Uncursing winner's curse: on-line monitoring of directed evolution convergence

Takahiro Nemoto,^{1, 2, 3, *} Tommaso Ocari,¹ Arthur Planul,¹ Muge

Tekinsov,¹ Emilia A. Zin,¹ Deniz Dalkara,^{1,†} and Ulisse Ferrari^{1,‡}

¹Institut de la Vision, Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, 17 rue Moreau, 75012, Paris, France

²Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University,

Yoshida Hon-machi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan

³Premium Research Institute for Human Metaverse Medicine (WPI-PRIMe),

Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

(Dated: This manuscript was compiled on April 6, 2023)

Directed evolution (DE) is a versatile protein-engineering strategy, successfully applied to a range of proteins, including enzymes, antibodies, and viral vectors. However, DE can be time-consuming and costly, as it typically requires many rounds of selection to identify desired mutants. Nextgeneration sequencing allows monitoring of millions of variants during DE and can be leveraged to reduce the number of selection rounds. Unfortunately the noisy nature of the sequencing data impedes the estimation of the performance of individual variants. Here, we propose ACIDES that combines statistical inference and in-silico simulations to improve performance estimation in DE by providing accurate statistical scores. We tested ACIDES first on a novel random-peptide-insertion experiment and then on several public datasets from DE of viral vectors and phage-display. ACIDES allows experimentalists to reliably estimate variant performance on the fly and can aid protein engineering pipelines in a range of applications, including gene therapy.

Keywords: directed evolution | phage display | deep mutational scanning | protein engineering | next generation sequencing

12

10

11

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

INTRODUCTION

Directed evolution (DE) [1–3] is a versatile protein en-13 gineering strategy to conceive and optimize proteins like 14 enzymes [4–6], antibodies [7, 8] or viral vectors for gene 15 therapy [9–15], culminating in the Nobel Prize in Chem-16 istry 2018 [16]. DE starts from a massive library of ran-17 dom mutants, screens it against a given task over multiple 18 rounds and searches for the variants with the highest per-19 formance. As the iteration continues, the best performing 20 variants get enriched and emerge from the bulk, while 21 ineffective ones are instead weeded out. Nowadays, we 22 can rely on next generation sequencing (NGS) [17, 18] to 23 sample millions of variants within the library and monitor 24 their concentrations over multiple rounds or time-points. 25 In this approach, the enrichment of the screened variants 26 is measured to rank the variants depending on their per-27 formance. In a similar flavor, Deep mutational scanning 28 (DMS) experiments [19–21] combine extensive mutagene-29 sis with NGS to study the properties of proteins [22–26], 30 promotors [27, 28], small nucleolar RNA [29], or other 31 amino-acid chains. It uses similar techniques to DE and 32 requires similar analysis. The approach presented here 33 can be applied to both DE and DMS experiments, and 34 focus on their common issues and needs. 35

The analysis of NGS data of multiple selection rounds presents several difficulties. First, variants need to be robustly scored based on their enrichment rates, so-called

[‡] ulisse.ferrari@inserm.fr

³⁹ selectivities [30, 31]. This task is complicated by the ⁴⁰ large noise in the NGS counts introduced by, for exam-⁴¹ ple, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification or ⁴² bacterial cloning, during amplicon preparations [32–34]. This noise needs to be taken into account in the analy-43 44 sis. Second, in order to rank the variants and to identify 45 the best performing ones, the score should come with ⁴⁶ a precise estimation of its statistical error. As a conse-47 guence of the noise in the counts, some irrelevant vari-⁴⁸ ants might appear to be highly enriched (winner's curse). ⁴⁹ This would be anticipated if properly estimated credibil-⁵⁰ ity scores are available. Third, when running DE over ⁵¹ multiple rounds, it is hard to know when to end the ex-⁵² periment: performing too few rounds could lead to se-⁵³ lection of weak variants, not representative of their true ⁵⁴ ranking. On the other hand, performing too many rounds ⁵⁵ is costly, time-consuming and even ethically questionable ⁵⁶ when working with *in-vivo* selections [14, 35]. Similarly, 57 it would be useful to understand the best NGS depth for ⁵⁸ a given experiment, as deepening the NGS by increasing ⁵⁹ reads results in better data, but adds an extra expense ⁶⁰ to the experiment.

In order to account for these issues and needs, we present ACIDES, Accurate Confidence Intervals for Directed Evolution Scores, a computational method to empower the analysis of DE and DMS experiments. We foto cus on screening experiments on highly diverse libraries where massive NGS data are collected over multiple rounds or multiple time-points (Fig. 1A). Our goal is to develop a method to extract maximal information from poisy NGS data, and allows for scoring and ranking varinants with accurate statistical confidence scores. Our appri proach can be applied to different kinds of experiments, such as *in-vivo* DE [13, 14, 36], and DMS of phage-display

^{*} nemoto.takahiro.prime@osaka-u.ac.jp

[†] deniz.dalkara@inserm.fr





FIG. 1. ACIDES framework. (A) We consider directed evolution (DE) experiments, where protein variants are screened over multiple rounds, and massive NGS datasets are collected. (B) From the obtained count data, we estimate a score (selectivity) for each variant. The higher the score, the better the variant for the task. Each score is estimated together with its 95%-confidence interval (CI). (C) Sorting the scores of all variants in descending order, we obtain a variant rank (naive rank). Due to statistical errors in the scores, the obtained rank is biased in general. To correct for this, using in-silico simulations based on the CIs of the scores, we re-(RR). RR represents the percentage of the top 50 variants identified in the naive rank that also appear in the top 50 of the corrected rank. (E,F) Examples of rank graphs for two synthetic datasets with different depths of NGS (per round) and numbers of unique variants (respectively, E: 10^7 , 5×10^4 ; F: 10^6 and 10^6). The true rank is shown as red crosses. In both cases, most red crosses are within the 95%-CI of the corrected rank. (G) RR for the two synthetic datasets. Note that RR multiplied by 50 (E:~ 45.3; F: ~ 24.6) roughly provides the number of the correct top-50 sequences, which are 46 and 23, respectively. (See Fig.s S3 and S4 for more systematic 124 comparison).

⁷⁴ RNA [29] experiments. It is possible to apply ACIDES ¹²⁹ case (less NGS reads with more considered variants).

⁷⁶ the course of the experiment as soon as the NGS data become available. The latter strategy allows for monitoring the selection convergence on the fly, and to un-78 derstand when the experiment can be ended. In this 79 way, ACIDES can be integrated into protein engineering 80 pipelines as well as studies of protein function using mu-81 ⁸² tagenesis. The tutorial for using ACIDES, along with an ⁸³ executable code in Python, will be available in GitHub ⁸⁴ upon publication of this manuscript.

RESULTS

The first step of ACIDES estimates the selectivity of 86 ⁸⁷ each individual variant present in the dataset (Fig. 1B) ⁸⁸ and its 95% confidence interval (95%-CI). In this study ⁸⁹ the term selectivity means the rate at which each vari-⁹⁰ ant increases its concentration with respect to the oth-⁹¹ ers. More precisely, we assume an exponential growth as $_{92} \rho_{t+\Delta t}^{i} \sim \rho_{t}^{i} \exp(a^{i}\Delta t)$, where ρ_{t}^{i} is the concentration of ⁹³ variant i at time t, and a^i is its selectivity. Compared ⁹⁴ with previous methods [19–24, 27–31, 38], our approach ⁹⁵ combines a robust inference framework (maximum likeli-⁹⁶ hood estimation) with a better quantification of the NGS ⁹⁷ sampling noise [32–34]. For this scope, our approach ⁹⁸ benefits from a negative binomial distribution [39–42] 99 (Fig. S1) in which the variance of the noise is overdispersed and grows as $\lambda + \lambda^{2-\alpha}/\beta$. Here λ is the expected 100 ¹⁰¹ mean count, and α, β are parameters to be inferred (Ma-¹⁰² terials and Methods). Using novel data from a plasmid ¹⁰³ library, we observed that our negative binomial model ¹⁰⁴ realizes a 50- to 70-fold improvement over the Poisson ¹⁰⁵ model in the predictive ability of the NGS sampling noise (Fig. S1). The second step of ACIDES uses the esti-106 ¹⁰⁷ mation of the selectivities and their statistical errors to ¹⁰⁸ rank the variants. The rank obtained by sorting the se-¹⁰⁹ lectivities in descending order (naive rank) is biased due 110 to statistical fluctuations of the selectivities. We correct ¹¹¹ this bias using *in-silico* simulations (Fig. 1C). The third ¹¹² and last step of ACIDES uses simulations to quantify a estimate the rank with 95%-CI (corrected rank). (D) From 113 Rank Robustness (RR), a measure of the quality of the the obtained corrected rank, we compute Rank Robustness 114 selection convergence (Fig. 1D). Specifically, RR is the ¹¹⁵ ratio at which the top-50 variants in the naive rank are ¹¹⁶ correctly identified (Materials and Methods). RR ranges ¹¹⁷ from 0 to 1: a low value points out that the variants have ¹¹⁸ not been selected enough, and therefore calls for the ne-¹¹⁹ cessity to perform more rounds, deeper NGS sampling ¹²⁰ or possibly more replicates. Conversely, a large value confirms that the selection has properly converged, and 121 suggests that the experiment can be ended without per-122 forming additional experimental steps. 123

Before focusing on experimental data, we apply 125 ACIDES to two synthetic datasets (Materials and Meth-¹²⁶ ods) describing two opposite scenarios (See Fig.s S3 and ¹²⁷ S4for more systematic comparison): data-rich case (more ⁷³ [23, 30, 37], yeast two-hybrid [23] and small nucleolar ¹²⁸ NGS reads with fewer unique variants) and data-poor ⁷⁵ either a posteriori over data collected previously, or along ¹³⁰ In the data-rich case, we first verify that our method

¹³¹ reaches high performance in recovering the ground-truth values of the selectivities ($R^2 \simeq 0.92$, Fig.S3) in a teacherstudent setting. In this first case, selection convergence is 133 reached and the different variants can be robustly ranked 134 (Fig. 1E). In the data-poor case, instead, CI-bars are 135 large and the ranking is uncertain (Fig. 1F). Consistently, 136 the estimated RRs are high and low for, respectively, the 137 data-rich and -poor examples (Fig. 1G). Note that, once 138 multiplied by 50, RR roughly provides the number of the 139 correct top-50 variants in both cases (caption of Fig. 1G). 140 Furthermore, we observe that most true rank values (red 141 crosses) fall within the 95%-CI in both examples. These 142 observations show that our approach can quantify statis-143 tical errors even in the data-poor regime (See Fig. S4 for 144 more systematic comparison). 145

Analysis of directed evolution and deep mutational 146 scanning experiments 147

In order to showcase ACIDES, we apply it to sev-148 eral screening datasets, where various proteins (and one 149 RNA molecule) are screened using different experimen-150 tal techniques (Table I). Specifically, we consider three 151 phage-display screening experiments targeting different 152 proteins, such as the breast cancer type 1 susceptibil-153 ity protein (BRCA1) for Data-A, human yes-associated 154 protein 65 (hYAP65) for Data-F and immunoglobulin 155 heavy chain (IgH) for Data-G, two in-vivo DEs of adeno-156 associated virus type 2 (AAV2) vectors targeting canine 157 eyes for Data-C and murine lungs for Data-D, a mul-158 tiplexed veast two-hybrid assay targeting BRCA1 for 159 Data-B and a yeast competitive growth screen measur-160 ing the fitness of mutant U3 gene for Data-E. For each 161 of these experiments, we rank variants (naive rank) and 162 compute the confidence interval of their ranks (corrected 163 rank in Fig. 2A-G). The degree of convergence of the 164 selection is quantified by RR (2H). When technical repli-165 cates are available (Data-A and Data-B), we compute RR 166 over all of them and obtained consistent results (shown 167 by the small error-bars in Fig. 2H). 168

We classify the observed RRs into three groups de-169 pending on the quality of the selection convergence: high 170 (Data-A and Data-B), intermediate (Data-F and Data-171 G), and low (Data-C, Data-D and Data-E) convergence 172 groups. The high group seems to behave similarly to the 173 174 data-rich synthetic data in Fig. 1E. Consistently, RR, NGS depth and the number of unique variants are indeed 175 of the same order (Table I). In these cases, the obtained 176 naive rank is robust, as indicated by the value of RR 177 (RR > 0.8). In the intermediate group, the value of RR 178 ranges between 0.6 and 0.8. The experimental techniques 179 used in these datasets are similar to those in the high 180 group, but the NGS depths (or the numbers of unique 193 181 182 183 185 numbers of unique variants are lower than those of Data- 197 tained variants are selected because of their ability to per-



FIG. 2. Rank graph for various experimental datasets. The panel labels A-G correspond to the experiments listed in table I. (H) Rank robustness (RR) for each experiment. When technical replicates are available (Data-A and -B), the mean and standard deviation are shown.

186 A and Data-B, which would normally help these datasets ¹⁸⁷ with having higher RR, given the same NGS depth. As 188 this is not the case, we see that some experiments are *in*-¹⁸⁹ trinsically more difficult than the others, *i.e.*, in-vivo DE (Data-C and Data-D) and RNA based screening (Data-190 E) will result in lower RRs than the other experiments if 191 ¹⁹² the NGS depth and number of variants are similar.

In datasets with low RRs, some variants seem to pervariants) are smaller (or larger), which could be the rea- 194 form better than the others, but the difference between son why they result in lower RRs. The low group suffers 195 their scores is marginal compared with their statistical from the noise in the data. In Data-C and Data-D, the 196 errors. This means that we cannot distinguish if the ob-

4

ГАЕ	BLE	I.	Next	generation	sequencing	datasets	of	directed	evol	ution	experime	nts
-----	-----	----	------	------------	------------	----------	----	----------	------	-------	----------	-----

Label	Experiment	Target	Time-points	Reads/round	# of variants	Replicates	Ref
А	Phage display	BRCA1	$T0 \rightarrow T5$	13.6 M	35 k	$2 \mathrm{x} 3$	Starita 2015 [23]
В	Yeast two-hybrid	BRCA1	$T0 \rightarrow T3$	$13.5 \mathrm{M}$	27 k	$2 \ge 3$	Starita 2015 [23]
С	in-vivo DE (dog eye)	AAV2-7mer	$T1 \rightarrow T5$	17 M	5 k	$1 \ge 1$	Byrne 2018 [36]
D	<i>in-vivo</i> DE (murine lung)	AAV2-7mer	$T0 \rightarrow T5$	$6.2 \mathrm{M}$	$0.5 \mathrm{k}$	$1 \ge 1$	Korbelin 2016 [13]
Ε	Yeast competitive growth	U3 snoRNA	$T0 \rightarrow T4$	8 M	24 k	$2 \ge 1$	Puchta 2016 [29]
F	Phage display	hYAP65 WW	$T0 \rightarrow T3$	5 M	470 k	$2 \ge 1$	Araya 2012 [30]
G	Phage display	Ab IgH	$T1 \to T3$	0.1 M	29 k	$1 \ge 1$	Boyer 2016 [37]

List and properties of experiments considered in this study. First column introduces dataset label and corresponds to the panels of Fig. 2. Reads/round corresponds to the average NGS counts per time points. # of variants is the number of unique variants that is detected in the NGS at least once during whole experiments. In Replicates, $x \times y$ means that there are x replicates that do not share the same initial library, each of which has y technical replicates (that shares the same initial library).

198 form the task (fitness) or just there due to noise. In these 239 depends on the number of screening rounds and NGS ¹⁹⁹ cases, experimentalists have two possibilities: (i) based ²⁴⁰ depth in previous experiments. We start by measuring 200 on the noisy identified variants, perform further tests in 241 RR in Data-A for different NGS depths. 95%-CI on 201 202 204 205 206 207 208 210 ²¹¹ experimental efforts.

Integration into the experimental pipeline 212

213 214 ing additional selection rounds involving experiments, 258 40%. This again indicates that the experiment could have 215 217 218 219 experimental pipelines to obtain an overview on how RR ²⁶⁴ to have just reached the saturation point (Fig.3D). 220 depends on these factors. This is to help experimentalists ²⁶⁵ 221 222 imental efforts. 223

224 225 226 227 Similarly, for each round, ACIDES can be run on down-²⁷² (Fig.S6). 228 sampled NGS data to compute RR with smaller NGS 229 depth (Materials and Methods). Using these two tech-230 niques, we monitor the need for more selection rounds or $\ ^{273}$ 231 deeper NGS: a slow increase of RR (or no change in RR) 232 upon improving data-quality implies that convergence is 274 233 235 236 237 making further experimental efforts.

238

addition to DE [13, 14], as for example, study infective 242 corrected ranks gets larger as the NGS depth becomes ability of viral vectors using single-cell RNA-seq [43]. Or 243 smaller (Fig.3A). At 1% NGS depth, the variant ordering (ii) increase the quality of the datasets, by performing 244 seems largely unreliable: RR is smaller than 0.5 (Fig.3B). further selection rounds, increasing NGS depths, or repli- 245 Importantly, RR does not decrease smoothly as the NGS cating the experiments under the same conditions. This 246 depth decreases, but it remains roughly constant at the second possibility is explored in the next section. Over- 247 beginning, and falls only at a very small NGS depth. all our rank-analysis of the different experiments shows 248 This result suggests that the actual NGS depth of this how our approach can provide an overview of the selec- 249 experiment largely exceeds what was necessary (10% of tion convergence, informing about the state of the exper- 250 the depth would have been sufficient). Next, we quaniment and eventually pointing out the necessity of more 251 tify how RR depends on both the number of performed ²⁵² rounds and NGS depth (Fig.3C). RR grows from 0.28 (3 $_{253}$ performed rounds with 1% NGS depth) to 0.88 (6 per-²⁵⁴ formed rounds with 100% NGS depth). Saturation of RR $_{255}$ seems to be observed for RR > 0.7, which corresponds to $_{256}$ 5 performed rounds with the NGS depth larger than 20%, Noise in experimental data can be reduced by perform- 257 or 4 performed rounds with the NGS depth larger than but in general these are expensive, time-consuming and, 259 been stopped earlier (less rounds and/or lower sequence in case of experiments involving animal use, ethically 260 coverage) without much affecting the outcome. Note that problematic [35]. For these reasons, it is important to 261 different datasets show different behaviors. For Data-E choose accurately the number of rounds and the NGS 262 more selection rounds with a higher number of NGS reads depth. For this scope, ACIDES can be integrated into 263 is expected to improve RR, while for Data-B they seem

Overall these results show how our approach can be with making informed decisions about additional exper- 266 implemented along experimental pipelines. By estimat-267 ing RR while collecting new data, we can understand if ACIDES can estimate RR after each selection round 268 we should continue/stop adding more rounds or increas-(or any time new data become available). This allows 269 ing NGS depth. This could avoid unnecessary, costly us to examine the data's behavior and to quantify the 270 and time-consuming experimental efforts. Similar analydegree of convergence in terms of the selection rounds. 271 ses can be done on the number of replicate experiments

Comparison with previous work

We compare the performance of ACIDES with Enreached and suggests that the experiment can be ended. 275 rich2, the state of the art for estimating variant scores If, on the other hand, RR increases rapidly when improv- 276 (selectivities) [31]. Enrich2 is based on a weighted lining the rounds and/or NGS depth, it is probably worth 277 ear fitting of the log-count change along rounds, and the ²⁷⁸ first step of ACIDES should be seen as an upgrade for In order to showcase our approach, we study how RR 279 this fitting. In order to compare these two approaches



FIG. 3. How the rank robustness depends on the experimental protocol. (A) Rank graphs for different NGS depths in Data-A (Table I). Different NGS-depth data are generated using downsampling (Materials and Methods). x% means the dataset where the number of NGS reads per round is reduced to x% (100% is the original dataset). (B) RR for the rank graphs in the panel A. Note that RR is higher than 0.7 even with the 10% NGS-depth. (C) The heat map showing RR for various NGS depths and performed rounds in Data-A. RR is larger than 0.7 for the data with (i) the 4 performed rounds with the NGS depth larger than or equal to 40% or with (ii) the 5 performed rounds with the NGS depth larger than or equal to 20%. This indicates that the data quality was already high with less experimental efforts. The four grey squares correspond to the four rank graphs in the panel A, respectively. (D) The same graphs as the panel C, but for different datasets. Data-E is used in the left panel, where RR is low and more NGS and/or screening rounds would be useful. Data-B is used in the right panel, where RR takes high values and seems to saturate in NGS depths. Further experimental efforts would probably not be necessary in this dataset.

we take advantage of replicate datasets. We first inves- 306 the predicted statistical errors (Supp. Fig. S7). 280 tigate if the scores in each method are consistent over 281 replicates. For this, we plot the scores obtained from 282 one replicate against the scores obtained from the other 307 283 (Fig. 4A, B). The correlation between replicates is esti-284 mated using the coefficient of determination (R^2) . The ₃₀₈ 285 correlation quantifies the quality of the method, as higher 286 (or lower) correlations imply that the estimated scores 287 are more (or less) robust and fewer (or more) replicates 288 are needed to obtain reliable results. The figure shows 289 that ACIDES outperforms *Enrich2*. Next, we test how 290 the comparison depends on the data quality. To this 291 goal, we systematically select a set of variants based on 292 the magnitude of predicted statistical score-errors (Ma-293 terials and Methods). (Smaller/larger sets include vari-294 ants with smaller/larger predicted statistical errors.) For 295 each set, we measure the correlation between two repli-296 cates as in (Fig. 4A, B), and plot it as a function of the set 297 size (Fig. 4C). We observe ACIDES's correlation becomes 299 more dominant as the set size decreases, suggesting the better quality of both the estimated scores and statistical 300 301 the comparison for all possible 12 pairs of technical repli-302 cates in Data-A and Data-B (Table I). In all cases our 303 approach outperforms the competitor (Fig. 4D). We also 305 perform an additional test to quantify the consistency of

DISCUSSION

5

In this work we have presented ACIDES, a method to 309 quantify DE and DMS selectivities (fitness), rank vari-³¹⁰ ants with accurate credibility scores and measure the de-³¹¹ gree of experimental convergence. ACIDES can be used 312 on the fly to offer an overview of the progress of se-³¹³ lection experiments, which would help experimentalists ³¹⁴ with making informed decisions on whether new experi-³¹⁵ mental efforts are needed. In this way, ACIDES can save 316 significant experimental time and resources. We have ap-³¹⁷ plied ACIDES to several DE and DMS datasets where a 318 number of different target proteins and one set of target ³¹⁹ RNA molecules have been screened using different exper-₃₂₀ imental protocols. The heterogeneity of these datasets ³²¹ shows that ACIDES is a method of general use, applica-₃₂₂ ble to many different experiments.

323 The first step of ACIDES estimates the score (selectiverrors. In order to generalize these results, we perform 324 ity) of each observed variant. This is a necessary step, ³²⁵ and several alternative methods have been proposed in ³²⁶ the past. In many applications, such scores are com-³²⁷ puted as the variant enrichment that is defined as the 328 logarithmic ratio between the variant frequencies in the 329 last and second to last round [13] or between the last

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.03.522172; this version posted April 6, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



the art. Using technical replicates in Data-A, we compare ACIDES with a weighted linear least squares method (Enrich2) [31]. For both methods (Enrich2 (A) and ACIDES (B)), the inferred selectivities from one replicate are plotted against the selectivities in the other replicate. The coefficient of determination (R^2) , which quantifies the consistency between two replicates, is also shown. (C) We next examine function of the set size. The panel A and B correspond to the stars \star in C (data size 0.11). (D) In order to test both methods more systematically, we perform the same analysis (as those in the panels A-C) for all possible 12 combinations of technical replicates in Data-A and Data-B. We define the area under curve of R^2 (in the panel C) and plot it for these panel D.

331 332 ³³³ suboptimal and may lead to noisy score estimations. A ³⁹¹ been done here, as the selection pressure is constant ³³⁴ more sophisticated approach that uses all the data con-³⁹² in most datasets we analyzed in this article. Second, 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 ³⁴³ son distribution assumption - as the weights in a linear ⁴⁰¹ includes an error-prone PCR after the third round of se-³⁴⁴ least squares fitting. ACIDES' first step comes with a ⁴⁰² lections, indicating that the estimated results for Data-C 345 three-fold improvement over this last approach. First, in- 403 may contain biases). We would need to analyze more

³⁴⁶ stead of relying on the linear least squares fitting, we esti-³⁴⁷ mate the score by log-likelihood maximization. A major improvement happens for variants whose log-frequencies 348 do not grow linearly with the rounds, and a simple lin-349 ear weighted fit may struggle in identifying the correct slope. This is particularly visible in the bulk variants 351 with intermediate scores (Fig.4 A, B). Secondly, instead of a simple exponential growth of the counts, we included ³⁵⁴ a softmax non-linear function (Materials and Methods). where the denominator is inferred from data [44]. This 355 change improves the score estimation when the wildtype (if any) and/or few variants have a large fraction of the 357 total counts and bend the exponential growth of the log-358 frequencies. Lastly, ACIDES uses a negative binomial 359 distribution to model the count variability [39–42]. This 360 distribution accounts for the large dispersion of next gen-361 ³⁶² eration sequencing data [32–34] far better than the Pois-FIG. 4. Comparison of our approach with the state of ³⁶³ son distribution (Fig. S1). Additionally, the negative bi-³⁶⁴ nomial loss in the likelihood maximization allows us to ³⁶⁵ better estimate statistical errors for the inferred scores. ³⁶⁶ Thanks to all these improvements, our approach real-367 izes a more robust and accurate estimation of the variant ³⁶⁸ scores and outperforms the previous method (Fig. 4).

In case of noisy data, the estimated scores of variants 369 how the comparison in the panels A and B depend on data ³⁷⁰ come with statistical errors. This means that the rank quality. We consider a set of variants in which the estimated 371 obtained from the scores (naive rank in our figures) is statistical errors (Materials and Methods) are smaller than a 372 in general biased: top ranked variants are overvalued, given threshold. Varying this threshold, sets of variants are 373 and vice-versa. This simple statistical effect was not systematical selected, where larger/smaller sets include vari- 374 taken into account in previous analyses related to DE ants with larger/smaller estimated statistical errors. For each 375 and DMS experiments. The second step of ACIDES uses set, we estimate R^2 between two replicates, and plot it as a $_{376}$ a bootstrap method to account for the bias and recover 377 both the corrected rank and its 95%-CI. The deviation 378 between this 95%-CI and the naive rank shows us how ³⁷⁹ much we can trust the naive rank. To quantify it, as a ³⁸⁰ third step of ACIDES, we introduce RR that describes ³⁸¹ how many of the top-50 variants in the naive rank are corcombinations (D) . Our method systematically outperforms $_{382}$ rect. RR measures how stable and robust are the ranks of the weighted linear fitting method. The replicate combina- 383 the variant selectivities. As such, it quantifies the degree tion used for the panels A-C is indicated by the arrow in the 384 of convergence of the experimental selection, providing ³⁸⁵ an insightful overview of the state of the experiment.

Although ACIDES demonstrates advantages over the 386 ³⁸⁷ other methods, it has several limitations that may be 330 and first round [14, 19, 20, 22, 27, 38]. These approaches 388 addressed in the future. First of all, ACIDES does thus make use of data from only two rounds and dis- 389 not account for changes in the selection pressure over regard all the others. For this reason, this strategy is 300 rounds. This can potentially be included, but has not sists in inferring the slope of a linear line fitted to the log- 393 ACIDES uses a negative binomial model to describe the frequencies of variants over all the screening rounds/time ³⁹⁴ dispersion of count data by assuming that the count varipoints [23, 24, 28, 30]. This method gives the same im- 395 ance depends only on the frequency of the variant. Alportance to log-frequencies in all the rounds. Yet as vari- 396 though this assumption proves useful to describe NGS ant counts in the first rounds are typically small and 397 count errors (Fig. S1) and is used elsewhere [42], it is posnoisy, assuming the same weight on them could result 398 sible that dispersions induced by a sequence-dependent in an overfitting. To fix this effect, Enrich [31] uses 399 procedure, such as error-prone PCR [14, 36, 45], may not the variance of the count data - estimated via a Pois- 400 be taken into account by our method (Note that Data-C

487

7

404 data from DE experiments using error-prone libraries to 454 the expected frequency is computed as 405 address this question. Third, statistical errors due to the ⁴⁰⁶ replicates that do not share the same initial library can-407 not be described by ACIDES, provided that the model is only trained on a single series of screening rounds. To $_{455}$ where Δt is the round- (or time-) difference between two $_{409}$ account for this, we would need a framework that gener- $_{456}$ consecutive NGSs. C_t is a normalization constant, de-⁴¹⁰ alizes ACIDES for different sources of variability.

Finally, using machine learning techniques, several $_{458}$ ((1)) an exponential model. 411 $_{\rm 412}$ studies have aimed at estimating selectivities from the $_{\rm 459}$ ⁴¹³ amino-acid sequences of variants. Most of these meth- $_{414}$ ods rely on supervised algorithms, which are trained to $_{461}$ bution of counts n_t^i . Here λ is the expected value of count $_{415}$ predict the selectivity (output) from the sequence of a $_{462}$ n_t^i given as $N_t \rho_t^i$, while r is the dispersion parameter that 416 417 methods depends on how the selectivity is estimated from 464 tion from the Poisson distribution. (The negative bino-418 data, ACIDES can potentially be incorporated in their 465 mial distribution is a generalization of the Poisson distri-⁴¹⁹ pipelines to improve the overall performance. We leave ⁴⁶⁶ bution with a variance equal to $\lambda(1 + \lambda/r)$: the Poisson $_{420}$ such analysis for future developments. Other methods $_{467}$ distribution is recovered in the large r limit.) Here, based $_{421}$ use instead unsupervised approaches to predict selectiv- $_{468}$ on Fig. S1 and [42], we assume r is a power-law function $_{422}$ ities from the sequences of variants [44, 54–57]. Even if $_{469}$ of λ : $r(\lambda) = \beta \lambda^{\alpha}$ (with $\alpha, \beta > 0$), where α and β are 423 these methods do not use any sequence scores for their 470 parameters that are common for all the variants in the $_{424}$ training, they often use it to validate and/or test the $_{471}$ experiment. (The variance is thus $\lambda + \lambda^{2-\alpha}/\beta$.) Model 425 model. Our approach would therefore be useful also in 472 parameters α, β as well as ρ_0^i, a^i (i = 1, 2, ..., M) are in-426 these cases.

METHOD

Library preparation for Fig.S1

To demonstrate that our negative binomial likelihood 429 ⁴³⁰ approach outperforms the Poisson counterpart, we con-⁴³¹ ducted the following experiment: We inserted random 21 ⁴³² nucleotide oligomers into a RepCap plasmid containing ⁴⁷⁸ 433 adenoassociated virus 2 (AAV2) cap gene using previously described methods [58]. The plasmid library ob-434 tained was deep sequenced following generation of ampli-435 cons corresponding to the 7mer insertion region. Since 436 the 21 nucleotides are randomly and independently gen-437 ⁴³⁸ erated, we can use a position weight matrix model to pre-439 dict the frequency of each variant in the sample. Based 440 on this property, the performance of the two models are ⁴⁴¹ examined as shown in Fig.S1.

442

427

428

Model

We propose ACIDES for analyzing selection data in 488 443 444 DE and DMS. Here the mathematical model is described 489 step algorithm. The first step infers (ρ_0^i, a^i) , while the $_{445}$ in detail. For a given series of samples over screening $_{490}$ second (α, β) and then we iterate the two steps until 446 rounds, we perform NGS and denote by n_t^i the obtained 491 convergence is reached. All inferences are done with a $_{447}$ count of the *i*-th variant (i = 1, 2, ..., M) at round (time- $_{492}$ gradient descent algorithm, and to reach convergence 10-448 point) $t \in T$. We denote by N_t the total count $N_t = 493$ 30 iterations are usually sufficient. The first step is itself 449 450 value of frequency of the *i*-th variant at t. (Note that 495 C_t by treating C_t as a parameter. Here we also introduce ⁴⁵¹ "expected" means that ρ_t^i itself does not fluctuate due to ⁴⁹⁶ a gauge choice because of the redundancy between ρ_0^i , a^i $_{452}$ the noise in the experiment.) For each variant, an initial $_{497}$ and C_t (the caption of Fig. S2 for more details). In the $_{453}$ frequency ρ_0^i and a growth rate a^i are assigned, by which $_{498}$ second step, the inference of (α, β) with a straightforward

$$\rho_{t+\Delta t}^{i} = C_t \rho_t^{i} \exp(a^i \Delta t), \tag{1}$$

457 fined as $C_t = 1/\sum_i [\rho_t^i \exp(a^i \Delta t)]$. We call this model

We use a negative binomial distribution $NB(n_t^i|\lambda, r)$ 460 with two parameters λ and r to model the noise distrivariant (input) [45-53]. Because the performance of these 463 describes the deviation of the negative binomial distribu-473 ferred from the count data n_t^i $(i = 1, 2, ..., M, t \in T)$ by 474 maximizing the following likelihood function:

$$L\left(\alpha,\beta,(\rho_{0}^{i})_{i=1}^{M},(a^{i})_{i=1}^{M}\right) = \prod_{i,t} \operatorname{NB}\left(n_{t}^{i}|\rho_{t}^{i}N_{t},\beta\left(\rho_{t}^{i}N_{t}\right)^{\alpha}\right).$$
(2)

⁴⁷⁵ The 95%-CIs of the estimated parameters are computed 476 from the curvature of the log-likelihood function at the 477 maximum.

Synthetic data

Synthetic count data n_t^i $(i = 1, 2, ..., M, t \in T)$ are $_{480}$ generated from the model ((2)) for a given parame-481 ter set $\alpha, \beta, \rho_0^i, a^i \ (i = 1, 2, ..., M)$. For Fig.1, we use $_{482} \alpha, \beta = 0.69, 0.8$ with $(a^i, \log \rho_0^i)$ generated from the nor-⁴⁸³ mal distribution with the expected values (-1, 1) and the ⁴⁸⁴ standard deviations (0.25, 1). (M, N_t) are $(5 \times 10^4, 10^7)$ $_{485}$ for the data-rich case (Fig.1E) and $(10^6, 10^6)$ for the data-⁴⁸⁶ poor one (Fig.1F).

Model inference

To maximize the likelihood function, we develop a two- $\sum_{i} n_{t}^{i}$ at t. For each sample, we define ρ_{t}^{i} as the *expected* 494 iterative, and loops between the inference of (ρ_{0}^{i}, a^{i}) and

551

560

565

568

590

8

501 502 timation of the bias, which is then used to correct the 550 actual NGS-read-reduced data (Fig. S5). 503 real inference and update the parameters. 504

In order to reduce computational time and to increase 505 ⁵⁰⁶ the stability of the algorithm, we first run the inference ₅₀₇ algorithm on a subset of variants to estimate α, β . We then compute (ρ_0^i, a^i) of the excluded variants using the so estimated α, β . For this subset, we use the variants that ⁵¹⁰ satisfy the following two criterions: (i) their counts are ⁵¹¹ larger than 0 more than twice in the selection rounds ⁵¹² and (ii) whose total NGS count (as summed over all the rounds) is above a threshold. We set this threshold to 100 513 for all the datasets except for Data-C -D, where 10000 514 515 is used. This is because the noise in these experiments ⁵¹⁶ is larger than the others. Results are stable by changing ⁵¹⁷ the threshold value (Fig. S2F).

Simulated rank and rank robustness (RR) 518

Using the standard deviations δa^i (i = 1, ..., M) of es-519 timated scores a^i , we discard the variants with higher 520 estimated errors. We keep 5000 variants for further anal-521 vsis and denote by A their indices. We then rearrange 522 the variant index in A in descending order of a^i to de-523 fine a *naive rank* (the x-axis of Fig 2A-G). To obtain a 524 corrected rank (the y-axis of Fig 2A-G), we first gener-525 526 ate synthetic scores using the normal distribution with the expected value $(a^i)_{i \in A}$ and the standard deviation 527 $(\delta a^i)_{i \in A}$. Based on the generated scores, we rearrange 528 the variant index in descending order and define a syn-529 thetic naive rank. Repeating this estimation 3000 times, 530 we then compute the median and 95%-CI of the obtained 531 synthetic naive ranks. This 95%-CI is defined as the cor- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 570}$ 532 rected rank. 533

To estimate RR, we compare the top-50 variants in 534 the naive rank and each synthetic naive rank. We count 535 the number of overlaps between them and average it over 537 ⁵³⁸ obtained overlap by 50.

539

NGS-Downsampling for Fig.3

540 $_{541} t \in T$) by a factor ϵ , we sample synthetic data from the $_{582}$ bonne Université. The Foundation Fighting Blindness, 542 likelihood function ((2)) with a reduced number of the to- 583 Agence National de Recherche (ANR) RHU Light4Deaf, $_{543}$ tal counts ϵN_t ($t \in T$) and with the estimated parameters $_{584}$ LabEx LIFESENSES (ANR-10-LABX-65), IHU FORe- $_{544}$ ρ_0^i , a^i , α , β (i = 1, 2, ..., M). To obtain a downsampled $_{565}$ SIGHT (ANR-18-IAHU-01), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant 545 RR in Fig. 3, we first re-estimate a^i (i = 1, 2, ..., M) from 566 Number 22K17994.

⁴⁹⁹ gradient method produces a bias (Fig. S2E). In order ⁵⁴⁶ \tilde{n}_t^i $(i = 1, 2, ..., M, t \in T)$ using the values of (α, β) that 500 to correct this, at each iteration the algorithm adopts 547 are already known, and then perform the estimation of a teacher-student framework, runs a simulation of the 548 RR described above. Using the synthetic data, we show count data with the current parameters to obtain an es- 549 that this downsampling method captures well the RR of

Pre-processing of Data-C and Data-D

In their original datasets, Data-C and -D contain a 553 large number of variants whose total counts are very low ⁵⁵⁴ (but not zero). In order to speed up the analysis and ⁵⁵⁵ make the analysis more robust we removed the variants ⁵⁵⁶ whose total counts are smaller than 1000 (Data-C) and ⁵⁵⁷ than 100 (Data-D). The NGS depth and the number of ⁵⁵⁸ unique variants shown in Table I are after this prepro-559 cessing.

DATA AVAILABILTIY

All data analyzed in this article (Table I) are publicly 561 ⁵⁶² available except for the random-peptide inserted library ⁵⁶³ used for Fig. S1. This library will be deposited in a public database upon publication of this article. 564

CODE AVAILABILITY

A Python implementation of ACIDES will be available 566 ⁵⁶⁷ on GitHub upon publication of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank A. Rubin and D. 560 Fowler for kindly providing them with datasets (Data-A ⁵⁷¹ and Data-B) and a working code for enrich2. The authors ⁵⁷² also would like to thank L. C. Byrne and T. Mora for ⁵⁷³ useful comments and discussions, M. Desrosiers and C. 574 Robert for their technical assistance with the production the 3000 estimations. RR is computed by dividing the 575 of plasmids and viral vectors, and O. Marre for facilitat-576 ing and initiating the collaboration and helpful discus-577 sions. This work was supported by ERC Starting Grant 578 (REGENETHER 639888), European Research Council 579 (ERC) Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Project: 580 863214 - NEUROPA, UNADEV, the Institut National To obtain downsampled count data \tilde{n}_i^i (i = 1, 2, ..., M, set de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Sor-

^[1] Frances H Arnold. Design by directed evolution. Ac-589587 counts of chemical research **31**, 125 (1998). 588

^[2] Philip A Romero and Frances H Arnold. Exploring protein fitness landscapes by directed evolution. Nature re-

687

704

9

views Molecular cell biology 10, 866 (2009). 591

- Michael S Packer and David R Liu. Methods for the [3] 592
- directed evolution of proteins. Nature Reviews Genetics 593 657 16, 379 (2015). 594 658
- Kegin Chen and Frances H Arnold. Tuning the activity of 659 [18] [4] 595 an enzyme for unusual environments: sequential random 660 596
- mutagenesis of subtilisin E for catalysis in dimethylfor-661 597 mamide. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sci-598 662 ences 90, 5618 (1993). 599 663
- Nicholas J Turner. Directed evolution drives the next [5]664 600 generation of biocatalysts. Nature chemical biology 5, 665 601 567 (2009). 602
- Olga Khersonsky Tawfik and Dan S. Enzyme Promiscu-[6] 667 603 ity: A Mechanistic and Evolutionary Perspective. Annual 668 604 *Review of Biochemistry* **79**, 471 (2010). PMID: 20235827. 669 605
- [7]Robert E. Hawkins, Stephen J. Russell, and Greg Winter. 670 606 Selection of phage antibodies by binding affinity: Mim-607 671 icking affinity maturation. Journal of Molecular Biology 672 608 **226**, 889 (1992). 673 609
- [8] Eric T Boder, Katarina S Midelfort, and K Dane Wit-610 674 611 trup. Directed evolution of antibody fragments with 675 monovalent femtomolar antigen-binding affinity. Pro- 676 612 ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97, 10701 677 613 (2000).614 678
- [9] Luca Perabo, Hildegard Büning, David M Kofler, Mar-615 679 tin U Ried, Anne Girod, Clemens M Wendtner, Jörg En- 680 616 ssle, and Michael Hallek. In vitro selection of viral vec-617 681 tors with modified tropism: the adeno-associated virus 618 682 display. Molecular Therapy 8, 151 (2003). 619
- Narendra Maheshri, James T Koerber, Brian K Kas-[10]620 par, and David V Schaffer. Directed evolution of adeno-621 associated virus vields enhanced gene delivery vectors. 622 Nature biotechnology 24, 198 (2006). 623
- Stefan Michelfelder and Martin Trepel. Adeno-associated 688 [11] 624 viral vectors and their redirection to cell-type specific 689 625 receptors. Advances in genetics 67, 29 (2009). 626
- Deniz Dalkara, Leah C. Byrne, Ryan R. Klimczak, Meike 691 [12]627 Visel, Lu Yin, William H. Merigan, John G. Flannery, 692 628 and David V. Schaffer. In Vivo–Directed Evolution of 693 629 a New Adeno-Associated Virus for Therapeutic Outer 694 630
- Retinal Gene Delivery from the Vitreous. Science Trans-631 lational Medicine 5, 189ra76 (2013). 632
- [13]Jakob Körbelin, Timo Sieber, Stefan Michelfelder, Lars 697 [27] 633 Lunding, Elmar Spies, Agnes Hunger, Malik Alawi, 698 634 635 Kleopatra Rapti, Daniela Indenbirken, Oliver J Müller, 699 Renata Pasqualini, Wadih Arap, Jürgen A Kleinschmidt, 700 636 and Martin Trepel. Pulmonary Targeting of Adeno- 701 637 associated Viral Vectors by Next-generation Sequencing- 702 638 guided Screening of Random Capsid Displayed Peptide 703 639
- Libraries. Molecular Therapy 24, 1050 (2016). 640
- Leah C Byrne, Timothy P Day, Meike Visel, Jennifer A 705 [14] 641 Strazzeri, Cécile Fortuny, Deniz Dalkara, William H 706 642 Merigan, David V Schaffer, and John G Flannery. In 707 643 vivo-directed evolution of adeno-associated virus in the 708 644 primate retina. JCI insight 5 (2020). 645
- Mohammadsharif Tabebordbar, Kim A. Lagerborg, 710 [30] [15]646 Alexandra Stanton, Emily M. King, Simon Ye, Liana 711 647 Tellez, Allison Krunnfusz, Sahar Tavakoli, Jeffrey J. 712 648 Widrick, Kathleen A. Messemer, Emily C. Troiano, Be-713 649 hzad Moghadaszadeh, Bryan L. Peacker, Krystynne A. 714 650 Leacock, Naftali Horwitz, Alan H. Beggs, Amy J. Wa- 715 651 gers, and Pardis C. Sabeti. Directed evolution of a family 716 652 of AAV capsid variants enabling potent muscle-directed 717 653
- gene delivery across species. Cell 184, 4919 (2021). 654

- [16] https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/chemistry/2018/summary/. 655
- Sam Behjati and Patrick S Tarpey. What is next gen-656 [17]
 - eration sequencing? Archives of Disease in Childhood -Education and Practice 98, 236 (2013).
 - Shawn E. Levy and Richard M. Myers. Advancements in Next-Generation Sequencing. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 17, 95 (2016). PMID: 27362342.
- Douglas M Fowler, Carlos L Arava, Sarel J Fleishman, [19]Elizabeth H Kellogg, Jason J Stephany, David Baker, and Stanley Fields. High-resolution mapping of protein sequence-function relationships. Nature methods 7, 741 666 (2010)
 - [20]Ryan T. Hietpas, Jeffrey D. Jensen, and Daniel N. A. Bolon. Experimental illumination of a fitness landscape. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108, 7896 (2011).
 - [21]Douglas M Fowler and Stanley Fields. Deep mutational scanning: a new style of protein science. Nature methods **11**, 801 (2014).
 - [22]Alexandre Melnikov, Peter Rogov, Li Wang, Andreas Gnirke, and Tarjei S Mikkelsen. Comprehensive mutational scanning of a kinase in vivo reveals substratedependent fitness landscapes. Nucleic acids research 42, e112 (2014).
- [23]Lea M Starita, David L Young, Muhtadi Islam, Jacob O Kitzman, Justin Gullingsrud, Ronald J Hause, Douglas M Fowler, Jeffrey D Parvin, Jay Shendure, and Stanley Fields. Massively Parallel Functional Analysis 683 of BRCA1 RING Domain Variants. Genetics 200, 413 684 (2015).685
- 686 [24] Sebastian Matuszewski, Marcel E Hildebrandt, Ana-Hermina Ghenu, Jeffrey D Jensen, and Claudia Bank. A Statistical Guide to the Design of Deep Mutational Scanning Experiments. Genetics 204, 77 (2016).
- Nathan J Rollins, Kelly P Brock, Frank J Poelwijk, 690 [25] Michael A Stiffler, Nicholas P Gauthier, Chris Sander, and Debora S Marks. Inferring protein 3D structure from deep mutation scans. Nature genetics 51, 1170 (2019).
- [26]Jörn M Schmiedel and Ben Lehner. Determining protein structures using deep mutagenesis. Nature genetics 51, 695 1177 (2019). 696
 - Rupali P Patwardhan, Choli Lee, Oren Litvin, David L Young, Dana Pe'er, and Jay Shendure. High-resolution analysis of DNA regulatory elements by synthetic saturation mutagenesis. Nature biotechnology 27, 1173 (2009).
 - [28]Matthew S Rich, Celia Payen, Alan F Rubin, Giang T Ong, Monica R Sanchez, Nozomu Yachie, Maitreya J Dunham, and Stanley Fields. Comprehensive Analysis of the SUL1 Promoter of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 203, 191 (2016).
- [29]Olga Puchta, Botond Cseke, Hubert Czaja, David Tollervey, Guido Sanguinetti, and Grzegorz Kudla. Network of epistatic interactions within a yeast snoRNA. Science **352**, 840 (2016). 709
 - Carlos L. Arava, Douglas M. Fowler, Wentao Chen, Ike Muniez, Jeffery W. Kelly, and Stanley Fields. A fundamental protein property, thermodynamic stability, revealed solely from large-scale measurements of protein function. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 16858 (2012).
- [31]Alan F Rubin, Hannah Gelman, Nathan Lucas, Sandra M Bajjalieh, Anthony T Papenfuss, Terence P Speed, and Douglas M Fowler. A statistical framework for an-718

789

790

791

10

- alyzing deep mutational scanning data. Genome biology 782 719 **18**, 1 (2017). 783 720
- [32]Justus M. Kebschull and Anthony M. Zador. Sources of 784 721 PCR-induced distortions in high-throughput sequencing 722 785 data sets. Nucleic Acids Research 43, e143 (2015). 723
- [33]Katharine Best, Theres Oakes, James M Heather, John 724 787
- Shawe-Taylor, and Benny Chain. Computational analy-788 725
- sis of stochastic heterogeneity in PCR amplification effi-726
- ciency revealed by single molecule barcoding. Scientific 727 reports 5, 1 (2015). 728
- Vladimir Potapov and Jennifer L Ong. [34]Examining 729 sources of error in PCR by single-molecule sequencing. 730 *PloS one* **12**, e0169774 (2017). 731
- Simon Festing and Robin Wilkinson. The ethics of animal 732 [35] 795 research. EMBO reports 8, 526 (2007). 796 733
- [36] Leah Byrne, Timothy Day, Meike Visel, Deniz Dalkara, 797 734 Valerie Dufour, Felipe Pompeo Marinho, William Meri-735 798 gan, Gustavo Aguirre, William Beltran, David Schaffer, 799 736
- and John Flannery. Directed Evolution of AAV for Effi-737 800
- cient Gene Delivery to Canine and Primate Retina Raw 801 738 739 counts of variants from deep sequencing. Dryad, Dataset 802 803
- (2018). https://doi.org/10.6078/D1895R. 740
- [37]Sébastien Boyer, Dipanwita Biswas, Ananda Kumar 741 804 Soshee, Natale Scaramozzino, Clément Nizak, and 742 805 Olivier Rivoire. Hierarchy and extremes in selections 743 806 from pools of randomized proteins. Proceedings of the 744 807 National Academy of Sciences 113, 3482 (2016). 745
- Douglas M. Fowler, Carlos L. Araya, Wayne Gerard, and 809 [38] 746 Stanley Fields. Enrich: software for analysis of protein 810 747 function by enrichment and depletion of variants. Bioin-811 748 formatics 27, 3430 (2011). 749 812
- [39]Simon Anders and Wolfgang Huber. Differential expres- 813 750 sion analysis for sequence count data. Nature Precedings 814 751 pages 1 (2010). 815 752
- Davis J. McCarthy, Yunshun Chen, and Gordon K. 816 [40]753 Smyth. Differential expression analysis of multifactor 817 [53] 754 RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological varia- 818 755 tion. Nucleic Acids Research 40, 4288 (2012). 819 756
- [41] Michael I Love, Wolfgang Huber, and Simon Anders. 820 757
- Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for 821 758 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology 15, 1 822 759 (2014).823 760
- [42]Maximilian Puelma Touzel, Aleksandra M Walczak, and 824 761 Thierry Mora. Inferring the immune response from 825 762 763 repertoire sequencing. PLOS Computational Biology 16, 826 827
- e1007873 (2020). 764 Bilge E Öztürk, Molly E Johnson, Michael Kleyman, 828 [43]765
- Serhan Turunc, Jing He, Sara Jabalameli, Zhouhuan 829 766 Xi, Meike Visel, Valérie L Dufour, Simone Iwabe, Luis⁸³⁰ 767 Felipe L Pompeo Marinho, Gustavo D Aguirre, José- 831 768 769
- John G Flannery, William A Beltran, William R Stauffer, 833 770
- and Leah C Byrne. scAAVengr, a transcriptome-based ⁸³⁴ 771
- pipeline for quantitative ranking of engineered AAVs⁸³⁵ 772 with single-cell resolution. eLife **10**, e64175 (2021). 773
- 774 [44] Jorge Fernandez-de Cossio-Diaz, Guido Uguzzoni, and 837
- Andrea Pagnani. Unsupervised Inference of Protein Fit- 838 775 ness Landscape from Deep Mutational Scan. Molecular 839 776 Biology and Evolution **38**, 318 (2020). 840 777
- [45]Zachary Wu, S. B. Jennifer Kan, Russell D. Lewis, 841 778 Bruce J. Wittmann, and Frances H. Arnold. Machine 842 779 learning-assisted directed protein evolution with combi-843 780
- natorial libraries. Proceedings of the National Academy 844 781

of Sciences 116, 8852 (2019).

- [46] Richard J Fox, S Christopher Davis, Emily C Mundorff, Lisa M Newman, Vesna Gavrilovic, Steven K Ma, Loleta M Chung, Charlene Ching, Sarena Tam, Sheela Mulev, et al. Improving catalytic function by ProSAR-driven 786 enzyme evolution. Nature biotechnology 25, 338 (2007).
 - Philip A. Romero, Andreas Krause, and Frances H. [47]Arnold. Navigating the protein fitness landscape with Gaussian processes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, E193 (2013).
- Jakub Otwinowski, David M. McCandlish, and Joshua B. 792 48 Plotkin. Inferring the shape of global epistasis. Proceed-793 ings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, E7550 794 (2018).
 - [49]Frédéric Cadet, Nicolas Fontaine, Guangyue Li, Joaquin Sanchis, Matthieu Ng Fuk Chong, Rudy Pandjaitan, Ivanar Vetrivel, Bernard Offmann, and Manfred T Reetz. A machine learning approach for reliable prediction of amino acid interactions and its application in the directed evolution of enantioselective enzymes. Scientific reports 8, 1 (2018).
 - [50]Claire N Bedbrook, Kevin K Yang, J Elliott Robinson, Elisha D Mackey, Viviana Gradinaru, and Frances H Arnold. Machine learning-guided channelrhodopsin engineering enables minimally invasive optogenetics. Nature methods 16, 1176 (2019).
- Kevin K Yang, Zachary Wu, and Frances H Arnold. [51]808 Machine-learning-guided directed evolution for protein engineering. Nature methods 16, 687 (2019).
 - [52]Yuting Xu, Deeptak Verma, Robert P Sheridan, Andy Liaw, Junshui Ma, Nicholas M Marshall, John McIntosh, Edward C Sherer, Vladimir Svetnik, and Jennifer M Johnston. Deep dive into machine learning models for protein engineering. Journal of chemical information and modeling 60, 2773 (2020).
 - Drew H Bryant, Ali Bashir, Sam Sinai, Nina K Jain, Pierce J Ogden, Patrick F Riley, George M Church, Lucy J Colwell, and Eric D Kelsic. Deep diversification of an AAV capsid protein by machine learning. Nature Biotechnology 39, 691 (2021).
 - Claudia Bank, Ryan T Hietpas, Alex Wong, Daniel N [54]Bolon, and Jeffrey D Jensen. A Bayesian MCMC approach to assess the complete distribution of fitness effects of new mutations: uncovering the potential for adaptive walks in challenging environments. Genetics **196**, 841 (2014).
 - [55]Jakub Otwinowski. Biophysical Inference of Epistasis and the Effects of Mutations on Protein Stability and Function. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 2345 (2018).
- Alain Sahel, David V Schaffer, Andreas R Pfenning, 832 [56] Luca Sesta, Guido Uguzzoni, Jorge Fernandez-de Cossio-Diaz, and Andrea Pagnani. AMaLa: Analysis of Directed Evolution Experiments via Annealed Mutational Approximated Landscape. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22 (2021). 836
 - Andrea Di Gioacchino, Jonah Procyk, Marco Molari, [57]John S. Schreck, Yu Zhou, Yan Liu, Rémi Monasson, Simona Cocco, and Petr Šulc. Generative and interpretable machine learning for aptamer design and analysis of in vitro sequence selection. PLOS Computational Biology **18**, 1 (2022).
 - [58]James T Koerber, Narendra Maheshri, Brian K Kaspar, and David V Schaffer. Construction of diverse adeno-845 associated viral libraries for directed evolution of en-

11

- hanced gene delivery vehicles. Nature protocols $\mathbf{1}$, 701 846 (2006).
- 847

Supplementary information for Uncursing winner's curse: on-line monitoring of directed evolution convergence

Takahiro Nemoto,^{1, 2, 3, *} Tommaso Ocari,¹ Arthur Planul,¹ Muge Tekinsoy,¹ Emilia A. Zin,¹ Deniz Dalkara,^{1, †} and Ulisse Ferrari^{1, ‡}

¹Institut de la Vision, Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, 17 rue Moreau, 75012, Paris, France

²Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University,

Yoshida Hon-machi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan

³Premium Research Institute for Human Metaverse Medicine (WPI-PRIMe),

Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

^{*} nemoto.takahiro.prime@osaka-u.ac.jp

[†] deniz.dalkara@inserm.fr

 $^{^\}ddagger$ ulisse.ferrari@inserm.fr





FIG. S1. Negative binomial model accounts for NGS count noise better than Poisson model. (A) The poisson distribution (orange) and the negative binomial distribution (tableau blue) with the expected value $\lambda = 15$. The dispersion parameter r for the negative binomial distribution is set to 1, 2, ..., 500. The negative binomial distribution generalizes the Poisson distribution, allowing for large variances by decreasing r. It converges to the Poisson distribution in the large r limit. (B) In order to test the predictive ability of the negative binomial distribution, we performed the following experiment. Using a random peptide (of size 21 corresponding to a 7mer) as a barcode, we first barcoded a plasmid extracted from adeno-associated virus 2 (AAV2) wild type. The obtained 7-mer inserted library was then sent to NGS facility and the corresponding barcoded region was sequenced. Since these 21 nucleotides of barcode are randomly and independently generated, we can use a position weight matrix model to predict the frequency of each variant in the sample. Comparing the predicted frequency with the actual NGS reads, we investigate the noise distribution of NGS counts. (C) The graph showing the obtained counts (n) against the predicted frequencies multiplied by the total NGS reads (λ), where each point corresponds to a variant. We observe that the counts are largely dispersed. (D) The probability distribution of counts for a fixed value of λ together with the model predictions by Poisson distribution (left) and by the negative binomial distribution (right). The probability distribution is estimated in the following way: (i) picking up all the variants within 5 different colored rectangles in the panel (C). (ii) Using the variants corresponding to each rectangle, we then make a histogram of counts, which is plotted in the panel (D) as dots. For the Poisson prediction, we simply use the Poisson distribution with the mean $\lambda = 0.28, 0.7, 1.8, 7.1, 28$. For the negative binomial prediction, for each value of λ , we infer the dispersion parameter r via a maximum likelihood inference and fitted a power-law function $r = \beta \lambda^{\alpha}$ to the obtained estimations. The result is $r = 0.21 \lambda^{0.744}$. Using this relation, the negative binomial distribution is then plotted for each $\lambda = 0.28, 0.7, 1.8, 7.1, 28$. (E) Comparison of predictive abilities between the poisson model and the negative binomial model. To quantify the predictive ability of each model, we use Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL) defined as $KL = \sum_{n} P_{data}(n) \log(P_{data}(n)/P_{model}(n))$. The ratio between KL for the poisson model and KL for the negative binomial model is plotted for each value of λ . KL_{Poisson} itself is 0.37, 0.59, 0.87, 1.59, 3.29 for $\lambda = 0.28, 0.7, 1.8, 7.1, 28$, while KL_{NB} is 0.0053, 0.0099, 0.017, 0.032, 0.047.

S3



FIG. S2. Inference algorithm and synthetic teacher-student examples. (A) graphical illustration of the inference algorithm with its double loop structure. The internal loop accounts for the parameters of the exponential model (see Materials and Methods) and iterates between the inference of $(a^i, \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^M$ and C, while the external loop iterates between this internal loop and Methods) and iterates between the inference of $(a^i, \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^M$ and C, while the external loop iterates between this internal loop and the inference of the negative binomial parameters (α, β) . For the internal loop, we first infer $(a^i, \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^M$ for a fixed C, α, β by maximizing the likelihood function (2). C^* is then calculated from the obtained $(a^i, \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^M$ via $C^* = 1/\sum_i \rho_0^i \exp(a^i t)$. The linearly increasing part of $\log C^*$ is next subtracted as $\log C^* - (x^*t + y^*)$, where $x^*, y^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{x,y} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\log C - xt - y]^2$ (fixing a gauge). The obtained quantity is $\log C$ for the next iteration. For the external loop, to obtain (α, β) , we first infer the dispersion parameter r for a list of different values of λ . To do so, for a fixed value of λ , we select a set of index i and the time t by the condition $\lambda < \rho_t^i N_t < \lambda + \epsilon$ (with a small parameter ϵ). Only using these i and t, we then maximize the likelihood function (2) and determine the value of $r(\lambda)$. After obtaining the function $r(\lambda)$ for several values of λ , we fit a linear function $r(\lambda) = \alpha \lambda^{\beta}$ to it and determine α and β . (B,C,D) Ground truth comparisons for the synthetic data-rich dataset (Fig. 1E) after 30th iterations demonstrates that the algorithm can recover the generating parameters. In the panel B, we plot the estimated parameters $(a^i)_{i=1}^M$ (left) and $(\log \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^M$ (right) against their ground truths. The coefficient of determination R^2 is also shown. In the panel C, the normalization coefficient $(\bar{\theta}(t) \equiv \log C)$ is plotted together with its ground truth. In the panel D, the estimated $r(\lambda)$ with a fitted line $\beta \lambda^{\alpha}$ and its ground truth are shown. (E) While estimating $r(\lambda)$ for a fixed λ , maximizing the likelihood function (2) results in a biased estimation as shown in the panel E. For fixing this, we generate a synthetic data probe using the current estimation of α_0 and β_0 with $(\rho_t^i)_{i,t}$ and use it to unbias the r estimation. More precisely, denoting the biased estimation by $r_{\text{bias}}(\lambda)$ (panel E) and the estimation of the r in the probe by $r_1(\lambda)$, the unbiased estimator plotted in the panel D is obtained as $r(\lambda) = r_{\text{bias}}(\lambda)\beta_0\lambda^{\alpha_0}/r_1(\lambda)$. (F) To determine α and β , we use only representative variants, as described in Materials and Methods. For the representative variants, we select the variants whose total counts are larger than a threshold value $m_{\rm b}$. In (F), by using the synthetic data, we show that the inference results (α and β) are robust against the change of this parameter $m_{\rm b}$.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.03.522172; this version posted April 6, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.





FIG. S3. **RR** offers a proxy for the accuracy of the estimated scores. Here we use synthetic datasets, ranging from data-poor to data-rich regimes, to show that the empirical quantity RR correlates with our ability to recover the true values of scores a^i and initial frequencies ρ_0^i . For this, we generate synthetic datasets with different values of total NGS reads N and number of variants M: $(N, M) = (10^7, 5 \times 10^5)$; $(8 \times 10^6, 5 \times 10^5)$; $(6 \times 10^6, 5 \times 10^5)$; $(4 \times 10^6, 5 \times 10^5)$; $(2 \times 10^6, 5 \times 10^5)$; $(10^7, 10^6)$; $(8 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(4 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(2 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(10^6, 10^6)$; $(10^7, 10^6)$; $(8 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(4 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(2 \times 10^6, 10^6)$; $(10^6, 10^6)$. In these datasets, the parameters (α, β) are the same as those used in Fig.1E, F. (A) Coefficients of determination R^2 between inferred and true values for a^i (left) and ρ_0^i (right) are plotted against RR. This demonstrates the correlation between R^2 and RR. (B) The inference of $r(\lambda)$ and C^* is robust across all synthetic datasets.



FIG. S4. Ranking CI and rank robustness are accurately estimated also in the data-poor regime. A) For all the synthetic datasets introduced in Fig. S3. hit rates of the confidence interval of ranking graphs are plotted against their RR, where the hit rate is defined as the number of true ranking (red crosses in Fig.1E, F for example) that are within the 95%-confidence intervals (green lines in Fig.1E, F), divided by 50. The hit rates fluctuate around 0.95 as expected, demonstrating the quality of our estimation of ranking CI. B) Rank robustness (RR) estimated from inferred parameters is plotted against the true value (ground truth) for the synthetic datasets. The obtained high coefficient of determination shows that ACIDES can estimate RR also in the data-poor regime.



FIG. S5. **Downsampling NGS counts.** (A) For a given dataset of a DE experiment, we downsample the data, *i.e.*, create a synthetic dataset that corresponds to the dataset of the same DE experiments but with smaller values of the total NGS reads. For this, we first estimate the parameters of ACIDES from the dataset. We then generate synthetic NGS data using the likelihood function (2) with smaller numbers of the total NGS reads. For example, if we downsample the data to 40%, we set N_t to be $0.4N_t$. We then estimate RR using ACIDES for this downsampled dataset without reinferring α, β . (B) We show the validity of this down sampling method on the synthetic data. The parameters for the synthetic data are $(N_t, M) = (10^7, 5 \times 10^5)$, $(\alpha, \beta) = (0.69, 0.8)$ and $(a^i, \log \rho_0^i)_{i=1}^{M}$ generated from the normal distribution with the expected values (-1,1) and the standard deviation (0.25, 1). We plot RRs obtained from this downsampling method (blue circles) and from a standard sampling method (orange crosses) as a function of the total number of NGS (where 100% means the original data). Here the standard sampling method means using ACIDES directly on the dataset with the total number of NGS 0.01 xN_t , where x is the percentage of the total NGS reads (x-axis in the panel B). We observe that our downsampling method estimates well the RR.



FIG. S6. **Multiple replicates can be combined to increase RR.** We use the first 4 rounds of Data-A (so that RR is relatively small for a single replicate), and perform ACIDES for each replicate. (A) Ranking graphs for one (left), two (middle), three (right) replicates. To combine variant scores of two replicates (denoted by a_1 , a_2 with standard deviation δa_1 , δa_2), we use $(a_1\delta a_2^2 + a_2\delta a_1^2)/(\delta a_1^2 + \delta a_2^2)$ for the combined score and $\sqrt{\delta a_1^2 \delta a_2^2}/(\delta a_1^2 + \delta a_2^2)$ for the combined standard deviation. (B) RR for the three cases.

S5



FIG. S7. ACIDES outperforms previous methods in the estimation of score's statistical errors. Using replicates in Data-A and Data-B (Table 1), we study the consistency of error bars in ACIDES and in *Enrich2*. Denoting by a_1 , a_2 the scores of a variant in replicate 1 and 2 (similarly by δa_1 , δa_2 the standard deviations of the scores), we study the following quantity $z = (a_1 - a_2)/\sqrt{\delta a_1^2 + \delta a_2^2}$ and compute the histogram of this quantity over different variants. Under the assumption that both scores are distributed following the normal distribution, this obtained histogram is approximated by the standard normal distribution. (A,B) Cumulative distributions of the histograms for *Enrich2* (A) and ACIDES (B) together with the cumulative standard normal distribution. We use 1– Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) statistics (the maximum distance between two distributions measured in the y-direction) to quantify the distance between the histogram and the normal distribution. (C) To study 1 – KS more systematically, we introduce a threshold for the score statistical errors (Materials and Methods) by which we reduce the amount of data. For each fraction of the data, we estimate 1 – KS and plot them in the panel C. The stars in the panel correspond to panels A and B. (D) Finally, using all possible combinations of technical replicates in Data-A and Data-B, we compare ACIDES and *Enrich2*. We compute the area under curve (AUC) of 1 – KS (the panel C) for all combinations. ACIDES always shows better performance than *Enrich2*. The arrow in the panel D indicates the replicate combination used in the panels A-C.