Synthesis of versatile neuromodulatory molecules by a gut microbial glutamate decarboxylase
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ABSTRACT

Dysbiosis of the microbiome correlates with many neurological disorders, yet very little is known about the chemistry that controls the production of neuromodulatory molecules by gut microbes. Here, we found that an enzyme glutamate decarboxylase (BfGAD) of a gut microbe Bacteroides fragilis forms multiple neuromodulatory molecules such as γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), hypotaurine, taurine, homotaurine, and β-alanine. We evolved BfGAD and doubled its taurine productivity. Additionally, we increased its specificity towards the substrate L-glutamate. Here, we provide a chemical strategy via which the BfGAD activity could be fine-tuned. In future, this strategy could be used to modulate the production of neuromodulatory molecules by gut microbes.

INTRODUCTION

Low levels of inhibitory neurotransmitter like γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) have been associated with many different neurological disorders such as epilepsy, schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), ADHD, panic disorder, PTSD, major depressive disorder, progressive multiple sclerosis, dementia, and Alzheimer’s disease\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^12\). Besides GABA, taurine is another amino acid that is found in abundance in the brain\(^1\(^3\). Taurine is a GABA\(_A\) receptor agonist and exerts downstream effects similar to GABA\(^1\(^4\). Concentrations of taurine are altered in individuals with Alzheimer’s disease, where reduced levels of taurine are found in the brain\(^1\(^5\)-\(^2\(^1\) whereas, higher urinary excretion of taurine is seen in elderly patients with dementia\(^2\(^2\). In a separate study, gnotobiotic mouse transplanted with fecal microbiota from an Alzheimer’s patient showed lower abundance of GABA and taurine in the feces\(^2\(^3\). Based on these observations, supplementation with taurine and its analogs has been investigated in
Alzheimer’s disease models. In one such study, Homotaurine, a molecule similar to taurine is shown to restore cognitive functions in patients with Alzheimer’s disease. In addition, it was also observed that taurine reverses cognitive deficits in APP/PS1 mouse model and improves learning and memory in mice.

Studies that correlate gut microbial dysbiosis to two of the prominent neurodegenerative disorders – Dementia and Alzheimer’s disease, often show modulations in the abundance of microbes of the genus Bacteroides. Bacteroides are prominent members of the human gut and have the ability to produce GABA. All Bacteroides encode the gene for the enzyme glutamate decarboxylase (GAD). Glutamate decarboxylases (GADs) are PLP-dependent enzymes which catalyze conversion of an excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate to an inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA. However, very little is known about the chemistry of the glutamate decarboxylases encoded by Bacteroides sp.

Despite widespread prevalence of substrate promiscuity in glutamate decarboxylases (GADs) across different domains of life, substrate specificity and product formation landscape for most prokaryotic GADs, specifically GADs of Bacteroides sp. are still not clearly understood. For this reason, we explored the role of annotated GAD from Bacteroides fragilis in the production of neuromodulatory molecules like GABA, taurine and its analogs, and β-alanine. Our biochemical characterization of BfGAD shows kinetic and functional divergence of this enzyme from other studied prokaryotic GADs. Our study shows that BfGAD is not only specific to L-glutamate, but it can decarboxylate other substrates to produce multiple neuromodulatory molecules. Even within the substrate mix containing L-glutamate, we
can detect products other than GABA. This points towards the ability of this enzyme to function in a complex system with multiple substrates which can be a beneficial trait for microbes present in the human gut. Through rational protein engineering, we have evolved \textit{Bf}GAD which is capable of producing two-fold more taurine compared to the native \textit{Bf}GAD. Additionally, we evolved \textit{Bf}GAD to be more specific towards L-glutamate.

Based on our results of initial engineering with \textit{Bf}GAD, the enzyme seems resilient in nature and is able to tolerate changes to the active site very well. We think that \textit{Bf}GAD can be evolved with rational designing and engineering, either to produce various neuromodulatory molecules in specific ratios, or to synthesize a particular neuromodulatory molecule exclusively (Fig. 1a). This approach has the ability to generate variants of \textit{Bf}GAD that might provide a road to therapeutic interventions for multiple neurodegenerative disorders.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials.** Kanamycin, IPTG, GABase from \textit{Pseudomonas fluorescens}, \(\beta\)-ME (beta-mercaptoethanol), \(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate, D-glutamatic acid, L-cysteine sulfinic acid monohydrate, L-cysteic acid monohydrate, GABA (\(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid), hypotaurine, taurine, L-aspartic acid sodium salt, \(\beta\)-alanine, HEPES, Imidazole, pyridoxal 5’-phosphate monohydrate and TLC Silica gel 60 \(F_{254}\) (20 cm x 20 cm) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium L-glutamate monohydrate, Luria-Bertani Broth (LB), buffer components, Sodium chloride, Sodium acetate, ninhydrin and \(\text{NADP}^+\) disodium salt were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All restriction enzymes and competent cells of \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3) and \textit{E. coli} NEB5\(\beta\) were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB). Headspace vials were purchased from Chemglass Inc.
Experimental procedures

Gene cloning. A synthesized gene for *B. fragilis* glutamate decarboxylase (*BfGAD*) was created with the help of Genewiz from Azenta life sciences. The gene was codon optimized for expression in *E. coli* cells, which was then subcloned into a pET28a expression vector between the NdeI and HindIII restriction sites to incorporate an N-terminal hexahistidine (His$_6$) Tag. The pET28a-*BfGAD* construct was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis, restriction digestion, sanger sequencing (Genewiz), and full plasmid sequencing (Plasmidsaurus) and then transformed into *E. coli* NEB5$^{$r} and *E. coli* BL21(DE3) competent cells by heat shock. Glycerol stocks of the cells harboring the construct pET28a-*BfGAD* were stored at – 80 ºC.

Expression and purification of *BfGAD$_{WT}$* and engineered *BfGADs*. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells containing the pET28a-*BfGADs* were cultivated in LB medium containing kanamycin (50 µg/mL) with shaking (200 rpm) at 37 ºC until OD$_{600}$ reached 0.7. At this point, the *BfGAD* expression was induced with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 1 mM and growth was continued at 37 ºC for 3 hours to allow for protein expression with continuous shaking at 200 rpm. Cells were harvested via centrifugation at 4000$^g$ for 30 min at 4 ºC and cell pellets were stored at – 80 ºC. The frozen cell pellets were thawed on ice and resuspended in buffer A containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM PLP, pH 7.8. All subsequent purification steps were carried out at 4 ºC. The cells were disrupted by sonication (20000 Hz for 10 cycles of 30 seconds each altering 1 minute on ice) and centrifuged at 13500$^g$ at 4 ºC for 30 min to separate supernatant from cell debris. The supernatant was then filtered through 0.2 µm PES filter membrane.
and loaded onto a HisTrap HP column (5 ml, 1.6 x 2.5 cm, Ni Sepharose High-performance column, GE Healthcare, now Cytiva) pre-equilibrated with buffer A at 1 mL/min. The wash step was carried out by running 5-column volumes of lysis buffer A to elute contaminating proteins. BfGAD was eluted with a linear gradient from buffer A (containing 40 mM imidazole) to an elution buffer B containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 400 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM PLP, pH 7.8 at 2 mL/min. Eluted protein peaks were pooled, buffer exchanged and concentrated to a final buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.2) using Vivaspin 50 kDa MWCO filters (Cytiva). Aliquots of purified proteins were stored at –80 ºC. Engineered BfGADs were purified similarly. SDS-PAGE analysis and activity assays were performed to confirm the purity and presence of functional BfGAD proteins.

**Gel filtration chromatography with BfGAD<sub>WT</sub>**. To understand oligomeric state of BfGAD<sub>WT</sub>, gel filtration chromatography was performed at two different pH, 4.7 (50 mM sodium acetate, 150 mM NaCl) and pH 7.2 (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl) using HiPrep™ 16/60 Sephacryl<sup>®</sup> S-200 HR column. Equilibration and elution steps were carried out at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. High molecular weight (HMW) and Low molecular weight (LMW) calibration kits (Cytiva) were used for column calibration, to create a standard curve, and for molecular weight determination (Supplementary Fig. 5b, 5c).

**Activity assays.** BfGAD activity assays were performed by a coupled enzyme assay with a GABase system to measure GABA production spectrophotometrically. Briefly, BfGAD was incubated with 50 mM L-glutamate in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.7 buffer or in buffers at other pH values for one hour at 25 ºC. Enzymatic reactions were stopped by boiling samples for 15 min. These samples were then centrifuged at 6000g for 5 min.
and if necessary, dilutions were created in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.6. For GABA measurement, 75 µL of samples (either diluted or undiluted) were added to 25 µL of Gabase assay mix containing 10 mM BME, 2 mM α-ketoglutarate, 600 µM NADP+, and 30 µg (0.015U/mL) of GABase in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.6. In the GABase assay, GABA is converted to succinic semialdehyde (SSA) and then to succinate with subsequent production of NADPH which was measured at 340 nm. Using an extinction coefficient of 6,220 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 340 nm, NADPH concentrations were calculated which will provide GABA concentrations in measured samples ([NADPH] = [GABA]).

**BfGAD absorbance spectrophotometry and activity assays with pH variation.**

Spectra of BfGAD_WT were recorded from 200 nm - 800 nm in buffers at pH 4.7, 5.5, 6.7, 7.5, and 8.6 at 25 °C on Agilent Cary 3500 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. From these spectra, absorbance changes at 335 nm (enolimine) and 420 nm (ketoenamine) for enzyme bound PLP cofactor were collected. A plot of pH versus absorbance at 420 nm was generated and the curve fitting was carried out using equation (1):

\[
\frac{\text{AbsHnE} - \text{AbsE}}{\text{Abs} - \text{AbsE}} - 1 = \frac{10^{-n\text{pK}}}{10^{-n\text{pH}}} \tag{1}
\]

Where pK is the acid dissociation constant, number of protons involved in the titration is n and, AbsHnE and AbsE are the absorbances at acidic and basic pH which produce protonated and deprotonated forms of the enzymes. The initial activity assays for the BfGAD_WT were performed in buffers at pH 4.7 - 8 with GABase assay system as mentioned in the above method. Based on the results of these activity assays, all subsequent enzymatic assays were carried out at pH 4.7.

**Steady-state kinetics.** Kinetic parameters of BfGAD_WT were determined by varying BfGAD concentrations (0.5 µM-2 µM) and by varying L-glutamate concentrations (0.125...
mM-32 mM) in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.7 at 25º C. For enzyme concentration variation experiments, reaction samples with each enzyme concentration were collected at 1 min time point after starting the reaction and then stopped by boiling for 15 min. Once cooled to room temperature, these samples were analyzed for GABA content with GABase assay as mentioned above. For the substrate concentration variation experiments, 100 µL aliquots of reactions with each substrate concentration were collected at different time intervals (0.2 – 30 min). The reactions were stopped by boiling the samples for 15 minutes. Once cooled to room temperature, these samples were analyzed for GABA content with GABase assay as mentioned above. The initial velocities of various reactions were calculated by fitting data of early timepoints to a linear regression. These initial velocities were then plotted against substrate concentrations and curve fitting was carried out using equation (2).

\[ v = \frac{V_{max}[S]^h}{(k_{half})^h + [S]^h} \] (2)

Where \( v \) is the initial velocity, \( V_{max} \) is the maximum velocity, \( K_{half} \) is the concentration of substrate at which the reaction velocity is half of \( V_{max} \), \([S]\) is the substrate concentration, \( h \) is the hill coefficient.

**Generation of engineered BfGAD variants.** The pET28a-BfGAD was used as a template for the generation of engineered BfGAD variants. BfGAD\textsubscript{D104N} and BfGAD\textsubscript{F81W} were created by site-directed mutagenesis with Phusion DNA polymerase using the following primer pairs incorporating codons for the specific amino acid substitution (underlined).

For BfGAD\textsubscript{D104N}

F: 5' CCGCAATGCACGGATATTCGTTTCATTAATAGTTAATG 3'
R: 5' CATTAACTATTTAATGAAACCGAATATCCGCGCATTGCCG 3'

For BfGAD_{F81W}

F: 5' CATCCATATAGGTGTCACCCAGGTCGCCAGGTTTCAGGCGCG 3'

R: 5' CGCGCCTGAACCTGGCGACCTGGGTGACCACCTATATGGATG 3'

The variants were confirmed by gene sequencing (Genewiz) and full plasmid sequencing with Plasmidsaurus.

**CO_{2(g)}** detection by headspace gas chromatography.** All enzymatic reactions and controls were prepared in 6 mL vials and sealed prior to starting the reaction. The reactions were started by the addition of BfGAD (WT or engineered variants) with a syringe into the reaction mixture containing 50 mM substrate in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.7. These vials were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours and 48 hours. Headspace GC measurements were carried out on an Agilent 8890 gas chromatograph system equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a Hayesep Q packed column (1.8 m x 2 mm x 3.17 mm) (Agilent) operating with an Argon carrier gas (flow rate= 5 mL/min).

The oven was programmed to hold 30 °C for 6.5 min, ramp at 30 °C/min to 280 °C with a hold for 4 min for a total run time of 18.83 min. The flame ionization detector was used for the detection of CO_{2} gas with a temperature setting of 275 °C, hydrogen flow of 60 mL/min, air flow of 400 mL/min and constant makeup gas (nitrogen) at 5 mL/min. The retention time for CO_{2} gas was 12.83 min. Multiple standards of CO_{2} gas were analyzed by this method to confirm retention time before injecting reaction samples (Supplementary Fig. 15).

**Thin layer chromatography (TLC).** Silica gel plates (stationary phase) with a solvent system (mobile phase) of 3:1:1 ratio of butanol: acetic acid: H_{2}O were used to separate
reaction products from substrates. 2 µL of reaction mixtures were spotted on glass silica plates along with 10 mM of various metabolites (L-glutamate, D-glutamate, L-CSA, L-CA, L-HCA, L-Aspartate, GABA, hypotaurine, taurine, homotaurine and β-alanine) as standards. Separation via TLC was carried out at 25 °C for 3-4 hours in an above-mentioned mobile phase. Once mobile phase reached a sufficient height on the TLC plate, chromatographic separation was discontinued. Plates were treated with 0.5% ninhydrin in acetone (w/v) and heated minimally with a dryer for the color development. The TLC plate images were initially inverted via ImageJ and then intensity of each spot was quantified by a custom python code.

Liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) detection of decarboxylated products from reactions catalyzed by BfGADs (WT and engineered variants). Samples were analyzed by ESI-LC-MS/MS in positive (products) and negative ion (substrates) mode using a Thermo vanquish LC and TSQ Altis Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer. Samples were separated by gradient elution using Agilent Infinity lab Poroshell 120 HILIC-Z 2.1 x 100 mm, 2.7 µm column at 25 °C with 20 mM ammonium formate, pH 3.0 (Solvent A) and 20 mM ammonium formate in 9:1 acetonitrile: H₂O, pH 3.0 (Solvent B). Elution was initiated at 70% B for 11.5 min, followed by gradient elution from 70 to 100% B over 11.5 min, and 100% B for 4 min at a flow rate of 0.5mL/min. The precursor to product transitions of m/z [M+H]⁺152→88.1(Cysteine sulfinic acid), [M+H]⁺168→81.1(Cysteic acid), [M+H]⁺110.1→45.1(hypotaurine), [M+H]⁺126.1→44.1(taurine) were employed to monitor substrates and products.
Multiple Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses of gut microbial GADs.

All the protein sequences were obtained from NCBI. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (1.2.4) tool with default parameters to understand sequence similarities among various gut microbial glutamate decarboxylases (GADs). The phylogenetic tree was created for Bacteroides GADs using cyberinfrastructure for phylogenetic research (CIPRES). Within CIPRES, the MAFFT on XSEDE was utilized to create a separate multiple sequence alignment that goes through FastTreeMP on XSEDE to obtain the phylogenetic tree file which can be visualized and annotated with Interactive tree of life.

BfGAD dimer generation with the AlphaFold2 and visualization, structural alignments, and mutagenesis via PyMOL. The dimer structure of wildtype BfGAD was generated using AlphaFold2 and visualized using PyMOL. Variants were then created using the mutagenesis wizard in PyMOL. Rotamers for the altered residues were selected based on their best fit to the position of wild-type residues in the BfGAD protein. All structural alignments were carried out using PyMOL align method, involving five iteration cycles and a cutoff of 2 Å.

RESULTS

Glutamate Decarboxylases (GADs) are prevalent in the Bacteroides genus. A bioinformatics analysis showed that many species of the Bacteroides genus harbor genes annotated as GAD (Supplementary Fig. 1). We focused on a GAD (BfGAD) from the model gut microbe, Bacteroides fragilis. Multiple sequence alignment performed with gut microbial GADs including BfGAD revealed that multiple catalytic residues, either predicted to be involved in substrate binding (highlighted in cyan) or cofactor
(PLP) binding (highlighted in yellow) are highly conserved (Supplementary Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{44,53} Moreover, in a phylogenetic analysis of \textit{Bf}GAD with other gut microbial GADs, we see that the annotated glutamate decarboxylases from \textit{Alistipes putredinis} and \textit{Parabacteroides merdae} are closely related to \textit{Bf}GAD (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Wild-type \textit{Bf}GAD (**\textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT})** forms an oligomer. The recombinant wild-type \textit{Bf}GAD (**\textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT}) with an N-terminal His-tag was purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. A protein band with an approximate expected mass of a \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} monomer (calculated mass 56 KDa) was observed with SDS PAGE, while a band indicating a tetrameric oligomer was observed with a native PAGE (Supplementary Fig. 4). Oligomeric composition of the \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT}, as determined by gel filtration chromatography, is presented in Supplementary Fig. 5a as chromatograms for the \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} at an acidic pH (blue) and a neutral pH (red). Peak 1 was in the void volume of the column indicating protein aggregates. There were two peaks representing two different oligomeric compositions (2 and 3) observed at each pH. Peak 2 was broad and corresponded to approximately 351 KDa, indicating a hexamer. Peak 3 was the major peak and exhibited an approximate molecular weight between a dimer and a tetramer at pH 4.7, whereas at pH 7.2 the peak 3 appeared to be closer to a dimer than a tetramer (Supplementary Fig. 5, a-c, 180 KDa at pH 4.7 and 150 KDa at pH 7.2) for \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT}.

Our data indicated that \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} is an oligomeric enzyme which can either be a dimer or a tetramer. Furthermore, the addition of external PLP did not change the oligomeric state of the \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} (Supplementary Fig. 5d).

**PLP cofactor bound to the \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} undergoes pH dependent tautomeric changes.** Spectral studies with \textit{Bf}GAD\textsubscript{WT} revealed that the enzyme was purified in its
holo form with the covalently bound PLP cofactor. There were two absorption maxima observed for the \( BfGAD_{WT} \) – 335 nm and 420 nm (Fig. 1b). These absorption maxima result from two tautomeric forms of the enzyme bound PLP cofactor, enolimine form at 335 nm and ketoenamine form at 420 nm\(^{54-56}\). The proportions of these tautomers vary depending on the pH (Fig. 1b). At lower pH, the species absorbing at 420 nm was more dominant, whereas at higher pH, 340 nm absorbing form became more prevalent. With increase in pH from 4.7 to 8.6, there was a decrease in 420 nm absorbing species with concomitant increase in 340 nm absorbing species (Fig. 1b). These changes in the absorbances of the bound PLP cofactor as the function of the change in pH with \( BfGAD_{WT} \), have been seen with other microbial GADs\(^{57-59}\). The analysis of the pH versus absorbance curve for the 420 nm wavelength indicated that the transition in absorbance in response to pH variation is influenced by the involvement of multiple protons (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Previously with \textit{E. coli} GAD, Tramonti et al. and Capitani et al. showed that the less hydrated active site at neutral pH drove the formation of enolimine tautomer (340 nm) whereas more polar active site at acidic pH predominantly produced ketoenamine tautomer (420 nm)\(^{43,44}\). Additionally, the alterations in cofactor absorbance corresponding to different pH were in close agreement with the \( BfGAD_{WT}'s \) activity profiles measured across the same range of pH values. Particularly, at pH 4.7, where \( BfGAD_{WT} \) with a bound PLP predominantly absorbed at 420 nm, the enzyme also exhibited its maximum catalytic activity (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Additionally, enzymatic activity was found to be minimal at a pH other than 4.7 (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Therefore, all subsequent activity assays were carried out at pH 4.7.
Evidence for allosteric regulation of \( BfGAD_{WT} \). The activity assays conducted with varying \( BfGAD_{WT} \) concentrations exhibited a linear relationship between the initial reaction velocities and enzyme concentrations (Fig. 1c). From the plot of enzyme concentration variation, we found an optimal \( BfGAD_{WT} \) concentration for subsequent kinetic assays. Interestingly, while varying L-glutamate concentrations, the plot of substrate concentration versus initial velocity displayed a sigmoidal curve instead of a typical hyperbolic dependence, suggestive of an allostery in \( BfGAD_{WT} \). This is an example of a homotropic allosteric regulation. From the fit of this plot with the hill equation (eq. 2), the analyzed \( K_{0.5} \) (\( K_{\text{half}} \)) was \( 8.6 \pm 0.4 \) mM and \( V_{\text{max}} \) was \( 0.86 \pm 0.04 \) mM/min with a hill coefficient of 1.7 (Fig. 1d). \( (K_{\text{half}})\text{h} \) is also known as \( K_{\text{prime}} \) (\( K' \)), which is equivalent to \( K_{m} \) from a hyperbolic kinetics of non-allosteric enzymes, when \( h=1 \). However, in cooperative systems where \( h \neq 1 \) like presented here for \( BfGAD_{WT} \) then, \( K' \) (\( K_{\text{half}}\text{h} \)) no longer represents the substrate concentration required to achieve half of maximal velocity.

Engineered \( BfGAD \) variants exhibit structural and catalytic perturbations. The earliest microbial GAD structures were solved for \( E. coli \) GADs (GADA, PDB ID 1XEY and GADB, PDB ID 1PMM) (Supplementary Fig. 7)\(^{44,53}\). Both structures were solved with the bound ligand in the active site. Based on the interactions of the acetate ion in the GADB active site and glutarate (substrate analog) in the GADA active site (Supplementary Fig. 7b), we selected residues for the evolution of the \( BfGAD_{WT} \) via rational design\(^{44,53,60}\). Two such residues that are present in the active sites of both \( EcGADs \) are phenylalanine 63 (corresponds to F81 in \( BfGAD_{WT} \)) and aspartate 86 (corresponds to D104 in \( BfGAD_{WT} \)) that make H-bonding interactions with one of the
carboxylates of the substrate analog and an acetate ion\textsuperscript{44,53}. Specifically, in \textit{E. coli} GAD\textit{B} ligand bound structure, the carboxylate group of acetate received a hydrogen bond from the amide nitrogen of the F63 (F81 \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}}) and a side chain carboxylate of D86 (D104 \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}}) of the neighboring subunit\textsuperscript{44}. Whereas in \textit{E. coli} GAD\textit{A} ligand bound structure, one of the carboxylate group of the glutarate (substrate analogue) forms H-bond with the amide nitrogen of F63 (F81 \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}}) and with the side chain carboxylate of D86 (D104 \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}}) of the neighboring subunit\textsuperscript{53}. These residues are completely conserved in annotated GADs from prominent gut microbes with the exception of \textit{Eggerthella lenta} that harbors shorter GAD with the absence of a conserved phenylalanine (Supplementary Fig. 2). Most microbial GADs are functionally active as dimers, with residues from both monomers contributing to the active site\textsuperscript{44,53,57,61}. Recently, structure of glutamate decarboxylase from \textit{Bacteroides thetaiotamicron} (\textit{BtGAD}), bound with a substrate analog (glutarate) was solved\textsuperscript{57}. With these available structures, we aligned the substrate analog (glutarate) bound structures of \textit{EcGADA} (PDB ID 1XEY) and \textit{BtGAD} (PDB ID 7X51) with \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} dimer created with AlphaFold2 (Fig. 2). Based on these structural alignments we engineered two enzymes by introducing single amino acid alterations at two separate positions to test if the substrate preference of the \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} can be evolved. These changes were Asp104Asn (D104N) and Phe81Trp (F81W). Figure 2a and 2b illustrate the locations of these residues within the active site of \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} (green). These residues are depicted in close proximity to glutarate and the cofactor PLP bound in the active site of \textit{EcGADA}, which has been superimposed on the \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} dimer (Fig. 2a, 2b). Similarly, Fig. 2c and 2d display aligned \textit{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} and \textit{BtGAD} structures with glutarate and PLP bound in
the active site of BtGAD. In addition to BtGAD\textsubscript{WT}, the structures of variants, BtGAD\textsubscript{D104N} (Fig. 2a, 2c) and BtGAD\textsubscript{F81W} (Fig. 2b, 2d) were then superimposed with ligand bound structures of EcGAD and BtGAD.

The overall active site architecture of BtGAD is mostly consistent with that of EcGAD and BtGAD; however, notable positional shifts were identified among the residues of interest. Specially, aspartic acid (D104) showed a considerable difference in EcGAD versus BtGAD active site. Additionally, we detected changes in the H-bonding interactions between the substrate analog and residues of interest when substitutions were made to create engineered BtGAD variants. We were able to purify both variants, BtGAD\textsubscript{D104N} and BtGAD\textsubscript{F81W} with the same conditions as the BtGAD\textsubscript{WT}. Both of these variants were active and purified as holoenzymes (PLP bound form). Additionally, we collected UV-Vis spectra of BtGAD\textsubscript{WT} and both variants at pH 4.7 (Fig. 2e). While each of the three enzyme preparations exhibits absorbance maxima at both 335 nm and 420 nm, the relative amounts of these two tautomeric forms differ across the enzymes. Furthermore, we measured initial velocities in the presence of the native substrate L-glutamate via progress curve analysis for engineered BtGADs along with BtGAD\textsubscript{WT}. With that, we detected variations in the decarboxylation reaction rates across these enzymes (Fig. 2f). BtGAD\textsubscript{D104N} showed 1.7-fold increase whereas BtGAD\textsubscript{F81W} showed 2.5-fold decrease in the initial velocities compared to the BtGAD\textsubscript{WT} for decarboxylation reactions with L-glutamate. These observed differences in catalysis between the wild-type and engineered variants of the BtGAD due to the structural perturbations resulting from amino acid substitutions at the active site, reinforce the role of F81 and D104 in establishing interactions with the substrate.
Wild-type and engineered *Bf*GADs can decarboxylate substrates other than L-glutamate. As mentioned above, the purified *Bf*GAD<sub>WT</sub> is able to catalyze the conversion of L-glutamate to GABA. To test if it can utilize the D-form of the glutamate as a substrate, we conducted activity assays with D-glutamate using the GABase assay system and TLC. Our results show that both the wild-type and engineered *Bf*GADs were unable to decarboxylate D-glutamate (Supplementary Fig. 8). Most microbial GADs studied so far show high substrate specificity towards L-glutamate<sup>61-64</sup>. However, there are examples of archaeal GADs that prefer L-aspartate over L-glutamate<sup>65,66</sup>. An archaeal GAD from *P. horikoshii* also shows decarboxylation activity with L-cysteate<sup>65</sup>. In addition to archaeal GADs, certain mammalian glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) homologs are known to decarboxylate one or more of the non-native substrates such as L-aspartate, L-cysteate, and L-cysteine sulfinate<sup>67,68</sup>. Moreover, proteins similar to GADs have been demonstrated to form taurine in some marine microbes<sup>69</sup>. However, there are limited systematic studies investigating the production of taurine, its analogs, and β-alanine using L-CA (cysteate), L-CSA (cysteine sulfinate), L-HCA (homocysteate), and L-aspartate as substrates (Scheme 1), specifically for gut microbial GADs. Based on two key observations, we hypothesized that gut microbial GADs might utilize these molecules as substrates: 1. *E. coli* GAD can accept the phosphonated form of L-glutamate as a substrate<sup>70</sup>. and 2. Archaeal and eukaryotic GADs exhibit diverse substrate specificities, enabling them to catalyze the decarboxylation reactions of non-native substrates<sup>45,65,66,69,71</sup>. If *Bf*GAD<sub>WT</sub> or engineered *Bf*GADs are able to decarboxylate substrates other than the native substrate L-glutamate, then the detection of the common product CO<sub>2</sub>
will be a positive test for the utilization of other substrates (Scheme 1). For this reason, we decided to use a headspace GC to measure the CO$_2$ evolved from reactions catalyzed by either $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ or engineered $Bf$GADs during the decarboxylation of various substrates. Fig. 3 shows data collected for $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ and engineered $Bf$GADs with five different substrates via headspace GC. Panels 3a, 3c, and 3e demonstrate chromatograms with the CO$_2$ peaks, visible immediately after 12.8 min, produced by the reactions of $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$, $Bf$GAD$_{D104N}$, and $Bf$GAD$_{F81W}$ respectively with five different substrates. Whereas 3b, 3d, and 3f depict CO$_2$ peak areas from the decarboxylation reactions of the substrates after 24 h incubation with either $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ or engineered $Bf$GADs. Here, $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ showed significant production of CO$_2$ generated from the decarboxylation of both L-glutamate (blue) and L-CSA (red) (Fig. 3a, 3b). This is the first indication to our knowledge of a gut microbial GAD, specifically a GAD from $Bacteroides$, that can utilize L-CSA as a substrate. In addition to L-CSA, $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ was capable of decarboxylating L-HCA (orange) and L-CA (green) to small extents as evidenced by the small CO$_2$ peaks (Fig. 3a) in the chromatogram and small peak areas for evolved CO$_2$ (Fig. 3b). Additionally, $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ was able to decarboxylate L-aspartate (purple) (Fig. 3a, 3b). For $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$, if CO$_2$ production peak area with the native substrate L-glutamate is considered to be 100% then we observed 54%, 3%, 6% and 20% of CO$_2$ production with L-CSA, L-CA, L-HCA, and L-Asp respectively within 24 h which increased to 75% (L-CSA), 6% (L-CA), 7% (L-HCA), and 28% (L-Asp) within 48 h compared to the native substrate L-glutamate (Supplementary Fig. 9a).

For $Bf$GAD$_{D104N}$, CO$_2$ production is comparable to the $Bf$GAD$_{WT}$ while using native substrate L-glutamate. Compared to the peak area of CO$_2$ production for L-glutamate
(native substrate), we observed around 16%, 11%, 3% and 7% CO₂ production from L-CSA, L-CA, L-HCA, and L-aspartate respectively within 24 h (Fig. 3c, 3d) that increased to 18% for both L-CSA and L-CA, 7% for L-HCA and slightly decreased with L-aspartate within 48 h (Supplementary Fig. 9b). Based on the data collected with BfGADWT and BfGADD104N, residue Asp104 (D104) from the neighboring monomer is playing a crucial role in accommodating various substrates. This engineered enzyme was also able to decarboxylate L-CSA, L-HCA, and L-Asp in addition to the native substrate L-glutamate but less efficiently than the BfGADWT, specifically the activity towards L-CSA was significantly impacted. It is likely that the negative charge of the side chain carboxylate from D104 is important for the specificity towards alternative substrates L-CSA, L-HCA and L-Asp but not for the native substrate L-glutamate. As a result, when the charge was removed due to the substitution of Asp (D) to Asn (N) at position 104, the catalysis with these alternate substrates was affected, but not with the native substrate L-glutamate. Interestingly, we noticed a 2-2.5-fold increase in the production of taurine with this evolved enzyme compared to the BfGADWT (Fig. 3d), indicating that the substitution from D to N was favorable for taurine production.

The second engineered enzyme, BfGADF81W retained its activity with the native substrate L-glutamate as well but as observed in the initial velocity experiments (Fig. 2f), it was slower compared to BfGADWT. If the CO₂ peak area of BfGADWT or BfGADD104N with the native substrate L-glutamate is considered to be 100%, BfGADF81W showed the peak area of around 93-94% with the same concentration of the substrate. Additionally, there was minimal decarboxylation activity of BfGADF81W with L-CA, L-HCA, and L-ASP. However, this evolved enzyme still retained considerable
decarboxylation activity with L-CSA. It is interesting to note that a bulky substitution of tryptophan (W) at position 81, instead of phenylalanine (F) (Fig. 2b, 2d), made the enzyme more specific towards the native substrate L-glutamate. Based on the CO₂ evolution captured by our GC data, the substrate preference for \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) was L-Glu > L-CSA > L-Asp > L-HCA > L-CA that changed to L-Glu > L-CSA ≥ L-CA > L-Asp > L-HCA for \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \). Whereas for \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{F81W}} \), only L-glutamate and L-CSA showed decarboxylation and L-glutamate was a much better substrate than L-CSA.

**Wild-type and evolved \( \text{BfGADs} \) produce multiple neuromodulatory molecules.** The generation of CO₂ in reactions facilitated by \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) and its engineered variants prompted us to detect and identify products resulting from decarboxylation with various substrates. Both \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) and \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \) produced decarboxylated products – hypotaurine, taurine, homotaurine, and β-alanine from substrates L-CSA, L-CA, L-HCA, and L-Asp respectively (Fig. 4, a-d, Supplementary Fig. 10, a-d). Intensity plots generated from TLC plates showed that \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) decarboxylated L-CSA and L-Asp to produce hypotaurine and β-alanine more efficiently than \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \) (Fig. 4a, 4c). However, \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \) was better at decarboxylating L-CA to taurine than \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) (Fig. 4b). We do not observe much of decarboxylated product taurine with \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) from L-CA decarboxylation during a 24 h incubation, whereas \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \) shows a significant production of taurine during this time frame (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 10e). While our CO₂ evolution experiments have shown that \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) can catalyze L-CA decarboxylation in 24 h, the failure to observe decarboxylated product on TLC may be attributed to the low concentration of taurine produced, making it undetectable on a TLC plate. Both enzymes \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{WT}} \) and \( \text{BfGAD}_{\text{D104N}} \) were able to catalyze the
decarboxylation of L-HCA to homotaurine to a very small extent (Fig. 4d). We also verified that the product from the L-Asp decarboxylation was β-alanine and not L-alanine. Although, the R_{f} (retention factor) values were almost similar for β-alanine and L-alanine, the staining with the ninhydrin differs for these molecules. β-alanine exhibited a purple color whereas L-alanine shows a brick red color with ninhydrin stain (Supplementary Fig. 10c). We did not find any activity of BfGADF_{81W} with L-CA, L-Asp, and L-HCA and were unable to detect taurine, β-alanine, and homotaurine even after 48 h incubation (Supplementary Fig. 11a, 11b). These results reinforce our observations from CO_{2} evolution experiments. Additionally, despite the detection of CO_{2} during the decarboxylation activity of BfGADF_{81W} with L-CSA (Fig. 3f), we could not observe detectable hypotaurine spots on the TLC plate (Supplementary Fig. 11a). We hypothesize that the amount of hypotaurine produced by BfGADF_{81W} may not be sufficient to be detected via TLC, as a higher concentration of molecules (in mM range) are needed for TLC analysis.

*BfGAD_{WT} and BfGAD_{D104N} generate neuromodulatory molecules at differential abundances, even when presented with a mixed substrate pool.* In a complex gut environment, the organism will encounter multiple substrates simultaneously. To investigate how *BfGAD_{WT}* functions in such a complex environment, we conducted competition assays between various substrates. To this end, we tried to detect the presence of decarboxylated products in mixtures containing the native substrate L-glutamate with a specific alternate substrate. Our data with *BfGAD_{WT}* indicate that when the concentration ratio of the native substrate to the alternative substrate was 1:1, GABA was predominantly seen as the primary decarboxylated product (Supplementary
Fig. 12f). However, when the concentration ratios were changed to 1:5 (L-Glu with L-CSA/L-Asp) and 1:10 (L-Glu with L-CSA/L-CA/L-Asp), a gradual increase over time in the formation of the alternative decarboxylated products - hypotaurine, taurine, and β-alanine was observed (Fig. 5-7, ). For the \textit{BfGAD}_{WT} catalyzed decarboxylation reactions of L-CSA and L-Asp, the resulting products hypotaurine and β-alanine could be observed when these substrates were in 5-fold excess to the native substrate L-glutamate. However, the accumulation of products hypotaurine and β-alanine becomes significant only after 9 hours (for β-alanine) – 24 h (for hypotaurine) (Supplementary Fig. 12d, 12e). In contrast, when L-CSA and L-Asp are used in 10-fold excess of L-glutamate, the resulting products, hypotaurine and β-alanine (peaks highlighted in pink) started accumulating significantly much earlier around 3 hours (Fig. 5, 7). We did not observe the accumulation of taurine even when 10-fold excess of L-CA was mixed with L-glutamate in the \textit{BfGAD}_{WT} catalyzed reaction (Fig. 6). However, as mentioned earlier, we were able to detect CO₂ in the same time frame (48 h) in the reaction catalyzed by \textit{BfGAD}_{WT} when L-CA was provided as the sole substrate (Supplementary Fig. 9a). The inability to detect taurine might be because of the low concentrations produced under the competitive environment of the mixed substrate pool. Unlike \textit{BfGAD}_{WT}, \textit{BfGAD}_{D104N} was able to decarboxylate and accumulate taurine (peaks highlighted in pink) to a small extent when L-CA is mixed with L-glutamate at a 10-fold excess concentration, specially between 24-48 h (Fig. 6). Interestingly, in all mixed substrate experiments, we observed maximum accumulation of the native product GABA (peaks highlighted in blue) within 3 h. The intensity peaks showed no further increase after 3 h time point. This shows that even in the presence of other substrates the native activity of L-glutamate
decarboxylation occurred at the highest velocity for all BfGADs compared to the decarboxylation reactions of other substrates.

Additionally, the decarboxylated products hypotaurine and taurine were analyzed using LC-ESI-MS/MS in addition to TLC to confirm their presence and mass in mixed substrate assays where L-glutamate to L-CSA or L-CA ratios were 1:10. The qualitative analysis of these molecules was conducted using MRM mode by running mixed standards (Supplementary Fig. 13). Figure 8a depicts the presence of the product hypotaurine and the remaining L-CSA substrate in the mixed substrate reactions with a 1:10 ratio of L-glutamate:L-CSA catalyzed by BfGADWT. Figure 8b depicts the presence of taurine and remaining L-CA in the mixed substrate reactions with a 1:10 ratio of L-Glutamate:L-CA catalyzed by BfGADD104N. We did not analyze the native substrate and product, L-glutamate and GABA in these samples using LC-MS/MS because the presence of these molecules was confirmed by both TLC and GABase assay prior to LC-MS/MS analysis.

**DISCUSSION.**

Prior research has implicated gut microbial contributions to the production of $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid (GABA), specifically from *Bacteroides* genus\textsuperscript{36,37}. GABA, a neurotransmitter, is modulated in many neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's and dementia, where lower GABA levels have been consistently reported\textsuperscript{12}. Microbes from the genus *Bacteroides* are known to be fluctuated in individuals afflicted by Alzheimer's and dementia\textsuperscript{30-33}. Due to the prevalent nature of *Bacteroides* in the gut and the modulation of Bacteroides during Alzheimer's, we decided to understand the
mechanism by which GABA is produced in these organisms. For this reason, an annotated glutamate decarboxylase from *B. fragilis* (*BfGAD*) was selected as a candidate enzyme representing annotated GADs in all *Bacteroides*.

Most of the studied microbial GADs are oligomeric which include dimeric, tetrameric or hexameric states\(^ {44,57,61,72-74}\). It is known that the functional GAD unit is a dimer as residues from both monomers make an intact active site and play an important role during the catalysis. Therefore, an oligomeric composition that is not an even number would be unusual in this context. Our gel filtration chromatography data with pH 4.7 buffer gave a molecular weight of the protein that is higher than a dimer but lower than a tetramer. We hypothesize that the protein likely exists in a dynamic equilibrium between these two states, with a tendency towards a tetrameric state. We observed an intermediate molecular weight possibly due to the inability to resolve dimeric and tetrameric states completely. However, at pH 7.2, there might be a shift in this equilibrium favoring a more dimeric state which is observed with a molecular weight closer to that of a dimer than a tetramer. This shift in oligomeric states has been observed previously in *E. coli* GAD that undergoes significant changes in oligomeric forms upon shift in the pH. Thus, purified *BfGAD\(_{WT}\)* behaves similarly in the oligomeric composition to other characterized prokaryotic GADs. Our data suggests that there might be a dynamic equilibrium between dimeric and tetrameric forms of *BfGAD\(_{WT}\).*

Unlike oligomeric conformations, the kinetic parameters of *BfGAD\(_{WT}\)* vary from previously investigated prokaryotic GADs\(^ {44,57,61,63,72,73}\). We find that *BfGAD\(_{WT}\)* is an allosteric enzyme, displaying a positive cooperativity with the substrate L-glutamate. This happens when binding of substrate on one site or monomer of the protein
promotes the binding of additional substrate to the other sites or monomers of the protein, where instead of a hyperbolic dependence, a sigmoidal curve is observed for the plot of initial velocity vs. substrate concentration. This phenomenon has not been seen with any other prokaryotic GAD. The physiological consequences of this cooperativity in *Bf*GAD need to be explored further. However, cooperative binding and adaptability in the allosteric enzymes helps amplify the enzyme's response to changes in substrate concentration, making it more sensitive to the physiological substrate concentrations and conditions.

Although the kinetic parameters are different for the *Bf*GAD<sub>WT</sub> compared to other studied microbial GADs, there are prominent structural similarities among all these GADs. The AlphaFold model of *Bf*GAD predicts active site architecture that is very similar to other prokaryotic GADs. Particularly, catalytic residues in the active site are positioned similar to those in *Ec*GAD and *Bt*GAD, suggesting that corresponding residues in *Bf*GAD might maintain similar interactions with ligands. While the hydrogen bonding interactions of the residues with the substrate analog glutarate are predicted to be within the expected limits of H-bond distances, in the superimposed structures of *Ec*Gad and *Bf*GAD<sub>WT</sub>, there are differences in the hydrogen bond lengths when amino acid substitutions are made in the active site. The changes in these H-bonding interactions could potentially be involved in some capacity in accommodating or excluding alternative substrates in the active site of the engineered *Bf*GADs to evolve new activity or specificity.

In contrast to GADs from *Bacteroides* sp., microbial GADs from various other genera have been widely characterized<sup>44,61,72,75,76</sup>. Most of these studies show that
many annotated microbial glutamate decarboxylases prefer L-glutamate as a substrate. However, substrate promiscuity has been seen in GADs from different domains of life. For example, *E. coli* GAD was able to convert a phosphinic analog of glutamate to a phosphinic analog of GABA\(^70\). In addition, human and other mammalian GADs are known to catalyze decarboxylation of substrates other than L-glutamate. Specifically, sulfinic acid and sulfonic acid derivatives of alanine (known as cysteine sulfinic acid/CSA and cysteic acid/CA respectively) are known alternative substrates for mammalian GADs\(^69,71,77\). The decarboxylation of these molecules by mammalian GADs generate hypotaurine and taurine, from the respective sulfinic or sulfonic acids. Additionally, mammals have a couple of other *de novo* pathways for the production of taurine and hypotaurine. The primary pathway involves the enzyme cysteine sulfinic acid decarboxylase (CSAD) that generates taurine and its intermediate hypotaurine by decarboxylating either L-cysteic acid (CA) or L-cysteine sulfinic acid (CSA)\(^71,78\). In addition to hCSAD, mammalian GADL1 (glutamic acid decarboxylase like 1) enzyme that has very high sequence similarity with hCSAD, is capable of producing taurine\(^67,79\). Taurine, a sulfur containing non-proteinogenic amino acid whose production is controlled primarily by the enzyme cysteine sulfinic acid decarboxylase (CSAD)\(^80,81\). However, except for a few marine microbes the major *de novo* pathway for taurine formation with the help of CSAD has not been seen in other prokaryotes\(^69\). Interestingly, in these microbes CSAD genes are present in the operon containing cysteine dioxygenase (CDO) enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of L-cysteine to L-cysteine sulfinic acid (L-CSA) which then can be converted to hypotaurine and taurine (Supplementary Fig. 14). CDOs are not present in the members of the human gut.
microbiome possibly due to the hypoxic and anaerobic conditions of the gut. Despite an extensive bioinformatics search, we did not find any gut microbial genes annotated as CSAD (cysteine sulfinic acid decarboxylase). Due to the lack of the annotated CSAD enzymes responsible for the de novo taurine biosynthesis, we investigated the role of BfGAD in the formation of taurine and its derivatives in addition to GABA.

Apart from taurine, mammalian GADL1 is also able to generate β-alanine from L-aspartate. β-alanine is a precursor for the dipeptide beta-alanyl-L-histidine in humans, commonly known as carnosine. Carnosine is found in muscles and brain tissues at high concentrations. In microbes, β-alanine is a precursor for coenzyme A (CoA) biosynthesis, which is an important molecule in various metabolic pathways. Both carnosine and β-alanine show protective effects in individuals with cognitive deficits and Alzheimer’s. Moreover, higher serum concentrations of β-alanine prevent dementia. Considering the structural similarities between L-glutamate and L-aspartate and the ability of some GADs to use L-aspartate as a substrate, we examined the possible role of BfGAD in the production of β-alanine via the decarboxylation of L-aspartate. The neuromodulatory molecules, GABA, taurine and β-alanine generated by glutamate decarboxylases or enzymes similar to GADs, have the ability to reverse cognitive deficits in neurodegenerative disorders like dementia and Alzheimer’s. This prompted us to understand the modulation of these molecules by the members of the human gut microbiome.

Our results show that the BfGADWT is promiscuous and is able to decarboxylate four additional substrates structurally similar to L-glutamate to produce hypotaurine, taurine, homotaurine, and β-alanine. There are distinct enzymes in microbes such as
aspartate-decarboxylase (A1DC) and aspartate 4-decarboxylase (A4DC) that catalyze decarboxylations of L-aspartate to produce β-alanine\textsuperscript{91,92} and L-alanine\textsuperscript{93,94} respectively. While the genome of \textit{B. fragilis} has genes annotated for both these enzymes, it is interesting to observe that the glutamate decarboxylase from \textit{B. fragilis} still shows decarboxylation activity towards L-aspartate to produce β-alanine. Additionally, prokaryotic A1DC and eukaryotic A1DC show evolutionary divergence where the former uses pyruvoyl cofactor whereas the latter uses PLP cofactor\textsuperscript{95,96}. Thus, \textit{BfGAD} wild-type and variants harbor an activity that is mostly seen in eukaryotic organisms.

To understand the factors that drive substrate specificity in \textit{BfGAD}_{WT} and to evolve enzymes that potentially have switched substrate preferences or specificity, we chose two active site residues that are known to make interactions with bound ligands\textsuperscript{44,53}. Headspace GC was used for the detection of evolved CO\textsubscript{2} which is the common decarboxylation product for all enzyme catalyzed reactions (wild-type and engineered enzymes). Absolute quantification of CO\textsubscript{2(g)} was challenging due to its high solubility at acidic pH where we conducted our enzymatic reactions. In these conditions, the CO\textsubscript{2} produced through the reaction might still be in a soluble form as a dissolved CO\textsubscript{2}. So, headspace GC was utilized as a primary tool to identify alternate substrates by allowing detection of CO\textsubscript{2} peak that served as a positive indication of enzymatic decarboxylation of the substrate molecules. From the identification provided by the GC experiments, subsequent analysis was carried out with TLC to detect corresponding decarboxylated products from the multiple substrates. Our headspace GC data reinforces our TLC data in most cases. Both engineered enzymes \textit{BfGAD}_{D104N} and \textit{BfGAD}_{F81W} retain preference for L-glutamate as a substrate. However, their preferences
for alternate substrates are different than the $BfGAD_{WT}$. Additionally, significant spectral
perturbations are observed with evolved GADs indicating that the local environment of
the cofactor binding site is changed due to those amino acid substitutions. With this, we
show that there might be a de novo pathway in gut microbes to make taurine and
$BfGAD_{WT}$ is able to produce multiple neuromodulatory molecules.
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Fig. 1: Characterization of BfGADWT. a Possible role of gut microbes in producing neuromodulatory molecules. b Spectra of PLP cofactor bound to the holoenzyme BfGADWT captured at various pH, depicting tautomers of internal aldimine at 335 nm and 420 nm. C) Plot of initial velocity as a function of BfGADWT concentrations (n=4). D) Plot of initial velocity vs. L-glutamate concentrations (n=2). The solid line is a sigmoidal fit to the data.
Fig. 2: Structural and catalytic perturbations of engineered BfGADs. a Aligned structures of EcGAD (1XEY, pink), BfGADWT (model, green) illustrating the position of the residue D104* (*residue from the neighbouring monomer), and BfGAD_{D104N} depicting the point substitution D104N* (model, gray). b Aligned structures of EcGAD (1XEY, pink), BfGADWT (model, green) illustrating the position of the residue F81, and BfGAD_{F81W} depicting the point substitution F81W (model, gray). c Aligned structures of BtGAD (7X51, purple), BfGADWT (model, green) illustrating the position of the residue
D104* (*residue from the neighbouring monomer), and BfGAD_{D104N} depicting the point substitution D104N* (model, gray). d Aligned structures of BfGAD (7X51, purple), BfGAD_{WT} (model, green) illustrating the position of the residue F81, and BfGAD_{F81W} depicting the point substitution F81W (model, gray). The dashed lines indicate hydrogen bond interactions of the amino acid residues with substrate analog, glutarate (GUA) where pink bonds are for interactions between EcGAD-GUA, yellow bonds are for interactions between BfGAD-GUA, and purple bonds are for interactions between BtGAD-GUA. e Spectra of PLP cofactor bound to the holoenzymes BfGAD_{WT} and engineered variants, depicting tautomers of internal aldimine at 335 nm and 420 nm. f Progress curves depicting initial velocities for reactions catalyzed BfGAD_{WT} and variants (n=3).
Fig. 3: Wild-type and engineered BfGADs can decarboxylate multiple substrates.

Chromatograms showing the CO₂ peaks obtained from the decarboxylation reactions of multiple substrates after 24 h incubation with a BfGAD<sub>WT</sub>, c BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub>, and e BfGAD<sub>F81W</sub> using headspace GC (n=3, only one representative CO₂ peak is depicted for every reaction). The CO₂ peak areas are depicted for the decarboxylation of various substrates after 24 h incubation with b BfGAD<sub>WT</sub>, d BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub>, and f BfGAD<sub>F81W</sub> (n=3). Various substrates are presented as specific colors which are consistent in all panels.
where L-glutamate is blue, L-CSA is red, L-Asp is purple, L-HCA is orange and L-CA is green.
Fig. 4: Production of multiple neuromodulatory molecules by Wild-type and evolved BfGADs. Intensity analysis of TLC plates depicting intensity plots for each lane. The peak size is proportional to the intensity of the sample spot on the TLC plate.

A Production of hypotaurine from the decarboxylation of L-CSA catalyzed by BfGAD<sub>WT</sub> and BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub> in 24 h as indicated by TLC intensity analysis. For intensity plots, peaks highlighted in pink are for the substrate L-CSA and peaks highlighted in blue are for the product hypotaurine. b Production of taurine from the decarboxylation of L-CA catalyzed by BfGAD<sub>WT</sub> and BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub> in 24 h as indicated by TLC intensity analysis. For intensity plots, peaks highlighted in pink are for the substrate L-CA and peaks highlighted in blue are for the product taurine. c Production of β-alanine from the decarboxylation of L-ASP catalyzed by BfGAD<sub>WT</sub> and BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub> in 24 h as indicated by TLC intensity analysis. For intensity plots, peaks highlighted in pink are for the substrate L-ASP and peaks highlighted in blue are for the product β-alanine. d Production of homotaurine from the decarboxylation of L-HCA catalyzed by BfGAD<sub>WT</sub> and BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub> in 24 h as indicated by TLC intensity analysis. For intensity plots, peaks highlighted in pink are for the substrate L-HCA and peaks highlighted in blue are for the product homotaurine. The original TLC plates are shown in Supplementary Fig. 10.
Fig. 5: *BfGAD*<sub>WT</sub> and *BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub>* generate hypotaurine when presented with a mixture of L-glutamate and L-CSA. Intensity analysis of TLC plates for various timepoints of decarboxylation reactions catalyzed by *BfGAD*<sub>WT</sub> and *BfGAD<sub>D104N</sub>* for mixed substrates where the concentration ratio of L-glutamate to L-CSA is 1:10. Standards of L-glutamate, L-CSA, GABA, and hypotaurine were included as references. Production of hypotaurine (peaks highlighted in pink) can be seen as the peak area increases over time. GABA peaks are highlighted in blue, peaks for the substrate L-CSA are highlighted in beige, and peaks for the substrate L-glutamate are in brown. Spots visible on TLC plates from top to bottom show corresponding intensity peaks from left to right.
Fig. 6: \textit{BfGAD\textsubscript{D104N}} generate taurine when presented with a mixture of L-glutamate and L-CA. Intensity analysis of TLC plates for various timepoints of decarboxylation reactions catalyzed by \textit{BfGAD\textsubscript{WT}} and \textit{BfGAD\textsubscript{D104N}} for mixed substrates where the concentration ratio of L-glutamate to L-CA is 1:10. Standards of L-glutamate, L-CA, GABA, and taurine were included as references. Production of taurine (peaks highlighted in pink) can be seen as the peak area increases over time for \textit{BfGAD\textsubscript{D104N}} catalyzed reactions. GABA peaks are highlighted in blue, peaks for the substrate L-CA are highlighted in beige, and peaks for the substrate L-glutamate are in brown. Spots visible on TLC plates from top to bottom show corresponding intensity peaks from left to right.
Fig. 7: \(BfGAD_{WT}\) and \(BfGAD_{D104N}\) generate \(\beta\)-alanine when presented with a mixture of L-glutamate and L-aspartate. Intensity analysis of TLC plates for various timepoints of decarboxylation reactions catalyzed by \(BfGAD_{WT}\) and \(BfGAD_{D104N}\) for mixed substrates where the concentration ratio of L-glutamate to L-aspartate is 1:10. Standards of L-glutamate, L-aspartate, GABA, and \(\beta\)-alanine were included as references. Production of \(\beta\)-alanine (peaks highlighted in pink) can be seen as the peak area increases over time. The increase over time for \(BfGAD_{D104N}\) is very clear from 3 h to 9 h. Due to the differences in the background intensity of the TLC plate in areas containing 3 h and 9 h samples vs areas containing 24 h, 30 h and 48 h samples from \(BfGAD_{D104N}\) catalyzed reaction, the increase is the intensity peak is not uniform. GABA peaks are highlighted in blue, peaks for the substrate L-aspartate (L-ASP) are highlighted in beige, and peaks for the substrate L-glutamate are in brown. Spots visible on TLC plates from top to bottom show corresponding intensity peaks from left to right.

Figure 8: Hypotaurine and Taurine detection via LC-MS/MS from \(BfGAD_{WT}\) and \(BfGAD_{D104N}\) catalyzed mixed substrate reactions. a Ion chromatograms of product hypotaurine and the remaining L-CSA substrate in the mixed substrate reactions with a
1:10 ratio of L-glutamate:L-CSA catalyzed by \textit{Bf}GAD_{WT}. \textbf{b} Ion chromatograms of taurine and remaining L-CA in the mixed substrate reactions with a 1:10 ratio of L-Glutamate:L-CA catalyzed by \textit{Bf}GAD_{D104N}.

\textbf{Scheme 1.} Predicted substrates and products of \textit{Bf}GAD catalyzed decarboxylations.