Antimicrobial peptide secretion protects endosymbionts from bacteriome autoimmunity in insects
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Abstract

Many insects house symbiotic intracellular bacteria (endosymbionts) that provide them with essential nutrients, thus promoting usage of nutrient-poor habitats. Endosymbiont seclusion within host specialized cells, called bacterioocytes, often organized in a dedicated organ, the bacteriome, is crucial in protecting them from host immune defenses while avoiding chronic host immune activation. Previous evidence obtained in the cereal weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* has shown that bacteriome immunity is activated against invading pathogens, suggesting endosymbionts might be targeted and impacted by immune effectors during an immune challenge. To pinpoint any molecular determinants associated with such challenges, we conducted a dual transcriptomic analysis of *S. oryzae*’s bacteriome subjected to immunogenic peptidoglycan fragments. We show that upon immune challenge the bacteriome actively participates in the innate immune response via a burst of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Surprisingly, endosymbionts do not undergo any transcriptomic changes, indicating that this potential threat goes unnoticed. Immunohistochemistry of selected AMPs show
that these are secreted outside the bacteriome, excluding direct contact with the endosymbionts. This work demonstrates that endosymbiont protection during an immune challenge is mainly achieved by efficient confinement within bacteriomes, which provides physical separation between host systemic response and endosymbionts.
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**Introduction**

Nutritional symbiosis between animals and microorganisms is a major driver of adaptation [1] as it participates in the colonization of nutrient-poor environments by complementing the metabolic needs of the host [2]. Notably, thanks to intracellular symbiotic bacteria (endosymbionts) insects can thrive on unbalanced carbohydrate-based diets, including blood, plant sap, or cereal grains [1,3–6]. However, the constant presence of microorganisms within an insect’s body represents a permanent challenge of the immune system [7]. The host immune system must conserve its ability to react against pathogens, while keeping beneficial symbionts alive and metabolically active [8]. The establishment of an equilibrium between excessive host colonization by the symbiont and chronic activation of the host immune system is essential in such symbiotic relationships, as the former would be detrimental for host survival, while the latter would result in symbiotic damage and host fitness reduction [9]. To better understand the co-evolution between the host immune system and the intracellular symbiotic bacteria, it is therefore important to pinpoint the molecular determinants of endosymbiont tolerance and pathogen control.

The association between the cereal weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* and its recently-acquired Gram-negative intracellular bacterium, *Sodalis pierantonius* (~28K years, [10,11]), is a remarkable example of homeostasis between insects and endosymbionts. *S. pierantonius* are contained within specialized gigantic cells, the bacteriocytes, which at the larval stages are located in a specialized organ – the bacteriome – at the foregut-midgut junction [3,12]. While wild *S. oryzae* animals are always associated with *S. pierantonius*, comparative studies between symbiotic and artificially-obtained aposymbiotic insects have shown that the presence of the endosymbiont accelerates insect development, allows strengthening of the insect cuticle [13], and enables flying [14].

Contrary to most long-lasting insect endosymbionts, *S. pierantonius* genome contains genes encoding a functional type III secretion system (T3SS) [15], which was shown to be necessary during insect metamorphosis, where host stem cells are infected by the endosymbiont, followed by bacteriocyte differentiation and adult bacteriome formation [16]. *S. pierantonius* genome also encodes genes necessary...
for Microbial-Associated Molecular Patterns (MAMPs) synthesis, including peptidoglycans (PGs), which are able to activate the insect immune responses through their interaction with host pattern recognition receptors [7]. Injection of *S. pierantonius* into the insect hemolymph triggers the production of a plethora of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) [17], suggesting its presence within the host body is an ongoing immune threat. Nevertheless, chronic immune system activation is avoided by the compartmentalization of the endosymbiont within bacteriocytes and the expression of an adapted local immune system [17–20].

Coleoptericin A (ColA) antimicrobial peptide (AMP) is an important molecular determinant for the maintenance of *S. oryzae/S. pierantonius* homeostasis. By interacting with the bacterial chaperonin GroEL, ColA inhibits bacterial cell septation and generates elongated bacteria with multiple genome copies [18]. Inhibition of *colA* with RNA interference leads to bacterial escape from the bacteriome, and colonization of host surrounding tissues [18]. ColA expression in the bacteriome is dependent on *relish* and *imd*, two genes belonging to the immune deficiency (IMD) pathway [21]. Recently, the weevil's peptidoglycan recognition protein LB (PGRP-LB) was also shown to play a central role in host homeostasis. By cleaving the tracheal cytotoxin (TCT), a monomeric form of DAP-type PG constantly produced by the endosymbionts within the bacteriome, PGRP-LB prevents the exit of TCT from the bacteriome to the insect's hemolymph, therefore avoiding a chronic activation of host IMD dependent humoral immunity [19]. Taken together, these results suggest that bacterial compartmentalization in the bacteriome is a key strategy that allows the tolerance of symbiotic bacteria as it avoids the contact between the endosymbionts and the insect's immune system [22], henceforth preventing chronic activation of the host immune IMD pathway against the beneficial microorganisms [23].

Compartmentalization is also able to protect endosymbionts from the external immune response, as bacterial challenge induces a systemic AMP up-regulation [20,24]. However, we have previously shown that the bacteriome participates in the immune response against pathogenic bacteria and TCT challenge. Notably, up-regulation of several AMPs in weevils after injection of bacteria into the insect hemolymph is observed in the bacteriome [17,20], as well as in the rest of the body [17,20,24]. In addition, TCT injection is sufficient to mimic AMP induction in larval bacteriomes upon bacterial challenge [19]. It is important to note that AMP induction upon TCT challenge is IMD dependent, as is the control of endosymbionts within bacteriocytes, indicating the same pathway can fight exogenous bacterial infection while controlling intracellular beneficial bacteria [21]. Although the involvement of the bacteriome in the immune response would appear in disagreement with its primary function of hosting bacteria, such activation of the immune response against external infections does not seem to pose a threat to *S. pierantonius* integrity since bacterial infections do not induce a reduction in the number of symbionts [20]. This suggests that despite activating the same immune pathway, differences must exist between fighting external infections and protecting the intracellular symbiont. We hypothesize that either the endosymbionts have evolved specific mechanisms to counteract the bacteriome immune response, or that this immune response is only directed towards invading bacteria. Current knowledge of gene expression levels in the larval bacteriome is limited to a couple of AMPs and a
few other stress-related insect genes [19–21], and little is known about other insect or bacterial regulatory mechanisms involved in endosymbiont protection from bacteriocyte immune activation.

We therefore conducted a global analysis of insect and bacterial transcriptomic changes in bacteriomes subjected to an immune challenge with TCT, in order to mimic an immune response in the absence of a real infectious threat. While confirming the involvement of the bacteriome in the immune response, notably via an AMP burst, transcriptomic and immunohistochemical observations showed AMP accumulation only outside of the bacteriome, and a full preservation of the basal bacterial transcriptional program. Thus, efficient physical separation between symbionts and bacteria-harnessing molecules ensures full symbiont protection during an immune challenge.

Material and methods

Animal rearing, peptidoglycan challenge, and sample preparation

* S. oryzae laboratory strain (Bouriz) were reared on wheat grains at 27.5°C and at 70% relative humidity. A strain of aposymbiotic insects was obtained as previously described [25]. TCT was purified from *Escherichia coli* as previously described [26]. Fourth instar larvae were extracted from wheat grains and challenged with a 0.2 mM TCT solution injected into the hemolymph using a Nanoject III (Drummond). Sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was also used as a negative control. Injected (PBS and TCT) and non-injected larvae (naïve) were kept in white flour for 6 hours at 27.5°C and at 70% relative humidity before dissection. Bacteriomes were dissected in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated Buffer A (25 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 250 mM sucrose, 35 mM Tris/HCl, pH = 7.5). For each sample, bacteriomes were pooled (30 for Dual RNA-seq library preparation, and at least 25 for RT-qPCR), and stored at -80 °C prior to RNA extraction. Pools of five carcasses from symbiotic dissected weevils were used for RT-qPCR. Aposymbiotic samples consisted in pools of five fourth instar aposymbiotic larvae, which were teared in Buffer A, but not dissected as they do not harbor bacteriomes.

RNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen, ref.: 15596026) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Nucleic acids were then purified using the NucleoSpin RNA Clean up kit (Macherey Nagel, ref.: 740948). Genomic DNA was removed from the samples with the DNA free DNA removal kit (Ambion, ref.: AM1906). Total RNA concentration and quality were checked using the Qubit Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Tapestation 2200 (Agilent Biotechnologies). Ribo-depletion and Dual RNA-seq strand-specific cDNA libraries were obtained starting from 100 ng of total RNA using the
Ovation Universal RNA-seq System (NuGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries were sequenced on a Nextseq 500 sequencer (Illumina), using the NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit (Illumina).

Preprocessing, mapping of reads and differential expression analysis

Raw reads were processed using Cutadapt v1.18 [27] to remove adapters, filter out reads shorter than 50 bp and reads that had a mean quality value lower or equal to 30. Clean reads were mapped against the S. oryzae genome (Genbank: PRJNA431034) with STAR v2.7.3a [28], and against the S. pierantonius genome (Genbank: CP006568.1) with Bowtie 2 v2.3.5 [29] with default parameters. Shared reads between the two genomes were filtered out with the aid of SAMtools v1.10 [30] and Picard v2.21.6 (available from https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Gene counts were obtained for uniquely mapped reads with featureCounts v1.6.4 method from the Subread package [31]. Whenever uniquely mapped read counts were set to zero due to duplicated regions or multi-mapped reads, we further verified these regions within the multi-mapped read counts available with featureCounts. Insertion sequence (IS) families from the bacteria were also counted with the use of TEtools (v1.0.0) with default parameters [32]. Gene counts and TEtools counts were used as input for differential expression analyses using the DESeq2 v1.26.0 [33] package in R. After testing, the p-values were adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction [34] for multi-testing. Genes were considered differentially expressed when adjusted p-values (p-adj) were smaller than 0.05.

Sequencing data from this study have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra (accession no. PRJNA816415).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from fourth instar bacteriomes and carcasses, as well as from whole aposymbiotic fourth instar larvae using the RNAqueous - Micro kit (Ambion). DNA was removed with DNase treatment and RNA quality was checked with Nanodrop (ThermoFisher Scientific). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was produced with the iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer’s instructions and starting with 500 ng total RNA. Differential gene expression was assessed by quantitative real-time PCR with a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using the LightCycler Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche Diagnostics), as previously described [19], except for dpt4, for which the annealing temperature was reduced to 54.5 °C. Data were normalized using the ratio of the target cDNA concentration to the geometric average of two housekeeping transcripts: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (LOC115881082) and malate oxidase (LOC115886866). Primers were designed to amplify fragments of approximately 150 bp. A complete list of primers can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

Immunohistochemistry
Larval samples challenged with TCT or PBS were prepared for histological observations as described in [19]. Briefly, samples were fixed in paraformaldehyde (PFA) 4%. After one day, the fixative was replaced by several washings with PBS before embedding the tissue in 1.3% agar, then dehydrated through a gradient of ethanol (EtOH) washes and transferred to butanol-1, at 4°C, overnight. Samples were then placed in melted Paraplast and 3 μm-thick sections were cut with a HM 340 E microtome (ThermoFisher Scientific). Sections were placed on poly-lysine-coated slides, dried overnight at 37°C, and stored at 4°C.

For AMP localization, samples were dewaxed twice in methylcyclohexane for 10 min, rinsed in EtOH 100°, rehydrated through an EtOH gradient and then placed in PBS with 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) for 30 min. CoIA rabbit primary polyclonal anti-serum (Login et al., 2011) at 1:200 dilution, and a Coleoptericin B (ColB) primary polyclonal anti-serum (Proteogenix, Schiltigheim-France) at 1:300 dilution in 0.1% BSA were used. Preimmune rabbit serum (J0) was used as a negative control for CoIA anti-serum, and BSA 0.1% for ColB (purified antibody). Antibody specificity was checked by western blot. After 1 h incubation at room temperature in the dark, sections were washed with PBS containing 0.2% Tween. Samples were then incubated with anti-rabbit IgG, labeled with Alexa Fluor 488. This secondary antibody was applied for 1 h at room temperature, diluted at 1:500 in 0.1% BSA in PBS. The excess of secondary antibody was washed with PBS-Tween, rinsed with PBS and washed several times with tap water. Sections were then dried and mounted using PermaFluorTM Aqueous Mounting Medium (ThermoFisher Scientific), together with 4,6-diamidino-2- phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich) for nuclear staining (3 μg/ml of medium). Images were acquired using an epifluorescence microscope (Olympus IX81), under specific emission filters: HQ535/50 for the green signal (antibody staining), D470/40 for the blue signal (DAPI) and HQ610/75 for the red signal (unspecific autofluorescence from tissue). Images were captured using an XM10 camera and the CellSens Software (Soft Imaging System). Images were treated using ImageJ (release 1.47v).

Results and discussion

Dual RNA sequencing successfully yielded both insect and bacterial transcripts

To investigate bacteriome response to an immune challenge, we extracted S. oryzae’s fourth instar larvae (L4) from grains and injected them with TCT, a fragment of DAP-type PG produced by Gram-negative bacteria, including S. pierantonius [19] and recovered bacteriomes six hours post injection as previously described [20]. TCT injection is able to trigger a potent response without the interference of an exogenous infectious bacteria [21]. Control larvae were injected with PBS, or extracted from grains but not injected (See Figure 1). To obtain the transcriptomic profile of both the symbiont and the host, Dual RNA-seq was performed in triplicates and yielded from 105 to 140 M reads per library (Supplementary Table S2). The reads were cleaned from adapter sequences and low-quality reads, and around 85% of the raw reads were kept for further analyses. We subsequently mapped the clean reads against both genomes, and obtained
~65-80% unambiguously mapping to the genome of *S. oryzae*, and ~5-8% to the genome of *S. pierantonius*. In each library from 23 to 33 M reads were uniquely mapped against insect genes (Supplementary Table 3), whereas ~3 M reads were uniquely mapped against bacterial genes (Supplementary Table 4). These results depict an improvement from our previous study, which yielded ~0.4 M reads mapped against bacterial genes in the same developmental stage and similar sequencing depth [16].

**TCT challenge primarily induces an AMP storm within the bacteriome**

Sixteen *S. oryzae* genes were detected as differentially expressed (DE; p-adj < 0.05) six hours after TCT challenge in the bacteriome, with respect to the bacteriome of non-injected ( naïve) or PBS-injected larvae (Table 1, Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). Among these, one gene was strongly down-regulated, four were mildly down-regulated (Log2 of Fold Change (Log2FC) > -1), and eleven were up-regulated in response to TCT.

RT-qPCR experiments confirmed the TCT-dependent induction of all 11 up-regulated genes (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S1). Eight of these genes encode AMPs and all possess a predicted signal peptide: *cola* (Coleoptericin A), Coleoptericin B (*colB*), Sarcotoxin (*srx*), Luxoriosin (*lux*), a Gly-rich AMP (*gly-rich AMP*), and three Diptericins (*dpt-2, 3 and 4*, Figure 2) [35]. This AMP storm is in agreement with previous reports, where AMPs induced in larvae by immune challenge included *cola* [17,20,21,24], *colB*, *srx* [20,21,24], *dpt*, *eccepolin* and *defensins* [20,24]. In addition to the eight AMPs, genes encoding one Gram-negative binding protein (*gnbp-2*), a barietin-like toxin (*brx*) and a multidrug resistant protein (*mrp-4*) were also up-regulated in the bacteriome (Figure 3). These three genes have not been identified in previous studies. *gnbp-2* is likely involved in insect defense responses against Gram-negative bacteria [36,37] and, like AMPs, contains a predicted secretory sequence at the peptide N-terminus. It is noteworthy that another member of the *gnbp-2* family was also shown to be up-regulated in *S. oryzae* bacteriome in response to a bacterial challenge in a previous study [24]. The barietin-like toxin likely acts as a toxin directed against bacteria [38], similarly to AMPs, and also contains a putative secretory sequence in the N-terminal region. Finally, *mrp-4* is likely a transporter involved in secretion of toxin and/or regulating homeostasis against pathogens [39]. In contrast, none of the down-regulated bacteriome genes detected in the Dual RNA-seq were confirmed by RT-qPCR (Supplementary Figure S1). These results might be explained by their less pronounced down-regulation as seen by a milder Log2FC. Moreover, Dual RNA-seq was obtained from total ribodepleted RNAs, while RT-qPCR was performed on polyadenylated mRNAs, which could contribute to the differences observed in these analyses.

To test whether the identified up-regulated genes were part of a bacteriome-specific response, we analyzed the expression of the same genes in TCT- or PBS-challenged carcasses of symbiotic insects as well as in TCT- or PBS-challenged aposymbiotic L4 (*i.e.* insects artificially devoid of symbionts, with no bacteriome, see **Methods** Section). We found that all eight up-regulated AMPs (Figure 2) and the other three up-regulated genes (Figure 3) were also induced in TCT-challenged larvae symbiotic carcasses, and...
TCT-challenged aposymbiotic whole larvae (Figure 2). We found that all up-regulated genes detected by Dual RNA-seq were also up-regulated in carcasses and aposymbiotic insects. The steady-state gene levels in PBS injection were comparable between the three conditions, with the exception of \textit{lux}, \textit{dpt-3} and \textit{srx}. Finally, in accordance with previous studies, these data show that the bacteriome induction is generally milder than the systemic response [20]. These results strongly suggest that the presence of \textit{S. pierantonius} does not affect the systemic induction of AMPs, which is comparable between symbiotic and aposymbiotic insects.

It is important to note that the present study failed to detect a couple of host genes previously identified as up-regulated upon bacterial infection in \textit{S. oryzae}, including the regulatory gene \textit{pirk}, and the Toll pathway-related genes (\textit{pgrp}, \textit{toll}), among others [20]. These discrepancies might indicate the inability of the TCT molecule to trigger a complete immune response, as opposed to a whole bacterium. TCT is a monomeric form of DAP-type PG and induces only the IMD and not the Toll pathway [19]. Nevertheless, the AMP storm observed here is consistent with previous studies [20,24] and would be expected to constitute a severe threat for the endosymbionts in the absence of protective mechanisms. Overall, these results confirm the involvement of the bacteriome in the host immune response, and reveal no protective genes and no distinction in the bacteriome transcriptome signature, suggesting a potential threat for the intracellular bacteria.

Symbiotic bacteria are insensitive to the activation of the bacteriome immune system

In order to identify potential signatures of bacterial stress and gene modulations to counteract the insect immune response and AMP burst, the symbiont transcriptomic profile obtained by Dual RNA-seq from TCT-challenged bacteriome samples was compared with controls, \textit{i.e.} PBS-injected or naïve. Remarkably, the differential analysis revealed that bacterial transcription is unresponsive to the TCT challenge (Supplementary Tables S5 and S6). Furthermore, and similarly to coding regions, we did not detect changes in expression in repetitive regions (IS) (Supplementary Table S7). Given the lack of differential expressed genes upon immune challenge, we have evaluated the expression of well known stress response genes in the dataset. Several transcriptional, translational and stabilization factors of the general stress response sigma factor \textit{RpoS} (reviewed in [40]) were expressed at varied levels (Supplementary Table S8). The expression of \textit{rpoS} in all conditions tested was lower than the vegetative sigma factor \textit{rpoD}, which is a typical profile of the exponential growth phase in \textit{Escherichia coli} [41]. This basal level of \textit{rpoS} is also needed for triggering a fast stress response in diverse bacteria [40]. Moreover, a previous study using Dual RNA-seq in \textit{S. oryzae} showed around 400 differentially expressed bacterial genes throughout the metamorphosis of the insect, confirming the ability of the endosymbiont to modulate gene expression in response to host developmental stimuli [16]. The contrast between large changes of gene expression during metamorphosis, with a complete
lack of differentially expressed genes upon TCT challenge, strongly suggests that the bacteria do not sense the AMP storm or any other stress induced by such challenge [42].

Rather, analysis of the complete bacterial transcriptome from both controls and TCT-challenged larvae display similar gene expression, including within metabolic pathways, highlighting an active bacterial metabolism, which is also known to accelerate larval development and increase nutrient availability for the host [3]. Highly expressed bacterial protein coding genes within the bacteriome (Transcripts Per Million - TPM > 1000, Supplementary Table S5) were mainly involved in transcriptional regulation, translation, stress response, and virulence (Table 2). Among the highest expressed genes we detected cold and heat shock protein coding genes (cspA, cspE, rpoH) and several chaperones (groEL1/2, groES1/2, hlpA). These results agree with previous studies that have detected the chaperonin GroEL as the most expressed protein in S. pierantonius, accounting for 40% of the bacterial protein synthesis [43]. It has been documented that the constitutive expression of the chaperonin GroEL (and possibly of other stress-response proteins) in endosymbionts with reduced genomes is essential to mitigate the deleterious effects of genome erosion, by assisting the folding of conformationally damaged proteins [44–46]. In weevils, GroEL was proposed to have a central role in the inhibition of S. pierantonius division, through interaction with ColA [18]. Other highly expressed genes related to cell division that could be involved in this inhibition are the cell division factor zapA, which localizes to the cytokinetic ring [47], and the cell division gene and transcriptional regulator mraZ [48]. It is interesting to note that three genes belonging to bacterial secretion systems (secG, ssaD and invF) were highly expressed in L4 larvae, suggesting the importance of these free-living bacterial infectious traits to this endosymbiont, possibly conferring additional virulence to this bacterium. In addition, the gene ihfA, coding for the Integration Host Factor subunit Alpha, a bacterial protein that confers the propagation of antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in bacterial populations, was highly expressed and has been proposed to modulate the expression and function of type IV secretion system in the bacterial pathogen Vibrio fluvialis [49]. Moreover, when we compared highly expressed genes with differentially expressed genes throughout the metamorphosis of S. oryzae [16], we found that more than 50% were common to both groups of genes, which highlights the fact that not only these are important genes in terms of expression levels, but that S. pierantonius has the ability to modulate them throughout the weevil’s life cycle. For instance, components of the T3SS were detected as up-regulated in pupae, a developmental stage in which endosymbionts are thought to enter a “virulent mode” and exit the bacteriocytes to re-infect stem cells [16]. Finally, we detected the expression of the chromosomal hok/sok toxin-antitoxin (TA) system. TA systems are essential regulators of growth arrest and programmed cell death which are found ubiquitously in free-living bacteria [50]. These systems were already proposed to diminish the deleterious effects of genome reduction in the absence of natural selection [51], and S. pierantonius has already been proposed as an interesting model organism for the study of endosymbiont genome reduction [15].

Overall, S. pierantonius seems to be in a dynamic equilibrium between fighting the deleterious effects of genome erosion occurring at initial steps of endosymbiosis, while maintaining the main mechanisms
necessary for survival. The lack of a bacterial transcriptional response to the host immune response triggered by the TCT immune challenge contrasts with bacterial transcriptional plasticity in response to the dramatic morphological changes occurring during the cereal weevil's life cycle [16]. Together with previous findings that the symbiont population remains unchanged even after an immune challenge with pathogenic bacteria [20], suggests that other regulatory mechanisms are in place to maintain the physical integrity of the symbiotic bacterial population during this host AMP storm.

Mature AMPs are physically separated from endosymbionts

One of the hallmarks of AMPs is the presence of a N-terminal secretory sequence that addresses them to the outside of the cell, including the hemolymph, to counteract systemic infections [52]. Thus, even though cells in the bacteriome can produce AMPs, their final localization outside of bacteriocytes would ensure protection of the endosymbionts from AMP harm. In physiological conditions, ColA is produced by and retained inside the bacteriocytes, together with the endosymbionts, where it keeps them from escaping [18]. We therefore assessed the localization of TCT-induced AMPs with respect to the symbionts. We performed immunohistochemistry with polyclonal antibodies able to recognize ColB, an AMP previously shown to be induced by TCT and bacterial challenges [19] and the bacteriome-specific AMP ColA [18]. The choice of ColB, in particular, was dictated by the fact that despite this peptide being very similar to ColA (46.72% of amino acid sequence identity), their function is remarkably different, as ColA is expressed constitutively in the bacteriome where it interacts with GroEL and contributes to the insect-bacteria homeostasis. Samples were taken at six hours after the immune challenge with TCT or PBS (as for the transcriptomic analysis), so that we could confirm that AMPs were induced at the protein level, despite the lack of endosymbiont response. In the PBS-injected controls (Figure 4A-D), ColA was detected within the bacteriome (Fig. 4A) - as expected because of its role in preventing symbiont escape (Login et al., 2011) - but not in the other tissues (Fig. 4B). ColB was not detected in the bacteriome (Fig. 4C), nor in other surrounding cells, including gut tissues (Fig. 4D). In response to TCT (Figure 4E-H), ColA was still clearly detectable within the bacteriome (Fig. 4E), as expected, but also in several surrounding gut cells as well as in the acellular extended region that likely corresponds to the hemolymph (Fig. 4F). This confirms the dual role of ColA in both symbiosis control [18] and in response to an exogenous immune challenge. On the contrary, ColB was still absent from the bacteriome tissue following TCT challenge (Fig. 4G), but, similarly to ColA, was detected in the hemolymph of TCT-challenged larvae (Fig. 4H). The results show that ColB (and potentially all other AMPs induced in the bacteriome) remain physically separated from the endosymbionts, thus protecting endosymbiont integrity but still participating in the systemic immune response.

Conclusions
There are currently three main known strategies allowing symbiotic microorganisms to coexist with efficient and responsive insect immunity: i) evolution of the ability to differentiate between pathogenic and symbiotic MAMPs by the host, ii) bacterial molecular modifications leading to immune tolerance, notably promoting biofilm formation [53], and iii) compartmentalization of the symbionts in specialized symbiotic organs, often called bacteriomes [54]. The compartmentalization strategy sequesters the symbionts in specialized cells, creating a favorable environment for their metabolic activity, and keeping them under control while avoiding overproliferation and virulence. The bacteriomes are therefore found in many insect species, including aphids [55], planthoppers [56], cicadas [57], and beetles [58]. Although very common, little is known about the evolution and immune modulation inside the bacteriomes, as well as on their formation and maintenance.

In the *S. oryzae/S. pierantonius* symbiosis, bacterial MAMPs are able to trigger a potent immune response, thus excluding a selective tolerance of the weevil immune system towards *S. pierantonius* MAMPs [17,19–21]. The absence of bacterial transcriptomic response to the TCT immune challenge excludes active mechanisms of immune suppression from the endosymbiont. Rather, compartmentalization of *S. pierantonius* within bacteriomes guarantees physical separation between the endosymbionts and AMPs produced by the bacteriome itself, and this mechanism is crucial to protect both the host from the symbionts and the bacteria from the insect immune system [18,21]. As demonstrated by the immunofluorescence labeling, there is no colocalization of endosymbiont-containing cells and AMPs, with the notable exception of ColA due to its homeostatic function, thus showing that not only the bacteriome acts as a physical barrier against the external AMPs, but is also capable to efficiently drain away the toxic molecules produced both inside or outside the bacteriome (Figure 5). Altogether these data refine the understanding on how an organ such as the bacteriome can ensure specific symbiotic function, *i.e.* maintain and control endosymbionts in a specific location, while participating in the immune response to exogenous bacteria.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental design. Top left panel: symbiotic and aposymbiotic S. oryzae fourth instar larvae were extracted from grains and dorsally injected with 0.2 mM PBS, 0.2 mM TCT
at the level of the haemolymph. Other larvae were extracted from grains but not injected (Naïve). Top right panel: bacteriomes and carcasses were sampled from PBS/TCT-injected or naïve symbiotic larvae alongside whole aposymbiotic larvae. Bottom panel: dual RNA-seq to detect insect and bacterial expression profiles was performed on bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils (PBS, TCT and Naive samples). RT-qPCR experiments were performed on TCT and PBS-treated bacteriomes/carcasses from symbiotic weevils as well as whole larvae from aposymbiotic weevils, to detect bacteriome-specific and/or symbiont-dependent transcriptomic changes.

Figure 2. Differential expression of TCT-induced AMPs in bacteriomes. The quantification was performed by RT-qPCR on S. oryzae bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils, as well as on whole aposymbiotic larvae. Green dots: PBS-injected larvae (control); red squares: TCT-injected larvae. Asterisks denote statistical significance (ANOVA with Kruskal-Wallis test, * = p ≤ 0.05). Error bars represent SE. Overall, the AMP induction in response to TCT is observed in both bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils, as well as in aposymbiotic weevils.

Figure 3. Differential expression of TCT-induced genes in bacteriomes other than AMPs. The quantification was performed by RT-qPCR on S. oryzae bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils, as well as on whole aposymbiotic larvae. Green dots: PBS-injected larvae (control); red squares: TCT-injected larvae. Asterisks denote statistical significance (ANOVA with Kruskal-Wallis test, * = p ≤ 0.05). Error bars represent SE. Overall, upregulation in response to TCT is observed in both bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils, as well as in aposymbiotic weevils.

Figure 4. AMP localization in S. oryzae larvae, before and after TCT immune challenge. Upper panel: ColA (first row) and ColB (second row) localization in PBS-injected larvae. Lower panel: ColA (first row) and ColB (second row) localization in TCT-injected larvae. Ba: bacteriome; GL: gut lumen. Asterisks indicate accumulation of AMPs in the hemolymph. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Figure 5. Proposed mechanisms of TCT challenge response within bacteriomes of S. oryzae. TCT injected in the hemolymph reaches bacteriomes and is recognized by PGRP-LC from bacteriocytes. Through a signaling cascade potentially dependent on IMD/RELISH proteins, bacteriocytes activate an AMP burst which for the most part are secreted (ColB, Srx, Lux, Gly-Rich AMP, Dpt-2, -3, and 4) to aid in the global immunity response, but no effectors are perceived by the bacteria within bacteriomes. ColA in turn is kept within bacteriocytes to prevent S. pierantonius from exiting the host cells during this immune challenge.
## Tables

Table 1: *S. oryzae* genes differentially expressed in TCT-challenged bacteriomes (p-adj < 0.05) identified by Dual RNA-seq.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Gene Abbreviation</th>
<th>Gene Annotation (curated)</th>
<th>Log2 Fold Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOC115882681</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Uncharacterized</td>
<td>-6.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115888453</td>
<td>Transcription Factor</td>
<td><em>adf-1</em></td>
<td>Transcription factor <em>adf-1</em> family</td>
<td>-0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115881033</td>
<td>Translation Initiation</td>
<td><em>eif-4/ebp-2</em></td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 2</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115891903</td>
<td>Transcription Factor</td>
<td><em>nrbp</em></td>
<td>Nuclear receptor-binding protein</td>
<td>-0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115883362</td>
<td>Transcription Factor</td>
<td><em>znf-91 like</em></td>
<td>Zinc finger protein 91-like</td>
<td>-0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115877563</td>
<td>ABC Transporter</td>
<td><em>mrp-4 like</em></td>
<td>Multidrug resistance-associated protein 4-like</td>
<td>1.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115885681</td>
<td>Toxin</td>
<td><em>brx</em></td>
<td>Snake venom vascular endothelial growth factor toxin barietin-like (Barietin toxin)</td>
<td>1.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115886735</td>
<td>Bacterial Binding</td>
<td><em>gnbp-2</em></td>
<td>Beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein-like</td>
<td>2.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115874620</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>col-A</em></td>
<td>Coleoptericin-A</td>
<td>3.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115883884</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>lux</em></td>
<td>Luxuriosin</td>
<td>3.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115884866</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>gly-rich AMP</em></td>
<td>Glycine-Rich AMP</td>
<td>3.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115888387</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>srx</em></td>
<td>Sarcotoxin</td>
<td>3.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115877462</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>dpt-2</em></td>
<td>Diptericin-2</td>
<td>4.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115877463</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>dpt-3</em></td>
<td>Diptericin-3</td>
<td>4.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115874703</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>col-B</em></td>
<td>Coleoptericin-B</td>
<td>5.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC115877465</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td><em>dpt-4</em></td>
<td>Diptericin-4</td>
<td>6.196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This transcript was below the significance of detection in one of the conditions due to an outlier; results were verified with EdgeR and we validated this as a DE gene after the qPCRs.*
Table 2. Highly expressed genes (TPM > 1000) belonging to key biological functions in *S. pierantonius*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GeneID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3523</td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>parA</td>
<td>Putative plasmid partition protein A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3896</td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>zapA</td>
<td>Cell division factor ZapA, localizes to the cytokinetic ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_2076</td>
<td>Cell division, Transcriptional regulator</td>
<td>mraZ</td>
<td>Cell division protein MraZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_2379</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>lpp</td>
<td>Major outer membrane lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_0761</td>
<td>Membrane, Metabolism of PG</td>
<td>mltD</td>
<td>Peptidoglycan lytic exotransglycosylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1270</td>
<td>Membrane, Metabolism of PG</td>
<td>nlpD</td>
<td>Lipoprotein nlpD precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_2818</td>
<td>Membrane, Virulence</td>
<td>ompC</td>
<td>Outer membrane protein C precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1260</td>
<td>Secretion system</td>
<td>secG</td>
<td>Preprotein translocase subunit SecG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1749</td>
<td>Secretion system</td>
<td>ssaD</td>
<td>Type III secretion system apparatus SsaD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3740</td>
<td>Secretion system</td>
<td>invF</td>
<td>Putative type III secretion apparatus transcriptional regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_2406</td>
<td>Secretion system, Virulence</td>
<td>ihfA</td>
<td>Integration host factor subunit alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_0731</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>groES1</td>
<td>Heat shock protein 60 family co-chaperone GroES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_0732</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>groEL1</td>
<td>Heat shock protein 60 family chaperone GroEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1085</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>groES2</td>
<td>Heat shock protein 60 family co-chaperone GroES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1086</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>groEL2</td>
<td>Heat shock protein 60 family chaperone GroEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3530</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>cspA</td>
<td>Major cold shock protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_1132</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>cspE</td>
<td>Cold shock protein CspE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3390</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>hpsA</td>
<td>Outer membrane chaperone Skp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3637</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>rpoH</td>
<td>RNA polymerase sigma factor RpoH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_4092</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>ibpB</td>
<td>16 kDa heat shock protein B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_0902</td>
<td>Stress response</td>
<td>cpxP</td>
<td>P pilus assembly/zinc-resistance associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_2297</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
<td>sok2</td>
<td>Antisense ncRNA sok2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEG_3233</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
<td>mok3</td>
<td>Regulatory peptide whose translation enables hok expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Files**

Figure S1. Differential expression of TCT-repressed genes in bacteriomes, according to Dual RNA-seq. The quantification was performed by qRT-PCR on *S. oryzae* bacteriomes and carcasses of symbiotic weevils, as well as on whole aposymbiotic larvae. Green dots: PBS-injected larvae (control); red squares: TCT-injected larvae.
Supplementary Tables including Table S1 to Table S8

Table S1: Primer sequences

Table S2: Dual RNA-seq trimming and mapping statistics.

Table S3: Count data of S. oryzae genes

Table S4: Differential expression analysis of S. oryzae genes.

Table S5: Level of expression of S. pierantonius genes.

Table S6: Differential expression of S. pierantonius genes.

Table S7: Count data and differential expression analysis of S. pierantonius ISs.

Table S8: Expression levels of genes related to the general stress response in S. pierantonius.
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