## Transgene-free hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells from human induced pluripotent stem cells.

Laurence Guyonneau-Harmand<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Bruno L'Homme<sup>4</sup>, Brigitte Birebent<sup>3</sup>, Christophe Desterke<sup>5,6</sup>, Nathalie Chevallier<sup>3,7,8</sup>, Loïc Garçon<sup>1,2</sup>, Hélène Lapillonne<sup>1,2,9</sup>, Marc Benderitter<sup>4</sup>, François Delhommeau<sup>1,2</sup>, Thierry Jaffredo<sup>10</sup>\*, Alain Chapel<sup>1,2,4</sup>\* and Luc Douay<sup>1,2,3,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR\_S938 CDR Saint-Antoine, Prolifération et Différenciation des Cellules Souches, F-75012 Paris, France.

<sup>2</sup> INSERM, UMR\_S938, Prolifération et Différenciation des Cellules Souches, F-75012 Paris, France.

<sup>3</sup> EFS Ile de France, Unité d'Ingénierie et de Thérapie Cellulaire, Créteil, F-94017, France.

<sup>4</sup> IRSN, PRP-HOM, SRBE, Laboratoire de recherche en régénération des tissus sains irradiés (LR2I), F-92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France.

<sup>5</sup> University Paris Sud 11, UFR Medecine, Villejuif FRANCE

<sup>6</sup> INSERM Unit UMS-33, bioinformatics plateform, Villejuif FRANCE

<sup>7</sup> Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale, INSERM U955-E10, Créteil, France,

<sup>8</sup> Université Paris Est, Faculté de Medecine, Créteil, France

<sup>9</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital St Antoine/Trousseau, Service d'Hématologie Biologique, F- 75012 Paris, France.

<sup>10</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS UMR7622, Inserm U 1156, IBPS,

Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement; 75005 Paris

\*Correspondence to: thierry.jaffredo@upmc.fr; alain.chapel@irsn.fr

#### **Introductory paragraph:**

The successful production of Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells (HSPCs) from human pluripotent sources is conditioned by transgene delivery<sup>1-5</sup>. We describe here a dedicated and tractable one step, GMP-grade, transgene-free and stroma-free protocol to produce HSPCs from human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs). This procedure, applied to several sources of hiPSCs with equal efficiency, is based on a directed differentiation with morphogens and cytokines to generate a cell population close to nascent HSPCs or their immediate forerunners i.e., hemogenic endothelial cells<sup>6-9</sup>. Following engraftment into immunocompromised recipients, this cell population was proved capable of a robust myeloid, lymphoid and definitive red blood cell production in sequential recipients for at least 40 weeks. Further identification of the repopulating cells show that they express the G protein–coupled receptor APELIN (APLNR) and the homing receptor CXCR4. This demonstrates that the generation of *bona fide* HSPCs from hiPSCs without transgenes is possible and passes through an early endo-hematopoietic intermediate. This work opens the way to the generation of clinical grade HSPCs for the treatment of hematological diseases and holds promise for the analysis of HSPC development in the human species. While in standard hiPSC differentiation protocols, CD34<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>+</sup> hematopoietic cells appeared from bursting Embryoid Bodies (EBs) at day 10 until day 14<sup>1,5,10,11</sup>, here D17 EBs remained compact and spherical without burst therefore assessing a dramatic delay in differentiation (Fig. 1a). These culture conditions, reproducibly applied to three individual hiPSC lines, derived using different reprogramming protocols demonstrated the robustness of the method.

To determine the point of hemogenic endothelial cell (EC)/early HSPC commitment, we analyzed EBs by qRT-PCR on D3, 7, 9, 13, 15, 16 and 17 for the expression of 49 endothelialand hematopoietic-specific genes, with CD34<sup>+</sup> cord blood (CB) HSPCs as a reference (Supplementary Table 1). Hierarchical clustering (Fig. 1b, and Supplementary Fig. 1) revealed two main groups, one associated with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs and another one with D3 to D17 EBs. The latter displayed two distinct clusters: the early EBs (D3 to D13) and the late EBs (D15 to D17). Further analysis of the qRT-PCR identified D13 as the point of EC commitment based on (VEGFR2/FLK1) mRNA expression and D16 CD309 as hemogenic endothelial commitment/EHT based on RUNX1 mRNA expression (Fig. 1c) in keeping with developmental studies<sup>12</sup>. Early HSPC commitment was overt from D17 testified by the expression of ITGA2 (Integrin alpha-2), CEBPA (CCAAT enhancer binding protein alpha), the transcription factors c-MYB and SCL, this latter exhibiting a bimodal curve in keeping with its role on hemangioblast and hematopoietic commitment respectively<sup>13</sup>, the TPO receptor MPL (Fig 1c). Flow cytometry indicated a decrease in CD309 (EC marker) expression from D13 to D17 and an increase of ITGA2, CKIT and MPL (early HSPC markers) expressions (Fig. 1d) in keeping with the gRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1c).

We next evaluated the endothelial and hematopoietic potential of D15 to D17 EBs using dedicated *ex vivo* functional tests (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 2). D15 EBs displayed a

strong endothelial-forming potential since they generate endothelial colony-forming cells (CFCs) (Fig. 2a1), pseudo-microtubules (Fig. 2a2) and endothelial-like cells (Fig. 2a3)<sup>14</sup>, but lacked hematopoietic-forming capacity, being unable to generate clonogenic colonies and displaying a low frequency of long term culture-initiating cells (Supplementary Table 2). In contrast D17 EBs lacked endothelial potential but showed an increased hematopoietic capacity (Fig. 2a4, a5), confirming hematopoietic commitment within this period.

D16 cells were probed *in vivo* by subcutaneous transplantation in Matrigel (growth factor reduced) plug with or without human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) into immunocompromised Foxn1<sup>-/-</sup> (nude) mice (Fig. 2b)<sup>15</sup>. Two weeks after transplantation, both human vascular and hematopoietic differentiation was found. Human vascular structures (Fig. 2c, d, e), made of human von Willebrand factor<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 2d) and CD31<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 2e) cells were detected in the graft seeded with D16 cells associated with (/) hMSCs. qRT-PCR revealed the expression of hVEGFR2, hENG (ENDOGLIN), hPECAM and hVE-CADHERIN in the D16 cells/hMSCs grafts and, as expected, in the endothelial progenitor cells/hMSCs grafts (Supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, D16 cells/hMSCs grafts also expressed the CD45 antigen and human beta, gamma and epsilon globin transcripts, while D16 cell grafts alone expressed only human epsilon globin transcript disclosing a block of maturation (Supplementary Fig. 2). D16 EBs thus displayed a balanced endothelial-hematopoietic pattern in keeping with our *ex vivo* results.

Based on the newly-formed hematopoietic capability disclosed at D17, we transplanted  $4 \times 10^5$  D17 cells intravenously into 30 sublethally irradiated (3.5 gray) 8-week-old immunocompromised mice for 20 weeks followed by a challenging secondary transplantation in similarly-treated immunocompromised recipients for 20 additional weeks and compared it

systematically to CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 3a). Hematopoietic reconstitution quantified by the surface expression of hCD45, hCD43 and hCD34 (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 3b-1)<sup>16</sup> was evident in 30/30 primary recipients and quantitatively comparable to that of CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 3b, c-e, j) with 20.3+/-2.9 % of hCD45<sup>+</sup> cells in total mouse BM mononucleated cells, i.e., more than 200 times the threshold of 0.1% usually considered as positive for human hematopoietic engraftment in NSG mice<sup>17</sup>, and 12.2+/-1.5 % of hCD43<sup>+</sup> and 7.29+/-1.0 % of hCD34<sup>+</sup>. hCD45<sup>+</sup> BM cells harbor several hematopoietic lineages including B and T lymphoid (hCD19<sup>+</sup> and hCD3<sup>+</sup> respectively) and myeloid (hCD14<sup>+</sup>) (Supplementary Fig. 4a-d) whereas hCD45<sup>-</sup> BM cells harbor hCD235a<sup>+</sup> erythroid progenitors/precursors (Supplementary Fig. 4a-b, e-f). Sorted hCD45<sup>+</sup> blood cells displayed the same multilineage pattern (Supplementary Fig. 5a) indicating a peripheralization of the grafted cells comparable to results obtained with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs. Their human origin was confirmed (n=30/30) by qRT-PCR using human-specific primers (Supplementary Fig. 4g.). A human-specific clonogenic hematopoietic assay on BM cells from the first recipient revealed an overall frequency of 17.5+/-4.3 clones out of 10<sup>4</sup> total BM cells (Fig. 3f) distributed into CFU-GEMM, BFU-E and CFU-GM colonies (Fig. 3g1, 2, 3) Cytospin analysis revealed mature macrophages, histiomonocytes, myelocytes and erythroblasts (Fig. 3h1, 2, 3), 7.10<sup>6</sup> BM cells from the primary recipient were challenged in a secondary (n=30) (Fig 3d, e and Supplementary Fig. 3f-i, k) and eventually a tertiary (n=3) recipient (Supplementary Fig. 3l). Human CD45<sup>+</sup> cells represented 12.6+/-3.9 % of the mononucleated BM cells at 20 weeks (Fig. 3d), indicating a sustained reconstitution capacity. Multilineage engraftment was found in 30/30 secondary mice (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig. 6a-e) and was comparable to the pattern obtained on secondary CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs transplants (Supplementary Fig. 3f-i, k and Supplementary Fig. 6). The

human CFCs cloning efficiency was 5.5+/-3.1 % in  $10^4$  total mouse BM cells, pointing to a robust and prolonged self-renewal potential (Fig. 3f-h). The human origin of the engrafted cells was confirmed as above (Supplementary Fig. 3g).

To ensure the functionality of the grafted cells, we analyzed the ability of the human erythroid precursors from mouse BM to undergo hemoglobin switching *in vivo* and tested the phenotype and the functionality of T cells. Cells from both primary and secondary recipients generated human erythroid progenitors displaying high amounts of  $\beta$  (respectively 39.51+/-4.95% and 36.61+/-5.86%) and  $\gamma$  globin (respectively 57.49+/-3.95% and 61.39+/-4.86%) while  $\epsilon$  globin was dramatically reduced to respectively 3.0+/-1.2% and 2.1+/-1.1% of total globin (Fig. 3i), a hallmark of definitive erythrocytes. Peripheral blood hCD3<sup>+</sup> T cells displayed high amounts of TCR $\alpha\beta$  (Fig. 3j) and low amounts of TCR $\gamma\delta$  similar to thymic hCD3<sup>+</sup> cells (Supplementary Fig. 5b) reminiscent of normal T lymphopoiesis. B and T lymphopoiesis was revealed in spleen CD45<sup>+</sup> cells by the expression of TCR $\beta$  and hCD19 and were found similar to CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs grafts (Supplementary Fig 5b). Thymus hCD3<sup>+</sup> T cells, tested on their ability to expand *ex vivo*, measured by CSFE-labeling, under hCD3 and hCD28 stimulation (Fig. 3k) displayed a high expansion capacity after 5 days, thereby demonstrating T cells functionality.

To further identify the reconstituting population, we focused on APLNR related to  $ECs^{18}$  and HCs from human embryonic stem cells<sup>19</sup> and to the homing receptor CXCR4<sup>20,21</sup>. Differentiating EBs displayed an enhanced APLNR and CXCR4 expression from D7 to D17 with the emergence of a double stained population accounting for 32.1% of the cells at D17 (Fig 4a). Grafting efficiency at 20 weeks was directly proportional to the number of APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig 4b). Moreover, only APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells reconstituted hematopoiesis after 20 weeks with 6.6+/-1.9 % hCD45<sup>+</sup> mononucleated cells, 3.4+/-2.5 % hCD43<sup>+</sup> and 1.1+/-0.4 % hCD34<sup>+</sup> in 6/6 grafted

mice (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. 7a) and displayed multilineage reconstitution (Supplementary Fig. 7b-c), Importantly, D17 APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells did not harbor any CD45<sup>+</sup> cell indicating that reconstitution was not harbored by hCD45<sup>+</sup> progenitors (Supplementary Fig. 7d). In contrast, APLNR<sup>-</sup> cells failed to significantly engraft in 4/4 mice with 0.08+/-0.01 % hCD45<sup>+</sup> cells in mouse BM (Figure 4c, Supplementary Fig 7a). The APLNR<sup>+</sup> fraction also exhibited a homogeneous population of ENG<sup>+</sup>/TIE<sup>+</sup>/CKIT<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 4d) described to enhance definitive hematopoiesis in mice<sup>22</sup>. We compared the molecular profiles of APLNR<sup>+</sup> and APLNR<sup>-</sup> cells to that of D15 and D17 EBs, to hiPSCs and to control CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs through the expression of the set of 49 genes (Supplementary Table 3). Principal component analysis (PCA) of the 49 mRNAs as variables and the six cell populations as observations revealed that the first component likely corresponded to the factor "hematopoietic differentiation" (44.9% of the variance) (Fig. 4e). Aiming to reveal the traits involved in the grafting potential, we compared by PCA the APLNR<sup>+</sup>, D17 and CB HSPC populations to the APLNR<sup>-</sup> and hiPSC populations. The third component that accounted for 19.23% of the variance segregated two groups differing by their grafting potential (Fig. 4f). A statistical SAM test which measures the strength of the relationship between gene expression and a response variable pointed out 8 genes (FDR<10%) significantly up-regulated in the group unable to graft, among them endothelial genes as TEK, PECAM, and KDR (Fig. 4g).

Collectively, we show that the generation of long-term multipotent HSPCs supporting hematopoietic reconstitution and self-renewal *in vivo*, passes through an early differentiated cell expressing APLNR more likely an endothelial cell undergoing EHT or a newly formed HSPC. Since this study has been performed under GMP-grade conditions, it may be envisioned that pluripotent stem cells may become a prioritized source of cells for HSPC transplantation.

#### **Figure Legends**

# Fig. 1: Phenotypic characterization of hiPSC-derived endo-hematopoietic differentiation *ex vivo*.

(a) Experimental scheme. HiPSCs were differentiated into EBs over 17 days with the continuous presence of growth factors and cytokines. EBs were characterized at different time points using q-PCR and flow cytometry. Images depicted representative EBs at D13 and 17 respectively.

(b) Hierarchical clustering summarizing the expression of the set of 49 genes characteristics of the endothelium, hemogenic endothelium and hematopoietic cells with time in D3, D7, D9, D13, D15 and D17 EBs and in CB CD34<sup>+</sup> HSPCs.

(c) Q-PCR patterns of genes representative of the EHT balance from D13 to D17 EB differentiation. For each gene, the fold change is the mean +/- SEM of 6 experiments

(d) Flow cytometry analysis of human CD309, ITGA2, MPL and CKIT expression at D13 and D17 of EB culture.

#### Fig. 2: Functional endothelio-hematopoietic profiling between D15 and D17.

(a) *Ex vivo* tests probing the presence of endothelial (1-3) and hematopoietic (4-5) progenitors in EBs with time. Dissociated D15-17 EBs generate (1) CFC-ECs, (2) pseudo-microtubules, (3) EC-like cells capable of several passages, (4) CFC, and (5) LTC-ICs.

(b) Experimental scheme for *in vivo* tests to probe the endothelial capacity of D16 cells.

(c) D16 cells/hMSCs plug section. Masson's trichrome staining.

(d) D16 cells/hMSCs plug section. Human von Willebrand factor<sup>+</sup> cells (blue) immunostaining.

(e) D16 cells/hMSCs plug section. Human CD31<sup>+</sup> immunostaining (red). Cell nuclei are counterstained by DAPI.

#### Fig. 3: In vivo engraftment of D17 EBs in immunocompromised mice.

(a) Experimental design.

(b) Representative flow cytometry analysis of human vs mouse CD45<sup>+</sup> cell engraftment in a BM primary recipient.

(c-d) Engraftment of hCD34<sup>+</sup> hCD43<sup>+</sup> and hCD45<sup>+</sup> HSPCs in primary (c) or secondary (d) mouse BM 20 weeks post graft. Data are the mean +/- SEM.

(e) Human hematopoietic lineage distribution in representative secondary recipients. Cells were gated on hCD45<sup>+</sup> expression for hCD33, hCD19 and hCD3 whereas hCD235a was analyzed on whole BM cells. Data are the mean +/- SEM.

(f) Clonogenic hematopoietic tests on BM cells isolated from primary and secondary recipients. Frequency of CFU-GM, BFU-E and CFU-GEMM colonies.

(g) Representative colonies of CFU-GEMM (1), BFU-E (2) and CFU-GM (3) from primary and secondary BM cells.

(h) Cytospins. May Grünwald-Giemsa staining of cells isolated from clonogenic tests performed on primary and secondary recipients. Mature macrophages (1), histiomonocytes (2), myelocytes (2) and erythroblasts (3).

(i) Human globin expression from CB CD34<sup>+</sup> HSPCs erythroid culture, from BM primary and secondary recipients and BFU-E from BM primary recipients. Data are mean +/- SEM.

(j) Maturation of human T cells. Peripheral blood stained with antibodies against hTCR  $\alpha\beta$  and hTCR  $\gamma\delta$ , the cells are first gated on hCD3 and analyzed for TCR expression.

(k) Functionality of human T cells. The whole thymus population is CFSE labeled at D0 and gated on hCD3<sup>+</sup> expression (green). At D5, the unstimulated population is red while the stimulated parent population is blue.

#### Figure 4: Functional and molecular characterization of the APLNR<sup>+</sup> population.

(a) Flow cytometry analysis of APLNR and CXCR4 expression from D7 to D17 EB culture.

(b) Correlation between the percentage of APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells in the graft to those of hCD45<sup>+</sup> cells in the NOD-SCID BM primary recipients, 18weeks post-graft.

(c) Engraftment capacities of the APNLR<sup>+</sup> (n=6, red dots) and APNLR<sup>-</sup> (n=4, grey triangles) populations. Cells containing the reconstitution potential are within the APLNR<sup>+</sup> population. Data are expressed as the mean +/- SEM percentages of human engraftment, 18 weeks after transplant.

(d) Combinatorial flow cytometry analysis of the APLNR<sup>+</sup> population using CD45, TIE, ENG and CKIT anti-human antibodies.

(e) PCA with the set of 49 mRNAs as variables and the six cell populations as observations. PC1 versus PC2 score plot. The PC1 dimension likely corresponds to the trait « hematopoietic differentiation » which accounts for 44.9% of the variance. HiPSCs are segregated from the other populations on the second component which accounts for 24% of the variance.

(f) PCA with the set of 49 mRNAs as variables and the populations endowed or not with grafting potential. The PC3 dimension which accounts for 19.23% of the variance segregates the two groups.

(g) Heat map of the genes permitting the segregation of the two groups in (f).

#### **Materials and Methods:**

#### hiPSC amplification

The study was conducted using three different hiPSC lines: the FD136-25 (skin primary fibroblasts), reprogrammed with retroviral vectors and Thomson's combination (endogenous expression of Oct4, Sox2, Nanog and Lin28); the Pci-1426 and Pci-1432 lines (peripheral blood mononuclear cells-Phenocell) reprogrammed with episomes (Sox2, Oct4, KLF, cMyc). hiPSCs were maintained on CellStart (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) in TESR2 medium (Stem Cell Technologies, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and the cells were passaged 1:6 onto freshly coated plates every 5 days using standard clump passaging with TRYple select (Invitrogen).

#### **EB** differentiation

EB differentiation was induced as previously described. After 24h, cells were transferred into differentiation medium (Lapillonne et al., 2010) containing 22 ng/mL of SCF, 20 ng/mL of TPO, 300 ng/mL of FLT3, 22 ng/mL of BMP4, 200 ng/mL of VEGF, 50 ng/mL of IL3, 50 ng/mL of IL6, 5 ng/mL of IL1, 100 ng/mL of GCSF, 50 ng/mL of IGF1 (PeproTech, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France). Medium was changed every other day.

#### **Colony assays**

At the indicated times,  $1 \times 10^5$  dissociated EBs or  $3 \times 10^4$  cells from xenotransplanted recipient BM were plated into 3 mL of complete methylcellulose medium in the presence of SCF, IL-3, EPO and GM-CSF (PeproTech, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France). As G-CSF also stimulates mouse progenitors, it was replaced by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF).

Aliquots (1 mL) of the mix were distributed into one 30 mm dish twice and maintained in a humidified chamber for 14 days. Colony-forming Cells (CFC) were scored on day 14.

#### Long-term culture-initiating cell assays

Long-term culture-initiating cell (LTC-IC) assays were performed as described previously (*35*), 15–100,000 cells/well on day 17 for the EBs and on day 0 for the control CD34+. Absolute LTC-IC counts corresponded to the cell concentrations, yielding 37% negative wells using Poisson statistics.

#### Pseudo-microtubules and EPC-like cells

For Pseudo-microtubules formation, cells were transferred onto growth factor reduced Matrigel (Corning) and culture in EGM2 medium (Lonza).

For EPC-like cells generation, cells were first plated on gelatin and cultured in EBM2 (Lonza) and split several times, after the first passaged the gelatin was no longer mandatory.

#### **Flow cytometry**

Staining of BM cells or dissociated EBs was performed with  $2x10^5$  cells in 100 µL staining buffer (PBS containing 2% FBS) with 5:100 dilution of each antibody, for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Data acquisition was performed on a Becton Dickinson Canto II cytometer.

#### In vivo analyses of angiogenesis potential

Foxn1-/- nude mice (Charles River, L'Abresle, France) were housed in the IMRB animal care facility. All experiments and procedures were performed in compliance with the French Ministry of Agriculture regulations for animal experimentation and approved by the local ethics committee.

In vivo assessment of the endothelial and hematopoietic potentials were probed on 9 nude mice.

1.750 10<sup>6</sup> D16 single cells or hEPCs and 1.750 10<sup>6</sup> hMSCs were mixed with 100µl of Matrigel phenol red free and growth factor reduced (Corning) and subcutaneously injected into the back of nude mice (two different plugs/ mouse). The controls were performed similarly but with 3.5 10<sup>6</sup> hMSCs or D16 single cells or hEPCs; for each condition n=3. Two weeks later, mice were sacrificed, the matrigel plug dissected out and cut into two parts. One part was processed for paraffin sectioning. Sections were deparaffinized, hydrated and stained whether with Masson's trichrome, a three-color protocol comprising nuclear staining with hematoxylin, cytoplasmic staining with acid fuchsin/xykidine ponceau and collagen staining with Light Green SF (all from VWR); or whether with an anti human Von Willebrand factor antibody (Dako), staining was developed with histogreen substrate (Abcys) and counterstained with Fast nuclear red (DakoCytomation), dehydrated and mounted, or wether with hCD31 (R&D system) as primary antibody and donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) as secondary antibody and DAPI and mounted with fluoromount G.

#### Sorting of APLNR positive cells

Cells were stained with the antibody hAPJ-APC clone 72133 (R&D systems) as described above. Sorting was carried out on a Moflo ASTRIOS Beckman Coulter apparatus and the purity was 98.1% APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells.

#### Mouse transplantation

NOD/SCID-LtSz-scid/scid (NOD/SCID) and NOD.Cg-Prkdc<sup>scid</sup>Il2rg<sup>tm1Wjl</sup>/SzJ (NSG) (Charles River, L'Abresle, France) were housed in the IRSN animal care facility. All experiments and procedures were performed in compliance with the French Ministry of Agriculture regulations for animal experimentation and approved by the local ethics committee.

Mice, 6-8 weeks old and raised under sterile conditions, were sublethally irradiated with 3.5 Grays from a 137Cs source (2.115 Gy/min) 24 h before cell injection. To ensure consistency between experiments, only male mice were used. Prior to transplantation, the mice were temporarily sedated with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine. Cells ( $4 \times 10^5$  per mouse) were transplanted by retro-orbital injection in a volume of 100 µL using a 28.5 gauge insulin needle. A total of 147 mice were used in this study.

For the engraftment potential of the D17 cells on the three different hiPSC lines:

86 NSG mice were used as followed: 30 primary recipients, 30 secondary recipients and 26 as control.

48 NOD-SCID mice were used as followed: 20 primary recipients and 16 secondary recipients, 3 tertiary recipients and 9 as control.

For the engraftment potential of APLNR<sup>+</sup> and APLNR<sup>-</sup> population: 10 NOD-SCID mice were used and 3 NOD-SCID as control.

#### Assessment of human cell engraftment

Mice were sacrificed at week 12, 18 or 20. Femurs, tibias, liver, spleen and thymus were removed. Single cell suspensions were prepared by standard flushing and aliquots containing  $1 \times 10^6$  cells were stained in a total volume of 200 µL staining buffer.

Samples were stained for engraftment assessment with the following markers: hCD45 clone J33, hCD43 clone DFT1, hCD34 clone 581 (Beckman Coulter) and hCD45 clone 5B1, mCD45 clone 30F11 (Miltenyi)

The BM of three mice were pooled to allow hCD45 microbead enrichment (Miltenyi), the multilineage was assessed using the following human markers : hCD3 clone UCHT1, hCD4 clone 13B8.2, hCD8 clone B9.11, hCD14 clone RMO52, hCD15 clone 80H5, hCD19 clone J3-119, hCD20 clone B9E9, hCD43 clone DFT1, hCD34-APC, hCD71 clone YDJ1.2.2 (all from Beckman Coulter antibodies, Brea, USA), CD45 clone 5B1 (Miltenyi), CD235a clone GA-R2 (Becton-Dickinson).

The blood samples of three mice were pooled to allow hCD45 microbead sorting (Miltenyi). The multilineage potential was assessed using the following human markers : hCD3 clone UCHT1, hCD4 clone 13B8.2, hCD8 clone B9.11, hCD14 clone RMO52, hCD15 clone 80H5, hCD11B Bear1, hCD19 clone J3-119, hCD20 clone B9E9, hIGM clone SA-DA4 (all from Beckman Coulter antibodies, Brea, USA).

Non-injected mouse BM was used as a control for non-specific staining.

Compensation was performed by the FMO method with anti-mouse Ig and data were acquired on a BD Canto II cytometer.

#### T-cell maturity and functionality assay

The presence of TCR  $\alpha\beta$  and TCR  $\gamma\delta$  in peripheral blood was assessed by flow cytometry using the following human markers : hCD3 clone UCHT1 (positive gating), TCR  $\alpha\beta$  clone IP26A and TCR  $\gamma\delta$  clone IMMU510 (all from Beckman Coulter antibodies, Brea, USA).

Thymus and spleen cells were isolated, CFSE labelled and seeded in cell culture media complemented or not with hCD3 and hCD28 (Beckman Coulter both  $1\mu$ g/ml). After 5 days, cells were harvested and stained with anti-hCD3 clone UCHT1 and analyzed on a BD Canto II cytometer. FlowJo analysis software was used to gate on CD3<sup>+</sup> T-cells and generate the overlaid histogram plots.

#### Assessment of the APLNR cell safety

Three sub-lethally irradiated NOD/SCID mice were subcutaneously injected each with 3 million APLNR positive cells. No teratoma was found after 2 months follow-up according to FDA guidelines.

In addition, no tumor was macroscopically detected in any mouse after analysis of the organs (140/140 mice) or after microscopic analysis of different tissues (brain, lungs, kidneys, BM, liver and gut) (140/140 mice).

#### **Quantitative PCR**

Total mRNA was isolated with the RNA minikit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). mRNA integrity was checked on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Massy, France). cDNAs were constructed by reverse transcription with Superscript (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). PCR assays were performed using a TaqMan PCR master mix (Life Technologies) and specific primers (Applied BioSystems, Carlsbad, USA) for selected genes (see table below), together

with a sequence detection system (QuantStudio<sup>™</sup> 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System, Life Technologies). In each sample the fluorescent PCR signal of each target gene was normalized to the fluorescent signal of the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

The human origin of the mRNAs from mouse BM was assessed by measuring hCD45, hCD15, hMPO, hITGA2 and hGAPDH. From CFCs post grafting and globin type expression in the mouse BM, we measured beta, gamma and epsilon globins using Taqman probes.

$\alpha$ $(1)$	1 1 11	4 1 0	$111 10004^{+}$
Controls were cult	tured erythroblasts g	generated from c	cord blood CD34
			014 01004 020

Pluripotency	Hs01053049_s1	SOX2	Endothelial gene	s	Hs00945146_m1	TEK
genes	Hs00153408_m1	MYC			Hs00231079_m1	RUNX1
	Hs00702808_s1	LIN28A		Ī		KDR
	Hs04260366_g1	NANOG			Hs01574659_m1	NOS3
	Hs00358836_m1	KLF4				
	Hs00742896_s1	POU5F1	Human housekeep	ing genes	Hs00357333_g1	Human beta
Mouse	Mm999999915_g1	Murine			_	actin
housekeeping		GAPDH			Hs02758991_g1	Human
genes						GAPDH
Hematopoietic	Hs00924296_m1	MPO	Hs01076122_m1	DNTT	Hs00269972_s1	CEBPA
genes	Hs01106466_s1	FUT4	Hs00172743_m1	RORC	Hs01115556_m1	MITF
	Hs00174029_m1	cKIT	Hs00962186_m1	CD3G	Hs01029175_m1	NFIB
	Hs01116228_m1	ITGA2B	Hs00169777_m1	PECAM	Hs04188695_m1	НОРХ
	Hs00766613_m1	APLNR	Hs00231119_m1	GATA2	Hs00171406_m1	HLF
	Hs00161700_m1	STIL	Hs00959427_m1	EPOR	Hs01070488_m1	RBPMS
	Hs00231119_m1	GATA2	Hs00610592_m1	KLF1	Hs00171569_m1	HMGA2
	Hs00995536_m1	BMI1	Hs01085823_m1	GATA1	Hs00223161_m1	PRDM16
	Hs00941830_m1	NCAM1	Hs04186042_m1	RUNX1	Hs01017441_m1	MEIS1
	Hs04189704_m1	PTPRC	Hs00176738_m1	MATK	Hs00414553_g1	NKX2-3
	Hs00174333_m1	CD19	Hs00180489_m1	MPL	Hs00971097_m1	MLLT3
	Hs00162150_m1	SPIB	Hs04334142_m1	FLI1	Hs00925052_m1	GATA3
	Hs00958474_m1	IKZF1	Hs00268388_s1	SOX4	Hs01128710_m1	IRF8
	Hs01851142_s1	RAG2	Hs00193527_m1	C-MYB	Hs00256884_m1	HOXB4
	Hs00266821_m1	HOXA9	Hs01554629_m1	ERG	Hs00610592_m1	KLF1
	Hs00931969_m1	RORA	Hs01547250_m1	LEF1	Hs04334142_m1	FLI1
	Hs00959427_m1	EPOR	Hs00176738_m1	MATK	Hs00180489_m1	MPL

#### Statistical analysis

All statistics were determined with R Software 3.1.1 (2014-07-10) (R Core Team, 2013), INGENUITY and SAM Software. Data are represented with hierarchical clustering and PCA.

#### References

H. Lapillonne *et al.*, Red blood cell generation from human induced pluripotent stem cells: perspectives for transfusion medicine. Haematologica. 95:1651-1659 (2010).

#### **Aknowledgments:**

We would like to thank Franck Chiappini for help in statistical analysis, Charles Durand for expert assistance with heat map and PCA analysis, Stéphane Viville for providing iPS line, Georges Tarlet, Christine Linard, Marianne Gervais-Taurel and Dhouha Darghouth for their technical support, Rima Haddad for her scientific support and Sophie Gournet for excellent drawing assistance. This work was supported by "Direction Générale de l'Armement" via the ASTRID/ANR program, the Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS) via the APR 2013 and the association "Combattre La Leucémie". These studies were supported by a joint grant from Agence Nationale pour la Recherche/California Institute for Regenerative Medicine (ANR/CIRM 0001-02) for TJ.

#### **Author contributions**

L.G.H. and L.D. designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A.C. designed the study. L.G.H. performed experiments with assistance of B.L., B.B. and N.C.; H.L., C.D. and

L.G. helped to analyze the results; T.J. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. M.B. and


F.D. helped design the study; A.C. and L.D. supervised the study.


Competing financial interests:


L.G.H., C.D., T.J., L.G., L.D. and A.C. submitted a patent on the use of APLNR+ cell population to improve hematopoietic graft.


#### References

- 1. Doulatov, S., *et al.* Induction of multipotential hematopoietic progenitors from human pluripotent stem cells via respecification of lineage-restricted precursors. *Cell stem cell* **13**, 459-470 (2013).
- 2. Sandler, V.M., *et al.* Reprogramming human endothelial cells to haematopoietic cells requires vascular induction. *Nature* **511**, 312-318 (2014).
- 3. Sugimura, R., *et al.* Haematopoietic stem and progenitor cells from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* **545**, 432-438 (2017).
- 4. Lis, R., *et al.* Conversion of adult endothelium to immunocompetent haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature* **545**, 439-445 (2017).
- 5. Risueno, R.M., *et al.* Inability of human induced pluripotent stem cell-hematopoietic derivatives to downregulate microRNAs in vivo reveals a block in xenograft hematopoietic regeneration. *Stem cells* **30**, 131-139 (2012).
- 6. Swiers, G., Rode, C., Azzoni, E. & de Bruijn, M.F. A short history of hemogenic endothelium. *Blood cells, molecules & diseases* **51**, 206-212 (2013).
- 7. Antas, V.I., Al-Drees, M.A., Prudence, A.J., Sugiyama, D. & Fraser, S.T. Hemogenic endothelium: a vessel for blood production. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **45**, 692-695 (2013).
- 8. Sturgeon, C.M., Ditadi, A., Clarke, R.L. & Keller, G. Defining the path to hematopoietic stem cells. *Nature biotechnology* **31**, 416-418 (2013).
- 9. Slukvin, II. Deciphering the hierarchy of angiohematopoietic progenitors from human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell cycle* **12**, 720-727 (2013).
- 10. Lengerke, C., *et al.* Hematopoietic development from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1176**, 219-227 (2009).
- 11. Ditadi, A., *et al.* Human definitive haemogenic endothelium and arterial vascular endothelium represent distinct lineages. *Nature cell biology* **17**, 580-591 (2015).
- 12. Yzaguirre, A.D., de Bruijn, M.F. & Speck, N.A. The Role of Runx1 in Embryonic Blood Cell Formation. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* **962**, 47-64 (2017).
- 13. Van Handel, B., *et al.* Scl represses cardiomyogenesis in prospective hemogenic endothelium and endocardium. *Cell* **150**, 590-605 (2012).
- Smadja, D.M., Cornet, A., Emmerich, J., Aiach, M. & Gaussem, P. Endothelial progenitor cells: characterization, in vitro expansion, and prospects for autologous cell therapy. *Cell biology and toxicology* 23, 223-239 (2007).
- 15. Duttenhoefer, F., *et al.* 3D scaffolds co-seeded with human endothelial progenitor and mesenchymal stem cells: evidence of prevascularisation within 7 days. *European cells & materials* **26**, 49-64; discussion 64-45 (2013).
- 16. Tourino, C., *et al.* Efficient ex vivo expansion of NOD/SCID-repopulating cells with lympho-myeloid potential in hematopoietic grafts of children with solid tumors. *The hematology journal : the official journal of the European Haematology Association / EHA* **2**, 108-116 (2001).
- 17. Dick, J.E., Pflumio, F. & Lapidot, T. Mouse models for human hematopoiesis. *Semin Immunol* **3**, 367-378 (1991).
- 18. Kidoya, H., *et al.* Spatial and temporal role of the apelin/APJ system in the caliber size regulation of blood vessels during angiogenesis. *The EMBO journal* **27**, 522-534 (2008).
- 19. Yu, Q.C., *et al.* APELIN promotes hematopoiesis from human embryonic stem cells. *Blood* **119**, 6243-6254 (2012).
- 20. Peled, A., *et al.* Dependence of human stem cell engraftment and repopulation of NOD/SCID mice on CXCR4. *Science* **283**, 845-848 (1999).
- 21. Kollet, O., *et al.* Human CD34(+)CXCR4(-) sorted cells harbor intracellular CXCR4, which can be functionally expressed and provide NOD/SCID repopulation. *Blood* **100**, 2778-2786 (2002).
- 22. Nasrallah, R., *et al.* Endoglin potentiates nitric oxide synthesis to enhance definitive hematopoiesis. *Biology open* **4**, 819-829 (2015).









#### **Supplementary information**

#### Inventory of the supplementary figures and tables

#### Supplementary figure 1 is related to Figure 1

Shows the heat map of the gene list and the associated hierarchical clustering. It shows that  $CD34^+$  cells form a first main branch and that the EBs are segregated in a second main branch. D3 to D13 EBs are separated from 1D5 to D17 EBs.

#### Supplementary figure 2 is related to Figure 2

Endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by D16 EBs in vivo.

#### Supplementary figure 3 is related to Figure 3

It gives a complete overview of the analysis of the hematopoietic engraftment with representative examples of multilineage reconstitution.

#### Supplementary figure 4 is related to Figure 3

Bone marrow analysis of the hematopoietic engraftment, multilineage reconstitution of primary recipients.

#### Supplementary figure 5 is related to Figure 3

A complete overview of the hematopoietic multilineage engraftment of peripheral blood, thymus and spleen from D17 EB cell and CB CD34<sup>+</sup> HSPCs.

#### Supplementary figure 6 is related to Figure 3

Bone marrow analysis of the hematopoietic engraftment, multilineage reconstitution of secondary recipients.

#### Supplementary figure 7 is related to Figure 4

Characteristics of the APLNR<sup>+</sup> population in terms of hematopoietic engraftment.

#### Supplementary table 1 is related to Figure 1


Ex vivo endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by hiPSC, HSC and D3 to D17 EBs.


#### **Supplementary table 2 is related to Figure 2**


Ex vivo endothelial and hematopoietic potential of EBs from D15 to D17.


#### Supplementary table 3 is related to Figure 4


*Ex vivo* endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by hiPSC, CD34+ CB HSPC and APLNR+, APLNR- and D17 EBs.








































#### **Supplementary Figure 4**




#### Multilineage analysis in I° D17 EB transplant









Multilineage analysis in I° CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPC transplant





hCD19















#### **Supplementary Figure 5**




hTCR gamma delta


6.4+/-2.5%


45,7



#### **Supplementary Figure 6**









b


hCD15


Multilineage reconstitution in II° CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPC transplant


SSC-A













hCD235a





hCD4

hCD3

d troo CD45+ CD45+ CD45+ CD45

hCD14

APLNR+ cells control isotype

# Supplementary Figure. 1. Hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis of the set of 49 genes representative of endothelial and hematopoietic commitment.

Heat map of the gene expression and the associated hierarchical clustering. CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs are segregated from the EBs. D3 to D13 EBs are separated from D15 to D17 EBs.

### Supplementary Figure 2. Endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by D16 EBs *in vivo*.

Levels of expression of hVEGFR2, ENDOGLIN, PECAM-1, VE-CADHERIN,  $\gamma$ -globin,  $\beta$ -globin,  $\epsilon$ globin and CD45 in the matrigel plugs. For each gene, the fold change is the mean ± SEM of 3 independent experiments.

# Supplementary Figure 3. *In vivo* engraftment of D17 human EBs in immunocompromised mice.

(a) Experimental design. The table summarizes the different tests and analyses performed on the hematopoietic populations.

(b-l) *In vivo* engraftment capacity in immunocompromised mice. (b)  $CD34^+$  CB HSPCs in NSG primary recipient (n=13) at 20 weeks post-graft after inoculation of  $2x10^5$  CD34+ cells. results are in % of CD34<sup>+</sup>, CD43<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>+</sup> human cells. (c-e) D17 EBs from the three different hiPSCs lines (FD 136-25, PC1412 and PC1425) in NSG primary recipient (n=30) at 20 weeks post-graft after inoculation of  $4x10^5$  D17 EBs. Results are in % of CD34<sup>+</sup>, CD43<sup>+</sup> and

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/177691; this version posted August 21, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. CD45<sup>+</sup> human cells. (f-i) Follow up of the secondary recipients (f) NSG secondary recipient at

20 weeks post-graft after inoculation of  $7x10^6$  total BM cells from b. (g-i) NSG secondary recipient at 20 weeks post-graft after inoculation of  $7x10^6$  total BM cells from respectively c, d, e. (j-l) Follow up of D17 EBs from the FD136-25 hiPSC line grafted in primary (e,  $4x10^5$ cells, n=20), secondary (f,  $7x10^6$  total BM cells, n=16) and tertiary (g,  $7x10^6$  total BM cells, n=3,) NOD-SCID recipients. Results are in % of human CD34<sup>+</sup> CD43<sup>+</sup> CD45<sup>+</sup> cells in mouse BM at 20 (e), 20 (f) and 12 (g) weeks after transplant. Data are mean +/- SEM.

Supplementary Figure 4. Flow cytometry and qRT-PCR analysis of hematopoietic engraftment in primary BM recipients.

(a-b) Human hematopoietic cell lineages in primary recipients: (a) grafted with D17 EBs (n=30) and (b) grafted with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs (n=13). Cells were gated on hCD45+ expression for hCD33, hCD19 and hCD3 whereas hCD235a was analysed on whole BM cells. Data are mean +/- SEM.

(c-d) Flow cytometry analysis for myeloid (hCD14/hCD15) and lymphoid (hCD19/hCD20, SSC-A/hCD3 and hCD4/hCD8) lineages from a representative NSG primary recipient grafted with D17 EB cells (c) or with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs (d). Analyses were performed on hCD45<sup>+</sup> gated BM cells.

(e-f) Flow cytometry analysis of the erythroid lineage from a representative NSG primary recipient grafted with D17 EBs (e) or with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs (f). Analyses were performed on whole BM cells.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/177691; this version posted August 21, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
(g) qRT-PCR analysis for hCD45, hCD15, hMPO, hITGA2 and hGAPDH expression in human
BM cells (positive control), D17 EBs, NOD/SCID BM primary recipient grafted with D17 EBs, NOD/SCID BM secondary recipient, NOD/SCID BM ungrafted control, FD136-25 hiPSCs. PCR samples were run on several agarose gels. For presentation purpose, bands were assembled one above the other (white lines).

# Supplementary Figure 5. Flow cytometry analysis of human hematopoietic lineages from representative primary recipients peripheral blood, thymus and spleen.

(a) Myeloid (hCD11B/hCD14) and lymphoid (hCD19/hIGM and hCD4/hCD8) lineages on peripheral blood of ungrafted recipient (1<sup>st</sup> line), of D17 EBs grafted recipient (2<sup>nd</sup> line) and of CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs grafted recipient (3<sup>rd</sup> line). The analyses were performed on hCD45<sup>+</sup> gated cells, aside the ungrafted recipient.

(b) Representative flow cytometry analysis of thymus and spleen cells. Thymus hCD3 gated cells exhibited a large amount of TCR alpha beta cells very close to that obtained using CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs. hCD45<sup>+</sup> gated spleen cells exhibited B or T lymphoid phenotype with ratios similar to those obtained with CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs.

Supplementary Figure 6. Flow cytometry analysis of hematopoietic engraftment in secondary BM recipients.

(a) Human hematopoietic lineage distribution in secondary recipients grafted with  $7.10^6$  whole bone marrow from CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs-grafted primary recipient (n=13). Cells were

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/177691; this version posted August 21, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. gated on hCD45+ expression for hCD33, hCD19 and hCD3 whereas hCD235a was analysed

on whole BM cells. Data are mean +/- SEM.

(b-c) Flow cytometry analysis of the myeloid (hCD14/hCD15) and lymphoid (hCD19/hCD20, SSC-A/hCD3 and hCD4/hCD8) lineages. (b) From a representative NSG secondary recipient grafted with 7.10<sup>6</sup> whole BM from D17 EB primary recipient. Analyses were performed on hCD45<sup>+</sup> gated BM cells. (c) From a representative NSG secondary recipient grafted with 7.10<sup>6</sup> whole BM from CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs-grafted primary recipient.

(d-e) Representative flow cytometry analysis of the erythroid lineage. Analyses performed on whole BM cells. : (d) Representative NSG secondary recipient grafted with 7.10<sup>6</sup> whole BM of D17 EBs primary recipient and (e) Representative NSG secondary recipient grafted with 7.10<sup>6</sup> whole BM CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPCs-grafted primary recipient.

#### Supplementary Figure 7. Characterization of the APLNR<sup>+</sup> and APLNR<sup>-</sup> populations.

(a) Representative profile of hCD45 and hCD43 expression in mice BM grafted with either the D17 APLNR<sup>+</sup> (left) or <sup>-</sup> (right) cell fractions.

(b) Human hematopoietic lineage distribution in primary BM recipient grafted with APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells (n=6). Data are mean +/- SEM.

(c) Representative flow cytometry analysis of the myeloid (hCD14/hCD15) and lymphoid (hCD19/hCD20, SSC-A/hCD3 and hCD4/hCD8) lineages present in a primary BM recipient grafted with APLNR<sup>+</sup> cells.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/177691; this version posted August 21, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
 (d) Representative flow cytometry analysis showing the absence of CD45 expression on

 $\mathsf{APLNR}^+$  cells

### Supplementary Table 1. Ex vivo endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by hiPSC, $CD34^{+}$ CB HSPC and D3 to D17 EBs.

Refer to the attached supptable1.xlsx file.

		Day 15 EBs (n=3)	Day 16 EBs (n=3)	Day 17 EBs (n=4)
	CFC-EC	+		-
Endothelial capacity	Pseudo- microtubules	+++	+	-
	EC's culture	++	+++	nd
EBs hematopoietic capacity	CFC	0	1/200	1/40
versus CD34 <sup>°</sup> CD45 <sup>°</sup> hematopoietic capacity	CFC per LTC-IC	<1/600	1/15	1/4

	hematopoietic
endothelial commitment	commitment

### Supplementary Table 2. Endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by D15 to D17 EBs *ex vivo*.

EBs were probed for their capacities to give rise to either EC or HC colonies based on recognized functional tests. The highest endothelial potential was found at D15, markedly decreased at D16 and was absent at D17. In contrast, the hematopoietic potential was first detected at D16 and was high at D17.

Legend: EB: embryonic bodies; LTC-IC: Long Term Culture-Initiating Cells; CFC: Colony-Forming Cell.

## Supplementary Table 3. Ex *vivo* endothelial and hematopoietic potential carried by hiPSC, CD34<sup>+</sup> CB HSPC and APLNR<sup>+</sup>, APLNR<sup>-</sup> and D17 EBs.

Refer to the attached supptable3.xlsx file.

Ŭ		hiPSC_2					3 D7 EBs_1
D7 EBs_2	2 D7 EBs_3	3 D9 EBs_1	LD9 EBs_2	2 D9 EBs_3	BD13 EBs_	_1	D13
EBs_2	D13 EBs_	_3 _1	D15 EBs_	_1	D15 EBs_	_2	D15
EBs_3	D17 EBs_	_1	D17 EBs_	_2	D17 EBs_	_3	CD34
HSPCs_1	CD34 HSF	<sup></sup> PCs_2 7.0732	CD34 HSF	°Cs_3			
9.9853	9.7502			10.8621		6.3784	7.1156
6.2102	6.0942	5.3418	2.9681	2.6392	2.2135	1.0406	0.7402
0.2861							
RBPM	4.0737		3.4865	12.0818		12.4018	10.0581
10.4622	11.1729		7.8417	8.5548	7.9122	6.8338	6.8549
10.4894	10.0099	9.8023	6.7166	6.5380	5.9919	4.6664	4.6541
3.9029	6 2401	6 4007	F 7077	11 0050	11 0510	11 4050	0 0672
PRDM	6.2481	6.4327	5.7977		11.0518	11.4050	9.0673
8.5028 3.8602	9.2207	8.9212	8.3635	9.0746 -0.4540	4.7363	4.3735 4.4435	4.4036 4.6541
3.8168	4.1314	3.5279	-0.7339	-0.4540	-1.2654	4.4435	4.0541
NKX	8.9189	9.0755	8.4508	18.8239	18.6945	18.6562	14.5958
14.0516	13.6140				12.1006	11.7649	
15.9205	16.2438	12.0193	9.4827	9.5973	8.8511	4.5224	4.6541
3.8908	10.2450	12:0195	9.4027	9.3973	0.011	4.JZZ4	4.0341
GATA3	10.2627	10.3681	9.8175	19 5774	19.9357	10 3531	13.6532
14.2512	14.8428	15.8103	15.1308	15.7498		12.4039	13.5076
19.5109	20.1576	17.0843	16.3061	16.6025	15.8884	4.6664	4.7392
4.1292	2011370	1/10015	1013001	1010025	1910001		11,352
TEK	6.6877	6.9123	6.2624	13.1168	13.9377	14.3273	12.1146
11.6596	12.3660	13.7268	13.2512	13.9444	8.4572	7.1540	7.4739
8.6071	8.7656	7.9550	5.5029	5.7497	5.0712	4.6664	4.6541
4.1491							
NOS3	11.3284	11.7808	11.0486	19.1094	18.9764	18.6215	16.5221
15.9851	17.3272	15.5785	15.0454	15.2645	13.2662	12.9348	11.2083
18.3889	19.2071	18.2240	12.3298	12.8229	11.9933	4.6664	5.0028
4.3299							
MATK	5.4948	5.6179	5.0033	9.0663	9.6657	12.8194	
8.7035	9.7284	9.5438	9.3911	10.2241		9.5993	8.7393
12.9506	13.3972	12.0185	7.9681	8.0673	7.4200	0.6980	0.8962
0.1638	0 4700	0 4505		40 5774		4.6	17 0100
MITF	8.1788		7.7597		16.0575	16.0192	
16.8891	17.4936		15.1308				
18.4583	18.4342	14.8675	14.6030	14.4630	14.0920	8.4066	8.5481
7.8257 HLF	10.0255	10 5624	9.7133	10 5774	19.9357	19.5477	17.8103
		15.8103					
14.8994	16.1316		11.1736		11.1662	5.0447	5.5719
4.7440	10.1510	14:0049	11.1/50	11.0405	11.1002	5.0447	5.5719
HMG	-1 3810	-1.6508	-1 9730	4 9250	4.9682	5.2449	3.5230
2.9381	3.6764	1.7828	1.1989	1.9362	1.3622	0.9870	515250
1.0296	-0.0661	-0.8822				-4.9812	4.6664
4.6541	4.3034	010022	//1				
MEIS	4.9645	5.4892	4.7154	11.0528	11.0199	10.6818	8.9966
8.4318	8.6233	9.4280	8.8722	9.4895	5.4137	5.0535	5.4066
1.4695	2.0969	1.1000	-0.9310		-1.2367	4.6664	5.1286
4.3607							
MLL	2.5769	3.4352	2.2761	9.8497	10.5028	10.1927	7.2192

ء 7.1551	ertified by peer 5.0987	review) is the a 6 9688	uthor/funder. All 6 <b>4897</b>	10.6278		wed without per 3.5527	mission. 4.1243
0.1483	1.4081	0.4149	-0.7987	0.1009	-1.1079	4.6664	4.6541
4.2021	1.4001	0.4149	-0.7907	0.1009	-1.10/9	4.0004	4.0341
	0 1160	10 0666	0 0462	10 2210	10 0257	10 2506	12 0610
IRF8	9.1168	10.0666	9.0463	19.2218	19.9357	19.3586	13.9610
17.1308	16.6983	15.6409	11.1636	12.7477	9.3879	8.4117	8.8355
16.0534	17.0795	15.7855	10.7760	11.7956	10.6941	3.0656	4.2763
2.8577							
RUNX1	4.5033	4.8527	4.0825	10.1772	10.1867	9.2439	7.7288
7.2491	7.4296	6.0467	4.7498	5.4420	3.9484	3.2351	3.3319
3.7874	4.0487	3.6351	1.8341	2.0904	1.3214	0.5525	0.9204
0.0752							
KDR	0.3740	0.7412	0.0466	10.2469	11.5504	11.5122	9.3294
9.3478	10.1808	8.5188	7.9954	8.8284	5.7831	5.3568	
5.4968	-2.5139	-2.4042	-2.5584	0.4948	0.8634	0.1555	4.6664
4.6541	4.2470						
FLI1	5.2316	5.4024	4.7735	19.5774	19.9357	19.6188	17.8103
17.1308	17.7204	15.8103	15.1308	15.5266	14.8763	14.4037	14.5437
5.2316	5.4024	4.7735	5.2316	5.4024	4.7735	5.2316	5.4024
4.7735							
MYC	1.2180	1.7649	0.9357	7.7928	7.6512	8.0825	5.8577
5.3499	6.5120	3.7102	2.8433	3.3566	2.9711	2.3159	510577
2.8709	-7.9068	-8.1330	-8.7345	-7.9401	-7.4231	-8.2119	-10.0795
-9.5781	-10.3890		01/343	/ 9401	/ • +251	0.2119	1010795
HOXB4	6.0216	, 6.1387	5.5368	8.6522	8.5261	8.2073	7.8336
6.8078	7.1817	5.8433	5.5142	6.8133	5.9547	5.0267	1.0000
5.7937	-1.1602	-0.8976	-2.6499	-1.5792	-1.4416	-2.0085	-5.0263
-4.9096	-5.5225	-0.0970	-2.0499	-1.5/92	-1.4410	-2.0005	-2.0202
NFIB	4.5185	4.4276	3.9068	8.2167	8.8587	8.5744	7.0850
6.8654	7.6433	3.9541	2.8493	4.2543	2.8547	1.8207	2.1265
6.4076	7.5474	6.0778	3.3816	4.2545 3.1509	2.6873	8.0706	7.3624
7.1720	/.J4/4	0.0770	2.2010	2.1209	2.0075	0.0700	7.3024
RAG2	3.2504	3.3148	2.7597	1.7425	2.2910	3.0163	2.6533
-	3.5713	0.5403				-1.1539	
2.0198			-0.6392	-0.4012	-0.5334	-1.1039	-0.8860
0.4973	0.4935	-0.0518	3.3718	3.2147			
2.7047	-7.0681	-7.4822	-7.5066	14 1050	12 1101	10 7000	12 0404
SPIB	-2.2027	-2.1636	-2.7222	14.1956		13.7933	
12.1497	13.3049		10.1805	10.8849	10.7524	11.1396	11.1450
13.5194	16.2929			12.6288			
12.0653		-0.2420					
S0X4	10.4954			15.7257		16.0457	
16.7660		13.4747				11.9319	11.9343
13.7376	13.2264	12.3050	11.4967	11.2336	10.1767	8.2218	7.7004
6.8193							
MYB	5.7862	5.7702	5.1635	15.2467	15.1617	15.1235	12.9687
12.4185	13.2515	12.9298	12.3869	13.2198	9.0614	8.7145	8.8545
9.4659	9.6166	9.4207	3.2386	3.2335	2.5982	7.4350	7.3877
6.7525							
HOXA9	12.6539	13.6803	12.1869	19.5774	19.9357	19.0150	17.8103
17.1308	16.8642	15.8103	15.1308	15.4163	14.8763	14.4037	14.0700
20.1234	21.5431	19.3669	16.4051	17.4507		9.3995	10.2691
8.8499							-
ERG	5.8874	6.2409	5.4849	14,4151	15.5593	15.5210	13.0553
14.5256	15.3585	12.6419				9.9155	10.0555
9.3980	9.8957	9.4470	4.6106	5.1559	4.2727	0.0409	
2.2000	2.000,			2.2000		0.0.00	

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/177691; this version posted August 21, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. 0.3615 -0.3499 12.6885 RORA 13.2743 11.6565 19.5774 19.9357 19.6007 17.8103 17.8171 15.8103 15.1308 15.8171 14.8763 14.4037 14.3970 17.1308 20.2953 20.4542 19.5193 16.4397 17.0447 15.4431 8.8263 9.4026 7.7562 3.3233 3.0408 2.4735 10.2337 10.2111 10.5537 LEF1 8.3351 7.7679 8.4885 8.1420 7.5815 8.2954 4.7258 4.3630 4.3932 -0.0990-0.1473 -1.1492-1.4735 -1.1553 -2.0176 0.4274 -0.1945-0.5986 CEPBA 3.6455 3.4011 8.2969 8.2983 8.8644 3.0264 2.7402 2.1524 2.8936 2.8535 2.2736 2.8620 1.1825 0.8066 0.9023 5.1752 5.1549 5.1464 0.0303 -0.2396 -0.5983 -4.7972 -5.0360 -5.4256 6.5140 EPOR 6.0491 5.6933 11.4750 11.1677 11.5333 10.0831 7.4411 9.5224 10.3553 8.4187 8.0356 7.5322 7.0232 7.3219 7.9699 6.9438 7.6577 4.4829 3.8647 3.5703 8.5875 9.1469 7.5455 GATA1 8.7722 10.4808 8.0736 16.3199 16.2091 16.0201 17.8103 11.9413 17.1308 17.4407 12.4171 13.2872 14.4484 12.2203 10.4666 7.0511 5.2609 7.6878 5.1171 8.9147 7.0414 6.2853 10.8537 8.2660 IKZ 6.6242 6.7153 6.1183 12.3638 12.8589 13.6536 11.1133 11.0953 10.8082 9.8302 8.8854 7.1990 7.3390 10.8750 10.6631 7.6197 8.3593 9.0394 5.9941 5.4902 5.3246 2.9345 1.9589 1.8899 KLF 11.4937 12.1131 11.1870 16.7194 16.1611 16.8892 17.8103 14.4879 16.9322 15.8103 15.1308 15.4761 14.8763 14.4037 14.5437 9.8417 10.3439 9.0712 9.8299 10.1432 9.3468 6.6165 6.6930 6.0229 12,9788 13.8778 12.1867 18.3643 17.9191 18.0651 MPL1 16.3234 17.8566 15.8103 14.1328 15.7409 14.8763 14.4037 17.1308 13.9442 11.0722 11.7014 10.2042 6.9502 7.7831 6.1766 9.8195 9.9893 8.5215 NCAM 12.8672 13.5782 12.6086 6.5597 6.7878 7.1229 4.0498 3.7648 3.5555 3.4408 4.1262 2.5405 -0.0298 -0.1206-0.4468 4.9720 8.8082 9.7399 8.6319 5.1943 5.6921 7.8237 8.5147 7.5085 12.3399 PECAM 17.0334 17.0891 16.3635 13.0888 12.9874 10.7956 10.3021 11.0177 9.6331 8.7304 9.5323 5.6790 5.7804 6.1118 14.9788 14.7874 14.3060 11.5790 11.5794 10.8030 7.8595 7.9270 7.1646 PTPRC 9.1821 9.2906 8.7038 7.4861 8.3684 6.8817 6.7439 6.1671 5.9121 6.6060 5.9549 6.7878 4.6872 4.0338 4.1738 8.4459 7.8949 8.4207 8.2761 8.4380 8.1129 -1.6517-1.1682 -1.0555 15.5461 15.8810 14.6577 RORC 16.7992 19.1554 15.6776 14.3420 14.8763 15.0846 16.2194 15.8103 15.1308 15.0568 14.4037 14.5437 18.2071 18.6152 17.2936 14.1428 14.6540 13.1596 9.2457 9.6241 8.3088 FUT4 4.5133 5.3964 4.3866 -0.0675 2.2475 2.5189 1.9334 2.4434 1.7881 0.4176 1.8154 -0.0623 -0.5931 1.0635 -0.54003.0826 4.1432 2.8111 6.4065 6.5130 5.7509 -2.5714 -3.4111 -3.6297 APLNR 17.0324 17.5544 16.1144 16.0328 15.8920 15.5785 14.7484

		review) is the a	uthor/funder. All	rights reserved	<ol> <li>No reuse allow</li> </ol>	ved without per	
13.2436	13.8934	13.2144	11.7176	12.7121	12.1808	11.8383	12.2783
16.5968	17.1793	15.4520	15.5791	16.1511	14.6163	9.8259	10.3607
8.8922							
BMI1	17.0457	16.8117	16.0815	8.2926	8.8724	8.6128	6.7697
6.2378	7.0344	4.6903	3.8838	5.1331	3.2223	2.5242	2.7022
8.9816	8.6204	4.0905 8.1051	6.6656	6.5258	5.7374	7.5189	7.2786
	0.0204	0.1001	0.0000	0.5256	5./5/4	1.0109	/ . 2/00
6.5403	44 0070	44 6504	10 0101	c c	7 4070	7 4000	F 0470
ITGA2-B	11.0373	11.6504	10.8464	6.6302	7.1970	7.4826	5.9472
5.3028	5.1924	5.1226	4.0247	5.0200	4.1307	3.6185	3.9329
13.1184	13.4129	13.0793	6.6071	7.1950	6.4328	4.1531	4.7657
3.9557							
MP01	12.5047	13.5649	12.1909	15.3298	15.2683	13.8288	12.7473
13.2782	14.2574	7.9865	7.1271	7.6882	8.6489	8.9153	8.9926
10.7283	12.2185	10.1319	8.0890	9.2884	7.6140	4.9503	5.9813
4.6180							
STIL	16.3427	16.1914	15.1624	8.7871	10.3516	10.3236	7.8353
7.0348	7.6268	4.7592	5.7768	5.6189	5.5032	5.0863	5.0633
10.6395	10.7712	9.1835	9.3565	9.2100	8.2109	7.5237	7.3526
	10.//12	9.1033	9.3305	9.2100	0.2109	1.5257	1.5520
6.3377	42 6604	40.0050	42 2260	6 9995	c	6 3449	
KIT	13.6601	13.9053	13.2368	6.0285	6.3778	6.3449	4.9774
4.5186	5.4457	2.7680	2.0804	3.0100	1.6865	1.3571	1.4477
6.1602	6.6672	5.9113	3.8607	4.2344	3.5268	6.6609	6.9222
6.2251							
GATA2	10.4935	10.8050	9.6199	9.8459	10.1980	10.1597	7.9024
7.1197	7.9526	6.5856	5.4597	6.6480	5.4874	5.0709	4.3838
6.1647	6.3061	5.0153	5.2388	5.4945	4.3228	8.2535	8.4898
7.2164							
CD19	15.1001	15.2333	13.5740	19.5774	19.9357	19.7970	17.8103
17.1308	17.2897	13.6412	13.2544	15.0616	10.5852	11.9274	13.2127
17.7611	17.9675	16.2099	13.6967	14.0062	10.3032	11.92/4	13.2127
				14.0002			
12.0759	-2.3351	-2.2501	-4.0246	14 6007	15 0001	45 0440	12 6000
CD3G	16.0572	15.2338	14.0264	14.6337	15.0801	15.0419	13.6808
13.6161	14.4490	11.3041	10.5380	11.3710	10.8488	9.3107	9.4507
18.7183	17.9679	16.6178	14.6039	13.8305	12.5283	3.0172	2.1854
0.9678							
DNTT	15.1001	13.8089	15.1624	19.5774	19.9357	19.7770	17.8103
17.1308	17.4201	15.8103	15.1308	15.8063	14.8763	14.4037	14.5437
14.6277	13.0667	14.6228	10.7456	9.1485	10.6705	4.1302	2.1332
3.6867							
510007							

	certified by peer				
	hiPSCs	APNLR+	APNLR-	D17 EBs	
CMYC	6.23	5.04	4.58	4.21	6.66
H0XB4	8.52	6.52	5.58	4.57	6.66
LIN28	-1.89	1.54	2.04	-2.89	-7.25
NANOG	-2 <b>.</b> 38	1.71	2.77	0.68	-9.33
P0U5F	-6.44	2.96	1.48	3.42	-8.11
S0X2	-3.02	0.37	0.76	2.71	-8.13
FUT4	-2.85	2.94	4.19	0.24	-4.59
APNLR	9.80	10.43	12.16	15.19	7.00
BMI1	9.80	7.46	6.32	6.23	7.00
ITGA2B	3.54	6.48	4.70	6.66	1.54
MP01	5.23	4.81	5.92	7.41	7.00
STIL	9.80	10.15	11.72	8.54	7.00
KIT	7.91	4.40	3.01	4.02	7.00
GATA2	9.80	7.12	6.10	7.38	5.51
CD19	9.80	10.43	12.16	17.00	-0.90
CD3G	9.80	10.43	12.10	14.13	7.00
NCAM	5.42	4.55	2.60	4.50	7.00
PECAM	9.80	5.11	6.54	6.36	7.00
PTPRC	1.43	8.82	8.08	5.42	-2.89
RORC	9.80	10.43	12.16	17.02	7.00
ERG	9.31	6.26	9.52	10.62	3.18
GATA3	11.81	7.40	9.32 9.77	9.78	6.66
HOXA9	9.31				
		7.84	9.77	10.66	4.61
IRF8	10.45	4.50	8.88	9.27	4.33
KDR	5.58	3.71	9.77 0.55	2.90	6.66
MITE	6.74	7.41	8.55	5.44	6.66
MLLT3	7.16	2.57	6.06	2.93	6.66
RBPMS	6.41	4.79	7.63	4.78	6.66
RUNX1	6.17	0.36	3.81	2.01	2.98
TEK	9.05	4.52	9.77	5.59	6.66
HMG	0.10	-0.16	-0.29	8.69	6.66
HOPX	7.72	4.97	4.49	12.11	2.71
LEF1	6.21	4.57	5.98	9.50	4.61
MEIS	6.72	4.10	3.71	8.09	6.66
MYB	6.21	7.03	6.71	12.30	4.61
NKX	8.61	7.73	6.71	13.66	6.66
PRDM	7.28	2.69	2.04	7.66	6.66
NOS3	9.73	7.73	5.46	9.55	6.66
S0X4	5.90	7.73	5.46	6.98	3.61
CEPBA	-1.83	-3.41	-3.45	-4.20	-8.86
HLF	9.10	7.73	5.46	10.47	6.66
RORA	5.90	7.73	5.46	10.47	4.61
EPOR	6.64	6.64	3.22	6.86	3.05
GATA1	12.99	7.96	6.79	10.16	7.06
IKZ	8.58	6.35	5.98	8.66	6.19
KLF1	12.17	13.84	5.12	13.17	4.57
MATK	10.83	8.93	5.93	9.28	2.80
MPL1	16.29	11.74	6.79	13.12	6.08
NFIB	4.84	3.32	2.21	3.43	4.61
RAG2	3.64	-2.64	-0.40	-2.60	-5.56
SPIB	-1.82	11.27	6.79	11.31	-3.65
HLF	9.10	7.73	5.46	10.47	6.66