

Figure S1. The fraction of participants with more than one variant in their gene for each of the 99 genes in the 140 gene-trait combinations.


Figure S2. A) The number of gene-trait combinations for which each predictor is either best-performing or indistinguishable from best-performing. The red line highlights the maximum value based on the 57 combinations where all 20 predictors could make enough predictions. B) Comparisons between all pairs of computational predictors, determining if the performance of one predictor is significantly different than another. Variant effect predictors are ranked top-to-bottom and right-to-left based on decreasing number of gene-trait combinations where the predictor is among the best-performing predictors. Comparisons in which one predictor Y is significantly different from another predictor X (with FDR < 10\%) are indicated in blue.

Predictor: VARITY_R; Variant Type: missense_and_protein_truncating; Mode: recessive


Figure S3. The number of gene-trait combinations in a 10-by-10 grid. Genes were divided into deciles based on the number of participants with a qualifying variant in that gene and traits were divided into deciles based on the number of participants with that trait.

