **14**, 377-386.

706 **Xin P, Yan J, Fan J, Chu J and Yan C.** 2013. An improved simplified high-sensitivity
707 quantification method for determining brassinosteroids in different tissues of rice and *Arabidopsis*.

- 708 Plant Physiology **162**, 2056-2066.
- 709 **Yan X, Zhao L, Ren Y, Dong Z, Cui D and Chen F.** 2019. Genome-wide association study
710 revealed that the *TaGW8* gene was associated with kernel size in Chinese bread wheat. Scientific
711 Reports **9**, 1-10.
- 712 **Yang J, Zhou Y, Zhang Ye, Hu W, Wu Q, Chen Y, Wang X, Guo G, Liu Z and Cao T.** 2019.
713 Cloning, characterization of *TaGS3* and identification of allelic variation associated with kernel
714 traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). BMC Genetics **20**, 1-11.
- 715 **Yang Z, Bai Z, Li X, Wang P, Wu Q, Yang L, Li L and Li X.** 2012. SNP identification and
716 allelic-specific PCR markers development for *TaGW2*, a gene linked to wheat kernel weight.
717 Theoretical and Applied Genetics **125**, 1057-1068.
- 718 **Zadoks JC, Chang TT and Konzak CF.** 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals.
719 Weed Research **14**, 415-421.
- 720 **Zhang W, Li H, Zhi L, Su Q, Liu J, Ren X, Meng D, Zhang N, Ji J and Zhang X.** 2020.
721 Functional markers developed from *TaGS3*, a negative regulator of grain weight and size, for
722 marker-assisted selection in wheat. The Crop Journal **8**, 943-952.
- 723 **Zhao B and Li J.** 2012. Regulation of brassinosteroid biosynthesis and inactivation. Journal of
724 Integrative Plant Biology **54**, 746-759.
- 725 **Zhou Y, Tao Y, Zhu J, Miao J, Liu J, Liu Y, Yi C, Yang Z, Gong Z and Liang G.** 2017. *GNS4* ,
726 a novel allele of *DWARF11* , regulates grain number and grain size in a high-yield rice variety.
727 Rice **10**, 34-34.
- 728 **Zhu T, Wang L, Rimbert H, Rodriguez JC, Deal KR, De Oliveira R, Choulet F,**
729 **Keeble-Gagnere G, Tibbits J, Rogers J, Eversole K, Appels R, Gu YQ, Mascher M, Dvorak J**
730 **and Luo MC.** 2021. Optical maps refine the bread wheat *Triticum aestivum* cv. Chinese Spring
731 genome assembly. Plant Journal **107**, 303-314.
- 732 **Zuo J and Li J.** 2014. Molecular genetic dissection of quantitative trait loci regulating rice grain
733 size. Annual Review of Genetics **48**, 99-118.

734

735

736 **Figure legends**

737 **Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic relationships between D11 proteins and *TaD11* expression
738 patterns. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of D11 protein homologs in cereals. Ta, Hv, Os, Si,
739 Zm and Sb represent *Triticum aestivum*, *Hordeum vulgare*, *Oryza sativa*, *Setaria*
740 *italica*, *Zea mays*, and *Sorghum bicolor*, respectively. A neighbor-joining tree was
741 constructed with MEGA v10.1 and the JTT model, and was based on full-length
742 amino acid sequences. Numbers above branches represent bootstrap support based on
743 1000 bootstrap replications, with a cut-off value of 50%. Purple and blue shading
744 represents C3 and C4 plants, respectively. (B) Gene structures of D11 homologs.

Untranslated region (UTR), coding sequence (CDS), and introns are represented by white boxes, blue boxes, and gray lines, respectively. Genes and their constituents are sized using the scale. (C) Expression pattern analysis of *TaD11* genes in various Chinese Spring tissue at different growth stages which followed the Zadoks scale (Zadoks *et al.*, 1974). Wheat *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. Data are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three replicates. (D-F) qRT-PCR analysis of the relative expression levels of the three *TaD11* genes in Chinese Spring roots after treatment with 24-epiBL (1 μ M) and BRZ (1 μ M) after 3 hours. Values are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between mock (distilled water) and 24-epiBL samples, and between mock and BRZ samples, respectively (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$).

Fig. 2. Functional complementarity validation of *TaD11-2A* in rice. (A) Comparison of plant and panicle architecture of WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and three *cpb1*-OEW transgenic lines at grain-filling stages. Scale bar = 10 cm (lower right). The red arrows indicate the position of the clustered primary branches in the *cpb1* mutant. (B) Expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OEW transgenic lines. The rice *ubiquitin* gene was used as an internal control. The expression level of *OsD11* was assigned 1.0 for transgenic lines. Values are represented as the mean and standard deviation of three independent replicates. ND = expression not detected. (C) Endogenous BR content in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OEW in shoot tissue at the 14-day-old seeding stage. Values are mean standard deviation ($n = 3$). Gfw = grams fresh weight. (D) Comparison of grain size in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OEW transgenic lines. Scale bar = 1 cm. (E-H) Comparison of grain length (GL) (E), grain width (GW) (F), grain area (G), and 1000-grain weight (TGW) (H) among WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OEW transgenic lines ($n = 15$). Different letters indicate significant differences in Duncan's multiple-range tests ($P < 0.05$).

Fig. 3. The effects of *TaD11-2A* on grain size and quality traits in rice. (A) Comparison of plant and panicle architecture between control (ZH17) and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines at the grain-filling stage. Scale bars = 10 cm (lower right). (B) Relative expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* levels between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW at the grain-filling stage. Rice *ubiquitin* was used as an internal control. The data are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three independent replicates. The expression level of *OsD11* was assigned 1.0 for the transgenic lines. ND = expression not detected. (C) Endogenous BR contents between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants in shoot tissue at the 14-day-old seedling stage. Values are mean standard deviation ($n = 3$). Gfw = gram fresh weight. (D) Comparison of grain size between the ZH17 control and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines. Scale bar = 1 cm. (E–L) Comparison of grain length (GL) (E), grain width (GW) (F), grain area (G), 1000-grain weight (TGW) (H), grain yield per plant (GYPP) (I), total starch content (J), amylose content (K), and protein content (L) between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines (for yield-related traits, $n = 15$; for quality-related traits, $n = 3$). Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW (NS = not significant; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$).

Fig. 4. Morphological characters of the *tad11-2a* mutant. (A) Genomic structure of *TaD11-2A*. The red triangle is the variant position in the *tad11-2a* mutant. (B) Sequencing validation of the *tad11-2a* mutation site. (C) Expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* in WT (Kronos) and the *tad11-2a* mutant. Wheat *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. The data are the mean plus the standard deviation of three replicates. (D) Plant architecture of the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant at the heading stage. Scale bar = 10 cm. (E) Grain shape of WT (Kronos) and the *tad11-2a* mutant. Scale bar = 1 cm. (F–Q) Comparison of 12 agronomic traits, including, plant height (PH) (F), spike length (SL) (G), spikelet number per spike (SNPS) (H), grain number per spike (GNPS) (I), grain length (GL) (J), grain width (GW) (K), grain area (L), 1000-grain weight (TGW) (M), grain yield per plant (GYPP) (N), protein content (PC)

(O), test weight (TW) (P), and wet gluten content (WGC) (Q) in the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant ($n = 15$). (R) Comparison of lamina inclination in the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant after 24-epiBL treatment ($n = 15$). Values are the mean plus standard deviation. Two-tailed Student's t tests were performed between the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant (NS = not significant; $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$).

Fig. 5. Haplotype analysis of *TaD11-2A* associations with yield-related traits in wheat. (A–C) Population structure analyses were performed on 314 wheat accessions using cross-validation error (A), stacked bar plots of ancestry relationship (B), and principal component analyses (C). (D) Genome-wide association analysis of *TaD11-2A* with 12 yield-related traits (PH, plant height; SL, spike length; SSL, spike stem length; AL, awn length; FLL, flag leaf length; SN, spike number; SNPS, spikelet number per spike; GNPS, grain number per spike; GL, grain length; GW, grain width; TGW, 1000-grain weight; GYPP, grain yield per plant). E1–E7 represents association analysis in seven different environments. BLUE7 indicates association analysis based on the best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) values calculated from seven data environments. Negative $\log_{10}$ -transformed P values are plotted. A black horizontal dotted line indicates the threshold value for significant associations ($P < 0.05$). (E) Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis spanning the physical position from 569.289 to 574.098 Mb (IWGSC RefSeq v2.1) of chromosome 2A. The color key indicates the level of LD (r^2) between variants. (F–Q) Comparison of 12 yield-related traits, including PH (F), SL (G), SSL (H), AL (I), FLL (J), SN (K), SNPS (L), GNPS (M), GL (N), GW (O), TGW (P), and GYPP (Q) between *TaD11-2A* haplotypes based on BLUE values. Two-tailed Student's t tests were performed between *TaD11-2A* haplotypes (NS = not significant; $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$). (R, S) Changes in PH and GW (R) and TGW and GYPP (S) in 200 Chinese wheat accessions over several decades. In this population, there were 21 landraces, and 7, 27, 87, and 58 modern cultivars bred in the 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, and 2010s, respectively. Data for PH, GW, TGW, and GYPP are represented by a line chart using BLUE values. (T) Changes in

the frequency of *TaD11-2A* haplotypes across the history of wheat breeding in China.

Fig. 6. Haplotype analysis of *OsD11* associations with panicle length and grain width in rice. (A, B) Association analysis of *OsD11* with panicle length (PL) and grain width (GW) in the 3K rice genome panel. Red dots represent the most significantly associated polymorphic sites in *OsD11* with PL and GW, respectively. (C) Triangle matrix of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) of DNA polymorphisms in the coding and 2-kb promoter regions of *OsD11*. The color key indicates the level of LD (r^2) between variants. (D, E) Phenotypic comparison of *OsD11* haplotypes with PL and GW. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between haplotypes (** $P < 0.01$). (F) The frequency distribution of *OsD11* haplotypes in different subgroups; *XI*, *xian/indica*; *GJ_all*, *geng/japonica*; *GJ_tmp*, *temperate japonica*; *GJ_trp*, *tropical japonica*; *GJ_sbtrp*, *subtropical japonica*; and *GJ_adm*, *admix*.

Fig. 7. *TaD11-2A* affects root length and salt tolerance at seeding stages in rice and wheat. (A) Comparison of shoot height and root length between control (ZH17) and ZH17-OEW transgenic plants ($n = 20$) at seeding stages in rice. Scale bar = 5 cm. (B) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants during salt stress (1% NaCl). Scale bar = 2 cm. (C) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants during drought stress (20% PEG6000). Scale bar = 2 cm. (D) Comparison of seedling height and root length between the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant ($n = 20$) at seeding stages in wheat. Scale bar = 5 cm. (E) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* plants during salt stress (1.5% NaCl). Scale bar = 2 cm. (F) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* plants during drought stress (20% PEG6000). Scale bar = 2 cm. (G–I) Comparison of total root length (G), total root volume (H), and ratio (NaCl/control) (I) of wheat accessions (E3) in the natural population at the seedling stage under normal conditions and salt treatment ($n = 6$). Values are mean standard deviation. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants, WT (Kronos)

861 and *tad11-2a* plants, and *TaD11-2A* haplotypes, respectively (NS = not significant;
862 $**P < 0.01$).

863

864

865

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships between D11 proteins and *TaD11* expression patterns. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of D11 protein homologs in cereals. Ta, Hv, Os, Si, Zm and Sb represent *Triticum aestivum*, *Hordeum vulgare*, *Oryza sativa*, *Setaria italica*, *Zea mays*, and *Sorghum bicolor*, respectively. A neighbor-joining tree was constructed with MEGA v10.1 and the JTT model, and was based on full-length amino acid sequences. Numbers above branches represent bootstrap support based on 1000 bootstrap replications, with a cut-off value of 50%. Purple and blue shading represents C3 and C4 plants, respectively. (B) Gene structures of D11 homologs. Untranslated region (UTR), coding sequence (CDS), and introns are represented by white boxes, blue boxes, and gray lines, respectively. Genes and their constituents are sized using the scale. (C) Expression pattern analysis of *TaD11* genes in various Chinese Spring tissue at different growth stages which followed the Zadoks scale (Zadoks *et al.*, 1974). Wheat *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. Data are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three replicates. (D-F) qRT-PCR analysis of the relative expression levels of the three *TaD11* genes in Chinese Spring roots after treatment with 24-epiBL (1 μ M) and BRZ (1 μ M) after 3 hours. Values are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between mock (distilled water) and 24-epiBL samples, and between mock and BRZ samples, respectively (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).

Fig. 2. Functional complementarity validation of *TaD11-2A* in rice. (A) Comparison of plant and panicle architecture of WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and three *cpb1*-OE transgenic lines at grain-filling stages. Scale bar = 10 cm (lower right). The red arrows indicate the position of the clustered primary branches in the *cpb1* mutant. (B) Expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OE transgenic lines. The rice *ubiquitin* gene was used as an internal control. The expression level of *OsD11* was assigned 1.0 for transgenic lines. Values are represented as the mean and standard deviation of three independent replicates. ND = expression not detected. (C) Endogenous BR content in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OE in shoot tissue at the 14-day-old seedling stage. Values are mean standard deviation ($n = 3$). Gfw = grams fresh weight. (D) Comparison of grain size in WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OE transgenic lines. Scale bar = 1 cm. (E–H) Comparison of grain length (GL) (E), grain width (GW) (F), grain area (G), and 1000-grain weight (TGW) (H) among WT (CP78), *cpb1*, and *cpb1*-OE transgenic lines ($n = 15$). Different letters indicate significant differences in Duncan's multiple-range tests ($P < 0.05$).

Fig. 3. The effects of *TaD11-2A* on grain size and quality traits in rice. (A) Comparison of plant and panicle architecture between control (ZH17) and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines at the grain-filling stage. Scale bars = 10 cm (lower right). (B) Relative expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* levels between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW at the grain-filling stage. Rice *ubiquitin* was used as an internal control. The data are represented by the mean and standard deviation of three independent replicates. The expression level of *OsD11* was assigned 1.0 for the transgenic lines. ND = expression not detected. (C) Endogenous BR contents between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants in shoot tissue at the 14-day-old seedling stage. Values are mean standard deviation ($n = 3$). Gfw = gram fresh weight. (D) Comparison of grain size between the ZH17 control and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines. Scale bar = 1 cm. (E–L) Comparison of grain length (GL) (E), grain width (GW) (F), grain area (G), 1000-grain weight (TGW) (H), grain yield per plant (GYPP) (I), total starch content (J), amylose content (K), and protein content (L) between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW transgenic lines (for yield-related traits, $n = 15$; for quality-related traits, $n = 3$). Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW (NS = not significant; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$).

Fig. 4. Morphological characters of the *tad11-2a* mutant. (A) Genomic structure of *TaD11-2A*. The red triangle is the variant position in the *tad11-2a* mutant. (B) Sequencing validation of the *tad11-2a* mutation site. (C) Expression analysis of *TaD11-2A* in WT (Kronos) and the *tad11-2a* mutant. Wheat *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. The data are the mean plus the standard deviation of three replicates. (D) Plant architecture of the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant at the heading stage. Scale bar = 10 cm. (E) Grain shape of WT (Kronos) and the *tad11-2a* mutant. Scale bar = 1 cm. (F–Q) Comparison of 12 agronomic traits, including, plant height (PH) (F), spike length (SL) (G), spikelet number per spike (SNPS) (H), grain number per spike (GNPS) (I), grain length (GL) (J), grain width (GW) (K), grain area (L), 1000-grain weight (TGW) (M), grain yield per plant (GYPP) (N), protein content (PC) (O), test weight (TW) (P), and wet gluten content (WGC) (Q) in the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant ($n = 15$). (R) Comparison of lamina inclination in the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant after 24-epiBL treatment ($n = 15$). Values are the mean plus standard deviation. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant (NS = not significant; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$).

Fig. 5. Haplotype analysis of *TaD11-2A* associations with yield-related traits in wheat. (A–C) Population structure analyses were performed on 314 wheat accessions using cross-validation error (A), stacked bar plots of ancestry relationship (B), and principal component analyses (C). (D) Genome-wide association analysis of *TaD11-2A* with 12 yield-related traits (PH, plant height; SL, spike length; SSL, spike stem length; AL, awn length; FLL, flag leaf length; SN, spike number; SNPS, spikelet number per spike; GNPS, grain number per spike; GL, grain length; GW, grain width; TGW, 1000-grain weight; GYPP, grain yield per plant). E1–E7 represents association analysis in seven different environments. BLUE7 indicates association analysis based on the best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) values calculated from seven data environments. Negative $\log_{10}$ -transformed P values are plotted. A black horizontal dotted line indicates the threshold value for significant associations ($P < 0.05$). (E) Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis spanning the physical position from 569,289 to 574,098 Mb (IWGSC RefSeq v2.1) of chromosome 2A. The color key indicates the level of LD (r^2) between variants. (F–Q) Comparison of 12 yield-related traits, including PH (F), SL (G), SSL (H), AL (I), FLL (J), SN (K), SNPS (L), GNPS (M), GL (N), GW (O), TGW (P), and GYPP (Q) between *TaD11-2A* haplotypes based on BLUE values. Two-tailed Student's t tests were performed between *TaD11-2A* haplotypes (NS = not significant; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$). (R, S) Changes in PH and GW (R) and TGW and GYPP (S) in 200 Chinese wheat accessions over several decades. In this population, there were 21 landraces, and 7, 27, 87, and 58 modern cultivars bred in the 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, and 2010s, respectively. Data for PH, GW, TGW, and GYPP are represented by a line chart using BLUE values. (T) Changes in the frequency of *TaD11-2A* haplotypes across the history of wheat breeding in China.

Fig. 6. Haplotype analysis of *OsD11* associations with panicle length and grain width in rice. (A, B) Association analysis of *OsD11* with panicle length (PL) and grain width (GW) in the 3K rice genome panel. Red dots represent the most significantly associated polymorphic sites in *OsD11* with PL and GW, respectively. (C) Triangle matrix of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) of DNA polymorphisms in the coding and 2-kb promoter regions of *OsD11*. The color key indicates the level of LD (r^2) between variants. (D, E) Phenotypic comparison of *OsD11* haplotypes with PL and GW. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between haplotypes (** $P < 0.01$). (F) The frequency distribution of *OsD11* haplotypes in different subgroups; XI, xian/indica; GJ_all, geng/japonica; GJ_tmp, temperate japonica; GJ_trp, tropical japonica; GJ_sbtrp, subtropical japonica; and GJ_adm, admix.

Fig. 7. *TaD11-2A* affects root length and salt tolerance at seedling stages in rice and wheat. (A) Comparison of shoot height and root length between control (ZH17) and ZH17-OEW transgenic plants ($n = 20$) at seedling stages in rice. Scale bar = 5 cm. (B) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants during salt stress (1% NaCl). Scale bar = 2 cm. (C) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants during drought stress (20% PEG6000). Scale bar = 2 cm. (D) Comparison of seedling height and root length between the WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* mutant ($n = 20$) at seedling stages in wheat. Scale bar = 5 cm. (E) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* plants during salt stress (1.5% NaCl). Scale bar = 2 cm. (F) Phenotypes and survival rates ($n = 6$) of WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* plants during drought stress (20% PEG6000). Scale bar = 2 cm. (G-I) Comparison of total root length (G), total root volume (H), and ratio (NaCl/control) (I) of wheat accessions (E3) in the natural population at the seedling stage under normal conditions and salt treatment ($n = 6$). Values are mean standard deviation. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests were performed between ZH17 and ZH17-OEW plants, WT (Kronos) and *tad11-2a* plants, and *TaD11-2A* haplotypes, respectively (NS = not significant; $**P < 0.01$).