The essential genome of the crenarchaeal model Sulfolobus islandicus
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## Supplementary results and discussion

Here we provide additional descriptions and discussion of essential genes in several arCOG functional categories from the perspective of gene function, and highlight a few non-essential genes that possibly play critical roles in Sulfolobus species.

## DNA replication, repair, and recombination

Tn -seq analysis allowed us to identify 23 essential genes involved in DNA replication, repair, and recombination (arCOG functional category [L]) in S. islandicus, among which 14 genes encode core components of archaeal DNA replication machinery. The MCM (minichromosome maintenance complex), one of the indispensable ancillary complexes during DNA replication in Archaea and Eukaryotes, is the replicative helicase for DNA unwinding, performing the function of DnaB in Bacteria. In contrast to the two hyperthermophilic euryarchaea Methanococcus maripaludis and Thermococcus kodakarensis, which possess multiple mcm genes with only one copy required ${ }^{1-3}$, Sulfolobus species contain only one MCM, forming a homohexameric architecture ${ }^{4}$. Given the indispensable roles of MCM for DNA unwinding during DNA replication, the existence of the sole $m c m$ gene in $S$. islandicus explains why the inactivation (via transposon insertion or gene knockout strategy) of mcm is lethal. Notably, the essentiality of the single $m \mathrm{mcm}$ gene was also demonstrated in a halophilic archaeon, Halobacterium sp. NRC-1 ${ }^{5}$. Another two genes involved in the initiation of DNA replication encode GINS homologs Gins23 and Gins15, both of which are essential in S. islandicus M.16.4. Additionally, the archaeal ortholog of Cdc45, which was shown to form a stable complex with GINS to stimulate MCM helicase activity in $S$. solfataricus ${ }^{6}$, was essential in our study. The essentiality of gins, mcm, and cdc45 further supports a view demonstrating the formation of CMG complex is required for DNA replication in Sulfolobus ${ }^{6}$. Intriguingly, recent genetic studies in the hyperthermophilic euryarchaeon T. kodakarensis revealed the Cdc45/RecJ-like protein encoding gene gan was not essential for cell viability ${ }^{7,8}$, indicating the function of CMG complex presumably diverged in archaea.

PCNA (Proliferating cell nuclear antigen) belonging to the family of DNA sliding clamps is structurally and functionally conserved ${ }^{9,10}$. Unlike Euryarchaeota, which generally contain one PCNA gene (with the exception of T. kodakarensis in which two PCNA homologs were found ${ }^{11,12}$ ), Crenarchaeota possess three distinct PCNA subunits. All three subunits were essential in S. islandicus M. 16.4 inferred by Tn -seq data, consistent with a previous genetic analysis in another $S$. islandicus strain Rey $15 \mathrm{~A}^{13}$. These results explain why three PCNA subunits formed a heterotrimer rather than distinct homotrimers to act as the sliding clamp during DNA replication in other Sulfolobus species ${ }^{14}$. The two subunits of replication factor C
( $\mathrm{RFC}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{RFC}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), acting as the loader of the DNA sliding clamp, are found in all three domains and are essential in S. islandicus M.16.4 as well as the euryarchaeon M. maripaludis ${ }^{1}$.

One of the unique features of Archaea is that they exclusively encode both bacterial-type (DnaG) and eukaryotic-type primase, with the later type consisting of a small subunit (catalytic subunit, PriS) and a large subunit (noncatalytic subunit, PriL). The function of DnaG and heterodimer PriLS have been previously biochemically characterized in S. solfataricus ${ }^{15,16}$. Strikingly, a novel primase PriX was recently identified and shown to significantly promote the primer synthesis in vitro by forming a heterotrimer with PriSL in $S$. solfataricus ${ }^{17}$. Here we revealed dnaG in S. islandicus (M164_2048) was essential, in contrast to the non-essentiality of $d n a G$ in the euryarchaea M. Maripaludis and Haloferax volcanii ${ }^{1,16}$. Tn-seq data showed priS (M164_1162) was essential whereas priL (M164_1568) and priX (M164_1652) were classified as "unassigned". Moreover, we were unable to obtain knockouts for priL and priX even if we prolonged the incubation of transformation plates for 20 days, indicating they are required for cell survival in S. islandicus M.16.4. There are another two "unassigned" genes related to DNA maturation: lig, encoding an ATP-dependent DNA ligase ${ }^{18}$, and rnhII, encoding a ribonuclease HII that was shown in vitro to exhibit the cleavage activity of RNA in hybrid RNA/DNA substrates in S. tokodaii ${ }^{19}$. All attempts to delete lig or rnhII were unsuccessful, confirming that they are required for the primer removal during the maturation of Okazaki fragments in Sulfolobus DNA replication. Notably, this result argues against genetic studies performed in the hyperthermophilic euryarchaeon T. kodakarensis in which the function of RNase HII can be replaced by the Fen1 or GAN (GINS-associated nuclease) ${ }^{7}$.

Sulfolobus species encode three B-family DNA polymerases and one Y-family DNA polymerase ${ }^{20}$. However, only dpoB1 (M164_1573) is essential whereas dpoB2 (M164_0814), dpoB3 (M164_2047), and dpo4 (M164_0255) are classified as non-essential via Tn-seq analysis. To validate these results, direct gene disruptions were attempted by using the argD marker cassette to replace the $d p o B 1, d p o B 2, d p o B 3$, and $d p o 4$ in the chromosome. Consequently, individual disruption mutants of $d p o B 2, d p o B 3$, and $d p o 4$ could be successfully obtained (Supplementary Fig. 10b); however, disruption of $d p o B 1$ failed after repeated attempts. These studies suggest DpoB 1 is an authentic replicative DNA polymerase in vivo for Sulfolobus, although in vitro studies showed DpoB2 and DpoB3 possessed very low DNA polymerase and $3^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activities ${ }^{21}$, consistent with phylogenetic analyses suggesting the B-family DNA polymerases evolved by gene duplication events in Crenarchaeota ${ }^{22,23}$. S. islandicus M. 16.4 encodes PolB1-binding proteins PBP1 (M164_1996; arCOG functional category [S]) and PBP2 (M164_1545; arCOG functional category [K]), the
orthologues of which have been recently identified to form a heterotrimeric DNA polymerase holoenzyme together with DpoB1 in a related species $S$. solfataricus ${ }^{24}$. Both Tn -seq and gene knockout analyses showed that $p b p 2$ was essential whereas $p b p 1$ was not (Supplementary Fig. 10c), indicating the formation of heterotrimeric DNA polymerase holoenzyme was not necessary in vivo, at least for the maintenance of cell growth in S. islandicus. Remarkably, with the exception of the two newly isolated thermophilic ammonia-oxidizing thaumarchaea Candidatus Nitrosocaldus cavascurensis and Candidatus Nitrosocaldus islandicus ${ }^{25,26}$, which lack archaeal specific D-family DNA polymerase, both B- and D-family DNA polymerases are present in all other members of Thaumarchaeota lineage as well as Euryarchaeota, Korarchaeota, Aigarchaeota, and Nanoarchaeota lineages. Moreover, genetic studies in both $T$. kodakarensis and M. maripaludis revealed Pol D was possibly the major DNA replicative polymerase because $d p o B$ was non-essential in vivo ${ }^{1,27}$. While Crenarchaeota and Eukaryota both use B-family polymerases to replicate their genomes, which can be interpreted as evidence for their shared ancestry, a recent review of the archaeal tree of life suggested that loss of Pol D instead occurred twice independently in the two lineages ${ }^{28}$. Other essential genes in arCOG functional category [L] included rpa (M164_0180), top6A (M164_1238), top6B (M164_1239), and cren7 (M164_1232) encoding a highly conserved chromatin protein in Crenarchaeota ${ }^{29}$. The 5' flap endonuclease (M164_1965, FEN1) that strongly interacts with PCNA2 ${ }^{14}$ is essential, whereas in Euryarchaeota it was previously shown that fenl could be disrupted or deleted ${ }^{1,7}$.

DNA damage repair in Sulfolobus species remained largely elusive and most of the predicted candidates related to DNA repair are non-essential (Supplementary Table 3). In particular, the canonical DNA mismatch repair pathway has not been found in Sulfolobus species so far. Recently, Ishino et al. reported that a mismatch-specific endonuclease (EndoMS) in $T$. kodakarensis could specifically cleave dsDNA substrates with mismatched bases incorporated ${ }^{30}$. The EndoMS was found to be present in some bacteria particularly in Actinobacteria, and distributed in archaeal members belonging to the TACK superphylum, Euryarchaeota, and ASGARD phylum ${ }^{31,32}$. Here we showed that the $S$. islandicus EndoMS homolog (M164_0025; annotated as NucS), existing in all sequenced Sulfolobus species, was non-essential by both Tn -seq and gene knockout experiments (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Investigation of the spontaneous mutation rates and mutation spectra in wild-type and endoMS mutant strains with a forward mutation assay will be required to identify whether the Sulfolobus EndoMS homolog plays a functional role in the mutation avoidance, as recently reported in Mycobacterium tuberculosis ${ }^{32}$ and Corynebacterium glutamicum ${ }^{33}$. The udg4 (M164_0085), encoding uracil-DNA glycosylase, was classified as "unassigned" by Tn -seq assay. We speculate the udg4 mutant colonies generated by the insertion of transposon were not
successfully captured on plates within 10 days of incubation, which was supported by the observation of the udg4 knockout mutant exhibiting a greatly reduced cell viability in comparison to the wild type strain (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Four genes nurA (M164_0062), rad50 (M164_0063), mre11 (M164_0064), and herA (M164_0065), relevant to double-strand DNA break repair (DSB), were essential in S. islandicus M.16.4 as revealed by Tn-seq data, consistent with previous genetic analyses in S. islandicus Rey15A and $T$. kodakarensis ${ }^{34,35}$. The gene that encodes RadA (M164_1897), the archaeal ortholog of RecA/Rad51 family recombinase, was essential in our study. Genetic analysis of radA in S. islandicus Rey15A and T. kodakarensis showed that mutation of this gene was lethal ${ }^{34,35}$, whereas the $H$. volcanii strain lacking radA was viable but defective in homologous recombination ${ }^{36}$. More interestingly, it has been shown recently that radA was required for the cell survival of $H$. volcanii that lacked all four replication origins ${ }^{37}$. In contrast to hyperthermophilic archaea, radA, rad50, and mrell individual deletion mutants have been successfully generated in mesophilic archaea Halobacterium salinarum or H. volcaniii ${ }^{36,38-40}$. The apparent essentiality of HR-related genes in hyperthermophilic archaea suggested that they presumably harbor a unique mechanism, which is different from that of mesophilic archaea, in order to adapt to their harsh environments, particularly elevated temperatures ${ }^{41}$.

## Transcription

Tn-seq analysis predicted that 11 among 13 RNAP subunits in S. islandicus M.16.4 were essential. The Archaea-specific Rpo13 (M164_1754), a RNAP-DNA stabilization factor ${ }^{42}$, was identified as non-essential by Tn -seq analysis and successful construction of a rpol3 disruption mutant (Supplementary Fig. 3b). The RNAP subunit Rpo8 (M164_1872) found in many eukaryotes and highly conserved in Crenarchaeota and Korarchaeota ${ }^{43}$, was categorized as "unassigned" $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{FC}=-3.77\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{EI}=2\right)$. Further genetic analysis revealed the disruption of $r p o 8$ was not lethal (Supplementary Fig. 3b); however, growth of the rpo8 disruption mutant was significantly impaired compared with that of the wild type strain (Supplementary Fig. 2c). The dispensability of Rpo8 or Rpo13 suggests a complete RNAP, consisting of 13 subunits ${ }^{42,44}$, is not required to maintain cell survival in vivo for Sulfolobus, at least in S. islandicus M.16.4.

Like that of eukaryotes, transcription initiation in Archaea required the TATA-box binding protein (TBP) and transcription factor B (TFB) bound to DNA for promoter-dependent transcription. Both Tn -seq and knockout analyses confirmed that the TBP-encoding gene (M164_1259) was essential whereas the TBP-interacting protein TIP49 ${ }^{45}$ encoded by M164_0257 was non-essential in S. islandicus (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3b). Three TFB paralogues, encoded by tfbl (M164_1706), tfb2 (M164_1265), and tfb3 (M164_1868) respectively, were found in the genome of S. islandicus M.16.4. The genes $t f b 1$
and $t f b 2$ were essential whereas $t f b 3$ was not, which were confirmed by both Tn -seq and knockout analyses (Supplementary Fig. 3b). In agreement with our discoveries, similar results regarding the essentiality/non-essentiality of these three TFB paralogs have also been observed in S. acidocaldarius via gene disruption analyses ${ }^{46}$. The TFB1 has been identified in vitro as one of three indispensable factors to direct accurate transcription in S. shibatae ${ }^{47}$, whereas the TFB2 was proposed to be involved in the regulation of cell cycle in S. acdocaldarius ${ }^{48}$. Additionally, $t f b 3$ was found to be highly transcribed after UV treatment in $S$. solfataricus and S. acdocaldarius ${ }^{49,50}$. Strikingly, it has been shown recently that $t f b 3$ could regulate the expression of genes involved in cellular aggregation and DNA transfer when the cells were subjected to NQO (4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide) or UV- induced DNA damage ${ }^{51,52}$.

An additional transcription factor IIE- $\alpha$ (renamed as TFE $\alpha$, M164_1881) was annotated in the genome of S. islandicus M.16.4 and was revealed to be essential by Tn -seq and gene knockout analyses. Notably, the archaeal counterpart of TFIIE $\beta$ (renamed as TFE $\beta$ ) has been functionally characterized in S. solfataricus recently ${ }^{53}$, and the TFE $\beta$ homolog in S. islandicus (M164_1266) was shown to be essential in our study, consistent with the genetic analysis performed in $S$. acidocaldarius ${ }^{53}$. Four genes spt4 (M164_1736), nusG (M164_1807), nusA (M164_1922), and nusA-like (M164_1973), which are proposed to be involved in the transcription elongation, were essential. Strikingly, M164_1885, coding for an orthologue of the eukaryotic transcriptional elongation factor Elf1 found in all Crenarchaeota ${ }^{54}$, was nonessential. Functional characterization of the elfl deletion mutant in $S$. islandicus will help us to understand the roles of elfl in archaeal transcription. Four paralogues of putative transcript cleavage factor (TFS1, TFS2, TFS3, and TFS4) were found in the genome of S. solfataricus and S. islandicus ${ }^{55}$, among which $t f s l$ (M164_1859), tfs3 (M164_1858), and $t f s 4$ (M164_0715) were predicted to be non-essential by Tn -seq analysis. This prediction was confirmed via successful obtainment of individual knockout mutants in standard growth conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3b). The fourth $t f s 2$ (M164_1524), highly conserved in all Crenarchaeota, was classified as "unassigned" by Tn-seq analysis; however, it was later confirmed to be essential by means of knockout analysis, suggesting TFS2 plays more crucial functions in comparison to the other three TFS paralogs.

Unlike the euryarchaeon $H$. volcanii in which only a single SmAP is encoded ${ }^{56}$, crenarchaea contain three SmAP paralogues (hereafter named as SmAP1, SmAP2, and SmAP3) annotated as " $\underline{s m a l l}$ nuclear ribonucleoprotein ( snRNP ) homolog" ${ }^{57}$. Tn-seq analysis revealed smAP1 (M164_1376) and smAP2 (M164_1942) were essential whereas smAP3 (M164_1873) was non-
essential. The essentiality of both smAP1 and smAP2 genes could be possibly explained by a previous study, which demonstrated that SmAP1/SmAP2 strongly interacted with each other and co-purified with essential components involved in exosome, RNA modification, turnover, and translation ${ }^{58}$. In eukaryotes, the biogenesis of spliceosomal snRNP proteins required involvement of the SMN protein that interacted with an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger protein ZPR1 ${ }^{59}$. Targeted disruption studies of zprl indicated that it was essential for cell viability ${ }^{60}$, and played important roles in transcription and cell cycle ${ }^{61}$. M164_0237, encoding a homolog of ZPR1, was an essential gene candidate in our Tn-seq assay, but its assignment was inconclusive in M. maripaludis ${ }^{1}$. Although categorized as "general functional prediction only" in the arCOG functional database, it is tempting to speculate ZPR1 plays a similar role in Crenarchaeota. The remaining essential genes in this functional category were mostly annotated as transcription regulators with unknown specific functions.

## Translation

Tn -seq analysis revealed that 113 were essential among 200 genes in arCOG functional category [J]), mostly composed of ribosomal proteins, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs), and translation initiation/elongation factors. Ribosomal proteins in S. islandicus M.16.4 are composed of 37 large- and 28 small subunits, among which 27 large-subunit encoding genes and 20 small-subunit encoding genes were essential. Two small-subunit ribosomal proteins M164_1730 and M164_1557, homologs of the archaeon-eukaryote S25e and S26e, respectively, were non-essential in S. islandicus M.16.4. The third, M164_1159, encoding the small-subunit ribosomal protein S27e, was non-essential though it is widely distributed in the archaeal domain.

Genomic analysis revealed 21 aaRS-related genes were present in S. islandicus M.16.4, among which 18 genes were essential. Two genes, M164_0290 (hereafter named as thrS1) and M164_1768 (hereafter named as thrS2), encode ThrRS in S. islandicus M.16.4. The thrS1 was classified as "unassigned" whereas thrS2 was non-essential. Further genetic analysis showed that thrSl could not be knocked out; however, the thrS2 disruption mutant could be readily generated (Supplementary Fig. 3b), suggesting that thrSl plays a crucial function in protein synthesis. Two genes (M164_1539 and M164_1649; named as leuS1 and leuS2 respectively) encoding LeuRS were annotated in S. islandicus M.16.4; however, only leuSl was required for cell survival, leaving the function of leuS2 unknown. Notably, the two freestanding homologues of AlaRS editing domain, AlaX1 (M164_1702) and AlaX2 (M164_0462), shown to hydrolyze misacylated tRNA ${ }^{\text {Ala }}$ in S. solfataricus ${ }^{62}$, were non-essential in S. islandicus M.16.4. This finding was further confirmed by genetic analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3b), indicating that they
have overlapped functions or play less fundamental roles. S. islandicus M.16.4 possesses all aaRSs required for synthesizing each aminoacyl-tRNA except for GlnRS and AsnRS, which are used to directly attach Gln and Asn respectively to their cognate tRNAs. These observations indicate that aminoacyl-tRNA amidotransferase (Adt) is required for the synthesis of Gln-tRNA and Asn-tRNA. Comparative genomic analysis showed that S. islandicus M.16.4 contained two types of Adt, which are supposed to correct the misacylated Glu-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln }}$ and/or Asp-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Asn }}$ in the indirect pathway of Gln-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln }}$ and or/ Asn-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Asn }}$. The first Adt (Asp/Glu-Adt), existing in most bacteria and some archaea and capable of synthesizing both Asn-tRNA and Gln-tRNA ${ }^{63}$, is supposed to function as a heterotrimeric enzyme (GatCAB) similarly in $S$. islandicus M.16.4. Though three GatA paralogues (named as GatA-1, 2, and 3) are present, only gatA-1 (M164_1253) is essential, suggesting that gatA-2 (M164_0374) or gatA-3 (M164_1369) is functionally redundant. The other two subunits (GatB and GatC) of GatCAB encoded by M164_1911 and M164_1252 respectively were essential as revealed by Tn -seq analysis. Additionally, S. islandiucs M1.6.4 possesses a heterodimeric amidotransferase (GatDE) for Gln-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln }}$ formation, which has been biochemically characterized in Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus and predicted to be exclusively Archaeaspecific ${ }^{64,65}$. The GatD and GatE subunits, encoded by two adjacent genes M164_1273 (annotated as ansB and assigned into arCOG functional category [E]) and M164_1274, respectively, were essential in S. islandicus M.16.4. The existence and essentiality of both GatCAB and GatDE in $S$. islandicus suggest these two complexes play distinct functions in protein synthesis.

All 13 genes involved in the cycle of translation were essential in S. islandicus M.16.4. These include 9 translation initiation factors: aIF-1A (M164_0191), aIF-2 (M164_1916), a/eIF2 $\alpha$ (M164_1158), a/eIF2 $\beta$ (M164_0194), a/eIF2 $\gamma$ (M164_1739), aSUI1 (M164_1707), aIF5A (M164_1237), aIF-6 (M164_1802), and RLI1 (M164_1861), 3 translation elongation factors: EF-1 $\alpha$ (M164_1926), EF-1 $\beta$ (M164_1968), and EF-2/EF-G (M164_1407), and one translation termination factor aRF1 (M164_0157). Notably, Sulfolobales do not contain the selenocysteine-specific translation elongation factor (SelB) which extensively exists in Methanococcales ${ }^{66}$ and was shown to be essential previously in M. maripaludis ${ }^{1}$. Instead, a SelB-like protein (SelBL) is present in S. islandicus M. 16.4 (M164_1681) and found to be widely distributed in diverse archaea ${ }^{66}$. The function of SelBL remains elusive; however, both Tn -seq and genetic analyses (Supplementary Fig. 3b) showed that selBL was non-essential, suggesting it plays less fundamental roles in Sulfolobus translation.

## Cell cycle, cell division, and chromosome segregations

In the arCOG functional category [D], six genes were essential inferred by Tn-seq data, including the $c d v A$ (M164_1293), $c d v B$ (M164_1294; also named as escrt-III), and $c d v C$ (M164_1295; also named as vps4), which have been proved to be the crucial components of ESCRT (Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport)-III-based cell division apparatus in Sulfolobus ${ }^{67,68}$. Furthermore, repeated attempts to knock out individual $c d v A, c d v B$, and $c d v C$ genes in $S$. islandicus M. 16.4 failed to generate any transformants in standard growth conditions, further confirming the essentiality of the ESCRT-III system for Sulfolobus cell survival. Additionally, like S. acidocaldarius and S. solfataricus P2, S. islandicus M.16.4 contains three $c d v B$ paralogs: $c d v B 1$ (M164_1700), $c d v B 2$ (M164_1319), and $c d v B 3$ (M164_1510). Interestingly, the essentiality and function of these three CdvB paralogs seemed to be divergent in S. acidocaldarius and S. islandicus. Genetic analyses of $c d v B$ paralogous genes in $S$. acidocaldarius indicated that none was essential for cell viability though a significant growth defect and impaired cell division were observed in the $c d \nu B 3$ mutant ${ }^{69}$. By contrast, a recent genetic study in S. islandicus REY15A showed growth between the $c d v B 3$ deletion mutant and parental strain was indistinguishable, and CdvB3 actually played a role in virus budding rather than cell division ${ }^{70}$. It should be noted that the $c d v B 3$ in our Tn -seq analysis is possibly an example of false positive essential gene calling, because we can readily obtain the $c d v B 3$ disruption mutant in standard laboratory conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3b) with a recently developed microhomology-mediated gene inactivation system ${ }^{71}$. Our Tn-seq and genetic knockout analyses confirmed that $c d v B 2$ was essential in S. islandicus M.16.4, in agreement with a previous study demonstrating $c d v B 2$ was essential and played crucial roles in the late stages of cell division in S. islandicus REY15A ${ }^{70}$. In contrast, the $c d v B 1$ gene, which has been shown to be essential and involved in the early stage of cell division in $S$. islandicus REY $15 \mathrm{~A}^{70}$, was very unlikely essential in our strain as validated by both Tn -seq $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{FC}=0.48\right.$; $\mathrm{EI}=18$ ) and genetic knockout analyses (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

Sulfolobus species utilize a hybrid DNA-partition machine, consisting of two interacting components SegA and SegB, to drive chromosome segregation during M phase of the cell cycle ${ }^{72}$. Unexpectedly, Tn-seq analysis revealed segA (M164_2088; arCOG functional category [D]) and segB (M164_2087; arCOG functional category [S]) were non-essential in S. islandicus M.16.4, in agreement with genetic knockout experiments (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Further phenotypic characterization of the mutant strains with in-frame deletion in $\operatorname{seg} A, \operatorname{seg} B$, and $\operatorname{seg} A B$ will help us to dissect the mechanism of chromosome segregation system in Sulfolobus species. The remaining essential gene in arCOG functional category [D] M164_1692 encodes an ATPase-like protein conserved in all three domains.

## Gene essentiality in central carbon metabolism (CCM)

We also examined gene essentiality in pathways of CCM, including glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and the oxidative TCA cycle, which have been well reconstructed in a related species $S$. solfataricus $\mathrm{P}^{73}$.

Among the genes in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis predicted by the reconstructed central metabolic pathways in $S$. solfataricus ${ }^{73}$ and KEGG pathway database, five genes were possibly essential as revealed by Tn -seq data. Among those, three genes, encoding enzymes fructosebisphosphatase (M164_1862), glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (M164_0092), and phosphoglucomutase (M164_1935), respectively, were involved in the last three steps of gluconeogenesis. The fourth, M164_2166, encodes the 2-keto-3-deoxy-(6-phospho) gluconate aldolase (KDG aldolase). The remaining candidate essential gene involved in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis was M164_2749, encoding the alpha subunit of 2-oxoacid: ferredoxin oxidoreductase (OFOR) that was presumably responsible for the formation of acetyl-CoA from pyruvate.

Next, we surveyed the essentiality of genes that involved in the reversed ribulosemonophosphate pathway (RuMP), a pathway that substitutes the classic pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) in most of archaea including S. islandicus M.16.4. Like other Sulfolobus species ${ }^{74}$, S. islandicus M. 16.4 contains all enzymes involved in the RuMP pathway, including 6-phospho-3-hexuloisomerase (M164_1993), 3-hexulose-6-phosphate synthase (M164_1939), ribose-5-phosphate isomerase (M164_1228), ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase (M164_1165), and transketolase fused by two subunits (M164_1848/M164_1849). Our Tn-seq data showed that all six genes were essential, suggesting that the RuMp pathway was indispensable for cell survival in S. islandicus under standard laboratory conditions.

Comparative genomic analysis showed that S. islandicus M. 16.4 harbors a complete TCA cycle. The candidate enzymes for all steps of TCA cycle were present except for the 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase complex (OGDC). Considering the absence of OGDC and the broad substrate specificity of OFOR towards 2-oxoacids ${ }^{73,75}$, formation of succinyl-CoA from 2-oxoglutarate in the TCA cycle was proposed to be operated by the OFOR in S. islandicus M.16.4 as well. There are 13 genes encoding for core enzymes of the TCA cycle in S. islandicus M.16.4, 12 of which were shown to be essential, whereas the remaining one, M164_2478, encoding the beta subunit of SisOFOR, was classified as "unassigned". Notably, three other SisOFOR (M164_2479/M164_2478) paralogous gene pairs i.e. M164_0365/M164_0364, M164_0396/M164_0395, and M164_2553/M164_2552 are present in S. islandicus M.16.4, all of which were non-essential. These observations and our experimental data demonstrated that
physiological roles of SisOFOR (M164_2479/M164_2478) were irreplaceable in the TCA cycle. Lastly, our Tn-seq data revealed that M164_0683 and M164_0684, encoding isocitrate lyase and malate synthase, respectively, were non-essential, indicating the glyoxylate cycle was not required in our laboratory conditions.

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of number of reads and insertions in three independent transposon mutant libraries

| Library | No. of <br> colonies | Colony <br> collection <br> way | No. of reads <br> mapped <br> the genome | No. of unique <br> insertions <br> in <br> total | No. of unique <br> insertions(>1 | No. of unique <br> insertions(>2 <br> reads) | No. of unique <br> insertions(>3 <br> reads) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CYZ-TL1 | 25,318 | Pick | $2.9 \times 10^{7}$ | 83,906 | 30,210 | 23,948 | 22,539 |
| CYZ-TL2 | 36,840 | Wash | $1.7 \times 10^{7}$ | 47,967 | 34,664 | 33,881 | 33,582 |
| CYZ-TL2 | 43,810 | Wash | $2.5 \times 10^{6}$ | 35,217 | 33,712 | 33,132 | 32,640 |
| Total | 105,968 | - | - | 167,090 | 98,586 | 90,961 | 88,761 |

## Supplementary Table 2: Summary of self-nucleotide BLAST results that reveal matching

 sections elsewhere in the genome| Locus tag | Start | End | Match start | Match end | Match length (bp) | Match ID\% | Genes hit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M164_0862 | 808672 | 809127 | 2010585 | 2010442 | 144 | 97.22 | None |
| M164_1012 | 952035 | 951631 | 559226 | 558828 | 406 | 83.5 | M164_0624 |
| M164_1867 | 1712920 | 1711262 | 1264800 | 1264914 | 115 | 86.09 | M164_1334 |

## Supplementary Table 3: Evaluation of selected essential/non-essential gene candidates

inferred by Tn-seq, and then confirmed with genetic knockout analysis in S. islandicus

| Cellular Process | Gene symbols/locus tags ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | Essentiality by Tn -seq | Essentiality by KO assay" ${ }^{\# \#}$ | Source/Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | orcl-1, orcl-2, orcl-3, whip | - | - | 76 |
|  | mcm, gins23, gins15, priS, rpa, dpoB1, rfcL, rfcS, fen1, cdc45, nrdJ, dnaG, pbp2 | + | + | This study and ${ }^{34}$ |
|  | pcnal, pcna2, pcna3 | + | + | ${ }^{13}$ |
|  | lig, priL, priX, rnhII | Unassigned | + | This study and ${ }^{17}$ |
|  | dpoB2, dpoB3, dpo4, pbp1, nrdB | - | - | This study |
| Recombination /Repair | nurA, rad50, mrel1, herA, radA | + | + | 34 |
|  | radB, radC1, radC2 | - | - | 34,77 |
|  | hje, hjc | - | - | 78 |
|  | hjm (hel308a), xer | - | - | This study |
|  | xpb1, xpb2, xpd, xpf, baxl, phrB | - | - | 34 |
|  | endoMS, ogt, ogg2, udg5 | - | - | This study |
|  | exoIII, endoIII, endoIV, endoV | - | - | This study and ${ }^{79}$ |
|  | udg4 | Unassigned | - | This study |
| Chromatin | topR1 | - | - | This study |
|  | topR2 | + | - | This study |
|  | topIII (topIA) | - | - | 80 |
|  | top6A, top6B | + | + | This study |
|  | cren7, albal | + | + | This study |
|  | sul7d1, sul7d2, alba2, sir2, pat | - | - | This study |
| Cell division /genome segregation | $c d v A, c d v B, c d v C, c d v B 2$ | + | + | This study |
|  | $c d \nu B 3$ | + | - | This study |
|  | cdvB1 | - | - | This study |


|  | $\operatorname{seg} A, \operatorname{seg} B$ | - | - | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transcription | tbp, tfbl, tfb2, tfe- $\alpha$, tfe- $\beta$, nusA, nusA-like, nusG, spt4 | + | + | This study |
|  | $t f s 2$ | Unassigned | + | This study |
|  | rpo8 | Unassigned | - | This study |
|  | tfb3, tfsl, tfs3, tfs4, tip49, rpol3 | - | - | This study |
| Translation | alaX1, alaX2, leuS2, thrS2, selBL | - | - | This study |
|  | thrS1 | Unassigned | + | This study |
| Other functional categories | lacS, pyrE, pyrF, amyA, upsE, upsF, cas1, cas3', cas3", cas6, csa5, cas7, cmr2a (cas10), cas4, cas2, csal, cbp1, csa3a*, csa3b, | - | - | 81-89 |
|  | cas5 | + | + | This study |
|  | pinA | + | + | 90 |
|  | aKMT | - | - | 79 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M164_0809, M164_2103, } \\ & \text { M164_2020 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | This study |
|  | M164_1243 | + | + | 91 |
|  | M164_1756, M164_0737 | - | - | 91 |
|  | M164_1060 | + | + | This study |
|  | apt | + | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 83 |

+: Essential; -: Non-essential.
\#: Locus tags and annotations of genes were shown in Supplementary Dataset 10.
\#\# KO assay: Gene knockout experiment was performed at least 4 times for every possibly essential/nonessential gene inferred by Tn-seq. The gene essentiality in the KO assay was determined based on the facts that no transformants or only false positive transformants were obtained in nutrition-rich plates with 10-20 days' incubation at $76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
*: The csa3a gene that encodes for a transcriptional regulator of cas genes ${ }^{87}$ in S. islandicus M.16.4 is split by an approximate 14 kb of integrated provirus.
\$: The strain with an inactivation of apt gene, encoding the adenine phosphoribosyltransferase, exhibited extremely slow/poor growth on solid plates lacking AMP or GMP ${ }^{83}$.

## Supplementary Table 4: Comparison of observed phyletic distribution to 100 scaled

 randomizations in terms of number of genes| Category | \# genes <br> observed | Mean \# genes <br> simulated | stdev genes <br> simulated | $p_{\text {above }}$ | $p_{\text {below }}$ | $p_{2 \text {-tail }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Universal | 141 | 163.83 | 3.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EA | 80 | 8.69 | 2.82 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Archaea | 55 | 9.81 | 2.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TACK | 18 | 10.39 | 3.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sulfolobales | 73 | 147.09 | 3.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 74 | 61.96 | 5.59 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

Notes: P-values are an estimate based on simulated distribution (See "Methods"). Counts in the "Simulated" columns are the arithmetic mean of 100 random counts. Categories are defined


| Total conserved <br> COGs in S. islandicus | Excluded conserved* | Essential conserved $\dagger$ | \% Conserved essential $\ddagger$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | 6 | 67 | 72\% | ${ }^{92}$ Puigbò, et al. 2009 NUTs (COGs) |
| 78 | 3 | 64 | 85\% | ${ }^{93}$ Harris, et al. 2003 LCA (COGs) |
| 236 | 25 | 123 | 58\% | ${ }^{94}$ Gil, et al. 2003 (COGs) |
| 165 | 57 | 56 | 52\% | ${ }^{95}$ Weiss, et al. 2016 LUCA (COGs) |
| 931 | 153 | 314 | 40\% | ${ }^{96}$ Wolf, et al. 2012 LACA (arCOGs) |
| 26 | 0 | 25 | 96\% | ${ }^{97}$ Guy and Ettema 2011 Universal Genes (COGs) |
| 48 | 1 | 36 | 77\% | ${ }^{98}$ Raymann, et al. 2015 Archaea/Bacteria (COGs) |
| 73 | 2 | 57 | 80\% | ${ }^{98}$ Raymann, et al. 2015 <br> Archaea/Eukaryota (COGs) ${ }^{97}$ |
| 24 | 6 | 10 | 56\% | ${ }^{99,100}$ ESPs and membrane remodeling proteins in Sulfolobus (arCOGs) |
| 34 | 0 | 29 | 85\% | ${ }^{101}$ Yutin, et al. 2012 universal ribosomal proteins (arCOGs) |
| 386 | 70 | 157 | 50\% | ${ }^{102}$ Mirkin, et al. 2003 LUCA (COGs) |
| 111 | 10 | 73 | 72\% | ${ }^{103}$ Makarova, et al. 2015 (arCOGs) |

* Excluded because of multiple matching gene for COGs.

446 Supplementary Table 6: Poorly characterized essential genes shared within Archaea,
447 Eukaryotes, and Sulfolobales

| Locus tags | Phyletic category | arCOG | Predicted characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M164_1243 | Archaea | arCOG00557 | Lhr-like helicase with C-terminal Zn <br> finger domain |
| M164_1908 | Archaea | arCOG00933 | Radical SAM superfamily enzyme |
| M164_1554 | Archaea | arCOG04116 | ATPase (PilT family) |
| M164_1444 | Archaea | arCOG04055 | SHS2 domain protein implicated in <br> nucleic acid metabolism |
| M164_1735 | Archaea | arCOG04076 | Uncharacterized protein, DUF359 <br> family |


| M164_1930 | Archaea | arCOG01831 | Predicted nucleotidyltransferase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M164_1582 | Archaea | arCOG01285 | OB-fold domain and Zn -ribbon containing protein, possible acyl-CoA-binding protein |
| M164_1410 | Archaea | arCOG04458 | Uncharacterized protein of DIM6/NTAB family |
| M164_1948 | Archaea | arCOG04290 | PIN-domain and Zn ribbon |
| M164_1373 | Archaea | arCOG00543 | Predicted metal-dependent RNase, consists of a metallo-beta-lactamase domain and an RNA-binding KH domain |
| M164_2044 | Archaea | arCOG00932 | Uncharacterized protein related to pyruvate formate-lyase activating enzyme |
| M164_1168 | Archaea | arCOG01043 | Predicted RNA binding protein with dsRBD fold |
| M164_1936 | Archaea | arCOG04124 | Uncharacterized protein, Trm112 family |
| M164_1350 | Archaea | arCOG04308 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0237 | Eukaryotes/Archaea | arCOG04265 | C4-type Zn -finger protein |
| M164_0664 | Sulfolobales | arCOG01314 | Uncharacterized membrane anchored protein with extracellular flavodoxin-like domain, a component of a putative secretion system |
| M164_2107 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05396 | Uncharacterized membrane protein |
| M164_1303 | Sulfolobales | arCOG08333 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1025 | Sulfolobales | arCOG08451 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1520 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05995 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0149 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07185 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1645 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05923 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1726 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05939 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1958 | Sulfolobales | arCOG08308 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0627 | Sulfolobales | arCOG03239 | ATPase, predicted component of phage defense system |
| M164_0066 | Sulfolobales | arCOG01098 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1338 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05983 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0682 | Sulfolobales | arCOG08424 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1275 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05980 | Uncharacterized protein |


| M164_0178 | Sulfolobales | arCOG00442 | von Willebrand factor type A (vWA) domain containing protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M164_2177 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05926 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0169 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05958 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_2151 | Sulfolobales | arCOG03699 | Uncharacterized membrane protein |
| M164_1620 | Sulfolobales | arCOG09897 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0677 | Sulfolobales | arCOG13101 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1289 | Sulfolobales | arCOG04323 | Zn -finger protein |
| M164_1789 | Sulfolobales | arCOG06088 | Zn finger protein |
| M164_1865 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07188 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1724 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05941 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1345 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07185 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0089 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05899 | Cell surface protein |
| M164_1302 | Sulfolobales | arCOG04103 | Zn finger protein |
| M164_2100 | Sulfolobales | arCOG01830 | Predicted nucleotidyltransferase |
| M164_2636 | Sulfolobales | arCOG06032 | Uncharacterized membrane protein, DUF1404 family |
| M164_0727 | Sulfolobales | arCOG10132 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1483 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05997 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0224 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05950 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0254 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05929 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1337 | Sulfolobales | arCOG06043 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0165 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07197 | Uncharacterized membrane protein |
| M164_0246 | Sulfolobales | arCOG08319 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_2845 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07934 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1032 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07229 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0037 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05885 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_2723 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05922 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1572 | Sulfolobales | arCOG04251 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_2767 | Sulfolobales | arCOG03031 | Chlorite dismutase |
| M164_1332 | Sulfolobales | arCOG04160 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_0185 | Sulfolobales | arCOG05956 | Metal-binding protein with CxxC..HxxxxH signature |
| M164_0756 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07185 | Uncharacterized protein |
| M164_1251 | Sulfolobales | arCOG07217 | Uncharacterized protein |

Supplementary Table 7: Strains and plasmids used in this study

| Strains and plasmids | Genotypes/Descriptions | Reference <br> /Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strains |  |  |
| S. islandicus M.16.4 | Wild type | 104 |
| S. solfataricus P2 | Wild type | DSMZ |
| S. islandicus RJW004 | $\triangle p y r E F \triangle \operatorname{lac} S \triangle \arg D ;$ Derived from S. islandicus M.16.4 | ${ }^{81}$ |
| S. islandicus RJW008 | $\triangle \operatorname{argD}$; derived from S. islandicus M.16.4 | 105 |
| S. islandicus RJW011 | RJW004 $\triangle$ slaA ( $\triangle$ slaA); slaA was deleted from RJW004 via in-frame deletion | This study |
| S. islandicus RJW012 | RJW004 $\triangle s l a B(\triangle s l a B) ; s l a B$ was deleted from RJW004 via in-frame deletion | This study |
| S. islandicus RJW013 | RJW004 $\triangle$ slaAB ( $\triangle$ slaAB); slaA and slaB were deleted from RJW004 via in-frame deletion | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ slaAB $\triangle$ M 164_1049 | $\triangle p y r E F \triangle l a c S \triangle \arg D \triangle s l a A B \triangle M$ 164_1049:: StoargD; derived from RJW013 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ dpoB2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle d p o B 2::$ Stoarg $D$; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ dpoB3 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle d p o B 3::$ Stoarg $D$; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ dpo 4 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ dpo4::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle p b p 1$ | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ pbpl: $:$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ topR1 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ topR1::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ topR2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ topR2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle u d g 4$ | $\triangle \operatorname{argD\triangle udg4::StoargD;~derived~from~RJW008~}$ | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle u d g 5$ | $\triangle \operatorname{argD\triangle udg5::StoargD;~derived~from~RJW008~}$ | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ endoIII | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ endolili: StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ endoV | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ endoV::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ ogt | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ ogt: Stoarg D; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ ogg2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ ogg2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle n r d B$ | $\triangle \arg D \triangle n r d B:: S t o a r g D ;$ derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ sir 2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ sir2: $:$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ pat | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ pat: $:$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ alba2 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ alba2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ sul7d1 | $\triangle \operatorname{argD} \triangle$ sul7d1::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ sul7d2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ sul7d2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ segA | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ segA $::$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ seg B | $\triangle \arg D \triangle \operatorname{seg} B::$ StoargD ; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ xer | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ xer: :StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ hjm |  | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ endoMS | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ endoMS $:$ :StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |


| S. islandicus $\triangle$ cdvB1 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle c d v B 1::$ Stoarg $D$; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. islandicus $\triangle c d v B 3$ | $\triangle \arg D \triangle c d v B 3:: S t o a r g D ;$ derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle t f b 3$ |  | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ M 164_0809 | $\triangle \operatorname{argD\triangle M164}$ _0809::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ M164_2020 | $\triangle \operatorname{argD\triangle M164} 2020::$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ M164_2103 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ M 164_2103::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ tip49 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ tip49::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ tfs 1 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle t f s 1::$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle t f s 3$ | $\triangle \arg D \triangle t f s 3::$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle t f s 4$ | $\triangle \arg D \triangle t f s 4::$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ rpo8 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ rpos::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ rpol3 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ rpol3::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ alaX1 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ alaX1::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ alaX2 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ alaX2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ selBL | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ selBL::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ leuS2 | $\triangle$ argD $\triangle$ leuS2: $:$ StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| S. islandicus $\triangle$ thrS2 | $\triangle \arg D \triangle$ thrS2::StoargD; derived from RJW008 | This study |
| Plasmids |  |  |
| $\mathrm{pMOD}^{\text {TM }}-2$ <MCS> | Transposon construction vector | Epicentre, USA |
| pT-SsoargD | $\mathrm{pMOD}^{\mathrm{TM}}-2$ <MCS> carrying an $\arg D$ expression cassette derived from $S$. solfataricus P2 | This study |
| pSeSd | Sulfolobus-E. coli shuttle vector | 106 |
| pSeSd-SsoargD | pSeSd carrying an $\arg D$ expression cassette derived from S. solfataricus P2 | This study |
| pSeSd-StoargD | pSeSd carrying an $\arg D$ expression cassette derived from S. tokodaii | 105 |
| pRJW8 | pUC19 carrying a triple marker gene cassette pyrEF-lacS$\arg D$ derived from $S$. solfataricus P 2 ; cloning vector | 81 |
| pMID-slaA | pRJW8 carrying Up-arm and Dn-arm of slaA and a partial region of slaA (Tg-arm); slaA knockout plasmid | This study |
| pMID-slaB | pRJW8 carrying Up-arm and Dn-arm of slaA and a partial region of slaB (Tg-arm); slaB knockout plasmid | This study |
| pMID-slaAB | pRJW8 carrying Up-arm of slaA, Dn-arm of slaB, and a partial region of slaB (Tg-arm); slaAB knockout plasmid | This study |

( Wt ) and mutant strains using two different primer sets

| Gene name | Gene length (bp) | Deletion region (bp) | Replace region (bp) | Flanking primers <br> Amplicon size (bp) |  | Internal primers Amplicon size (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wt | Mutant | Wt | Mutant |
| dpoB2 | 1668 | 1276 | 740 | 1836 | 1300 | 990 | 0 |
| dpob3 | 2292 | 1858 | 740 | 2395 | 1277 | 868 | 0 |
| dpo4 | 1059 | 897 | 740 | 1168 | 1011 | 547 | 0 |
| pbpl | 294 | 189 | 740 | 554 | 1105 | 155 | 0 |
| topR1 | 3720 | 3520 | 740 | 3996 | 1216 | 777 | 0 |
| topR2 | 3501 | 3250 | 740 | 3788 | 1278 | 638 | 0 |
| slaA ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 3690 | 3636 | 6 | 5870 | 2240 | 740 | 0 |
| slab ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 1194 | 1173 | 6 | 3193 | 2026 | 540 | 0 |
| slaAB\# | N.A ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4865 | 6 | 6973 | 2114 | 2014 | 0 |
| M164_1049 | 1803 | 1761 | 740 | 2109 | 1046 | 1159 | 0 |
| udg4 | 651 | 466 | 740 | 897 | 1171 | 312 | 0 |
| udg5 | 669 | 613 | 740 | 847 | 974 | 327 | 0 |
| endoIII | 702 | 532 | 740 | 778 | 986 | 375 | 0 |
| endoV | 597 | 481 | 740 | 743 | 1002 | 336 | 0 |
| ogt | 456 | 413 | 740 | 643 | 970 | 397 | 0 |
| ogg2 | 624 | 467 | 740 | 697 | 970 | 277 | 0 |
| $n r d B$ | 927 | 873 | 740 | 1116 | 983 | 560 | 0 |
| sir2 | 744 | 587 | 740 | 830 | 983 | 352 | 0 |
| pat | 483 | 422 | 740 | 647 | 965 | 251 | 0 |
| alba2 | 270 | 228 | 740 | 477 | 989 | 181 | 0 |
| sul7d1 | 195 | 195 | 740 | 370 | 915 | N.D* | N.D* |
| sul7d2 | 195 | 194 | 740 | 457 | 1003 | N.D* | N.D* |
| M164_0809 | 2001 | 1810 | 740 | 2319 | 1249 | 1012 | 0 |
| M164_2020 | 2628 | 2449 | 740 | 2827 | 1118 | 965 | 0 |
| M164_2103 | 2226 | 1887 | 740 | 2262 | 1115 | 769 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{seg} A$ | 663 | 594 | 740 | 865 | 1011 | 383 | 0 |
| seg $B$ | 330 | 253 | 740 | 554 | 1041 | 201 | 0 |
| cdvB1 | 762 | 490 | 740 | 755 | 1005 | 380 | 0 |
| $t f b 3$ | 513 | 431 | 740 | 895 | 1204 | 250 | 0 |
| hjm | 2148 | 2009 | 740 | 2354 | 1085 | 780 | 0 |
| xer | 876 | 842 | 740 | 1017 | 915 | 598 | 0 |
| endoMS | 732 | 635 | 740 | 934 | 1039 | 502 | 0 |
| cdvB3 | 507 | 436 | 740 | 843 | 1147 | 301 | 0 |
| tfsI | 336 | 276 | 740 | 694 | 1158 | 254 | 0 |
| $t f s 3$ | 273 | 215 | 740 | 546 | 1071 | 185 | 0 |
| $t f s 4$ | 228 | 180 | 740 | 610 | 1170 | 108 | 0 |
| tip49 | 1359 | 1227 | 740 | 1771 | 1284 | 569 | 0 |
| rpol3 | 315 | 180 | 740 | 615 | 1175 | 164 | 0 |
| rpo8 | 399 | 310 | 740 | 727 | 1157 | 266 | 0 |
| selBL | 906 | 846 | 740 | 1026 | 920 | 553 | 0 |
| leuS2 | 2805 | 2507 | 740 | 2902 | 1135 | 1166 | 0 |
| thrS2 | 1161 | 1018 | 740 | 1554 | 1276 | 680 | 0 |
| alaX1 | 711 | 621 | 740 | 846 | 965 | 350 | 0 |
| alaX2 | 453 | 370 | 740 | 811 | 1181 | 327 | 0 |

\# Deletions of slaA, slaB, and slaAB were achieved via a markerless in-frame deletion approach.
N.A ${ }^{\$ \text { : Not applied. }}$
N.D*: Not determined.
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## Supplementary Figures and Legends
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Supplementary Figure 1: Confirmation of genotypes of reverse gyrase disruption mutants. a, Genomic context of topR1 and $t o p R 2$ in the genetic host $(\mathrm{Wt})$ and mutant strains. b, PCR verification of $\triangle t o p R 1$ mutant strain. $\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{PCR}$ verification of $\triangle$ top 2 mutant strain. L indicates 2-Log DNA Ladder (NEB, USA) and sizes of DNA bands are labelled.


Supplementary Figure 2: Disruption of topR2, udg4, and rpo8 reduced cell viability. The S. islandicus M. 16.4 (agmatine prototrophy), $\triangle t o p R 2(\triangle \arg D \triangle t o p R 2:: S t o a r g D), \Delta u d g 4$ ( $\triangle \arg D \triangle u d g 4:: S t o a r g D)$, and $\triangle r p o 8$ ( $\triangle \arg D \triangle r p o 8::$ StoargD) strains were grown in DY liquid medium at $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell cultures at the mid-log phase were normalized to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5$, and then serially diluted ten fold with $1 \times$ DY. Ten microliter of diluted cells were spotted on DY plates and then incubated at $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 days. The resulting spots are imaged using an EPSON scanner.
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StoargD: arginine decarboxylase expression cassette derived from S. tokodaii
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Supplementary Figure 3: Verification of selected non-essential genes via a microhomology-mediated gene inactivation approach (MMGI) in S. islandicus ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 5}}$. a, Schematic illustration of the MMGI. The gene disruption cassette, consisting of the StoargD marker flanked by $35-40$ bp of microhomology that corresponded to flanking sequences of the targeted region, was transformed into an ArgD strain, yielding ArgD ${ }^{+}$colonies via a double-crossover HR event. b, Confirmation of S. islandicus gene disruptions (Related to Supplementary Table 3 and Dataset 10) by PCR analyses of target gene locus. A flanking primer set (-FP-F/R) annealing to upstream and downstream sequences of the HR regions of goi, and an internal primer set (-IP-F/R) specifically binding to the coding region of goi, were used to confirm the gene disruptions. L indicates the GeneRuler Express DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher, USA) and the marker sizes are labelled. The wt and $\triangle$ denotes the parental strain and mutant strain respectively. The expected sizes of amplicons are shown in Supplementary Table 8.

buffer A, and transfer into a microcentrifuge tube. Incubate at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 mins,
5. Pellet cells at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm} \times 10$ mins (refer to pre-S-layer extraction),
6. Transfer the top layer of pellets into a microcentrifuge tube (refer to post-S-layer extraction),
7. Repeat 4-7 until pure S-layer was obtained (used for TEM).


C


Supplementary Figure 4: Extraction and TEM analysis of S-layer from the wild type (RWJ004) and S-layer gene knockout strains. a, A flowchart illustrating the S-layer extraction procedure, as described previously with minor changes ${ }^{107}$. b, Extraction of S-layer from the wild type and S-layer gene knockout strains. A clear whitish layer can be observed and separated from the pellet in the wild type and $\Delta s l a B$ mutant cells, whereas no whitish layer was observed in $\Delta s l a A$ and $\Delta s l a A B$ mutant cells. The whitish layer (S-layer) extracted from the wild type was more abundant than that from the $\Delta s l a B$ mutant cells. These experiments were biologically repeated 3 times and the same phenomenon was observed. c, TEM analysis of the negatively stained extracted S-layer (10 $\mu$ l of MilliQ water-dissolved S-layer) from the wild type and $\Delta s l a B$ mutant cells. Scale bars, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
a
$\Delta s l a A B$ (parental strain)

$\Delta s l a A B \Delta M 164 \_1049$

b


Supplementary Figure 5: Confirmation of the $\mathbf{\Delta s l a A B A M 1 6 4} 1049$ mutant genotype. a, Genomic context of M164_1049 in the parental and mutant strains. M164_1049 was replaced with the selectable marker StoargD in the genetic background of the $\Delta s l a A B$ mutant via homologous recombination. $\mathbf{b}$, PCR verification of the $\Delta s / a A B \Delta M 164 \_1049$ mutant strain. The M164_1049 and slaAB loci in the $\Delta s / a A B \Delta M 164 \_1049$ mutant strain were examined using three primer sets, the relative positions of which are shown with small arrows in a. The S. islandicus strain RJW004, a genetic host to generate the $\Delta s l a A B$ deletion mutant, is used as a control (lane 8) in PCR analysis when checking the slaAB mutant allele in the $\Delta s l a A B \Delta M 164 \_1049$ mutant strain. L indicates the 2-Log DNA Ladder (NEB, USA), and the marker size corresponding to each band is labelled. Expected sizes of amplicons can be found in Supplementary Table 8.

M164_0131


M164_0217


M164_0268


M164_1060


M164_1728


M164_2076


| Locus_tag | Function | $\boldsymbol{l o g}_{2}$ FC | El | Essential? |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| M164_0130 | Uncharacterized membrane protein | -1.50 | 8 | No |
| M164_0134 | ATPase involved in chromosome partitioning, ParA family | -2.84 | 9 | No |
| M164_0216 | Transcriptional regulator, contains N-terminal RHH domain | 1.42 | 7 | No |
| M164_0218 | Zn-dependent hydrolase of the beta-lactamase fold | -2.08 | 10 | No |
| M164_0267 | Phosphate/sulphate permease | 0.13 | 26 | No |
| M164_0269 | Replicative superfamily II helicase | -0.89 | 8 | No |
| M164_1059 | HEPN domain containing protein | -1.17 | 5 | No |
| M164_1061 | HAD superfamily hydrolase | 1.86 | 19 | No |
| M164_1727 | tRNA(1-methyladenosine) methyltransferase | 1.33 | 20 | No |
| M164_1729 | Sugar-specific transcriptional regulator TrmB | -9.84 | 0 | Yes |
| M164_2075 | Transcriptional regulator, contains HTH domain | -8.95 | 0 | Yes |
| M164_2077 | Mg-dependent DNase | -0.13 | 10 | No |

Supplementary Figure 6: Predicted essential antitoxin genes. a, Genomic context of the essential antitoxin genes in S. islandicus M.16.4. b, Essentiality/non-essentiality of genes adjacent to the essential antitoxin genes.


Supplementary Figure 7: Maximum parsimony tree for eggNOG presence/absence patterns. Bootstrap values are shown at nodes where they are greater than 50. Distance is shown in number of changes to the set of genes compared to S. islandicus M.16.4.


Supplementary Figure 8: Maximum parsimony tree for eggNOG presence/absence patterns, including early Asgardarchaeota genomes. Bootstrap values are shown at nodes where they are greater than 50. Distance is shown in number of changes to the set of genes compared to S. islandicus M.16.4.


Supplementary Figure 9: Nucleotide frequency near insertion sites shows weak sequence preference compared to random. Left, Nucleotide frequency of sequence 10 base-pairs upstream and 20 base-pairs downstream of all insertion sites included in essential gene calculations in logo (top) and line-graph (bottom) forms. Right, bits of sequence information in logo form according to WebLogo ${ }^{108}$ (top) and frequency relative to counts at an equal number of randomized locations in the genome (bottom).


Supplementary Figure 10: Confirmation of $d p o B 2, d p o B 3$, dpo4, and pbp1 disruption mutant genotypes. a, Genomic context of $d p o B 2, d p o B 3, d p o 4$, and $p b p 1$ in the genetic host $(\mathrm{Wt})$ and mutant strains. $\mathbf{b}, \mathrm{PCR}$ verification of $\triangle d p o B 2, \triangle d p o B 3$, and $\triangle d p o 4$ mutant strains. c, PCR verification of the $\triangle p b p 1$ mutant strain. L indicates the GeneRuler Express DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher, USA) and the marker sizes are labelled. Expected sizes of amplicons can be found in Supplementary Table 8.

