Purification of Human β- and γ-actin from Budding Yeast
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Biochemical studies of human actin and its binding partners rely heavily on abundant and easily purified α-actin from skeletal muscle. Therefore, muscle actin has been used to evaluate and determine the activities of most actin regulatory proteins and there is an underlying concern that these proteins perform differently with actin present in non-muscle cells. To provide easily accessible and relatively abundant sources of human β- or γ-actin (i.e., cytoplasmic actins), we developed \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} strains that express each as their sole source of actin. Both β- or γ-actin purified in this system polymerize and interact with various binding partners, including profilin, mDia1 (formin), fascin, and thymosin-β4 (T\textsuperscript{β}4). Notably, T\textsuperscript{β}4 and profilin bind to β- or γ-actin with higher affinity than to α-actin, emphasizing the value of testing actin ligands with specific actin isoforms. These reagents will make specific isoforms of actin more accessible for future studies of actin regulation.

\textbf{Introduction}

The actin cytoskeleton is an essential, highly conserved, and abundant component of cells. Simple eukaryotes tend to express a single actin isoform, while humans display tissue and cell specific expression patterns. Although closely related, actin isoforms can subtly differ in biochemical properties related to polymer formation, nucleotide hydrolysis and exchange, and interactions with one or more essential regulatory proteins (Allen et al., 1996; Moradi et al., 2017; Namba et al., 1992; Perrin and Ervasti, 2010). Humans express six actin isoforms: α1, α2, α-cardiac, and γ2, which occur predominantly in skeletal, cardiac, and smooth muscle cells, and β and γ1 (γ henceforth) found predominantly in non-muscle cells and considered cytoplasmic isoforms of actin. Human β- and γ-actin are structurally divergent (Arora et al., 2023), yet differ by only four amino acids located within their first ten amino acids (Figure 1A). Various muscle tissues are the most common sources of actin for use in biochemical studies. Actin purified in this manner is present as a mixture of muscle isoforms and minor amounts of β or γ-actin. Characterizing specific isoforms of actin has been limited by accessibility and is critically important for understanding mechanisms of disease (Parker et al., 2020). Thus, it has been challenging to discern the biochemical properties of either β- and γ-actin in biochemical assays.

Producing recombinant human actin outside of eukaryotic cells is difficult due to the complex network of chaperones needed to properly fold actin, however several systems have been developed (Geissler et al., 1998; Grantham, 2020; Millán-Zambrano and Chávez, 2014; Schafer et al., 1998; Valpuesta et al., 2002). The pCold system permits the bacterial synthesis of recombinant tagged β-actin (Tamura et al., 2011). Actin isoforms expressed and purified from popular eukaryotic systems produce relatively large quantities of biochemically active actin (Bergeron et al., 2010; Bookwalter and Trybus, 2006; Ohki et al., 2009; Rutkevich et al., 2006; Yamashiro et al., 2014). While these and related purification methods have been adopted for various studies of normal and mutant versions of actin, preparations are often contaminated with low amounts (5-15\%) of host actin (Hundt et al., 2014; Müller et al., 2012; Müller et al., 2013; von der Ecken et al., 2016). Other systems use a combination of affinity tags and a direct fusion to the actin monomer binding protein thymosin-β4 (T\textsuperscript{β}4) to prevent the spurious polymerization or aggregation of recombinant actin and to facilitate isoform specific purification (A et al., 2020; Hatano et al., 2018; Hatano et al., 2020; Kijima et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2015; Noguchi et al., 2007). With the addition of NAA80 or SETD3 modifications this approach permits the isolation of actin in specific post translationally modified states, including N-acetylation, N-arginylation, and methylation of a conserved histidine residue (Arora et al., 2023; Hatano et al., 2018; Hatano et al., 2020). Additional approaches even permit the close preservation of native secondary modifications (Ceron et al., 2022). While each system requires post-purification processing, they provide a source of non-muscle, normal or mutant (including non-polymerizable), actin isoforms.

We have taken a different approach to generate pure human β- or γ-actin, engineering the yeast \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} to produce either isoform as their only source of actin. This technique was first pioneered to purify chicken β-actin from yeast, relying on hydroxylapatite chromatography to separate host and recombinant actin (Karlsso, 1988). A follow-up study showed that yeast could survive, albeit not well, with this as their sole actin source, although β-actin was not purified from these yeast strains (Karlsso et al., 1991). We have taken codon-optimized genes for human β- and γ-actin and expressed them in yeast lacking the resident actin gene, \textit{ACT1}. The resulting strains grow considerably...
β-actin and γ-actin are acetylated on the N-terminal methionine. (A) Sequence alignment of human α1-actin, β-actin, and γ1-actin. Spectra of acetylated (green) N-terminal peptides as indicated for (B) α-actin (RMA), (C) β-actin, or (D) γ-actin. Bold lower-case letters: m, oxidized methionine; c, carbamidomethyl cysteine.

slower than wild type yeast yet provide significant, low-cost, and easily obtainable yields of homogeneous β- or γ-actin. While actin purified by this approach does not undergo standard mammalian N-terminal processing, each actin isoform polymerizes and interacts with several actin-binding proteins including profilin, Tβ4, the formin mDia1, and fascin. Thus, these engineered strains provide convenient sources of pure β- or γ-actin for biochemical studies where conventional α-actin sources may be unsuitable.

Methods

Reagents and supplies.

Unless otherwise specified, chemicals and supplies were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). DNaseI for affinity columns was purchased from Worthington Biochemical (Lakewood, NJ). Cloning reagents were obtained as follows: Restriction enzymes and DNA ligase (New England Biolabs; Ipswich, MA); Primestar HS DNA polymerase (Takara Bio USA; San Jose, CA); Oligonucleotides (Eurofins Genomics; Louisville, KY).

Plasmid and strain construction.

Human α1-actin (ACTA1; NCBI Gene ID: 58) and β-actin (ACTB; NCBI Gene ID: 60) (Figure S1) genes flanked by BamHI and HindIII sites were codon-optimized for expression in budding yeast (Figures S1A and S1B) (GenScript; Piscataway, NJ). Codon-optimized γ1-actin (ACTG1; NCBI Gene ID: 71) was generated from the ACTB sequence with the following mutagenic primer (5’-CTCGGATCCATGGAAGAAGAAATTGCTGCATTGGTTATTGATAATGGTTCTGGCATGTG-3’). The TDH3 promoter was PCR amplified as an EcoRI-BamHI fragment from yeast genomic DNA with 5’-GTGAGAATTCTCAGTTCGAGTTTATCATTA-3’ and 5’-CTCGGATCTTTGTTTGTTTATGTGTGTTT-3’.

TDH3 and actin genes were inserted into YEp351. The yeast strain expressing only β-actin (BHY845) is a haploid segregant of an ACT1/act1Δ heterozygous diploid that carried the β-actin expression plasmid. The yeast strain that expresses only γ1-actin (BHY848) was generated by introducing the γ1-actin expression plasmid into a haploid act1Δ strain carrying the yeast ACT1 gene on a URA3-based plasmid. We used 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA) to select for yeast that lost the ACT1 (URA3) plasmid but remained viable. We were unable to recover yeast expressing human α1-actin, consistent with previous reports (McKane et al., 2005; McKane et al., 2006). We re-isolated and sequenced plasmids from β- and γ-actin strains at the time of harvest to confirm sequence fidelity. Each actin gene sequence was identical to the starting yeast-optimized ACTB and ACTG1 genes.

Protein purification.

Human β- and γ-actin were prepared as described in Aggeli et al. (2014), with the following modifications: yeast were grown in 1 L of YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bactopeptone, 2-4% glucose), harvested by centrifugation at 8000 × g for 7 min, washed in 25 mL 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl2. Pellets were collected by centrifugation at 4000 × g for 10 min and stored frozen at -80 °C. Pellets were suspended in 20 mL G-buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl2, 0.5 mM ATP, 0.2 mM DTT) supplemented with protease inhibitors (0.1 mM PMSF and 1:500 Cocktail IV (Calbiochem; San Diego, CA)), and lysed by two passes through a French press at 1000 psi. Lysates were bound to 3 mL DNaseI-Sepharose, then beads were washed in five column volumes of each of the following: (1) 10% formamide in G-buffer, (2) 0.2 M
NH₂Cl in G-buffer, and (3) G-buffer alone. Actin was eluted with 50% formamide in G-buffer, and then immediately diluted with 1-2 mL G-buffer and dialyzed overnight against 2 L G-buffer. To remove contaminating proteins, actin underwent at least two polymerization-depolymerization cycles alternating between 0.6 M KCl and pipetting with dialysis against G-buffer.

Rabbit muscle actin (RMA; α-actin), mDia1(FH1-C) (amino acids 571-1255), GFP-thymosin-54, profilin-1, and fascin used in TIRF or anisotropy assays were purified as described (Jansen et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2022; Pimm et al., 2022). Actin was labeled with Oregon Green iodoacetamide or Alexa Fluor NHS-Ester (Aggeli et al., 2014; Hertzog and Carlier, 2005; Kuhn and Pollard, 2005). Proteins were aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Proteins used in TIRF assays are shown in Figure S5.

**Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis.**

Sample preparation, operation, and MS analyses were performed by the Upstate Medical University Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core Facility. Samples (15-30 µg) were treated with 5 mM TCEP (tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine) and then 15 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min in the dark. Trypsin digestion was performed at 37°C with 0.66 µg Trypsin Platinum (Promega, Madison, WI) overnight. Samples were acidified and then desalted using 2-core MCX stage tips (Rappsilber et al., 2003). Peptides were eluted with 75 µL of 65% acetonitrile (ACN) with 5% ammonium hydroxide, and dried. Samples were dissolved in 65 µL 2% ACN and 0.5% formic acid in water, then 0.5 µL was injected onto a pulled tip nano-LC column held at 50°C, with 100 µm inner diameter packed to 32 cm with 1.9 µm, 100 Å, core shell Magic2 C18AQ particles (Premier LCMS, Penn Valley, CA). Peptides were separated with a 3-28% ACN gradient over 60 min, followed by an increase to 85% ACN over 5 min. The inline Orbitrap Lumos was operated at 2.3 kV in data-dependent mode with a cycle time of 2.5 s. MS1 scans were collected at 120,000 resolution with a maximum injection time of 50 ms. Dynamic exclusion was applied for 15 s. Stepped HCD fragmentation of 34, 38, and 42% collision energy was used followed by two MS2 microscans in the Orbitrap at 15,000 resolution with dynamic maximum injection time.

The core facility used SequestHT in Proteome Discoverer (version 2.4; Thermo Scientific) to search three MS databases: S. cerevisiae (Uniprot, 6816 entries, retrieved 2017), Rabbit O. cuniculus (Uniprot, 41462 entries, retrieved 2023) a list of common contaminants (Thermo Scientific, 298 entries), and a custom list of human β-actin, γ-actin, and rabbit α-actin. Additional entries for each actin were made with truncations of the first one, two and three N-terminal residues. Enzyme specificity was set to semi-tryptic with up to 2 missed cleavages. Precursor and product ion mass tolerances were set to 10 ppm and 0.2 Da. Cysteine carboxamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification and the following modifications were set as variable: Methionine oxidation, protein N-terminal acetylation, peptide N-terminal arginylation, lysine acetylation or methylation of lysine, histidine, and arginine. The output was filtered using the Percolator algorithm. We visualized datasets with: http://www.interactivepeptidespectralannotator.com/PeptideAnnotator.html (Brademan et al., 2019). Full data sets are deposited at the PRIDE Database (ProteomeXchange identity: PXD040174).

**F-actin sedimentation assays.**

Polymerization of 1 or 2 µM actin was induced with the addition of concentrated (20x) F-buffer (final 1x concentration: 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 25 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM ATP). Samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature, then subjected to centrifugation at 200,000 × g for 30 min at 20°C. Supernatants were removed and pellets and supernatant samples were brought to equal volumes in protein loading buffer. Equal volumes were loaded on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and stained with Coomassie. Indicated concentrations of profilin-1 were mixed with 2 µM actin monomers in G-buffer and then incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Actin polymerization was then induced with F-buffer for an additional 30 min prior to centrifugation as above.

**Fluorescence-based actin polymerization assays.**

Actin isofrom co-polymerization reactions were assessed by epifluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Imager.Z1, Oberkochen, Germany). 2 µM unlabeled or OG-labeled β- or γ-actin were polymerized in F-buffer, either individually or 1:1 mixture, then bound to 1.1 µM rhodamine-phalloidin. Samples were viewed individually or as mixtures bound to phalloidin prior to mixing. Samples were flowed into homemade slide chambers prepared by laying a cover glass onto a slide with two intervening strips of double-sided tape, then visualized with DsRed and FITC filter sets. Views of were obtained near fluid/air boundaries.

**Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy assays.**

We prepared and visualized TIRF imaging chambers on a DMi8 TIRF microscope (Leica Microsystems; Wetzlar, Germany) as in Henty-Ridilla (2022), with the following modifications: reactions were executed in a different TIRF buffer (20 mM imidazole (pH 7.4) 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM ATP, 10 mM DTT, 40 mM glucose, and 0.25% methylcellulose (4000 cP)), with minimal (5-7%) labeled-RMA. Some TIRF experiments with β- or γ-actin were supplemented with 10% RMA to visualize filaments, as noted in figure legends. Experiments performed with fascin used unlabeled actin isoforms and were visualized with 130 nM Alexa-488 phalloidin. Filament elongation rates (subunits s⁻¹ µM⁻¹) were determined by measuring filament lengths from at least five frames, with conversion factor of 370 subunits/µm (Kuhn and Pollard, 2005). Total filament or bundle length was calculated using the FIJI Ridge Detection plugin with settings that minimized background signals but permitted the detection of faint filaments without image saturation and applied identically to all images (Steger, 1998;
Figure 2. Yeast-made human β- and γ-actin form filaments and co-polymers. (A-B) Representative gels of polymerized (P; pellet) or unpolymerized (S; supernatant) actin from pelleting assays performed with (A) 1 or (B) 2 µM actin. Additional uncropped gels shown in Figure S3. (C) Quantification by band densitometry of polymerized actin from pellet samples from (A-B)(n = 3 experiments). Statistics: ANOVA; ns, not significantly different. (D) (top) Mixes of individually polymerized Oregon Green (OG)-labeled β-actin and unlabeled γ-actin stabilized with rhodamine (Rh)-phalloidin or (bottom) co-polymerized OG-β-actin and unlabeled γ-actin, stained with Rh-phalloidin. (E) Similar reactions as in (D) with OG-labeled γ-actin and unlabeled β-actin stained with Rh-phalloidin. Scale bars, 10 µm.

Wagner et al., 2017). Skewness parameter was measured from FIJI measurements (Higaki et al., 2010; Khurana et al., 2010; Schindelin et al., 2012).

Fluorescence polarization assays.
Fluorescence polarization determination of GFP-thymosin-β4 (Tβ4) binding to actin (Liu et al., 2022) was carried out in 1× PBS (pH 8.0) supplemented with 150 mM NaCl. 10 nM β- or γ-actin were mixed with concentrations (0.1 pM to 10 µM) of GFP-Tβ4 and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Fluorescence polarization was measured in a plate reader equipped with monochromator, with excitation at 440 nm and emission intensity detection at 510 nm (bandwidth set to 20 nm) (Tecan; Mannedorf, Switzerland). Three technical replicates were carried out on the same plate.

Data analysis, statistics, and availability.
GraphPad Prism (version 9.5.0; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used to plot all data and perform statistical tests. All experiments were repeated at least 3 times. Individual data points are presented as dots in each figure and histograms represent means ± SE (unless noted otherwise). All one-way ANOVA tests performed compared all means with Tukey post-hoc analysis. The threshold (P ≤ 0.05) was used to determine significance throughout this work. Specific comparisons are described in each figure legend. Figures were made in Adobe Illustrator 2023 (version 27.1.1; Adobe, San Jose, CA). MS datasets are deposited at the PRIDE Database (ProteomeXchange identity: PXD040174). All other datasets used to generate each figure are available here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7641497.

Results
We expressed codon-optimized human cytoplasmic β- or γ-actin in Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains lacking the sole yeast actin gene (ACT1). The strain expressing human β-actin grew slowly (Figure S2A) and displayed heterogeneous morphology (Figure S2B-D), similar to yeast expressing chicken β-actin (Karlsson et al., 1991). The strain expressing γ-actin grew even more slowly (Figure S2A). However, either strain could be maintained without additional selection for the essential plasmid-borne actin genes. To confirm the identity and assess the posttranslational state of either isoform, each recombinant actin was purified by affinity chromatography and subjected to mass spectrometry. This analysis showed 89% (β-actin), 95% (γ-actin), and 98% (α-actin; RMA) coverage of the predicted peptide profiles. We took special
note of the state of N-terminal peptides, which are the only peptides expected to differ between β- and γ-actin (Figure 1A). We detected N-terminally acetylated β- and γ-actin (at Met1)(Figure 1C and 1D), although not all intact peptides were N-acetylated. We also detected non-acetylated β- and γ-actin peptides truncated by 1 to 5 amino acids (Table S1, Table S2, and Data File S1) For comparison, all N-terminal peptides on α-actin (control) were truncated by 2 to 6 amino acids, present with and without acetylation on Asp3 (Figure 1B, Table S1, Table S2, and Data File S1).

Two other important modifications of metazoan versions of actin include the methylation of His73 which may affect Pi release following ATP hydrolysis (Terman and Kashina, 2013), and Lys84, which may block myosin binding (Li et al., 2013). Each of these modifications were present in α-actin (control) dataset but were absent in the β- and γ-actin spectra (Table S1). Finally, N-terminal arginylation of β-actin on Asp3 can influence cellular and biochemical functions (Chin et al., 2022; Karakozova et al., 2006) and is occasionally found following the processing and removal of its first two amino acids (Terman and Kashina, 2013; Varland et al., 2019). Peptide mass searches suggest possible arginylation of β-actin at Asp3 and Asp4, and of γ-actin at Met1 and Glu4 (Tables S1 and S2). However, given the difficulties of reliably determining protein arginylation (Xu et al., 2009), we are uncertain if this modification occurs in these preparations or if other mass additions might show up as arginylation. Not surprisingly, the modification profiles of β- and γ-actin reflect processing that normally occurs for yeast actin. Yeast processing includes the acetylation of N-terminal Met1 without further processing and also lacks additional methylating enzymes (Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2)(Kalhor et al., 1999).

The ability of either β- or γ-actin to support yeast growth suggests protein functionality (Figure S2). To explore this idea further, we used classic biochemical assays to characterize each cytoplasmic actin. We began by assessing the ability of the β- and γ-actin to polymerize into filaments with conventional pelleting assays (Figure 2A-B). Polymerization of each actin was indistinguishable, with filaments pelleting to similar degrees at multiple concentrations (Figure 2A-C). Each actin remained in the supernatant under controls performed in G-buffer (Figure S3). To assess whether β- and γ-actin could co-polymerize, we performed epifluorescence microscopy to visualize actin filaments polymerized before or after mixing unlabeled and Oregon Green (OG)-labeled β- or γ-actin bound to rhodamine(Rh)-phalloidin (Figure 2D-E). Rh-phalloidin stabilization of actins polymerized before mixing resulted in a mixed population of filaments including...
Rh-phalloidin-decorated unlabeled actin (only visible in the Rh-phalloidin channel) and OG-labeled filaments co-labeled with Rh-phalloidin (visible in both channels) (Figure 2D-E, top). In contrast, mixing of actins prior to polymerization, followed by Rh-phalloidin stabilization, produced uniformly labeled actin filaments in both wavelengths, consistent with co-polymerization (Figure 2D-E, bottom). Notably, filament co-labeling occurred regardless of which actin is OG-labeled, consistent with co-polymerization of β- and γ-actin. To further assess the actin assembly properties of β- and γ-actin, we used total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy to directly observe single actin filament polymerization (Figure 3A)(Movie 1). Unlabeled 1 µM β-actin, γ-actin, or control α-actin (RMA) was visualized with 10% fluorescently labeled RMA (Figure 3A)(Chin et al., 2022; Hatano et al., 2018). Under these conditions, α-, β-, or γ-actin show similar means of nucleation ranging from 15 ± 5.2 to 60.7 ± 23.8 filaments per field of view (P ≥ 0.9939)(Figure 3B). Control α-actin filaments (RMA) elongated at a rate of 10.0 subunits s⁻¹ µM⁻¹ ± 0.3 (SE), consistent with other studies (Figure 3C)(Liu et al., 2022; Pimm et al., 2022). β-actin and γ-actin filaments polymerized at mean rates of 11.1 subunits s⁻¹ µM⁻¹ ± 0.3 (SE) and 12.7 subunits s⁻¹ µM⁻¹ ± 0.2, respectively (Figure 3C). The mean elongation rate for γ-actin filaments was significantly faster than α-actin, β-actin, or 1:1 mixture of cytoplasmic isoforms (10.9 ± 0.3 subunits s⁻¹ µM⁻¹; p = 0.0003) (Figure 3C).

Cellular functions of actin are further modulated through interactions with many regulatory proteins. Therefore, we assessed the activities of several classic regulators of actin
polymerization dynamics in the presence of either actin isoform. In mammalian cells, thymosin-β4 (Tβ4) sequesters actin monomers to regulate available subunits for filament polymerization (Skruber et al., 2018; Skruber et al., 2020). We assessed each human actin made in yeast for Tβ4 binding using fluorescence polarization (Figure 4A). GFP-Tβ4 bound β-actin (KD = 1.05 ± 0.30 nM (SE)) and γ-actin (KD = 0.94 ± 0.09 nM) with similar affinities, which were each significantly stronger than skeletal muscle actin (KD = 7.71 nM ± 0.40; P < 0.002) (Figure 4A) (Pimm et al., 2022). This reinforces the notion that actin-binding proteins may have different functions with specific isoforms of actin.

To explore this idea further, we assessed the activities of profilin with each isoform of actin. Profilin directly inhibits α-actin assembly (Ferron et al., 2007; Pimm et al., 2022b; Skruber et al., 2018). We began using pelleting assays to assess whether profilin preferentially blocked the assembly of β- or γ-actin filaments (Figure 4B). Profilin bound each actin isoform and strongly reduced signals in the pellet fractions of each cytoplasmic actin. We noted that profilin blocks the assembly of β- and γ-actin more effectively than muscle actin (P ≤ 0.012) (Figure 4C) (Antoku et al., 2019; Kinosian et al., 2000). However, no significant differences were observed for profilin-mediated nucleation in TIRF assays (Figure 4D-E).

In contrast to these direct effects, when present with the actin polymerization stimulating formin (mDia1), profilin enhances actin filament elongation (Chesarone et al., 2010; Li and Higgs, 2005; Valencia and Quinlan, 2021). Thus, to assess whether β- or γ-actin promoted formin-based filament assembly we used TIRF microscopy to monitor actin filament polymerization in the presence of the constitutively active formin, mDia1(FH1-C). Each actin isoform stimulated nucleation to similar levels (Figure 4D-E) and stimulated actin filament elongation rates when combined with formin and profilin (Figure 4D and 4F). However, compared to α-actin filaments which grew at 73.6 ± 4.5 (SE) subunits s⁻¹ μM⁻¹, the mean elongation rate for β-actin and γ-actin with profilin and formin was significantly slower at 59.3 ± 4.2 or 61.2 ± 4.5 subunits s⁻¹ μM⁻¹, respectively (P ≤ 0.0012) (Figure 4F) (Movie 2). In sum, α-actin from skeletal muscle or either cytoplasmic actin displays similar functions with profilin and the formin mDia1, albeit to different levels (Figure 4D).

Finally, the higher-order organization of cellular actin arrays is commonly achieved through the association of proteins that cross-link or bundle filaments. We produced actin bundles with fascin, stained filaments with fluorescent phalloidin, and used TIRF microscopy to compare the higher-order structures made by each isoform (Figure 5A). As actin filaments bundle, the overall area covered by pixel signal decreases (Figure 5A). Meanwhile, the distribution of pixel intensities shifts to brighter pixels (Higaki et al., 2010; Khurana et al., 2010). Thus, we quantitatively measured the extent of actin filament bundling using length and intensity-based metrics to assess total bundling (Figure 5B-C). As actin filaments coalesced into bundles, the number of objects detected in ridge analysis decreased to similar levels, regardless of actin isoform (Figure 5B). In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of by β-, γ-, and α-actin filament crosslinked by fascin were similar to each other but each significantly brighter than fascin-absent controls (P<0.0001) (Figure 5C). In sum, this demonstrates actin filaments of each isoform can be bundled by fascin.

### Discussion

We developed budding yeast strains for the expression and purification of human cytoplasmic β- and γ-actin and have demonstrated that these actin isoforms polymerize and interact with several canonical actin binding proteins. There are several benefits to purifying recombinant actin from this system including: yield (0.5-1 mg/L starting culture), no special growth or tissue culture requirements, use of conventional purification reagents and protocols, no additional post-purification processing (e.g., cleavage of fusion tags), and no contaminating “host” actin. Even with these advantages, we note that for both yeast and human actin purifications, a significant amount of protein (>50%) is lost following post-elution dialysis. This likely reflects the denaturing activity of the formamide used to elute actin from the DNaseI column and may be improved by supplementing our approach with an alternative 6xHis-gelsolin fragment-based purification (Ceron et al., 2022; Ohki et al., 2009).

Comparisons of actin isoforms or mixes that reflect other species and non-muscle cells are crucial to our understanding of how actin and its regulators truly function. For example, the formin FHOD1 displays differential interactions with actin from different sources, specifically enhancing the nucleation phase of actin assembly with cytoplasmic actin but preventing the assembly of filaments generated from rabbit muscle actin (Antoku et al., 2019; Patel et al., 2018). Profilin and coflin each bind cytoplasmic actin with higher affinity than muscle actin (Antoku et al., 2019; De La Cruz, 2005; Kinosian et al., 2000). Further, specific myosin motors differentially prefer muscle or non-muscle sources, some even preferring specific β- over γ-actin isoforms for movements (Müller et al., 2013). Our results identify thymosin-β4 as an additional actin regulatory protein influenced by actin source, binding β- or γ-actin with higher affinity than actin purified from muscle.

Over 100 post-translational modifications (PTMs) of cytoplasmic and muscle actins have been described, with common reports of acetylation, arginylation, methylation, and phosphorylation (A et al., 2020; Terman and Kashina, 2013; Varland et al., 2019). Post-translationally modified actin may influence the rate of polymerization, filament-filament interactions, actin-binding protein interactions, cellular localization, and locomotion (Arnesen et al., 2018; Varland et al., 2019; Yamashiro et al., 2014). Perhaps most relevant for the discussion of cytoplasmic actin isoforms are acetylation or arginylation, as β- and γ-actin differ by only four residues at the N-terminus near these modified sites (Figure 1A). We found that yeast-produced β- and γ-actin were each acetylated on the N-terminal methionine (Table S1, Table S2, and
Data File S1)(Cook et al., 1991), and in contrast to other reports actin filaments elongated significantly slower than α-actin in the presence of formin. This may indicate that PTMs on additional residues unable to be or differentially modified in this system also contribute to formin-based behaviors (Chin et al., 2022; Drazic et al., 2022). For example, we observed precocious arginylation of β- and γ-actin purified from yeast sources compared to actin purified from muscle (Tables S1 and S2). Further, one notable missing modification from actin purified in this system is methylation of His73, which is important for the nucleotide-exchange of actin (Wilkinson et al., 2019). Introducing NAA80 or SETD3 to this system may ameliorate these challenges and expand the versatility of our budding yeast-based purification system (Arora et al., 2023; Hatano et al., 2020).

Most mammalian actin is processed to remove the N-terminal methionine and then acetylated or less commonly (and only for β-actin) arginylated at Asp3 (Varland et al., 2019). Studies in yeast and other systems further suggest that N-terminal arginylation occurs on clipped or deacetylated proteins, which may target them for proteasomal degradation (Drazic et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2019). N-terminal differences in actin isoforms may be important for some applications and less consequential for others (Cook et al., 1992; Hatano et al., 2018). Notably, several studies have successfully purified and utilized versions of human actin lacking N-terminal modifications other than truncations (A et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2015). For those utilizing muscle actin to study interactions with non-muscle actin binding proteins, differences between actin isoforms (primary amino-acid composition) may prove more important than the exact nature of secondary modifications. Our system provides easily obtainable and relatively inexpensive source of bulk cytoplasmic actin that will work well for many applications and that can be useful partners to systems that allow tighter control of specific PTMs or the synthesis of mutated versions of actin (Ceron et al., 2022; Hatano et al., 2020).
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Table S1. Mass Spectrometry-based actin secondary modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>α-actin (RMA)²</th>
<th>β-actin</th>
<th>γ-actin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylation</td>
<td>D1², K50, K68, K215, K238, K284, K291, K315</td>
<td>M1, K18, K50</td>
<td>M1, K18, K238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Data are presented for peptide mass searches for N-terminal or lysine acetylation, methylation, and arginylation.
²Numbering of α-actin (RMA) starts at the third amino acid due to the N-terminal truncation of Met-Cys. Thus, Asp3 is listed as D1.

Table S2. N-terminal peptide modifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>α-actin (RMA)¹</th>
<th>β-actin</th>
<th>γ-actin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylation</td>
<td>D1¹ (4/5)</td>
<td>M1 (11/12)</td>
<td>M1 (3/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K18 (7/12)</td>
<td>K18 (1/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginylation²</td>
<td>None detected</td>
<td>D3 (5/9)</td>
<td>E4 (1/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4 (3/4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Numbering of α-actin (RMA) starts at third amino acid due to N-terminal truncation of Met-Cys.
²Arginylation detected as N-terminal modification to truncated peptides.
Supplemental Figures and Legends

A

**ACTA1** (α-actin):

```
ggatccATGTGTAAGAAGATGAAACTACAGTCTTTAAGGATGTTCTGCTGATGCATGTTAAGC
TGTTTTGCAAGTGACAGAATGTCTTTTTCATCTTATGGTACGACCAAAGAGCAATGCTTCTCCTGTT
GCAGCAGTAACATTTTACATCTAACAGAGCTTTTACATTTTACAGAGATTATTATTATTATTTAT
```

B

**ACTB** (β-actin):

```
ggatccATGTGTAAGAAGATGAAACTACAGTCTTTAAGGATGTTCTGCTGATGCATGTTAAGC
TGTTTTGCAAGTGACAGAATGTCTTTTTCATCTTATGGTACGACCAAAGAGCAATGCTTCTCCTGTT
GCAGCAGTAACATTTTACATCTAACAGAGCTTTTACATTTTACAGAGATTATTATTATTATTTAT
```

**Figure S1. Sequences of yeast-optimized human recombinant actin isoforms.** Codon-optimized coding sequences for (A) α-actin (ACTA1) and (B) β-actin (ACTB) are indicated in upper case letters, whereas flanking sequences including engineered restriction sites are in lower case text.
Figure S2. Growth and appearance of yeast expressing β- or γ-actin isoforms. Growth of actin isoform expressing yeast strains performed at 30 °C in YPD media. (A) Growth curves of yeast strains expressing yeast actin (ACT1; grey), human β-actin (blue), or human γ-actin (green). (B-D) Representative DIC and epifluorescence images of yeast expressing (B) yeast actin, (C) human β-actin, or (D) human γ-actin. Left, DIC; middle, rhodamine-phalloidin; right, DAPI. Scale bars, 20 µm.
Figure S3. Full gels associated with analysis in Figure 2C. (A) Individual gel displaying (P; pellet) or (S; supernatant) fractions from pelleting assays performed with 1 µM actin filaments (F-buffer) or actin monomers (G-buffer). (B) Gel as in (A) with 2 µM actin filaments or monomers. Gels shown in A and B are the full gels from Figure 2A and B. (C) Quantification by band densitometry of pellet samples from (A-B). Statistics: ANOVA; ns, not significantly different. (D-E) Gels from additional replicates for analysis in (C).
Figure S4. Full gels associated with analysis in Figure 4C. (A-C) Full scans of Individual gels displaying (P; pellet) or (S; supernatant) fractions from pelleting assays performed with 2 µM actin filaments (F-actin) and varying amounts of profilin-1 (PFN1; purple arrows). Actin monomer controls (G-buffer) lacking PFN1 are also shown. Panel (A) shows the gels used in Figure 4B.
Figure S5. **Purity of proteins used in TIRF assays.** Coomassie-stained gels showing the purity of proteins used in this study, as follows: (A) 360 ng α-actin (RMA), 375 ng mDia1(FH1-C), 165 ng profilin-1, 280 ng fascin, (B) 390 ng β-actin, and 310 ng γ-actin.
Movie Legends

**Movie 1.** β- and γ-actin form actin filament polymers. TIRF movies comparing the polymerization of actin isoforms from reactions containing: 1 µM actin of each isoform (10% Alexa-488 RMA). Scale bar, 20 µm. Playback, 10 fps.

**Movie 2.** The formin mDia1 can nucleate and rapidly elongate β- and γ-actin filaments. TIRF movies of reactions containing labeled combinations of 1 µM α-actin, β-actin, or γ-actin (10% Alexa-488 RMA), 10 nM mDia1(FH1-C), and 2 µM profilin-1. Scale bar, 20 µm. Playback, 10 fps.