Natural Silencing of Quorum-Sensing Activity Protects *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* from Lysis by an Autoinducer-Detecting Phage

Olivia P. Duddy¹, Justin E. Silpe¹,², Chenyi Fei¹, and Bonnie L. Bassler¹,²,#

1 Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
2 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD, USA
# Correspondence: bbassler@princeton.edu

**ABSTRACT**

Quorum sensing (QS) is a chemical communication process that bacteria use to track population density and orchestrate collective behaviors. QS relies on the production, accumulation, and group-wide detection of extracellular signal molecules called autoinducers. Vibriophage 882 (phage VP882), a bacterial virus, encodes a homolog of the *Vibrio* QS receptor-transcription factor, called VqmA, that monitors the *Vibrio* QS autoinducer DPO. Phage VqmA binds DPO at high host-cell density and activates transcription of the phage gene *qtip*. Qtip, an antirepressor, launches the phage lysis program. Phage-encoded VqmA when bound to DPO also manipulates host QS by activating transcription of the host gene *vqmR*. VqmR is a small RNA that controls downstream QS target genes. Here, we sequence *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882, the strain from which phage VP882 was initially isolated. The chromosomal region normally encoding *vqmR* and *vqmA* harbors a deletion encompassing *vqmR* and a portion of the *vqmA* promoter, inactivating that QS system. We discover that *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882 is also defective in its other QS systems, due to a mutation in *luxO*, encoding the central QS transcriptional regulator LuxO. Both the *vqmR-vqmA* and *luxO* mutations lock *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882 into the low-cell density QS state. Reparation of the QS defects in *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882 promotes activation of phage VP882 lytic gene expression and LuxO is primarily responsible for this effect. Phage VP882-infected QS-competent *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882 cells lyse more rapidly and produce more viral particles than the QS-deficient parent strain. We propose that, in *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882, constitutive maintenance of the low-cell density QS state suppresses the launch of the phage VP882 lytic cascade, thereby protecting the bacterial host from phage-mediated lysis.
INTRODUCTION

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is the major worldwide cause of seafood-borne bacterial gastroenteritis [1]. The first pandemic of this pathogen was caused by *V. parahaemolyticus* serotype O3:K6 [2]. The marine environment, which *V. parahaemolyticus* naturally occupies, abounds with bacterial viruses called phages. Phages are central to bacterial evolution, and their existence is often linked to the emergence of toxigenic bacteria from non-toxigenic strains [3–5]. Indeed, lytic and temperate phages have been identified in pandemic *V. parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 strains. One such phage is the temperate, plasmid-like vibriophage 882 (here forward called phage VP882) isolated from *V. parahaemolyticus* strain O3:K6 882 [6].

Phage VP882 regulates its lysis-lysogeny decision by “eavesdropping” on a host-produced quorum-sensing (QS) autoinducer molecule called DPO (3,5-dimethylpyrazin-2-ol) (Figure 1A and 1B and [7,8]). Phage VP882 encodes the DPO-binding receptor and transcription factor called VqmAPhage which is a homolog of the bacterial DPO QS receptor VqmA [8]. The bacterial VqmA QS pathway is considered ubiquitous within the *Vibrio* genus, however, to date, it has only been characterized in the species it was discovered, *Vibrio cholerae* [7,9]. *V. cholerae* produces DPO and detects it via VqmA (hereafter called VqmAVc). DPO-bound VqmAVc activates transcription of vqmR (vqmRVc), encoding a small regulatory RNA, VqmRVc (Figure 1A). VqmRVc represses translation of genes required for the production of virulence factors and formation of biofilms [9,10]. When phage VP882 infects *Vibrio* bacteria, it introduces a second vqmA into the system, vqmAPhage. The current model for the phage-encoded pathway is that an unknown cue induces expression of vqmAPhage in the infected cells. VqmAPhage, once produced, binds host-produced DPO and launches the phage lytic program by activating the expression of an antirepressor-encoding gene called qtip [8]. In addition to qtip, VqmAPhage also activates expression of host vqmRVc, demonstrating that phage VP882 influences both its own and its host’s QS pathways
By contrast, the VqmAVc protein only binds to its partner vqmRvC promoter, not to the phage qtip promoter (Figure 1A and [8,11]).

Regarding the phage lysis pathway, Qtip sequesters the clVP882 phage repressor of lysis (Figure 1B). The host SOS response also launches the phage lytic pathway via RecA-mediated proteolysis of clVP882 (Figure 1B). Sequestration or proteolysis of clVP882 derepresses the phage q gene. Q activates expression of the gp69-71 operon encoding the phage lytic genes, driving host-cell lysis. Presumably, tuning into the host SOS response allows phage VP882 to connect its lifestyle transitions to host viability, whereas tuning into host QS allows phage VP882 to execute host-cell lysis at high host-cell density when the probability of infecting the next host cell is maximized [8].

V. parahaemolyticus, like all studied Vibrios, harbors multiple QS circuits that function in parallel (Figure 1A and [12]). In addition to DPO, V. parahaemolyticus produces and detects three other AIs: AI-1 (N-(3-hydroxybutanoyl)-L-homoserine), AI-2 ((2S,4S)-2-methyl-2,3,3,4-tetrahydroxytetrahydrofuran-borate), and CAI-1 ((Z)-3-aminoundec-2-en-4-one), and they are detected by the membrane-bound QS receptors LuxN, LuxPQ, and CqsS, respectively (Figure 1A and [12]). At low cell density (LCD), unliganded LuxN, LuxPQ, and CqsS act as kinases and shuttle phosphate to the LuxO QS transcriptional regulator. Phosphorylated LuxO (LuxO-P) activates expression of genes encoding five sRNAs, called Qrr1-5, that repress translation of opaR, encoding the QS high cell density (HCD) master regulator OpaR. At HCD, LuxN, LuxPQ, and CqsS bind their partner AIs, the receptors convert to phosphatases, and the flow of phosphate through the cascade is reversed. LuxO is dephosphorylated and inactivated. Under this condition, qrr genes are not expressed, allowing OpaR production, and consequently, collective behaviors (Figure 1A).

Here, we report the genome sequence of V. parahaemolyticus strain O3:K6 882 (here forward called strain 882), the strain from which phage VP882 was isolated. Strikingly, strain 882 encodes
vqmA (vqmA<sub>882</sub>) but lacks its partner vqmR gene. Specifically there is an 897 bp deletion relative to other V. parahaemolyticus strains that eliminates the vqmR promoter, the vqmR coding sequence, and a portion of the non-coding region upstream of vqmA<sub>882</sub>. We also discover that the strain 882 luxO gene (luxO<sub>882</sub>) harbors a 36 bp deletion that leads it to function as a phospho-mimetic. In this report, we investigate the consequences of these mutations on the QS capabilities of strain 882 and the broader implications for host-phage biology. We show that lack of vqmR and vqmA<sub>882</sub> expression, and the phospho-mimetic allele of luxO<sub>882</sub> lock strain 882 into the LCD QS state. Repair of vqmR-vqmA<sub>882</sub> or luxO<sub>882</sub> or both vqmR-vqmA<sub>882</sub> and luxO<sub>882</sub> partially and fully, respectively, restores QS capability. Reestablishment of strain 882 QS capability activates phage VP882 gene expression, more rapid phage VP882-driven host-cell lysis, and production of higher titers of virions compared to that in the QS-­incompetent parent strain. We propose that the locked LCD QS state silences the information encoded in QS AIs for both the strain 882 host and the VP882 phage. This arrangement suppresses the potential for phage VP882 to transition from lysogeny to lysis. Therefore, the host is protected from the phage parasite that it carries.

RESULTS

V. parahaemolyticus strain O3:K6 882 lacks vqmR and does not express vqmA

Strain 882 is unique relative to other studied V. parahaemolyticus strains because it harbors a phage with the capacity to surveil host QS by monitoring DPO abundance. The DNA-sequence of phage VP882 is deposited [6], however, no sequence of its host was reported. We sequenced the strain 882 genome and compared it to that of the closely related V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 type-strain, RIMD2210633. Strain 882 has an 897 bp deletion that eliminates its vqmR gene and promoter, a portion of a neighboring upstream gene (a putative transcriptional regulator of a
proline metabolic operon), a predicted gene of unknown function, and a portion of the non-coding region upstream of \textit{vqmA}_{882} (Figure 2A). To determine the incidence of the \textit{vqmR} deletion, we examined the coding regions of \textit{vqmR-vqmA} loci in other publicly available \textit{Vibrio} DNA sequences (5460 genomes total). We disregarded 12 of the 5460 \textit{Vibrio} sequences whose assembly gaps precluded this region. Most sequenced \textit{Vibrios} encode predicted \textit{vqmR-vqmA} pairs. However, 312 strains lack both \textit{vqmR} and \textit{vqmA} (Supplementary Figure S1A), and an additional 37 strains possess either a frameshift or nonsense mutation in \textit{vqmA}, presumably disrupting its function. Regarding \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} strains (1731), all possess \textit{vqmR-vqmA}. In three cases, however, the \textit{vqmA} gene is predicted to be non-functional (frameshift or nonsense mutation). From this analysis, we could not discern if nucleotide changes in \textit{vqmR} genes affect the functions or the expression levels of the VqmR sRNAs. Interestingly, of the >5000 analyzed strains, strain 882 is the only sequenced \textit{Vibrio} that lacks \textit{vqmR} but retains \textit{vqmA}.

Our findings suggest that in strain 882, the VqmA-VqmR QS circuit cannot function because \textit{vqmR} is absent. Moreover, given that the deleted region includes the predicted \textit{vqmA}_{882} promoter, it was not clear if \textit{vqmA}_{882} is expressed. Western blot analysis of VqmA\textsubscript{882}-3XFLAG produced from the native locus shows that VqmA\textsubscript{882} is not made (Figure 2B). By contrast, \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 harbors an intact \textit{vqmR-vqmA} locus and VqmA\textsubscript{RIMD}-3XFLAG was produced, and at higher levels at HCD than at LCD (Figure 2B). Thus, the non-coding region deleted in strain 882 contains the \textit{vqmA}_{882} promoter, which eliminates \textit{vqmA}_{882} expression. To test this prediction, we repaired the putative \textit{vqmA}_{882} promoter region by inserting the analogous DNA from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633. VqmA\textsubscript{882} production was restored (Figure 2B). We call this strain 882 \textit{vqmA}_{882}+.

Curiously, we observed that \textit{vqmA} expression is cell-density dependent in both \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 and strain 882 \textit{vqmA}_{882}+. In \textit{V. cholerae} strain C6706, the only species in which \textit{vqmA} expression has been studied, \textit{vqmAvc} is expressed and VqmA\textsubscript{Vc} is made
constitutively [7]. We eliminated the possibility that V. parahaemolyticus VqmA directly activates its own expression (Supplementary Figure S1B). To assess the underlying mechanism for the species-specific difference in expression patterns, we aligned Vibrio vqmA promoters (Supplementary Figure S1C), revealing two potential clades differing by length and nucleotide sequence (Figure 2C). The first group is comprised of shorter, V. cholerae-type vqmA promoters (~100 bp, Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S1C), and the second is comprised of longer, V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633-type vqmA promoters (~300 bp; Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S1C). Exchanging V. cholerae and V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 vqmA promoters eliminated production of both VqmA proteins as judged by Western blot analysis (Figure 2D). Thus, the vqmA promoter sequence predicts whether vqmA expression is constitutive, as in V. cholerae, or cell-density dependent, as in V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633, and the promoter sequence only functions in its species of origin.

We wondered whether VqmA<sub>882</sub>, if produced by strain 882, would bind DPO and activate expression of vqmR. The vqmA<sub>882</sub> coding sequence is identical to those of other V. parahaemolyticus strains, including V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633. VqmA<sub>882</sub> also shares 65% and 41% amino acid identity with VqmAvc and VqmA<sub>Phage</sub>, respectively, and, residues F67, F99, and K101, which are critical for DPO binding in VqmAvc and VqmA<sub>Phage</sub>, are conserved in VqmA<sub>882</sub> (Supplementary Figure S2A and [13]). We also wondered whether VqmA<sub>882</sub> operates analogously to VqmAvc in that it does not bind the phage qtip promoter. To examine promoter binding capabilities, we transformed arabinose-inducible vqmA<sub>882</sub> into Escherichia coli harboring P<sub>vqmR</sub><sup>RIMD</sup> or P<sub>qtip</sub> fused to the luciferase genes (P<sub>vqmR</sub><sup>RIMD</sup>-lux or P<sub>qtip</sub>-lux, respectively). Supplementary Figure S2B shows that, in the presence of DPO, induction of VqmA<sub>882</sub> drove a ~500-fold increase in P<sub>vqmR</sub><sup>RIMD</sup>-lux activity, and maximum light production depended on DPO being supplied (Supplementary Figure S2C). By contrast, a <5-fold change in P<sub>qtip</sub>-lux occurred (Supplementary Figure S2B). Thus, the vqmA<sub>882</sub> gene, if expressed in strain 882, would function
analogously to VqmAVc in *V. cholerae*. However, the VqmR-VqmA QS system in strain 882 is
defective for two reasons – because it lacks VqmR and it does not express \( vqmA_{882} \).

**V. parahaemolyticus strain 882 possesses a LCD-locked LuxO allele**

Inspired by our finding that in strain 882, the VqmA-VqmR QS circuit is non-functional, we
determined whether its other QS systems also harbored defects. Examination of QS genes
revealed a 36 bp deletion exists in \( luxO \ (luxO_{882}) \) corresponding to elimination of LuxO residues
91-102 (\( \Delta 91-102 \), and Figure 3A). To characterize the consequence of the deletion on LuxO
function, we introduced the QS-controlled luciferase operon from *Vibrio harveyi* (luxCDABE) into
strain 882 and used light production as a heterologous QS target. In *V. harveyi* at HCD, luxCDABE
expression is activated by LuxR, the HCD QS master regulator [12]. Across *Vibrios*, homologs of
LuxR including OpaR from *V. parahaemolyticus*, also activate luxCDABE at HCD [14]. We
compared light production by strain 882 to three other 882 strains that we constructed: luxO\(^{+}\) (in
which \( luxO_{882} \) was repaired), luxO\(^{D61E}\), and luxO\(^{D61A}\). LuxO D61E is a LuxO~P mimetic and drives
constitutive production of the Qrr sRNAs, thus locking cells into the LCD QS state [15].
Conversely, LuxO D61A is a non-phosphorylatable LuxO allele, is inactive, and therefore locks
cells into the HCD QS state. As expected, the 882 strains carrying luxO\(^{D61E}\) and luxO\(^{D61A}\) were
constitutively dark and bright, respectively (Figure 3B and [15]), and strain 882 engineered to be
luxO\(^{+}\) produced light in a cell density-dependent manner, the hallmark of a QS-controlled process.

By contrast, the parent strain 882 produced a modest amount of light (~100-1000-fold less than
the 882 luxO\(^{+}\) strain at HCD) with dramatically reduced cell density-dependent regulation (Figure
3B). The light production pattern of strain 882 was most similar to that of strain 882 carrying
luxO\(^{D61E}\) (Figure 3B), indicating that LuxO\(_{882}\) mimics LuxO~P (see Figure 1A). Indeed, introduction
of a reporter of qrr3 expression (\( P_{qrr3}-mRuby \)) verified that both \( luxO_{882} \) and \( luxO^{D61E} \) drove high
levels of fluorescence, whereas luxO$^+$ and luxO$^{D61A}$ produced 11-fold and 73-fold less fluorescence, respectively (Figure 3C). Moreover, because repair of luxO$^{882}$ to luxO$^+$ fully restored proper QS function, the deletion in luxO$^{882}$ is the defect that eliminates signal transduction from LuxN, LuxPQ, and CqsS (Figure 1A and [12]). Taken together, our results show that strain 882 is LCD-locked with respect to all of its known QS systems.

The LCD-behavior driven by luxO$^{882}$ could be due to increased LuxO transcriptional activity, increased LuxO protein production, or both. First, to examine LuxO activity, we engineered the D61E and D61A mutations into luxO$^{882}$ harboring the 36 bp deletion and introduced the alleles onto the strain 882 chromosome. We call these genes luxO$^{882D61E}$ and luxO$^{882D61A}$, corresponding to the Δ91-102 LuxO D61E and Δ91-102 LuxO D61A proteins, respectively. We assayed activity using the luxCDABE reporter. As expected, strain 882 with luxO$^{882D61E}$ was constitutively dark (Figure 3B). However, in contrast to the constitutively bright phenotype of strain 882 harboring luxO$^{D61A}$, strain 882 carrying luxO$^{882D61A}$ was also constitutively dark (Figure 3B). Consistent with this result, both luxO$^{882D61E}$ and luxO$^{882D61A}$ drove high qrr3 expression (Figure 3C). Thus, the Δ91-102 mutation in LuxO$^{882}$ eliminates the requirement for phosphorylation for LuxO to possess transcriptional activity. Second, to examine whether the Δ91-102 deletion changes LuxO protein production, we engineered luxO$^+\cdot3XFLAG$ and luxO$^{882\cdot3XFLAG}$ alleles into the chromosome of strain 882 and verified that the tagged proteins function like untagged LuxO$^+$ and LuxO$^{882}$, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3A). Western blot analyses revealed that LuxO$^+$ and LuxO$^{882}$ are produced at similar levels, showing that increased LuxO$^{882}$ protein levels do not underlie its LCD-locked LCD phenotype (Figure 3D). Finally, mapping sequences onto the existing LuxO crystal structure revealed that the residues missing in LuxO$^{882}$ reside along interface II between the LuxO receiver domain which contains the D61 site of phosphorylation and the ATP-binding catalytic domain (Figure 3E). Phosphorylation of LuxO is predicted to disrupt interface II,
thereby enhancing LuxO transcriptional activity [16]. We predict that deletion of residues 91-102 alters interface II, and consequently, increases LuxO activity.

To investigate whether other Vibrios possess luxO mutations similar to that present in strain 882, we analyzed the luxO genes of the same 5460 sequenced Vibrios described above and found that 88 Vibrio strains, including strain 882, carry the identical Δ91-102 luxO mutation (Supplementary Figure S3B). This group of Vibrios is dominated by V. parahaemolyticus strains. Five V. cholerae and three Vibrio owensii strains are also in the group. Other large, in-frame deletions are present in luxO genes in several V. parahaemolyticus strains spanning residues 67-87 and 114-134 (Supplementary Figure S3C). While we did not test the functions of these LuxO proteins, we speculate that they act similarly to LuxO882. Regarding the frequency of the Δ91-102 luxO mutation in V. parahaemolyticus strains, several possibilities could explain our finding: (1) There is an overrepresentation of sequenced V. parahaemolyticus strains in the database (1731/5460), (2) the mutation originated from a common ancestor, and/or (3) environmental pressures on V. parahaemolyticus strains select for this particular mutation. We cannot eliminate the first possibility of sample bias. To distinguish between the latter two possibilities, we performed a core genome alignment of the 88 V. parahaemolyticus strains carrying Δ91-102 luxO (including strain 882) with V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 as the wild-type (WT) reference (Figure 3F). Our analyses suggest that the incidence of the Δ91-102 luxO mutation does not reflect acquisition from a common ancestor, given that strain 882 is most similar to V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 yet the two have dissimilar luxO alleles. Rather, it is more likely that V. parahaemolyticus strains encounter environmental pressures that select for mutations in QS components that maintain the strains in the LCD QS mode.
V. parahaemolyticus strain 882 QS circuits converge to regulate phage VP882 gene expression by an SOS-independent mechanism

One of our goals is to understand how QS shapes bacterial-phage partnerships. In the present context, we sought to determine the ramifications the QS defects have on interactions between strain 882 and its lysogenized prophage VP882. Toward this aim, we assessed the transcriptional changes that occur in strain 882 following repair of the vqmR-vqmA and luxO QS pathways, individually and together. We call the new strains 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺, 882 luxO⁺, and 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ luxO⁺. Strikingly, genes encoded by phage VP882 were upregulated 2-10-fold in 882 luxO⁺ and 3-15-fold in 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ luxO⁺ relative to strain 882 (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S1). Specifically, transcription of 40/71 predicted genes on phage VP882 increased in the repaired strains indicating that proper host QS function promotes phage VP882 gene expression. Moreover, the 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ luxO⁺ strain displayed the highest activation of phage VP882 genes, suggesting that VqmR-VqmA882 and LuxO function additively to control phage genes. Importantly, compared to strain 882, there was no significant increase in expression of phage VP882 genes in the 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ strain carrying LuxO882 (Figure 4A). Thus, LuxO is epistatic to VqmR-VqmA with respect to phage VP882 gene expression.

A transcriptional reporter in which the phage gp69 lytic gene promoter was fused to lux (Pgp69-lux) verified that phage VP882 gene expression is activated by host QS. Specifically: the 882 luxO⁺ and 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ luxO⁺ strains exhibited ~10-20-fold higher Pgp69-lux expression than strains 882 and 882 vqmR-vqmA882⁺ (Figure 4B). Light production from Pgp69-lux was nearly undetectable in 882 strains that had been cured of phage VP882, indicating that the QS state of the host affects Pgp69 activity via phage-encoded regulators (Figure 4C). Indeed, the transcriptomic analyses show that the key phage lytic genes vqmAPhage, qtip, and q were
upregulated in 882 luxO⁺ and 882 vqmR-vqmA₈₈₂⁺ luxO⁺, while expression of the clVP882 gene remained unchanged (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S1).

There were no significant growth differences among 882 test strains cultivated under standard liquid growth conditions (Supplementary Figure S4A). This finding suggests that, while host QS activates phage VP882 lytic gene expression, the level of activation is insufficient to produce global host-cell lysis. Thus, to characterize the consequences of host QS signaling on phage VP882 lifestyle transitions, we monitored two key features of phage virulence, phage reproductive success (i.e., virion production) and time to host-cell lysis following phage induction in each of our test strains. First, regarding QS effects on spontaneous virion production, consistent with the above Pgp69-lux reporter data, the QS repaired 882 luxO⁺ and 882 vqmR-vqmA₈₈₂⁺ luxO⁺ strains produced twice as many viral particles as the QS-defective parent strain 882 despite there being no detectable differences in growth (Figure 5A, left, and see Supplementary Figure S4A). Second, regarding QS effects on time to lysis, we introduced a plasmid carrying arabinose-inducible vqmAPhage into each strain to induce the phage lysis program and drive host lysis. Strains 882 luxO⁺ and 882 vqmR-vqmA₈₈₂⁺ luxO⁺ lysed 1 and 2 h earlier, respectively, than did strains 882 and 882 vqmR-vqmA₈₈₂⁺ (Figure 5B). Similar to the results for spontaneous induction of the phage, following expression of vqmAPhage, the 882 luxO⁺ and 882 vqmR-vqmA₈₈₂⁺ luxO⁺ strains produced twice as many viral particles as strain 882 (Figure 5A, right).

We considered two possible mechanisms by which host QS could affect phage VP882 lytic activity: Host QS could increase RecA-dependent clVP882 proteolysis or host QS could activate phage-encoded qtip expression. To test the first possibility, we introduced HALO-tagged clVP882 (HALO-clVP882) into our set of 882 lysogens and monitored clVP882 cleavage. No differences were detected between the strains in the absence of ciprofloxacin, which measures spontaneous HALO-clVP882 proteolysis. In the presence of ciprofloxacin, which induces HALO-clVP882 cleavage, all strains exhibited similar elevations in proteolyzed HALO-clVP882 (Figure 6A). Consistent with
this finding, deletion of recA in the 882 lysogens did not eliminate differences in Pgp69-lux expression or time-to-lysis between the QS-repaired and QS-defective 882 strains (Figure 6B and 6C, respectively). Thus, host QS input into phage VP882 lifestyle transitions occurs independently of the RecA pathway.

To test the possibility that host QS increases Qtip-mediated cI_{VP882} sequestration, we constructed phage VP882 qtip::cm, eliminating the phage VqmA_{Phage}-Qtip pathway to lysis (Supplementary Figure S4B). We assayed Pgp69-lux expression in our set of QS-repaired and QS-defective 882 strains carrying phage VP882 qtip::cm or a phage we call VP882 Ctr::cm with cm integrated at a neutral locus. Interruption of qtip severely reduced host QS-dependent effects on Pgp69-lux expression (Figure 6D), indicating that host QS influences phage lysis-lysogeny transitions via a Qtip-dependent mechanism. As the only known regulator of qtip is VqmA_{Phage}, we tested whether a fragment of the phage VP882 genome harboring vqmA_{Phage}-qtip and the key cl_{VP882} and q regulatory genes is sufficient to drive differential Pgp69-lux induction in the various test strains.

Similar to the 882 strains carrying full-length phage VP882, the 882 luxO* and 882 vqmR-vqmA* luxO* strains harboring only the phage VP882 regulatory fragment (called VP882*) produced >10-fold more light from Pgp69-lux than did strains 882 and 882 vqmR-vqmA* carrying VP882* (Figure 6E, left). Deletion of vqmA_{Phage}-qtip from VP882* (VP882* ΔvqmA_{Phage}-qtip) dramatically reduced Pgp69-lux levels in all the strains, indeed, abolishing differences between them (Figure 6E, right). We conclude that regulation of the phage-encoded vqmA_{Phage}-qtip pathway connects host QS to phage VP882 lytic gene expression.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we characterize *V. parahaemolyticus* strain 882, the natural host of phage VP882. We discover that strain 882 harbors defects in all of its QS circuits, such that the strain is locked
in the LCD QS mode. The consequence is suppression of phage VP882 lytic activation. Thus, phage VP882 is more virulent to QS-proficient *V. parahaemolyticus* 882 than to the naturally occurring QS-deficient 882 strain. We previously showed that, at HCD, detection of host-produced DPO by phage VP882 launches phage-mediated bacterial killing [8]. Our present results suggest that phage VP882 reacts to inputs from multiple QS components: DPO, VqmR-VqmA, and LuxO.

We do not yet know the mechanism by which LuxO and VqmR-VqmA funnel information into activation of phage VP882 lytic genes. We do know that VqmA\textsubscript{Phage} and Qtip are required, and that the RecA-dependent pathway is dispensable. We presume that the host QS circuits converge on regulation of transcription of *vqmA*\textsubscript{Phage} and *qtip*. The endogenous activator of *vqmA*\textsubscript{Phage} transcription that initially launches the phage VP882 lytic process remains unknown. However, given that restoration of all strain 882 QS circuits is not sufficient to launch lysis (Supplementary Figure 4B), inputs into *vqmA*\textsubscript{Phage} expression in addition to QS must exist.

Beyond lysogenizing strain 882, phage VP882 has been found in two *Salmonella enterica* strains (accession codes: AAFWQT0000000000 and AAEKWQ0000000000 and [17]), *Shewanella* algae sp. (accession code: LTBI01000119.1), and *V. parahaemolyticus* (accession code: NNHH01000051.1 and [17]). Regarding the additional *V. parahaemolyticus* strain, curiously, host *vqmR-vqmA* is intact, but an 819 bp deletion encompassing *vqmA*\textsubscript{Phage} and *qtip* exists in its phage VP882. As described here, the reverse is the case in strain 882: *vqmA*\textsubscript{Phage} in phage VP882 is intact, but host *vqmR-vqmA* is disrupted. *Salmonella* and *Shewanella* do not possess *vqmA* and *vqmR* genes. Thus, no naturally occurring host that is lysogenic for phage VP882 has been identified that harbors two copies of *vqmA*. We know that both bacterial VqmA and VqmA\textsubscript{Phage} activate expression of host *vqmR* [8,11], and our work here indicates that VqmR production activates phage VP882 lytic gene expression (albeit modestly and in the presence of a functional LuxO; Figure 4A). Given these two features, we speculate that harboring two copies of *vqmA* is
disadvantageous to the host, and bacteria that eliminate one copy of vqmA, either from the host or apparently from the phage, receive superior protection from their parasitic viral partner.

Unexpectedly, the Δ91-102 LuxO (i.e., LuxO_{882}) variant was present in an additional 87 sequenced Vibrios. Indeed, a recent screen for mutations that affect colony sectoring and kin-killing in an environmental isolate of V. cholerae, called V. cholerae 2740-80, identified a mutation in LuxO with a similar deletion (residues 94-106 and [18]). Analogous to what we report here, this allele drove LCD QS behavior in V. cholerae [18], suggesting that in-frame deletions in LuxO confer advantages in different Vibrios and in different contexts. Mapping of LuxO_{882} onto the V. angustum LuxO crystal structure revealed that the eliminated amino acids in LuxO_{882} reside along interface II between the LuxO catalytic and receiver domains [16]. In the unphosphorylated state, the LuxO catalytic and receiver domains interact via interface II. By contrast, when residue D61 is phosphorylated, interface II is disrupted, fostering LuxO transcriptional activity. Likely, deletion of residues 91-102 permanently disrupts intra-protein domain interactions at interface II, locking LuxO_{882} into its transcriptionally-active state. LuxO mutations, as well as mutations in homologs of OpaR, which also confer the LCD QS state, are common in Vibrios in nature. Presumably, these variations in QS system function are driven by selective pressures that deliver fitness benefits under particular conditions [19–21]. One possible example is that mutations that lock Vibrios into the LCD QS state prevent the costly production of QS-controlled public goods [21], and here we show protect from phage killing.

Links between host QS and phage-host interactions have been investigated primarily in the context of QS-control of anti-phage defense mechanisms [22–27]. However, a few examples, including in this present work, demonstrate that temperate phages can garner information from host-produced QS cues to regulate their lysis-lysogeny transitions [8,28,29]. A recent study demonstrated that in Vibrio anguillarum, QS represses φH20-like phage p41 lytic development at
HCD [30]. By contrast, QS in *V. parahaemolyticus* drives phage VP882 lytic development at HCD, whereas the naturally locked LCD QS state of strain 882 suppresses phage VP882 viral reproduction. Likely, the ramifications of host QS signaling on temperate phage biology are specific to particular phage-host partnerships.
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METHODS

Bacterial strains, reagents, and growth conditions

*E. coli* and *V. cholerae* strains were grown with aeration in Luria-Bertani (LB-Miller, BD-Difco) broth at 37° C. *V. parahaemolyticus* strains were grown with aeration in LB with 3% NaCl at 30° C. Strains used in the study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Unless otherwise noted, antibiotics, were used at: 100 μg mL⁻¹ ampicilllin (Amp, Sigma), 50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin (Kan, GoldBio), 50 μg mL⁻¹ polymyxin B (Pb), and 5 μg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Cm, Sigma). L-arabinose (Sigma) was supplied at final concentrations of 0.02% or 0.2%, as indicated in the figure legends. Ciprofloxacin (Sigma) and DPO were supplied at a final concentrations of 500 ng mL⁻¹ and 10 μM, respectively.

Cloning techniques

All primers and dsDNA (gene blocks) used for plasmid construction and qPCR, listed in Table S3, were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies. Gibson assembly with HiFi DNA assembly mix, intramolecular reclosure, and traditional methods were employed for cloning. PCR with iProof was used to generate insert and backbone DNA. Cloning enzymes were obtained from NEB. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S4. Transfer of plasmids into *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. cholerae* was carried out by conjugation followed by selective plating on LB plates supplemented with appropriate antibiotics.

Growth, lysis, and reporter assays

Overnight cultures of *V. parahaemolyticus* and *E. coli* were back-diluted 1:1000 and 1:100, respectively, with fresh medium containing appropriate antibiotics prior to being dispensed (200 μL) into 96 well plates (Corning Costar 3904). Arabinose and DPO were added as specified. Wells that did not receive treatment received an equal volume of water. A BioTek Synergy Neo2 Multi-
Mode reader was used to measure OD\textsubscript{600}, fluorescence, and bioluminescence. Relative light units (RLU) and relative fluorescence units (RFU) were calculated by dividing the bioluminescence and fluorescence readings, respectively, by the OD\textsubscript{600} at that time.

**qPCR**

Overnight cultures were back-diluted 1:1000 with specified treatments. Subsequently, cultures were grown for an additional 4.5 h prior to 1:10 dilution in water. Samples were heated at 95° C for 20 min to release viral DNA packaged in phage VP882 virions, linear phage VP882, and host genomic DNA from cells. DNA was further diluted 1:100 in water, and 1 µL was used in qPCR reactions. SYBR Green mix (Quanta) and Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR detection system (Thermo) were used for real-time PCR. Data were analyzed by a comparative CT method in which the \textit{gp69} target gene was normalized to an internal bacterial control gene (\textit{hfq}).

**in vitro HALO-cI\textsubscript{VP882} repressor cleavage and in-gel HALO detection**

Assessment of HALO-cI\textsubscript{VP882} cleavage was carried out in \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} 882 strains as described [8] with modifications. Specifically, overnight cultures carrying the plasmid harboring HALO-cI\textsubscript{VP882} were diluted 1:200 in medium and grown for 2.5 h with shaking. Cultures were divided in half and administered ciprofloxacin or water as specified. The treated cultures were incubated without shaking for an additional 1.5 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation (16,100 X g for 1 min), resuspended in a lysis buffer containing 1x BugBuster, benzonase, 300 mM NaCl, and 1 µM HALO-TMR (excitation/emission: 555/585 nm). Clarified lysates were loaded onto NEBExpress Ni spin columns (NEB), washed once with lysis buffer containing 10 mM imidazole, and eluted in lysis buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. Eluted samples were subjected to electrophoresis on 4-20% SDS-PAGE stain-free gels. Gels were imaged using an ImageQuant.
LAS 4000 imager under the Cy3 setting prior to being exposed to UV-light for 7 min and re-imaged under the EtBr setting. Exposure times never exceeded 30 sec.

**Western blot analyses**

Western blot analyses were performed as reported [11] with the following modifications: *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. cholerae* producing C-terminal 3XFLAG-tagged VqmA were back-diluted 1:1000 and harvested at OD$_{600}$ = 0.2 and 2.0. *E. coli* producing 3XFLAG-tagged VqmA were back-diluted 1:100 and harvested at OD$_{600}$ = 2.0. *V. parahaemolyticus* producing 3XFLAG-tagged LuxO were back-diluted 1:1000 and harvested at OD$_{600}$ = 2.0. *E. coli* and *Vibrios* were resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer at final concentrations of 0.006 OD µL$^{-1}$ and 0.02 OD µL$^{-1}$, respectively. Following denaturation for 15 min at 95°C, 5 µL of each sample was subjected to SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis. RpoA was used as the loading control (Biolegend Inc.). Signals were visualized using an ImageQuant LAS 4000 imager.

**DNA and RNA sequencing**

All sequencing was carried out by SeqCenter (formerly Microbial Genome Sequencing Center; MIGS). For preparation of samples for genomic sequencing, overnight cultures of strain 882 were back-diluted 1:1000 and grown to stationary phase prior to harvesting. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood &Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For preparation of samples for RNA sequencing, overnight cultures of the 882 strains were back-diluted 1:1000 and grown for 4 h prior to harvesting. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA samples were treated with DNase using the TURBO DNA-free Kit (Thermo).
**Multiple sequence alignment**

Genomic DNA sequences of 5460 *Vibrio* strains were downloaded from the GenBank database [31]. A first pre-processing step was performed to discard duplicate genomes and genomes that contained assembly gaps in regions of interest, which yielded 5460 genomes for further analyses. A custom MATLAB (Mathworks, 2022) algorithm was used to automate the sequence-similarity-based search of *vqmR*, *vqmA*, and *luxO* genes in each of the 5460 genomes [32]. The DNA sequence of *vqmR*, and protein sequences of VqmA and LuxO from *V. cholerae* C6706 were used as queries. Multiple sequence alignment of DNA and protein sequences were performed and analyzed in MATLAB and visualized by NCBI Multiple Sequence Alignment Viewer (v1.22.1).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Genomic sequences were annotated using Prokka (v 1.14.6) [33]. A core genome was extracted from Prokka-output GFF files and aligned using built-in MATLAB functions. A post-processing step was next applied to remove regions containing long consecutive gaps or low-quality alignment from the core genome alignment to avoid using false SNPs for phylogeny reconstruction. Pairwise p-distances were calculated based on the reduced core genome alignment and were subsequently used to build a phylogenetic tree using the UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) method. Using other distance-based methods, such as WPGMA (weighted pair group method with arithmetic mean), or a maximum parsimony method yielded similar results of phylogeny reconstruction.

**Quantitation and statistical analyses**

Software used to collect and analyze data generated in this study consisted of: GraphPad Prism 9 for analysis of growth and reporter-based experiments; Gen5 for collection of growth and reporter-based data; SnapGene v6 for primer design; QuantStudio for qPCR collection; LASX for acquisition of western blots; and FIJI for image analyses. Data are presented as the means ± std.
The number of technical and independent biological replicates for each experiment are indicated in the figure legends.

**Data and software availability**

Data points used to make plots, raw RNA-sequencing data, and unprocessed gels and blots used in this study are available as Data S1, S2, and S3, respectively. Other experimental data that support the findings of this study will be provided without restriction by request from the corresponding author.
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Figure 1. Simplified schematics of the vibriophage VP882 and *Vibrio* QS circuits at HCD and mechanisms driving phage VP882 host-cell lysis.

(A) Multiple autoinducer-receptor pairs control QS in *V. parahaemolyticus*. AI-1 (circles), AI-2 (triangles), and CAI-1 (squares) are detected by LuxN, LuxPQ, and CqsS, respectively. At LCD, the receptors are kinases and funnel phosphate to LuxO (via a protein LuxU, not shown). LuxO~P activates transcription of genes encoding the Qrr sRNAs. The Qrr sRNAs post-transcriptionally repress *opaR*, the master regulator of group behaviors. At HCD (shown in the cartoon), the liganded receptors act as phosphatases, reversing the phosphorylation cascade. Consequently, production of Qrr sRNAs ceases, and *opaR* translation is derepressed, OpaR is produced, and the cells undertake group behaviors. In parallel, at HCD, the DPO autoinducer (hexagons) interacts with the VqmA transcription factor. The complex activates *vqmR* expression and the VqmR sRNA controls QS behaviors. Phage VP882 carries *vqmAPHage*. Binding of VqmA_Phasge to host-produced DPO activates expression of a gene encoding an antirepressor called Qtip, which drives the phage lytic pathway.

(B) Two pathways control phage VP882 lysis-lysogeny transitions. Upper: DPO-bound VqmAPHage activates expression of *qtip*. Qtip sequesters the phage cI<sub>VP882</sub> repressor of lysis leading to phage replication and host-cell killing. Lower: the phage cI<sub>VP882</sub> repressor is proteolyzed in response to host SOS/DNA damage via a host RecA-dependent mechanism. The consequence is phage replication and host-cell killing.
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Figure 2. VqmA and VqmR are not produced in strain 882.

(A) Schematic of the vqmR-vqmA loci of *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633 and strain 882. The dotted pattern signifies that strain 882 harbors an 897 bp deletion encompassing a portion of a neighboring upstream gene (*VPA1076*, encoding a putative transcriptional regulator of a proline metabolic operon), a putative gene of unknown function (*VPA1077*), the *vqmR* promoter, the *vqmR* coding sequence, and a portion of the non-coding region upstream of *vqmA*882.

(B) Representative western blot showing VqmARIMD-3XFLAG in *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633, VqmA882-3XFLAG in strain 882, and VqmA882-3XFLAG in strain 882 with the non-coding region upstream of *vqmA*882 restored (i.e., this strain is 882 *vqmA*882"). RpoA was used as the loading control.

(C) Consensus sequences of the intergenic regions between *vqmR* and *vqmA* for the two designated groups. Gray “u” letters indicate ≤50% agreement. Black nucleotides with clear backgrounds indicate >50% but <100% agreement. White nucleotides with black backgrounds indicate 100% agreement.

(D) Left: schematic showing exchange of regions between *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633 and *V. cholerae* at the *vqmR-vqmA* loci. Genes and non-coding regions from *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633 and *V. cholerae* are colored cyan and red, respectively. Right: representative western blot of VqmA-3XFLAG produced by *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633 and *V. cholerae* from their native promoters (Parent) and following exchange of their promoters (SWAP). RpoA was used as the loading control.

Data are representative of three independent experiments (B) and two independent experiments (D).
**Figure 3**
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Figure 3. LuxO<sub>882</sub> harbors a 12 amino acid deletion, rendering the protein LCD-locked.

(A) Schematic of the luxO-containing loci of V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 and V. parahaemolyticus strain 882. Strain 882 luxO harbors a 36 bp deletion corresponding to residues 91-102, as indicated.

(B) Relative luxCDABE output over time from the parent strain 882 (luxO<sub>882</sub>; cyan), strain 882 luxO<sup>+</sup> (orange), strain 882 luxO<sup>D61E</sup> (blue), strain 882 luxO<sup>D61A</sup> (green), strain 882 luxO<sub>882</sub><sup>D61E</sup> (red), and strain 882 luxO<sub>882</sub><sup>D61E</sup> (purple). RLU designates relative light units.

(C) Relative PqrR3-mRuby output for the indicated 882 strains. RFU designates relative fluorescence units.

(D) Representative western blot of LuxO<sup>+</sup>-3XFLAG and LuxO<sub>882</sub>-3XFLAG produced by strain 882. RpoA was used as the loading control.

(E) Crystal structure of V. angustum LuxO (PDB: 5EP0 and [16]). The receiver, catalytic, and linker domains are colored in green, red, and yellow, respectively. The aspartate site of phosphorylation is shown in brown (denoted P-site). Residues 84-95 in V. angustum LuxO, corresponding to residues 91-102 in V. parahaemolyticus LuxO, which are missing in V. parahaemolyticus strain 882 LuxO, are colored in blue. Interface II is indicated.

(F) A phylogenetic tree constructed using UPGMA based on core genome SNP alignment of the 88 V. parahaemolyticus strains carrying the Δ91-102 LuxO mutation. Scale bar (red) indicates 0.01 nucleotide substitution per SNP site. The two horizontal lines indicate a gap in the tree, and 0.04 refers to nucleotide substitutions per SNP site separating the gap. Black arrowheads show strain 882 and V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633.

Data are represented as means ± std with n=3 biological replicates (B, C) and representative of three independent experiments (D).
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Figure 4. Restoration of host QS function activates phage VP882 gene expression.

(A) *Left*: heatmap showing log$_2$ fold changes (FC) of all quantified genes in phage VP882 (gp1-71) in the indicated 882 strains. Data are normalized to the parent 882 strain. Numerical values for heatmaps are available in Table S1. Increasing brightness represents increasing gene expression. *Right*: as in the left panel, heatmap showing log$_2$ fold changes of the indicated phage VP882 genes (gp53-71).

(B) Relative P_{gp69-lux} output in the indicated 882 strains.

(C) Relative P_{gp69-lux} output in the indicated 882 strains carrying either phage VP882 (lysogen; black bars) or lacking phage VP882 (cured; white bars).

Data are represented as means with $n = 3$ biological replicates (A), and as means ± std with $n = 3$ biological replicates (B, C). RLU as in Figure 2B (B, C).
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Figure 5. Phage VP882 is more virulent in QS-competent *V. parahaemolyticus* than in QS-deficient *V. parahaemolyticus*.

(A) Quantitation of viral particles collected from the indicated 882 strains carrying arabinose-inducible vqmA<sub>phage</sub> grown in medium lacking (black bars) or containing 0.02% arabinose (white bars). Relative viral load is the amount of phage VP882-specific DNA (*gp69*) relative to non-phage DNA (*hfq*) measured by qPCR.

(B) Growth of the 882 parent (cyan), 882 vqmR-vqmA<sub>882</sub>* (green), 882 luxO* (orange), and 882 vqmR-vqmA<sub>882</sub>* luxO* (red) strains carrying arabinose-inducible vqmA<sub>phage</sub> and grown in medium lacking (diamonds) or containing (circles) 0.02% arabinose.

Data are represented as means ± std with *n* = 3 biological replicates and *n* = 4 technical replicates (A), and as means ± std with *n* = 3 biological replicates (B).
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Figure 6. Qtip-mediated inactivation of clVP882, and not RecA-mediated clVP882 proteolysis, is required for host QS control of phage VP882 gene expression.

(A) SDS-PAGE in-gel labeling of HALO-clVP882 produced from a plasmid in the indicated strains. – and + indicate, respectively, the absence and presence of ciprofloxacin to induce SOS. The FL and scissors labels designate full-length and cleaved clVP882 proteins, respectively. M denotes the molecular weight marker. kDa is kilodaltons.

(B) Relative Pgp69-lux output in the indicated recA* (black bars) and ΔrecA (white bars) 882 strains.

(C) Growth of ΔrecA strain 882 (cyan), ΔrecA 882 vqmR-vqmA882* (green), ΔrecA 882 luxO* (orange), and ΔrecA 882 vqmR-vqmA882* luxO* (red) strains carrying arabinose-inducible vqmAPhage and grown in medium lacking (diamonds) or containing (circles) 0.02% arabinose.

(D) As in (B), except with strains carrying either phage VP882 Ctr::cm (black bars) or phage VP882 qtip::cm (white bars). All strains are recA*.

(E) As in (B), except with strains carrying either VP882* or VP882* ΔvqmAPhage-qtip.

Data are represented as means ± std with n = 3 biological replicates (B, C, D, E), and as representative of three independent experiments (A). RLU as in Figure 2B (B, D, E).
**Supplementary Figure 1**

**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vqmR⁺</th>
<th>vqmR⁻</th>
<th>vqmA⁺</th>
<th>vqmA⁻</th>
<th>Number of strains analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vas</td>
<td>Vai</td>
<td>Var</td>
<td>Vcm</td>
<td>Vcr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

Relative P_vqmA_{RIMD-lux} (RLU)

- + VqmA_{882}

**C**

- vqmR
- vqmA

Clade 1
- V. cholerae C6706
- V. metoecus 2011V-1015
- V. mimicus FDAARGOS_112
- V. tariae 2521-89
- V. fluvialis 2013V-1300
- V. furnissii FDAARGOS_777

Clade 2
- V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633
- V. harveyi FDAARGOS_107
- V. natriegens ATCC 14048
- V. owensii 1700302
- Vibrio sp. dhg
- V. campbellii ATCC BAA-1116
- V. alginolyticus 2010V-1102
- V. antiquarius EX25
- V. diabolicus FA3
- V. neocaledonicus CGJ02-2
Supplementary Figure 1. *V. parahaemolyticus* strain 882 is the only sequenced *Vibrio* that lacks *vqmR* and harbors *vqmA*. *vqmA* expression is not auto-regulated in *V. parahaemolyticus*, and *Vibrio vqmA* promoters cluster into two distinct classes.


(B) Left: Relative P*vqmA*~RIMD-lux output from *E. coli* carrying arabinose-inducible *vqmA*~882~-3XFLAG. The treatments - and + *VqmA*~882~ refer to water and 0.2% arabinose, respectively. RLU as in Figure 2B. Right: representative western blot of *VqmA*~882~-3XFLAG produced by the *E. coli* in the left panel. RpoA was used as the loading control.

(C) Multiple DNA sequence alignment of the intergenic regions between *vqmR* and *vqmA* for the *V. cholerae* clade (Clade 1) and the *V. parahaemolyticus* clade (Clade 2). A representative strain (as designated) was chosen for each species in each clade. Thick gray or red bars indicate, respectively, nucleotides that are identical with or different from the consensus (>50% agreement among aligned sequences). Thin gray lines indicate gaps in the sequence alignments. Scale bar indicates 50 bp.

Data in B are represented as means ± std with *n* = 3 biological replicates (left) and representative of two independent experiments (right).
A

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>MAWGRIAVSVSLVPNTIEQSLLRQPGWGCDKHDVFRVNYIDMEL<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub> -<br>VPHNLITEQSLRKEHGWGCDLSNIVYANDMGEL<br>VqmAPhage -<br>MSISEDC-----DAYRSLIIHFINPDPWGKDKTSYFIFYANOQFRL

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>LCNASPGCTGDRGSPSSPETCAQRGQKHVTGESLKLIFEHTQGDC<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub> -<br>ICLKhRAKDCRIGPSPTAAGAERKQOGYVIEHTGSVKLQIHFQDC<br>VqmAPhage -<br>VQMKKNR-IVGELTDADDCCETAAPAFADESRGQRLEQGREKKIVLQVHPANG

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>RWRAGHRTPRWSDLGGNLEELELQIGRRLFIVYGYVWCRAIGTMNHOS<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub> -<br>HWAHTTPRWSDSQKIQSTFLGRDQITAVGLSTSSTV-----WRVFTETTPPLIMPSCRVAARTEHQUQIDTQAGRERAVFELL-LPSSGQAG<br>VqmAPhage -<br>IKFAEFSNLPHPEKLTQRCQLELFILLYGKKQFISQVMGBISTKTVETHVARLR

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>IFKSVADRTLRTAVRESEVIFLLLYGKKQHHRWVMG5SITKTVETYEAKER<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub> -<br>SF-------ETNVYVGNLTERELLYLFILRCRTAKQHDAGMLMLSPHIQLEAIERIR

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>NKFTGANSKVWEDKAMEGYGRVMPKTEHKXHOWSYWNGER<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub> -<br>SKLGASKQDQTDIADLRIFGVRKLERKQINPSDSHTIPKVQYVAD<br>VqmAPhage -<br>NKFGAGNKKRELDMAMSQGYSMVPKALFHTQVSMELK

B

Fold activation (Induced/Uninduced)

C

PvqmA<sub>RIMD-lux</sub> -<br>1.5x10<sup>7</sup>

PvqmA<sub>Vc-lux</sub> -<br>1x10<sup>6</sup>

VqmA<sub>882</sub> -<br>VqmA<sub>Vc</sub>
Supplementary Figure 2. VqmA$_{882}$ binds DPO and promoter DNA.

(A) Protein sequence alignment (ClustalW) showing *V. parahaemolyticus* strain 882 VqmA (VqmA$_{882}$), *V. cholerae* VqmA (VqmA$_{VC}$), and phage VP882 VqmA (VqmA$_{Phage}$) proteins. Black and gray boxes designate identical and conserved residues, respectively. Numbering indicates amino acid positions. Blue boxes indicate key conserved DPO-binding residues from VqmA$_{VC}$ (F67, F99, and K101).

(B) Relative fold activation of P$vqmR_{RIMD}$-lux or P$qtip$-lux from Δtdh *E. coli* harboring arabinose-inducible vqmA$_{882}$-3XFLAG. Fold activation was calculated by dividing the RLU of induced cells (0.02% arabinose and 10 µM DPO) by the RLU of uninduced cells.

(C) Relative P$vqmR_{RIMD}$-lux and P$vqmR_{VC}$-lux from Δtdh *E. coli* harboring arabinose-inducible vqmA$_{882}$-3XFLAG (designated VqmA$_{882}$) or vqmA$_{VC}$-3XFLAG (designated VqmAVc), respectively. *E. coli* were treated with either water (black bars) or 10 µM DPO (white bars). All cells were treated with 0.02% arabinose.

Data are represented as means ± std with $n = 3$ biological replicates (B, C). RLU as in Figure 2B (B, C).
Supplementary Figure 3. LuxO<sub>882</sub>-3XFLAG and LuxO<sup>*</sup>-3XFLAG are functional and 88 Vibrio strains possess the Δ91-102 LuxO deletion.

(A) Relative luxCDABE output over time from the 882 luxO<sub>882</sub> (cyan), 882 luxO<sup>*</sup> (orange), 882 luxO<sub>882</sub>-3XFLAG (purple), and 882 luxO<sup>*</sup>-3XFLAG (pink) strains. Data are represented as means ± std with n = 3 biological replicates.

(B) Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of LuxO in Vibrio strains that carry the Δ91-102 luxO mutation. Gray or red vertical bars indicate, respectively, amino acids that are identical to or different from the consensus (>50% agreement among aligned sequences). White boxes indicate the 91-102 amino acid deletion. Blue vertical lines indicate insertions. Teal indicates V. parahaemolyticus strains, green indicates V. cholerae strains, and dark blue indicates V. owensii strains. Scale bar indicates 50 amino acids (abbreviated AA). All sequences are aligned with respect to the LuxO sequences of V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 and V. cholerae C6706, which are shown in the first and second row, respectively.

(C) As in (B), except the strains carry the Δ67-87 (top) or Δ114-134 (bottom) luxO mutation.
Supplementary Figure 4. There are no growth defects in the QS-active 882 strains carrying the phage and elimination of qtip renders phage VP882 SOS-inducible but not VqmA_{Phage}-inducible.

(A) Growth of the 882 parent (cyan), 882 vqmR-vqmA_{882}^{+} (green), 882 luxO^{+} (orange), and 882 vqmR-vqmA_{882}^{+} luxO^{+} (red) strains.
(B) Growth of strain 882 harboring phage VP882 qtip::cm and arabinose-inducible vqmA_{Phage} in medium treated with water (white), arabinose (gray), or ciprofloxacin (black). Arabinose (0.2%) was used to induce vqmA_{Phage} expression, and ciprofloxacin (500 ng mL^{-1}) was used to induce host SOS.
Data are represented as means ± std with n = 3 biological replicates (A, B).
Table S1 Numerical values, and associated p-values, for heatmaps in Figure 4A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VP82 gene</th>
<th>$\log_{2}$FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>$\log_{2}$FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>$\log_{2}$FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gp01</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.282</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp04</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.778</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp05</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.648</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp06</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.499</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp07</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.643</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp10</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.842</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.399</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp11</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.758</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.452</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp14</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp16</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp17</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.149</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp18</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.007</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp19</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.230</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp20</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.216</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp21</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.396</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp22</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.384</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp23</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.371</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp24</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.223</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp25</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.382</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp26</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.260</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.447</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp27</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp28</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.537</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp29</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.842</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp30</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.106</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp31</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.078</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp32</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.924</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp33</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp35</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.890</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp36</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp37</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.596</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp38</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.371</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp39</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.098</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp42</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.842</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp45</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp46</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp44</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp48</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp49</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp51</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp52</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parA</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telN</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qtip</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vqmAPhage</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repA</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putative cro</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putative dskA</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.861</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.738</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp69 lysin</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.465</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp70 lysin</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.686</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp71 lysin</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.305</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.723</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2 Strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V. cholerae str. C6706</td>
<td>vqmAVc::vqmAVc-3XFLAG; PvqmARimd-vqmAVc-3XFLAG</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BW25113</td>
<td>lacIq, rrnBT14, ΔlacZΔM15, hsdR514, ΔaraBADAH33, ΔrhaBADLD78, Δtdh</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli S17λpir</td>
<td>ΔlacU169 (ΦlacZΔM15), recA1, endA1, hsdR17, thi-1, gyrA96, relA1, λpir</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli TOP10</td>
<td>F- mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Φ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 nupG</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 RIMD2210633</td>
<td>vqmARimd::vqmARimd-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 (luxO882+)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmAR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG (882 parent); luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus O3:K6 strain 882</td>
<td>vqmR882::vqmR882::vqmAR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔvqmR882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG; luxO882::luxO882 ΔluxO882-3XFLAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[7], [8], [34]
Table S3 Primers and gBlocks used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5-3)</th>
<th>Purpose; Template</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODO-786</td>
<td>CTGCTGACTCTGATTGTGCTG</td>
<td>qPCR; phage VP882 gp69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-787</td>
<td>TCGTGAGAGGTTGATGTTACTTCT</td>
<td>qPCR; phage VP882 gp69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-30</td>
<td>CTAAGGGGCAATCTCTAACAAGCCA</td>
<td>qPCR; strain 882 hfq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-370</td>
<td>ACCATTGGTTACAGTATTTTTCAGCAG</td>
<td>qPCR; strain 882 hfq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-943</td>
<td>TTCAAAACAGATCCTGAAGCGGCCTC</td>
<td>First-round amplification VP882 qtip 3Kb upstream flanking sequence; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-944</td>
<td>TACTGCGATGAGTGCGAAGGCGGGCGGCTAAGAT TAGTCATCGAGTGCCCTTTTGCTG</td>
<td>First-round amplification VP882 qtip 3Kb upstream flanking sequence; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-947</td>
<td>GGCGTGTCGGCAGGCGAGGCGAGCCATGACA CACGAATAACACTCCTTTGTTAAGTGATTTG</td>
<td>First-round amplification VP882 qtip 3Kb downstream flanking sequence; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-948</td>
<td>TCAACTCATGCCCTCAGGAGG</td>
<td>First-round amplification of cat-traJ; Ec-OD603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-945</td>
<td>TTACGCCCCGGCCTGCCACTCA</td>
<td>First-round amplification of cat-traJ; Ec-OD603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-946</td>
<td>TCATGGCTCTGCCTCGGCGCGAC</td>
<td>Second round amplification to construct qtip::cm; ODO-943x944, ODO-947x948, ODO-945x946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-949</td>
<td>AATTGGCAGCTCTGTACCCTTC</td>
<td>Second round amplification to construct qtip::cm; ODO-943x944, ODO-947x948, ODO-945x946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-950</td>
<td>GACACTCTTTTGATCGATGAGGCC</td>
<td>Intromolecular reclosure to delete Δ91-102 luxO; FJS-S113 and FJS-S114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-951</td>
<td>TCAATGCTCTCAGAGATTTTTGATCA</td>
<td>Intromolecular reclosure to delete Δ91-102 luxO; FJS-S113 and FJS-S114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-952</td>
<td>GCCGTGATGAAAATGTACGGCA</td>
<td>Amplification of pRE112 backbone; pRE112 plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-1</td>
<td>ATGCAGTTCTTACACACCGCTTC</td>
<td>Amplification of pRE112 backbone; pRE112 plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-2</td>
<td>GGGATCGGGGCCCTATACCTT</td>
<td>Amplification of pRE112 backbone; pRE112 plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-651</td>
<td>GAAGCGGTGTAAGTGGACTGCATATCAGGCTCATGTGATCGAAATCCGG</td>
<td>Amplification to construct luxO&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt; in pRE112; from V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-656</td>
<td>AAGTGATAGGCGGCATCCTGTTACGACTCTG ACCAAACGAATGG</td>
<td>Amplification to construct luxO&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt; in pRE112; from V. parahaemolyticus RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-619</td>
<td>GTGAGTCCCTCTTGTGATATATCCAGTCA</td>
<td>Amplification of pRE112 backbone containing vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG; pRE112-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-620</td>
<td>GGATCAAGGAAAAAGCCAGCCTGAAGA</td>
<td>Amplification of pRE112 backbone containing vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG; pRE112-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-621</td>
<td>TCTTCAGGCTGGCTTTTTTTGATCCAAACAGTC CCGGAATAGGCCA</td>
<td>Amplification of vqmA&lt;sub&gt;Vc&lt;/sub&gt; promoter to construct P&lt;sub&gt;VqmA&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sub&gt;Vc&lt;/sub&gt;-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG; from V. cholerae gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-622</td>
<td>TTGACTGGAAATTCACAAGGACTCACGCAT ATCCTCCACTGAAATGCG</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmA}<em>{vc} promoter to construct \textit{PvqmA}</em>{vc-VqmARIMD-3XFLAG}; from \textit{V. cholerae} gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-626</td>
<td>GCTTATGCCATTGGGTGTGAGATGAGGACTCACGCCAT ATCCTCCACTGAAATGCG</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{pKAS} backbone containing \textit{vqmA}<em>{vc-3XFLAG}; \textit{pKAS-vqmA}</em>{vc-3XFLAG} (pKP485)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-629</td>
<td>TGGGGCCAAATACGAGTTGAGACTCTCTTCTGT CTCAAATCTGACATTAG</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{pKAS} backbone containing \textit{vqmA}<em>{vc-3XFLAG}; \textit{pKAS-vqmA}</em>{vc-3XFLAG} (pKP485)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-627</td>
<td>CCGTCTCTAGGCTGCTTTTTCTATCTCTACAAAA TGAAAAAATATGGCTATAGC</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} promoter to construct \textit{PvqmARIMD-vqmA}_{vc-3XFLAG}; from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-912</td>
<td>TACTGCTATTTTGGCCAAAGCC</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{pKAS} backbone containing luciferase genes; \textit{PvqmR}_{vc}-lux plasmid (EcOD119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-427</td>
<td>TTAATTAACCTGAGCGGTACCGCCG</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{pKAS} backbone containing luciferase genes; \textit{PvqmR}_{vc}-lux plasmid (EcOD119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-860</td>
<td>ATGACTAAAAAAATTTTCCATTATTAACCGCCAGG</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} promoter to insert into \textit{pEVS-lux}; from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-558</td>
<td>CGGCCGGTTACCGCTCGAGTAATTTATGTGAG ATTAACCTACGGTCAATGTACAA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} promoter to insert into \textit{pEVS-lux}; from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-930</td>
<td>CGGCCGGTACCGCTCGAGTTAATTAAGCATCAT CCCCCTCGCTTTATTGA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{qtip} promoter to insert into \textit{pEVS-lux}; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-931</td>
<td>CGGCCGGTACCGCTCGAGTTAATTAAGCATCAT CCCCTCGCTTTATTGA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{qtip} promoter to insert into \textit{pEVS-lux}; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-551</td>
<td>GGGTAGGAAGCCTGTAAATGTAACTGCATAAT CACAATCTGCTGTAAGCATGATCA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} upstream sequence to construct \textit{vqmARIMD-3XFLAG}; from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-552</td>
<td>ACGCCTGAATAATGTGAGGGGCAGTCCTCA ATCAGGGTACGTACTCACTGACCATAGCC</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD and vqmA}<em>{882} downstream sequence to construct \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}</em>{882-3XFLAG}; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-553</td>
<td>GGTTAGGAAGCCTGTAAATGTAACTGCATCAC AACAATGTTAGGAATATCAATAAGA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}_{882} upstream sequence to construct \textit{vqmARIMD-3XFLAG} in \textit{pRE112}; from strain 882 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-586</td>
<td>TACTGCTATTTTGCCCAAGCCCTC</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}<em>{882} upstream sequence to construct \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}</em>{882-3XFLAG}; from strain 882 and \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-529</td>
<td>CGCCACCGCGCTTTTATCTTTTAAACA</td>
<td>Amplification of \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}<em>{882} downstream sequence to construct \textit{vqmARIMD} and \textit{vqmA}</em>{882-3XFLAG}; from \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} RIMD2210633 gDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-1</td>
<td>GCCATATCCTCCACTGGAAATGC</td>
<td>Amplification of pBR322-pBAD backbone; pBAD-vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG (BB-Ec0042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-2</td>
<td>TAAGCAACACGTCGAAGCTGATTG</td>
<td>Amplification of pBR322-pBAD backbone; pBAD-vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG (BB-Ec0042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-635</td>
<td>GCATTCTCCAGTGGAGGAATGCGTGACTCTCTGATTGATATTGCAAGCCGACAAAGAAATTTATGACCGTACCTCCCGGATCAT</td>
<td>Amplification of vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt; to insert into pBR322-pBAD; vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG gBlock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODO-636</td>
<td>CAATCGACCTCGTGGTGTGCTATTATTATTGCTCATATTGCATCGATCATG</td>
<td>Amplification of vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt; to insert into pBR322-pBAD; vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG gBlock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| pEVS-Pvqm<sub>ARIMD</sub> 2 | CGGCGGTACCGCTGAGTTAATTATACGAGCTCTAGATGCATACGACGACTCTGCGATCTATCTGGAATTCTATGATCCGGGACCCCATCTGTC CATGATATTACCCATCAGCTGCCAATGGCATGCTGTAGTCTCTTACTACGCCGACAAGGAGACAAATTCGATGACCCAGAGGGT was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
|                             | GCTCTCTCCCGACAGCTCGGGTTGGTTGGGGT GCAGGATAAAAGATTTCTCCGTATATGATGAA TCGAGGATTCCGAATATATGGTACGAAACGGG GAATCTGGAAAGACGTCTCTTATGAGATATGAGATACCCGACATAGTACTTACGGCAATTACGACACCATGAGGACACAGGAGACAAATTCGATGACCCAGAGGGT was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
|                             | PVqm<sub>ARIMD</sub> for insertion into pEVS-lux                                            | PVqm<sub>ARIMD</sub>-3XFLAG insert to make V. parahaemolyticus vqm<sub>A</sub>-3XFLAG pRE112 plasmids and pBAD-vqm<sub>ARIMD</sub>-3XFLAG |
| ODgBlock12                  | GATGCTTTGGGCGCCAAATAGCAGTAGTGAGCTCCTGATATTCAGTCAATACCATAGAGACAGT CGGCTCTCCCGACAGCTCGGGTTGGTTGGGGT GCAGGATAAAAGATTTCTCCGTATATGATGAA TCGAGGATTCCGAATATATGGTACGAAACGGG GAATCTGGAAAGACGTCTCTTATGAGATATGAGATACCCGACATAGTACTTACGGCAATTACGACACCATGAGGACACAGGAGACAAATTCGATGACCCAGAGGGT was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
<p>|                             | vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG insert to make V. parahaemolyticus vqm&lt;sub&gt;A&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG pRE112 plasmids and pBAD-vqm&lt;sub&gt;ARIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODgBlock18</th>
<th>ODgBlock18</th>
<th>lux\textsubscript{RI夲}-3XFLAG for insertion into pRE112</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTTTTTTGTTGATTCAATATCGTCATGCTTTATTTATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTCATCTTTTGATGTCGATATCAGATTCTTTATAAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACCCTGTCTGGGTGGTACCATCCGACCTTCCTTGCAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTTCTTTTACCTTACGCTTTGATGCTTTGCGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAATCGTGGACGACAGTACCATCAAGATACCAACAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCTCTTGGGATTATGGCGTCAGCAGCTTCCGACATTTTATAAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTCATCTGCAATTTTACCTTCATGAGCATGATGATACTACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTGATTCAATATCCTTGCCTTTGATTTGCGACAGCAGCAATTTTAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTAGAATTTCTTGTGTTGATGGCGATATCAGTAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTACACACATTCTTCCAGCAGGTGTCGAGACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGATATTGCAAGGAGGAAAGGAAAGGGTTAGGGGGAGACTATATATACGTAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTATATCTGCCAAATATGCTTTTACAATGTTAGGATGATCAGATCAGCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATGAAAATGCTCCACGTTCGACTTTTGGTGGAGACGAGCAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTCCAAAGGCTGCTGCTGTGGTGTCTGCAAGCAAGAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCGGTCGAATCTCTGCTTTTCTTCTTCTGCTCRTAATTTATAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGAATTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATATTTTATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCTTTGATGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTTAAAAAAAAAGCTTCTGAGAGGACGCTGCAAGCAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATGCTCTCTACTCCGCTGATATGATAGAGCCATGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGCTAGAAAAATGATCCGACACTTCTGATGACT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTTTTACGCGCGGAAACATCATGACATCCGTGCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATATCTGGACAGCAGAGATCGAGAAGAAGATAAGAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTTGAAATTTTGATGATTTGAGACTTTTCTTAAATGCCATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCTACCTGTACCGCAAAATATATATGATGCTGACATCTACCGAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AACCACGGTGAGGTACAGAAGAGCTGTACAGACGACGAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCGATGCTGTATCCTCCAAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TTTTTTGTTGATTTCAATATCGTCATGCTTATTTATC
GTCATCTTGGTAGTGATCTGTTAGTATTATAAT
CACCCTGACTGCTTTTGAGTCCACCCGCTTGCAG
CTCTTCTTACCATTCCATGCTTTGAGTTTGGCTG
AAATCGTTCGAGAGCTAAGATCAAGATCCAGAC
GGCTCTTGGAAATTGCTGCTCAGCCTTCAATC
GCTGTCTGCAATCGCCCATTTCTCTCTGCCGTA
CTCTTCTTCTTTATTCTCTGTATCCCTGAT
CGGCATGATCTCTGAAACAGTCATCGCTTTTGG
CTCAATCAGTTAGATCAAGATGCGCTGTACCT
GGTTGATTCAGCGGCAGTGAAATGCTATCTAC
GTAATTCTTTGCAGTTGTGAGACACACAGATAT
TGCCGTAGTACCTTTTAGTTAGTGTGTCAGCGTACC
CGGCCACTTACAATCCTTAAAGCGCTGTAATCCT
CTTTGAGAAAACGGGACAAATTGTGGCCCTCTTT
CATGAGACATGTAGCCTAAACGAGTAGCCTAAT
TTAATCTCTCGGAGAAAACGGGACAAATTGTGGCCCTCTTT
GCTTGCAACGACATTCGCTGCGACCAAGAACAA
GGGCACGCTGCTCAGCTTTGATCAATTGAGACAG
ACTCTCTGGAACGTACCGTGTTGGATGATCGCA
ATAATTTGCGTTGATAGCTCAAAATCACCATTTTCA
AAATTACATCGAGGAAACAGTGCTCCACATCGGCTA
ACTCACGGGACCTTGCAGCTTGCAGCCGACAC
CATGAAATGCCAACCTTTCATTTGAGAACCTTAAC
ACTTCGCATGCTATTGTAAGTCAAAATCCATTGCTACGAC
ATATTCGTTGGTAGTTGTTGGTGAAGACGGAACAG
TTATGGGAAAACGGGACAAATTGTGGCCCTCTTT
GCTGCACGCTGCTCAGCTTTGATCAATTGAGACAG
TAGACCTTGCATGCTGTTGGCTGCTGCCGATTAA
AACCTTGGAATTCTGATTACCCGAGATTATCTGG
TTCTTTTTGTATTTGATCTTGGCTGCTGCAATCCTG
TGTTGACCGTAAACAGCAGCAGCGGTCTGCTTCAACA
CGGGTTTGAATACCCGAGACAGCATGATTTGCTG
GCTCATGAATATGATGCGCAGCCTGCTTGAAGTACTCT
TTTAAACGGCCTGCAAAAACCATCGGCTCCGGCTAG
ATATTCGAGAGCTAGAGTAACGATAGCTATCT
GGATTTGCAGATTTGAGACTTCCCAATGGACATGCT
TACCTGACCGCAATATTTAAGTCGACATCCCTA
CGGCGTGAAGTGACACCGATATACGCGCAGAC
CGATGCTGATTTCCACCATC
Table S4 Plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid name (informal)</th>
<th>Strain ID (formal)</th>
<th>Marker, Origin</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VP882 Ctr::cm</td>
<td>Ec-OD603</td>
<td>Cm, VP882</td>
<td>This study, courtesy of G. Beggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP882 qtip::cm</td>
<td>Ec-OD587</td>
<td>Cm, VP882</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pqrr3-mRuby3</td>
<td>BB-Ec0914</td>
<td>Cm, p15A</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PluxC-CDABE</td>
<td>BB-Ec0224</td>
<td>Tet, pLAFR</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;Phage&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>JSS-852</td>
<td>Kan, p15A</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIS-HALO-cI&lt;sub&gt;VP882&lt;/sub&gt;-Pq-lux</td>
<td>JSS-3410</td>
<td>Amp, Kan, pBR322</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PvqmR&lt;sub&gt;Vc&lt;/sub&gt;-lux</td>
<td>Ec-OD119</td>
<td>Kan, p15A</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PvqmAR&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-lux</td>
<td>Ec-OD545</td>
<td>Kan, p15A</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptqtip-lux</td>
<td>Ec-OD567</td>
<td>Kan, p15A</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD545</td>
<td>Amp, pBR322</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;Vc&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>BB-Ec0042</td>
<td>Amp, pBR322</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD498</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD499</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-PvqmA&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD500</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD501</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112-PvqmA&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>Ec-OD521</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;:+luxO&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Ec-OD525</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;D61E</td>
<td>FJS-S113</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study, courtesy of F. Santoriello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;D61A</td>
<td>FJS-S114</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study, courtesy of F. Santoriello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;D61E</td>
<td>Ec-OD599</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;D61A</td>
<td>EcOD-600</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;:luxO&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>EcOD-601</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE112- luxO&lt;sub&gt;882&lt;/sub&gt;:luxO&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>EcOD-602</td>
<td>Cm, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKAS- PvqmAR&lt;sub&gt;RIMD&lt;/sub&gt;-vqmA&lt;sub&gt;Vc&lt;/sub&gt;-3XFLAG</td>
<td>EcOD-550</td>
<td>Amp, oriR6ky</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>