Polarised subcellular activation of ROPs by specific ROPGEFs drives pollen germination in Arabidopsis thaliana

Authors:

Alida Melissa Bouatta¹, Andrea Lepper¹, Philipp Denninger ^{1,*}

- ¹ Technical University of Munich, School of Life Sciences, Plant Systems Biology,
- Emil-Ramann-Strasse 8, 85354 Freising, Germany
- * Corresponding author:

Email: philipp.denninger@tum.de, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3794-2360

1 Abstract

2 During plant fertilisation, excess male gametes compete for a limited number of female 3 gametes. The dormant male gametophyte, encapsulated in the pollen grain, consists of two sperm cells enclosed in a vegetative cell. After reaching the stigma of a compatible flower, 4 5 guick and efficient germination of the vegetative cell to a tip-growing pollen tube is crucial to 6 ensure fertilisation success. RHO OF PLANTS (ROP) signalling and their activating ROP 7 GUANINE NUCLEOTIDE EXCHANGE FACTORS (ROPGEFs) are essential for initiating 8 polar growth processes in multiple cell types. However, which ROPGEFs activate pollen 9 germination is unknown. We investigated the role of ROPGEFs in initiating pollen germination 10 and the required cell polarity establishment. Of the five pollen-expressed ROPGEFs, we found 11 that GEF8, GEF9, and GEF12 are required for pollen germination and male fertilisation 12 success, as *gef8;gef9;gef12* triple mutants showed almost complete loss of pollen germination 13 in vitro and had a reduced allele transmission rate. Live cell imaging and spatiotemporal 14 analysis of subcellular protein distribution showed that GEF8 and GEF9, but not GEF12, 15 displayed transient polar protein accumulations at the future site of pollen germination minutes 16 before pollen germination, demonstrating specific roles for GEF8 and GEF9 during the 17 initiation of pollen germination. Furthermore, this novel GEF accumulation appears in a 18 biphasic temporal manner and can shift its location. We showed that the C-terminal domain of GEF8 and GEF9 confers this protein accumulation and demonstrated that GEFs locally 19 activate ROPs and alter Ca²⁺ signalling, which is required for pollen tube germination. We 20 21 demonstrated that GEFs do not act redundantly during pollen germination and described for 22 the first time a polar domain with spatiotemporal flexibility, which is crucial for the de novo 23 establishment of a polar growth domain within a cell and, thus, for pollen function and 24 fertilisation success.

25 Introduction

26 Sexual reproduction is a fundamental and complex process in which male and female gametes 27 fuse to form a zygote, which develops into an embryo. In Angiosperms, sperm cells have lost 28 their motility, and the male gametes must be delivered to the female gametes. This is achieved 29 by a tip-growing pollen tube formed by the vegetative pollen cell, which encloses two sperm cells. This pollen tube grows from the papilla cells of the stigma on the flower surface into the 30 31 transmitting tract toward the female gametophyte inside the ovary. After reaching the female 32 gametophyte, the pollen tube ruptures and releases its enclosed sperm cells. In the last step of double fertilisation, which is characterised by defined Ca²⁺ signals in the female gametes, 33 the sperm cells subsequently fuse with the egg cell and the central cell to form the zygote and 34 35 the endosperm, respectively (Dresselhaus and Franklin-Tong, 2013; Bleckmann et al., 2014; 36 Denninger et al., 2014; Hamamura et al., 2014; Sprunck, 2020).

37 To protect the male gametophyte from environmental influences on its way to a compatible flower, it is metabolically inactive, desiccated, and encapsulated in a thick and rigid pollen 38 39 coat, forming the pollen grain. Once on the stigma of a compatible flower, the vegetative cell 40 needs to be activated and polarise the tip growth machinery to a defined subcellular region to 41 germinate from the pollen grain (Edlund et al., 2004; Rudall and Bateman, 2007). Pollen grains 42 have apertures, areas in which the pollen coat is thinner, which predefine the possible 43 emergence regions of the pollen tube in most angiosperms. However, in some species, such 44 as Arabidopsis thaliana, the pollen emergence site is independent of these apertures and is 45 predominantly defined by the contact site to the papilla cells. This requires sensing the contact site and a growth machinery, which can be polarised independently of the pollen morphology 46 47 to loosen the pollen coat locally and allow the pollen tube's subsequent polar emergence 48 (Edlund et al., 2004). Moreover, in most Angiosperms, the number of pollen grains exceeds 49 the number of female gametes, causing competition between the individual pollen grains. Thus, the rapid establishment of cell polarity and polar growth initiation required for pollen 50 51 germination is crucial in this competition and is decisive for fertilisation success. (Dresselhaus 52 et al., 2016; Sprunck, 2020). The factors responsible for sensing the papilla-pollen contact site 53 and the polarisation of the tip growth machinery in pollen grains are unknown. Moreover, the 54 proteins required to transmit this polarisation signal to the tip growth machinery have yet to be 55 discovered. Therefore, we investigated this crucial aspect of pollen germination. During pollen tube tip growth, multiple RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASES (RLKs), like POLLEN 56

56 During pollen tube tip growth, multiple RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASES (RLKs), like POLLEN 57 RECEPTOR KINASEs (PRKs), BUDDHA'S PAPER SEAL (BUPS), or ANXUR (ANX) RLKs 58 were shown to be required for pollen tube growth. BUPS and ANX RLKs are crucial for 59 maintaining pollen tube integrity and preventing the rupture of germinated pollen tubes 60 (Boisson-Dernier et al., 2009; Miyazaki et al., 2009; Ge et al., 2017). PRKs promote general 61 pollen tube growth and are required for chemotaxis towards the female gametophyte (Mu et al., 1994; Tang et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2013; Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2016). PRKs
were also proposed to sense stigmatic signal peptides in Tomato and thus activate pollen
germination, but no general germination-promoting function for these RLKs is shown
(Muschietti et al., 1998; Tang et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2013). Thus, it is still unknown whether
PRKs play a general role in pollen activation and germination or which proteins are crucial for
initiating pollen activation.

68 In various cell types and processes, RLKs activate RHO OF PLANTS (ROP) signalling 69 pathways to establish cell polarity, promote polar growth, or confer immune responses (Kaothien et al., 2005; Duan et al., 2010; Feiguelman et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2021; Lin et al., 70 71 2022). ROP signalling pathways are mediated by plant-specific ROP GTPases, which are part 72 of the Rho family of small GTP-binding proteins that act as molecular switches and cycle 73 between an inactive GDP-bound state to an active GTP-bound state (Lin et al., 1996; Kost et 74 al., 1999b; Feiguelman et al., 2018). In their active state, ROPs interact with ROP 75 INTERACTING PARTNER (RIP) and ROP INTERACTING CRIB-CONTAINING PROTEIN 76 (RIC) proteins, which facilitate the specific activation of downstream pathways that are 77 required for polar growth (Holdaway-Clarke and Hepler, 2003; Shichrur and Yalovsky, 2006; 78 Nagawa et al., 2010; Steinhorst and Kudla, 2013; Feiguelman et al., 2018). During pollen tube 79 tip growth, ROPs are essential for cell polarisation and to promote tip growth (Lin et al., 1996; 80 Kost et al., 1999a). Recently, it was shown that ROP signalling is additionally crucial for pollen 81 germination, as the quadruple mutant *rop1;3;5;9* of all redundant, pollen-expressed ROPs is 82 sterile and incapable of pollen germination (Xiang et al., 2023). As ROP signalling is required 83 for pollen germination, we hypothesise that activators of ROP signalling are also crucial for 84 pollen germination. However, it is unknown which ROP activators are required for pollen 85 germination.

The activation of ROPs is stimulated by ROP-specific GUANINE EXCHANGE FACTORS 86 87 (ROPGEFs), which facilitate the exchange from GDP to GTP. Arabidopsis thaliana has 14 of 88 these ROPGEFs, hereafter called GEFs, which contain a conserved PLANT-SPECIFIC ROP 89 NUCLEOTIDE EXCHANGER (PRONE) domain and variable termini (Berken et al., 2005; Bos 90 et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2012; Miyawaki and Yang, 2014). The PRONE 91 domain forms a homodimer in which each protein binds a ROP protein and catalyses the 92 nucleotide exchange of the GTPase, as demonstrated by the structure of ROP4 together with 93 the PRONE domain of GEF8 (Thomas et al., 2007; Berken and Wittinghofer, 2008). Individual 94 GEFs exhibit specific expression patterns and functions in different cell types and polarity 95 processes. We showed that GEF3, together with the previously known GEF4, is highly 96 expressed in root hairs and promotes polarity establishment or root hair growth (Duan et al., 97 2010; Denninger et al., 2019). Specific GEFs are establishing the membrane domains required 98 for xylem development, and we showed that particular GEFs are expressed during phloem

differentiation (Nagashima et al., 2018; Roszak et al., 2021). Additionally to other processes,
such as hormone signalling or pavement cell morphogenesis, GEFs were extensively studied
in pollen tube tip growth (Kaothien et al., 2005; Zhang and McCormick, 2007; Yu et al., 2012;
Chang et al., 2013; Feiguelman et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2022).

103 Of the 14 GEFs of Arabidopsis thaliana, multiple are expressed in mature pollen grains, and 104 four are reliably detected in transcriptomic and proteomic approaches (Supplemental Figure 105 S1) (Mergner et al., 2020). Previous studies mainly focused on GEF12 and investigated its 106 role in growing pollen tubes and activating ROP signalling during tip growth. This showed that 107 the activity of GEFs is promoted by phosphorylation of their C-termini by PRK RLKs or 108 controlled by the cytosolic AGCVIII protein kinases AGC1.5 and AGC1.7, which phosphorylate 109 the PRONE domain of GEFs. Overexpression or misregulation of GEFs resulted in a loss of 110 polarity, pollen tube swelling, and unidirectional growth, while loss of GEF function led to 111 shorter pollen tubes (Zhang and McCormick, 2007; Nagawa et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013; Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020). As for ROPs, redundancy between multiple 112 113 GEFs was indicated during pollen tube growth. A gef1/gef9/gef12/gef14 quadruple mutant 114 displayed a mild reduction in pollen tube length, but only two of the mutated GEFs are 115 expressed in pollen (Chang et al., 2013). Recently, a gef8/gef9/gef11/gef12/gef13 guintuple 116 mutant of all known pollen-expressed GEFs showed a decrease in pollen tube integrity during 117 tip growth and reduced fertility (Zhou et al., 2023). These studies show the importance of 118 GEFs in promoting and maintaining polar growth and, thus, male fertility. However, they only 119 investigated pollen tube tip growth. The role of GEFs during pollen germination and which of 120 these GEFs initiates and activates the growth process still need to be discovered.

121 Compared to the redundancy found among ROPs, GEFs can have specific functions during 122 the establishment of a new polar domain and polar growth initiation. In root hairs, we showed 123 that establishing the polar growth domain and tip growth are two processes activated by 124 distinct GEFs. GEF3 is required to establish the root hair initiation domain and the polarisation 125 of ROP2, while GEF4 drives the subsequent tip growth (Denninger et al., 2019). In pollen tube 126 germination, a polar growth domain must be established quickly from a dormant cell to provide 127 an advantage over competing pollen. Moreover, in Arabidopsis, this polar protein 128 accumulation must be spatially flexible, as the area of pollen tube germination is not 129 predetermined and is defined by the region in contact with the papilla cells (Edlund et al., 2004; 130 Dresselhaus and Franklin-Tong, 2013). Therefore, pollen germination is a great model for 131 studying the de novo formation of polar protein domains at the plasma membrane and 132 understanding the spatiotemporal processes required to initiate polar growth. As GEF proteins 133 have not been investigated during pollen germination, we investigated their role in this process 134 to understand how GEFs activate ROP signalling in a spatiotemporally controlled manner to 135 allow the *de novo* establishment of polar cellular growth.

136 We focused our research on the pollen-specific ROPGEFs (GEF8, GEF9, GEF11, GEF12, 137 and GEF13) during pollen germination (Mergner et al., 2020) and show that GEFs are 138 distinctively crucial for pollen germination and male fertility. We demonstrate that GEF8 and 139 GEF9, but not GEF11 and GEF12, form a transient polar domain at the plasma membrane of 140 the pollen germination site and that these GEFs drive polar ROP activation and cellular growth. 141 This novel subcellular localisation highlights that GEFs have specific roles during cellular 142 processes. Furthermore, this GEF accumulation appears in a biphasic temporal manner and 143 can shift its location. This is the first description of a polar domain with such flexibility, which 144 is crucial for polarity establishment, hence, pollen function and fertilisation success.

145

146 **Results**

147 GEF8 and GEF9 biphasically accumulate at the pollen germination site

148 In flowering plants, pollen germination is essential for male fertility and, thus, successful 149 double fertilisation. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the molecular mechanisms activating 150 pollen germination upstream of the essential ROP proteins (Xiang et al., 2023). Even though multiple GEFs were shown to be involved in pollen tube growth, the GEFs involved in ROP 151 152 activation during pollen germination still need to be determined (Chang et al., 2013; Zhou et 153 al., 2023). To investigate the role of GEFs during pollen tube germination, we focused on five 154 GEF proteins (GEF8, GEF9, GEF11, GEF12, and GEF13) that had been found to be 155 expressed in mature pollen (Supplemental Figure S1) (Mergner et al., 2020). We fused these 156 five GEFs N-terminally with the yellow fluorescing protein mCitrine (mCit) under the control of 157 their endogenous promoter fragments to confirm their expression. We found mCit-GEF8. 158 mCit-GEF9, mCit-GEF11, and mCit-GEF12 signals in mature pollen, but no signal was 159 observed for mCit-GEF13 (Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure S2). We assessed mCit-GEF 160 localisation by live cell imaging in germinating pollen grains *in vitro* on pollen germination 161 medium (PGM) (Vogler et al. 2014). Shortly after imbibition on PGM, all GEFs showed a 162 similar localisation and were evenly distributed in the cytoplasm (Figure 1, Supplemental 163 Figure S2 and Supplemental Video 1-3). After several minutes of imbibition on PGM, we 164 observed that mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 accumulated at a defined region in the cell 165 periphery, which strongly correlated with the future germination site. Such an accumulation 166 was never observed for mCit-GEF11, mCit-GEF12, or mCit-GEF13 expressed under the 167 control of a GEF12 promoter (Figure 1, Supplemental Figure S2, and Supplemental Video 1-3, 19-20). This indicates a specific function of GEF8 and GEF9 during pollen germination 168 169 initiation. To further characterise this behaviour, we quantitatively compared the timing of 170 protein accumulation. We defined the first frame of a visibly emerged pollen tube as a 171 reference timepoint 0, with negative time points before and positive time points after this 172 reference point. By quantifying the timing of mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 accumulations using 173 multiple kymographs, we observed that both proteins showed a biphasic accumulation that, 174 on average, slightly differed between mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 (Figure 1A-D). The initial 175 accumulation of mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 started in a small region of the pollen grain 176 periphery and grew to 3-4 µm within, on average, 3 minutes for mCit-GEF8 and 5 minutes for 177 mCit-GEF9. The maximum of this initial accumulation was reached, on average, at timepoint 178 -11 min before germination for mCit-GEF8 and -9 min for mCit-GEF9, depicting a faster and 179 earlier accumulation of GEF8 compared to GEF9 (Figures 1C and 1D). However, it should be 180 noted that the timing of mCit-GEF8 accumulation was more consistent, which leads to a 181 clearer profile of the average intensity blot. In contrast, mCit-GEF9 accumulation was stronger 182 but less consistent in its timing, which causes a less concise profile of the average intensity 183 blot (Figures 1C and 1D). For mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9, the timing of this initial 184 accumulation, which we considered the germination initiation, was variable, and the timepoint 185 of the maximal accumulation is specifically indicated (Figure 1A, "germination initiation"). The 186 overall timing and persistence of this protein accumulation can be observed in the 187 corresponding kymographs (Figure 1B). The initial accumulation of mCit-GEF8 and mCit-188 GEF9 did not persist at the future germination site but disappeared after, on average, 3 189 minutes for mCit-GEF8 and 7 minutes for mCit-GEF9. Around the time of germination, we 190 observed a second accumulation of GEF8 and GEF9 around the initial accumulation site, 191 which also differed in timing between both proteins. mCit-GEF8 reaccumulated at timepoint -192 2 min before pollen germination, while GEF9 accumulation started after germination (Figure 193 1C and 1D). This slightly different timing of the biphasic accumulation indicates that during the 194 initiation phase of pollen germination, either GEF8 or GEF9 are accumulated at the pollen 195 germination site. Interestingly, we observed that the second accumulation of mCit-GEF8/ 196 mCit-GEF9 was sometimes slightly shifted laterally compared to the first accumulation before 197 germination. However, both sites were always near each other, indicating a certain flexibility 198 during the assembly of all required proteins of the tip growth machinery (Figure 1A and 1B 199 and Supplemental Video 1). Such flexibility of the polar growth domain is crucial for pollen 200 function, especially in species like Arabidopsis thaliana, in which the pollen apertures do not 201 predetermine the pollen germination site (Edlund et al., 2004). To confirm the accumulation 202 and timing of GEF8 and GEF9 compared to the evenly distributed GEF12, we simultaneously 203 observed mCit-GEF8 or mCit-GEF12 coexpressed with mScarlet-GEF9 (mSct-GEF9). mCit-204 GEF8 and mSct-GEF9 both accumulated with a similar timing at the same location, confirming 205 the observations in the single marker lines. However, mCit-GEF12 was still evenly diffused in 206 the cytoplasm, with no significant accumulation when a clear accumulation of mSct-GEF9 was 207 visible (Figure 1E and 1F). These results show differences between individual GEFs, as mCit-208 GEF11, mCit-GEF12, and mCit-GEF13 do not show specific localisations during pollen 209 germination, while mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 specifically accumulate at the pollen

- 210 germination site. Moreover, mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 show a similar but distinct biphasic
- accumulation at the pollen germination site, with temporal differences between both proteins.
- Taken together, the differential localisation during pollen germination indicates a specific and
- 213 subcellular localised role for GEF8 and GEF9 in initiating pollen germination.
- 214

215 Distinct GEFs are required for pollen germination in vitro

216 In light of the specific accumulation of GEFs during pollen germination, we used loss-of-217 function mutant lines to investigate the function of GEFs in pollen germination. We used available 218 T-DNA lines for gef9-t1 (<u>GK-717A10</u>), gef11-t1 (SALK 126725), and gef12-t1 219 (SALK 103614), and generated CRISPR-Cas9 full-length deletion mutants, resulting in gef8-220 $c\Delta 1$, gef8- $c\Delta 2$, gef9- $c\Delta 1$, gef12- $c\Delta 1$ single mutants, and double mutants gef8- $\Delta c1$ /gef12- $\Delta c1$ 221 and gef8c- Δ 3/gef9-c Δ 2 (Supplemental Figure S3). Col-0 was used as a wild-type reference 222 and reached a pollen germination efficiency of 84 % 4 hours after imbibition on PGM (Figure 223 2A-2B). gef11-t1 (86 %) showed germination efficiencies similar to Col-0, while gef9-t1 (79 224 %), gef12-t1 (70 %), and gef12-c Δ 1 (78 %) were slightly lower but not significantly different 225 from Col-0. In comparison to *gef9-t1*, *gef9-c* Δ 1 (55 %) showed a significant reduction of pollen 226 germination efficiency, indicating partial remaining GEF9 function in *gef9-t1*, which could be 227 explained by the location of the T-DNA insertion in the fifth intron. We excluded the presence 228 of full-length mRNA in gef9-t1 by RT-PCR on flower cDNA and could only find partial GEF9 229 mRNA in front and behind the T-DNA insertion site (Supplemental Figure S3). The germination 230 efficiency of gef8 CRISPR-Cas9 lines, gef8-c Δ 1 (63 %), and gef8-c Δ 2 (64 %) were 231 comparable to *gef9-c* Δ 1 and were significantly different from Col-0. We were able to rescue 232 gef8-c Δ 1 with the GEF8p::mCit-GEF8 construct, which led to a germination efficiency of 83%, 233 proving that the absence of GEF8 causes the phenotype and confirming the functionality of 234 the mCit-fusion constructs (Figure 2A). The pollen germination was more severely reduced in 235 $gef8-c\Delta 1:9-t1$ (36 %) and $gef8-c\Delta 3:9-c\Delta 2$ (50 %) double mutants, while $gef8-c\Delta 1:12-t1$ (70 236 %) and *gef9-t1;12-t1* (66 %) double mutants displayed no significant reduction of germination 237 efficiency in comparison to the gef8 and gef9 single mutants. However, we still observed 238 significant pollen germination in gef8;gef9 double mutants. Therefore, we generated a gef8-239 $c\Delta 1$;9-t1;12-t1 triple mutant. In this triple mutant line, *in vitro* pollen germination was almost 240 completely abolished (0.2 %), showing that these three GEFs are essential for pollen 241 germination (Figure 2A). In summary, this showed that GEF11 has no function in the pollen 242 germination of Arabidopsis thaliana. GEF8 and GEF9 play a major role in pollen germination, 243 as they both display a significant deficiency as single mutants, and this effect is amplified in 244 the double mutant. The normal pollen germination efficiency of GEF12 single mutants shows 245 a minor function of GEF12 in this process. However, because pollen germination was only abolished in the combination of *gef8*, *gef9*, and *gef12*, a partial redundancy between thesethree GEFs can be assumed.

248

249 GEF function is crucial for efficient male fertility *in vivo*

250 After we showed the necessity of GEFs for pollen germination in vitro, we assessed their role 251 in pollination and male fertility in vivo. To determine the transmission efficiency of gef mutant 252 alleles, we performed reciprocal crosses using Col-0 with gef8, gef9, and gef12 mutant 253 combination lines, in which one gef allele was heterozygous, leading to an expected 254 transmission of 50% for this *aef* allele in the F1 offspring (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 255 S4). In the control direction with *aef* mutant lines as female, pollinated with Col-0 pollen, we 256 did not find any significant reduction in the transmission of the mutant alleles, showing that 257 gef8, gef9, and gef12 are not required for female fertility (Supplemental Figure S4). When 258 using *gef* mutant lines for pollination, we did not see significant reductions from the expected 259 transmission in gef8, gef9, and gef12 single and double mutant combinations. However, it 260 needs to be mentioned that we observed nonsignificant reductions of the allele frequency in 261 some gef8/gef9 mutant allele combinations, which were not seen in other allele combinations 262 of the same genes (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure S4). Only the triple mutant gef8-263 $c\Delta 1$;9-t1+/-;12-t1 had a reduced transmission of the *gef9*-t1 allele (35 %), which significantly 264 differed from the expected 50 % (p=0.0004) transmission (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 265 S4). This effect on male fertility was lower than expected from the *in vitro* experiments but 266 confirmed that GEF8, GEF9 and GEF12 are crucial for male fertility, likely by promoting 267 efficient pollen germination.

268

269 The C-terminal domain is necessary and sufficient for GEF8 and GEF9 accumulation

270 We showed that GEF8 and GEF9 have distinct localisation compared to GEF11 and GEF12. 271 To investigate which GEF proteins' components are responsible for this specificity, we 272 analysed different domains of GEFs during pollen germination. GEFs consist of a conserved 273 catalytic PRONE domain and variable N- and C-terminal regions. The existing crystal 274 structures of the PRONE domain of GEF8, together with ROP4, showed that these proteins 275 form a tetramer in which two GEFs dimerise, each having one ROP bound (Thomas et al., 276 2007). However, the terminal regions of GEF8 were not represented in this structure, as they 277 are thought to be intrinsically disordered. Protein structure predictions of GEF8 and ROP1 278 using AlphaFold2 and matched on the existing PRONE8-ROP4 structure (RCSB-PDB: 2NTY) 279 with ChimeraX confirmed that these terminal regions are primarily unstructured, with only 280 small helical elements (Thomas et al., 2007; Mirdita et al., 2022; Meng et al., 2023). However, 281 in this predicted structure, both termini wrap around the protein, with the N-terminus blocking 282 the binding site of the second GEF and the C-terminus blocking the binding site of the ROP

283 (Figure 3A). This structure could explain the inhibitory function of both termini that was 284 described previously (Gu et al., 2006; Zhang and McCormick, 2007). We made several mutant 285 and deletion constructs to understand the function of GEF8 and GEF9 during pollen 286 germination and unravel the protein features responsible for their specific biphasic 287 accumulation (Figure 3B and 3C). We started by deleting the variable N-terminus of GEF8 288 (mCit-GEF8^{ΔN}) and GEF9 (mCit-GEF9^{ΔN}). The N-terminal deletion did not abolish the specific 289 localisation of either protein, as we could still observe an accumulation at the germination site 290 minutes before pollen tube emergence (Figure 3C Supplemental Video 4-5). Different effects 291 were observed in constructs in which the variable C-terminal region of GEF8 (mCit-GEF8^{∆C}) and GEF9 (mCit-GEF9^{ΔC}) was deleted. mCit-GEF8^{ΔC} and mCit-GEF9^{ΔC} were strictly cytosolic 292 293 and showed no membrane attachment during pollen germination. (Figure 3C and 294 Supplemental Video 6-7). This loss of accumulation of mCit-GEF8^{∆C} and mCit-GEF9^{∆C} 295 showed that the GEF C-terminus is necessary for membrane attachment and the 296 accumulation of GEF8 and GEF9 during pollen germination. Because the C-terminal domain 297 is required for the accumulation of GEF8/GEF9 and this accumulation is not seen for mCit-GEF12 throughout the pollen germination process, we swapped the C-terminal domain of 298 GEF12 with those of either GEF8 (mCit-GEF12^{GEF8C}) or GEF9 (mCit-GEF12^{GEF9C}) (Figure 3D 299 and Supplemental Video 8-9), GEF12^{GEF8C} and GEF12^{GEF9C} both accumulated at the pollen 300 301 germination site before pollen tube emergence, similar to the native mCit-GEF8 and mCit-302 GEF9, which was never observed for wild-type mCit-GEF12. This showed that the C-terminal 303 domains of GEF8 and GEF9 are necessary for the polar accumulation of these proteins. 304 Moreover, both C-termini are sufficient to recruit other GEFs to the cell periphery of the future 305 pollen germination site.

306

307 A phosphorylation site in the C-terminus of GEFs influences their accumulation

To further understand the mechanism of GEF activation and localisation, we investigated the 308 309 role of phosphorylation in GEF8 and GEF9 C-terminal domains. The C-terminus of GEFs was 310 shown to be phosphorylated by RLKs at a serine of the conserved SPxxRH motif, leading to 311 the activation of the PRONE domain (Kaothien et al., 2005; Zhang and McCormick, 2007; 312 Cheung and Wu, 2008). The phosphorylation at this site was recently confirmed by proteomic 313 approaches (Mergner et al., 2020). In the predicted structure, this phosphorylation site is 314 accessible and could affect the blocking function of this domain (Figure 3E). To elucidate any 315 potential functional effect of the phosphorylation of this serine on pollen germination, we 316 mutated this serine to a phospho-dead (S518A) and potentially phospho-mimic (S518D) 317 versions of GEF8 and transformed them into the gef8-c $\Delta 1$ background. Both mutants, 318 GEF8^{S518D} and GEF8^{S518A}, displayed no significant accumulation at the pollen tube 319 germination site (Figure 3E and Supplemental Video 10-11). This indicates that the protein accumulation is independent of the activity status of GEF8, but rather, the phosphorylation reaction by RLKs is critical for GEF accumulation. In summary, the deletion constructs of GEF8 and GEF9, in combination with the domain swap experiments, show that the C-terminal domain is necessary for the distinct accumulation of GEF8 and GEF9 and sufficient to transfer this function onto GEF12, which usually does not accumulate in this system. In addition, GEF8^{S518} seems to have an essential role in GEF8 accumulation at the germination site, indicating that the activation by RLKs is a crucial factor in this process.

327

328 GEF8 is necessary for ROP activation

329 GEFs activate ROPs by exchanging GDP for GTP, leading to the recruitment of RIP or RIC 330 proteins and the subsequent activation of downstream processes (Feiguelman et al., 2018). 331 For example, RIC3 and RIC4 were shown to regulate pollen growth by mediating the 332 modulation of Ca²⁺ fluxes and F-actin formation (Theos et al., 2005). RICs contain a CDC42/RAC INTERACTIVE BINDING (CRIB) motif, which mediates the interaction with 333 334 active GTP-bound ROPs. ROP1, ROP3, and ROP5 are specifically expressed in pollen grains. We observed mCit-ROP1, mCit-ROP3, and mCit-ROP5 under their endogenous promoters, 335 336 and all three ROPs localised to the cytoplasm without any specific accumulation at the site of 337 germination, as observed for mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 (Figure 4A and 4B and 338 Supplemental Video 12-14). Nevertheless, as the CRIB domain is sufficient for active ROP 339 binding, it allows the utilisation of the CRIB domain as a biosensor for the localisation of active 340 ROPs (Luo et al., 2017). Here, we used the CRIB motif of RIC4 (CRIB4) fused to mCit under 341 the control of the GEF12 promoter fragment (GEF12p::CRIB4-mCit) as an indicator of ROP 342 activity during pollen germination (Figure 4C and 4D). We observe a persistent CRIB4-mCit 343 accumulation at the germination site during the germination process, which differs from the 344 transient biphasic accumulation of mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 (Figure 4 and Supplemental Video 15). However, the timing of the initiation of CRIB4-mCit accumulation was similar to the 345 one observed for both GEFs, approximately 10 minutes before germination. In addition, the 346 347 overall width of the accumulation of CRIB4-mCit was similar to that of mCit-GEF8 and mCit-348 GEF9 (3-4 µm). To show the causality between the accumulation of GEF8 and CRIB4, we investigated CRIB4-mCit localisation in a gef8-c∆1 background. In gef8-c∆1, CRIB4-mCit 349 350 accumulation was lost, and its localisation is cytoplasmic, with no apparent accumulation at 351 the germination site during the pollen germination process (Figure 4C and Supplemental Video 352 16). These results suggest that CRIB4 (a biosensor for active ROPs) accumulates at the 353 germination site during the germination process, and this accumulation requires the activity of 354 GEF8, which shows a functional link between GEF8/9 accumulation and ROP activation.

355

356 **GEF8 oscillation leads to changes in calcium oscillation**

357 ROP signalling leads to the activation of downstream pathways required for pollen tube growth, such as Ca²⁺ oscillations, which are essential for pollen tube growth and guidance 358 359 (Cheung and Wu, 2008; Dresselhaus et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2016). Ca2+ undergoes fine-360 tuned oscillations and concentration variations to regulate polar growth (Iwano et al., 2009). 361 Ca²⁺ fluctuations are described during pollen germination *in vivo*, but their timing to other 362 activators of pollen germination and their cause during pollen activation still need to be understood (Iwano et al., 2004). We used the Ca²⁺-indicator RGeco1 under the control of the 363 Lat52 promoter to monitor Ca²⁺ oscillations during pollen germination in Col-0 (Figure 4E and 364 365 Supplemental Video 17). Compared to the regular short oscillations during pollen tube growth, we observed longer and persisting Ca2+ elevations. We found a first increase of Ca2+ around -366 367 8 minutes before germination, which persisted with different intensities for multiple minutes, decreased shortly before germination and increased again at timepoint 0 (Figure 4E and 4F). 368 This initial increase of Ca²⁺ was comparable to mCit-GEF8 and mCit-GEF9 accumulation. 369 370 indicating a possible interaction between GEF8/9 and Ca²⁺ elevations. To investigate the 371 association between GEF function and Ca²⁺ oscillation, we observed RGeco1 in *gef8-c* Δ 1 372 during pollen germination (Figure 4E and Supplemental Video 18). Interestingly, the Ca²⁺ 373 elevation pattern was not abolished but differed from the one observed in Col-0. Compared to Col-0, the RGeco1 signal did not increase in a persistent Ca²⁺ elevation in the *gef8-c* Δ 1 mutant 374 375 but rather showed several lower intensity peaks throughout the germination process, with one 376 stronger Ca²⁺ increase at the germination timepoint (Figure 4E and 4-F). This result further 377 emphasises the necessity of GEF8 for ROP activation, resulting in normal Ca²⁺ elevations and pollen germination. In summary, the absence of GEF8 impacted CRIB4-mCit (active ROP 378 biosensor) and Ca²⁺ oscillations, showing the crucial function of GEFs in activating ROP 379 380 signalling leading to pollen germination.

381

382 Discussion

383 Pollen germination is a critical step in plant fertilisation, and characterising the underlying 384 protein functions is crucial for understanding the activation of this process. Here, we identified 385 specific ROPGEFs required for pollen germination and male fertility. These GEFs have distinct 386 functions during pollen germination, which are conferred, in parts, by their C-terminal domain. 387 Five of the 14 GEFs are expressed in mature Arabidopsis pollen (Supplemental Figure S1). 388 We confirmed the presence of GEF8, GEF9, GEF11, and GEF12 using translational fusion 389 lines but not GEF13 (Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure S2). As recent proteomics analysis 390 only found very low amounts of GEF13 in mature pollen, it remains unclear whether GEF13 is 391 irrelevant for pollen tube growth or is very specifically translated (Mergner et al., 2020). 392 Previous results also indicated the presence of GEF1 and GEF14 during pollen tube growth,

but the detection of the expression level of these two genes was found to be low and inconsistent (Gu et al., 2006; Zhang and McCormick, 2007; Chang et al., 2013). In line with this, *GEF1* and *GEF14* were not identified in recent transcriptomics or proteomics data (Mergner et al., 2020).

397 The four consistently expressed GEFs have very distinct localisations, with GEF11 and GEF12 398 evenly distributed in the cytoplasm throughout the germination process, while GEF8 and GEF9 399 accumulated at the site of pollen germination before pollen tube growth (Figure 1 and 400 Supplemental Figure S2). A similar accumulation of GEFs was described for GEF3 and 401 GEF14 during root hair initiation (Denninger et al., 2019). However, during root hair initiation, 402 the polar domain requires around 30 minutes to be established, is locally fixed and is persistent 403 for hours (Denninger et al., 2019). The protein accumulations we observed for GEF8 and 404 GEF9 were established significantly faster but shorter, as they only persisted at the pollen 405 germination site for several minutes (Figure 1). An exciting aspect and a difference to the 406 initiation of root hair growth is that the localisation of GEF8 and GEF9 accumulation was not 407 fixed but could shift laterally during the initiation of pollen germination. This could be an essential feature of polar growth in pollen, as these cells need to adjust the germination site 408 409 in response to contact with papilla cells. Thus, the flexibility of this growth machinery is 410 required to initiate growth at the optimal position in species such as Arabidopsis thaliana with 411 no predetermined germination site at the pollen apertures (Edlund et al., 2004). We also found 412 differences in the timing of protein accumulation between GEF8 and GEF9, leading to a 413 constant presence of GEF8 or GEF9 at the pollen germination site but with different ratios to 414 each other (Figure 1). It remains to be determined whether they have redundant functions at 415 this location or if distinct pathways are activated by these particular proteins, as described for 416 downstream RIC proteins (Gu et al., 2005).

417 The gef8 and gef9 single mutants had significantly reduced pollen germination efficiencies, 418 while *gef11* and *gef12* single mutants did not display significant reductions in pollen 419 germination (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S3). Compared to the previously postulated 420 redundancy of GEFs during pollen germination and growth, our results suggest, at least during 421 pollen germination, that individual GEFs have distinct localisations and non-redundant 422 functions (Chang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2023). The availability of suitable mutant lines can 423 explain the discrepancy with the previous reports, which did not detect the same defects, as 424 the observed phenotypes of gef8 and gef9 mutants were only evident in the CRISPR-Cas9 425 deletion mutants generated in this study (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S3). The only 426 available T-DNA line for GEF8 had multiple T-DNA integrations and, in our experience, 427 showed developmental defects independent of the integration in GEF8. The available T-DNA 428 line of *GEF9* showed a mild and less consistent phenotype than the generated CRISPR-Cas9 429 deletion mutant (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S3). In combination with the presented 430 localisation data, our phenotyping analysis shows that not all GEFs act redundantly during 431 pollen germination. We suggest that these GEFs activate different aspects required for polar 432 growth to another degree. Thus, all GEFs are distinctively required for efficient pollen 433 germination and male fertility, which only leads to a severe phenotype in higher-order mutants 434 (Figure 2), similar to the observations made in loss-of-function mutants of all pollen-expressed 435 ROPs (Xiang et al., 2023). Additionally, the severity of the gef8-c Δ 1;9-t1;12-t1 triple mutant 436 phenotype observed in vitro can be overcome in vivo, as the gef8-c Δ 1:9-t1:12-t1 triple mutant. which hardly showed pollen germination on PGM, still had a significant transmission in 437 438 reciprocal crosses (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure S4), which is similar to recent data 439 shown in *gef8:9:11:12:13* guintuple mutants. Potentially, other GEFs might have a higher 440 activity in vivo that could rescue the lack of these primary GEFs, leading to a weaker 441 phenotype than the total loss of ROP activity (Xiang et al., 2023).

442 The distinct localisations we observed for GEF8 and GEF9 indicate that a specific feature of 443 those proteins transmits this function. As the PRONE domain of all GEFs is conserved, we 444 focused on the variable termini with no apparent structure and seeming intrinsically disordered 445 (Figure 3) (Berken et al., 2005). The predicted full-length structure of GEF8 suggests that both 446 termini are folding around the PRONE domain to either block ROP binding or prevent protein 447 dimerisation, indicating inhibitory functions. However, the confidence in the structure of these 448 regions is very low, and it remains unclear how these termini are folded or if they can take 449 different shapes depending on the situation. The N-terminus was shown to have activating 450 functions for GEF activity, while the C-terminus inhibits PRONE activity (Gu et al., 2006; Zhang 451 and McCormick, 2007). However, in contrast to the suggested function of the terminal domains 452 for GEF activity, we observed other functions for their localisation. The deletion of the N-453 terminus did not significantly alter protein accumulation behaviour. In contrast, the deletion of 454 the C-terminus abolished protein accumulation (Figure 3C), which shows that the contribution of the termini to protein activity and localisation independently regulates different aspects of 455 456 GEFs. We speculate that the C-terminus of GEF8 and GEF9 is required for interaction with 457 RLKs like PRKs, as shown before for GEF12, as mutations in the conserved phosphorylation site can alter this accumulation (Figure 3) (Kaothien et al., 2005; Chang et al., 2013). However, 458 459 this interaction must be specific to GEF8 and GEF9 as we do not see such accumulations for 460 other GEFs. Moreover, the ability for protein accumulation before pollen tube emergence can 461 be transferred onto GEF12 when exchanging the C-terminal domain (Figure 3D). Suggesting 462 that other scaffolding proteins or other RLKs than the previously reported PRK2, PRK6, or 463 BUPS are responsible for this interaction, as they also interact with GEF12 (Chang et al., 464 2013; Zhao et al., 2013; Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2016; Yu et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2021). 465 However, it is also possible that additional mechanisms are crucial for this accumulation or 466 that further factors transmit a specific interaction of GEF8 and GEF9 with the known RLKs at 467 this particular time during the initiation of pollen germination. Other proteins known to show 468 similar accumulation at the pollen germination site before germination are the ROP effector 469 scaffold proteins RIP1, RIC1, and potentially other members of these families or BOUNDARY 470 OF ROP DOMAIN (BDR) proteins. However, it is unlikely that these scaffolds drive GEF 471 accumulation, as they are ROP effectors, and their accumulation depends on ROP activation 472 (Li et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2015; Sugiyama et al., 2019; Xiang et al., 2023). Still, these 473 effectors may have a role in stabilising the GEF accumulation in a feedback loop to confine 474 the domain, as shown in root hairs (Denninger et al., 2019).

475 The accumulation of GEFs did not lead to any significant accumulation of ROPs at the pollen 476 germination site (Figure 4A), which conforms with recent observations of ROPs during pollen 477 germination (Xiang et al., 2023). Still, we could observe that a marker for active ROPs and 478 Ca²⁺ signals are elevated at the pollen germination site with a timing similar to the observed 479 GEF accumulations. In line with this, the accumulation of the active ROP marker was lost, and 480 the Ca²⁺ elevation pattern was altered in the *gef8-c* $\Delta 1$ mutant (Figure 4C and 4D). The 481 complete loss of accumulation of the ROP activity indicator (CRIB domain) is surprising, 482 considering the mild phenotype in *gef8-c* Δ mutants (Figure 2). However, the accumulation of 483 this indicator is also very low, and it might be that slight changes in ROP activity already have 484 significant effects on this sensor, even though the remaining ROP activity is sufficient to trigger 485 altered Ca²⁺ signals and induce pollen germination. However, this alteration of ROP activity in 486 gef mutants indicates that GEF accumulation is upstream of ROP activity and requires a 487 different mechanism. A connection to phospholipid signalling is possible, as shown in root 488 cells (Platre et al., 2019). Candidate proteins are PHOSPHATIDYLINOSITOL 4-PHOSPHATE 489 5-KINASEs (PIP4Ks) that regulate phospholipid abundance and regulate tip growth in pollen 490 tubes and root hair cells (Kusano et al., 2008; Kato et al., 2024). PIP4Ks also accumulate at 491 the pollen germination site and might act together with GEFs to drive ROP signalling, but 492 results in root hairs suggest that PIP4K accumulation is downstream of GEF accumulation 493 (Denninger et al., 2019; Kato et al., 2024). Still, studying the connection to other pathways and 494 their regulatory connection will be a challenging task to fully understand polarity establishment 495 and polar growth initiation.

496 We show that specific GEFs are required for efficient pollen germination and that GEF8 and 497 GEF9 display distinct localisations compared to GEF11 and GEF12. Together, this shows that 498 GEFs are not redundant during pollen germination and can have specific functions within the 499 same process in one cell. The novel polar domain of accumulated GEF8/9 protein in 500 germinating pollen tubes was spatiotemporally flexible and not static as in previously 501 described processes. We hypothesise that this flexibility is crucial to define the pollen 502 germination site independently of predeterminant features and shows the *de novo* assembly 503 of a polar growth domain.

504 Material and Methods

505

506 **Plant material and growth conditions:**

507 *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants were grown on soil under long-day conditions (16 h of light at 21 C) 508 in a growth chamber. *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 was used as a wild-type reference.

509 The mutant lines *gef9-t1* (GK-717A10), *gef11-t1* (SALK_126725C), and *gef12-t1* 510 (SALK_103614) were obtained from NASC (Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre). Single 511 t-DNA insertion was confirmed by segregation analysis, and the t-DNA insertion site was 512 checked by sequencing the genotyping PCR product on both sides of the insertion site. 513 Primers used for genotyping are listed in Supplemental Table S1. Double and triple mutants 514 were made by crossing these lines and were selected by PCR. Fluorescently labelled 515 *GEF12p*::mCit-GEF12 was used from (Denninger et al., 2019).

516

517 CRISPR/Cas9 deletion lines:

518 To generate *gef8-c* Δ 1 and *gef12-c* Δ 1 CRISPR/Cas9 deletion lines, we used an egg cell-519 specific promoter-driven CRISPR/Cas9 (Wang et al., 2015). We used two gRNAs, one in 5' 520 and the other in 3' of the gene, cloned in tandem into one vector (Supplemental Figure S3). 521 The selection of positive transformants in T1 generation was done on $\frac{1}{2}$ MS medium 522 containing Hygromycin (20 µg/ml).

523 To generate CRISPR/Cas9 deletion lines for get8-c Δ 2 (2.2kb) and get9-c Δ 1 (2.7kb) single 524 mutants and *gef8c-\Delta3/gef9-c\Delta2 double mutants, we used a multiplex editing approach based* 525 on an optimised zCas9i (Stuttmann et al., 2021). We used four gRNAs per gene, two in 5' and 526 two in 3' of the gene. (Supplemental Figure S3). The selection of positive lines in T1 was 527 performed using seed fluorescence and Basta resistance. T2 selection was made by selecting 528 non-glowing seeds.gRNAs were determined using ChopChop (<u>https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/</u>) 529 (Labun et al., 2019) and CCTop (https://cctop.cos.uni-heidelberg.de/) (Stemmer et al., 2015) and successful cloning was confirmed by sequencing. Genotyping was performed by primers 530 531 200-300bp outside the deleted area. The characterisation of the deletion was done by 532 sequencing the resulting PCR product. Confirmation of homozygous mutant alleles was done 533 by combination with a primer binding in the deleted region and was confirmed in the following 534 generation. All primers are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

535

536 Plasmid construct:

All non-CRISPR/Cas9 constructs were generated using the GreenGate cloning system (Lampropoulos et al., 2013) with modified cloning procedures. A list of combined modules and their sources is provided in Supplemental Table S2. As native promoter sequences upstream regions of the START codon, including the 5'UTRs, were cloned for *GEF8* (AT3G24620, -

2501bp), GEF9 (AT4G13240, -1463bp), GEF11 (AT1G52240, -973bp), GEF12 (AT1G79860, 541 542 701bp), and GEF13 (AT3G16130, 850bp and 1200pb) The LAT52 promoter was amplified 543 from LHR lines (Denninger et al., 2014) and a RGeco1 module was kindly provided by Rainer 544 Waadt (Waadt et al., 2017) The CRIB4 module was generated by amplification of the CRIB 545 domain of RIC4 (AT5G16490, amino acid I64-I131) as described before (Luo et al., 2017) from 546 flower cDNA. All primers used to generate new Entry-Vector modules are listed in 547 Supplemental Table S1. The correct amplification and cloning of entry-vector modules were 548 confirmed by sequencing. We generated a new GreenGate-compatible destination vector to 549 achieve a higher plant transformation efficiency. For this, we amplified the vector backbone of 550 pHEE401E (Wang et al., 2015) and the GreenGate cloning cassette of pGGZ003 551 (Lampropoulos et al., 2013) by PCR (Primers in Supplemental Table S1) and combined both 552 fragments using added Mlul and BamHl sites. The resulting plasmid was confirmed by 553 sequencing and named pGGX000.

554

555 **Phenotyping of pollen germination efficiency:**

556 For phenotyping of *in vitro* pollen germination efficiency, pollen of freshly opened flowers was 557 germinated at 22°C on solid pollen germination medium (PGM), containing 1.5% agarose 558 (w/v), 18% sucrose (w/v), 0.01% boric acid (w/v), 1mM CaCl₂, 1mM Ca(NO3)₂, 1mM MgSO₄, 559 10µM 24-epibrassinolides (MedChemExpress, HY-N084824, dissolved to 5mM in Ethanol), 560 and adjusted to pH=7.0 using 100 mM KOH,) (Vogler et al., 2014). Germination was analysed 561 4 hours after imbibition on the PGM, using a Leica MZ16 stereomicroscope equipped with 562 The images were analysed using the multipoint tool of ImageJ DMC5400. 563 (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/docs/guide/146-19.html#sec:Multi-point-Tool), then making the ratio 564 of germinated and ungerminated pollen to get the germination efficiency. Each line was 565 analysed in at least three independent experiments, including three replicates in each 566 experiment. Each data point represents an independent replicate analysing more than 150 567 pollen grains. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9. We used a one-568 way ANOVA with a post-Tukey test (significance level <0.0001) to test for significant 569 differences in pollen germination efficiency.

570

571 **Fluorescence imaging and quantification:**

Imaging of *in vitro* pollen germination was done at 22°C on solid PGM, containing 1.5% agarose (w/v, 10% sucrose (w/v), 0.01% boric acid (w/v), 5mM CaCl₂, 5mM KCl, 1mM MgSO₄, adjusted to pH=7.5 using 100 mM KOH (Boavida and McCormick, 2007) and supplemented with 10 μ M 24-epibrassinolides (MedChemExpress, HY-N084824, dissolved to 5mM in Ethanol) (Vogler et al., 2014).

577 Live cell imaging was performed using an Olympus confocal FV-1000 equipped with a 40x 578 water immersion (1.15 NA) objective, an Argon laser, and a 559 nm diode laser. Signals were 579 detected with high-sensitivity detectors. mCitrine (YFP) was excited at 515 nm and detected 580 between 520-550nm. mScarlet (RFP) and RGeco signals were exited at 559 nm and were 581 detected between 580-653 nm. The pinhole was set to 1AU, and images were taken with a 2x 582 line average in a 1024x1024 pixel scanning field. The same settings were applied to all 583 images, and the excitation laser intensity was set to a minimum for the individual lines to avoid 584 phototoxicity. GEF live pollen germination images were acquired every 30 seconds for 30 585 minutes. For Lat52p::RGeco, images were acquired every 5 seconds for 30 minutes. The images were processed and analysed using ImageJ. Kymographs were generated with the 586 587 MultipleKymograph plugin, using a line width of five pixels.

- 588 589
- 590

591 Acknowledgements

592 We are grateful to Andrea Bleckmann, Claus Schwechheimer (Technical University of 593 Munich), and Guido Grossmann (University Düsseldorf) for helpful comments and suggestions 594 on our manuscript. We thank Thomas Dresselhaus (University Regensburg) for the possibility 595 of confirming our results on an independent microscope setup and Andrea Bleckmann for 596 imaging advice and microscope instructions. We thank all members of the Schwechheimer 597 group for their support, especially Franziska Anzenberger, for her dedication and support of 598 our plant work and experimental procedures. The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 599 supported this work within the Collaborative Research Centre SFB924/3 (#170483403) #A15. 600

601

602 Author contributions

P.D. conceived the study; A.M.B. and P.D. performed experiments, analysed data, prepared
figures, and wrote the manuscript with input from A.L.; A.M.B., A.L. and P.D. generated
experimental material; All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

- 606
- 607

608 <u>References</u>

- Berken A, Thomas C, Wittinghofer A (2005) A new family of RhoGEFs activates the Rop
 molecular switch in plants. Nature 436: 1176–1180
- Berken A, Wittinghofer A (2008) Structure and function of Rho-type molecular switches in plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 46: 380–393
- 613 **Bleckmann A, Alter S, Dresselhaus T** (2014) The beginning of a seed: regulatory 614 mechanisms of double fertilization. Front Plant Sci **5**: 452
- 615 **Boavida LC, McCormick S** (2007) Temperature as a determinant factor for increased and 616 reproducible in vitro pollen germination in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J **52**: 570–582
- Boisson-Dernier A, Roy S, Kritsas K, Grobei MA, Jaciubek M, Schroeder JI,
 Grossniklaus U (2009) Disruption of the pollen-expressed FERONIA homologs ANXUR1
 and ANXUR2 triggers pollen tube discharge. Development 136: 3279–3288
- 620 **Bos JL, Rehmann H, Wittinghofer A** (2007) GEFs and GAPs: Critical Elements in the 621 Control of Small G Proteins (DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.018). Cell **130**: 385
- 622 Chang F, Gu Y, Ma H, Yang Z (2013) AtPRK2 Promotes ROP1 Activation via RopGEFs in
 623 the Control of Polarized Pollen Tube Growth. Mol Plant 6: 1187–1201
- 624 **Cheung AY, Wu HM** (2008) Structural and signaling networks for the polar cell growth 625 machinery in pollen tubes. Annu Rev Plant Biol **59**: 547–572
- Denninger P, Bleckmann A, Lausser A, Vogler F, Ott T, Ehrhardt DW, Frommer WB,
 Sprunck S, Dresselhaus T, Grossmann G (2014) Male–female communication triggers
 calcium signatures during fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 5: 4645
- Denninger P, Reichelt A, Schmidt VAF, Mehlhorn DG, Asseck LY, Stanley CE, Keinath
 NF, Evers J-F, Grefen C, Grossmann G (2019) Distinct RopGEFs Successively Drive
 Polarization and Outgrowth of Root Hairs. Curr Biol 29: 1854-1865.e5
- 632 Dresselhaus T, Franklin-Tong N (2013) Male-female crosstalk during pollen germination,
 633 tube growth and guidance, and double fertilization. Mol Plant 6: 1018–1036
- 634 Dresselhaus T, Sprunck S, Wessel GM (2016) Fertilization mechanisms in flowering plants.
 635 Curr Biol 26: R125–R139
- Buan Q, Kita D, Li C, Cheung AY, Wu H-M (2010) FERONIA receptor-like kinase regulates
 RHO GTPase signaling of root hair development. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107: 17821–17826
- Edlund AF, Swanson R, Preuss D (2004) Pollen and stigma structure and function: the role
 of diversity in pollination. Plant Cell 16 Suppl: S84-97
- Feiguelman G, Fu Y, Yalovsky S (2018) ROP GTPases Structure-Function and Signaling
 Pathways. Plant Physiol 176: 57–79
- 642 Gao QF, Gu LL, Wang HQ, Fei CF, Fang X, Hussain J, Sun SJ, Dong JY, Liu H, Wang YF
 643 (2016) Cyclic nucleotide-gated channel 18 is an essential Ca2+ channel in pollen tube tips
 644 for pollen tube guidance to ovules in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113: 3096–
 645 3101
- 646 Ge Z, Bergonci T, Zhao Y, Zou Y, Du S, Liu M-C, Luo X, Ruan H, García-Valencia LE,
 647 Zhong S, et al (2017) Arabidopsis pollen tube integrity and sperm release are regulated
 648 by RALF-mediated signaling. Science 358: 1596–1600
- Gu Y, Fu Y, Dowd P, Li S, Vernoud V, Gilroy S, Yang Z (2005) A Rho family GTPase
 controls actin dynamics and tip growth via two counteracting downstream pathways in
 pollen tubes. J Cell Biol 169: 127–138
- Gu Y, Li S, Lord EM, Yang Z (2006) Members of a Novel Class of Arabidopsis Rho Guanine
 Nucleotide Exchange Factors Control Rho GTPase-Dependent Polar Growth. Plant Cell
 18: 366–381
- Hamamura Y, Nishimaki M, Takeuchi H, Geitmann A, Kurihara D, Higashiyama T (2014)
 Live imaging of calcium spikes during double fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 5:
 4722

- Holdaway-Clarke TL, Hepler PK (2003) Control of pollen tube growth: role of ion gradients
 and fluxes. New Phytol 159: 539–563
- Iwano M, Entani T, Shiba H, Kakita M, Nagai T, Mizuno H, Miyawaki A, Shoji T, Kubo K,
 Isogai A, et al (2009) Fine-tuning of the cytoplasmic Ca2+ concentration is essential for
 pollen tube growth. Plant Physiol 150: 1322–1334
- Iwano M, Shiba H, Miwa T, Che F-S, Takayama S, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Isogai A (2004)
 Ca2+ Dynamics in a Pollen Grain and Papilla Cell during Pollination of Arabidopsis. Plant
 Physiol 136: 3562–3571
- Kaothien P, Ok SH, Shuai B, Wengier D, Cotter R, Kelley D, Kiriakopolos S, Muschietti
 J, McCormick S (2005) Kinase partner protein interacts with the LePRK1 and LePRK2
 receptor kinases and plays a role in polarized pollen tube growth. Plant J 42: 492–503
- Kato M, Watari M, Tsuge T, Zhong S, Gu H, Qu L, Fujiwara T, Aoyama T (2024) Redundant
 function of the Arabidopsis phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase genes PIP5K4–6 is
 essential for pollen germination. Plant J 117: 212–225
- Kost B, Lemichez E, Spielhofer P, Hong Y, Tolias K, Carpenter C, Chua N-H (1999a) Rac
 Homologues and Compartmentalized Phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-Bisphosphate Act in a
 Common Pathway to Regulate Polar Pollen Tube Growth. J Cell Biol 145: 317–330
- Kost B, Lemichez E, Spielhofer P, Hong Y, Tolias K, Carpenter C, Chua N (1999b) Tube
 Growth. J Cell Biol 145: 317–330
- Kusano H, Testerink C, Vermeer JEM, Tsuge T, Shimada H, Oka A, Munnik T, Aoyama
 T (2008) The Arabidopsis Phosphatidylinositol Phosphate 5-Kinase PIP5K3 Is a Key
 Regulator of Root Hair Tip Growth. Plant Cell 20: 367–380
- Labun K, Montague TG, Krause M, Torres Cleuren YN, Tjeldnes H, Valen E (2019)
 CHOPCHOP v3: Expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic
 Acids Res 47: W171–W174
- Lampropoulos A, Sutikovic Z, Wenzl C, Maegele I, Lohmann JU, Forner J (2013)
 GreenGate A novel, versatile, and efficient cloning system for plant transgenesis. PLoS
 One 8: e83043
- Li E, Cui Y, Ge F-RR, Chai S, Zhang W-TT, Feng Q-NN, Jiang L, Li S, Zhang Y (2018)
 AGC1.5 Kinase Phosphorylates RopGEFs to Control Pollen Tube Growth. Mol Plant 11:
 1198–1209
- Li E, Zhang YY-L, Shi X, Li H, Yuan X, Li S, Zhang YY-L (2020) A positive feedback circuit
 for ROP-mediated polar growth. Mol Plant 14: 108947
- Li S, Gu Y, Yan A, Lord E, Yang ZB (2008) RIP1 (ROP Interactive Partner 1)/ICR1 marks
 pollen germination sites and may act in the ROP1 pathway in the control of polarized pollen
 growth. Mol Plant 1: 1021–1035
- Lin D, Nagawa S, Chen J, Cao L, Chen X, Xu T, Li H, Dhonukshe P, Yamamuro C, Friml
 J, et al (2012) A ROP GTPase-Dependent Auxin Signaling Pathway Regulates the
 Subcellular Distribution of PIN2 in Arabidopsis Roots. Curr Biol 22: 1319–1325
- Lin W, Tang W, Pan X, Huang A, Gao X, Anderson CT, Yang Z (2022) Arabidopsis
 pavement cell morphogenesis requires FERONIA binding to pectin for activation of ROP
 GTPase signaling. Curr Biol 32: 497-507.e4
- Lin Y, Wang Y, Zhu J, Yang Z (1996) Localization of a Rho GTPase Implies a Role in Tip
 Growth and Movement of the Generative Cell in Pollen Tubes. Plant Cell 8: 293
- Liu C, Shen L, Xiao Y, Vyshedsky D, Peng C, Sun X, Liu Z, Cheng L, Zhang H, Han Z, et
 al (2021) Pollen PCP-B peptides unlock a stigma peptide–receptor kinase gating
 mechanism for pollination. Science 372: 171–175
- Luo N, Yan A, Liu G, Guo J, Rong D, Kanaoka MM, Xiao Z, Xu G, Higashiyama T, Cui X,
 et al (2017) Exocytosis-coordinated mechanisms for tip growth underlie pollen tube growth
 guidance. Nat Commun 8: 1687

- Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, Morris JH, Ferrin TE (2023)
 UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. Protein Sci 32: e4792
- Mergner J, Frejno M, List M, Papacek M, Chen X, Chaudhary A, Samaras P, Richter S,
 Shikata H, Messerer M, et al (2020) Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the Arabidopsis
 proteome. Nature 579: 409–414
- Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M (2022) ColabFold:
 making protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods 19: 679–682
- Miyawaki KN, Yang Z (2014) Extracellular signals and receptor-like kinases regulating ROP
 GTPases in plants. Front Plant Sci 5: 449
- Miyazaki S, Murata T, Sakurai-Ozato N, Kubo M, Demura T, Fukuda H, Hasebe M (2009)
 ANXUR1 and 2, sister genes to FERONIA/SIRENE, are male factors for coordinated
 fertilization. Curr Biol 19: 1327–1331
- Mu JH, Lee HS, Kao TH (1994) Characterization of a pollen-expressed receptor-like kinase
 gene of Petunia inflata and the activity of its encoded kinase. Plant Cell 6: 709–721
- Muschietti J, Eyal Y, McCormick S (1998) Pollen Tube Localization Implies a Role in Pollen–
 Pistil Interactions for the Tomato Receptor-like Protein Kinases LePRK1 and LePRK2.
 Plant Cell 10: 319–330
- Nagashima Y, Tsugawa S, Mochizuki A, Sasaki T, Fukuda H, Oda Y (2018) A Rho-based
 reaction-diffusion system governs cell wall patterning in metaxylem vessels. Sci Rep 8:
 11542
- 728 Nagawa S, Xu T, Yang Z (2010) RHO GTPase in plants. Small GTPases 1: 78-88
- Platre MP, Bayle V, Armengot L, Bareille J, Marquès-Bueno MDM, Creff A, Maneta Peyret L, Fiche J-B, Nollmann M, Miège C, et al (2019) Developmental control of plant
 Rho GTPase nano-organization by the lipid phosphatidylserine. Science 364: 57–62
- Roszak P, Heo J, Blob B, Toyokura K, Sugiyama Y, de Luis Balaguer MA, Lau WWY,
 Hamey F, Cirrone J, Madej E, et al (2021) Cell-by-cell dissection of phloem development
 links a maturation gradient to cell specialization. Science 374: eaba5531
- Rudall PJ, Bateman RM (2007) Developmental bases for key innovations in the seed-plant
 microgametophyte. Trends Plant Sci 12: 317–326
- 737 Shichrur K, Yalovsky S (2006) Turning ON the switch RhoGEFs in plants. Trends Plant Sci
 738 11: 57–59
- 739 Sprunck S (2020) Twice the fun, double the trouble: gamete interactions in flowering plants.
 740 Curr Opin Plant Biol 53: 106–116
- Steinhorst L, Kudla J (2013) Calcium a central regulator of pollen germination and tube
 growth. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1833: 1573–1581
- Stemmer M, Thumberger T, Del Sol Keyer M, Wittbrodt J, Mateo JL (2015) CCTop: An
 intuitive, flexible and reliable CRISPR/Cas9 target prediction tool. PLoS One 10: 1–11
- Stuttmann J, Barthel K, Martin P, Ordon J, Erickson JL, Herr R, Ferik F, Kretschmer C,
 Berner T, Keilwagen J, et al (2021) Highly efficient multiplex editing: one-shot generation
 of 8× Nicotiana benthamiana and 12× Arabidopsis mutants. Plant J 106: 8–22
- Sugiyama Y, Nagashima Y, Wakazaki M, Sato M, Toyooka K, Fukuda H, Oda Y (2019) A
 Rho-actin signaling pathway shapes cell wall boundaries in Arabidopsis xylem vessels. Nat
 Commun 10: 1–7
- **Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T** (2016) Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth and
 LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature 531: 245–8
- Tang W, Kelley D, Ezcurra I, Cotter R, McCormick S (2004) LeSTIG1, an extracellular
 binding partner for the pollen receptor kinases LePRK1 and LePRK2, promotes pollen tube
 growth in vitro. Plant J 39: 343–353
- 756
- 757

- Theos AC, Martina A, Hurbain I, Peden AA, Sviderskaya E V, Stewart A, Robinson MS,
 Bennett DC, Cutler DF, Bonifacino JS, et al (2005) Functions of adaptor protein (AP)-3
 and AP-1 in tyrosinase sorting from endosomes to melanosomes. Mol Biol Cell 16: 5356–
 5372
- Thomas C, Fricke I, Scrima A, Berken A, Wittinghofer A (2007) Structural Evidence for a
 Common Intermediate in Small G Protein-GEF Reactions. Mol Cell 25: 141–149
- Vogler F, Schmalzl C, Englhart M, Bircheneder M, Sprunck S (2014) Brassinosteroids
 promote Arabidopsis pollen germination and growth. Plant Reprod 27: 153–167
- Waadt R, Krebs M, Kudla J, Schumacher K (2017) Multiparameter imaging of calcium and
 abscisic acid and high-resolution quantitative calcium measurements using R-GECO1 mTurquoise in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 216: 303–320
- Wang ZP, Xing HL, Dong L, Zhang HY, Han CY, Wang XC, Chen QJ (2015) Egg cell specific promoter-controlled CRISPR/Cas9 efficiently generates homozygous mutants for
 multiple target genes in Arabidopsis in a single generation. Genome Biol 16: 1–12
- Xiang X, Zhang S, Li E, Shi X-L, Zhi J-Y, Liang X, Yin G-M, Qin Z, Li S, Zhang Y (2023)
 RHO OF PLANT proteins are essential for pollen germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 0–2
- Yu F, Qian L, Nibau C, Duan Q, Kita D, Levasseur K, Li X, Lu C, Li H, Hou C, et al (2012)
 FERONIA receptor kinase pathway suppresses abscisic acid signaling in Arabidopsis by
 activating ABI2 phosphatase. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 14693–14698
- Yu Y, Song J, Tian X, Zhang H, Li L, Zhu H (2018) Arabidopsis PRK6 interacts specifically
 with AtRopGEF8/12 and induces depolarized growth of pollen tubes when overexpressed.
 Sci China Life Sci 61: 100–112
- 781 Zhang C, Kotchoni SO, Samuels AL, Szymanski DB (2010) SPIKE1 signals originate from
 782 and assemble specialized domains of the endoplasmic reticulum. Curr Biol 20: 2144–2149
- 783 Zhang Y, McCormick S (2007) A distinct mechanism regulating a pollen-specific guanine
 784 nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Rop in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl
 785 Acad Sci 104: 18830–18835
- Zhao X-Y, Wang Q, Li S, Ge F-R, Zhou L-Z, McCormick S, Zhang Y (2013) The
 juxtamembrane and carboxy-terminal domains of Arabidopsis PRK2 are critical for ROP induced growth in pollen tubes. J Exp Bot 64: 5599–5610
- Zhou X, Han W, Dai J, Liu S, Gao S, Guo Y, Xu T, Zhu X (2023) SPA, a Stigma-styletransmitting tract Physical microenvironment Assay for investigating mechano-signaling in pollen tubes. Proc Natl Acad Sci 120: 2017
- Zhou X, Lu J, Zhang Y, Guo J, Lin W, Van Norman JM, Qin Y, Zhu X, Yang Z (2021)
 Membrane receptor-mediated mechano-transduction maintains cell integrity during pollen
 tube growth within the pistil. Dev Cell 56: 1030-1042.e6
- 795 Zhou Z, Shi H, Chen B, Zhang R, Huang S, Fu Y (2015) Arabidopsis RIC1 Severs Actin
 796 Filaments at the Apex to Regulate Pollen Tube Growth. Plant Cell 27: 1140–1161

797

798 Figures

799

Figure 1: GEF8 and GEF9 specifically accumulate at the pollen germination site before germination initiation.

802 (A) Protein localisation of mCit-GEF8, mCit-GEF9, and mCit-GEF12 under their respective 803 promoters during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube 804 emergence, arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence, and asterisks mark mCit-GEF9 805 localisation around the sperm cells. (B) Kymographs of time-lapse images corresponding to 806 (A) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site (left) or around the pollen 807 grain (right). The dotted line indicates Timepoint 0 of pollen tube emergence; arrowheads 808 highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (C & D) Average intensity 809 profiles of mCit-GEF8 (n=13) and mCit-GEF9 (n=12) at the pollen germination site in relation 810 to the opposite side of the pollen grain during pollen germination. (E & F) Colocalization (left) 811 and intensity profiles along a line, as indicated in the merged image, across the pollen grain 812 through the pollen germination site (right) of mCit-GEF8 with mSct-GEF9 (E) and mCit-GEF12 813 with mSct-GEF9 (F) expressed under their respective promoters. All scale bars represent 10 814 μm.

815

Figure 2: GEF8, GEF9, and GEF12 are necessary for pollen germination and male fertility.

818 (A) Quantification of pollen germination efficiency of *in vitro* germinated pollen 4 h after 819 imbibition on pollen germination media (PGM). Each point represents one replicate with more 820 than 150 pollen grains. Tukey's multiple comparison test was performed by one-way ANOVA, 821 and groups of statistically significant differences are indicated with letters (p < 0.0001). (B) 822 Representative images of *in vitro* germinated pollen 4 h after imbibition on PGM. (C) 823 Quantification of mutant allele frequency in F1 generation of reciprocal crosses with Col-0 as 824 female and the indicated mutants as pollen donors. The heterozygous allele of each genotype 825 is indicated in bold. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the allele frequency from the 826 expected 50% according to X^2 -test (p < 0.05).

827

Figure 3: The C-terminus of GEF8 and GEF9 is necessary and sufficient for protein accumulation.

(A) AlphaFold2 protein structure prediction of full-length GEF8 and ROP1 (Rank 1, predicted separately), matched on the PRONE8-ROP4 double-dimer structure (PDB: 2NTY). Different angles are shown, and the terminal regions are indicated with different colours. (B) Schemes of GEF protein structure and investigated truncation constructs, with variable N and C terminal domains indicated as in (A). ($\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{E}$) Protein localisation of different mutant constructs under 835 their respective promoters during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the 836 beginning of pollen tube emergence, arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence, and asterisks mark localisation around the sperm cells. (C) Truncation constructs mCit-GEF8^{ΔN}. 837 838 mCit-GEF8^{ΔC}, mCit-GEF9^{ΔN}, and mCit-GEF9^{ΔC} in Col-0. (**D**) Domain swap constructs of GEF12 with alternative C-terminal domain, mCit-GEF12^{GEF8C} and mCit-GEF12^{GEF9C} in Col-0. 839 840 (E) Phosphorylation site mutations of GEF8-S518 to a phospho-mimic (mCit-GEF8^{S518D}) and 841 phospho-dead variant (mCit-GEF8^{S518A}) variant expressed in *gef8-c* Δ 1. All scale bars 842 represent 10µm.

843

Figure 4: GEF8 is required for polar ROP activation and Ca²⁺ signalling.

845 (A) Localization of mCit-ROP1, mCit-ROP3, and mCit-ROP5 under their respective promoter 846 during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube 847 emergence, and arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence. (B) Kymographs of time-laps 848 images corresponding to (A) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site (left) 849 or around the pollen grain (right). The dotted line indicates timepoint 0 of pollen tube 850 emergence; arrowheads highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (C) 851 Localisation of the ROP activity indicator GEF12p::CRIB4-mCit in Col-0 and gef8-c Δ 1 852 backgrounds. (D) Kymographs of time-laps images corresponding to (C) along a line crossing 853 the pollen through the germination site (left) or around the pollen grain (right). Arrowheads 854 highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (E) LAT52p::RGeco1 Ca²⁺ 855 biosensor in Col-0 and *gef8-c* Δ 1 background. (F) Kymographs of time-laps images 856 corresponding to (E) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site. Arrowhead 857 highlights signal increase at the pollen germination site. All scale bars represent 10 µm.

- 858
- 859

860 Supplemental Figures

861

862 Supplemental Figure S1: Phylogeny of all ROPGEFs of Arabidopsis thaliana and 863 expression levels in mature pollen.

(A) Phylogenetic tree of the 14 ROPGEFs of Arabidopsis thaliana after alignment of the full-864 865 length protein sequence in Jalview, using the integrated MUSCLE alignment tool and calculation of an average distance (BLOSUM62) tree. (B) Presence of transcript or protein for 866 867 all 14 ROPGEFs in mature pollen, according to the ATHENA - Arabidopsis THaliana 868 ExpressioN Atlas (Mergner et al., 2020). GEFs are sorted according to the phylogenetic tree. 869 Levels of the transcript are shown in transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) and intensity-based 870 absolute quantifications (iBAQ) for protein levels. NA indicates that no transcript or protein 871 was detected in this tissue.

872

873 Supplemental Figure S2: GEF11 and GEF13 do not accumulate at the pollen 874 germination site.

(A) Protein localisation of mCit-GEF11 under its own promoter and mCit-GEF13 under control
of a *GEF12* promoter fragment during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the
beginning of pollen tube emergence, and arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence. (B)
Example images of mCit-GEF13 under the control of a *GEF13* promoter fragment in mature
pollen grains and pollen tubes grown through a cut pistil. In neither case, any signal could be
detected. All scale bars represent 10µm.

881

Supplemental Figure S3: Genomic structure and mutant allele information of GEF8, GEF9, and GEF12.

(A-C) GEF8, GEF9, and GEF12 genomic structures with the corresponding gRNA sites 884 885 (scissors) and T-DNA insertion sites (arrowhead) for gef9-t1 (GK-717A10) and gef12-t1 886 (SALK_103614). Promoter regions are shown as white boxes, UTRs in cyan, and exons in grey boxes. WT sequence for each gene and corresponding CRISPR/Cas9 deletion line is 887 888 shown, and the size of CRISPR/Cas9 induced deletions is indicated. The gRNA target 889 sequence is highlighted in colour with PAM in bold. The START and STOP codons are 890 underlined. (D) GEF9 genomic structure with T-DNA insertion sites (arrowhead) for gef9-t1 891 (GK-717A10) and the location of primers used to test the mRNA presence is indicated. The 892 table shows the expected PCR product size with the indicated primer combination for non-893 spliced templates (genomic) and correctly spliced templates (CDS). The gel image shows 894 PCR products of PCRs using the indicated primer combination on cDNA from Arabidopsis 895 flowers of Col-0 or gef9-t1 plants.

896

897 Supplemental Figure S4: Reciprocal crosses of *GEF* mutant lines

Quantification of mutant allele frequency in F1 generation of reciprocal crosses with Col-0 as female and the indicated mutants as pollen donors (left) or indicated mutants as female and Col-0 as pollen donor (right). The heterozygous allele of each genotype is shown in bold. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the allele frequency from the expected 50% according to X²-test (p < 0.05)



Figure 1: GEF8 and GEF9 specifically accumulate at the pollen germination site before germination initiation

(A) Protein localisation of mCit-GEF8, mCit-GEF9, and mCit-GEF12 under their respective promoters during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube emergence, arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence, and asterisks mark mCit-GEF9 localisation around the sperm cells. (B) Kymographs of time-lapse images corresponding to (A) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site (left) or around the pollen grain (right). The dotted line indicates Timepoint 0 of pollen tube emergence; arrowheads highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (C & D) Average intensity profiles of mCit-GEF8 (n=13) and mCit-GEF9 (n=12) at the pollen germination site in relation to the opposite side of the pollen grain during pollen germination. (E & F) Colocalization (left) and intensity profiles along a line, as indicated in the merged image, across the pollen grain through the pollen germination site (right) of mCit-GEF8 with mSct-GEF9 (E) and mCit-GEF12 with mSct-GEF9 (F) expressed under their respective promoters. All scale bars represent 10 μm.





(A) Quantification of pollen germination efficiency of in vitro germinated pollen 4 h after imbibition on pollen germination media (PGM). Each point represents one replicate with more than 150 pollen grains. Tukey's multiple comparison test was performed by one-way ANOVA, and groups of statistically significant differences are indicated with letters (p < 0.0001). (B) Representative images of *in vitro* germinated pollen 4 h after imbibition on PGM. (C) Quantification of mutant allele frequency in F1 generation of reciprocal crosses with Col-0 as female and the indicated mutants as pollen donors. The heterozygous allele of each genotype is indicated in bold. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the allele frequency from the expected 50% according to X2-test (p < 0.05).

Figure 3: The C-terminus of GEF8 and GEF9 is necessary and sufficient for protein accumulation



(A) AlphaFold2 protein structure prediction of full-length GEF8 and ROP1 (Rank 1, predicted separately), matched on the PRONE8-ROP4 double-dimer structure (PDB: 2NTY). Different angles are shown, and the terminal regions are indicated with different colours. (B) Schemes of GEF protein structure and investigated truncation constructs, with variable N and C terminal domains indicated as in (A). (C – E) Protein localisation of different mutant constructs under their respective promoters during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube emergence, arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence, and asterisks mark localisation around the sperm cells. (C) Truncation constructs mCit-GEF8^{ΔN}, mCit-GEF9^{ΔC}, mCit-GEF9^{ΔN}, and mCit-GEF9^{ΔC} in Col-0. (D) Domain swap constructs of GEF12 with alternative C-terminal domain, mCit-GEF12^{GEF8C} and mCit-GEF12^{GEF9C} in Col-0. (E) Phosphorylation site mutations of GEF8-S518 to a phospho-mimic (mCit-GEF8^{S518D}) and phospho-dead variant (mCit-GEF8^{S518A}) variant expressed in *gef8-cΔ1*. All scale bars represent 10µm.

Figure 4: GEF8 is required for polar ROP activation and Ca²⁺ signalling



(A) Localization of mCit-ROP1, mCit-ROP3, and mCit-ROP5 under their respective promoter during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube emergence, and arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence. (B) Kymographs of time-laps images corresponding to (A) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site (left) or around the pollen grain (right). The dotted line indicates timepoint 0 of pollen tube emergence; arrowheads highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (C) Localisation of the ROP activity indicator *GEF12p*::CRIB4-mCit in Col-0 and *gef8-cA1* backgrounds. (D) Kymographs of time-laps images corresponding to (C) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site (left) or around the pollen grain (right). Arrowheads highlight protein accumulations at the pollen germination site. (E) *LAT52p*::RGeco1 Ca²⁺ biosensor in Col-0 and *gef8-cA1* background. (F) Kymographs of time-laps images images corresponding to (E) along a line crossing the pollen through the germination site. Arrowhead highlights signal increase at the pollen germination site. All scale bars represent 10 μ m.

Supplemental Figure S1 : Phylogeny of all ROPGEFs of Arabidopsis thaliana and expression levels in mature pollen



(A) Phylogenetic tree of the 14 ROPGEFs of Arabidopsis thaliana after alignment of the full-length protein sequence in Jalview, using the integrated MUSCLE alignment tool and calculation of an average distance (BLOSUM62) tree. (B) Presence of transcript or protein for all 14 ROPGEFs in mature pollen, according to the ATHENA – Arabidopsis THaliana ExpressioN Atlas (Mergner et al., 2020). GEFs are sorted according to the phylogenetic tree. Levels of the transcript are shown in transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) and intensity-based absolute quantifications (iBAQ) for protein levels. NA indicates that no transcript or protein was detected in this tissue.

Supplemental Figure S2: GEF11 and GEF13 do not accumulate at the pollen germination site.



(A) Protein localisation of mCit-GEF11 under its own promoter and mCit-GEF13 under control of a *GEF12* promoter fragment during pollen germination. Timepoint 0 corresponds to the beginning of pollen tube emergence, and arrowheads mark the site of pollen emergence. (B) Example images of mCit-GEF13 under the control of a *GEF13* promoter fragment in mature pollen grains and pollen tubes grown through a cut pistil. In neither case, any signal could be detected. All scale bars represent 10µm.

Supplemental Figure S3: Genomic structure and mutant allele information of GEF8, GEF9, and GEF12



(A-C) *GEF8, GEF9,* and *GEF12* genomic structures with the corresponding gRNA sites (scissors) and T-DNA insertion sites (arrowhead) for *gef9-t1* (GK-717A10) and *gef12-t1* (SALK_103614). Promoter regions are shown as white boxes, UTRs in cyan, and exons in grey boxes. WT sequence for each gene and corresponding CRISPR/Cas9 deletion line is shown, and the size of CRISPR/Cas9 induced deletions is indicated. The gRNA target sequence is highlighted in colour with PAM in bold. The START and STOP codons are underlined. (**D**) GEF9 genomic structure with T-DNA insertion sites (arrowhead) for *gef9-t1* (GK-717A10) and the location of primers used to test the mRNA presence is indicated. The table shows the expected PCR product size with the indicated primer combination for non-spliced templates (genomic) and correctly spliced templates (CDS). The gel image shows PCR products of PCRs using the indicated primer combination on cDNA from Arabidopsis flowers of Col-0 or *gef9-t1* plants.

Supplemental Figure S4: Reciprocal crosses of GEF mutant lines



Quantification of mutant allele frequency in F1 generation of reciprocal crosses with Col-0 as female and the indicated mutants as pollen donors (left) or indicated mutants as female and Col-0 as pollen donor (right). The heterozygous allele of each genotype is shown in bold. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the allele frequency from the expected 50% according to X2-test (p < 0.05).

Supplemental Table S1: List of primers

Primer Name	Sqeuence	Primer ID
GEF8 Promoter with GG-Overhang A	aacaGGTCTCtACCTatggagatggatttcaaggcttga	oPDR076-F
GEF8 Promoter with GG-Overhang B	aacaGGTCTCaTGTTggtacgacaacgttcgcttg	oPDR076-R
GEF8 ORF_GG-Overhang C + ca	aacaGGTCTCaGGCTcaATGGTTGCAGCGTTGGAACG	oPDR077-F
GEF8 ORF_GG-Overhang D_STOP	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATTAATGCCTATCTTTGGGACTTCTAAAAC	oPDR077-R
CEEP DaltaN with ATC and STOP		oALM16-F
GET & Deitain_with ATG and STOP	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATTAATGCCTATCTTTGGGACTTCTAAAAC	oPDR77R
GEE8 DeltaC with ATG and STOP	aacaGGTCTCaGGCTcaATGGTTGCAGCGTTGGAACG	oPDR77F
GET & DeltaC_with ATG and STOP		oALM16-R
GEF9 Promoter with GG-Overhang A	aacaGGTCTCtACCTggggagacaataaaaagatcaaaagtatg	oPDR078-F
GEF9 Promoter with GG-Overhang B	aacaGGTCTCaTGTTggtaccaaaacctttcttttgttttcttc	oPDR078-R
GEF9 ORF_GG-Overhang C + ca	aacaGGTCTCaGGCTcaATGGTTCCATCGTTGGAACGA	oPDR079-F
GEF9 ORF_GG-Overhang D_STOP	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATCAATGCCTATCTTTAGGGCTCC	oPDR079-R
CEED DaltaN with ATC and STOP		oABM48-F
GEF9 Deitain_with ATG and STOP	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATCAATGCCTATCTTTAGGGCTCC	oPDR79-R
GEEQ DoltoC with ATG and STOP	aacaGGTCTCaGGCTcaATGGTTCCATCGTTGGAACGA	oPDR79-F
GET 9 DeltaO_with ATG and STOP	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATTCACCTGTGCATTGCTTCCGGGCTAA	oABM49-R
GEF12 Promoter with GG-Overhang A	aacaGGTCTCtACCTatctccttttctctgtttttttttttttttttttttttt	oPD0139-fwd
GE12 Promoter with GG-Overhang B	aacaGGTCTCaTGTTtcctggtcccttgatggcaatagag	oPD0139-rev
GEF12 Part A with GG-Overhang C	aacaGGTCTCtGGCTATGGTTCGTGCTTCGGAACA	oPD0140A- fwd
GEF12 Part A for Bsal-Site mutation	aacaGGTCTCGATCCGGTGGCAGCCTCATCTAAACCaGTCTCGAC	oPD0140A- rev
GEF12 Part B	aacaGGTCTCCGGATCCCATGACGCTGAA	oPD0140B- fwd
GEF12 Part B	aacaGGTCTCTCGCTTCTCCAGACTTACTC	oPD0140B- rev
GEF12 Part C for Bsal-Site mutation	aacaGGTCTCGCGAGAGGTCTTCGAAGAGCGAGCTGA a ACCATTTTG	oPD0140C- fwd
GEF12 Part C with GG-Overhang D	aacaGGTCTCtCTGATCAATGCCGTGCCGTTGG	oPD0140C- rev
	aacaGGTCTCtGGCTcaATGGTTCGTGCTTCGGAACA	oPDM22F
GEF IZNUE-FROME	aacaGGTCTCtGCTTGTTCCCCGCTCGATCTGC	oABM71-RA
GEE8 Cter	aacaGGTCTCaAAGCAAACTTTATTGGCTGAAGA	oABM071-R- B
	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATTAATGCCTATCTTTGGGACTTCTAAAAC	oPDR77R
GEF9 Cter	aacaGGTCTCcaAGCAATGCACAGGTGAAGAA	oABM072-R- B
	aacaGGTCTCaCTGATCAATGCCTATCTTTAGGGCTCC	oABM79R

CDICDD CEEP deletion gef0 of	aacaGGTCTCgATTGagcgaacgttgtcgtaccaGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC			
CRISPR GEF8 deletion gel8-c1	aacaGGTCTCgAAACtgtgagatacttttatagacAATCTCTTAGTCGACTCTAC	oABM01-R		
CRISPR GEF8 Pair 1 Stuttmann		oABM73-F		
Cloning	acaGAAGACtgAAACcggatagagaagtctttagCAATCACTACTTCGACTCTAGCTG	oABM73-R		
CRISPR GEF8 Pair 2 Stuttmann				
Cloning				
CRISPR GEF9 Pair 1 Stuttmann	acaGAAGACtgATTGcgtgggatgcacgaaaatgGTTTCAGAGCTATGCTGGAAACA	oABM75-F		
Cloning		oABM75-R		
CRISPR GEF9 Pair 2 Stuttmann	acaGAAGACtgATTgccttaattatgacaaatcaGTTTCAGAGCTATGCTGGAAACA	oABM76-F		
Cloning	acaGAAGACtgAAACcacatcaattctcaataatCAATCACTACTTCGACTCTAGCTG	oABM76-R		
	agtccttaaaatcgaaaacaaacgt	oABM18-LP		
gef8-c1 genotyping	ctttggagtttggaccatacgc			
	GGACATGTCGACAGAGCACA	oABM18-Int		
	acggaagcacaaaccactga	oABM19-LP		
gef9-c2 genotyping	acgacttcatgtgcacacac			
	tgcctttgcagtcgagtgtt	oABM19-Int		
	GGCACTATCAAATGCCATCAC	oFA009_LP		
Iger9-LT genotyping	TCTTTTCCATATAACGATTGAGG	oFA009_RP		
	TCAGAGAGAGGTCAAAATTGAGG	oPD0168-LP		
gef11-t1 genotyping	TACCTGCGAGATTGGTAATGG	oPD0168-RP		
	AGGAGTATCCTCTGCTCTCGC	oPDM008-LP		
get12-t1 genotyping	ATGATTGATGCCTCGATTCTG	oPDM008-RP		

Supplemental Table S2: List of cloned expression vectors

		Promoter	N-terminal Tag	ORF / CDS	C-terminal Tag	Terminator	Plant selection	Expression Vector	Bacterial selection	Plasmid
		Module A	Module B	Module C	Module D	Module E	Module F	Module Z	marker	ID
	mCit-GEF8	GEF8-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF8-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGZ003	Spec/Strep	pPDR124
	mCit-GEF9	GEF9-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF9-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGZ003	Spec/Strep	pPDR125
	mCit-GEF12	GEF12-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF12-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGZ003	Spec/Strep	pPD0304
	mSct-GEF8	GEF8-Promoter	mScarlet w/ Linker	GEF8-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Hygromycine	pGGX000	Kanamycince	pABM04
g	mSct-GEF9	GEF9-Promoter	mScarlet w/ Linker	GEF9-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Hygromycine	pGGX000	Kanamycince	pABM05
nin	mSct-GEF12	GEF12-Promoter	mScarlet w/ Linker	GEF12-ORF	Decoy	HSP18.2	Hygromycine	pGGX000	Kanamycince	pABM06
ຮັ	mCit-GEF8∆N	GEF8-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF8-ORF∆N	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM93
tor	mCit-GEF8∆C	GEF8-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF8-ORF∆C	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM94
/ec	mCit-GEF9∆N	GEF9-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF9-ORF∆N	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM76
te	mCit-GEF9∆C	GEF9-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF9-ORF∆C	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM77
en Ga	mCit-GEF12GEF8Cter	GEF12-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF12-ORF+ GEF8Cter	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM83
Gree	mCit-GEF12GEF8Cter	GEF12-Promoter	mCitrine w/ Linker	GEF12-ORF+ GEF9Cter	Decoy	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pABM84
	Gef12p::RIC4-CRIB:mCit	GEF12-Promoter	Omega element for enhaced translation	RIC4-CRIB	mCitrine w/ Linker	HSP18.2	Basta	pGGYR0	Spec/Strep	pPDM117
	Lat52::RGeco1	Lat52 promoter	Decoy	RGeco1	Decoy	HSP18.4	Hygromycine	pGGX000	Kanamycince	pFA18
	GEF8 GEF9 CRISPR	GEF8 gRNAs- pair 1	GEF8 gRNAs- pair 2	GEF9 gRNAs- pair 1	GEF9 gRNAs- pair 2	-	-	pDGE347	Spec/Strep	pABM90