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Abstract

Hippocampome.org is a mature open-access knowledge base of the rodent hippocampal formation focusing on neuron types and their properties. Hippocampome.org v1.0 established a foundational classification system identifying 122 hippocampal neuron types based on their axonal and dendritic morphologies, main neurotransmitter, membrane biophysics, and molecular expression. Releases v1.1 through v1.12 furthered the aggregation of literature-mined data, including among others neuron counts, spiking patterns, synaptic physiology, in vivo firing phases, and connection probabilities. Those additional properties increased the online information content of this public resource over 100-fold, enabling numerous independent discoveries by the scientific community. Hippocampome.org v2.0, introduced here, incorporates over 50 new neuron types and extends the functionality to build real-scale, biologically detailed, data-driven computational simulations. In all cases, the freely downloadable model parameters are directly linked to the specific peer-reviewed empirical evidence from which they were derived. Possible research applications include quantitative, multiscale analyses of circuit connectivity and spiking neural network simulations of activity dynamics. These advances can help generate precise, experimentally testable hypotheses and shed light on the neural mechanisms underlying associative memory and spatial navigation.
Introduction

Neuroscience knowledge continues to increase every year (Eke et al., 2022; Yeung et al., 2017), making it challenging for researchers to keep abreast of mounting data and evolving information even in their own domain of expertise. Large-scale endeavors, such as the Brain Research through Advancing Innovative Neurotechnologies (BRAIN) Initiative (Insel et al., 2013) and the European Union’s Human Brain Project (Amunts et al., 2016), are contributing to this tremendous growth along with the ‘long tail’ of independent labs and individual scientists (Ferguson et al., 2014). A key organizing principle for neuroscience knowledge is the seminal notion of neuron types (Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature Group et al., 2008; Zeng and Sanes, 2017), which constitute the conceptual ‘parts list’ of functional circuits. The National Institutes of Health launched the BICCN (see abbreviations in Materials and Methods) to help establish a comprehensive reference of the cell type diversity in the human, mouse, and non-human primate brains (BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network, 2021). This multi-institution collaboration is already producing innovative results (Muñoz-Castañeda et al., 2021) and actionable community resources (BICCN Data Ecosystem Collaboration, 2022).

Hippocampome.org is an open-access knowledge base of the rodent hippocampal circuit (dentate gyrus, CA3, CA2, CA1, subiculum, and entorhinal cortex) at the mesoscopic level of neuron types (Wheeler et al., 2015). This resource has proven popular and effective thanks to the adoption of a simple yet powerful classification system for defining neuron types. Specifically, a key property for the identification of neuron types in Hippocampome.org is the location of axons and dendrites across the subregions and layers of the hippocampal formation. This approach can be broadly extended to classify neurons in other brain regions and neural systems (Ascoli and Wheeler, 2016). Focusing on axonal and dendritic distributions provides two considerable advantages. First, these features mediate neuronal connectivity, thus immediately revealing the underlying blueprint of network circuitry (Rees et al., 2017). Second, they are widely used in the neuroscience community as a reliable and concrete anchoring signature to correlate electrophysiological and transcriptomic profiles (DeFelipe et al., 2013). Therefore, starting from the foundational morphology-based identification of 122 neuron types in the first release (version 1.0 or v1.0), Hippocampome.org progressively amassed an increasing amount of complementary data, such as firing patterns, molecular expression, cell counts, synaptic communication, in vivo oscillations, and connection probabilities. In all cases, the public repository provided direct links to the specific peer-reviewed empirical evidence supporting the added knowledge.

Having established a web-based integrated storehouse of hippocampal information, Hippocampome.org also expanded its scope by including data-driven computational models of neuronal excitability and synaptic signaling, as well as ties to community resources such as NeuroMorpho.Org (Akram et al., 2018), SenseLab ModelDB (McDougal et al., 2017), CARLSim (Niedermeier et al., 2022), and the Allen Brain Atlas (Jones et al., 2009). Altogether, these extensions resulted in the emergence of a complete framework for launching real-scale, biologically detailed computer simulations of the hippocampal formation. The present report thus marks a new phase in the life cycle of this community resource. Hippocampome.org v2.0, introduced here, also incorporates over 50 newly identified neuron types, updating the classification to the most recent literature findings.

The following “Description of resource” section begins with a concise, referenced overview of the neural properties collated from Hippocampome.org v1.0 through release v1.12. We then briefly describe the new neuron types and data currently being added in Hippocampome.org v2.0. Next is an abridged summary of the usage and recognition of this online portal in biomedical research. This is followed by an explanation of the latest capabilities to search, filter, and download the complete set of computational
parameters enabling quantitative connectomic analyses and spiking neural network simulations. The section concludes with an outlook of possible research applications allowed by the expansion of this scientific resource.

**Description of resource**

*Characterizing properties of hippocampal neuron types*

Hippocampome.org v1.0 (Wheeler et al., 2015) established the morphological encoding of axonal and dendritic locations and the main neurotransmitter (glutamate or GABA) as the primary determinants of neuron types in the rodent hippocampal formation. For example, a DG Basket cell (with name capitalized to indicate a formally defined neuron type) is a GABAergic cell with axon contained in the granular layer and dendrites spanning all DG layers (Figures 1A1-4). In this framework, two neurons releasing the same neurotransmitter belong to different types if the axon or dendrites of only one of them invades any of the 26 layers across 6 subregions of the hippocampal formation (hippocampome.org/morphology). In other words, neurons of the same type share the same potential inputs, outputs, and excitatory vs. inhibitory function. These properties were initially supplemented with additional empirical evidence for molecular expression of major protein biomarkers (Figure 1A5; hippocampome.org/markers) and membrane biophysics (Figure 1A6-7; hippocampome.org/electrophysiology).

Many neuronal properties and functionalities were progressively added in 12 subsequent releases (Table 1). The numerical sequencing of these Hippocampome.org versions depended on the order of peer-review and publication of the corresponding scientific reports. Here we will describe them instead in logical groupings. The first two updates enhanced the user functionality of the knowledge base. Specifically, v1.1 integrated a web-based interactive thesaurus mapping of synonyms and definitions (Hamilton et al., 2017a; hippocampome.org/find-term) to help disambiguate the many terminological inconsistencies in the neuroscience literature (Shepherd et al., 2019; Yuste et al., 2020). Release v1.2 introduced the capability to browse, search, and analyze the potential connectivity between neuron types (Rees et al., 2016; Hippocampome.org/connectivity) as derived from the compiled overlapping locations of all the presynaptic axons and postsynaptic dendrites. Transcriptomic information was greatly expanded in both v1.3 (Hamilton et al., 2017b), which incorporated in situ hybridization data from the Allen Brain Atlas (Lein et al., 2007), and v1.5 (White et al., 2020), which leveraged relational inferences interlinking the region-specific expression of two or more genes.

The quantifications of firing pattern phenotypes, such as rapid adapting spiking, transient stuttering, and persistent slow-wave bursting, in v1.6 (Komendantov et al., 2019; hippocampome.org/firing_patterns) were fitted by dynamical systems modeling (Izhikevich, 2003) in v1.7 (Venkadesh et al., 2019; hippocampome.org/Izhikevich). Although the above properties were largely measured from slice preparations, v1.9 made available measurements from in vivo recordings (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021; hippocampome.org/in-vivo). Release v1.10 provided a compendium of cognitive functions linked to specific hippocampal neurons (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021; hippocampome.org/cognome), while the v1.11 neuron type census estimated the population counts for each neuron type (Attili et al., 2022; hippocampome.org/census). Last but not least are a set of properties pertaining not to individual neuron types but to synaptic connections between a pair of pre- and post-synaptic neuron types. In particular, v1.8 calculated the synaptic probabilities and the numbers of contacts per connected pair (Tecuatl et al., 2021b; hippocampome.org/syn_probabilities), and v1.4 data mined synaptic physiology...
Expanding the catalog of neuron types and properties from Hippocampome.org v1.x to v2.0

The Hippocampome.org framework to classify neuron types and collate their properties allows agile content updates as new data are continuously reported in the peer-reviewed literature. For example, the description of a parvalbumin-positive DG GABAergic interneuron with axon contained in the granular layer and dendrites invading the molecular layer but not the hilus (Vaden et al., 2020) supported the definition of a new neuron type (Figures 1B1-5), referred to in Hippocampome.org v2.0 as DG Basket GRALDEN. Moreover, such an identification made it possible to unequivocally ascribe to this neuron type previously reported electrophysiological characteristics (Figures 1B6-7; Markwardt et al., 2011). Comprehensive literature mining following the same process expanded the Hippocampome.org v2.0 catalog with 51 new neuron types across 5 of the 6 subregions of the hippocampal formation (Figure 2), including axonal-dendritic morphological patterns (Figure 2A), molecular expression (Figure 2B), and membrane biophysics (Figure 2C).

Besides identifying new neuron types, the Hippocampome.org classification system also allows the ongoing accumulation of new properties onto existing neuron types as well as the reconciliation of fragmented descriptions from scientific publications (Figure 3). For instance, converging evidence indicates that EC Layer III Pyramidal cells have axonal projections in all layers of CA1 (Deller et al., 1996; Takács et al., 2012), not just in stratum lacunosum-moleculare (SLM) as originally reported (Steward, 1976). Hippocampome.org v2.0 captures both the new extracted knowledge and the corresponding experimental evidence (Figure 3A). The annotation of neuron type-specific firing phases relative to in vivo oscillations in v1.9 highlighted a clear distinction between Superficial and Deep CA1 Pyramidal cells (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021). The present release enriches that description with accompanying novel molecular markers (Figure 3B1), membrane biophysics values (Figure 3B2), and differential connectivity with other subregions and neuron types (Figure 3B3). Similarly, numerous additional firing patterns (Figure 3C) have been datamined for existing neuron types, such as adapting spiking in CA3 Basket cells and non-adapting spiking in CA3 Bistratified cells (Fidzinski et al., 2015) or transient stuttering in CA1 Radiatum Giant cells (Kirson and Yaari, 2000). Notably, this includes a novel phenotype, TSTUT.PSTUT in CA1 Interneuron Specific O-targeting QuadD cells (Chamberland et al., 2010). With this report, we also release new differential connection probabilities to various CA1 neuron type targets from traditional CA3 Pyramidal cells vs. CA3c Pyramidal cells (Figure 3D) and from DG Granule cells to mossy fiber CA3 targets (Table 2).

Quantifying the content and impact of Hippocampome.org

Over the course of subsequent releases, we have measured Hippocampome.org content using two metrics. The number of pieces of knowledge (PoK) tallies the distinct units of structured information, such as the statements that DG Granule cell axons invade the hilus or that CA1 Basket cells express parvalbumin. The pieces of evidence (PoE) are specific excerpts of peer reviewed publications (portion of text, figure, or table) or database entries (e.g., from the Allen Brain Atlas) always linked to each PoK.

---

1 During peer review and until the paper is accepted for publication, new v2.0 data and functionality are available to referees and beta testers at https://hippocampome.org/php_v2/morphology.php (password: hippo)
Both PoK and PoE continued to grow with successive releases of Hippocampome.org (Figure 4A). Notably, the largest increases in PoK and PoE were related to synaptic properties (Moradi and Ascoli, 2020; Tecuatl et al., 2021b; Moradi et al., 2022). Specifically, the data underlying synaptic physiology and connection probabilities were supported by over 23,000 PoE and yielded a remarkable 500,000 PoK thanks to the normalized collection of signaling and short-term plasticity modeling parameters for multiple combinations of experimental conditions.

To assess community usage of Hippocampome.org, we tracked the number of citations of the original publication (Wheeler et al., 2015) and of the subsequent versions (Figure 4B), separating simple references from actual employment of information extracted from Hippocampome.org for secondary analyses (Table 3). At the time of this writing, year 2021 proved to be the most prolific citation-wise; however, more than a third of the releases (v1.8-12) appeared after 2021 and most PoK were added in 2022, so usage could potentially accelerate further in coming years. An early application of Hippocampome.org sourced data used subthreshold biophysical measures, such as input resistance and membrane time constant, for multicompartamental models of signal integration and extracellular field generation (Gulyas et al., 2016). That study concluded that somatic and proximal dendritic intracellular recordings in pyramidal cells and calretinin-positive interneurons, in particular, do not capture a sizable portion of the synaptic inputs. As a recent usage example, another lab employed Hippocampome.org as the primary information resource for neuron types in DG, CA3, and CA1 (Schumm et al., 2022). They discovered that mild traumatic brain injury, in the form of alterations in spike-timing-dependent plasticity, may affect the broadband power in CA3 and CA1 and the phase coherence between CA3 and CA1.

From experimental data to biologically realistic computational models

Several key neural properties collated into Hippocampome.org have gradually transformed the site from an organized repository of hippocampal knowledge to a computational framework for launching real-scale neural network simulations. Specifically, building a data-driven circuit model of a neural system (such as the hippocampal formation or portion thereof) requires four essential quantities besides the full list of neuron types (Bahmer et al., 2023; DePasquale et al., 2023): (i) the number of neurons in each type; (ii) the input-output response function for each neuron type; (iii) the connection probability for each pair of interacting neuron types; and (iv) the unitary synaptic signals for each pair of connected neuron types (Figure 5). Of those quantities, (i) and (ii) are neuron type properties, while (iii) and (iv) are properties of directional connections, defined as a distinct pair of a presynaptic and a postsynaptic neuron type. Moreover, (i) and (iii) are structural features, while (ii) and (iv) are electrophysiological ones.

Hippocampome.org v2.0 provides estimates of the number of neurons in each neuron type (i) for both rats and mice (Figure 5A). These values were derived in a two-step process (Attili et al., 2020): first, literature mining extracted suitable quantitative relations such as the cellular density in a given layer (Attili et al., 2019), the total count of neurons expressing a certain gene, or the fraction of sampled cells with a particular morphology; second, numerical optimization of the corresponding equations yielded a complete census for all neuron types. Hippocampome.org represents the neuronal input-output response function (ii) in the form of single- and multi-compartment Izhikevich models (Figure 5B) fitted by evolutionary algorithms to accurately reproduce the observed firing behavior of each neuron type (Venkadesh et al., 2018). The connection probability (iii) from one neuron type to another (Figure 5C) was computed from measurements of the appropriate axonal and dendritic lengths in each invaded
subregion and layer (hippocampome.org/A-D_lengths). Additionally, users can also access the
presynaptic and postsynaptic path distances from the respective somata
(hippocampome.org/soma_distances) and the number of contacts per connected neuron pairs
(hippocampome.org/num_contacts). As for the synaptic communication between neurons (iv),
Hippocampome.org adopts the Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram formulation, representing unitary signals
and short-term plasticity with five constants for each directional pair of interacting neuron types: the
synaptic conductance, decay time, recovery time, facilitation time, and the utilization ratio (Tsodyks et
al., 1998; Moradi et al., 2022). Once again, these parameters were fitted from the experimental data
(Moradi and Ascoli, 2018) employing deep learning to account for (and predict the effects of) numerous
experimental variables (Figure 5D), including species (rat vs. mouse), sex (male vs. female), age (young
vs. adult), recording temperature (room vs. body), and clamping configuration (voltage vs. current).

The above description underscores the crucial interconnectedness of individually measured neuronal
properties forming a cohesive whole in Hippocampome.org (Figure 6). In particular, normalized
simulation parameters (e.g., the sensitivity of recovery variable in Izhikevich models) are derived from
quantitative experimental measurements, such as the spiking adaptation rate (Figure 6a). Those in turn
are linked to an identified neuron type based on qualitative features, like calbindin expression or
laminar distribution of axons and dendrites. In addition to enabling computational applications as
described below, such integration also allows the meta-analysis of correlations between morphological
features, molecular profiles, electrophysiological properties, and dynamic circuit functions. At the same
time, several components of Hippocampome.org are also synergistically linked to external community
resources (Figure 6B). For example, each neuron page links out to all three-dimensional morphological
reconstructions of the same cell type available in NeuroMorpho.Org (Ascoli et al., 2007), and selected
data from NeuroMorpho.Org were used to compute axonal and dendritic length and connection
probabilities. Each neuron page also links out to all computational models (including Hodgkin-Huxley,
stochastic diffusion, mean firing rate, etc.) involving the same cell type on ModelDB (McDougal et al.,
2017), while conversely ModelDB includes the Izhikevich models for all Hippocampome.org neuron
types. Moreover, simulation parameters from Hippocampome.org are exportable to the CARLsim
simulation environment (Nageswaran et al., 2009), enabling fast execution of spiking neural network
models optimized for GPUs. Furthermore, Hippocampome.org harnessed data from the Allen Brain Atlas
(Lein et al., 2007) to infer gene expression for principal neurons and cell densities for use in the neuron
type census.

To facilitate construction of spiking neural network simulations, Hippocampome.org v2.0 also includes a
new graphical user interface (GUI)². With this GUI, users can download sets of simulation parameter
values for arbitrarily selected neuron types, a subregion of interest, or the whole hippocampal
formation (Figure 7). The sets consist of files for the instantiation of CARLsim simulations and a CSV
spreadsheet of parameters for use in a different simulation environment of the user’s choice. For the
convenience of users interested in simplified circuit models, Hippocampome.org informally ranks the
importance of each neuron type from 1 (essential) to 5 (dispensable). For instance, a user may choose to
simulate only the canonical, or rank 1, neuron types of the tri-synaptic circuit and entorhinal cortex,
consisting of DG granule, CA3 pyramidal, CA1 Pyramidal, and MEC LII Stellate cells. When
Hippocampome.org is missing a parameter value due to insufficient experimental evidence, the GUI
exports a default value clearly indicating so in the downloadable files. For missing Izhikevich and
synaptic signaling parameters, the default values are those provided by the CARLsim simulation

---

² During peer review and until the paper is accepted for publication, new v2.0 data and functionality are available to referees and beta testers at https://hippocampome.org/php_v2/simulation_parameters.php (password: hippo)
environment. For missing synaptic probabilities, Hippocampome.org precomputes values averaged by 
connection type, namely excitatory-excitatory (0.0117), excitatory-inhibitory (0.0237), inhibitory- 
excitatory (0.00684), and inhibitory-inhibitory (0.00423).

Potential applications to connectomic analyses and spiking neural networks simulations

Hippocampome.org v2.0 enables the multiscale analysis of circuit connectivity (Figure 8). At the highest 
echelon are the connections between hippocampal subregions, which are comprised of the mesoscopic 
level potential connectivity between individual neuron types (Figure 8A). Expanding, for example, upon 
the 147 connections between DG and CA3 neuron types reveals all the connections every individual 
neuron type forms with the other neuron types within and across the subregions (Figure 8B). Zooming in 
onto a single neuron type each from DG and CA3, it is possible to quantify the efferent and afferent 
connections with other neuron types from throughout the hippocampal formation in terms of synaptic 
probabilities and number of neuronal partners (Figure 8C). Diving even deeper into the isolated 
connection between two neuron types, such as the mossy fiber contacts from DG Granule cells to CA3 
Basket cells, expands the connectivity analysis to several physiological factors affecting neuronal 
communication: the subcellular location of the synaptic contact (e.g., soma in stratum pyramidale and 
proximal dendrites in stratum lucidum), the transfer function (product of synaptic conductance and 
decay time constant), the in vivo firing rate of the presynaptic neuron type, and the relationship 
between input current and resulting output spiking frequency (F-I curve) of the post-synaptic neuron 
(Figure 8D).

Release of v2.0 makes the original objective of Hippocampome.org, to enable data-driven spiking neural 
network simulations of rodent hippocampal circuits (Ascoli, 2010), finally achievable. An ongoing line of 
research in this regard focuses on a real-scale mouse model of CA3, with the eventual goal of 
investigating the cellular mechanisms of pattern completion. Initial work included excitatory Pyramidal 
cells and seven main inhibitory interneuron types: Axo-axonic, Basket, Basket CCK+, Bistratified, Ivy, 
Mossy Fiber-Associated ORDEN, and QuadD-LM (Kopsick et al., 2022). Use of Hippocampome.org 
parameters for cell census, Izhikevich models, synaptic signals, and connection probabilities resulted in 
robust, realistic, rhythmic resting state activity for all neuron types (Figure 9A). Another pursuit seeks to 
replicate the spatial representation in grid cells (Sargolini et al., 2006), modeled utilizing the 
Hippocampome.org MEC II Stellate cells and supported by various GABAergic interneuron types 
(Dhillon and Jones, 2000). This study aims to reproduce the in vivo firing of these neuron types as a 
virtual rodent explores an open field (Figure 9B). While preliminary, these illustrative examples highlight 
the potential of Hippocampome.org-enabled data-driven spiking neural network simulations to 
investigate computational theories of cognitive functions in hippocampal circuits at the level of 
biologically detailed mechanisms (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021).

Discussion

Hippocampome.org, through its continuous updates and conspicuous usage, has established itself 
prominently amongst other readily accessible, evidence-based, expert-curated bioscience public 
resources of note, such as FlyBase for Drosophila molecular biology (The FlyBase Consortium, 1994; dos 
Santos et al., 2015), WormBase for nematode genomics (Stein et al., 2001), the Blue Brain Project for 
somatosensory cortex (Markram, 2006), SynGO for synaptic functions (Koopmans et al., 2019), and 
RegenBase for spinal cord injury biology (Callahan et al., 2016).
The growth of Hippocampome.org since the initial release of v1.0 (Wheeler et al., 2015) has been prodigious. To date, the site has been visited over 136,000 times with over 33,000 unique visits, and the original publication has been cited more than hundred times. Each successive release of Hippocampome.org has added new dimensions of knowledge and/or functionality and has been building toward assembling all the components necessary to produce real-scale computational models of the rodent hippocampal formation. The culmination of all this work is the release of v2.0, which introduces a framework for launching computer simulations directly from the accumulated knowledge. However, achieving simulations does not mark the end point for this project, because Hippocampome.org will continue to aggregate new knowledge as it is published in the peer-reviewed literature. Gradually, the focus of this resource will shift from development to exploitation through the in silico emulation of complex dynamics observed in vivo and in vitro, with the goal of shedding light on the underlying synaptic-level computational mechanisms.

The creation of real-scale spiking neural network models of the hippocampal formation and its subregions can foster biologically realistic, data-driven, mesoscopic simulations of cognitive function and dysfunction (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021). For instance, simulations with Hippocampome.org’s real-scale model of the dentate gyrus can build on previous network models of epileptogenesis (Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen et al., 2007) by providing further clarity to the roles of all documented neuron types and their corresponding potential connections in seizure initiation and propagation. A real-scale model of CA1 can aim to further the insights into the spatiotemporal dynamics of the circuit during theta oscillations (Bezaire et al., 2016; Navas-Olive et al., 2020; Romani et al., 2023). Furthermore, network models involving multiple subregions can open new vistas on unexplored territories, such as the use of real-scale models of the entorhinal cortex and CA2 to simulate the neuron- and connection-type specific mechanisms of social memory (Lopez-Rojas et al., 2022). Moreover, open source sharing of the real-scale models replicating those functions (Gleeson et al., 2017) will facilitate cross-talk within the systems neuroscience community to better understand the role of distinct neuron types in hippocampal function.

A notable aspect of Hippocampome.org is that all freely downloadable model parameters are directly linked to the specific peer-reviewed empirical evidence from which they were derived. Thus, if users disagree with a specific interpretation, or are not fully convinced by an individual experimental measurement, they maintain control in selecting the information sources. Conversely, researchers can choose to reuse the collated experimental data to constrain different computational models they may prefer, such as adopting the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism instead of Izhikevich dynamics. At the same time, Hippocampome.org is not only a collection of model parameters and corresponding empirical evidence, but it also provides an opportunity to unearth knowledge gaps, as facilitated by an intuitive search functionality (hippocampome.org/find-neuron). Missing data can serve to guide the design of targeted “low hanging fruit” experiments or to generate new hypotheses.

Another important element of Hippocampome.org is the careful annotation of the experimental metadata for each piece of evidence, including the species (rat or mouse), sex (male or female), age (young or adult) as well as any and all reported details that could affect the recorded neuronal property. Examples of these confounding factors abound especially for in vitro electrophysiological data, such as the exact chemical composition of the solution in the electrode and in the bath, slice thickness and orientation, clamping configuration, recording temperature, and animal weight. Because these covariates, when reported by the original investigators, are also stored in the database, it is possible to account for them in subsequent analyses and simulations. Hippocampome.org therefore constitutes a considerably rich one-stop resource to compare and “translate” key parameters, such as the amplitude...
and duration of a synaptic signal between two specifically identified neuron types, for instance, from 14 day old male rat at 22 °C in voltage clamp to a 56 day old female mouse at 32 °C in current clamp. When fed into spiking neural network simulations, these differential parameter values can foster intuition while attempting to reconcile neuroscience theories and observations.

Hippocampome.org is yet poised for the onset of an information deluge from current and future big science projects, which will need to be integrated into a complete cohesive picture (de La Prida and Ascoli, 2021). Although morphological identification will continue to play a fundamental role in defining neuron types and circuit connectivity, the manner in which knowledge is cross-referenced in this resource will allow its effective linkage to rapidly accumulating molecular and imaging data. The ongoing spatial transcriptomics revolution is already transforming the frontiers of cellular neuroscience, often using the hippocampus as its favorite sandbox (Lein et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2021; Zeisel et al., 2015). Single-cell transcriptomics via scRNAseq can bolster the current morphological information by offering distinct transcription factor codes for existing neuron types and assist in defining new ones (Cembrowski and Spruston, 2019; Winnubst et al., 2020; Yuste et al., 2020). From the functional side, optical imaging via genetically encoded voltage indicators (Knöpfel and Song, 2019) will provide in vivo voltage traces for defined neuron types that can greatly enhance the repertoire of firing pattern phenotypes to utilize in simulations (Adam et al., 2019). Data-driven computational models can provide a useful conceptual bridge between molecular sequencing and activity imaging by investigating the effects of specific subcellular distributions of voltage- and ligand-gated conductances on neuronal excitability (Migliore et al., 2018). With the converging maturation of these young techniques and the advent of others yet on the horizon, Hippocampome.org will be able to integrate multidimensional knowledge on the solid foundation of neuronal classification.

Materials and Methods

Hippocampome.org v2.0 vs. the legacy status of v1.12

With the release of v2.0 of Hippocampome.org upon publication of this article, v1.12 of the website will no longer be updated and will transition to legacy status (hippocampome.org/legacy_v1). In this way, users may avail themselves of the full benefits of the new content and functionality of v2.0, while maintaining access to reference content as published through v1.12. In the near term, neuron types new to v2.0 are tagged with an asterisk on the web site to differentiate them from v1.1x types.

Linking neuron types to NeuroMorpho.Org morphological reconstructions

Hippocampome.org neuron types are regularly linked to appropriately identified digital reconstructions of neuronal morphology from NeuroMorpho.Org (Ascoli et al., 2007). Identification of suitable reconstructions with individual neuron types depends on the correspondence of dendritic and axonal locations across hippocampal subregions and layers, as they appear in the reference publication. Alternatively, direct cell typing by the authors in the reference publication text is accepted as evidence for canonical (principal cell) types, such as CA1 pyramidal cells or DG granule cells. Reconstructions are not linked to a neuron type if the experimental conditions are inconsistent with the inclusion criteria of Hippocampome.org, as in the case of cell cultures or embryonic development. Lack of either axonal or dendritic tracing also disqualifies reconstructions of non-canonical neurons from being linked.

Connections from DG Granule cells to CA3
To compute estimates of connection probabilities and numbers of contacts per connected pair for the rat mossy fiber-CA3 circuit, we used previously calculated average convex hull volume (Tecuatl et al., 2021b) and several measurements from a seminal anatomical study (Acsády et al., 1998): DG Granule cell axonal length within CA3 (3,236 µm), inter-bouton distances for mossy boutons on Pyramidal cell targets in CA3c (162 µm) and in the rest of CA3 (284 µm), and inter-bouton distances for en-passant and filipodia boutons onto CA3 interneurons (67.4 µm, considering that 48 interneurons can be contacted by a single GC). Given that the mossy fibers innervate mainly CA3 SL, and due to the lack of information regarding the exact proportion of axons innervating CA3 SP, these calculations assume that GCs only innervate SL. The probabilities of connection and numbers of contacts per connected pair (Table 2) are estimated as previously described (Tecuatl et al., 2021a) utilizing the CA3 dendritic lengths reported in Hippocampome.org.

Connections from CA3 and CA3c Pyramidal cells to CA1

To compute estimates of connection probabilities and numbers of contacts per connected pair for the rat Schaffer collaterals-CA1 circuit, we utilized previously reported values for the distinct axonal innervation patterns (Ropireddy et al., 2011; Sik et al., 1993; Wittner et al., 2007) in CA1 SR and SO from CA3 Pyramidal cells (27.5% of total axonal length: 64% to SR, 15% to SP, 21% to SO) and CA3c Pyramidal cells (64.1% of total axonal length: 94% to SR, 3% to SP, 3% to SO). In addition, we used the average inter-bouton distance reported for the Schaffer collaterals (Li et al., 1994) in SR (4.47 µm) and SO (5.8 µm). Total axonal length was measured with L-Measure (Scorcioli et al., 2008) from three NeuroMorpho.Org reconstructions for CA3c (NMO_00187, NMO_00191) and CA3b (NMO_00931). We extracted parcel-specific convex hull volumes from Janelia MouseLight (Winnubst et al., 2019) Pyramidal cell reconstructions (AA0304, AA0307, AA0420, AA0960, AA0997, AA0999, AA1548) mapped to the 2022 version of the Allen Institute Common Coordinate Framework (CCF). The probabilities of connection and number of contacts per connected pair were estimated as previously described (Tecuatl et al., 2021a) using CA1 dendritic lengths from Hippocampome.org. We used separate values for inter-bouton distances in CA1 SR for CA3c Pyramidal cells (5.5 µm: Wittner et al., 2007) and CA3 Pyramidal cells (3.7 µm: Shepherd et al., 2002; 4.4 µm: Li et al., 1994; 4.29 µm: Sik et al., 1993; averaged as 4.1 µm).

Constructing Hippocampome.org spiking neural simulations

Hippocampome.org utilizes CARLsim (Nageswaran et al., 2009) as its default simulation environment (socsci.uci.edu/~jkrichma/CARLsim/). CARLsim is a graphics processing unit (GPU)-accelerated library of functions for simulating spiking neural networks based on Izhikevich neuron models (Izhikevich, 2003). The current version is CARLsim 6 (Niedermeier et al., 2022), and the most up-to-date Hippocampome.org-optimized code base, including features not yet released in the main CARLsim version, can be found at hippocampome.org/CARLsim (Kopsick et al., 2022).

Web portal, database, and source code

Hippocampome.org runs on current versions of Chrome, Safari, and Edge web browsers, and it is deployed on a CentOS server running Apache. The website runs off of PHP from a MySQL database. The code for Hippocampome.org is available open source at github.com/Hippocampome-Org. This includes all code for displaying the pages of the website, all scripts for importing spreadsheets into the database, code for using evolutionary algorithms to optimize Izhikevich model parameters, code for the graph
theory analysis of the potential connectome, code for the implementation of the firing pattern classification algorithm, and code for analyzing network simulations in CARLsim.

### Glossary of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5HT-3:</td>
<td>serotonin receptor 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA:</td>
<td>EC LI axo-axonic cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAC:</td>
<td>Axo-axonic cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA:</td>
<td>Allen Brain Atlas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP_ampl:</td>
<td>action potential amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP_width:</td>
<td>action potential width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP.:</td>
<td>adapting spiking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astn2:</td>
<td>astrotactin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC:</td>
<td>Basket cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC MP:</td>
<td>EC LI basket-multipolar cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiC:</td>
<td>Bistratified cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BICCN:</td>
<td>BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:</td>
<td>Capacitance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB:</td>
<td>calbindin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB1:</td>
<td>cannabinoid receptor type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK+:</td>
<td>cholecystokinin-positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR:</td>
<td>calretinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSV:</td>
<td>comma-separated value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dcn:</td>
<td>decorin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG:</td>
<td>dentate gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-E:</td>
<td>excitatory to excitatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-I:</td>
<td>excitatory to inhibitory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC:</td>
<td>entorhinal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENK:</td>
<td>enkephalin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fAHP:</td>
<td>fast after-hyperpolarizing potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g:</td>
<td>conductance constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA_a α1:</td>
<td>GABA-a alpha 1 subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC:</td>
<td>granule cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gpc3:</td>
<td>glypican 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPUs:</td>
<td>graphical processing units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRALDEN:</td>
<td>GRAnule-Like DENdrites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcì:</td>
<td>grid cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grp:</td>
<td>gastrin releasing peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI:</td>
<td>graphical user interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H:</td>
<td>hilus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPROM:</td>
<td>Hilar Interneuron with PROjections to the Outer Molecular layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr2c:</td>
<td>5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-E:</td>
<td>inhibitory to excitatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-I:</td>
<td>inhibitory to inhibitory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS:</td>
<td>interneuron specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI-II:</td>
<td>layers 1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LII:</td>
<td>layer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIII:</td>
<td>layer 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Max FR: maximum firing rate
MEC: medial entorhinal cortex
MFA: Mossy Fiber-Associated
mGlur1a: metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 alpha
MOCAP: cell with MOlecular Commissural-Associational Pathway-related axons and dendrites
MOLAX: MOlecular Layer Axons
MP PC: EC LI-II Multipolar Pyramidal cell
Mus2R: muscarinic type 2 receptor
NASP: non-adapting spiking
Ndst4: N-deacetylase and N-sulfotransferase 4
nNOS: neuronal nitric oxide synthase
Nov: nephroblastoma overexpressed
NPY: neuropeptide Y
Nr3c2: nuclear receptor subfamily 3 group C member 2
Nr4a1: nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1
ORAX: ORiens AXons
ORDEN: ORiens DENdrites
PC: pyramidal cell / CA1 Pyramidal cell (Fig. 9B)
PL: polymorphic layer
PoE: pieces of evidence
PoK: pieces of knowledge
Prss12: serine protease 12
Prss23: serine protease 23
PV+: parvalbumin-positive
QuadD-LM: quadrilaminar dendrites – lacunosum-moleculare
Rin: input resistance
RLN: reelin
sAHP: slow after-hyperpolarizing potential
SC: MEC LII Stellate cell
SD: standard deviation
SG: stratum granulosum
SL: stratum lucidum
SLM: stratum lacunosum-moleculare
SMi: inner stratum moleculare
SMo: outer stratum moleculare
SO: stratum oriens
SOM: somatostatin
SP: stratum pyramidale
SR: stratum radiatum
Sub: subiculum
SWR: sharp-wave ripple
SynGO: synaptic gene ontologies
T: Temperature
τd: synaptic decay constant
τf: facilitation time constant
τm: membrane time constant
τr: recovery time constant
TPM: Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram
TSTUT.: transient stuttering
TSTUT.PSTUT: transient stuttering followed by persistent stuttering
TSTUT.SLN: silence preceded by transient stuttering
U: utilization ratio
vGluT3: vesicular glutamate transporter 3
VIP: vasoactive intestinal polypeptide
V\(_\text{min}\): post-spike reset potential
V\(_\text{peak}\): spike cutoff potential
V\(_r\) / V\(_\text{rest}\): resting membrane potential
V\(_t\) / V\(_\text{thresh}\): firing threshold potential
WA BC: Wide-arbor Basket cell
Wfs1: wolframin ER transmembrane glycoprotein
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### Table 1. Added knowledge and functioning in Hippocampome.org releases v1.1-12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Article</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v1.1</td>
<td>• definitions for terms and phrases relevant to Hippocampome.org</td>
<td>(Hamilton et al., 2017a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.2</td>
<td>• clickable connectivity matrix</td>
<td>(Rees et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• interactive connectivity navigator Java applet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• searching by connectivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.3</td>
<td>• downloadable list of ABA predictions of marker expressions</td>
<td>(Hamilton et al., 2017b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• utility for viewing the effects of thresholds on ABA marker expression</td>
<td>predictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.4</td>
<td>• access to the synapse knowledge base</td>
<td>(Moradi and Ascoli, 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.5</td>
<td>• relational biomarker expression inferences</td>
<td>(White et al., 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.6</td>
<td>• firing pattern phenotypes</td>
<td>(Komendantov et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable firing pattern matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable firing pattern parameters matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• search by firing pattern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• search by firing pattern parameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.7</td>
<td>• Izhikevich models</td>
<td>(Venkadesh et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable Izhikevich model parameters matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• downloadable single-neuron parameter files</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• downloadable single-neuron CARLSim4 simulation files</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ability to perform single-neuron simulations of the firing patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.8</td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable neurite lengths matrix</td>
<td>(Tecuatl et al., 2021b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable somatic path distances matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable numbers of potential synapses matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable numbers of contacts matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable connection probabilities matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.9</td>
<td>• clickable matrix for in vivo recordings</td>
<td>(Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.10</td>
<td>• Cognome knowledge base of spiking neural circuit functions and network simulations of the hippocampal formation</td>
<td>(Sutton and Ascoli, 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.11</td>
<td>• clickable matrix of neuron type census values for rat and mouse</td>
<td>(Attili et al., 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1.12</td>
<td>• clickable/downloadable matrices of synaptic physiology parameter values (g, \tau_d, \tau_r, \tau_l, U) for combinations of species, sex, age, temperature, and recording mode</td>
<td>(Moradi et al., 2022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Probabilities of connection and number of contacts per connected pair from DG Granule cell to mossy fibers targets in CA3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postsynaptic neuron type</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th># contacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Pyramidal</td>
<td>1.11E-04</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3c Pyramidal</td>
<td>3.91E-04</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Spiny Lucidum Dentate-Projecting</td>
<td>5.89E-04</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Mossy Fiber-Associated ORDEN</td>
<td>4.44E-04</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Basket</td>
<td>6.55E-04</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Basket CCK+</td>
<td>2.14E-04</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Ivy</td>
<td>3.35E-04</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Mossy Fiber-Associated</td>
<td>3.78E-05</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 LMR-Targeting</td>
<td>1.31E-04</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Lucidum ORAX</td>
<td>2.62E-04</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Lucidum-Radiatum</td>
<td>3.25E-04</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Axo-Axonic</td>
<td>7.56E-04</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 Bistratified</td>
<td>8.25E-04</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 QuadD-LM</td>
<td>2.91E-04</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Examples of independent studies utilizing unique neuronal properties from Hippocampome.org v1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Gulyás et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Lists of subthreshold physiological properties for multicompartmental modeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Skene and Grant, 2016)</td>
<td>Catalog of CA1 Interneuron types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Faghihi and Moustafa, 2017)</td>
<td>Diversity of hippocampal neuron types and morphological neuronal features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Puighermanal et al., 2017)</td>
<td>Biomarker expression in CA1 interneurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Depannemaeker et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Parameter values for a model of synaptic neurotransmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ecker et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Evidence that CA1 interneurons express multiple overlapping chemical markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hunsberger and Mynlieff, 2020)</td>
<td>Cell identification based on firing properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Schumm et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Directionality of connections in the hippocampus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aery Jones et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Local connectivity of CA1 PV+ interneurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ciarpella et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Lists of hippocampal genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Luo et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Confirmation of multiple hippocampal neuron types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mehta et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Connectome model inspired by entorhinal-CA1 circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Obafemi et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Principal channels of information processing are DG Granule cells and CA1-3 Pyramidal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sáray et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Membrane biophysics values for CA1 Pyramidal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Smith et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Omni-directionality of axons of CA1 Pyramidal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Venkadesh and Van Horn, 2021)</td>
<td>Example of a brain region’s mesoscopic structural connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Walker et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Reference to morphological and molecular characteristics of hippocampal principal cells and interneurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wynne et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Example brain region with a variety of cell types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kopsick et al., 2022)</td>
<td>Utilize accumulated knowledge as the basis for simulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Schumm et al., 2022)</td>
<td>Hippocampal morphology, biomarker expression, connectivity, and typing of neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zagrean et al., 2022)</td>
<td>Diversity of hippocampal neuronal types and their properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Defining neuron types in Hippocampome.org. A) Properties of a Hippocampome.org v1.0 neuron type. A1) Morphology of a DG (i)2232 Basket cell (NeuroMorpho.Org cell NMO_34300: Hosp et al., 2014) with axons (red) in SG and dendrites (blue) in all four layers. A2) Schematic interpretation of the morphological tracing, where the circle represents the location of the soma in SG, the red triangle the location of the axons in SG, and the blue rectangles the locations of the dendrites in all four layers. A3) Hippocampome.org representation of the morphology, where a blue square with a vertical line (|) indicates dendritic presence in SMo and SMI and H, a purple square with a cross (+) indicates both axonal and dendritic presence in SG, and a black dot (•) indicates the soma location in SG. A4) Hippocampome.org numerical coding of the reconstructed neuron, where 2 indicates the presence of dendrites (in SMo, SMI, and H); and 3 indicates the presence of both axons and dendrites (in SG). A5) Biomarker expressions, where a green triangle indicates positive expression, and a blue triangle indicates negative expression. A6) Firing pattern phenotype (NASP; adapted from Figure 1B1 in Savanthrapadian et al., 2014). A7) Membrane biophysics values (from Figure 3C and Table 1 in Lübke et al., 1998) recorded at 35-37 °C. B) Properties for a Hippocampome.org v2.0 neuron type. B1) Morphology of a DG (i)2210 Basket GRALDEN (NeuroMorpho.Org cell NMO_146159: Vaden et al., 2020) with red axons in SG and blue dendrites in SMo and SMI. B2) Schematic interpretation of the reconstruction (same symbols as in A2). B3-4) Hippocampome.org representation and numerical coding of the morphology (same symbols as in A3-4). B5) Biomarker expression. B6) Firing pattern phenotype (TSTUT.SLN; adapted from Figure S4 in Markwardt et al., 2011). B7) Membrane biophysics values recorded at room temperature (from Figure 4D in Vaden et al., 2020), and at 22 °C (from Figure S4 in Markwardt et al., 2011); emboldened values were extracted from the firing pattern trace in B6. Abbreviations are defined in Materials and Methods.

Figure 2. New neuron types added to Hippocampome.org v2.0. A) Morphology encodings of the 56 new neuron types. (Left) Increase in number of neuron types for each subregion. B) Biomarker expressions of the neuron types. C) Membrane biophysics values for the neuron types. Abbreviations are defined in Materials and Methods.

Figure 3. Extensions to the neuronal properties of Hippocampome.org v1.x. A1) Additions to the axonal projections (circled in green) for two v1.0 neuron types. A2) Evidence for the axonal extensions in strata oriens, pyramidale, and radiatum from A1 are circled in green (adapted from Figure 2b in Deller et al., 1996). Scale bar: 75 μm. B1) Biomarker expressions for the two CA1 Pyramidal sub-types added in Hippocampome.org v1.9 (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021). B2) Membrane biophysics values for the two sub-types. B3) CA2 projects preferentially to the deep sublayer of CA1 (Kohara et al., 2014). More perisomatic PV+ GABAergic boutons are found at CA1 Deep Pyramidal cells (Valero et al., 2015). CA1 Superficial Pyramidal cells form more frequent connections to PV+ CA1 Basket cells, and PV+ CA1 Basket cells form significantly more perisomatic axon terminals on CA1 Deep Pyramidal cells (Lee et al., 2014). C1) Additions to the firing pattern phenotypes of v1.0 neuron types. C2a) Example of ASP. in a CA1 Oribens-Bistratified cell (adapted from Figure 4B in Craig and McBain, 2015). C2b) Example of NASP in a CA3 Basket CCK+ cell (adapted from Figure 3A in Szabadiics and Soltesz, 2009). C2c) Example of TSTUT. in a CA1 Radiatum Giant cell (adapted from Figure 2Bb in Kirson and Yaari, 2000). C2d) Example of TSTUT.PSTUT in a CA1 Interneuron Specific O-targeting QuadD (adapted from Figure 2D in Chamberland et al., 2010). C2e) Example of TSTUT.SLN in a DG MOLAX cell (adapted from Figure S2c in Lee et al., 2016). neuron types. Abbreviations are defined in Materials and Methods.
Figure 4. Trends in Hippocampome.org data, knowledge, citations, and usage since v1.0. A) Increase in pieces of knowledge (blue) and evidence (red) with Hippocampome.org version number. B) Number of citations, in which the publication is simply referenced (blue and gray portions), and usage cases, in which the citing work makes use of the information contained within the Hippocampome.org-related work (orange and yellow portions), by year.

Figure 5. Transitional knowledge enabling Hippocampome.org to support spiking neural network simulations. Center: General diagram of the hippocampal formation and the number of cell types in Hippocampome v1.0. A) Neuron type census. Top: General pipeline for obtaining cell counts for specific collections of neurons from the peer reviewed literature. Left: Neuron count proportions for the different subregions of the hippocampal formation. Insert: Normalized neuron counts for the inhibitory vs. excitatory balance by subregion. Right: Neuron counts for five identified CA2 neuron types (green schematic: excitatory, red schematic: inhibitory). B) Neuron dynamics. Top: General pipeline for obtaining Izhikevich models to reproduce the firing pattern phenotypes from peer reviewed data. Right: Simulated firing pattern from a Sub CA1-Projecting Pyramidal cell in response to a 250-pA current injection pulse lasting 1 s. Izhikevich model parameters are shown in bold and the membrane biophysics properties are shown in regular font. C) Synaptic probabilities. Left: General pipeline for obtaining the connection probabilities, number of contacts, and dendritic and axonal path lengths from 2D reconstructions. Middle: Example of a connectivity diagram of a DG Granule cell and two interneurons across the different parcels of DG. Probabilities of connection (mean ± SD) are shown in black, numbers of contacts in gray, dendritic path lengths in blue, and axonal lengths in red. Right top: Total number of connections within DG by connection type. Right bottom: Breakdown of the total number of connections by parcel and connection type. D) Synaptic physiology. Left: General pipeline for obtaining normalized synaptic parameters from paired recordings with a TPM model. Right top: Digitized synaptic data between two EC LII-III Pyramidal-Tripolar cells. Experimental data are shown in blue, initiation synaptic points in pink, model data in orange, and corrected data in green. Right bottom: Simulated modeling conditions, electrophysiological parameters, and TPM parameters. Abbreviations are defined in Materials and Methods.

Figure 6. Hippocampome.org data provenance. A) The internal web of constituent neuron-type properties (thin arrows) that ultimately contribute to the instantiation of spiking neural simulations (thick arrows). Properties described qualitatively, such as morphological presence of axons in a layer or molecular biomarker expressions, are in black font. Properties described by quantitative values, such as membrane biophysics and neurite lengths, are in red font. Properties with v2.0 updated information, such as connectivity and firing pattern phenotypes, are depicted by blue hexagons, and v1.x information, such as Izhikevich modeling parameter values and neuron-type census values, is visualized by black circles. B) External resources that contribute data to and receive data from Hippocampome.org (the ModelDB logo has been modified from the original).

Figure 7. CARLsim simulation parameters selection and file generation interface. A) The user chooses which subset of the available neuron types to include in the generated downloadable parameter file. Neuron types can be selected (check boxes and gray highlights) either individually or by groupings, such as by subregion and/or by importance rank. B) Representative user selection. C) Downloadable neuron-level parameters. D) Downloadable connection-level parameters.

Figure 8. Hierarchy of neuronal connectivity in Hippocampome.org. A) Subregional connectivity, where the number of connections between subregions is shown, and the node size is proportional to the number of neuron types in each subregion. B) The reciprocal connectivity between DG and CA3 neuron...
types consists of 147 connections. The node size is proportional to the census size for each neuron type. C) The full connectivity involving DG Granule and CA3 Basket neuron types consists of 98 connections. The node size is proportional to the census size for each neuron type, and the thicknesses of the connecting arrows are proportional to the synaptic probability. The dashed lines are connections for which the synaptic probability has been approximated based on the means of known values. D) The electrophysiological connection between a DG Granule cell and a CA3 Basket cell. The in vivo firing rate is shown for the presynaptic neuron. The transfer function between the two neuron types is proportional to the synaptic conductance times the single-exponential decay time constant ($g \cdot \tau_d$; rat, male, P56, 32°C, current clamp). The frequency-current (F-I) curve of the single-compartment Izhikevich model of a CA3 Basket cell was obtained with 10 pA current steps. Inset: Izhikevich model firing pattern of a CA3 Basket cell simulated with 430 pA of current applied for 500 ms (vertical and horizontal scale bars, respectively).

Figure 9. Spiking neural network simulations. A) Full-scale CA3 model. (Aa) Neuron type connectivity schematic. (Ab) Theta (4-12 Hz; top), Gamma (25-100 Hz; middle), and Sharp-Wave Ripple (150-200 Hz; bottom) filtered local field potentials from 175 ms of the simulation. (Ac) Raster plot of 500 Pyramidal cells and 50 interneurons of each type (top), and representative voltage traces for each neuron type (bottom) during the same 175 ms of the simulation in (Ab). B) Spatial representation through grid cell firing. (Ba) Neuron type connectivity schematic. (Bb) Simulated animal trajectory (black) with red dots indicating the firing of a neuron in those locations. (Bc) Raster plot of 50 randomly selected neurons from each type (top), and representative voltage traces for each neuron type. Abbreviations are defined in Materials and Methods.
DG (i)2232 Basket

V_{\text{rest}} = -62.0 \pm 3.0 \text{ mV}
V_{\text{thresh}} = 18.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ mV}
AP_{\text{ampl}} = 72.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ mV}
AP_{\text{width}} = 0.25 \pm 0.04 \text{ ms}
R_{\text{in}} = 43.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ M}\Omega
\tau_{m} = 10.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ ms}
Max FR = 230.0 \pm 15.0 \text{ Hz}
fAHP = 20.0 \pm 2.3 \text{ mV}
sAHP = 2.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mV}
Sag ratio = 0.97 \pm 0.02 \text{ mV}

DG (i)2210 Basket GRALDEN

V_{\text{thresh}} = 23.8 \text{ mV}
AP_{\text{ampl}} = 68.3 \text{ mV}
AP_{\text{width}} = 0.14 \pm 0.004 \text{ ms}
R_{\text{in}} = 103.0 \pm 6.0 \text{ M}\Omega
Max FR = 268.0 \pm 9.0 \text{ Hz}
fAHP = 16.5 \text{ mV}
sAHP = 4.3 \text{ mV}
Sag ratio = 0.88
Simulation Parameters Selection

Download a precomputed parameter set zip file:

- DG
- CA3
- CA2
- CA1
- Sub
- EC
- All

OR select a user-defined parameter set:

- All neuron types
- DG
- CA3
- CA2
- CA1
- Sub
- EC
- All rank 1-5 neuron types
- All rank 1-3 neuron types
- All canonical neuron types

Generate zip file

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuron Type</th>
<th>E/I</th>
<th>rank</th>
<th>Population Size</th>
<th>Izh Vr</th>
<th>Izh Vt</th>
<th>Izh a</th>
<th>Izh b</th>
<th>Izh k</th>
<th>Izh d</th>
<th>Izh C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18956</td>
<td>-72.59</td>
<td>-58.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-15.89</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-70.31</td>
<td>-49.91</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-28.48</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-74.10</td>
<td>-40.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presynaptic</th>
<th>Postsynaptic</th>
<th>TPM g</th>
<th>TPM tau_d</th>
<th>TPM tau_r</th>
<th>TPM tau_f</th>
<th>TPM U</th>
<th>Conn Prob</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>215.28</td>
<td>102.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.03091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>580.69</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>588.65</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.08168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>138.68</td>
<td>163.55</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.03159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.00423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>510.18</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.08287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Pyramidal</td>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>143.16</td>
<td>122.67</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Basket</td>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>504.11</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.00423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>CA2_Bistratified</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>508.27</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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