Legionella pneumophila macrophage infectivity potentiator protein appendage domains modulate protein dynamics and inhibitor binding
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Macrophage infectivity potentiator (MIP) proteins are widespread in human pathogens including *Legionella pneumophila*, the causative agent of Legionnaires’ disease and protozoans such as *Trypanosoma cruzi*. All MIP proteins contain a FKBP (FK506 binding protein)-like prolyl-cis/trans-isomerase domain that hence presents an attractive drug target. Some MIPs such as the *Legionella* protein (*Lp*MIP) have additional appendage domains of mostly unknown function. In full-length, homodimeric *Lp*MIP, the N-terminal dimerization domain is linked to the FKBP-like domain via a long, free-standing stalk helix. Combining X-ray crystallography, NMR and EPR spectroscopy and SAXS, we elucidated the importance of the stalk helix for protein dynamics and inhibitor binding to the FKBP-like domain and bidirectional crosstalk between the different protein regions. The first comparison of a microbial MIP and a human FKBP in complex with the same synthetic inhibitor was made possible by high-resolution structures of *Lp*MIP with a [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide and provides a basis for designing pathogen-selective inhibitors. Through stereospecific methylation, the affinity of inhibitors to *L. pneumophila* and *T. cruzi* MIP was greatly improved. The resulting X-ray inhibitor-complex structures of *Lp*MIP and TcMIP at 1.49 and 1.34 Å, respectively, provide a starting point for developing potent inhibitors against MIPs from multiple pathogenic microorganisms.
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**Introduction**

Bacterial parasitism is a wide-spread phenomenon and a serious health concern [1]. Approximately half of all identified Legionella species are associated with human disease, but most human legionellosis are caused by *Legionella pneumophila* [2]. In their natural fresh water reservoir habitat, these facultative intracellular gram-negative bacteria infect protozoa, where, protected from harsh environmental conditions, they find optimal conditions for intracellular replication while benefiting from the nutrient supply provided by the host [3]. After aspiration of contaminated water from e.g. air conditioners or hot water cisterns, *L. pneumophila* can also invade alveolar macrophages in the human lung thereby mimicking the infection of its native amoebal host [2,4,5]. This may result in severe infections such as Legionnaires’ disease or the more benign Pontiac disease [2,4]. Although *Legionella* infections can be treated with antibiotics, Legionnaires’ disease nonetheless has a mortality rate of ~10%, which is likely even higher in older or immunocompromised patients [6].

To promote uptake into a host cell, *L. pneumophila* relies on a number of proteins, including MIP (Macrophage infectivity potentiator), the first identified *L. pneumophila* virulence factor [7–9]. *Legionella pneumophila* MIP (*Lp*MIP) improves the environmental fitness of the bacterium and facilitates the progression of the early stages of the intracellular infection cycle [9–11]. Genetic deletion of *Lp*MIP results in a reduced intracellular replication rate [9,12].

*Lp*MIP is a homodimeric protein consisting of an N-terminal dimerization domain, a 65Å long, free-standing α-helix, the “stalk helix”, and a C-terminal peptidyl prolyl-cis/trans-isomerase (PPIase) domain [13–15]. Structurally, the PPIase domain belongs to the FK506-binding proteins (FKBPs) named after their interaction with the natural product macrolide lactone FK506 [16,17]. In FKBPs, an amphipathic five-stranded β-sheet wraps around an α-helix thus forming a hydrophobic cavity that binds substrates and inhibitors [18]. Although the molecular mechanism of *Lp*MIP action in infection and its molecular target(s) remain unclear, it was implicated in host collagen interaction and subsequent epithelial barrier transmigration [19,20]. Nonetheless, the interaction between *Lp*MIP and collagen could not be mapped in detail, and instead of using classic chemical shift perturbations (CSP), NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectroscopic PREs (paramagnetic relaxation enhancement) of spin-labeled collagen peptides had to be used to detect binding to *Lp*MIP [19], suggesting weak binding affinities. In contrast, unambiguous binding site mapping to *Lp*MIP has been shown by NMR CSP for rapamycin, a macrolide which also inhibits human FKBPs [21].

MIP proteins are widely expressed in many other human pathogenic microorganisms such as *Chlamydia spp.* [22], *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [23], the entero-pathogen *Salmonella typhimurium* [24], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [25], and intracellular parasitic protozoans such as *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas disease in South and Central America [26–28]. Hence, the PPIase domains of MIP proteins are attractive antimicrobial and antiparasitic drug targets [29], however their shallow ligand binding pocket and similarity to human FKBPs render selective drug design challenging [30,31]. No structures of a *Legionella* MIP with a synthetic inhibitor are available to date and, in the absence of a high-
resolution structure of a microbial MIP and human FKBP MIP in complex with the same synthetic inhibitor, no side-by-side structural comparison is currently possible.

Limited structural information of LpMIP is available, with only a crystal structure of the apo full-length homodimer (PDB: 1FD9) [14] and the NMR solution structures of an apo and rapamycin-bound truncation mutant (PDB: 2UZ5, 2VCD) [21]. This construct, LpMIP77-213, comprises the C-terminal half of the stalk helix followed by the FKBP-like domain and thus resembles the architecture of the constitutively monomeric T. cruzi MIP protein [26]. Other pathogens such as Burkholderia pseudomallei, the bacterium causing melioidosis, express even more minimalistic MIP proteins, lacking both dimerization domain and the complete stalk helix [32,33].

The role of MIP appendage domains, or the consequences of their (partial) absence, remains unclear. However, homodimeric, full-length MIP from Legionella pneumophila presents a unique opportunity to explore the role of these domains in conformational flexibility and inhibitor binding. Here, we combined X-ray crystallography, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy to uncover the importance of the LpMIP stalk helix for the protein’s functional dynamics and to identify similarities and differences in inhibitor binding among MIP proteins from various human pathogenic microorganisms and human FKBPs.

Results

Structural dynamics of full-length LpMIP and consequences of inhibitor binding

Comparing our crystal structure of homodimeric full-length LpMIP with improved resolution (1.71 Å, PDB: 8BJC) to the previously published one (2.41 Å, PDB: 1FD9 [14]), revealed a ~18° splay between the stalk helices in the two structures (Fig. 1A, B). The higher resolution of our electron density map allowed unambiguous placement and assignment of all stalk helix residues (Fig. 1C, Table S1). Furthermore, the stalk helix is not involved in crystal contacts suggesting that intrinsic conformational heterogeneity is responsible for the observed differences between the two structures.

The splaying of the stalk helix, which emanates from the mid-helix residues 76EFNKK80, results in a relative reorientation of the attached FKBP-like domains in the two crystal structures. Nonetheless, both globular domains align with an RMSD of 0.214 Å (Fig. 1D). The main structural differences between the two FKBP-like domain structures were observed in the loop between β-strand 4 and 5, resulting in a different side-chain orientation for residue S189. Minor side-chain rearrangements were also seen for residues D142, V158 and Y185 in the active site which may however result from the different resolutions of the two structures.

Although microbial MIP proteins are promising drug targets, the structural similarity to human FKBPs raises concerns about possible cross-reactivity and off-target effects [34,35]. Naturally occurring inhibitors such as rapamycin (sirolimus) are large and chemically complex, poorly soluble in...
water, and have severe immunosuppressive effects limiting their use to treat microbial infection [36].

The comparison of human FKBP and pathogenic microbial MIP proteins bound to a chemically simpler, synthetic inhibitor molecules could thus present an important step towards improving ligand selectivity. Recently, an inhibitory effect of [4.3.1] bicyclic sulfonamides on *L. pneumophila* proliferation in macrophages was demonstrated [34]. One such molecule, (1S,5S,6R)-10-((3,5-dichloro phenyl)sulfonyl)-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-3,10-diazabicyclo[4.3.1]decan-2-one (JK095, Scheme 1), was co-crystallized with a human FKBP51 domain construct [34]. We thus deemed this compound a promising candidate for structural studies with MIP proteins from human pathogens and downstream structural comparison with human FKBPs. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) confirmed that JK095 indeed interacts with microbial MIP proteins and *Lp*MIP variants (see below) and binds to full-length *Lp*MIP with a dissociation constant of 2.79 ± 0.4 μM (Fig. S1).

We also determined the structure of full-length *Lp*MIP in complex with JK095 by X-ray crystallography at 2.4 Å resolution (PDB: 8BJD) (Fig. 2A). The most notable structural differences between the crystal structures of apo and JK095-bound *Lp*MIP is the rearrangement of the loop connecting β-strands β4 and β5 near the stalk helix. Ligand binding to *Lp*MIP in solution was probed by titrating 2H, 15N-labeled *Lp*MIP with JK095 (Fig. 2B, C). Chemical shift perturbations were observed in the FKBP-like domain, consistent with the binding site identified in the crystal structure. In addition, residues within the FKBP domain facing the stalk helix, the stalk helix and the dimerization domain show chemical shift perturbations upon JK095 binding. In the apo state, the amide resonances between residues ~57-76 in the N-terminal half of the *Lp*MIP stalk helix show severe line broadening and were thus not visible in the protein’s 1H, 15N-HSQC NMR spectrum (Fig. 2C, Fig. S2A). Upon addition of JK095, these resonances become visible in the spectra. This suggests that motions in the μs-ms timescale, which are responsible for the peak broadening in the NMR spectrum, are quenched by the ligand whose interaction with the FKBP-like domain is allosterically communicated into the stalk helix and dimerization domain.

While crystallographic B-factors are generally less well suited to assess dynamic changes, the observed lower values in the presence of JK095 agree with the NMR spectroscopic results (Fig. 2D, E).

To assess the structural dynamics of *Lp*MIP both locally and on a global scale in solution, we combined NMR relaxation studies with pulsed electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy and small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) (Fig. 3, Fig. S3-S6). NMR relaxation experiments informing on fast, ps-ns amide bond fluctuations and dynamics overlying the protein’s global rotational dynamics show that *Lp*MIP is relatively rigid on the assessed timescale, except for the very N-terminus, the linker between β3a and β3b, the linker between β4 and β5 and the C-terminus (Fig. S3). In contrast to the influence of JK095 on the protein dynamics on slower timescales, as was apparent through the changes in line broadening, fast backbone dynamics were not, or only marginally affected by the inhibitor.
The results from EPR spectroscopy and SAXS further provide evidence of the high flexibility of LpMIP in solution (Fig. 3). LpMIP does not contain native cysteine residues. Thus, single cysteine mutants in the middle of the stalk helix (LpMIP K80C) and at the C-terminal end of the FKBP-like domain (LpMIP S208C) were introduced and labeled with nitrooxide spin labels (Fig. 3A, Fig. S4, S5). Continuous wave EPR confirmed a satisfactory labeling efficiency at both positions (Fig. 3B).

Pulsed EPR spectroscopy (pulsed electron-electron double resonance (PELDOR, also known as DEER)) was used to determine the distances between the two spin-labeled sites, and the measurements were compared to simulations of the spin pair distance distributions based on the available crystal structures (Fig. 3C-E, Table S2). The distance distributions obtained from spin labeled LpMIP K80C and S208C were broader than expected from the crystal structures, indicating that these structures represent only a subset of conformers in solution. Upon addition of JK095, no significant changes were observed for LpMIP K80C, while for S208C the overall distribution shifted towards shorter distances. This could be explained e.g. by structural changes of the two FKBP domains moving closer together. Of note, the related NMR data show that at a molar protein:inhibitor ratio of 1:3 (n/n), the complex is already fully saturated. The EPR measurements were carried out with a protein:inhibitor ratio of 1:5, indicating that even when fully occupied, the “closed” conformation is only transiently populated.

Extensive structural dynamics of LpMIP in solution are also apparent from SEC-SAXS experiments (Fig. 3F-I, Fig. S6, Table S3). Here, the LpMIP scattering profiles did not match a simulated scattering curve using the available crystal structure, again suggesting a more complex conformational ensemble in solution. For a better fit with the experimental SAXS data of LpMIP in solution, SREFLEX modeling was carried out [37] and LpMIP structural models with straight and kinked stalk helices were obtained (Fig. 3H, I). While there were no discernible differences between the apo and JK095-bound state in the LpMIP SREFLEX models, which may reflect the loss of JK095 during the size exclusion run (see below), the SAXS data show high domain flexibility concurrent with the EPR experiments.

The appendage domains influence LpMIP dynamics and stability

Due to their high expression yields and solubility, deletion rather than full-length constructs have frequently been used for structural studies of both MIP and FKBP inhibitor complexes [21,38]. However, this may not only inadequately reflect the complexity of the therapeutic target, but also compounds a lack of understanding how the appendage domains affect protein structural dynamics and inhibitor binding. This question is exacerbated by our observation that ligand binding to the FKBP-like domains is sensed throughout the entire protein (Fig. 2).

In combination with our structural and spectroscopic studies on full-length LpMIP, the modular architecture of LpMIP provides a unique opportunity to explore such questions through deletion mutants. To emulate the structural diversity of MIP proteins from other human-pathogenic microbes, we generated two shortened LpMIP constructs, LpMIP$^{77-213}$ and LpMIP$^{100-213}$ (Fig. S7A). LpMIP$^{77-213}$, containing the FKBP-like domain and a bisected stalk helix thus resembling T. cruzi MIP [26], is the...
construct typically used in \textit{in vitro} ligand binding studies \cite{20,21,39}. \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$, which consists solely of the FKBP domain, resembles e.g. \textit{B. pseudomallei} MIP \cite{33}. Both \textit{LpMIP}$_{77-213}$ and \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$ are monomeric and structurally intact as seen by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (Fig. S7B-D). In a fluorescence-based assay, we saw that the melting temperature (\(T_m\)) depended greatly on the protein’s appendage domains. (Fig. 4A). With 51.4 ± 0.3 °C, the \(T_m\) of \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$ was found to be −14°C below that of the slightly longer construct \textit{LpMIP}$_{77-213}$ (64.6 ± 0.6 °C) and −9 °C lower than that of full-length \textit{LpMIP} (60.7 ± 0.3 °C) (Fig 4A top). In all three constructs, addition of JK095 led to an increase in the melting temperature commensurate with protein stabilization upon inhibitor binding (Fig. 4A bottom). However, this effect was less pronounced for \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$ (\(\Delta T_m(JK095\text{-apo}) = +2.8 \) °C) compared to both longer constructs (\(\Delta T_m(JK095\text{-apo}) = +3.8 \) °C). This may reflect the strongly reduced binding affinity of JK095 to \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$ \(K_d = 45.8 ± 20 \) μM) compared to \textit{LpMIP}$_{77-213}$ \(K_d = 2.5 ± 0.5 \) μM) and full-length \textit{LpMIP} \(K_d = 2.8 ± 0.4 \) μM) (Fig. S1). The differences in \(T_m\) and inhibitor binding affinity suggest that the appendage domains, in particular the part of the stalk helix directly preceding the FKBP domain, play an important role in protein stability and ligand binding.

To investigate the structural crosstalk between appendage and FKBP domains in \textit{LpMIP} in more detail, we used NMR spectroscopy. With the backbone assignments of all three \textit{LpMIP} constructs in the \textit{apo} and JK095-bound states (Fig. S2), the chemical shifts for residues within the FKBP-like domains were compared (Fig. 4C, D). In the absence of inhibitor, there were only minor differences between full-length \textit{LpMIP} and \textit{LpMIP}$_{77-213}$, except for the very N-terminal residues where the cleavage site is located (Fig 4C top, orange). Interestingly, differences between the two constructs became slightly more pronounced in the presence of JK095, particularly for residues in the β4/β5 loop (Fig 4C bottom, orange).

In contrast, the comparison between full-length \textit{LpMIP} with \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$ already showed strong chemical shift perturbations in the \textit{apo} state (Fig 4C top, cyan). Most notable were the effects in the vicinity of residue 160 within the canonical ligand binding site, and between residues 180 and 200, which are part of the long loop between β-strands 4 and 5 and form an interaction network with the C-terminal half of the stalk helix (Fig. 4D, E). Furthermore, in the $^1$H, $^{15}$N-HSQC spectrum of \textit{LpMIP}$_{100-213}$, no or extremely weak resonances for S115-N117, K146/T147, I159 and R188 were observed, while these were clearly visible in both longer constructs (Fig. 4D, E, Fig. S2). This suggests that these regions show altered dynamics in the absence of the stalk helix. However, except for residue I159 as well as R188 in the β4/5 loop, none of these residues are directly involved in FKBP/stalk helix interactions or part of the canonical ligand binding site, thus suggesting allosteric effects on the canonical binding site through the stalk helix. Potentially, such long-range crosstalk could be mediated through a hydrophobic interaction network between the stalk helix and FKBP-like domain (Fig. 4E).
Since the residues across all three full-length \textit{LpM}IP domains showed no significant differences in their respective backbone dynamics in the ps-ns timescale in $^{1}H^{15}N$-hetNOE experiments between the \textit{apo} and the JK095-bound states (Fig. S3A), stalk helix removal seems to mostly affect slower, $\mu$s-\textit{ms} motions within the FKBP-like domain. In the absence of the stalk helix, increased hetNOE values for \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$ and \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ indicate slightly subdued backbone dynamics of the FKBP-like domain within the loops connecting $\beta$3a/$\beta$3b and $\beta$4/$\beta$5, both in the absence and presence of JK095 (Fig. S3B, C).

\textbf{Role of the appendage domains for FKBP-like domain inhibitor binding}

To gauge a possible structural role of the appendage domains for ligand binding in \textit{LpM}IP as suggested by our thermostability assays and NMR data (Fig. 4), we determined the crystal structures of \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$ (PDB: 8BK5) and \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ (PDB: 8BK6) with JK095 at 2.26 and 1.49 \AA{} resolution, respectively (Fig. 5A). These complement the crystal structure of full-length \textit{LpM}IP with JK095 (PDB: 8BJD, Fig. 2). The largest structural differences across all three \textit{LpM}IP constructs are observed in the $\beta$4/$\beta$5 loop, while the side chains of the active site residues adopted nearly identical orientations. JK095 bound to \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$ adopted a very similar binding stance as seen in the canonical binding pocket of full-length \textit{LpM}IP (Fig. 5A, B). However, in \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$, the inhibitor’s hydroxymethyl group adopted two orientations while in full-length \textit{LpM}IP, only the orientation facing away from the sidechain of D142 was observed, thereby forgoing the formation of a possible hydrogen bond interaction. Furthermore, the pyridine ring nitrogen was 2.7 \AA{} away from the Y185 sidechain hydroxyl group in \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$, while this distance increased to 3.7 \AA{} in full-length \textit{LpM}IP.

In contrast to the two longer constructs, the inhibitor binding site in \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ was not clearly defined in the crystal structure (Fig. S8). To verify the possibility of drastically altered ligand interaction to the FKBP-like domain in the absence of the appendage domains in solution, we compared the chemical shift perturbations of the three $^{15}N$-labeled \textit{LpM}IP constructs titrated with JK095 (Fig. 5D, E, Fig. S2A-C). As expected, the chemical shift changes in full-length \textit{LpM}IP and \textit{LpM}IP$^{77-213}$ agree with the binding site observed in the respective complex crystal structures. In stark contrast, addition of JK095 to \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ affected a significantly larger number of residues and the chemical shift perturbation pattern was not restricted to the canonical ligand binding site. Of note, \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ crystallized as a parallel dimer with the loop between $\beta$4 and $\beta$5 mediating many of the dimer contacts (PDB: 8BK6, Fig S8).

These loops showed the largest structural differences between the two \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ protomers in the unit cell and the largest chemical shift changes upon addition of JK095 in the NMR experiments. We thus wondered whether transient oligomerization could be responsible for the extensive JK095-dependent chemical shift perturbations in the 12 kDa \textit{LpM}IP$^{100-213}$ construct. Under the assumption of isotropic tumbling, a rotation correlation time $\tau_c$ of 5.6 ns can be approximated according to the Stokes-Einstein equation for a spherical globular, monomeric protein of that size at 25 °C (see material and methods for details). By applying an empirical formula [40], a $\tau_c$ value of 7.3 ns can be derived for a 12 kDa
molecule. Accordingly, neither the overall narrow line widths in the NMR spectra of $^{15}$N-labeled $Lp$MIP$^{100-213}$ (Fig. S2C), nor the experimentally determined rotation correlation times ($\tau_r = 6.8 \pm 0.9$ ns for the apo protein, $\tau_r = 6.4 \pm 0.7$ ns in the presence of JK095) are indicative of inhibitor-induced dimer formation of $Lp$MIP$^{100-213}$. Rather, the extensive NMR chemical shift perturbations in $Lp$MIP$^{100-213}$ upon addition of JK095 are likely caused by the non-specific interaction with the inhibitor. This finding supports the notion that the $Lp$MIP appendage domains, particularly the C-terminal half of the stalk helix, play a decisive role in ligand binding to and dynamics within the FKBP domain.

Comparison of $Lp$MIP and human FKBP51 in complex with the same [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide inhibitor

$Lp$MIP$^{77-213}$ shares 32% sequence similarity with a construct of human FKBP51 (residues 16-140) that was recently co-crystallized with JK095 [41]. The two complex crystal structures (PDB IDs: 50BK, 8BK5) align with a backbone RMSD of 0.776Å (Fig. 6A). All residues interacting with JK095 are conserved between the two proteins (Fig. 6B). A conserved tyrosine residue (Y113/Y185 in FKBP51/$Lp$MIP) responsible for forming a H-bond to the nitrogen of the pyridine or bicycle of the inhibitor adopted the same orientation in both proteins. The sidechain of residue 159 forms a hydrophobic lid below the bi-cycle by forming van der Waals contacts with the inhibitor’s bicycle carboxy group. In addition, a barrage of aromatic residues in either protein nestles the bi-cyclic inhibitor core from below (Fig. 6B).

The inhibitor’s pyridine group, bi-cyclic core and sulfonamide group align well between the two proteins, only the di-chlorophenyl moiety is slightly differently tilted. Slight structural variations in the $\beta_3a$-strand within the FKBP domain were found between FKBP51 and $Lp$MIP, namely across residues $^{67}$FDS$^{69}$ and $^{141}$FDS$^{143}$, respectively. The aromatic residue in this stretch may stabilize the di-chlorophenyl moiety through T-shaped π stacking. Inhibitor binding may also be affected by the structural and sequential differences in the loop connecting $\beta_4$ and $\beta_5$ ($^{117}$GSLPK$^{122}$ in FKBP51 and $^{188}$SVGGR$^{194}$ in $Lp$MIP). Sitting on top of the di-chlorophenyl moiety of the ligand, the respective isoleucine residue within this stretch, together with the abovementioned phenylalanine in $\beta_3a$, form a hydrophobic platform against which the di-chlorophenyl ring rests. In the case of FKBP51, the sidechain of S118 may additionally contact one chloro-substituent and thereby help to orient it. In contrast, the loop orientation observed in the $Lp$MIP$^{77-213}$ crystal structure may disfavor interactions of either of the two chlorine groups with loop sidechains. The structural perturbation of the $^{67/141}$FDS$^{69/143}$ motif in the $\beta_3a$-strand also led to slightly different orientations of its central aspartic acid sidechain when comparing the structures of FKBP5116-140 and $Lp$MIP$^{77-213}$. In both cases, the bound JK095 ligand’s hydroxymethyl group adopts two orientations. However, in FKBP5116-140, neither orientation comes close enough to form a hydrogen bond with the aspartic acid side chain of D68 (O-O distance 4.0 Å). In contrast, in $Lp$MIP$^{77-213}$, in one of the two orientations the distance to the corresponding residue D142 is reduced by
0.9 Å compared to FKBP51\textsuperscript{16-140}. In the other orientation, the inhibitor hydroxyl group can form hydrogen bonds with water molecules (see below).

**Methylation leads to improved inhibitor binding to MIPs from different pathogenic microorganisms**

It was recently observed that the stereospecific introduction of a methyl group at the C\textsubscript{\textalpha} position of the pyridine substituent of bicyclic [4.3.1]-aza-amide inhibitors significantly increased their affinity for FKBP51 due to displacement of a surface water molecule and the associated entropic gain \textsuperscript{[41]}. JK095 does not carry such a methyl group and in our complex structure with \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213}, we observed a crystallographic water in a similar surface position as the one that originally inspired the inhibitor methylation studies for human FKBP51 \textsuperscript{[41]} (Fig. 7A). We thus wondered whether inhibitor methylation may be used to improve the affinity of bicyclic sulfonamides for MIP proteins from pathogenic microorganisms. To test this hypothesis, we introduced a methyl group into JK095, yielding JK236 (Scheme 1) and determined the co-crystal structure of \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} with JK236 at 1.49 Å resolution (PDB: 8BJE) (Fig. 7B-D).

Overall, the structures of \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} with JK095 and JK236 align with an RMSD of 0.283 Å and show no notable differences in protein sidechain or inhibitor conformations. Together with NMR chemical shift perturbation data of \textsuperscript{15}N-labeled \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} titrated with JK095 or JK236 (Fig. 7E, F, Fig. S2D), this confirmed that both ligands interact in a highly similar fashion with the \textit{LpMIP} FKBP-like domain. Furthermore, pulsed EPR measurements of spin-labeled full-length \textit{LpMIP} K80C and \textit{LpMIP} S208C showed that JK236 affects the structural ensemble of full-length \textit{LpMIP} in a similar manner as JK095 (Fig. 7G, Fig. S5, S6).

Nonetheless, the binding affinity of JK236 to \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} and full-length \textit{LpMIP} was increased by roughly one order of magnitude for the methylated ($K_d = 440 \pm 204$ nM and $391 \pm 48$ nM), compared to the unmethylated compound ($2.5 \pm 0.5$ μM and $2.8 \pm 0.4$ μM) (Fig. S1). In line with a less defined inhibitor interaction site in \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{100-213}, this increase in affinity was much less pronounced for the shortest \textit{LpMIP} construct ($K_d = 45.8 \pm 20.4$ μM vs $51 \pm 1.4$ μM for JK095 and JK236, respectively). A surface water molecule is indeed displaced in the JK236 co-crystal structure compared to the complex with JK095 (Fig. 7A). While the two inhibitors bound to \textit{LpMIP} superimpose nearly perfectly, the orientation of the hydroxymethyl group is fixed in JK236 in contrast to the two orientations observed for JK095. In JK236, the hydroxymethyl group faces away from the sidechain of D142 and instead exclusively forms a hydrogen bridge with a water molecule. At a resolution of 1.49 Å, the additional methyl group in JK236 can also be placed unambiguously in the crystal structure and is seen to point into the solvent where it does not undergo any protein contacts but rather displaces a water molecule (Fig. 7A). This shows that the methylation of bicyclic ligands to obtain high-affinity binders through surface water displacement is feasible for \textit{LpMIP} and may constitute a general concept for FKBP51 due to displacement of a surface water molecule and the associated entropic gain \textsuperscript{[41]}.
To gauge whether methylation for improved binding is indeed applicable to MIPs from other human pathogens including those of eukaryotic origin, we turned to the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas disease. With a free-standing stalk helix and a prototypical FKBP domain, the *T. cruzi* MIP protein (*Tc*MIP) structurally resembles the *Lp*MIP77-213 construct lacking the dimerization domain and N-terminal half of the stalk helix (Fig. 8).

Similar to *Lp*MIP, ligand binding to *Tc*MIP was significantly improved for the methylated compound ($K_d$ = 150 ± 68 nM (JK236) versus 594 ± 55 nM (JK095)) (Fig. S1). Our crystal structure of *Tc*MIP in complex with JK236 (PDB: 8BK4) at 1.34 Å resolution confirms the interaction of JK236 with the canonical binding site in the FKBP-like domain and a highly similar interaction mode as seen for *Lp*MIP (Fig. 8, Fig. S9).

The complex structure aligns to the previously published structure of apo *Tc*MIP (PDB: 1JVW) [26] with an RMSD of 0.499 Å (Fig. 8A). The largest differences between the two proteins are seen again in the loop connecting β-strands 4 and 5, as well as in β-strand 3a. In the *Tc*MIP apo structure, multiple water molecules are found around the substrate binding site which are absent with JK236, but no surface water molecule is seen in the same position as detected in JK095-bound FKB51 [34] and *Lp*MIP. However, due to the lack of a complex structure of *Tc*MIP with JK095, it is difficult to assess the consequences of inhibitor methylation on water occupancy in *Tc*MIP in detail. Nonetheless, the similar gain in binding affinity through the introduction of the methyl group into the bi-cyclic inhibitor indicates a similar mode of action that can be exploited for the development of high-affinity binders against MIP proteins from various pathogens. The availability of two structures of MIP proteins from highly diverse pathogenic microorganisms in complex with the same synthetic inhibitor now also provides a unique opportunity to elucidate the possibility to generate pan-inhibitors.

**Discussion**

The role of MIPs as widespread microbial virulence factors has spurred efforts to develop inhibitors targeting the MIP FKBP-like domain as the most conserved MIP domain. However, many MIP proteins contain additional appendage domains of unknown function. This prompted us to investigate the interdomain crosstalk and dynamics of the homodimeric *Legionella pneumophila* MIP protein as a representative model system for multi-domain MIPs in more detail.

Intrinsic structural flexibility seems to be a hallmark of homodimeric MIP proteins from pathogenic microorganisms [42]. Not only did we notice significant stalk helix splaying between the two available crystal structures of full-length *Lp*MIP in the absence of a ligand, but a recently published structure of unliganded, homodimeric *P. aeruginosa* FkbA, which shares the same three-domain architecture, showed both straight and bent stalk helices in the crystal structure [25]. It has been suggested that variations in crystal structures are a good proxy for dynamics in solution [43] and in the case of *Lp*MIP, we can support and extend this notion with EPR and NMR spectroscopy as well as SAXS. Our crystal
structures provide a glimpse of the protein's dynamics, but the full extent of its domain gymnastics in solution required a multi-faceted approach.

Using NMR spectroscopy, we identified a dynamic hotspot in the central stalk helix of LpMIP. This is also the region that shows extensive kinking in our SAXS SREFLEX models. A difference in "bending" of the central stalk helix was mentioned previously for a co-crystal of full-length LpMIP with FK506 [14], although the corresponding data set has never been submitted to the PDB and thus cannot be analyzed in detail here. We saw that binding of a bi-cyclic vinylsulfone inhibitor led to a rigidification of the N-terminal half of the stalk helix. Likewise, Pervushin and colleagues reported that the E. coli FkpA stalk helix rigidifies in the presence of a client protein and led to reduced interdomain mobility [42].

Comparing JK095-bound LpMIP77-213 with the rapamycin-bound protein (Fig. S10), shows the relative displacement of the ligand enclosing sidechains and indicates that the active site of LpMIP displays a conformational flexibility commensurate with its ability to bind to differently sized ligands. Across all our structures, the β4/β5 loop, which interacts with the stalk helix and may thus serve as a substrate-selective communication node between stalk and FKBP-like domain, showed the most structural variations. In contrast to previous observations with rapamycin [21], no significant rigidification of FKBP-like domain loops on very fast timescales was observed with JK095, while slower dynamics were quenched throughout the protein upon ligand binding. Different inhibitor molecules could thus potentially mimic the structural and dynamic consequences of diverse, yet unidentified, native ligands. Unfortunately, the affinity of collagen peptides, the only known native LpMIP substrate to date [19,20], is too low for detailed structural and dynamic analysis.

Furthermore, the addition of bi-cyclic inhibitors led to a population shift but not a full transition to a "closed" conformation with decreased distances between the FKBP-like domains in our EPR experiments. Whether this is a general feature of LpMIP ligands or unique to the tested inhibitors is unknown. Future ligand screening could explore whether the ability of ligands to shift the LpMIP conformational ensemble to a closed state correlates with its antimicrobial efficiency.

We could also show that the LpMIP domains engage in bidirectional crosstalk. Ligand binding at the FKBP-like domain affected the stalk helix and dimerization domain, and, in turn, stalk helix deletion reduced protein stability and, surprisingly, led to the loss of a defined ligand binding mode. The allosteric modulation of ligand binding by the C-terminal half of the stalk helix has interesting implications for ligand recognition and regulation of MIP proteins from other pathogenic species, such as Burkholderia pseudomallei, which naturally lack a stalk helix and dimerization domain [33].

Deletion constructs of MIP proteins have been commonly used to study inhibitor binding. Our data suggests that a construct retaining the C-terminal half of the stalk helix is suitable for most applications, but there are nonetheless some differences to consider. The ligand orientation and flexibility in certain...
inhibitor moieties differ slightly between full-length LpMIP and LpMIP$^{77-213}$. The increased melting temperature of LpMIP$^{77-213}$ may indicate that the stabilization of the FKBP domain by the stalk helix’ C-terminal end is counteracted by the protein’s flexibility in the N-terminal half. Complete deletion of the stalk helix has negative consequences for both protein stability and ligand interactions.

Bi-cyclic sulfonamides have antiproliferative effects against L. pneumophila and Chlamydia pneumoniae, which both express MIP proteins [34]. This suggests that the bicyclic sulfonamide scaffold is a promising starting point for drug development. Our results on T. cruzi MIP suggest that both prokaryotic and eukaryotic MIP proteins can be targeted with a high-affinity pan-inhibitor, and lessons from human FKBP51 such as site-specific methylation [41] can be exploited to improve inhibitor affinity for microbial MIPs. However, the structural similarities between MIPs and FKBP51 pose challenges, particularly since FKBP inhibition leads to immunosuppression, the opposite of the desired effect in fighting severe infections. Here, we could directly compare a microbial MIP with a human FKBP in complex with the same synthetic ligand for the first time. In a previous NMR study on FKBP51, the central aromatic residue in the β3a-strand, was seen to flip in and out of the binding pocket, a process important for ligand selectivity [44]. The residues stabilizing the “outward” position (FKBP51 K58, K60 and F129) are not fully conserved in LpMIP (T132, R134, F202). Hence ring flipping might be an important distinguishing feature between the two proteins. Additional structures and dynamic studies of human FKBP51 and microbial MIPs in complex with the same ligands, possibly with other molecular scaffold architectures, may be helpful in making further progress in this area.

In summary, we found that in Legionella pneumophila MIP, the stalk helix decisively modulates ligand-binding behavior of the FKBP-like domain, the most conserved domain across all MIP proteins. This, together with the high intrinsic flexibility of MIP proteins and the ability to engage with structurally diverse ligands, suggests that MIP appendage domains can be used to fine-tune substrate responses and suggest they play a contextual role in the survival and replication of pathogenic microorganisms.
Material and Methods

Cloning, protein expression and purification

Genes coding for *Legionella pneumophila* LpMIP\(^{1-213}\), LpMIP\(^{77-213}\), LpMIP\(^{100-213}\) and *Trypanosoma cruzi* TcMIP were obtained from GenScript (Piscataway Township, NJ, USA) and cloned into a pET11a vector. Single cysteine mutants for EPR spectroscopy were introduced at positions K80C and S208C in LpMIP\(^{1-213}\) via site directed mutagenesis using the following primer pairs:

- **K80C forward**: 5'-CCGCGGAGTTTAACAAGTGCGCGGATGAAAACAAGG-3'
- **K80C reverse**: 5'-ACCTTGTTTTCATCCGCGCACTTGTTAACTCGCG-3'
- **S208C forward**: 5'-TAAGATTCACCTGATCTGCGTGAAGAAAAGCAG-3'
- **S208C reverse**: 5'-CTGCTTTTCTTCACGCAGATCAGGTGAATCTTA-3'

Freshly transformed *E. coli* BL21 gold (DE3) cells were grown at 37 °C to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.6 and then induced with 1 mM IPTG and grown overnight at 20 °C.

\(^{13}\)C, \(^{15}\)N-labeled LpMIP\(^{1-213}\) was obtained by growing cells in commercially available Silantes OD2 *E. coli* medium (Silantes GmbH, Munich, Germany).

\(^{15}\)N-labeled LpMIP\(^{77-213}\) and LpMIP\(^{100-213}\) were obtained by growing cells in minimal medium with \(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4\)Cl and \(^{13}\)C-glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000×g, 10 min, 4 °C). The cell pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –20 °C until further use.

For purification of LpMIP\(^{1-213}\) and LpMIP\(^{77-213}\), the cell pellet was dissolved in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 20mM Imidazole pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1 % Tx100, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 mM PMSF, DNase, RNAse and lysozyme). Cells were disrupted passing them three times through a microfludizer (Maximator) at 18,000 psi. Membranes and cell debris were pelleted at 48,380xg, 30 min, 4 °C and the supernatant was loaded onto a NiNTA column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) previously equilibrated with washing buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole). After washing with 10 CV (column volumes) of washing buffer, the protein of interest was eluted with 5 CV of elution buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl and 500 mM imidazole pH 8). Proteins were dialyzed overnight at 4 °C in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl in the presence of His-tagged TEV protease (1:20 mol/mol) to cleave the His-tag from the MIP constructs.

Dialyzed protein was then loaded onto a fresh NiNTA column. The flow through was collected and the column was washed with 4 CV of washing buffer to obtain the maximum amount of tag-free MIP protein. For the purification of LpMIP\(^{100-213}\) the same protocol was applied, with all buffers adjusted to pH 7. After concentration, the proteins were loaded on a size exclusion column (HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg, Cytiva, Freiburg, Germany) equilibrated with size exclusion buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7, 150 mM NaCl for LpMIP\(^{77-213}\) and LpMIP\(^{100-213}\) and 50 mM Tris pH 7, 150 mM NaCl for LpMIP\(^{1-213}\)). The fractions containing pure protein were pooled and sample purity was verified by SDS-PAGE.

Crystallization, data collection and structure determination of LpMIP inhibitor complexes
Following size exclusion chromatography, each of the proteins were kept in a solution of 20 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl at pH 7.0 and were concentrated to 10 mg/mL using a 10,000 MWCO concentrator. Each protein was mixed with the crystallization buffer in a ratio of 2:1, and, where appropriate, with a 1:5 molar ratio of inhibitor. Inhibitors were synthesized as previously described [34,41]. All crystals were obtained using sitting drop vapor diffusion via custom screens with the following conditions:

- **LpMIP**<sup>1-213</sup> 20 % (w/v) PEG 6000, 500 mM zinc acetate dihydrate, 100 mM MES, pH 6.0.
- **LpMIP**<sup>213</sup>JK095 15 % (w/v) PEG 6000, 500 mM zinc acetate dihydrate, 100 mM MES, pH 6.5.
- **LpMIP**<sup>100-213</sup> JK095 20 % (w/v) PEG 8000, 500 mM zinc acetate dihydrate, 100 mM MES, pH 5.8.
- **LpMIP**<sup>77-213</sup> JK095 20 % (v/v) 2-propanol, 0.2 M sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate, 0.1 M HEPES, pH 7.5.
- **LpMIP**<sup>77-213</sup> JK236 18 % (w/v) PEG 8000, 0.2 M zinc acetate, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5.
- **LpMIP**<sup>77-213</sup> JK236 20 % (v/v) 2-propanol, 0.2 M sodium citrate, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5. 
- **TcMIP** JK236 30 % (v/v) MPD, 0.2 M ammonium acetate, 0.1 M sodium citrate, pH 5.6.

Crystals were briefly soaked in 30 % (v/v) glycerol for cryo-protection and subsequently flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen in preparation for diffraction experiments at synchrotron energy. Data were collected at beam line ID23-1 and ID30A-3 (ESRF, Grenoble).

Crystals of the MIP series diffracted between 1.3 and 2.4 Å resolution (Table 1). Data were processed with XDS [45] and structures were solved by Molecular Replacement with Phaser [46] using previously published models of MIPs (PDB ID: 1FD9, 1JVW). Manual rebuilding was performed with COOT [47] and refinement with Refmac [48]. The refined models were deposited into the PDB repository with the following IDs: 8BJC, 8BJD, 8BJE, 8BK4, 8BK5, 8BK6. Images were prepared using Pymol (Schrödinger, LLC), CorelDRAW (Corel), UCSF ChimeraX [49] and Blender (Blender Foundation).

**Analytical Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC)**

20 µM of purified **LpMIP constructs** (**LpMIP**<sup>1-213</sup>, **LpMIP**<sup>213</sup>JK095 or **LpMIP**<sup>100-213</sup>) in 20 mM Tris pH 7, 150 mM NaCl were used. For the *apo* state protein, a final concentration 0.02% DMSO was added. A 5-fold molar excess of JK095 in DMSO was added (0.02% final DMSO concentration). Samples were injected on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) column via an NGC chromatography system (BioRad).

**Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy**

CD measurements were conducted on a Jasco J-1500 CD spectrometer (Jasco, Gross-Umstadt, Germany) with 1 mm quartz cuvettes using 3.5 µM protein in 5 mM Tris pH 7 and 2.5 mM NaCl. Spectra were recorded at 25 °C in a spectral range between 190 – 260 nm with 1 nm scanning intervals, 1.00 nm bandwidth and 50 nm/min scanning speed. All spectra were obtained from the automatic averaging of five measurements.

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)**

Experiments were performed in an isothermal titration calorimeter (Microcal ITC200 - Malvern Panalytical) at 25 °C with a reference power of 11 µCal/sec, an initial delay of 120 seconds and a stirring
speed of 750 rpm. Protein concentration within the cell was between 20 and 40 µM and ligand concentration in the syringe was between 0.5 and 1 mM. Protein and inhibitors (JK095 and JK236) were prepared in 20 mM Trips pH 8, NaCl 150 mM and 5% DMSO. For each titration, 20 injections (spacing between injections was 180 sec, duration was 0.4 sec) of 2 µL inhibitor solution were carried out. The curves were fitted using Origin.

NMR spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were obtained at 298. K on 600 MHz Bruker AvanceIII HD or Neo NMR spectrometer systems equipped with 5-mm triple resonance cryo-probes. The spectrometers were locked on D2O. The 1H chemical shifts of the 2H, 15N-labelled LpMIP1-213, 13C, 15N-labelled LpMIP77-213 and 13C, 15N-labelled LpMIP100-213 were directly referenced to 3-(trimethylsilyl)propane-1-sulfonate (DSS). 13C and 15N chemical shifts were referenced indirectly to the 2H DSS standard by the magnetogyric ratio [50]. LpMIP1-213 was measured in 50mM Tris HCl pH 7, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM DSS, 0.05 % NaN3 and 10 % D2O. Sample conditions for LpMIP77-213 and LpMIP100-213 were the same except 20 mM Tris HCl pH 7 was used. Final protein concentrations were in the range of 100-150 µM. All spectra were processed using Bruker Topspin 4.1.1 and analyzed using Ccpnmr Analysis [51] v2.5 (within the NMRbox virtual environment [52].

The previously published NMR backbone assignments of LpMIP1-213 (BMRB entry 7021) and LpMIP77-213 (BMRB entry 6334) were transferred to our spectra and verified using band-selective excitation short-transient (BEST) transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy (TROSY)-based HNCA or HNCA-CB experiments under our buffer conditions. In contrast, the assignment of LpMIP100-213 had to be determined de novo by a set of BEST-TROSY-based HN(CA)CO, HNCA and HN(CO)CA, as the 1H, 15N-HSCQ spectrum of this construct differed significantly from the resonances of the FKBP domain in both LpMIP77-213 and full-length LpMIP.

Standard NMR pulse sequences implemented in Bruker Topspin library were employed to obtain R1, R2 and 15N,(1H)-NOE values. For LpMIP1-213, TROSY-sampling pulse sequences were used to ensure high data quality. Longitudinal and transverse 15N relaxation rates (R1 and R2) of the 15N-1H bond vectors of backbone amide groups were extracted from signal intensities (I) by a single exponential fit according to equation 1:

\[ I = I_0 e^{-(t/R_1)/t} \]  

In R1 relaxation experiments the variable relaxation delay t was set to 1000 ms, 20 ms, 1500 ms, 60 ms, 3000 ms, 100 ms, 800 ms, 200 ms, 40 ms, 400 ms, 80 ms and 600 ms. In all R2 relaxation experiments the variable loop count was set to 36, 15, 2, 12, 4, 22, 8, 28, 6, 10, 1 and 18. The length of one loop count was 16.96 ms. In the TROSY-based R2 experiments the loop count length was 8.48 ms. The variable relaxation delay t in R2 experiments is calculated by length of one loop count times the number of loop counts. The inter-scan delay for the R1 and R2 experiments was set to 4 s.
The $^{15}$N-$[^1\text{H}]$ steady-state nuclear Overhauser effect measurements ($^{15}$N/$[^1\text{H}]$-NOE) were obtained from separate 2D $^1\text{H}$-$^{15}$N spectra acquired with and without continuous $^1\text{H}$ saturation, respectively. The $^{15}$N,$[^1\text{H}]$-NOE values were determined by taking the ratio of peak volumes from the two spectra, $^{15}$N/$[^1\text{H}]$-NOE = $I_{sat}/I_0$, where $I_{sat}$ and $I_0$ are the peak intensities with and without $^1\text{H}$ saturation. The saturation period was approximately $5/R_i$ of the amide protons.

The averaged $^1\text{H}$ and $^{15}$N weighted chemical shift perturbations (CSP) observed in $^1\text{H}$, $^{15}$N-HSQC spectra were calculated according to equation 2 [53]:

$$\text{CSP} = \sqrt{0.5 \times \left[ \Delta \delta_H + (0.15 \times \Delta \delta_N) \right] ^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here, $\Delta \delta_H$ is the $^1\text{H}$ chemical shift difference, $\Delta \delta_N$ is the $^{15}$N chemical shift difference, and CSP is the averaged $^1\text{H}$ and $^{15}$N weighted chemical shift difference in ppm.

The oligomerization state of a protein can be estimated from the rotational correlation time ($\tau_c$), the time it takes the protein to rotate by one radian under Brownian rotation diffusion. Under the assumption of a spherical globular protein and isotropic motion, $\tau_c$ (in ns) can be roughly approximated from the Stokes-Einstein equation (3):

$$\tau_c = \frac{4\pi \eta r_{\text{eff}}^3}{3k_B T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\eta$ is viscosity (0.89 mPa*s for water at 298.2 K), $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the absolute temperature. The effective hydrodynamic radius $r_{\text{eff}}$ can directly be correlated with molecular weight ($M_w$):

$$r_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3}{4\pi \rho N_A} \sqrt[3]{\frac{3M_w}{4\pi \rho N_A}} + \tau_h$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\rho$ is the average protein density (1.37 g/cm$^3$) and $N_A$ the Avogadro constant. For our calculations we used hydration layer radius of 3.2 Å.

Based on studies from the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium an empirical formula could be derived for direct correlation of $M_w$ (in Da) and $\tau_c$ (in ns) for proteins in the range of 5-25 kDa [40]:

$$\tau_c = 0.00062 \times M_w - 0.15$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The rotational correlation time is directly accessible from the ratio of $^{15}$N $R_1$ and $R_2$ relaxation rates of backbone amide measured at a $^{15}$N resonance frequency ($\nu_N$) assuming slow isotropic overall motion [40,54] (equation 6):

$$\tau_c = \frac{1}{4\pi \nu_N \sqrt{\frac{6R_2}{R_1}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy sample preparation**

For spin labelling, Ni-NTA-column-bound single cysteine mutants of $Lp$MIP$^{1-213}$ were incubated overnight at 4 °C using a 15-fold excess of 3-(2-Iodoacetamido)-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-1-pyrrolidinlyoxy (IPSL) after the washing steps and then purified as described above. Following the IPSL-labelling
procedure, 4 µL of D$_8$-glycerol or water was added to a 12 µL of LpMIP sample, mixed thoroughly and gently transferred into a sample tube. The samples for continuous wave EPR were directly measured in a 25 µL micropipettes (BRAND, Germany) with a 0.64-mm diameter at room temperature. Samples for pulsed EPR were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen in a 1.6 mm quartz EPR tube (Suprasil, Wilmad LabGlass) and stored at -80°C.

**Continuous-wave EPR measurements**

Continuous-wave (CW) EPR measurements were performed at X-band frequency (9.4 GHz) on a Bruker EMXnano Benchtop Spectrometer at room temperature in a 25 µL micropipette (BRAND, Germany) with a 0.64 mm diameter. The spectra were acquired with 100 kHz modulation frequency, 0.15 mT modulation amplitude, 0.6 - 2 mW microwave power, 5.12 ms time constant, 22.5 ms conversion time, and 18 mT sweep width.

**Pulsed EPR measurements**

Pulsed EPR (PELDOR/DEER) experiments were performed on a Bruker Elexsys E580 Q-Band (33.7 GHz) Pulsed ESR spectrometer equipped with an arbitrary waveform generator (SpinJet AWG, Bruker), a 50 W solid state amplifier, a continuous-flow helium cryostat, and a temperature control system (Oxford Instruments). Measurements were performed at 50 K using a 10 – 20 µL frozen sample containing 15 – 20% glycerol-$d_8$ in a 1.6 mm quartz ESR tubes (Suprasil, Wilmad LabGlass). For measuring the phase memory times ($T_m$), a 48 ns π/2 – τ – π Gaussian pulse sequence was used with a two-step phase cycling, while τ was increased in 4 ns steps. PELDOR measurements were performed with a Bruker EN5107D2 dielectric resonator at 50 K using a dead-time free four-pulse sequence and a 16-step phase cycling ($xx$ $[xp]x$) [55,56]. A 38 ns Gaussian pulse (full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 16.1 ns) was used as the pump pulse with a 48 ns (FWHM of 20.4 ns) Gaussian observer pulses. The pump pulse was set to the maximum of the echo-detected field swept spectrum and the observer pulses were set at 80 MHz lower. The deuteration modulations were averaged by increasing the first interpulse delay by 16 ns for 8 steps. The five-pulse PELDOR/DEER experiments were performed according to the pulse sequence $\pi/2_{obs} - (\tau/2-t_0) - \pi_{pump} - t_0 - \pi_{obs} - t' - \pi_{pump} - (\tau - t' + \delta) - \pi_{obs} - (\tau_2 + \delta)$. Experiments were performed at 50 K using 48 ns Gaussian observer pulses and a 16-step phase cycling ($xxp$ $[xp]x$). A 36 ns pump pulse was used at $\nu_{obs} + 80$ MHz. Nuclear modulation averaging was performed analogous to 4-pulse PELDOR (16 ns shift in 8 steps) with a corresponding shift of the standing pump pulse. The four-pulse data analysis was performed using Tikhonov regularization as implemented in the MATLAB-based DeerAnalysis2019 package [57]. The background arising from intermolecular interactions were removed from the primary data $V(t)/V(0)$ and the resulting form factors $F(t)/F(0)$ were fitted with a model-free approach to distance distributions. For an error estimation of the probability distribution, the distances for different background functions were determined through gradually changing the time window and the dimensionality for the spin distribution (see Supplementary...
Table S2). The data was additionally analyzed to predict the distances (and the background) in a user-independent manner using the deep neural network (DEERNet) analysis, which is hosted by the DeerAnalysis2019 package [58,59]. Samples for which both 4-pulse and 5-pulse data are available were globally analyzed using the Python based DeerLab program [60]. Distance distributions for the structures (PDB 8BJC and 1FD9) were simulated using a rotamer library approach using the MATLAB-based MMM2022.2 software package [58].

**Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)**

SAXS experiments were carried out at the EMBL-P12 bioSAXS beam line, DESY [61]. SEC-SAXS data were collected [62], \( I(q) \) vs \( q \), where \( q = 4\pi \sin \theta / \lambda \) is the scattering angle and \( \lambda \) the X-ray wavelength (0.124 nm; 10 keV). Data collection was carried out at 20 °C using a Superdex200 Increase 5/150 analytical SEC column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in the appropriate buffers (see Table S3) at flow rates of 0.3 mL/min. Automated sample injection and data collection were controlled using the BECQUEREL beam line control software [63]. The SAXS intensities were measured from the continuously flowing column eluent as a continuous series of 0.25 s individual X-ray exposures, using a Pilatus 6M 2D-area detector for a total of one column volume (ca. 600-3000 frames in total, see Table S3). The radial averaging of the data one-dimensional \( I(q) \) vs \( q \) profiles was carried out with the SASFLOW pipeline incorporating RADAVER from the ATSAS 2.8 software suite [64]. The individual frames obtained for each SEC-SAXS run were processed using CHROMIXS [65]. Briefly, individual SAXS data frames were selected across the respective sample SEC-elution peaks and appropriate solute-free buffer regions of the elution profile were identified, averaged and then subtracted to obtain individual background-subtracted sample data frames. The radius of gyration \( (R_g) \) of each data frame was assessed in CHROMIXS and frames with equivalent \( R_g \) were scaled and subsequently averaged to produce the final one-dimensional and background-corrected SAXS profiles. Only those scaled individual SAXS data frames with a consistent \( R_g \) through the SEC-elution peak that were also evaluated as statistically similar through the measured \( q \)-range were included to produce the final SAXS profiles.

Corresponding UV traces were not measured; the column eluate was directly moved to the P12 sample exposure unit after the SEC column, forgoing UV absorption measurements, to minimize unwanted band-broadening of the sample. All SAXS data-data comparisons and data-model fits were assessed using the reduced \( c^2 \) test and the Correlation Map, or CORMAP, \( p \)-value [66]. Fits within the \( c^2 \) range of 0.9–1.1 or having CORMAP \( p \)-values higher than the significance threshold cutoff of \( a = 0.01 \) are considered excellent, i.e., absence of systematic differences between the data-data or data-model fits at the significance threshold.

Primary SAXS data were analysed using PRIMUS as well as additional modules from the ATSAS 3.0.1 software suite [67]. \( R_g \) and the forward scattering at zero angle, \( I(0) \) were estimated via the Guinier approximation [68] (\( \ln(I(q)) \) vs. \( q^2 \) for \( qR_g < 1.3 \)) and the real-space pair distance distribution function, or \( p(r) \) profile (calculated from the indirect inverse Fourier transformation of the data, thus also yielding
estimates of the maximum particle dimension, $D_{\text{max}}$, Porod volume, $V_p$, shape classification, and concentration-independent molecular weight [69–71]). Dimensionless Kratky plot representations of the SAXS data ($qR_g^2(I(q)/I(0))$ vs. $qR_g$) were generated as previously described [72]. All collected SAXS data are reported in Tables S3.

**Rigid body modeling** – Rigid-body normal mode analysis of LpMIP was performed using the program SREFLEX [73] using the LpMIP apo and JK095-bound X-ray crystal structures (PDB: 1FD9, 8BJD and 8BJC) as templates. CRYSOl was used to assess data-model fits [74].

**Thermal stability assay**

10 µg of purified LpMIP constructs in 20mM Tris pH 7, 150 mM NaCl were incubated with a final concentration of 0.02% DMSO or a 5-fold molar excess of JK095 in DMSO (0.02% final concentration). 2.5 µL of a 50x SYPRO Orange (Merck) stock was added to each sample directly before measurement of the melting temperature in a 96-well plate on a QuantStudio 1 Real-Time PCR System reader (Thermo Fisher) with a temperature increase of 0.05 °C/min. The fluorescence of SYPRO Orange was measured using the filter calibrated for SYBR GREEN with an excitation filter of 470 ± 15 nm and an emission filter of 520 ± 15 nm.

**Data availability**

The coordinates of the refined models and structure factors have been deposited into the PDB repository: 8BJC for LpMIP$^{1-213}$, 8BJD for LpMIP$^{1-213}$ JK095, 8BK6 for LpMIP$^{100-213}$ JK095, 8BK5 for LpMIP$^{77-213}$ JK095, 8BJE for LpMIP$^{77-213}$ JK236 and 8BK4 for TcMIP JK236. The NMR backbone assignment of LpMIP$^{100-213}$ has been deposited in the BioMagResBank (www.bmrb.io) under the accession number 51861. The NMR backbone assignments for full-length LpMIP$^{1-213}$ and LpMIP$^{77-213}$ are available from the BMRB under the accession numbers 7021 and 6334, respectively [38,75]. SAXS data for full-length LpMIP have been deposited in the SASBDB under the accession numbers SASXXX, SASXXX and SASXXX.
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Fig. 1: Comparison of full-length *Lp*MIP structures reveal stalk helix splaying.

(A, B) Overlay of the N-terminal dimerization domains of the two currently available *Lp*MIP1-213 structures (PDB: 1FD9 at 2.41 Å, grey; PDB: 8BJC at 1.71 Å, blue) shows ~18° stalk helix splaying.

(C) Importantly, the stalk helix backbone of our newly determined *Lp*MIP structure (blue) can be unambiguously placed in the 2Fo–Fc electron density map, shown here as a light blue mesh at 3σ. For clarity, only the density map for the stalk helix backbone is shown.

(D) Overlay of the FKBP-like domains from the two *Lp*MIP structures. Residues surrounding the active site are shown as sticks, β-strands are labeled.
Scheme 1: [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide inhibitors used in this study. JK095 (A) and JK236 (B) differ by the insertion of a stereospecific methyl group in the pyridine linker.
Fig. 2: Comparison of full-length LpMIP in the absence and presence of a bicyclic inhibitor.

(A) Overlay of LpMIP in the absence (blue, PDB: 8BJC) and presence of JK095 (yellow, PDB: 8BJD). The two structures align with a backbone RMSD of 0.349 Å. In the zoom of the FKBP-like domain, JK095 is shown as sticks. Non-carbon atom color scheme: blue: N, red: O, yellow: S, green: Cl. Note that the orientation of the zoom has been slightly tilted to better visualize the structural differences in the β4/β5-loop.

(B, C) Chemical shift changes in ²H, ¹⁵N-labeled LpMIP titrated with JK095 mapped on the LpMIP crystal structure (B) and per residue (C) with the protein topology shown on top for orientation.

(D, E) Crystallographic B-factors of LpMIP in the absence (D) and presence (E) of JK095.
Fig. 3: Structural dynamics of full-length LpMIP in solution.

(A) Simulated rotamers of proxyl-spin labels attached to LpMIP at position K80C (black) or S208C (teal) (on PDB: 8BJC using MATLAB-based MMM2022.2 software).

(B) Continuous-wave EPR spectra of spin-labeled LpMIP single-cysteine variants.

(C) Predicted interspin distances (sim.) for LpMIP K80C (left) and LpMIP S208C (right) based on the available apo state crystal structures (PDB-IDs: 8BJC, 1FD9). (D, E) Measured spin label distances using PELDOR/DEER spectroscopy in the absence (D) and presence (E) of JK095. For LpMIP S208C, distances were determined through a global analysis of 4-pulse and 5-pulse PELDOR data (see Fig. S5). The rainbow code at the bottom indicates reliability for the probability distribution. (Green: shape, width and mean reliable; yellow: width and mean reliable, orange: mean reliable; red: not reliable) (F, G) SAXS scattering data for LpMIP in the absence (F) and presence of JK095 (G). The simulated scattering curves (orange and blue traces) based on the available X-ray structures of apo LpMIP (PDB: 8BJC, 1F9J) or with JK095 (PDB: 8BJD) does not match the scattering profile of the protein in solution after least-square fit to experimental values for 0.5 nm⁻¹ < q < 1.5 nm⁻¹.

(H, I) For a better fit with the experimental SAXS data of LpMIP in solution, SREFLEX modeling was carried out. This yields LpMIP structural models with straight (H) and kinked (I) stalk helices. Accordingly, also the relative orientation of the FKBP like domains (shown as transparent surfaces) changes dramatically. The X-ray structure (PDB: 8BJC) is shown in grey, representative SREFLEX models in orange hues. For better visualization, models with straight and kinked helices are shown in separate chains. There are no discernible differences between the apo and JK095-bound state in the LpMIP SREFLEX models, thus only the apo models are shown (for details see main text).
Fig. 4: Role of the *Lp*MIP appendage domains for protein stability and crosstalk with the FKBP-like domain.

(A) Fluorescence-based melting assay. The melting temperature ($T_m$) for full-length *Lp*MIP (yellow) or two deletion constructs (orange, cyan) in the absence (top) or presence of a three-fold molar excess of JK095 (bottom) can be obtained from the inversion point of the upward slope.

(B) $T_m$ values for the three constructs obtained from the curves shown in (A). Errors are standard deviations from three replicates.

(C) Chemical shift perturbations of the FKBP-like domain resonances of *Lp*MIP$^{77\text{-}213}$ and *Lp*MIP$^{100\text{-}213}$ compared to full-length *Lp*MIP (orange and blue, respectively) in the apo state (top) and with JK095 (bottom). (D) Chemical shift differences between full-length *Lp*MIP and *Lp*MIP$^{100\text{-}213}$ mapped on the FKBP-like domain, residues for which no signal is observed in *Lp*MIP$^{100\text{-}213}$ are colored blue.

(E) Details of hydrophobic interaction network between stalk helix and FKBP-like domain. Hydrophobic residues shown in sand, basic residues in blue, all others in grey. For a better overview, not all sidechains are shown.
Fig. 5: Stalk helix affects interaction of \( \text{LpMIP} \) FKBP-like domain with a [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide inhibitor.

(A) Overlay of the X-ray crystal structures of \( \text{LpMIP}^{1-213} \) (full-length), \( \text{LpMIP}^{77-213} \) and \( \text{LpMIP}^{100-213} \) co-crystallized with JK095 (PDB IDs: 8BJD, 8BK5, 8BK6). For the \( \text{LpMIP}^{1-213} \) homodimer, only one subunit is shown. \( \text{LpMIP}^{100-231} \) also crystallizes as a dimer, but no clear density for the ligand was obtained (for details see main text and compare Fig. S8). In the zoom-in, not that in \( \text{LpMIP}^{77-213} \), the hydroxymethyl group of JK095 was found to adopt two different conformations.

(B) Chemical shift perturbations in the FKBP-like domain of \( ^{15}\text{N} \)-labeled full-length \( \text{LpMIP} \) (yellow), \( \text{LpMIP}^{77-213} \) (orange) and \( \text{LpMIP}^{100-213} \) (teal) upon titration with JK095. For better comparison between the three constructs, a unified scale normalized to the maximal shift value in the FKBP-like domain across all three data sets was used.

(C-E) JK095-induced chemical shift perturbations within the FKBP-like domain plotted on crystal structures of full-length \( \text{LpMIP} \) (C), \( \text{LpMIP}^{77-213} \) (D) and \( \text{LpMIP}^{100-213} \) (E).
Fig. 6: Comparison of \textit{Lp}MIP and human FKBP51 in complex with the bicyclic inhibitor JK095.

(A) Overlay of the crystal structures of \textit{Lp}MIP$^{77-213}$ (PDB: 8BK5, orange) and FKBP51$^{16-140}$ (PDB: 50BK, cyan) in complex with the [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide JK095.

(B) Zoom into the binding site. The relevant interacting residues are shown as sticks. JK095 is shown in dark (\textit{Lp}MIP$^{77-213}$) or light (FKBP51$^{16-140}$) grey.
Fig. 7: Solvent exposed methyl group in [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide inhibitor improves affinity for \textit{LpMIP}^{77-213} through surface water displacement.

(A) Water molecules in the crystal structures of \textit{LpMIP}^{77-213} with JK095 (PDB: 8BK5, dark blue spheres) and JK236 (PDB: 8BJE, light blue sphere). The additional methyl group in JK236 (pointing out of the paper plane) displaces one of the two water molecules that forms a hydrogen bond with the inhibitor’s hydroxymethyl group.
Distances between crystallographic water and the inhibitors are indicated by white (JK095) and black (JK236) dashed lines.

(B) Electron densities for the two inhibitor molecules in the co-crystal structures with \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213}. Note that for JK095, the hydroxymethyl group adopts two conformations.

(C) Overlay of the crystal structures of \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} in complex with JK095 (PDB: 8BK5, orange) and its methylated derivative, JK236 (PDB: 8BJE, grey). For a structural comparison of the two molecules, see Scheme 1.

(D) Zoom into the binding site. The relevant interacting residues are shown as sticks.

(E) Relative NMR chemical shift perturbations (CSP) for JK095 (orange) and JK236 (grey) in comparison to the apo protein.

(F) Chemical shift perturbation shown in (E) mapped on the X-ray structure of \textit{LpMIP}\textsuperscript{77-213} (PDB: 8BK5).

(G) Measured spin label distances using PELDOR/DEER spectroscopy for spin-labeled full-length \textit{LpMIP} K80C (top) or S208C (bottom) with JK236. For better comparison, the distance distribution for JK095 (see Fig. 3) is indicated as a dashed orange line (without error margins).

(H) SAXS derived real-space pair-distance distribution functions, or \(p(r)\) profiles, calculated for \textit{LpMIP} in the absence (dashed line) or presence of JK095 (orange line) or JK236 (grey line) and

(I, J) resulting \(R_g\) and \(D_{\text{max}}\) values. \(p(r)\) functions were scaled to an area under the curve value of 1.
**Fig. 8:** *Trypanosoma cruzi* MIP in complex with a [4.3.1]-aza-bicyclic sulfonamide inhibitor.

(A) Overlay of the crystal structures of apo TcMIP (green, PDB: 1JVW) and JK236-bound TcMIP (blue, PDB: 8BK4).

(B) Active site residues in the apo or JK236-bound TcMIP. The ligand is shown in black.

(C) Electron density for JK236 bound to TcMIP. The 2Fo-Fc electron density maps are shown in light blue mesh at 3σ.

(D) Comparison of the inhibitor binding stance in TcMIP (blue) and *Lp*MIP<sup>77-213</sup> (grey). For details, see also Fig. S9.