Cognitive effort increases the intensity of rewards

Mejda Wahab^a, Nicole Mead^b, Stevenson Desmercieres^a, Virginie Lardeux^a, Emilie Dugast^{a,c}, Roy F. Baumeister ^{e,*,#}, Marcello Solinas^{a, d, *,#}

^a Université de Poitiers, INSERM, U1084, Laboratoire de Neurosciences Expérimentales et Cliniques, Poitiers, 86073, France

^b Schulich School of Business, York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada

^cCHU Poitiers, Poitiers, 86073, France

^d Unité de recherche clinique intersectorielle en psychiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Henri-Laborit, Poitiers, 86073, France

^e School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD,4067, Australia

* Equal contribution ;

Corresponding authors:

Corresponding authors

Roy Baumeister,

School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD,4067, Australia

Email: r.baumeister@psy.uq.edu.au

Marcello Solinas

Université de Poitiers, INSERM, U1084, Laboratoire de Neurosciences Expérimentales et Cliniques, Poitiers, 86073, France

Tel:+33-05-49-366343

Email: marcello.solinas@univ-poitiers.fr

Author Contributions:

MW, NM, RB, MS: Designed research; MW, SD, VL, ED: performed research; MW, SD, VL, NM, MS: analyzed the data; MW, NM, RB, MS: wrote the paper

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest

Keywords:

Self-control, Cognitive effort, drug addiction, reward, vulnerability

Abstract

An important body of literature suggests that exerting intense cognitive effort causes mental fatigue and can lead to unhealthy behaviors such as indulging in high-calorie food and taking drugs. Whereas this effect has been mostly explained in terms of cognitive control, cognitive effort may also bias behavioral choices by amplifying the hedonic and emotional impact of rewards. We report parallel findings with animals and humans supporting this hypothesis. In rats, exerting a cognitive effort immediately before access to cocaine self-administration significantly increased drug intake. In addition, exerting cognitive effort increased the psychostimulant effect of cocaine. The effects of cognitive effort on addiction-related behaviors were eliminated and even reversed when animals could rest in their home-cage for 2-4h before access to cocaine selfadministration. Among humans, we found that expending cognitive effort increased the enjoyment of and hedonic desire to consume more of tasty but unhealthy food. In addition, the effects were specific for emotionally relevant stimuli such as food rewards and did not generalize to judgment about neutral objects. Altogether these data suggest that intense cognitive effort can increase the perceived intensity of rewards and lead to their overconsumption. This effect may contribute to bad decision making induced by excessive cognitive effort and make people more vulnerable to indulge in unhealthy behaviors such as use of addictive drugs.

Significance Statement

People dieting or recovering from addiction frequently report that relapses occur during periods of stress and mental fatigue. Multiple processes may contribute to this, including beliefs about the stress-reducing effects of drugs, beliefs about one's inability to continue resisting, and lack of energy needed to sustain resistance. Here, we suggest an additional possible process: during a state of mental fatigue, rewards become all the more satisfying, thereby also increasing subsequent desire for them. We report two lines of experiments, one with rats and one with human participants, to show that intense cognitive effort leads to increased enjoyment of rewards and hence increased consumption.

Main Text

Introduction

Making decisions and regulating one's own responses are essential for adaptive behavior. Evidence suggests that the human capacity for decision making and self-regulation is limited and that following effortful acts of choice or self-control people have reduced capacity to exert inhibitory control (1, 2). Thus after a cognitive effort, people are more likely to engage in unhealthy activities such as overeating (3, 4) and using drugs (5, 6).

Many behavioral conflicts can be conceptualized as a balance between impulse and restraint (7). Behavioral disorders such as addiction are associated with imbalances between subcortical Go signals and cortical no-Go signals (8). Whereas the self-control failure has been mostly assumed to depend on weakening of restraint while leaving the impulses unchanged, it has recently been suggested that cognitive effort may intensify rewards, by making people feel them more intensely, which could contribute to unhealthy choices (9). Put simply, after an effort, individuals can be more prone than others to yield to temptation, either because their resistance is weaker or because the temptations are felt more strongly (or both). Whereas scattered evidence suggests both may be correct (9), experimental demonstration for intensified desire is still mostly lacking.

In this investigation, we performed experiments on both laboratory animals and humans that show that cognitive effort intensifies the rewarding effects of drugs and palatable food. The rat study manipulated effort by requiring rats to adjust their behavior repeatedly to changing contingencies within a complex operant task (10, 11). Control rats did not have to adjust their behavior and received the same rewards easily. Next, some rats were given the opportunity to self-administer either cocaine or saline solution. Others rested for 2-4 hours and then performed the self-administration exercise. In addition, in separate groups of rats, we tested whether cocaine-induced locomotor effects, which are directly related to its ability to activate the dopamine reward system (12), were altered by cognitive effort. If cognitive effort and resulting fatigue increase reward sensitivity, then rats should self-administer the most cocaine when doing so immediately after the effortful task (as compared with both the easy task and with the effortful task followed by restful delay) and cocaine-induced locomotor activity should increase.

The human study manipulated cognitive effort by having participants write a brief essay about their daily routine while avoiding using either two common letters (A and N) or two uncommon letters (X and Z) (13). After this, they self-administered chocolate under the guise of rating its taste and texture. Then they rated how much they enjoyed eating the chocolate and how much they desired to continue consuming the chocolate. We predicted that expending effort on the cognitive task would increase desire to consume more chocolate. In addition, we investigated whether cognitive effort specifically heightens people's desire for rewards or whether it generally intensifies perceptual judgments about the sensory qualities (brightness, length, hardness or durability) of neutral objects.

Results Results in rats

Cognitive effort without rest increases cocaine intake

When cognitive and cocaine sessions were not temporally separated, performance in the cognitive sessions did not differ between the rats who later had cocaine vs. saline (Fig. S1, A-B). Even though the rate of success was different between cognitive effort and control groups (75% versus 100% of trials), the number of sugar pellets obtained in these sessions was similar in all groups (Fig. S2) indicating that controls waited longer in between trials.

When animals had performed the challenging cognitive exercise before they got access to the drug, they self-administered significantly more cocaine than control animals that had obtained food without making cognitive effort (Fig. 1). This was evident from a higher number of cocaine injections (Fig. 1A-B) in Coc-CE (cocaine and cognitive effort) compared to Coc-NoCE (no effort) rats. As expected, animals that were allowed to self-administer saline responded significantly less and self-administered fewer injections (Fig. 1) than both cocaine groups. Saline rats that performed the cognitive exercise (Sal-CE) did not differ from controls (Sal-NoCE) suggesting that the effects of cognitive effort are not simply due to non-specific effects of previous operant behavior or access to sweet food. Statistical analysis by three-way ANOVA on the number of injections per session revealed a significant effect of session [F (21, 903) = 8.11; p < 0.0001] demonstrating an increase over time, a significant effect of drug [F (1, 43) = 29.25; p < 0.0001] demonstrating more cocaine versus saline injections, a significant effect of cognitive exercise [F (1, 43) = 8.86; p=0.0048] demonstrating more injections in rats making an effort, a significant time X drug interaction [F (21, 903) = 1.77; p=0.018] showing that rats self-administrating cocaine had higher increase over time than rats self-administrating saline, and a significant drug X cognitive exercise interaction [F (1, 43) = 5.39; p=0.025] showing that cognitive effort increased cocaine self-administration more than saline self-administration.

Rest after cognitive effort reduces cocaine intake

When cognitive and cocaine sessions were separated by 2-4h rest, performance in the cognitive sessions did not differ in Coc CE vs Sal CE rats (Fig. S1, C-D). On the other hand, the number of sugar pellets obtained in cognitive sessions was higher in control animals (that were always reinforced) compared to rats performing a cognitive exercise (that were reinforced on about 75% of trials) and this was regardless of whether the rats self-administered cocaine or saline (Fig. S3). Statistical analysis revealed a significant effect of cognitive exercise [F (1, 30) = 142.7); p < 0.0001] but no significant effect of drug or cognitive exercise X drug interaction.

After 2-4h rest, Coc-CE rats self-administered significantly less drug than Coc-No CE control rats (Fig. 2A-B). Again, saline rats self-administered a low number of injections and no difference was found between Sal-CE and Sal-NoCE groups. Statistical analysis by three-way ANOVA of the number of injections per session revealed no significant effect of session [F (6.416, 192.5) = 0.6759; p =0.6793; Geisser-Greenhouse's epsilon = 0.31] but a significant effect of drug [F (1, 30) = 28.20; p<0.0001] demonstrating that rats self-administered more cocaine than saline, cognitive exercise [F (1, 30) = 6.587; P=0.0155] demonstrating that CE rats self-administered less injections than No CE rats, and a significant drug X cognitive exercise interaction [F (1, 30) = 7.80; p=0.0090] demonstrating that Cc-CE rats self-administered less cocaine than Coc-No CE rats.

Only cognitive effort without rest increases cocaine-induced locomotor activity

We then investigated in separate groups of rats, the stimulant effects of passive injections of cocaine on locomotion, which are directly related to its ability to release dopamine (12) as a function of exerting cognitive effort, with or without rest. We found that compared to saline, cocaine increased locomotor activity in all groups (Fig. 3). However, rats that received cocaine immediately after a cognitive effort, but not rats that had rest between cognitive effort and the injection of cocaine, showed significantly more locomotor activity than all other groups (Fig. 3). Statistical analysis with one-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant effect of condition

demonstrating that cognitive effort increases the stimulant effects of cocaine (F (4, 35) = 24.81; P < 0.0001).

Results in Humans

Cognitive effort affects perceptions of rewarding but not neutral objects.

In humans, we compared the effects of cognitive effort on perceptions of tasty yet unhealthy food and the sensory quality of neutral objects. After completing a writing task that did or did not require cognitive effort, participants tasted and then rated one chocolate. Then they rated a set of neutral office objects, such as how long was a pen or how bright was a yellow post-it note.

Consistent with expectations, expending cognitive effort intensified feelings toward chocolate specifically but not judgement about other objects (Fig.4). A 2 (cognitive effort; no-cognitive effort) X 4 (type of item) repeated-measures ANOVA revealed the predicted interaction between cognitive effort and type of object, F (4, 95) = 2.967, p = 0.020, $h_p^2 = 0.030$. There was also a main effect of item type, F(4, 95) = 56.414, p < 0.001, $h_p^2 = .373$. Follow-up analyses yielded results that were supportive of hypotheses. Participants who had previously exerted cognitive effort rated the chocolate as more enjoyable (M = 6.46, SD = 2.05) than participants who had not exerted a high amount of cognitive effort did not alter participants' perceptions of neutral, non-rewarding objects (ts < 1.44). These findings rule out the simple alternative explanation that the aftermath of cognitive effort simply makes one give more extreme ratings to everything. It did not change how people judged the length of a pen, the brightness of colored paper, or the hardness of their wooden desk. Its effects were specific to increasing desire for and enjoyment of rewarding stimuli.

Discussion

Our studies with both rats and humans converged to show that the aftermath of cognitive, selfregulatory effort increases pleasurable desire and indulgence. Rats who repeatedly adjusted their behavior based on changing contingencies, self-administered more cocaine and were more sensitive to the stimulant effects of cocaine than control condition rats. This was only found when cocaine was immediately available. Rats that were able to rest for a couple hours after the cognitive effort showed no increase in cocaine stemming from the cognitive exertion. In parallel, human participants desired to consume more chocolate following a demanding cognitive task than after an easier, less demanding task. Importantly, the effect of cognitive effort was specific to rewarding stimuli and was not found for neutral judgments about sensory characteristics of objects.

The notion that the capacity for self-regulation and other executive functions is limited is a staple of folk wisdom and more recently has received research support as well. Mental fatigue and declining ability to regulate attention, such as in vigilance tasks, have long been known in laboratory work (16). Research has shown with multiple methods and human populations that self-regulation deteriorates after exertion of cognitive effort. Although most theoretical accounts have focused on a transitory reduction in the ability to exert inhibitory control, recently it has been speculated that cognitive effort can also increase the value of rewards (9). Our results provide direct empirical support to this hypothesis. Thus, impulse and restraint would be causally intertwined. Just as the exertion of self-control leads to a weakening of restraint and control, it also causes feelings and impulses to be experienced more intensely than otherwise. Thus, when restraint is weak, desires and emotions are felt more strongly.

Addiction has always had a prominent place in self-control failure theory (16, 17). In fact, not only addiction is a quintessential disorder due to persistent bad decision making (18, 19) but also it implies 1) strong rewarding effects of drugs (20) and 2) need to exert cognitive inhibitory control of drug urges to limit drug consumption or to avoid relapse when abstinence is attained (8, 18). Our results suggest that all these processes can interact to drastically diminish the ability of patients to get rid of their drug habits. In fact, especially during periods of abstinence (21), resisting drug craving on the one hand would limit the ability to exert further inhibitory control and on the other hand it would amplify the rewarding effects of drugs.

Although we did not investigate the neurobiological mechanisms involved in effort-induced increases in reward intensity, the present effects are likely mediated by interaction between the prefrontal cortex and the nucleus accumbens. These regions play a central role in the balance between inhibitory control and impulses. Indeed, the prefrontal cortex is the main region responsible for cognitive control in both humans and rats (22). Conversely, the nucleus accumbens is the main region involved in reward and motivation (20, 23), emotion and impulses (24). In addition, it has been shown that when individuals successfully exert cognitive control the prefrontal cortex is activated and the nucleus accumbens is inhibited. However, when self-control fails the cortex is inhibited (25, 26), glutamate concentration and glutamate/glutamine diffusion increase in the lateral prefrontal cortex (27) and if individuals indulge in consumption of sweet food, the nucleus accumbens is activated (9, 26). The prefrontal cortex sends excitatory glutamate inputs to the nucleus accumbens (28) and interactions between glutamate and dopamine receptors in this brain region, is critical for the activating and reinforcing effects of cocaine (29, 30). Thus, it is possible that effort-induced decreases in prefrontal cortex activity alters glutamate neurotransmission in the nucleus accumbens leading to potentiation of dopamine signal and increased rewards.

Self-control failure has been mostly framed as a purely human phenomenon. Although there is some limited evidence of self-control failure outside of humans (31, 32), the human self-regulatory system is presumably highly advanced and atypical. Indeed, an explanation of self-control failure has been the constant need of humans to control their emotions in social settings

to avoid conflicts (2). The present findings suggest that at least some effects of self-control failure occur in nonhuman animals, indicating an ancient and general process that is shared by humans and some mammals. A question arising from these findings is whether the effects of cognitive effort on reward intensity have an evolutionary role or they are a byproduct of evolution? A possible role of effort-induced increase in reward is to reduce stress associated with cognitive Indeed, whereas low to moderate levels of stress can be beneficial ("eustress"), effort. prolonged, overwhelming stress can have negative effects on health and well-being (33). Thus, reducing the stress load can have beneficial effect for the individual. Interestingly it has been shown that pleasurable behaviors such as consuming a sucrose solution decreases the neuroendocrine, cardiovascular, and behavioral responses to stress (34). In addition, stimulation of dopamine receptors (35) and cocaine, under certain circumstances (36), have been shown to produce anxiolytic effects. Thus, increasing the intensity of reward would oppose effort-induced stress effects and favor well-being. Importantly, whereas we have found evidence that effortinduced increases in emotional responses exist in both humans and animals, it remains to be determined whether the more commonly described effects on inhibitory control processes, which involve cortical functions that are clearly more developed in humans, also occurs in nonhuman animals.

Self-control exhaustion is believed to be a "refractory period during which time control is less likely to succeed" (1, 37), indicating that after an appropriate period of rest, self-control would be replenished and inhibition of inappropriate behavior would be effective again (1, 16, 38). Therefore, we expected that after appropriate rest, the effects of cognitive effort would recede and cocaine self-administration would not differ between Coc-CE and Coc-NoCE. Surprisingly, when we imposed a delay between cognitive effort and availability of cocaine, self-administration of cocaine even decreased compared to controls. Although the self-control strength model also predicts that cognitive exercise, performed under appropriate conditions, should have beneficial effects on addiction (1, 16, 38), we believe that it is unlikely that these results are due to increased self-control for several reasons. First, as for experiments without rest, our procedure did not imply conflict and therefore, rats were not required to exert self-control. Second, our locomotor activity experiments did not show reduction of cocaine-induced effects compared to control rats that did perform cognitive effort. These rats consumed less sucrose pellets than rats not performing a cognitive exercise but this would be expect to increase (39) rather than reduce cocaine reinforcement. A possible explanation of these effects is that daily exposure to cognitive exercise acted like form of environmental enrichment which has been consistently shown to reduce the rewarding effects of cocaine (40-42). However, these beneficial effects only occurs when cognitive exercise is followed by rest and are lost when cognitive effort is immediately followed by access to drugs.

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, we used sweet food (chocolate) as a reward in humans and cocaine in rats. Using cocaine in non-dependent humans raises important ethical issues and was unfeasible. Conversely, studying the rewarding effects of food in rats was unfeasible because rats may get confused between two tasks (the cognitive task and the reward task) that use the same reward (sucrose pellets). Second, to induce self-control failure, we used a word writing task in humans and an attentional set-shifting in rats. The latter task was chosen because it requires several cognitive functions such as attention, inhibition and flexibility and therefore, it is quite demanding (43). In addition, it has been shown to depend on the prefrontal cortex (10, 43), to produce stable behavioral performance (10, 11) and to predict excessive self-administration of methamphetamine in rats (11). Future studies will be required to determine whether performing tasks that imply specific cognitive functions such as memory, attention or behavioral inhibition produce similar effects on cocaine self-administration. In addition, it will be important to determine whether the effort-induced increase in the intensity of reward is specific to cocaine or occurs also for other drugs of abuse. Finally, the procedure in rats should allow performing mechanistical studies to better characterize the neurobiological underpinnings of these effects.

Self-regulation theory long has focused on the processes of response inhibition and other controls (2, 44). The present findings indicate that desires and emotions are felt more intensely when the capacity for self-regulation has been diminished by prior exertion. It may be a cruel irony that temptations become more intense just when one's guard is down. These results have important implication not only for drug addiction but for a wide-range of behavior in which self-control failure effects can lead to unhealthy behaviors (3, 4). Recognizing the intertwined nature of these processes may help people come to manage motivated behaviors more effectively.

Materials and Methods

Extended methods can be found in the supporting information (SI).

Experiments in rats

Subjects

Seventy-five adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (Janvier Labs and Charles Rivers, France) experimentally naive at the start of the study were used. All experiments were conducted in accordance with European Union directives (2010/63/EU) for the care of laboratory animals and approved by the local ethics committees (COMETHEA).

General Experimental Design

Rats were pre-trained for 20 daily sessions on the set-shifting procedure in order to learn the task and obtain a stable level of flexibility (Istin et al. 2017) before catheter implantation. After recovery, rats were divided into 4 experimental groups for each time conditions: cocaine cognitive effort (Coc CE), cocaine non-cognitive effort (Coc NoCE), saline cognitive effort (Sal CE), saline non-cognitive effort (Sal NoCE) (Fig. S4). Cognitive training and cocaine self-administration sessions took place in the same experimental chambers which contained simultaneously operanda (levers and nosepoke) for food and drug that were remotely controlled by a PC to be active or inactive during the specific part of the session. For experiment 1, there was no interval between the cognitive session and the self-administration and the change from food to drug selfadministration was associated simply by the switching off of the house light. For experiment 2, after the cognitive sessions, rats were removed from the self-administration cage and placed in their housing cage for a 2-4h period of rest before the self-administration session. The general design of the experiment is schematized in Fig. S4.

Cognitive exercise

Behavioral flexibility sessions lasted 45 min During these sessions, rats assigned to the CE conditions performed a modified version of an attentional set-shifting task in which animals must alternate between a visual rule ("follow the light to identify the active lever") and an egocentric rule ("ignore the light position and stay either on the right or left lever") (10, 11) in order to give the correct response and be rewarded with a food pellet.. Rules changed without specific signal, whenever the rat performed 10 consecutive correct responses in a given rule. Rats assigned to the NoCE condition, underwent a procedure that was similar to the attentional set-shifting task except that every lever press during trials was reinforced with a pellet delivery regardless of position of the lever and whether the light was on or off. Thus, this group did not need to exert a cognitive effort to obtain rewards.

Self-Administration Procedure

Rats were allowed to self-administer cocaine or saline for sessions that lasted 150 minutes, according to Fixed Ratio (FR) schedule of reinforcement using a single nose-poke as operandum. The start of the self-administration session was signaled only by the switching off of the house light and intermittent illumination of the nose-poke. Initially, FR value was set at 1 and, after 7 sessions, it was increased to 3.

Locomotor activity experiments

Separate groups of rats were trained for 20 sessions in the set-shifting task. After this training, half of the rats continued to perform the cognitive task (cognitive effort, CE) to obtain food pellets whether the other half, were reinforced regardless of the rule (No Cognitive effort, NoCE) for 5 additional sessions. After the last session, rats were injected with cocaine (10 mg/kg i.p.) either immediately or 3h after the cognitive session and were put in an open field where locomotor activity was recorded by a viewpoint videotracking system (https://www.viewpoint.fr) for 1h.

Experiments in humans

This study received approval from the institutional review board at which it was conducted. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to commencing the study.

One hundred and two students at a Dutch university participated in exchange for partial course credit (in 2013). Five participants declined to consume the chocolate stimuli because of allergies. Ninety-seven (53 female) participants remained for the chocolate analyses.

Participants randomly assigned to the high cognitive effort condition wrote about their daily routine without using words that contain the letters A or N. Participants in the low cognitive effort condition wrote the essay without using words that contained the letters X or Z. Both conditions were given 5 minutes to complete the task. Because there are more words than contain the letters A or N than X or Z, it requires more cognitive effort to override their natural way of writing. Participants completed a manipulation check "how much did you have to override or inhibit your typical way of writing in order to follow the instructions of the essay (1= Not at All; 7 = Very Much So)" to ensure the manipulation varied cognitive effort. The manipulation was successful: participants in the high (vs. low) cognitive effort condition reported overriding their natural way of writing more to complete the task [t(100) = 11.82, p < 0.001 (M = 6.17; SD = 1.48; M = 2.35, SD = 1.79)]. Thus our manipulation successfully varied cognitive effort during the essay task but it did not affect task compliance (i.e., how well they followed the essay instructions (1 = Very Poorly; 7 = Very Well); t < 1).

After the cognitive task, participants rated two types of stimuli presented in random order. To minimize carry-over effects and demand, these stimuli were presented as being ostensibly unrelated to the cognitive (writing) task. To test the effect of cognitive effort on desire for hedonically pleasurable objects, participants ate one piece of chocolate. Participants in this sample rated eating chocolate as a pleasant activity (M = 6.01, SD = 2.68), as evidenced by the rating differing significantly from the neutral midpoint of the scale, t(96) = 3.71, p < 0.001. After eating the piece of chocolate, participants indicated how yummy it was, how much they enjoyed eating it, how good it made them feel, and how much they wanted to eat more chocolate at that exact moment (0 = Not at all; 5 = Somewhat; 10 = Very much so). These items were averaged to form an index of enjoyment ($\alpha = 0.89$).

To test the specificity of the effect, participants also rated the sensory qualities of four neutral items. Participants indicated the extent to which they perceived yellow post-it notes to be bright, a paper folder to be durable, a pen to be long, and the desk at which they were seated to be hard (0 = Not at all; 5 = Somewhat; 10 = Very much so). Not surprisingly, these items were not highly related ($\alpha = 0.50$) so the rating for each stimulus was treated as a separate variable.

Statistical analysis.

For experiment in rats, data were analyzed in GraphPad Prism. Differences in cocaine taking and number of nose-poke responses were assessed by three-way ANOVA for repeated measures with session (1 to 22), drug (saline or cocaine) and cognitive exercise (effort or control) as factors. When the assumption of sphericity had been violated, data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) corrected by the Greenhouse–Geisser method.

Differences in behavioral flexibility (measured as % of correct responses) were assessed by twoway ANOVA for repeated measures with session (1 to 22) and drug (saline or cocaine) as factors. Differences in the number of pellets obtained were assessed by two-way ANOVA with drug (saline or cocaine), cognitive exercise (effort or control) as factors. Differences in locomotor activity were assessed by one-way ANOVA with group (saline, Cocaine CE No Rest, Cocaine NoCE No Rest, Cocaine CE Rest, Cocaine NoCE Rest) as factor. Results showing significant overall changes were subjected to Tukey post-hoc test. Differences were considered significant when p<0.05.

For the experiment with humans, data were analyzed in SPSS. We tested the effect of cognitive effort on judgments using a repeated-measures ANOVA which treated the cognitive manipulation as a between-subjects factor and type of judgment (chocolate, post-it notes, paper, desk, pen) as a within-subjects factor. Since there was a significant interaction between the cognitive task and type of judgment, follow-up analyses were conducting using t-tests (i.e., the effect of the cognitive

manipulation on judgments for each type of product). Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.

Data are freely accessible via the Open Science Framework link: <u>https://osf.io/7uhxf/</u>

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, the University of Poitiers, the Nouvelle Aquitaine CPER 2015-2020 / FEDER 2014-2020 program "Habisan" and the Nouvelle Aquitaine grant AAPR2020A-2019-8357510 (PI: M. Solinas) and the IRESP grant « IRESP-19-ADDICTIONS-20 » (PI : M. Solinas).

This manuscript derives from experiments that were performed independently by the groups of M. Solinas and N. Mead and put together after discussion between M. Solinas and R. Baumeister in the course of a meeting organized by the CoCliCo team of the Cerca laboratory at the University of Poitiers.

We thank Michel Audiffren, Armand Chatard, Youna Vandaele and Nathalie Thiriet for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

This study has benefited from the facilities and expertise of PREBIOS platform (Université de Poitiers).

References

- 1. R. F. Baumeister, K. D. Vohs, D. M. Tice, The Strength Model of Self-Control. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* **16**, 351–355 (2007).
- R. E. Baumeister, E. Bratslavsky, M. Muraven, D. M. Tice, Ego Depletion: Is the Active Self a Limited Resource? 14.
- 3. W. Hofmann, W. Rauch, B. Gawronski, And deplete us not into temptation: Automatic attitudes, dietary restraint, and self-regulatory resources as determinants of eating behavior. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* **43**, 497–504 (2007).
- 4. K. D. Vohs, T. F. Heatherton, Self-regulatory failure: a resource-depletion approach. *Psychol Sci* **11**, 249–254 (2000).
- M. Muraven, R. L. Collins, K. Neinhaus, Self-control and alcohol restraint: An initial application of the Self-Control Strength Model. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors* 16, 113– 120 (2002).
- 6. D. Shmueli, J. J. Prochaska, Resisting tempting foods and smoking behavior: Implications from a self-control theory perspective. *Health Psychology* **28**, 300–306 (2009).
- C. S. Carver, Impulse and constraint: perspectives from personality psychology, convergence with theory in other areas, and potential for integration. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev* 9, 312–333 (2005).
- 8. R. Z. Goldstein, N. D. Volkow, Drug addiction and its underlying neurobiological basis: neuroimaging evidence for the involvement of the frontal cortex. *Am J Psychiatry* **159**, 1642–1652 (2002).
- 9. N. J. Kelley, A. J. Finley, B. J. Schmeichel, After-effects of self-control: The reward responsivity hypothesis. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* **19**, 600–618 (2019).
- J. M. Darrah, M. R. Stefani, B. Moghaddam, Interaction of N-methyl-D-aspartate and group 5 metabotropic glutamate receptors on behavioral flexibility using a novel operant set-shift paradigm: *Behavioural Pharmacology* 19, 225–234 (2008).
- M. Istin, N. Thiriet, M. Solinas, Behavioral flexibility predicts increased ability to resist excessive methamphetamine self-administration: Flexibility and METH addiction. *Addiction Biology* 22, 958–966 (2017).
- 12. R. A. Wise, M. A. Bozarth, A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. *Psychol Rev* 94, 469–492 (1987).
- B. J. Schmeichel, Attention control, memory updating, and emotion regulation temporarily reduce the capacity for executive control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 136, 241–255 (2007).
- K. J. Preacher, A. F. Hayes, Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods* 40, 879–891 (2008).
- 15. D. Watson, L. Anna, A. Tellegen, Development and Validation of Brief Measures of Positive and Negative Affect: The PANAS Scales. 8.

- 16. M. Muraven, R. F. Baumeister, Self-Regulation and Depletion of Limited Resources: Does Self-Control Resemble a Muscle? 13.
- 17. R. F. Baumeister, Ego Depletion and Self-Regulation Failure: A Resource Model of Self-Control: *Alcoholism: Clinical & Experimental Research* **27**, 281–284 (2003).
- 18. A. Bechara, Decision making, impulse control and loss of willpower to resist drugs: a neurocognitive perspective. *Nat Neurosci* **8**, 1458–1463 (2005).
- A. Verdejo-Garcia, T. T.-J. Chong, J. C. Stout, M. Yücel, E. D. London, Stages of dysfunctional decision-making in addiction. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 164, 99–105 (2018).
- 20. R. A. Wise, Dopamine, learning and motivation. Nat Rev Neurosci 5, 483–494 (2004).
- T. B. Baker, S. J. Japuntich, J. M. Hogle, D. E. McCarthy, J. J. Curtin, Pharmacologic and Behavioral Withdrawal from Addictive Drugs. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 15, 232–236 (2006).
- 22. N. P. Friedman, T. W. Robbins, The role of prefrontal cortex in cognitive control and executive function. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* **47**, 72–89 (2022).
- S. Ikemoto, J. Panksepp, The role of nucleus accumbens dopamine in motivated behavior: a unifying interpretation with special reference to reward-seeking. *Brain Research Reviews* 31, 6–41 (1999).
- 24. S. B. Floresco, The Nucleus Accumbens: An Interface Between Cognition, Emotion, and Action. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **66**, 25–52 (2015).
- B. Blain, G. Hollard, M. Pessiglione, Neural mechanisms underlying the impact of daylong cognitive work on economic decisions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113, 6967–6972 (2016).
- D. S. Chester, C. N. DeWall, Prefrontal recruitment during social rejection predicts greater subsequent self-regulatory imbalance and impairment: neural and longitudinal evidence. *NeuroImage* **101**, 485–493 (2014).
- A. Wiehler, F. Branzoli, I. Adanyeguh, F. Mochel, M. Pessiglione, A neuro-metabolic account of why daylong cognitive work alters the control of economic decisions. *Current Biology* 32, 3564-3575.e5 (2022).
- S. R. Sesack, D. B. Carr, N. Omelchenko, A. Pinto, Anatomical Substrates for Glutamate-Dopamine Interactions. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1003, 36–52 (2003).
- 29. E. Valjent, *et al.*, Regulation of a protein phosphatase cascade allows convergent dopamine and glutamate signals to activate ERK in the striatum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 491–496 (2005).
- R. C. Pierce, M. E. Wolf, Psychostimulant-Induced Neuroadaptations in Nucleus Accumbens AMPA Receptor Transmission. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* 3, a012021–a012021 (2013).

- H. C. Miller, K. F. Pattison, C. N. DeWall, R. Rayburn-Reeves, T. R. Zentall, Self-Control Without a "Self"?: Common Self-Control Processes in Humans and Dogs. *Psychol Sci* 21, 534–538 (2010).
- 32. E. L. MacLean, et al., The evolution of self-control. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111 (2014).
- 33. B. S. McEWEN, Stress, Adaptation, and Disease: Allostasis and Allostatic Load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **840**, 33–44 (1998).
- 34. Y. M. Ulrich-Lai, *et al.*, Pleasurable behaviors reduce stress via brain reward pathways. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 20529–20534 (2010).
- 35. G. D. Bartoszyk, Anxiolytic effects of dopamine receptor ligands: I. involvement of dopamine autoreceptors. *Life Sciences* 62, 649–663 (1998).
- 36. C. P. Müller, *et al.*, Acute anxiolytic effects of cocaine: The role of test latency and activity phase. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* **89**, 218–226 (2008).
- M. Inzlicht, B. J. Schmeichel, C. N. Macrae, Why self-control seems (but may not be) limited. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 18, 127–133 (2014).
- 38. R. F. Baumeister, A. J. Vonasch, Uses of self-regulation to facilitate and restrain addictive behavior. *Addictive Behaviors*, 6 (2015).
- 39. M. Lenoir, F. Serre, L. Cantin, S. H. Ahmed, Intense sweetness surpasses cocaine reward. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e698 (2007).
- 40. T. A. Green, *et al.*, Environmental Enrichment Produces a Behavioral Phenotype Mediated by Low Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate Response Element Binding (CREB) Activity in the Nucleus Accumbens. *Biological Psychiatry* **67**, 28–35 (2010).
- M. Solinas, N. Thiriet, R. El Rawas, V. Lardeux, M. Jaber, Environmental enrichment during early stages of life reduces the behavioral, neurochemical, and molecular effects of cocaine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 1102–1111 (2009).
- 42. M. Solinas, N. Thiriet, C. Chauvet, M. Jaber, Prevention and treatment of drug addiction by environmental enrichment. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **92**, 572–592 (2010).
- 43. S. B. Floresco, Y. Zhang, T. Enomoto, Neural circuits subserving behavioral flexibility and their relevance to schizophrenia. *Behavioural Brain Research* **204**, 396–409 (2009).
- 44. R. F. Baumeister, K. D. Vohs, Self-Regulation, Ego Depletion, and Motivation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* **1**, 115–128 (2007).



Figure 1. Effects of cognitive effort without rest on cocaine self-administration. Time course (A) and average in the last 3 days of self-administration (B) of number of injections in rats that performed a cognitive effort immediately before cocaine self-administration. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM (Sal NoCE, N =10; Sal CE N =10; Coc NoCE, N = 14; Coc CE, N = 13). Two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test: **, P < 0.01 compared to Sal control; ##, P < 0.01 CE vs NoCE.





Figure 2. Effects of cognitive effort followed by 2-4h rest on cocaine self-administration. Time course (A) and average in the last 3 days of self-administration (B) of number of injections n rats that had a rest of 2-4h between cognitive exercise and cocaine self-administration. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM; (CE vs NoCE. Sal NoCE, N =7; Sal CE N = 7; Coc NoCE, N = 11; Coc CE, N = 9). Two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test: **, P < 0.01 compared to Sal control; # and ##, P < 0.05 and 0.01 CE vs NoCE





Figure 3. Effects of cognitive effort with or without rest on cocaine-induced locomotor activity. Locomotor activity measured for 60 min after injection of saline or cocaine (10 mg/kg, IP) in animals that performed a cognitive effort or control immediately before (0h) or 3h before the injection. One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test: ** and ***, P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 different from CE Delay 0h; #, P < 0.0001 different from all other groups.





Figure 4. Effects of High vs Low effort on chocolate enjoyment and evaluation of neutral objects. Data are shown as mean $\pm \pm 95\%$ confidence intervals. T-tests were used to compare the mean differences between the experimental conditions. * P < 0.05.