Assessment of genetic diversity patterns of different serotypes of dengue virus, prevalent in patients from Kerala, India: a strain specific mutation study and its relevance to pathogenesis

Authors: Rituraj Niranjan¹*#, Sankari T^{2#}, Manoj H¹, Jayashree A. K.³, Rameela Sanya³, Basil Varghese³, N. Pradeep kumar⁴, S Muthukumaravel⁵ and Ashwani Kumar⁶

Affiliations:

1= Immunology laboratories, Division of Microbiology and Immunology, ICMR-Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry, India, 605006.

2= Division of Omics, ICMR-Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry, India, 605006.

3= Division of Community medicine, Govt. Medical College Kannur, Kerala, India 670503.

4=ICMR-VCRC, field station, Kottayam, Kerala, India 686003.

5= Division of Molecular Epidemiology, ICMR-Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry, India, 605006,

6= Director, ICMR-Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry, India, 605006,

qual contribution

*=corresponding author:

Dr. Rituraj Niranjan, Scientist "D", Immunology laboratory, ICMR-Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry, India, 605006, Tel: 91-4312272397; Ext. 244.

Email: riturajniranjan@rediffmail.com

Running Title: Genetic diversity in distinct serotypes of dengue virus in India.

Abbreviations:

Abbreviations: NS, non-structural protein; CprM, Capsid precursor Membrane; DENV, Dengue virus; TS1, Type-1 serotype; TS2, Type-2 serotype; TS3, Type-3 serotype; TS4, Type-4 serotype; DHF, Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever; DSS, Dengue Shock Syndrome; DCs, dendritic cells; MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; CHIKV, chikungunya virus, CD4, cluster of differentiation 4. CD8, cluster of differentiation 8;

Abstract:

The mutations are the key mechanisms responsible for virus survival and its fitness in the host. This process of mutation is implicated in the development of pathogenesis of the dengue viral disease. We report that, all the four serotypes were found to be circulating in Kerala sate of India. Serotypes 1 and 3 were the predominant type (33.3 %) compared to others. The prevalence of co-infection of serotype 1 and 4 was the highest percentage (11.1 %) compared to serotype 2 and serotype 3 (5.5 %). We further highlighted the immunopathological mechanisms of antibody neutralization, CD4⁺ T cell response and antibody dependent enhancements (ADE) for the possible cause of disease severity in coinfections. Serotype-1 does not show much variations from the previously reported strains from various regions of India. However, serotype-2 showed variations in the sequences from the other strains of serotype-2 previously reported from various regions of India and formed a distinct clade in the genotype-4. Serotype-3 and serotype-4 showed similarity with previously reported strains from India. Moreover, serotype-1 was grouping in genotype-5. Importantly, the serotype-2 grouped with genoptype-4 but exist separately. Serotype-3 was found to be grouped with the genotype-3. The serotype-4 show the very much similarities from the genotype-1 and shows little difference from the previously reported strains from India. Further, mutation in DENV-3 sequences, at position 235 (C to T) and 322 (G to T) shows an important phenomenon which might be adopted by the virus to survive. As severe dengue is linked with the serotype-2, the genetic variations in this serotype points towards the much specific strategy to be adopted in near future to manage the severe dengue disease. In conclusion, we can say that, genetic diversity in the CprM region is present in the different serotypes circulating in the patients from Kerala India and this information may help in the management of dengue viral disease.

Key words:

Key words: Dengue viral disease, serotypes, genetic mutation, virus diversity and mutation.

1. Introduction:

Dengue viral disease is a constantly increasing mosquito transmitted infection which affect the populations globally [1; 2]. The incidences of dengue viral have increased about 30 folds during the last 50 years [3; 4; 5; 6]. The region for increased incidences of this disease are due to globalization, microevolution of the virus's population, non-management of urban developments and patterns of climatic change [3; 7]. On an estimate, roughly half of the world population is at the risk of this dengue viral fever (WHO, 2009) [7; 8]. It is interesting to note that, the dengue reported cases is being doubled each year, and about twenty-five thousand people die annually due to this disease [9; 10].

While the disease pathogenesis of this disease is not known, no proper drugs or vaccine is available for the severe cases of the dengue fever [11; 12]. Dengue virus RNA is a positivesense single-stranded and the virus is injected to humans by bites of mosquitoes i.e. *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* [13]. It is well known that dengue is caused by four different serotypes of dengue virus which are named DENV-1 to DENV-4, which are further divided into 19 distinct genotypes having various terminology among the researchers including the sylvatic types [14]. The first case of dengue was reported in Calcutta in 1963, since then many cases have been reported in the country with many out brakes through the country [14; 15]. There have been many cyclical trends of dengue outbreaks in the country, and now it is believed that this may attain an endemic disease status in India [14]. Kerala state is one of the predominant states in India having many numbers of out brakes of dengue and need intensive investigation. The problem of dengue viral disease particularly in Kerala is increasing since 2006 [15; 16]. It is important to note that, the Kerala state which is having ~1.5% land of the country, possesses 9.2% of dengue cases in 2010.

Viral mutation is a gradual and continuous process which happens in response to several pressures over a period [17; 18]. Therefore, a mutation specific study in the genome of the virus specially in the conserved region must be conducted to identity and estimate of the mutational process of the virus and their adaptability to caused disease in subsequent out brakes [19; 20]. Interhost dengue virus (DENV) have been considered to influence viral fitness and disease pathogenesis [21; 22]. Generally, few viral genes of DENV virus are used to understand the genetic variations [23; 24; 25]. it is important to note that the intrahost diversity was also observed in the antigenically different domains of virus [1; 2]. The genetic diversity, of the virus is due to the mutation in the virus genome[1; 2]. In this process of

mutation virus species evolve their self for a better adapted survival and more strong pathogenesis [2; 26]. Our data will be elucidating the variations in CprM region of gene of various serotypes of dengue virus which may help in understanding the intrahost diversity and may play and important information in understanding the relationship between epidemiological and pathophysiological features of the disease [12; 27].

The epidemiology of various serotype stains of dengue virus in the many part of the India has been constantly changing [28; 29]. Due to ever increasing numbers of dengue cases it becomes vital to understand the genetic various in this virus circulating in a region at a particular time [28; 29]. Notably, there are is very less reports on the genetic diversity patterns of dengue virus from the Kannur district of Kerala in the year of 2019 due to various regions. Furthermore, a deep understanding about the molecular basis of genetic diversity of dengue virus in this region almost very limited. The genotyping of various serotypes of DENV, based on *CprM* has been widely used to understand and establish the molecular diversity of this virus [30]. The CprM gene based phylogenetic trees provide a similar topology and no discrepancy among the genotypes/sub lineages [30]. We adopted a CprM gene sequencing approach to identify the genetic mutations in this region [3; 23]. Using this approach, we sequenced CprM region of three different dengue serotypes and their mutational studied was defined [16]. Therefore, considering all the above facts mentioned above the present study was undertaken during August 2019-October 2019 to understand the genetic nature of circulating DENV in and around the Pariyaram medical college, Kannur, Kerala, India by sequencing the *CprM* gene of various serotypes [29; 30].

2. Materials and methods:

2.1. Details of patients' samples used in the study

Dengue fever suspected individuals visiting the **Pariyaram Medical College, Kerala India, hospital** which is recently named as **Government Medical College Kannur, Pariyaram,** OPD from Aug 2019 to October 2019 with an acute undifferentiated fever within 3 days of onset were tested using Dengue NS1 antigen rapid test. All the dengue NS1 positive individuals, were included in the study for the further confirmation of dengue by PCR and determination of serotype of dengue virus. For this purpose, 2 ml of venous blood samples was collected in EDTA vial and 1ml of blood in non EDTA vial and transported to ICMR Vector Control Research Centre, Puducherry by maintaining cold chain. Information on clinical symptoms and no of days from the onset of fever were recorded. The results of

rapid antigen test were communicated to the individuals immediately and managed as per the hospital guidelines.

2.2 Ethical Approval:

This study was approved by Institutional Human Ethics Committee (IHEC-0419/M) of ICMR- Vector Control Research Centre's. The informed consent was obtained from all the study participants in writing. All the data obtained were kept confidential and anonymised.

2.3. Dengue virus detection by RT-PCR:

Viral RNA was extracted from serum samples and using QIAmp viral RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. The RNA eluted was stored at 80 °C until further use. Titan One Step RT-PCR kit (Roche Diagnostics) was used to check the actual infection status of dengue virus using the primers targeting the capsid pre-membrane membrane region (Lanciotti et al., 1992). In this reaction viral RNA is reverse transcribed to cDNA and then to DNA in single tube using the enzyme mix which contains the reverse transcriptase and taq polymerase enzymes. The PCR reaction contains 5 μ l of extracted RNA, 20 pM of each of the primers, and 5 μ l of buffer, 0.5 μ l of enzyme mix (Roche Diagnostics) and the reaction volume was adjusted to 25 μ l. Reverse transcription PCR was performed at 50 °C for 30 min followed by an initial denaturation for 5 min at 94°C and 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 50°C for 1 min and elongation at 68°C for 1 min with a final elongation at 68°C for 8 min. The PCR products were run on agarose gel electrophoresis to verify the amplicon size of 511 bp

2.4. Serotyping of Dengue virus:

The samples which were positive for capsid precursor membrane region of 511 bo were further subjected to semi-nested PCR to determine the dengue serotypes using the four dengue serotype primers TS1, TS2, TS3 and TS4 targeting the CprM region. The PCR reaction was set up as per Lanciotti et al., 1992 with the PCR conditions of 94^{0} C for 2.0 min followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 94^{0} C for 1 min, annealing at 55^{0} for 1 min and extension t 72 for 2 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed in 2% agarose gel and was documented in GELSTAN gel documentation system.

2.5 Sequencing of dengue virus serotypes

The serotyped PCR products visualised under UV light were cut from the agarose gel using x-tracta Tool (Sigma Aldrich). The excised DNA fragments were further refined using nucleospin gel and by using PCR clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel) and quantified for determining the purity and concentration. Cycle sequencing of the different serotyped DNA bands was accomplished using BigDye[™] Terminator v3.1 Kit used for Cycle Sequencing (Thermo fisher Scientific) . The cycle sequenced products were purified by NucleoSEQ columns (MAcherey-Nagel) and analysed in Genetic analyser 3130X1 (Applied Bio systems)

2.6. Sequence analysis

Sequences obtained were edited using Chromas pro V.2.1.8 and blast search against the GENBANK database. The blast hit sequences were aligned using Bioedit V 7.0.5.3 to check for the variation in the sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of the different serotype sequences obtained from this study was performed along with the genbank dengue serotype isolates using Mega V.7.0. Dengue virus sequences obtained from Dengue positive samples in the present study were blast searched and the blast hit sequences retrieved from GenBank databases were subjected to multiple sequences alignment using Muscle. Phylogenetic tree was constructed using Maximum Likelihood method based on Tamura Nei model in MEGA 7.0 software. The robustness of the tree was assessed with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The data is represented as per the requirements of the experiment or otherwise specified. The software GraphPad prism 5 was taken in use for analysing data wherever needed.

3. Results:

3.1. Dengue Virus detection and serotype determination: Of the 25 samples positive for dengue NS1 antigen, samples were positive for dengue virus by RT-PCR assay. All the samples positive by reverse transcriptase assay were serotyped and of the samples. All the four serotypes were found in the samples cDNA synthesis and synthesis and multiplexing of PCR gives four different serotypes of DENGUE virus. Dengue NS1 positive samples were subjected to Reverse Transcriptase PCR targeting Capsid Precursor membrane (CprM) region using the primers specific to this region. An amplicon size of 511 bp was amplified. Dengue serotyping was performed using the primers specific to CprM region which can distinguish all the four serotypes of dengue by a Semi nested PCR. Of the 25 samples 18 samples were found confirmed with dengue infections (Table No. 2). The four distinct serotypes were present in the samples (Fig. No. 1). Serotype specific amplicons were excised

from the agarose gel and cycle sequencing reaction was accomplished by Big dye terminator cycle sequencing kit, V.3.1. The sequences were edited using Chromas Pro. Forward and reverse sequences were assembles using Bio Edit software and the sequences were blast searched against the Genbank nucleotide database. DENV-1, DENV-2 and DENV-3 were found to be 100% identical to the respective serotypes in the GenBank nucleotide database while DENV-4 alone had variation in the sequences. This variation needs to be confirmed by multiples sequence alignment with other DENV-4 sequences. The accession numbers of GenBank are OM510975, OM510976, OM510977, OM510978, OM542335, OM572553, OM572554. These are CprM gene sequences obtained in the present study.

3.2. Assessment of percent prevalence and coinfection among the positive samples obtained by the serotyping

Before analysing the serotypes, we have done diagnostic PCR and confirmed that, which samples is positive for dengue or not. Out of total samples obtained from the Kannur medical college which were confirmed, NS1 positive, rapid antigen test, only 77% were found positive for dengue using PCR. All the four serotypes were found to be present in the sample. Serotype 1 and 3 was the predominant type (33.3 %) compared to other serotypes. As we know that co- infection of dengue virus is an important phenomenon to describe the intrahost compatibility and fitness of different serotypes of dengue virus. Further, to understand the coinfection percentage prevalence (Fig. No. 2 A and B), we have analysed our serotype specific data and found that a small number of serotypes also present among the positive samples. Coinfection of serotype 1 and 4 was highest percentage (11.1 %) compared to serotype 2 and serotype 3 (5.5 %).

3.3 Assessment of genetic mutation in the CprM region and their correlation

Genetic mutations in virus genes are very important which are very important for virus to adapt in various situations [31; 32; 33]. Therefore, next, in order to categorise the phylogenetic similarity and dissimilarity we have constructed a phylogenetic tree and placed our sequences [34]. Phylogenetic tree constructed with dengue virus sequences obtained from dengue virus positive patients showed greater than 98.97 % similarity with GenBank isolates and the DENV-4, DENV-3 and DENV-2 sequences formed separate cluster. Two different strains were found among the DENV-3 sequences and were found to cluster separately with GenBank isolates. This is due to the variation in the nucleotide sequence of Cap PrM gene. Sequence variation among the four DENV-3 sequences were shown at position 235 (C->T)

and 322 (G->T) and revealed that 2 of sequences were like Delhi and Pune isolates while the other 2 were like Mumbai isolates (Fig. No. 3). These variations did not result in amino acid change and of synonymous mutations.

3.4. Analysis of gene mutations to their amino acid change in the protein and their antigenic analysis.

Virus fitness also depends on the structure of the virus protein capsid and outer membrane which in turns depends on the amino acid change in the virus protein [35]. We have further analysed the all serotypes mentioned that is there is any change in the amino acid sequence of the serotype or not [35]. We found that, there is almost no change in the amino acid change in the all three serotypes of the virus. Phylogenetic analysis of the obtained serotypes and their similarity with existing serotypes. In addition to the protein mutation we have also assessed the phylogenetic analysis of the sequences we obtained that, these serotypes are somewhat closely related to the existing reported genotypes in India and no new genotypes was observed [34] (Fig. No.).

3.5. Phylogenetic analysis of all the serotypes obtained in the study

After the identification of the serotypes, we have done the genotypic analysis of each serotype (Fig. No. 5 to Fig. No. 8). In the molecular phylogenetic analysis, by maximum likelihood methods the bootstrap support value suggested that dengue serotype 2 (Gene bank accession no. OM572553, isolate DENV-2_P9, and OM572554_ isolate DENV-2_PA15) shares a close relationship with the Genotype-4. It is important to note that, DENV-2 was previously closer to a different clade which has been now shifted. The serotype-1 fall in the genotype-5 which is also showing some little difference from the existing strains reported from the various regions from India. Similarly, Dengue serotype-3 isolate DENV-3_PA12, OM510977, isolate DENV-3_PA19 and OM510978_ isolate DENV-3_PA21) fall under the genotype-3 and a little genotypic shift is seen in this type 3 serotype of dengue virus. The type 4 serotypes with the (GenBank accession no. OM542335_ isolate DENV-4_P2) falls like the genotype-I but having distinct culture and also little deviate from existing reported dengue viruses type -4.

4. Discussion:

In the present study, we have accomplished CprM region sequencing of the dengue virus to understand the prevalence and genetic diversity of the dengue virus in the patients from Kerala, India [27; 36]. This CprM gene-based sequencing may clarify the various genotypes

and serotypes of dengue virus prevalent in a region at a time [15; 37]. The information generated in this study helped understand the gene-specific differences of dengue virus among the different patients to elucidate the linkages with disease severity and the pathogenesis [38; 39]. It is important to note that, Dengue virus (DENV) is an arbovirus and transit from human to mosquito and vice versa [39]. How, DENV adapt in the different hosts is an important question and the answer perhaps lies in the gene mutations. Therefore, we used CprM region sequencing and estimated single-nucleotide variants in these virus strains of different serotypes [40; 41]. This allowed us to determine the mutations to the collective fitness of the viruses [39].

There have been many out brakes in Kerala of dengue viral disease with a major one in the year 2003. Since then all districts of Kerala observe many out brakes during the various rainy seasons. It is surprising to see, since the year 2007 there have been many seasons in which the dengue cases are continuously increasing with a maximum increase in the year of 2010. Notably the Kerala state has now become the thirst most affected state of the India. The *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes are a plenty population in the state of Kerala. In this study, we obtained the **four distinct serotypes** prevalent in and around the Pariyaram medical collage Kannur, Kerala State. We found the DENV-1 and DENV-3, serotype circulating in Kerala is considered endemic in Kerala and with little to no information on its status in Pariyaram, Kerala India [42; 43]. In every season the main source of information of different serotypes are from field caught mosquitos and serological surveys in humans.

It is very important to note that, currently only licensed vaccine, CYD-TDV (known by trade name Dengvaxia and made by Sanofi Pasteur, Lyon, France) needs serostatus of the area and prevailing dengue serotypes [44]. This makes the importance of existing serotypes in the area as safety issues linked with higher rate of hospitalization may happen for individuals who have never been infected with dengue before [45]. Further in is important to note that, co-infection with more than one serotypes during dengue viral disease enhances the complexity for the diagnosis of the virus. Another important mechanism of the dengue pathogenesis is antibody dependent enhancement or ADE [45]. The ADE occurs when patients contract a heterotypic secondary dengue virus infection and make additional complication for effective treatment of patients [46]. The development of Directly Active Antivirals (DAA) are an important area where scientists are trying to make some anti-dengue drugs which can suppress the all kinds of genetically diverse genotypes and/or serotypes of dengue virus [47;

48]. However, tremendous efforts of the scientists to find out some antiviral therapy, there is no anti dengue drugs in the market presently. It is well known that; beneficial mutations generally map to intrinsically disordered domains of the virus which are clustered to a specific part in the genome [2; 49]. Several studies demonstrated that, the continuous influx of several mutations in the direction of virus adaptation [22; 40]. Further, it is important to note that, phenotypically redundant adaptive alleles together enhance the host-specific DENV adaptation [50].

It has now been widely adapted that coinfection with dengue serotype alone or in combination with different virus cause immunocompromise stage and or the immune dysfunctions resulting in the more severe complications [51; 52]. In the present manuscript we have obtained the co-infections which in turn may points towards the heterogeneous ADE in dengue infections [53]. In the present, study we have obtained that, coinfection of serotype 1 and 4 was highest percentage (11.1 %) compared to serotype 2 and serotype 3 (5.5 %) [54]. This co-infection and its combination is still less studied in terms of immunopathogenesis and need extensive research is needed to further clarify the findings, however, some of the studies have corelated it with the severity of the disease [55]. It is important to know that the similar to the present study the coinfection (serotype-3 with serotype-2) was observed in the Cameron in 2021 which was suspected towards increased symptoms and severity of disease [54; 56]. In another study from the brazil, it was reported that, serotype-1 is more able to case severity compared to other serotypes, suggesting an important aspect of comparative severity among four distinct serotypes [57]. The immune dysfunctions and immune compromise stages in coinfections are considered due to various reasons. One such region towards immunocompromise stage is that, it impairs the neutralizing antibody and CD4⁺ T Cell responses which indirectly allows the one virus over the others. The different time of infections and antibody dependent enhancements (ADE) are also considered to be an important aspect in this concept of disease severity and immunopathogenesis.

As explained in many studies, Dengue virus mutation is an important phenomenon in terms of virus survival and to cause the disease severity [58]. In the present study, it was obtained in DENV-3 sequences that, mutation at position 235 (C to T) and 322 (G to T) shows an important phenomenon which might be adopted by the virus to survive in the adverse conditions for under the environmental pressure [58]. This mutation is not causing any change in the protein amino acid and thus called synonymous [59]. In many study of viruses it has been found that, even the synonymous mutation can change the virus adaptability and

antigenicity, however the exact mechanism and understanding is still debated in this aspects and need extensive investigations [60]. Another aspect of synonymous mutation is the choice of codon by the dengue virus among its natural hosts is also described in many studies. It is described that, the dengue virus has the evolutionary distance among its natural hosts (*Homo sapiens*, *Pan troglodytes*, *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*) [61]. In further studies, it needs to be further analysed that these synonymous mutations are of which purpose and may be used as a source of information.

Conclusion:

The present study describes the prevalence of all the serotypes (DENV1 to DENV-4) circulating in patients in and around the Pariyaram medical college, Kerala, India. It was important to note that, a high level of mutations was observed in the CprM gene giving rise a high level of genetic diversity in all 4 different serotypes of dengue virus in this area. This suggests that a continuous monitoring of the dengue virus serotype is needed in this region for better prevention and therapeutic strategies. The genetic mutation also provides me with the phylogenetic understanding of various genotypes present in a time in this area of Kerala India. We also report that, co-circulation or co-infection of all four serotypes of DENV in Pariyaram district of Kerala, in the combination of DENV1 along with DENV-3 and DENV-2 in the combination with DENV-4. The results in this article provide a clear-cut understanding of dengue virus diversity in Kerala state of the India, however further study in this area would be vital and provide more deeper understanding of the dengue viral disease.

Acknowledgements:

Grant No: 61/16/2020-IMM/BMS from Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India, to Dr. Rituraj Niranjan, entitled "Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS): study on the role of blood matrix metalloproteinase-14 (MT1-MMP/MMP-14) associated to innate immune cells and its contribution to endothelial dysfunctions" as an extramural Ad-hoc grant is also gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest: Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability Data: The data presented in this manuscript will be available to others based on the valid request.

References:

- [1] M. Anoop, A. Issac, T. Mathew, S. Philip, N.A. Kareem, R. Unnikrishnan, and E. Sreekumar, Genetic characterization of dengue virus serotypes causing concurrent infection in an outbreak in Ernakulam, Kerala, South India. Indian J Exp Biol 48 (2010) 849-57.
- [2] J.N. Tian, R.H. Wu, S.L. Chen, C.T. Chen, and A. Yueh, Mutagenesis of the dengue virus NS4A protein reveals a novel cytosolic N-terminal domain responsible for virus-induced cytopathic effects and intramolecular interactions within the N-terminus of NS4A. J Gen Virol 100 (2019) 457-470.
- [3] I. Isa, I.S. Ndams, M. Aminu, G. Chechet, A. Dotzauer, and A.Y. Simon, Genetic diversity of Dengue virus serotypes circulating among Aedes mosquitoes in selected regions of northeastern Nigeria. One Health 13 (2021) 100348.
- [4] R. Kraivong, N. Punyadee, M.K. Liszewski, J.P. Atkinson, and P. Avirutnan, Dengue and the Lectin Pathway of the Complement System. Viruses 13 (2021).
- [5] X.N. Lim, C. Shan, J.K. Marzinek, H. Dong, T.S. Ng, J.S.G. Ooi, G. Fibriansah, J. Wang, C.S. Verma, P.J. Bond, P.Y. Shi, and S.M. Lok, Molecular basis of dengue virus serotype 2 morphological switch from 29 degrees C to 37 degrees C. PLoS Pathog 15 (2019) e1007996.
- [6] A. Urakami, M.M. Ngwe Tun, M.L. Moi, A. Sakurai, M. Ishikawa, S. Kuno, R. Ueno, K. Morita, and W. Akahata, An Envelope-Modified Tetravalent Dengue Virus-Like-Particle Vaccine Has Implications for Flavivirus Vaccine Design. J Virol 91 (2017).
- [7] J. Xu, X. Xie, N. Ye, J. Zou, H. Chen, M.A. White, P.Y. Shi, and J. Zhou, Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Substituted 4,6-Dihydrospiro[[1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-b]pyridine-7,3'indoline]-2',5(3H)-dione Analogues as Potent NS4B Inhibitors for the Treatment of Dengue Virus Infection. J Med Chem 62 (2019) 7941-7960.
- [8] F. Gehrke, K. Cardoso Gois, B. da Costa Alves Aguiar Reis, G. Zorello Laporta, and F.L. Affonso Fonseca, Dengue 2 serotype and yellow fever coinfection. Access Microbiol 3 (2021) 000300.
- [9] J. Sabat, S. Subhadra, B. Thakur, M. Panda, S. Panda, S.S. Pati, L.M. Ho, S. Dixit, S.K. Rathore, S.K. Kar, and B. Dwibedi, Molecular and phylogenetic analysis of the dengue strains circulating in Odisha, India. Virusdisease 30 (2019) 380-386.
- [10] H. Tsujimoto, K.A. Hanley, A. Sundararajan, N.P. Devitt, F.D. Schilkey, and I.A. Hansen, Dengue virus serotype 2 infection alters midgut and carcass gene expression in the Asian tiger mosquito, Aedes albopictus. PLoS One 12 (2017) e0171345.
- [11] D.R. Martinez, S.W. Metz, and R.S. Baric, Dengue Vaccines: The Promise and Pitfalls of Antibody-Mediated Protection. Cell Host Microbe 29 (2021) 13-22.
- [12] S. Shrivastava, D. Tiraki, A. Diwan, S.K. Lalwani, M. Modak, A.C. Mishra, and V.A. Arankalle, Cocirculation of all the four dengue virus serotypes and detection of a novel clade of DENV-4 (genotype I) virus in Pune, India during 2016 season. PLoS One 13 (2018) e0192672.
- [13] S. Marcombe, P. Thammavong, P. Luangamath, S. Chonephetsarath, N. Phommavanh, K. Lakeomany, S. Nilaxay, Z. Rahmani, P.J. Saverton, O.H. Abdullateef, J. Forward, A.E. Jacob, S. Khadam, W. Ali, C. Boer, H. Kakinuma, J. Hawkins, R. Longstreeth, N.M. Portwood, M. Smee, N. Brown, N.C. Kuyucu, S. Lechmere, G. Stieger, S. Maithaviphet, S. Nambanya, P.T. Brey, and A.K. Jones, Malaria and Dengue Mosquito Vectors from Lao PDR Show a Lack of the rdl Mutant Allele Responsible for Cyclodiene Insecticide Resistance. J Med Entomol 57 (2020) 815-823.

- [14] A. Murugesan, D. Aridoss, S. Senthilkumar, L. Sivathanu, R. Sekar, E.M. Shankar, and E. Manickan, Molecular diversity of dengue virus serotypes 1-4 during an outbreak of acute dengue virus infection in Theni, India. Indian J Med Microbiol 38 (2020) 401-408.
- [15] H. Kukreti, P.K. Dash, M. Parida, A. Chaudhary, P. Saxena, R.S. Rautela, V. Mittal, M. Chhabra, D. Bhattacharya, S. Lal, P.V. Rao, and A. Rai, Phylogenetic studies reveal existence of multiple lineages of a single genotype of DENV-1 (genotype III) in India during 1956-2007. Virol J 6 (2009) 1.
- [16] K. Poltep, J. Phadungsombat, E.E. Nakayama, N. Kosoltanapiwat, B. Hanboonkunupakarn, W. Wiriyarat, T. Shioda, and P. Leaungwutiwong, Genetic Diversity of Dengue Virus in Clinical Specimens from Bangkok, Thailand, during 2018-2020: Co-Circulation of All Four Serotypes with Multiple Genotypes and/or Clades. Trop Med Infect Dis 6 (2021).
- [17] T. Saswat, N. Sahoo, S. Muduli, N.K. Debata, S. Chattopadhyay, and S. Chattopadhyay, Epidemiological trends and molecular dynamics of dengue, chikungunya virus infection, coinfection, and other undifferentiated fever during 2015-2016 in Odisha, India. J Med Virol 91 (2019) 163-170.
- [18] M.J.A. Tan, N.G. Brown, K.W.K. Chan, J.Y. Jin, S.Y. Zu Kong, and S.G. Vasudevan, Mutations in the cytoplasmic domain of dengue virus NS4A affect virus fitness and interactions with other non-structural proteins. J Gen Virol 101 (2020) 941-953.
- [19] J.W. Perry, Y. Chen, E. Speliotes, and A.W. Tai, Functional Analysis of the Dengue Virus Genome Using an Insertional Mutagenesis Screen. J Virol 92 (2018).
- [20] L. Mo, J. Shi, X. Guo, Z. Zeng, N. Hu, J. Sun, M. Wu, H. Zhou, and Y. Hu, Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of a dengue virus serotype 3 isolated from a Chinese traveler returned from Laos. Virol J 15 (2018) 113.
- [21] M.M. Ngwe Tun, A.K. Kyaw, T. Nabeshima, A.M. Soe, K.M. Nwe, K.K.K. Htet, T.H. Aung, T.T. Htwe, T. Aung, S.S. Myaing, T.T. Mar, E.P. Lwin, H.M. Thu, K.Z. Thant, and K. Morita, Detection of genotype-1 of dengue virus serotype 3 for the first time and complete genome analysis of dengue viruses during the 2018 epidemic in Mandalay, Upper Myanmar. PLoS One 16 (2021) e0251314.
- [22] M.C. Torres, M.C. Lima de Mendonca, C. Damasceno Dos Santos Rodrigues, V. Fonseca, M.S. Ribeiro, A.P. Brandao, R. Venancio da Cunha, A.I. Dias, L. Santos Vilas Boas, A.C. Felix, M. Alves Pereira, L.M. de Oliveira Pinto, A. Sakuntabhai, A.M. Bispo de Filippis, and C. On Behalf Of ZikAction, Dengue Virus Serotype 2 Intrahost Diversity in Patients with Different Clinical Outcomes. Viruses 13 (2021).
- [23] N.S. Eyre, S.M. Johnson, A.A. Eltahla, M. Aloi, A.L. Aloia, C.A. McDevitt, R.A. Bull, and M.R. Beard, Genome-Wide Mutagenesis of Dengue Virus Reveals Plasticity of the NS1 Protein and Enables Generation of Infectious Tagged Reporter Viruses. J Virol 91 (2017).
- [24] S. Lequime, V. Richard, V.M. Cao-Lormeau, and L. Lambrechts, Full-genome dengue virus sequencing in mosquito saliva shows lack of convergent positive selection during transmission by Aedes aegypti. Virus Evol 3 (2017) vex031.
- [25] A. Gaye, T. Ndiaye, M. Sy, A.B. Deme, A.B. Thiaw, A. Sene, C. Ndiaye, Y. Diedhiou, A.M. Mbaye, I. Ndiaye, C. Tomkins-Tinch, J.F. Gomis, A.S. Badiane, B. MacInnis, D.J. Park, M. Ndiaye, N. Sy, P.C. Sabeti, K.J. Siddle, and D. Ndiaye, Genomic investigation of a dengue virus outbreak in Thies, Senegal, in 2018. Sci Rep 11 (2021) 10321.
- [26] T. Tamura, J. Zhang, V. Madan, A. Biswas, M.P. Schwoerer, T.R. Cafiero, B.L. Heller, W. Wang, and A. Ploss, Generation and characterization of genetically and antigenically diverse infectious clones of dengue virus serotypes 1-4. Emerg Microbes Infect 11 (2022) 227-239.
- [27] N. Parveen, A. Islam, A. Tazeen, M. Hisamuddin, M. Abdullah, I.H. Naqvi, M.I. Faizan, D. Gulyani, A. Ahmed, and S. Parveen, Circulation of single serotype of Dengue Virus (DENV-3) in New Delhi, India during 2016: A change in the epidemiological trend. J Infect Public Health 12 (2019) 49-56.

- [28] P.N. Yergolkar, S.S. Cherian, S. Jadhav, C.G. Raut, and D.T. Mourya, Genetic characterization of dengue virus types 1 and 2 in India, with emphasis on the viruses circulating in Karnataka. Indian J Med Res 146 (2017) 662-665.
- [29] F. Touret, C. Baronti, O. Goethals, M. Van Loock, X. de Lamballerie, and G. Querat, Phylogenetically based establishment of a dengue virus panel, representing all available genotypes, as a tool in dengue drug discovery. Antiviral Res 168 (2019) 109-113.
- [30] N. Afreen, I.H. Naqvi, S. Broor, A. Ahmed, S.N. Kazim, R. Dohare, M. Kumar, and S. Parveen, Evolutionary Analysis of Dengue Serotype 2 Viruses Using Phylogenetic and Bayesian Methods from New Delhi, India. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10 (2016) e0004511.
- [31] M.S. Al-Saeed, S.A. El-Kafrawy, S.A. Farraj, T.L. Al-Subhi, N.A. Othman, A. Alsultan, H.G. Ben Helaby, M.M. Alshawdari, A.M. Hassan, R.N. Charrel, E.I. Azhar, and A.M. Hashem, Phylogenetic characterization of circulating Dengue and Alkhumra Hemorrhagic Fever viruses in western Saudi Arabia and lack of evidence of Zika virus in the region: A retrospective study, 2010-2015. J Med Virol 89 (2017) 1339-1346.
- [32] P.R. Barrero, and A.S. Mistchenko, Genetic analysis of dengue virus type 3 isolated in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Virus Res 135 (2008) 83-8.
- [33] R. Bellone, S. Lequime, H. Jupille, G.P. Goertz, F. Aubry, L. Mousson, G. Piorkowski, P.S. Yen, G. Gabiane, M. Vazeille, A. Sakuntabhai, G.P. Pijlman, X. de Lamballerie, L. Lambrechts, and A.B. Failloux, Experimental adaptation of dengue virus 1 to Aedes albopictus mosquitoes by in vivo selection. Sci Rep 10 (2020) 18404.
- [34] A. Chakravarti, M.S. Chauhan, S. Kumar, and A. Ashraf, Genotypic characterization of dengue virus strains circulating during 2007-2009 in New Delhi. Arch Virol 158 (2013) 571-81.
- [35] S. Chetry, S.A. Khan, P. Dutta, B. Apum, P.S. Medhi, D.C. Saikia, T. Temsu, L. Mawii, and B.C. Marak, Dengue virus serotypes and genotypic characterization from northeast India. J Med Virol 91 (2019) 918-927.
- [36] P.F. Sharmila, K. Vanathy, B. Rajamani, V. Kaliaperumal, and R. Dhodapkar, Emergence of dengue virus 4 as the predominant serotype during the outbreak of 2017 in South India. Indian J Med Microbiol 37 (2019) 393-400.
- [37] P. Rai, S. Kille, A. Kotian, B.K. Kumar, V.K. Deekshit, M.S. Ramakrishna, I. Karunasagar, and I. Karunasagar, Molecular investigation of the dengue outbreak in Karnataka, South India, reveals co-circulation of all four dengue virus serotypes. Infect Genet Evol 92 (2021) 104880.
- [38] H. Kukreti, A. Chaudhary, R.S. Rautela, R. Anand, V. Mittal, M. Chhabra, D. Bhattacharya, S. Lal, and A. Rai, Emergence of an independent lineage of dengue virus type 1 (DENV-1) and its cocirculation with predominant DENV-3 during the 2006 dengue fever outbreak in Delhi. Int J Infect Dis 12 (2008) 542-9.
- [39] M.H. Eldigail, H.A. Abubaker, F.A. Khalid, T.M. Abdallah, H.H. Musa, M.E. Ahmed, G.K. Adam, M.I. Elbashir, and I.E. Aradaib, Association of genotype III of dengue virus serotype 3 with disease outbreak in Eastern Sudan, 2019. Virol J 17 (2020) 118.
- [40] M.C. Torres, A.L. Martins Karl, M. Muller Pereira da Silva, L.E. Dardenne, and A.M. Bispo de Filippis, In Silico Analysis of Dengue Virus Serotype 2 Mutations Detected at the Intrahost Level in Patients with Different Clinical Outcomes. Microbiol Spectr 9 (2021) e0025621.
- [41] K. Vaddadi, C. Gandikota, and M. Venkataramana, Complete genome characterization and evolutionary analysis of serotype-4 associated with severe dengue. Epidemiol Infect 145 (2017) 1443-1450.
- [42] M. Anoop, A.J. Mathew, B. Jayakumar, A. Issac, S. Nair, R. Abraham, M.G. Anupriya, and E. Sreekumar, Complete genome sequencing and evolutionary analysis of dengue virus serotype 1 isolates from an outbreak in Kerala, South India. Virus Genes 45 (2012) 1-13.
- [43] A. Canakoglu, P. Pinoli, A. Bernasconi, T. Alfonsi, D.P. Melidis, and S. Ceri, ViruSurf: an integrated database to investigate viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 49 (2021) D817-D824.

- [44] W.R. Baldwin, H.A. Giebler, J.L. Stovall, G. Young, K.J. Bohning, H.J. Dean, J.A. Livengood, and C.Y. Huang, Single dose of chimeric dengue-2/Zika vaccine candidate protects mice and nonhuman primates against Zika virus. Nat Commun 12 (2021) 7320.
- [45] P. Bhatt, S.P. Sabeena, M. Varma, and G. Arunkumar, Current Understanding of the Pathogenesis of Dengue Virus Infection. Curr Microbiol 78 (2021) 17-32.
- [46] S. Cabezas-Falcon, A.J. Norbury, J. Hulme-Jones, S. Klebe, P. Adamson, P.A. Rudd, S. Mahalingam, L.C. Ong, S. Alonso, D.L. Gordon, and J.M. Carr, Changes in complement alternative pathway components, factor B and factor H during dengue virus infection in the AG129 mouse. J Gen Virol 102 (2021).
- [47] S.J. Barigye, J.M. Garcia de la Vega, Y. Perez-Castillo, and J.A. Castillo-Garit, Evolutionary algorithm-based generation of optimum peptide sequences with dengue virus inhibitory activity. Future Med Chem 13 (2021) 993-1000.
- [48] S. Chakraborti, J. Chhibber-Goel, and A. Sharma, Drug targeting of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in Anopheles species and Aedes aegypti that cause malaria and dengue. Parasit Vectors 14 (2021) 605.
- [49] B. Yang, and K.D. Yang, Immunopathogenesis of Different Emerging Viral Infections: Evasion, Fatal Mechanism, and Prevention. Front Immunol 12 (2021) 690976.
- [50] M.Y. Carrillo-Hernandez, J. Ruiz-Saenz, L. Jaimes-Villamizar, S.M. Robledo-Restrepo, and M. Martinez-Gutierrez, Phylogenetic and evolutionary analysis of dengue virus serotypes circulating at the Colombian-Venezuelan border during 2015-2016 and 2018-2019. PLoS One 16 (2021) e0252379.
- [51] B.E. Dewi, L. Naiggolan, D.H. Putri, N. Rachmayanti, S. Albar, N.T. Indriastuti, W. Sjamsuridzal, and T.M. Sudiro, Characterization of dengue virus serotype 4 infection in Jakarta, Indonesia. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 45 (2014) 53-61.
- [52] A. Dhanoa, S.S. Hassan, C.F. Ngim, C.F. Lau, T.S. Chan, N.A. Adnan, W.W. Eng, H.M. Gan, and G. Rajasekaram, Impact of dengue virus (DENV) co-infection on clinical manifestations, disease severity and laboratory parameters. BMC Infect Dis 16 (2016) 406.
- [53] U.T.N. Senaratne, K. Murugananthan, P. Sirisena, J.M. Carr, and F. Noordeen, Dengue virus coinfections with multiple serotypes do not result in a different clinical outcome compared to mono-infections. Epidemiol Infect 148 (2020) e119.
- [54] H. Simo Tchetgna, F. Sado Yousseu, B. Kamgang, A. Tedjou, P.J. McCall, and C.S. Wondji, Concurrent circulation of dengue serotype 1, 2 and 3 among acute febrile patients in Cameroon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 15 (2021) e0009860.
- [55] K. Vaddadi, C. Gandikota, P.K. Jain, V.S.V. Prasad, and M. Venkataramana, Co-circulation and coinfections of all dengue virus serotypes in Hyderabad, India 2014. Epidemiol Infect 145 (2017) 2563-2574.
- [56] D. Savargaonkar, S. Sinha, B. Srivastava, B.N. Nagpal, A. Sinha, A. Shamim, R. Das, V. Pande, A.R. Anvikar, and N. Valecha, An epidemiological study of dengue and its coinfections in Delhi. Int J Infect Dis 74 (2018) 41-46.
- [57] B.A.M. Rocha, A.O. Guilarde, A. Argolo, M.P. Tassara, L.A. da Silveira, I.C. Junqueira, M.D. Turchi, V.C.R. Feres, and C.M.T. Martelli, Dengue-specific serotype related to clinical severity during the 2012/2013 epidemic in centre of Brazil. Infect Dis Poverty 6 (2017) 116.
- [58] X. Wang, D. Ma, X. Huang, L. Li, D. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Qiu, Y. Pan, J. Chen, J. Xi, X. Shan, and Q. Sun, Complete genome analysis of dengue virus type 3 isolated from the 2013 dengue outbreak in Yunnan, China. Virus Res 238 (2017) 164-170.
- [59] R.G. Huber, X.N. Lim, W.C. Ng, A.Y.L. Sim, H.X. Poh, Y. Shen, S.Y. Lim, K.B. Sundstrom, X. Sun, J.G. Aw, H.K. Too, P.H. Boey, A. Wilm, T. Chawla, M.M. Choy, L. Jiang, P.F. de Sessions, X.J. Loh, S. Alonso, M. Hibberd, N. Nagarajan, E.E. Ooi, P.J. Bond, O.M. Sessions, and Y. Wan, Structure mapping of dengue and Zika viruses reveals functional long-range interactions. Nat Commun 10 (2019) 1408.

- [60] C. Klungthong, C. Zhang, M.P. Mammen, Jr., S. Ubol, and E.C. Holmes, The molecular epidemiology of dengue virus serotype 4 in Bangkok, Thailand. Virology 329 (2004) 168-79.
- [61] J.H. Zhou, J. Zhang, D.J. Sun, Q. Ma, H.T. Chen, L.N. Ma, Y.Z. Ding, and Y.S. Liu, The distribution of synonymous codon choice in the translation initiation region of dengue virus. PLoS One 8 (2013) e77239.

Figure legends

Figure No.1

Determination of specific serotype present in the samples by semi nested PCR technique which is followed by the agarose gel electrophoresis for the identification of proper size of amplicon. as shown in the figures A, B, C, serotype-1 (TS1) 482bp, serotype-2 (TS2) -119bp, serotype-3 (TS3) -290bp, serotype-4 (TS4)-392 bp. **A.** Determination of different serotypes of dengue following the protocol of Lanciotti et al. 1992, with desired modifications. As described in the figure we have got all four serotypes of dengue. the percent calculation of dengue is also derived and presented elsewhere. Figure also showing one of the representative co-infections of serotype 1 (ST1) with serotype-4, ST-4. 100 bp ladder was used to determine the size of the amplicon. **B.** agarose gel image showing one of the co-infections present in the samples of serotype 2 (ST2) with serotype-3, ST-3.

Figure No.2

A. Percent prevalence of different serotypes present in the patients coming to the Pariyaram medical college Kerala during the august-October 2019. B. Percent prevalence of coinfections of serotypes present in the patients coming to the Pariyaram medical college, Kerala during the august-October 2019.

Figure No.3

Fig. Sequence alignment of the CprM gene sequences of DENV-3 isolates obtained from the study (OM510975.1 to OM510978.1) with GenBank DENV-3 isolates of India circulating during 2016-2018. Sequence variation among the four DENV-3 sequences were shown at position 235 (C->T) and 322 (G->T) and revealed that 2 of sequences were similar to Delhi and Pune isolates while the other 2 were similar to Mumbai isolates.

Figure 4.

Phylogenetic analysis for the determination of different **serotypes** using CprM gene sequences of all the four dengue serotypes obtained from this study and other DENV sequences from genbank database. Genbank sequences are indicated by the Genbank accession number, country and the year of isolation. Sequences from this study are indicated by black circles. Scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figure 5.

Phylogenetic analysis for **genotypic** determination of **DENV-1 serotypes** using CprM gene sequences of obtained from this study and other Genbank sequences (submitted latter). Genbank sequences are indicated by the Genbank accession number, country and the year of isolation. Sequences from this study are indicated by black circles. Scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figure 6.

The genotypic determination of DENV-2 serotypes using CprM gene sequences obtained from this study and other Genbank sequences. Genbank sequences are indicated by the Genbank accession number, country and the year of isolation. Sequences from this study are indicated by black circles. Scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figure 7.

Phylogenetic analysis for the determination of genotypes using CprM gene sequences of **DENV-3 serotypes** obtained from this study and other Genbank sequences. Genbank sequences are indicated by the Genbank accession number, country and the year of isolation. Sequences from this study are indicated by black circles. Scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figure 8.

Phylogenetic analysis for the determination of genotypes using CprM gene sequences of **DENV-4 serotypes** obtained from this study and other Genbank sequences. Genbank sequences are indicated by the Genbank accession number, country and the year of isolation. Sequences from this study are indicated by black circles. Scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figures:

Fig. No. 1

A.



TS1-482bp, TS2-119bp, TS3-290bp, TS4-392bp

В.



Fig. No. 2





Fig. No. 3

190

200

180

170

MG053158.1 Pune 2016	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MG053152.1 Pune 2016	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MG053157.1 Pune 2016	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MG053145.1 Pune 2016	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T	GATTG
MH271210.1 Delhi 2017	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G <mark>C</mark> G A A G A G A	T	GATTG
MH271209.1 Delhi 2017	<u>A C T G</u>	G A T C A C A G T T	G G <mark>C</mark> G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MH271208.1 Delhi 2017	A CGG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MH271207.1 Delhi 2017	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T	GATTG
MN365203.1 Mumbai 2018	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MN365211.1 Mumbai 2018	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MN365225.1 Mumbai 2018	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
MN365217.1 Mumbai 2018	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G <mark>C</mark> G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
OM510975.1 kerela 2019	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G <mark>C</mark> G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
OM510976.1 kerela 2019	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
OM510977.1 kerela 2019	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
OM510978.1 kerela 2019	ACTG	G A T C A C A G T T	G G C G A A G A G A	T T C T C A A A A G	GATTG
Clustal Consensus	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *
	210	220	230	240	
	<u></u>				
MG053158.1 Pune 2016	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G <mark>C</mark>	GTTCA
MG053152.1 Pune 2016	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>С С А А Т G А А А Т</mark>	TGGTCATGGC	G T T C A
MG053157.1 Pune 2016	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	TGGTTATGGC	GTTCA
MG053145.1 Pune 2016	ΤΑΑΑ	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>С С</mark> А А Т G А А А Т	TGGTTATGGC	G T T C A
MH271210.1 Delhi 2017	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	Τ G G T T A T G G C	GTTCA
MH271209.1 Delhi 2017	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	TGGTCATGGC	GTTCA
MH271208.1 Delhi 2017	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	TGGTTATGGC	GTTCA
MH271207.1 Delhi 2017	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	T G G T <mark>T</mark> A T G G <mark>C</mark>	GTTCA
MN365203.1 Mumbai 2018	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>C C A A T G A A A T</mark>	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G C	GTTCA
MN365211.1 Mumbai 2018	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>C C A A T G A A A T</mark>	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G C	GTTCA
MN365225.1 Mumbai 2018	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>С С А А Т G А А А Т</mark>	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G <mark>C</mark>	GTTCA
MN365217.1 Mumbai 2018	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>C C A A T G A A A T</mark>	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G C	GTTCA
OM510975.1 kerela 2019	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>C C A A T G A A A T</mark>	T G G T <mark>C</mark> A T G G <mark>C</mark>	GTTCA
OM510976.1 kerela 2019	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>С С А А Т Б А А А Т</mark>	TGGT <u>C</u> ATGGC	GTTCA
OM510977.1 kerela 2019	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	<mark>С С А А Т G А А А Т</mark>	TGGTTATGGC	GTTCA
OM510978.1 kerela 2019	TGAA	<mark>C G G C C A G G G A</mark>	C C A A T G A A A T	TGGTTATGGC	GTTCA
Clustal Consensus	* * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *
	250	260	270	280	
	1				
MG053158.1 Pune 2016	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MG053152.1 Pune 2016	AGCC	T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MG053157.1 Pune 2016	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	TCCACCAACA	GCAGG
MG053145.1 Pune 2016	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	TCCACCAACA	GCAGG
MH271210.1 Delhi 2017	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	TCCACCAACA	GCAGG
MH271209.1 Delhi 2017	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MH271208.1 Delhi 2017	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MH271207.1 Delhi 2017	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MN365203.1 Mumbai 2018	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MN365211.1 Mumbai 2018	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MN365225.1 Mumbai 2018	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	T C C A C C A A C A	GCAGG
MN365217.1 Mumbai 2018	AGCC	T T C C T T A G A T	T T C T G G C C A T	TCCACCAACA	GCAGG

AGCC TTCCTTAGAT TTCTGGCCAT TCCACCAACA GCAGGA

AGCC TTCCTTAGAT TTCTGGCCAT TCCACCAACA GCAGGA AGCC TTCCTTAGAT TTCTGGCCAT TCCACCAACA GCAGGA

TCCACCAACA GCAGGA

T T C T G G C C A T

MG053152.1 Pune MG053157.1 Pune MG053145.1 Pune MH271210.1 Delhi MH271209.1 Delhi MH271208.1 Delhi MH271207.1 Delhi MN365203.1 Mum MN365211.1 Mum MN365225.1 Mum MN365217.1 Mum OM510975.1 kerela 2019 OM510976.1 kerela 2019 OM510977.1 kerela 2019 OM510978.1 kerela 2019 **Clustal Consensus**

AGCC

T T C C T T A G A T



Fig. 5



0.01

Fig. No. 6



0.01



Fig. No. 8



0.01

Table No. 1

S.NO	AGE/SEX	TUBE ID GIVEN	NS1AG TEST	DIAGNOSTIC	SEROTYPE	
				PCR	IDENTIFIED	
1	77 /6					
1	/// F	PAI	+	-	-	
2	24/M	PS2	+	+	S1, S4	
3	40/M	PA3	•	+	S1	
4	28/F	PS10	•	•	-	
5	27/M	PS9	+	+	S2	
6	59/M	PA6	+	-	-	
7	22/M	PS7	+	+	S1	
8	62/F	PA8	-	+	S4	
9	55/F	PA9	+	+	S 4	
10	57/M	PA10	+	-	-	
11	47/F	PA11	-	+	S 3	
12	38/F	PA12	+	+	S 3	
13	55/F	PA13	+	+	\$2,\$3	
14	18/F	PS1	-	+	81	
15	40/M	PA15	+	+	82	
16	66/F	PA16	+	-	-	
17	32/M	PS12	+	+	S1	
18	29/M	PS11	+	+	81	
19	51/M	PA19	+	+	83	
20	12/F	PS6	+	+	83	
21	47/F	PA21	+	+	83	
22	20/M	PS4	+	+	S1	
23	26/M	PS8	+	-	-	
24	24/F	PS3	+	-	-	
25	12/F	PS5	+	+	S3	

Details of the patient's samples along with their respective serotypes.