Long non-coding RNAs defining major subtypes of B cell precursor acute

lymphoblastic leukemia

- Alva Rani James^{1,2,3}, Michael P Schroeder¹, Martin Neumann^{1,2,3}, Lorenz Bastian^{1,2,3}, Cornelia Eckert^{2,3,4}, Nicola Gökbuget^{2,3,5}, Jutta Ortiz Tanchez¹, Cornelia Schlee¹, Konstandina Isaakidis¹, Stefan Schwartz¹, Thomas Burmeister⁶, Arend von Stackelberg^{2,3,4}, Michael A Rieger^{2,3,5}, Stefanie Göllner⁷, Martin Horstman⁸, Martin Schrappe⁹, Renate Kirschner-Schwabe^{2,3,4}, Monika Brüggemann¹⁰, Carsten Müller-Tidow⁷, Hubert Serve^{2,3,5}, Altuna Akalin¹¹, Claudia D Baldus^{1,2,3*}
 - 1. Charité, University Hospital Berlin, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, 12203, Germany
 - 2. German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, 69120, Germany
 - 3. German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Heidelberg, 69120, Germany
 - 4. Charité, University Hospital Berlin, Department of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Campus Rudolf Virchow, 13353, Berlin, Germany
 - 5. Goethe University Hospital, Department of Medicine II, Department of Hematology/Oncology, Frankfurt/M, 60590, Germany
 - 6. Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Department. of Hematology, Oncology and Tumor Immunology, Berlin, 13353, Germany
 - 7. University Clinic Heidelberg, Department of Hematology, Oncology & Rheumatology, Heidelberg, 69120, Germany
 - 3. Research Institute Children's Cancer Center, Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Hamburg, Hamburg, 20251, Germany
 - 9. University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Department of Pediatrics, Kiel, 24105, Germany.
 - 10. University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Kiel, 24105, Germany
 - 11. Bioinformatics platform, Berlin Institute for Medical Systems Biology (BIMSB), Max Delbrück Center (MDC), Berlin, 13125, Germany
- 30 *Corresponding author
- 31 Email: claudia.baldus@charite.de (CDB)

Abstract

33

Recent studies implicated that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) may play a role in the 34 progression and development of acute lymphoblastic leukemia, however, this role is not vet 35 clear. In order to unravel the role of lncRNAs associated with B-cell precursor Acute 36 Lymphoblastic Leukemia (BCP-ALL) subtypes, we performed transcriptome sequencing and 37 38 DNA methylation array across 82 BCP-ALL samples from three molecular subtypes (DUX4, Ph-like, and Near Haploid or High Hyperdiploidy). Unsupervised clustering of BCP-ALL 39 samples on the basis of their lncRNAs on transcriptome and DNA methylation profiles 40 revealed robust clusters separating three molecular subtypes. Using extensive 41 computational analysis, we developed a comprehensive catalog of 1235 aberrantly 42 dysregulated BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs with altered expression and methylation 43 44 patterns from three subtypes of BCP-ALL. By analyzing the co-expression of subtype-specific lncRNAs and protein-coding genes, we inferred key molecular processes in BCP-ALL 45 subtypes. A strong correlation was identified between the DUX4 specific lncRNAs and 46 activation of TGF-B and Hippo signaling pathways. Similarly, Ph-like specific lncRNAs were 47 correlated with genes involved in activation of PI3K-AKT, mTOR, and JAK-STAT signaling 48 49 pathways. Interestingly, the relapse-specific differentially expressed lncRNAs correlated with the activation of metabolic and signaling pathways. Finally, we showed a set of 50 epigenetically altered lncRNAs facilitating the expression of tumor genes located at their *cis* 51 location. Overall, our study provides a comprehensive set of novel subtype and relapse-52 specific lncRNAs in BCP-ALL. Our findings suggest a wide range of molecular pathways are 53 associated with lncRNAs in BCP-ALL subtypes and provide a foundation for functional 54 55 investigations that could lead to new therapeutic approaches.

Author Summary

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia is a heterogeneous blood cancer, with multiple molecular subtypes, and with high relapse rate. We are far from the complete understanding of the rationale behind these subtypes and high relapse rate. Long non-coding (lncRNAs) has emerged as a novel class of RNA due to its diverse mechanism in cancer development and progression. LncRNAs does not code for proteins and represent around 70% of human transcripts. Recently, there are a number of studies used lncRNAs expression profile in the classification of various cancers subtypes and displayed their correlation with genomic, epigenetic, pathological and clinical features in diverse cancers. Therefore, lncRNAs can account for heterogeneity and has independent prognostic value in various cancer subtypes. However, lncRNAs defining the molecular subtypes of BCP-ALL are not portrayed yet. Here, we describe a set of relapse and subtype-specific lncRNAs from three major BCP-ALL subtypes and define their potential functions and epigenetic regulation. Our data uncover the diverse mechanism of action of lncRNAs in BCP-ALL subtypes defining how lncRNAs are involved in the pathogenesis of disease and the relevance in the stratification of BCP-ALL subtypes.

INTRODUCTION

72

73 B-cell Precursor Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (BCP-ALL) is the most prevalent disease in children and affects also adults. Despite improvements in treatment regimens such as 74 chemotherapy and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, the prognosis 75 remains poor for patients in high-risk groups and at relapse (1). Various risk subtypes have 76 77 been established based on the cytogenetic analysis and molecular genetics studies. These subtypes are classified based on the presence of high hyperdiploidy (51-65 chromosomes) 78 (2), hypodiploidy (less than 44 chromosomes)(3) and fusion genes (for example BCR-ABL, 79 ETV6-RUNX, MLL, etc)(4). About 70-80% of both adults and pediatric cases of BCP-ALL 80 constitute these subtypes, although the frequency may differ (5). 81 Recent efforts taking advantage of whole transcriptome sequencing (RNA-Seq) have refined 82 this classification by identifying novel BCP-ALL subtypes. RNA-Seq analysis identified 83 cytogenetically non-detectable recurrent rearrangements and gene fusions, which allowed 84 characterization of additional subtypes based on distinct gene expression profiles (6). For 85 example, the DUX4 (7) subtype is defined mainly by the IGH-DUX4 or ERG-DUX4 gene 86 fusions; the Ph-like (8) subtype is a high-risk subtype with a gene expression profile similar 87 88 to Ph-positive ALL; however, lacking BCR-ABL1 fusion gene; and the Near Haploid/High Hyperdiploid (NH-HeH) (51–67 chromosomes) subtype (9,10) is a common subtype, 89 90 comprising 30% of all pediatric BCP-ALL. These subtypes are clinically relevant with 91 distinct gene expression profile and have been widely studied in the recent past. 92 Nevertheless, we are far from complete understanding of BCP-ALL subtypes and their 93 heterogeneity and its associated molecular mechanisms, which pose a major challenge for improving diagnosis and therapy. Recent studies have suggested that long non-coding RNAs 94 95 (lncRNAs) and small non-coding RNAs (e.g. microRNAs) might play a key role in

development and progression of leukemia (11) and thus constitute as new biomarkers and potential targets for novel therapies (12). LncRNAs are arbitrarily defined as transcripts longer than 200 base pairs and lacking an extended protein-coding open reading frame (ORF). It has become apparent that lncRNAs are frequently spliced and polyadenylated and are mainly transcribed by RNA polymerase II (13). LncRNAs expression has been reported as highly tissue-specific even though the expression abundance is generally lower compared to protein-coding genes (14). The expression specificity has been extended to a wide variety of physiological and pathological mechanisms like cancer development and Pluripotency (15). LncRNAs can act either proximally (in the cis region) or distally (in the trans region) for the transcriptional regulation of protein-coding genes (16). Like proteins, various lncRNAs are attributed to oncogenic or tumor-suppressive (17) activities exerting various cellular functions (18). In addition, lncRNAs regulate gene expression at the epigenetic (19) and post-transcription (20) levels. Genome-wide association studies in cancer have disclosed that 80% of cancerassociated single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are in non-coding regions (21), including lncRNAs, suggesting that a significant portion of the genetic etiology of cancer can be related to lncRNAs (22). Moreover, lncRNAs are reported to be useful for disease prognosis, exemplified by the lncRNA HOTAIR (HOX transcript antisense RNA), which is up-regulated in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) patients (23). So far, the majority of studies explored the role of single lncRNAs in leukemia including AML (24), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (25) and pediatric ALL (26). Yet a comprehensive genomic and epigenetic delineation of lncRNAs deregulations in BCP-ALL subtypes, and their molecular and functional insights are lacking.

In the present study, we explored lncRNA landscapes in DUX4, Ph-like, and NH-HeH BCP-

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

ALL subtypes and extracted novel biological and functional insights of BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs and their epigenetic activity. On the basis of RNA-seq transcriptional and DNA methylation survey of lncRNAs, we have determined 1235 subtype-specific, relapse-specific markers and epigenetically altered lncRNAs and demonstrated their relevance in BCP-ALL subtype classification. From our in-depth analyses, we have inferred the potential functions of subtype-specific lncRNAs. Overall, this work provides a most comprehensive and integrative resource which highlights the impact of lncRNAs on relevant pathways that are dysregulated in the molecular subgroups of BCP-ALL and may provide new approaches for prognosis and treatment.

RESULTS

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

Unique IncRNAs expression profiles characterize BCP-ALL subtypes

To identify BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs, we analysed transcriptome profiles from 131 paired initial diagnosis (ID) and relapse (REL) samples of 26 pediatric and 22 adult BCP-132 133 ALL patients lacking known chromosomal translocations like BCR-ABL. Based on DNA mutations and chromosomal translocations combined with RNA expression and DNA 134 methylation profiles the samples were classified into different molecular subtypes (Table 135 S1), namely DUX4 (n = 23), Ph-like (n = 21), Near Haploid or High Hyperdiploid (NH-136 137 HeH) (n = 16), and low-hypodiploid (LH) (n = 6) and others (n = 18). When the distribution of lncRNAs gene expression levels across all BCP-ALL samples was 138 compared with that of protein-coding genes, the former generally showed lower expression 139 levels than the latter (27) (Fig S1A, Table S1). The principal component analysis (PCA) on 140 the expression (FPKM value) of 13,860 GENCODE lncRNAs revealed three major BCP-ALL 141 subtypes, DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH with a distinct separation (Fig 1A). This observation 142 143 is in concordance to the predefined molecular classification. In particular, samples of the DUX4 subtype showed robust separation compared to the remaining samples implying a subtype-specific lncRNAs signatures. To unveil differentially expressed (DE) lncRNAs across these three major molecular subtypes, we performed DE analysis between subtypes. We obtained 1235 significant DE subtype-specific lncRNAs (P-value \leq 0.01 and absolute Fold change \geq +- 1.5) defining signatures of three subtypes (Fig 1B, Fig S2A-C, Table S1). Of these, 24 lncRNAs were commonly detected in all 3 BCP-ALL subtypes (Fig 1C), about 523 (Hypergeometric P-value = 9.2E-29) subtype-specific lncRNAs overlapped with deregulated lncRNAs from 12 other cancer types (Fig S2D, Table S1) (28). The remaining 46% (n = 713) of BCP-ALL subtypespecific DE lncRNAs were novel and specific to our subtypes. Out of the overlapped DE lncRNAs (n = 523), 23 (Table S1) were cross-validated in independent cohorts from lnc2cancer (29) database and found to be enriched for oncogenic class of lncRNAs. For example, oncogenic lncRNAs PVT1 (30) and GAS5 (31) are differentially up-regulated in the DUX4 subgroup, and CRNDE (32) is DE in Ph-like subgroup. Together, subtype-specific lncRNAs signatures assigned molecular subgroups of BCP-ALL. Identification and inferred functions of IncRNAs associated with molecular subtypes of BCP-ALL As lncRNAs can function by regulating protein-coding genes in *cis* and/or *trans* (33–36) regions, we performed functional enrichment analyses using guilt-by-association approach based on the correlation between neighbouring (cis) and distally (trans) located proteincoding (PC) genes (within \pm 100 kb cis and $>\pm$ 100 kb window for trans) of the subtypespecific lncRNAs (see materials and methods). Expression of both cis and trans PC genes showed a higher tendency towards positive correlation with the expression of the corresponding lncRNAs (Table 1).

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

Table 1: Number of BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs co-expressed with its *cis* and *trans* PC genes.

	Subtypes	<i>Cis</i> PC genes (n = 929)	Cis co-expressed DE lncRNAs (n = 62)	Trans PC genes (n = 753)	Trans co-expressed DE lncRNAs (n = 552)
Ph-like 260		260	170 (383)	261	173 (383)
	DUX4	669	451 (736)	492	379 (736)

The table represents the number of DE lncRNAs showed *cis* (<= 100 Kb proximity) and *trans* (>= 100 Kb) protein coding genes and the number of DE lncRNAs coexpressed with them. The numbers shown within the bracket is the total number of DE lncRNAs corresponding to the respective subtypes.

Significantly co-expressed (Pearson correlation coefficient => 0.55, 2-tailed *P*-value <= 0.05) *cis* and *trans* protein-coding genes associated with DUX4 (n = 58 in *cis* and n = 127 in *trans*) and Ph-like (n = 24 in *cis* and n = 20 in *trans*) specific DE lncRNAs demonstrated activation of key signalling pathways involved in proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation in leukemia (Table S2). For example, in the *cis* based analysis, we identified a strong correlation between DUX4 specific lncRNAs and genes involved in the TGF-beta, Hippo, and P53 signalling pathways (Fig 2A, Table S2). Whereas, the Ph-like specific lncRNAs were correlated with genes involved in JAK-STAT, mTOR, and PIK3-AKT signalling pathways (Fig 2B, Table S2). The *trans* based analysis revealed same vital signalling pathways in DUX4 subtype (Fig S3A-B, Table S2), whereas in Ph-like subtype we identified additional signalling pathways, including, P53 and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Fig S3C, Table S2). The strongly co-expressed *cis* PC genes with DE lncRNAs (n = 32) includes oncogenes including, *IL2RA* (37), *TGFB2* (38), and *CDK6* (39) activated in signalling pathways from DUX4 and Ph-like subgroups (Fig S4A-D, Table 2-3).

Table 2. Subtype-specific lncRNAs and oncogenes.

Oncogene	P-value	Pearson correlation coefficient	Subtype-specific lncRNAs
	3.25E-008	0.56	RP11-347C18.3
	5.21E-010	0.62	RP11-461F16.3
	3.89E-010	0.62	RP11-96H19.1
	7.68E-011	0.64	RP11-228B15.4
	3.68E-008	0.56	MME-AS1
	1.78E-008	0.57	CTB-39G8.3
	2.21E-014	0.72	AC002454.1
	8.08E-008	0.55	RP11-582J16.4
	6.23E-011	0.64	AC009970.1
	1.44E-011	0.66	RP11-229P13.20
CDK6	3.06E-008	0.57	LINC00114
	9.70E-010	0.61	CTB-118N6.3
	4.94E-010	0.62	SOCS2-AS1
	9.91E-010	0.61	CTD-2561B21.10
	4.36E-008	0.56	RP11-413E1.4
	7.77E-008	0.55	KB-1460A1.1
	4.10E-009	0.59	AC012309.5
	4.76E-009	0.59	RP11-37B2.1
	3.86E-011	0.65	ASB16-AS1
	6.32E-010	0.62	LINC00426
	2.46E-008	0.57	LINC01071
IL2RA	5.11E-15	0.74	RP11-536K7.5
	1.08E-061	0.98	RP11-224O19.2
	6.11E-023	0.83	AC004837.5
	7.39E-019	0.79	RP11-251M1.1
	2.94E-016	0.75	CTD-2571L23.8
	3.36E-011	0.65	RP11-35O15.1
mont o	1.00E-008	0.58	AC139100.3
TGFB2	1.50E-008	0.58	RP11-158M2.3
	4.68E-008	0.56	RP11-672A2.5
	7.46E-008	0.55	CTD-2357A8.3
	6.68E-008	0.55	RP11-677M14.3

Positively correlating novel cis subtype-specific lncRNAs with oncogenes, CDK6, TGFB2,

and IL2RA from Ph-like and DUX4 subtypes.

192 Table 3: Subtype-specific novel DE lncRNAs co-expressed with oncogenes, which are

193 associated with vital molecular pathways.

Subtype-specific IncRNAs	Cis PC	Pearson correlation coefficient	Associated pathways
RP11-224O19.2 AC004837.5 RP11-251M1.1 CTD-2571L23.8	TGFB2	0.98 0.83 0.79 0.75	Hippo TGF-β Endocytosis

190

191

AC093818.1 AC078883.3	ITGA6	0.95 0.68	PI3K-Akt
U62631.5	CD22	0.78	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) B cell receptor signaling pathway
CTD-2267D19.2 RP11-486L19.2	RARA	0.89 0.70	Pathways in cancer Transcriptional mis-regulation in cancer pathways

The table represents the novel subtype specific DE lncRNAs co-expressed with its *cis* genes such as *TGFB2*, *ITGA6*, *CD22*, and *RARA* genes, which were enriched in vital molecular pathways in BCP-ALL.

However, there were no significant pathways identified within NH-HeH subtype. The subtype-specific *cis* and *trans* acting lncRNAs which are up-regulated and correlated with genes involved in signalling pathways from DUX4 and Ph-like subtypes were hinting their gene expression regulatory activity.

We next related the functions of DUX4 and Ph-like specific DE lncRNAs obtained from *cis* based analysis to those functions identified with DE PC genes. We observed an overlap of 100% (n = 18, Table S2) of pathways from the DUX4 subtype between lncRNAs based and PC based functional enrichment analysis (Fig 2C). Whereas, in Ph-like subtype, we identified 60% (9 out of 15) same pathways between DE PC based and DE lncRNAs based functional enrichment analysis (Table S2 and Fig 2D). However, we identified Ph-like specific lncRNAs to be more strongly correlated with genes involved in key signalling pathways than Ph-like specific protein-coding genes. For example, we identified mTOR and PI3K-AKT exclusively in the Ph-like specific lncRNAs based analysis. Together, our analyses highlight important functions of BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs whose expression correlates tightly with that of cancer-related oncogenes.

Relapse-specific IncRNAs driving BCP-ALL progression

To gain insights into the possible role of lncRNAs driving BCP-ALL progression, we investigated dysregulation of lncRNAs at relapse. For each molecular BCP-ALL subtype, we

performed a differential expression analysis of lncRNAs between ID and REL samples (Fig 3). 947 lncRNAs (Table S3) emerged as significantly DE (absolute Fold change >= +-1.5; P-value \leq 0.01) between ID and REL from the three subtypes. Around 20% (n = 186) of those DE lncRNAs were up-regulated and 80% were down-regulated at relapse. The hierarchical clustering on relapse-specific lncRNAs within each subtype (DUX4, Ph-like, NH-HeH) identified clear separation between ID and REL (Fig 3A-C). We observed 19% (183) relapse-specific lncRNAs identified here overlapped with subtype-specific lncRNAs (Fig 3E). The putative molecular functions of relapse-specific lncRNAs were identified using the previously mentioned guilt-by-association approach. Relapse-specific lncRNAs within Phlike and NH-HeH subtypes did not show any significant correlation with activation of pathways. In contrast, in the DUX4 subtype, we identified 56% (n = 321) relapse-specific lncRNAs correlated with cis PC genes (Table S3). These strongly correlated relapse-specific lncRNAs showed activation of PC genes involved in vital signalling pathways and metabolic pathways, including NF-kappa B-signalling pathway, cell adhesions molecule (CAMS) and metabolic pathways (number of genes involved \geq 3 and P-value \leq 0.05) (Fig 3D, Table S3). These results indicate that relapse-specific markers from DUX4 subtype may be functionally engaged in metabolic and signalling pathways.

Subtype specific BCP-ALL IncRNAs show epigenetic alterations

For the analysis of the methylation status of loci located at the lncRNAs genomic position in the BCP-ALL subtypes, we used DNA methylation array data (collected from Illumina 450k methylation array) from the same patients (n = 46) including matched diagnosis (ID) and relapse (REL) samples (n = 82). The distribution of DNA methylation levels of CpG sites (n = 60,021, Table S4) associated with 7,160 lncRNAs was compared with CpG sites associated with PC genes across all BCP-ALL samples. Unlike the expression levels, the

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

distribution of DNA methylation (hypo-methylation or hyper-methylation) between lncRNAs and PC genes were similar (Fig S1B). Given the robust separation of BCP-ALL subtypes on DNA methylation profile of CpGs associated with lncRNAs on the PCA analysis (Fig 4A), we next studied the differential hypo-methylated (methylation difference value < 0; P-value <= 0.05) and hyper-methylated (methylation difference value > 0.2; P-value <= 0.05) CpGs associated with lncRNAs in each subtype (see materials and method). The hierarchical clustering of differentially methylated (DM) lncRNAs showed distinct methylation patterns of each subtype, concordant with the DE lncRNAs signature (Fig 4B-D, Table S4). In the DUX4 and NH-HeH subtypes the number of hypo-methylated lncRNAs (differential methylation value < 0, P-value <= 0.05) were higher compared to the number of hyper-methylated lncRNAs. We classified the DM lncRNAs based on their genomic regions as gene body methylated and promoter-TSS methylated. In the promoter methylated lncRNAs we identified the same trend with high degree of hypo-methylated and lower number hyper-methylated lncRNAs in DUX4 and NH-HeH subtypes. However, the Phlike subtype has shown a higher degree of hyper-methylated DM lncRNAs than hypomethylated DM lncRNAs. The list of subtype-specific DM lncRNAs from three subtypes contained previously defined epigenetically altered lncRNAs from other cancer types, for example, we observed the oncogenic lncRNAs LINCOO312 (40), PVT1, and TCL6 (41), which are differentially methylated in at least one of the three subtypes. Together, this data illustrates that epigenetically altered lncRNAs within three BCP-ALL subtypes. Correlation between differentially expressed and differentially methylated IncRNAs In order to define whether the subtype-specific promoter methylation impacts on the expression level, we compared the promoter-TSS differential CpG methylated lncRNAs (n = 227) with its differential expression signature. We observed 44 lncRNAs with differential

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

methylation pattern in their promoter region with differential expression pattern at RNA level. Out these, lncRNAs harboring significant hypo-methylation and hyper-methylation pattern (Pearson correlation, 2-tailed P-value <=0.05) at the promoter region accounted for 23 (Table 4) lncRNAs across the three BCP-ALL subtypes.

Table 4: The list of significantly correlated DNA methylation and expression for promoter methylated lncRNAs (n = 23) from BCP-ALL subtypes.

DM lncRNAs	Pearson correlation coefficient	<i>P</i> -value	Methylation	Absolute Fold change	Subtypes	
AC003075.4	-0.31	0.004	1.43	-1.26		
AC099754.1	-0.32	0.002	-1.74	3.2		
AC104655.3	-0.26	0.017	-2.27	2.07		
CACNA1C-AS1	-0.45	2.03E-05	1.97	-1.62		
CTB-25B13.9	-0.26	0.016	-1.73	1.46		
IGF2-AS	-0.24	0.028	-1.33	4.95		
LINC01006	-0.39	0.001	-2.06	2.53		
PVT1	-0.40	0.001	-2.13	1.15	DUX4	
RGMB-AS1	-0.26	0.0193	-1.48	5.96		
RP11-125B21.2	-0.35	0.001	-1.75	4.11		
RP11-138M12.1	-0.70	5.21E-13	-5.98	3.77		
RP11-367G6.3	-0.30	0.004	1.98	-1.63		
RP11-624M8.1	-0.50	1.34E-06	-3.34	4.13		
RP11-789C17.3	-0.36	0.001	-2.27	3.2		
SERTAD4-AS1	-0.25	0.0232	-1.98	1.79		
LINC01006	-0.38	0.0003	1.44	-1.56		
RP11-138M12.1	-0.70	5.21E-13	2.06	-1.44		
RP11-305F18.1	-0.64	5.36E-11	1.76	-2.08		
AC099754.1	-0.33	0.002	1.21	-1.36	Ph-like	
ACVR2B-AS1	-0.36	0.0009	2.18	-1.75		
LINC00996	-0.39	0.0003	-1.56	2.11		
ERICH1-AS1	-0.40	0.0006	-1.82	2.21		
DIO3OS	-0.31	0.0037	-1.76	4.05		
U3	-0.83	1.346E-22	-2.01	2.43	NH-HeH	

The lncRNAs are promoter differentially methylated and differentially expressed in their

corresponding subtypes. DM: Differentially methylated. The significance is calculated based on Pearson correlation rate and two -tailed P-value ≤ 0.05 . Of these 23 putative epigenetically facilitated lncRNAs, 15 were related to the DUX4 subgroup (Fig 5A) including the novel lncRNAs, R11-138M12.1 and RP11-624M8.1. These were significantly hypo-methylated at their promoter region and transcriptionally upregulated in the DUX4 subgroup (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.69; P-value = 5.1E-13 for R11-138M12.1; Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.50; P-value = 1.36E-06 for RP11-624M8.1; Fig 5B and 5C). In the Ph-like subtype, we observed 7 lncRNAs with promoter methylation (Fig 5D); interestingly, the same lncRNA R11-138M12.1 showed significant hypermethylation at the promoter region and a concordant down-regulation in the Ph-like subgroup (Fig 5E). Besides these novel lncRNAs, we identified lncRNAs previously reported in the context of different cancers from our epigenetically altered results. The lncRNAs PVT1 (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.40, 2-tailed P-value <= 0.001), and DIO3OS (42) (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.31, 2-tailed P-value = are examples, which we observed in the DUX4 and NH-HeH subtype with 0.0037) significant anti-correlation (2-tailed *P*-value <= 0.01) to its expression level. Around 46% (n = 512) of DM subtype-specific lncRNAs are localized in the intronic and intergenic genomic regions. We next aimed to investigate whether these lncRNAs regions has chromatin markers encoded within their genomic location. Recent human genome-wide chromatin marker study (43) has provided us with a rich resource to identify chromatin markers. Genome-wide mapping of B-lymphocyte cell line by searching for epigenetic markers within our DM subtype-specific intronic and intergenic regions revealed a significant number of lncRNAs (n=53) (Table S4, Fisher extract test P-value = 2.2E-16) with enchacer and insulator markers (Table S4). Out of these, lncRNAs, RP11-134021.1,

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

RP11-398B16.2, RP11-689B22.2, CTC-458I2.2 and LINCO0880 were DE expressed, with a significant negative correlation between DNA methylation and expression levels in the DUX4 subtype (Table 5).

Table 5: The list of significantly correlated DNA methylation and expression for intronic and Intergenic methylated lncRNAs (n = 5) from DUX4 BCP-ALL subtypes.

DM lncRNAs	Absolute Fold change	Methylation value	Pearson correlation rate	<i>P</i> -value	Epi-markers	Biotype
RP11-134O21.1	2.54	-1.56	-0.63	1.9E-010	Enhancer	Intron
RP11-398B16.2	2.08	-1.85	-0.47	0.0007	Insulator	
RP11-689B22.2	1.52	-3.37	-0.47	0.008	Enhancer	
CTC-458I2.2	-1.16	3.38	-0.42	0.0001	Enhancer	
LINC00880	-1.45	2.23	-0.25	0.02	Enhancer	Intergenic

The significance is calculated based on Pearson correlation rate and two -tailed *P*-value <= 0.05. The lncRNAs are promoter differentially methylated and differentially expressed in their corresponding subtypes. These lncRNAs are with enchancer and insulator epigenetic markers. DM: Differentially methylated.

These findings suggest that epigenetic silencing and activation of promoter lncRNAs may be a mechanism that contributes to the dysregulation of expression of lncRNAs. In addition to that, both intronic and intergenic DM lncRNAs associated with strong enhancer and insulator regions can accelerate its expression at the epigenetic level.

Epigenetic alterations of subtype-specific lncRNAs are associated with evelvated expression of tumor genes located at their *cis* region

We next investigated the relationship between the epigenetic alterations of DM subtypespecific lncRNAs (n = 1118) and the aberrant expressions of their *cis* PC genes. We found

78 protein-coding genes located in their *cis* region, out of these 33 protein-coding genes have shown a significant up-regulated and down-regulated expression pattern in their corresponding subtypes (Table 6).

Table 6: The list of DNA methylated lncRNAs and differentially up and downregulated *cis* PC genes from three subtypes.

Subtype-specific Methylated IncRNAs	DNA methylation	Cis PC	Absolute Fold change	Subtype	
CTD-2231H16.1	-1.6803385981	PLEKHG4B	2.4840131576		
RP11-80H8.4	-4.8712973818	CHST2	5.021248353		
IGF2-AS	-1.5149692084	IGF2	6.7074586227		
RP11-332H18.4	1.7840373497	TBX2	5.6459057649		
RP11-332H18.4	1.7840373497	TBX4	1.5056957579		
RP11-624M8.1	-3.3400278742	HEY2	3.5653881965		
CTB-25B13.9	-1.7341024339	REEP6	1.0135168425		
RGMB-AS1	-1.4711332705	RGMB	4.4287098777		
AC073316.1	-2.1346425435	SDK1	4.2539854375		
CTB-35F21.2	-3.3996007483	CXXC5	1.5322350503		
CTB-35F21.2	-3.5262894443	PSD2	2.0348265047	DIWA	
AC099754.1	-1.7432607805	LRRC3B	3.2956570803	DUX4	
AC078883.4	-3.4089445499	CORO1C	0.797282079		
AC078883.4	-3.4089445499	ITGA6	3.2489394471		
RP11-314O13.1	-1.5432811428	CDYL2	1.7070802978		
RP3-455J7.4	-3.0695619042	CREG1	1.5618184051		
RP11-125B21.2	-1.749750681	VLDLR	4.2858349208		
RP11-676J12.8	-1.8927665898	GLOD4	0.6471353534		
LINC00114	LINC00114 3.4320004844		-0.7176247124		
RP11-367G6.3	1.9798401851	THBS4	-1.5796823436		
CTC-458I2.2	3.3822300323	CTGF	-1.892559675		
RP11-69I8.3	2.355315514	AHR	-2.4815111685		
RP11-293A21.1	-3.6963992484	STIM2	2.487452138	NH-HeH	
LINC00114	-2.9731205546	ETS2	0.9908037963		
ACVR2B-AS1	2.3094716973	ACVR2B	-2.4697071313	Ph-like	
AGAP1-IT1	2.0926102582	AGAP1	-2.9857451706		
RP11-69I8.3	-3.1689478827	CTGF	1.8492946882		
LINC00996	-1.553999019	GIMAP8	1.4145369937		
AC099754.1	1.2121139088	LRRC3B	-2.0406367867		

312

313

CTB-79E8.2	-2.2285747372	NEURL1B	1.1469246971
AL133493.2	2.0838061516	PCBP3	-3.0795988736
RP11-420G6.4	-2.4300037457	SERPINB1	0.5848645847

The table represents hypo-methylated and hyper methylated lncRNAs from three subtypes elevating and diminishing the expression of the protein-coding genes localized at their cis regions. The PC genes differentially up and down regulated in the respective subtypes include tumor genes as well. The highlighted rows are some examples of oncogenes with up-regulated expression profile within DUX4 subtype.

Intriguingly, the up-regulated PC genes include known tumor genes from various cancer types. For example, *IGF2* (44) (absolute fold change = 6.70, *adj.P*-value = 0.0061), *CTGF* (45) (absolute fold change = 1.85, *adj.P*-value = 0.02) and *ETS2* (46) (absolute fold change = 0.99, *adj.P*-value = 0.01) from DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH subtypes respectively (Fig 6 A-C). Together, this illustrates a set of lncRNAs which are capable of epigenetically elevating and silencing the expression profile of tumor genes localized in its *cis* region in BCP-ALL subtypes.

DISCUSSION

Although previous studies have demonstrated the involvement of lncRNAs in acute leukemias (25,26) comprehensive characterization of the transcriptome, epigenetic regulation and functional contribution of lncRNAs in distinct BCP-ALL subtypes are lacking. lncRNAs, as the novel class of functional molecules involved in cancer biology, is defined in the stratification of different molecular subtypes in various cancers (47–49). However, their role in BCP-ALL subtypes has not been investigated. Here, we unravel the lncRNAs landscape using transcriptome and methylome data from 46 (adult and pediatric) relapsed BCP-ALL patients focusing on the three molecular subtypes namely, DUX4, Ph-like, and NH-HeH. Our integrated transcriptomic analyses using RNA-seq and DNA methylation brings

significant insights and advances over other studies: it provides the most comprehensive novel datasets so far for BCP-ALL subtypes, a resource of subtype-specific and relapse-specific lncRNAs, potential lncRNAs functions and uncovers their epigenetic alterations of the BCP-ALL subtypes. We identified 1235 DE subtype-specific lncRNAs dysregulated in at least one of the three subtypes. Compared to the pan-cancer comprehensive set of aberrantly expressed lncRNAs we found 66% (712 out of 1564) of our DE lncRNAs were more specific for our subtypes introducing novel insight in the non-protein-coding part of the genome in BCP-ALL subtypes.

Another important aspect of our study is the identification of relapse-specific dysregulated lncRNAs across three BCP-ALL subtypes. A closer look into the relapse-specific lncRNAs signature identified lncRNAs previously described as oncogenic: lncRNAs including, *RP11-701P16.5 (50)*, *SLC38A3 (51)*, and *LINC00312 (40)*, which are up-regulated in relapsed samples within DUX4 subtype (Table 7).

Table 7: Previously reported lncRNAs identified as relapse-specific lncRNAs in BCP
ALL subtypes.

Relapse-specific lncRNAs	Disease association
TCL6 (DUX4)	Chromosomal translocations T-cell leukaemia/lymphoma (2)
LINC00312 (DUX4, Ph-like, NH-HeH)	Proliferation, invasion, and migration of thyroid cancer, Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (3)
miR-17-92a-1 (DUX4, Ph-like, NH-HeH)	Development, progression, and aggressiveness of colorectal cancer (4)

The differentially expressed lncRNAs between relapse (REL) and initial diagnosis (ID), from three subtypes, which were previously reported for its disease association, selected representative examples from relapse-specific lncRNAs, which are previously identified in other diseases.

Importantly, apoptosis suppressor lncRNA in myc-driven lymphomas (52) miR-17/92 cluster host gene (MIR17HG) is up-regulated in relapse samples within the Ph-like subtype and down regulated in relapsed samples within DUX4 and NH-HeH subtypes. Overall, the relapse-specific lncRNAs highlights the oncogenic relevance in BCP-ALL subtypes. Besides the oncogenic properties, lncRNAs can act as prognostic markers (53) and aid for disease diagnosis and treatment. A subset of our relapse-specific lncRNAs (n = 61, Table S3) is recently identified as prognostic markers in 14 Pan-Cancer data (36) types, including Lung Cancer Associated Transcript 1 (LUCAT1), which is previously reported for its drug resistance in solid cancer (54). Within the DUX4 subtype, we identified up-regulated expression of LUCAT1 at relapse, potentially providing a novel insight into treatment resistance for BCP-ALL subtypes. Together, this illustrates the catalog of relevant lncRNAs in different subtypes of BCP-ALL as subtype-specific and relapse-specific markers with the potential of RNA based treatments in the treatment of BCP-ALL subtypes. The dissection of the regulatory pathways mediated by the molecular subtype-specific and relapse-specific lncRNAs revealed the activation of pivotal signalling pathways across three BCP-ALL subtypes. The functional analysis using guilt-by-association approach highlights the subtype-specific and relapse-specific lncRNAs associated with activation of signaling pathways and metabolic pathways that are associated with leukemogenesis including TGF-Beta, hippo, P53, and JAK-STAT, cytokine-cytokine receptor, endocytosis, mTOR and metabolic pathways. Characterization of the lncRNAs involved in this pathway may potentially reveal novel targets in molecular therapies. The functional insights of relapse-specific and subtype-specific lncRNAs revealed biological relevance to BCP-ALL subtypes including cell cycle functions, signal transduction, cell migration and metabolic processes. Some of the functions predicted here corroborate

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

previous studies emphasizing the strengths of the employed guilt-by-association. For example, lncRNA AC002454.1, which we associated to the PIK3-AKT pathway in Ph-like subtype, was recently reported to regulate cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK6) to participate in cell cycle disorder (55). The CDK6 gene appears to be frequently dysregulated in hematopoietic malignancies (39) and is hence attributed a critical role in tumorigenesis, also shown in ALL driven by mixed lineage leukemia fusion proteins (56). In Ph-like subtype, both CDK6 and AC002454.1 are correlated and up-regulated specifically in Ph-like samples, suggesting they displayed enhancer-like functions. We identified 8 relapse-specific lncRNAs (Table S3) associated with metabolic pathways in the DUX4 subtype overlapping with lncRNAs reported (57) to synergistically dysregulate metabolic pathways in multiple tumour context. Besides known lncRNAs, we also identified novel lncRNAs associated with activation of key signalling pathways. For instance, in DUX4 subtype, we identified a set of novel lncRNAs associated with TGF-beta pathway, including the antisense RP11-224019.2, with a significant positive correlation to the TGFB gene. Recently, there are a number of lncRNAs documented to be associated with TGFß signalling pathway, including MEG3 regulating the TGFB2 pathway in breast cancer (58). However, lncRNAs associated with the TGFß pathway in BCP-ALL subtypes have not been reported. The co-expression of RP11-224019.2 and TGFB in DUX4 subtype may indicate their functional relatedness or regulatory relationships. In addition to that, a notable observation was a strong correlation between relapse-specific lncRNAs with genes involved in the activation of metabolic pathways in the DUX4 subtype. We identified 112 relapse-specific lncRNAs co-expressed with 29 (Table S3) PC genes activated in metabolic pathways, including previously reported 8 biomarker lncRNAs. For example, we identified oncogenic lncRNA LUCAT1 reported to be associated

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

406 with poor prognosis in lung cancer (54). However, the LUCAT1 has not yet been reported in the BCP-ALL context. The global co-expression analysis and gene-expression profiling 407 suggest important and previously unappreciated roles of lncRNAs in the BCP-ALL subtypes. 408 409 Our analyses provide important functions of subtype-specific and relapse-specific lncRNA genes whose expression correlates tightly with oncogenic coding genes. 410 Although we observed that subtype-specific lncRNAs and subtype-specific protein-coding 411 412 genes were predicted to activate or inhibit the same pathways, some important exclusivity was observed. For instance, the signalling pathways such as the PI3K and mTOR in Ph-like 413 subtype was enriched only in the lncRNAs based enrichment analysis, whereas these 414 415 pathways did not appear to be enriched/dysregulated in the mRNA based analysis. The PI3K and mTOR signalling pathways control proliferation, differentiation, and survival of 416 hematopoietic cells (59). Consistent with our studies, other studies indicated the potency of 417 lncRNAs facilitating the cancer cell growth through mTOR and PI3K signalling pathways 418 (36,47,60) yet reports on BCP-ALL subtypes are lacking. Considering the functional nexus 419 420 between Ph-like specific lncRNAs and the activation of pathways such as mTOR and PI3K signalling pathways, targeting those lncRNAs may be a promising novel therapeutic target 421 422 for BCP-ALL subtypes. Our work additionally underscores the importance of epigenetic alterations in modulating 423 lncRNAs transcriptional activities. Although previous studies (16, 57) have demonstrated 424 425 cross-talk between DNA methylation and transcriptional activities of lncRNAs, their role in the etiology of BCP-ALL subtypes has not been investigated. DNA methylation analyses of 426 lncRNAs revealed that DNA methylation might underlie the differential expression of BCP-427 ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs. Subtype-specific lncRNAs identified here have been reported 428 by previous studies. For example, SOX2-OT (62), LINCO0312 (63), TCL6 and PVT1, are 429

onco-lncRNAs, which are promoter methylated in one of the three subtypes. The lncRNA, PVT1 was reported for its MYC activity (64,65) and as oncogenic lncRNA with multiple roles in cell growth, dysfunction, and differentiation in AML (66). Both lncRNAs, LINC00312 and TCL6 have been extensively investigated on expression levels but not on the epigenetic level. The promoters of both TCL6 and LINC00312 were observed to be hypermethylated with corresponding diminished expression in the DUX4 and NH-HeH samples. Notably, the DNA methylation analysis of lncRNAs revealed that DNA methylation might underlie the differential expression of subtype-specific lncRNAs. Our analysis identified 23 subtype-specific lncRNAs showing hypo-methylation and hyper-methylation pattern at their promoter region that are significantly correlated with their diminished and increased expression in respective subtypes. In addition to that, we have identified 33 epigenetically co-regulated oncogenes localized in the cis regions of hypo-methylated and hypermethylated lncRNAs from three subtypes. Interestingly, the oncogene associated with leukemia, IGF2 (44) has shown an elevated expression level in Ph-like subtypes corresponding to the hypo-methylation of its antisense, IGF2-AS1. These findings suggest that epigenetic silencing of lncRNAs genes may be a mechanism that contributes to the dysregulation of expression of lncRNAs and their cis genes in BCP-ALL subtypes. Overall, our study provides an in-depth analysis of the lncRNA transcriptome and epigenome in BCP-ALL subtypes and provides numerous new lncRNAs markers associated with subtype and relapse-specificity and with epigenetic alterations in BCP-ALL subtypes. Additionally, we also demonstrated these lncRNAs might contribute to the regulation of key signalling pathways involved in BCP-ALL. In summary, our study provides a comprehensive set of dysregulated lncRNAs from BCP-ALL subtypes derived using different integrative approaches. This can serve as a major resource of BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNAs and

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

454 their mechanisms of action in detail that might pave the way for the future studies to

investigate key biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets in BCP-ALL subtypes.

Materials and Methods

Patient samples

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

466

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

Patients (n = 46) used in this project were negatively selected for fusion genes detectable

by routine diagnostic workup (BCR-ABL, MLL translocations, ETV6-RUNX1) from 26

pediatric and 22 adult patients. From these patients we collected 44 samples at initial

diagnosis (ID) and 44 samples with relapse (REL). All patients were treated in population-

based German study trials (GMALL for adult and BFM for pediatric patients). A written

informed consent to participate in these trails according to the Declaration of Helsinki was

obtained from all patients. The studies were approved by the ethics board of Charité,

465 Berlin.

Overview of RNA-seg and DNA methylation array data

467 To generate transcriptome profiles of patient samples, mRNA was isolated by using Trizol

reagent (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) procedure from the bone marrow

mononuclear cells (MNCs) of the ID and REL samples. The paired-end RNA sequencing was

done on Illumina HiSeq4000 platform (multiplexing) in the high throughput sequencing

core facility, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany. The RNA-Seq was

performed by using six samples per lane, which resulted in an average of 64 Million

mapped paired reads per sample. For methylation, genomic DNA was isolated using

unstranded Allprep extraction (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) from the bone marrow of same

patients (ID and REL samples) was then hybridized onto an Illumina Infinium

HumanMethylation450K. From the DNA methylation chip we identified 60,021 probes

annotated to 7,190 lncRNAs.

RNA-seg read alignment and quantitative extraction

The STAR aligner (version 2.4.0.1) (67) (2-pass alignment parameters) was used to align paired-end reads to the human genome reference. The human genome reference files used for processing RNA-seq samples were the hg19 (GRCh37) genome version for alignment and transcript annotation from GENCODE version 19 (equivalent Ensembl GRCh37). The transcriptome construction and gene-level counts for each sample were obtained using StringTie (68). The read count information from the files generated by StringTie was extracted using the "prepDE.py" python script provided by the StringTie. We detected 84% of 13,860 lncRNAs (including 23,898 transcripts) annotated by GENCODE (V19) from our samples (FPKM > 0 for multi-exon lncRNAs and FPKM > 0 for single exonic lncRNAs) showing that our sequencing depth was good.

Sample clustering and differential expression analysis for subtype- specific and

relapse-specific IncRNAs

We performed PCA using the *prcomp* R function on 13,860 lncRNAs from RNA-seq and 60,021 CpG's on lncRNAs from DNA methylation datasets. The PCA plots were plotted using python matplotlib axes3D function. The R bioconductor package Linear Models for Microarray (*LIMMA*) *Voom* (69) was used on gene-level expression data for identifying the subtype-specific and relapse-specific differentially expressed (DE) lncRNAs. The subtype-specific DE lncRNAs were identified by implementing separate design matrix for the three subtypes (DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH). Within each subtype, we used using all subtype samples versus the rest of the cohort. Within our cohort (82 samples from 46 patients), not all patients had matching ID and REL samples, and moreover, we wanted to compare across subtypes. LIMMA voom leveraged the sample imbalances and confounder (patient and samples) with its *duplicatecorrelation* function. We implemented *duplicatecorrelation*

function which addressed all patient effects by estimating correlations of multiple samples from the same patient while allowing us to compare across the subtypes. Additionally, we included the ID and REL time factors into the design (*makeContrasts*) to avoid the inflation of the variance due to time factor for each subtype. The relapse-specific DE lncRNAs within each subtype were identified by analysing DE lncRNAs ID versus REL samples within each subtype separately. The significant DE genes were assigned based on the p-value < 0.01 and Fold change of >= +-1.5. The lncRNAs from GENCODE version 19 (equivalent Ensembl GRCh37) were used as reference annotation. The heatmaps and correlation based (Spearman method) hierarchical clustering of DE lncRNAs were performed on z-score transformed LIMMA normalized gene expression values using the R Bioconductor package ComplexHeatmap.

Differential methylation data analysis

The ID and REL samples from the same patients have been assayed with the Illumina 450k methylation array. All the beta values have been normalized using the Subset-quantile Within Array Normalization (SWAN) method. In order to detect differentially methylated regions, we used the R package bumphunter (70) using the most variant quartile of the CpG probes. Bumphunter searches for differentially methylated regions in an annotation-unbiased manner. Separate bumphunter runs have been performed for ID and REL samples for every three subtypes (DUX4, Ph-like, and NH-HeH), using all subtype samples versus the rest of the cohort. We associated the differentially methylated regions from three BCP-ALL subtypes using HOMER (hypergeometric optimization of motif enrichment) suite of tool with the reference file GRCh37.74, using the -gene parameter. HOMER provided us with annotation of each probe, we separated lncRNAs from the output. The genomic regions were divided into promoter (+-2kb from transcription start site, TSS) and gene

body. The gene body was defined if the CpGs were annotated in exonic, intronic or transcription termination site (TTS). The regions mapped to lncRNAs were then used for analysis. The significantly differentially hyper-methylated (Methylation difference value >=0.2; P-value <=0.05) and hypo-methylated (Methylation difference value =<0; P-value <=0.05) regions were used for further analysis. The intronic and intergenic differentially methylated (DM) lncRNAs were then mapped using 'BedTools' with the B-lymphocyte cell line "wgEncodeBroadHmmGm12878HMM.bed" in order to find the epigenetic markers. The significance of enrichment was calculated using Fisher's exact test. The epigenetically altered lncRNAs were assigned if promoter methylated lncRNAs were differentially expressed and their DNA methylation values (log-transformed Beta values) and expression values (log-transformed FPKM values) are correlated. The most significant correlations (Pearson correlations coefficient , 2-tailed P-value <=0.05) were classified later called as epigenetically altered lncRNAs.

Functional predictions using guilt-by-association approach

In our study, we used the "guilt-by-association" (71) approach by establishing the pairwise expression correlations between DE lncRNAs (from all BCP-ALL subtypes) and it's cis and trans protein-coding (PC) genes to predict the functions of subtype-specific lncRNAs. We located the cis and trans PC genes of DE lncRNAs using the GREAT tool (version v3.0.0) (72). All PC genes from GENCODE v19 annotation (n = 20698) were used in the analysis. The individual cis and trans genes for each DE lncRNAs were located within a genomic window of 100 kb and greater >100kb respectively. From each dataset, we then computed the pairwise expression correlation using Pearson correlation method between each lncRNAs and its cis and trans coding gene. The significantly co-expressed PC genes (Pearson correlation coefficient >= 0.55 and 2-tailed P-value <= 0.05) were further used for

functional enrichment analysis using GeneSCF v1.0 (73). The functional enrichment analysis was performed using the KEGG database with a background of all protein-coding genes from GENCODE v19 (20,345). The functional terms were considered significant only if it is enriched with P-value ≤ 0.05 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Johanna Angermaier for discussions and Ulf Leser for the suggestions in

data analysis.

554

555

556

557

564

Author Contributions

558 The project was conceived and designed by: ARJ, CDB, MN, AA. ARJ developed 559 bioinformatics pipeline and analyzed RNA-seq data. MN normalized the methylation data. 560 MSP performed DNA methylation data analysis. MPS, LB, MN and CDB performed the 561 analyses of clinical and molecular data. JOT, CS and KI performed the sample preparation. 562 CMT, MAR, MB, NG, RKS, AVS, MS, MH, TB, SS, HS, SG, RKS, CE were involved in the 563 sample collection, genetic characterization and provided molecular diagnostic data. All

authors were involved in writing and reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 566 1. Portell CA, Wenzell CM, Advani AS. Clinical and pharmacologic aspects of
- blinatumomab in the treatment of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Clinical
- 568 Pharmacology: Advances and Applications. 2013. p. 5–11.
- 569 2. Ito C, Kumagai M, Manabe A, Coustan-Smith E, Raimondi SC, Behm FG, et al.
- 570 Hyperdiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia with 51 to 65 chromosomes: a distinct
- biological entity with a marked propensity to undergo apoptosis. Blood.
- 572 1999;93(1):315–20.
- 573 3. Heerema NA, Nachman JB, Sather HN, Sensel MG, Lee MK, Hutchinson R, et al.
- 574 Hypodiploidy with less than 45 chromosomes confers adverse risk in childhood acute
- lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the children's cancer group. Blood.
- 576 1999;94(12):4036–45.
- 577 4. Dun KA, Vanhaeften R, Batt TJ, Riley LA, Diano G, Williamson J. BCR-ABL1 gene
- 578 rearrangement as a subclonal change in ETV6-RUNX1-positive B-cell acute
- lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood Adv [Internet]. 2016 Dec;1(2):132—138. Available
- from: http://europepmc.org/articles/PMC5737165
- 581 5. Lilljebjörn H, Fioretos T. New oncogenic subtypes in pediatric B-cell precursor acute
- lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2017. p. 1395–401.
- 583 6. Mullighan CG. Genomic profiling of B-progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Best
- Pract Res Clin Haematol [Internet]. 2011;24(4):489–503. Available from:
- http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1521692611000843
- 586 7. Lilljebjörn H, Henningsson R, Hyrenius-Wittsten A, Olsson L, Orsmark-Pietras C, Von
- Palffy S, et al. Identification of ETV6-RUNX1-like and DUX4-rearranged subtypes in
- paediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nat Commun. 2016;7.
- 589 8. Jain N, Roberts KG, Jabbour E, Patel K, Eterovic AK, Chen K, et al. Ph-like acute
- lymphoblastic leukemia: A high-risk subtype in adults. Blood. 2017;129(5):572–81.
- 591 9. Paulsson K, Lilljebjörn H, Biloglav A, Olsson L, Rissler M, Castor A, et al. The genomic
- landscape of high hyperdiploid childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet.
- 593 2015;47(6):672–6.
- 594 10. Holmfeldt L, Wei L, Diaz-Flores E, Walsh M, Zhang J, Ding L, et al. The genomic
- landscape of hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2013;45(3):242–
- 596 52.
- 597 11. Garzon R, Volinia S, Papaioannou D, Nicolet D, Kohlschmidt J, Yan PS, et al.

- 598 Expression and prognostic impact of lncRNAs in acute myeloid leukemia. Proc Natl
- 599 Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2014;111(52):18679–84. Available from:
- 600 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?
- artid=4284555&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract
- 602 12. Chen S, Liang H, Yang H, Zhou K, Xu L, Liu J, et al. Long non-coding RNAs: The
- 603 novel diagnostic biomarkers for leukemia. Environmental Toxicology and
- 604 Pharmacology. 2017. p. 81–6.
- 605 13. Kung JTY, Colognori D, Lee JT. Long noncoding RNAs: Past, present, and future.
- 606 Genetics. 2013;193(3):651–69.
- 607 14. Ward M, McEwan C, Mills JD, Janitz M. Conservation and tissue-specific transcription
- patterns of long noncoding RNAs. J Hum Transcr [Internet]. 2015;1(1):2–9.
- 609 Available from:
- http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/23324015.2015.1077591
- 611 15. Wang P, Ning S, Zhang Y, Li R, Ye J, Zhao Z, et al. Identification of lncRNA-
- associated competing triplets reveals global patterns and prognostic markers for
- 613 cancer. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7):3478–89.
- 614 16. Guttman M, Rinn JL. Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs.
- 615 Nature. 2012. p. 339–46.
- 616 17. Liu Q, Huang J, Zhou N, Zhang Z, Zhang A, Lu Z, et al. LncRNA loc285194 is a p53-
- regulated tumor suppressor. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(9):4976–87.
- 618 18. Spizzo R, Almeida MI, Colombatti A, Calin GA. Long non-coding RNAs and cancer: A
- new frontier of translational research. Oncogene. 2012. p. 4577–87.
- 620 19. Sati S, Ghosh S, Jain V, Scaria V, Sengupta S. Genome-wide analysis reveals distinct
- patterns of epigenetic features in long non-coding RNA loci. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 622 2012;40(20):10018–31.
- 623 20. Yoon J-H, Abdelmohsen K, Gorospe M. Posttranscriptional Gene Regulation by Long
- 624 Noncoding RNA. J Mol Biol. 2013;425(19):3723–30.
- 625 21. Cheetham SW, Gruhl F, Mattick JS, Dinger ME. Long noncoding RNAs and the
- genetics of cancer. Br J Cancer [Internet]. 2013;108(12):2419–25. Available from:
- 627 http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/bjc.2013.233
- 628 22. Gong J, Liu W, Zhang J, Miao X, Guo A-Y. lncRNASNP: a database of SNPs in
- lncRNAs and their potential functions in human and mouse. Nucleic Acids Res
- 630 [Internet]. 2015;43(D1):D181–6. Available from:
- https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gku1000
- 632 23. Zhang Y-Y, Huang S-H, Zhou H-R, Chen C-J, Tian L-H, Shen J-Z. Role of HOTAIR in

- the diagnosis and prognosis of acute leukemia. Oncol Rep. 2016;36(6):3113–22.
- 634 24. Wei S, Wang K. Long noncoding RNAs: pivotal regulators in acute myeloid leukemia.
- Exp Hematol Oncol [Internet]. 2015;5(1):30. Available from:
- http://ehoonline.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40164-016-0059-9
- 637 25. Ronchetti D, Manzoni M, Agnelli L, Vinci C, Fabris S, Cutrona G, et al. LncRNA
- profiling in early-stage chronic lymphocytic leukemia identifies transcriptional
- fingerprints with relevance in clinical outcome. Blood Cancer J. 2016;6(9).
- 640 26. Gioia R, Drouin S, Ouimet M, Caron M, St-Onge P, Richer C, et al. LncRNAs
- downregulated in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia modulate apoptosis, cell
- migration, and DNA damage response. Oncotarget [Internet]. 2017; Available from:
- 643 http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/20817
- 644 27. Derrien T, Johnson R, Bussotti G, Tanzer A, Djebali S, Tilgner H, et al. The GENCODE
- v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: Analysis of their gene structure,
- evolution, and expression. Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1775–89.
- 647 28. Yan X, Hu Z, Feng Y, Hu X, Yuan J, Zhao SD, et al. Comprehensive Genomic
- 648 Characterization of Long Non-coding RNAs across Human Cancers. Cancer Cell.
- 649 2015;28(4):529–40.
- 650 29. Ning S, Zhang J, Wang P, Zhi H, Wang J, Liu Y, et al. Lnc2Cancer: A manually
- curated database of experimentally supported lncRNAs associated with various
- human cancers. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D980–5.
- 653 30. Colombo T, Farina L, Macino G, Paci P. PVT1: A rising star among oncogenic long
- noncoding RNAs. BioMed Research International. 2015.
- 655 31. Pickard MR, Mourtada-Maarabouni M, Williams GT. Long non-coding RNA GAS5
- regulates apoptosis in prostate cancer cell lines. Biochim Biophys Acta.
- 657 2013;1832(10):1613–23.
- 658 32. Petri A, Dybkær K, Bøgsted M, Thrue CA, Hagedorn PH, Schmitz A, et al. Long
- 659 noncoding RNA expression during human B-cell development. PLoS One.
- 660 2015;10(9).
- 661 33. Guil S, Esteller M. Cis-acting noncoding RNAs: friends and foes. Nat Struct Mol Biol
- [Internet]. 2012;19(11):1068–75. Available from:
- http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nsmb.2428
- 664 34. Mondal T, Subhash S, Vaid R, Enroth S, Uday S, Reinius B, et al. MEG3 long
- noncoding RNA regulates the TGF-β pathway genes through formation of RNA-DNA
- triplex structures. Nat Commun. 2015;6.
- 667 35. Pandey RR, Mondal T, Mohammad F, Enroth S, Redrup L, Komorowski J, et al.

- Kcnq1ot1 Antisense Noncoding RNA Mediates Lineage-Specific Transcriptional
- 669 Silencing through Chromatin-Level Regulation. Mol Cell. 2008;32(2):232–46.
- 670 36. Ali MM, Akhade VS, Kosalai ST, Subhash S, Statello L, Meryet-Figuiere M, et al. PAN-
- 671 cancer analysis of S-phase enriched lncRNAs identifies oncogenic drivers and
- biomarkers. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1).
- 673 37. Sadras T, Heatley SL, Kok CH, Dang P, Galbraith KM, McClure BJ, et al. Differential
- 674 expression of MUC4, GPR110 and IL2RA defines two groups of CRLF2-rearranged
- acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients with distinct secondary lesions. Cancer Lett.
- 676 2017;408:92–101.
- 677 38. Dong M, Blobe GC. Role of transforming growth factor-beta in hematologic
- malignancies. Blood [Internet]. 2006;107(12):4589–96. Available from:
- http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?
- artid=1895802&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract
- 681 39. Scheicher R, Hoelbl-Kovacic A, Bellutti F, Tigan A-S, Prchal-Murphy M, Heller G, et
- al. CDK6 as a key regulator of hematopoietic and leukemic stem cell activation.
- 683 Blood. 2015. 90-101 p.
- 684 40. Wang Y-Y, Wu Z-Y, Wang G-C, Liu K, Niu X-B, Gu S, et al. LINC00312 inhibits the
- 685 migration and invasion of bladder cancer cells by targeting miR-197-3p. Tumor Biol
- [Internet]. 2016;37(11):14553–63. Available from:
- 687 http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13277-016-5303-8
- 688 41. Saitou M, Sugimoto J, Hatakeyama T, Russo G, Isobe M. Identification of the TCL6
- genes within the breakpoint cluster region on chromosome 14q32 in T-cell leukemia.
- 690 Oncogene. 2000;19:2796–802.
- 691 42. Mitra R, Chen X, Greenawalt EJ, Maulik U, Jiang W, Zhao Z, et al. Decoding critical
- long non-coding RNA in ovarian cancer epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Nat
- 693 Commun. 2017;8(1).
- 694 43. ENCODE Project Consortium AIE of DE in the H. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA
- elements in the human genome. Nature. 2012;489(7414):57–74.
- 696 44. Wu HK, Weksberg R, Minden MD, Squire JA. Loss of imprinting of human insulin-like
- growth factor II gene, IGF2, in acute myeloid leukemia. Biochem Biophys Res
- 698 Commun. 1997;231(2):466–72.
- 699 45. Lu H, Kojima K, Battula VL, Korchin B, Shi Y, Chen Y, et al. Targeting connective
- tissue growth factor (CTGF) in acute lymphoblastic leukemia preclinical models:
- Anti-CTGF monoclonal antibody attenuates leukemia growth. Ann Hematol.
- 702 2014;93(3):485–92.
- 703 46. Fu L, Fu H, Wu Q, Pang Y, Xu K, Zhou L, et al. High expression of ETS2 predicts poor

- 704 prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia and may guide treatment decisions. J Transl
- 705 Med. 2017;15(1).
- 706 47. Van Grembergen O, Bizet M, de Bony EJ, Calonne E, Putmans P, Brohée S, et al.
- Portraying breast cancers with long noncoding RNAs. Sci Adv [Internet].
- 708 2016;2(9):e1600220. Available from:
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27617288%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.
- 710 nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC5010371
- 711 48. Zhao W, Luo J, Jiao S. Comprehensive characterization of cancer subtype associated
- long non-coding RNAs and their clinical implications. Sci Rep [Internet].
- 713 2014;4:6591. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?
- 714 artid=4194441&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract
- 715 49. Chen H, Xu J, Hong J, Tang R, Zhang X, Fang JY. Long noncoding RNA profiles
- 716 identify five distinct molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer with clinical relevance.
- 717 Mol Oncol. 2014;8(8):1393–403.
- 718 50. Zhou M, Guo M, He D, Wang X, Cui Y, Yang H, et al. A potential signature of eight
- long non-coding RNAs predicts survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J
- 720 Transl Med [Internet]. 2015;13(1):231. Available from: http://www.translational-
- 721 medicine.com/content/13/1/231
- 722 51. Wang Y, Fu L, Cui M, Wang Y, Xu Y, Li M, et al. Amino acid transporter SLC38A3
- 723 promotes metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer cells by activating PDK1. Cancer
- 724 Lett. 2017;393:8–15.
- 725 52. Mogilyansky E, Rigoutsos I. The miR-17/92 cluster: A comprehensive update on its
- genomics, genetics, functions and increasingly important and numerous roles in
- health and disease. Cell Death Differ [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group;
- 728 2013;20(12):1603–14. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2013.125
- 729 53. Zhong L, Lou G, Zhou X, Qin Y, Liu L, Jiang W. A six-long non-coding RNAs signature
- as a potential prognostic marker for survival prediction of ER-positive breast cancer
- 731 patients. Oncotarget. 2017;8(40):3762–72.
- 732 54. Han Z, Shi L. Long non-coding RNA LUCAT1 modulates methotrexate resistance in
- osteosarcoma via miR-200c/ABCB1 axis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun [Internet].
- 734 Elsevier Ltd; 2018;495(1):947–53. Available from:
- 735 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.11.121
- 736 55. Wang Y, Li Y, Yang Z, Liu K, Wang D. Genome-wide microarray analysis of long non-
- coding RNAs in Eutopic secretory endometrium with endometriosis. Cell Physiol
- 738 Biochem. 2015;37(6):2231–45.
- 739 56. Placke T, Faber K, Nonami A, Putwain SL, Salih HR, Heidel FH, et al. Requirement

- for CDK6 in MLL-rearranged acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2014;124(1):13–23.
- 741 57. Chiu HS, Somvanshi S, Patel E, Chen TW, Singh VP, Zorman B, et al. Pan-Cancer
- Analysis of lncRNA Regulation Supports Their Targeting of Cancer Genes in Each
- 743 Tumor Context. Cell Rep. 2018;23(1):297–312.e12.
- 744 58. Mondal T, Subhash S, Vaid R, Enroth S, Uday S, Reinius B, et al. MEG3 long
- noncoding RNA regulates the TGF-β pathway genes through formation of RNA-DNA
- triplex structures. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2015;6:7743. Available from:
- http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2015/150724/ncomms8743/full/ncomms8743.ht
- 748 m
- 749 %5Cnhttp://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms8743%5Cnhttp://www.nc
- 750 bi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26205790
- 751 59. Neri LM, Cani A, Martelli AM, Simioni C, Junghanss C, Tabellini G, et al. Targeting
- the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway in B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- and its therapeutic potential. Leukemia. 2014;28(4):739–48.
- 754 60. Hui Z, Xianglin M, Access O. Association of HOTAIR expression with PI3K / Akt
- 755 pathway activation in adenocarcinoma of esophagogastric junction. 2016;36–40.
- 756 61. Feng N, Ching T, Wang Y, Liu B, Lin H, Shi O, et al. Analysis of microarray data on
- gene expression and methylation to identify long non-coding RNAs in non-small cell
- 758 lung cancer. Sci Rep. 2016;6.
- 759 62. Askarian-Amiri ME, Seyfoddin V, Smart CE, Wang J, Kim JE, Hansji H, et al.
- Emerging role of long non-coding RNA SOX2OT in SOX2 regulation in breast cancer.
- 761 PLoS One. 2014;9(7).
- 762 63. Zhang W, Huang C, Gong Z, Zhao Y, Tang K, Li X, et al. Expression of LINC00312, a
- long intergenic non-coding RNA, is negatively correlated with tumor size but
- positively correlated with lymph node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Mol
- 765 Histol. 2013;44(5):545–54.
- 766 64. Li JR, Sun CH, Li W, Chao RF, Huang CC, Zhou XJ, et al. Cancer RNA-Seq Nexus: A
- database of phenotype-specific transcriptome profiling in cancer cells. Nucleic Acids
- 768 Res. 2016;44(D1):D944–51.
- 769 65. Tseng YY, Moriarity BS, Gong W, Akiyama R, Tiwari A, Kawakami H, et al. PVT1
- dependence in cancer with MYC copy-number increase. Nature. 2014;512(1):82–6.
- 771 66. L'Abbate A, Tolomeo D, Cifola I, Severgnini M, Turchiano A, Augello B, et al. MYC-
- containing amplicons in acute myeloid leukemia: genomic structures, evolution, and
- transcriptional consequences. Leukemia. 2018;1–15.
- 774 67. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: Ultrafast
- universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15–21.

- 776 68. Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. StringTie
- enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat
- 778 Biotechnol. 2015;33(3):290-5.
- 779 69. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential
- 780 expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 781 2015;43(7):e47.
- 782 70. Jaffe AE, Murakami P, Lee H, Leek JT, Fallin MD, Feinberg AP, et al. Bump hunting
- to identify differentially methylated regions in epigenetic epidemiology studies. Int J
- 784 Epidemiol. 2012;41(1):200–9.
- 785 71. Guo X, Gao L, Liao Q, Xiao H, Ma X, Yang X, et al. Long non-coding RNAs function
- annotation: A global prediction method based on bi-colored networks. Nucleic Acids
- 787 Res. 2013;41(2).
- 788 72. McLean CY, Bristor D, Hiller M, Clarke SL, Schaar BT, Lowe CB, et al. GREAT
- improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. Nat Biotechnol.
- 790 2010;28(5):495–501.
- 791 73. Subhash S, Kanduri C. GeneSCF: A real-time based functional enrichment tool with
- support for multiple organisms. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016;17(1).

Supporting Information caption Fig S1: The distribution of lncRNAs and PC gene expression and DNA methylation levels across samples. (A) The level of distribution of expression between 13460 lncRNAs and 20,135 PC genes across 82 BCP-ALL samples. (B) The level of distribution of DNA methylation rate between 60,022 CpGs probes associated with lncRNAs region and 120,000 CpGs probes associated with PC genes across 82 BCP-ALL samples. Fig S2: BCP-ALL subtype-specific differentially expressed lncRNAs. (A-C) The hierarchical clustering representing lncRNAs clustering and expression differences of the compared subtypes DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH; corresponding to 736, 383, and 445 subtype-specific DE lncRNAs in DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH subtypes, respectively. In the DUX4 subtype, 100% of samples clustered together based on the DE lncRNAs signature. The hierarchical clustering of the subtype-specific DE lncRNAs revealed that 90% (19 out of 21 samples) of Ph-like samples clustered within the predefined Ph-like subtype. For the NH-HeH subtype 69% (11 out of 16 samples) of samples correlated and clustered together using the respective DE lncRNA signature. The BCP-ALL samples box representing the number of samples within each subtypes and versus (vs) the other samples used as control group in DE analysis. (D) The overlap between DE subtype specific lncRNAs from three subtypes versus public list of dysregulated lncRNAs from 12 different cancer types comprehensive cancer genome (CGC) Fig S3: Comparison of molecular pathways from cis and trans based analysis on subtype-specific DE lncRNAs. (A) Molecular pathway analysis from functional enrichment analysis on trans (>= 100 kb) protein-coding genes correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient \geq = 0.55 and two-tailed *P*-value \leq = 0.05) with DE lncRNAs in DUX4 subtype.

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

817 (B) The molecular pathways overlapped between cis (< 100 kb proximity) and trans (> 100 kb) based functional enrichment analysis in the DUX4 subtype. (C) Molecular pathway 818 analysis from functional enrichment analysis on trans (> 100 kb) protein-coding genes 819 correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient \geq = 0.55 and two-tailed *P*-value \leq = 0.05) with 820 821 DE lncRNAs in Ph-like subtype. CAMs: Cell adhesion molecules, CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia, AML: Acute myeloid leukemia. 822 Fig S4: The subtype-specific lncRNAs co-expressed with oncogenes involved in key 823 signaling pathways in DUX4 and Ph-like subtypes. (A-B) Antisense RP11-224O19.2 824 (absolute Fold change = 2.786, P-value = 9.74E-08) and its *cis* oncogene *TGFB2* (absolute 825 Fold change = 3.84, P-value = 2.74E-10) are significantly up-regulated in DUX4 samples. 826 827 (C) Antisense lncRNAs R11-536K7.5 located at cis region of oncogene IL2RA. Expression of antisense lncRNA RP11-536K7.5 showed significant co-expression with expression of its cis 828 oncogene IL2RA. Both RP11-536K7.5 (absolute Fold change = 2.79, P-value = 3.07E-008) 829 and IL2RA (absolute Fold change = 3.11, P-value = 3.97e-1) are up-regulated in Ph-like 830 samples. (D) The expression of cis antisense lncRNA AC002454.1 significant co-expressed 831 with its cis oncogene CDK6 in Ph-like subtype. Both CDK6 (absolute Fold change = 1.01, P-832 value = 0.0005) and antisense lncRNA ACO02454.1 (absolute Fold change = 1.79, P-value 833 = 0.00015) are up-regulated in Ph-like samples. 834 Table S1. RNA-seq data used for analysis and subtype-specific lncRNAs from three 835 subtypes 836 Table S2. The functionally involved subtype-specific lncRNAs from DUX4 and Ph-like 837 subtypes. The trans and cis-acting subtype-specific lncRNAs 838 839 Table S3. The relapse-specific lncRNAs from three subtypes. The lncRNAs involved in functions from DUX4 subtype 840

Table S4. DNA methylation array dataset. The differentially methylated lncRNAs from

three subtypes. List of cis-acting epigenetically active lncRNAs.

Figure captions

841

842

843

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

Fig 1: BCP-ALL subtype-specific lncRNA expression signatures.

845 (A) PCA plot constructed from expression FPKM values of lncRNAs from 82 BCP-ALL

samples obtained from RNA-seq. Each point represents a BCP-ALL sample. DUX4, Ph-like,

NH-HeH, LH subtype and others are represented by orange, rose, blue, green and gray

respectively. (B) Heatmap illustrates hireachial clustering DE subtype-specific lncRNAs

(absolute Fold change >= +- 1.5, P-value <= 0.01) signature based on z-score

transformed LIMMA normalized expression values on 930 subtype-specific lncRNAs from

DUX4 (n = 450), Ph-like (n = 193), and NH-HeH (n = 287) subtypes. (C) The venn

diagram illustrates the overlap between subtype-specific lncRNAs from three subtypes,

showing 24 lncRNAs are to be common for all three subtypes.

854 Fig 2: The molecular pathways of lncRNAs involved in the DUX4 and Ph-like BCP-ALL

855 subgroups.

856 (A) The plot depicts the molecular pathway analysis from the functional enrichment

analysis for nearby (<= 100 kb proximity) cis protein-coding genes correlated (Pearson

correlation coefficient \geq = 0.55 and 2-tailed *P*-value \leq = 0.05) with DE lncRNAs in the

DUX4 subtype. (B) The plot depicts the molecular pathway analysis from the functional

enrichment analysis for nearby (<= 100 kb proximity) cis protein-coding genes correlated

(Pearson correlation coefficient \geq = 0.55 and 2-tailed *P*-value \leq = 0.05) with DE lncRNAs

in the Ph-like subtype. (C) The heatmap depicts the concordance between the protein-

coding and lncRNAs based predictions for DUX4 subtypes. (D) The heatmap depicts the

overlapping pathways from both lncRNAs and protein-coding in the Ph-like subtype. The

KEGG pathways or biological functions presented in the heatmaps and barplots show with P-value ≤ 0.05 and ≥ 2 genes involved in each pathways. The hypergeometric p-values are obtained from GeneSCF for the pathways. CAMs: Cell adhesion molecules, CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia, AML: Acute myeloid leukemia. Fig 3: Relapse-specific DE lncRNAs from BCP-ALL subtypes. (A-C) Heatmap depicting the hierarchical clustering on relapse-specific DE lncRNAs signature on Z-score transformed LIMMA normalized expression values from DUX4, Ph-like and NH-HeH subtypes. Each heatmap shows the up and down regulated lncRNAs specific to ID and REL samples. (D) Molecular pathway analysis with the number of genes involved in each pathway from the enrichment analysis of the nearby (< 100 kb proximity) cis proteincoding genes correlated (Pearson correlation > 0.55 and P-value <= 0.05) with relapsespecific DE lncRNAs in the DUX4 subtype. The legend box indicates the number of ID and REL samples within each group. CAMs: Cell adhesion molecules. (E) The overlap between relapse-specific and subtype-specific lncRNAs from three subtypes. Fig 4: Hierarchical clustering of CGID's associated with DM lncRNAs. (A) PCA of CpG's associated with lncRNAs on SWAN normalized β values on 82 BCP-ALL samples obtained from DNA methylation array. Each point represents a BCP-ALL sample. DUX4, Ph-like, NH-HeH, LH and others are represented by orange, rose, blue, green and gray, respectively. (B) The heatmap representing hierarchal clustering on 544 differentially methylated (DM) CGID's associated with 434 lncRNAs in DUX4 subtype. In the DUX4 subtype, we identified 328 (76%) differentially hypo-methylated and 106 (25%) hypermethylated lncRNAs. (C) The heatmap representing hierarchal clustering on 518 DM CGID's associated with 450 lncRNAs in the Ph-like subtype. In Ph-like subtype, we observed 302 (67%) hyper-methylated lncRNAs and 148 (33%) hypo-methylated lncRNAs. (D) The

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

heatmap representing hierarchal clustering on 295 DM CGID's associated with 234 lncRNAs 889 in NH-HeH subtype. In the NH-HeH subtype, we identified 200 (86%) hypo-methylated 890 and 34 (14%) hyper-methylated lncRNAs. The heatmap is plotted using SWAN normalized 891 892 beta values. The barplots below each heatmap represents the distribution of DM lncRNAs in the genome (Promoter-TSS and gene body) lncRNAs from each subtype. The distribution 893 DM Promoter-TSS lncRNAs are as follows: 25%, 29% and 39% in DUX4, Ph-like, and NH-894 895 HeH subtype, respectively. Fig 5: The epigenetically altered promoter methylated lncRNAs and their expression. 896 897 (A) The promoter methylated lncRNAs with significant negative correlation with DE 898 expression profile from the DUX4 subtypes. (B-C) Two representative examples of hypomethylated lncRNAs with increased expression profile from DUX4 subtype. LncRNAs, RP11-899 138M12.1 (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.69, 2-tailed P-value = 5.21e-13), RP11-900 624MB.1 (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.50, P-value = 1.36e-06) are examples with 901 902 hypo-methylation and up-regulated expression pattern with significant inverse correlation 903 between DNA methylation and expression levels. (D) The promoter methylated lncRNAs with significant negative correlation with DE expression profile from the Ph-like subtypes. 904 (E) A representative example of the promoter hyper-methylated lncRNA, RP11-138M12.1 905 906 (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.69, 2-tailed P-value = 5.21e-13) with down-regulated expression pattern, and with inverse correlation within the Ph-like subtype. 907 Fig 6: Differentially methylated lncRNAs epigenetically altered expression levels of the 908 909 cis oncogenes. 910 (A) The upper panel of boxplot represents the DNA methylated lncRNAs, the boxplot below that represents their corresponding cis ocogenes which are up-regulated in DUX4 911

912 subvtpe. The barplox shows representative examples of hypo-methylated lncRNAs, RP11-80H8.4 (DNA methylation value = -4.87, P-value = 0.0001), IGF-AS2 (DNA methylation 913 value = -1.52, P-value = 0.011), RP11-332H18.4 (DNA methylation value = 1.79, P-value 914 = 0.0057), RGMB-AS1 (DNA methylation value = -1.47, P-value = 0.007), and RP11-915 916 125B21.2 (DNA methylation value = -1.75, P-value = 0.007) and its corresponding 917 significatly up-regulated cis oncogenes, CHT2 (absolute log fold change = 5.021, FDR = 2.39E-08), IGF2 (absolute log fold change = 6.71, FDR = 41.412E-15), TBX (absolute log 918 919 fold change = 5.64, FDR = 3.97E-13), and RGMB (absolute log fold change = 4.42, FDR = 3.02E-16) within DUX4 subytpe. (B) The upper panel of boxplot represents the DNA 920 methylated lncRNAs, the boxplot below that represents their corresponding *cis* ocogenes 921 922 which are up-regulated in Ph-like subytpe. The barplox shows representative examples of hypo-methylated lncRNAs, RP11-6918.3 (DNA methylation value = -3.16, P-value = 4.46E-923 924 08), LINC00996 (DNA methylation value = -1.55, P-value = 0.02), CTB-79E8.2 (DNA 925 methylation value = -2.22, P-value = 0.009), RP11-420G6.4 (DNA methylation value = -2.43, P-value = 0.004) and its corresponding significatly up-regulated cis oncogenes, 926 CTGF (absolute log fold change = 1.85, = 0.02), GIMAP8 (absolute log fold change = 927 928 1.14, FDR = 0.004), NEURLB (absolute log fold change = 1.14, FDR = 0.09), SERPINB1 929 (absolute log fold change = 1.63, FDR = 0.0004) within Ph-like subtype. (C) The upper 930 panel of boxplot represents the DNA methylated lncRNAs, the boxplot below that 931 represents their cis ocogenes which are up-regulated in NH-HeH subytpe. The barplot shows representative examples of hypo-methylated lncRNAs, LINCO0114 (DNA methylation 932 933 value = -2.97, P-value = 0.0003), RP11-293A21.1 (DNA methylation value = -3.69, P-934 value = 0.00071) and its corresponding significatly up-regulated cis oncogenes, ETS2 (absolute Fold change = 0.99, FDR = 0.019) and STIM2 (absolute Fold change = 2.48, 935

936 FDR = 6.42E-10) within NH-HeH subtype. False discovery rate: FDR

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND ACCESSION NUMBERS

- 938 All sequencing data used in this study is available at the European Genome
- 939 phenome Archive (accession number to be provided after acceptance of the manuscript)

FUNDING

- 941 This work was supported by the German Cancer Aid (Deutsche Krebshilfe) [grant number
- 942 111533].

937









