## Supplemental Information

## Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. CD44-3MUT-Fc serum half-life. Related to Figure 2. CD44-3MUT-Fc showed $158 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$ clearance and 17 min serum half-life in rats after intravenous administration. Volume of distribution was 45 ml or $18 \% \mathrm{TBW}$. Dots or triangles represent CD44-3MUT-Fc concentrations predicted from 100- or 50-fold sample dilutions respectively.
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Figure S2. CD44 is not involved in GDF-2/ALK1-dependent SMAD signaling. Related to Figure 4. (A,B) siRNA transfected HUVECs were starved in $1 \%$ FBS media for overnight. After starving, cells were stimulated 2 h with $2.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2. (A) Fluorescent confocal microscopy analysis of p-SMAD1/5 nuclear location in CD44-silenced HUVEC in response to GDF-2 stimulation. Integrated and mean intensities per cell or in nuclei of anti-CD44 or anti-p-SMAD1/5 stainings are shown, respectively. (B) Transcription of SMAD target genes in CD44-silenced HUVEC in response to GDF-2 stimulation. Gene expression is shown relative to uninduced siNTP-transfected cells. Points are means from independent experiments ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ ). $\mathrm{N}=4$ independent experiments. (C) BMP-responsive element reporter activity of $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2 stimulated HUVECs transfected with CD44 siRNA and treated with CD44-3MUT-Fc. (D) Western blot analysis of HUVEC treated with CD44-3MUT-Fc in 1\% FBS starvation media for 6 h and subsequently stimulated with $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2 for 30 min . (E) In vivo expression of SMAD target genes in mice treated with CD44-3MUT-Fc. RNA was isolated from lungs of mice treated with $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ CD44-3MUT-Fc or hIgG-Fc in angiogenesis experiments described in Fig 1. Dots are individual mice, cross is mean and errorbar shows $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{N}=6$ mice from two independent experiments. siNTP - non-targeting siRNA pool, siCD44-CD44-targeting pool. See also Supplemental Experimental Procedures.


Figure S3. CD44-3MUT-Fc effect on angiogenic growth factor receptor activation. Related to Figure 4. Western blot analysis of synchronized HUVEC pretreated with CD44-3MUT-Fc in 5\% FBS media for 1 h and subsequently released from starvation by stimulation with $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF (A) or $63 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ HGF (B). After growth factor stimulation, cells were further grown for 72 h before western blot analysis was performed.
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Figure S4. CD44 silencing augments EC growth, barrier formation is functional. siRNA transfected HUVECs were plated onto 96-well electrode arrays. After 24 h , cells were starved in $1 \%$ FBS media for overnight (gray area). After starving, cells were released from cell cycle block by addition of different concentrations of FGF-2 or VEGF. Following stimulation, HUVEC growth was monitored by measuring electrode impedance at different AC frequencies (A). Measurements recorded at 16000 Hz are shown in Figure 5C. (B) After experiment, barrier function (Rb) of cell layer was modeled using ECIS software (Giaever and Keese, 1991). Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Related to Figure 5.

## Supplemental Experimental Procedures

## CD44-3MUT-Fc serum half-life

F344/NCrHsd male rats were from Harlan, Netherlands. Rats carried polyurethane round tipped jugular vein catheter for blood sampling (Harlan Laboratories Surgical Services). After pre-serum blood sample was taken, rats were injected intravenously via tail vein with 3 mg of CD44-3MUT-Fc in 1ml volume. Blood samples were collected using jugular vein catheter at different time points. Blood samples were held at $+37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min to allow clot formation and then centrifuged at $1300 \cdot \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min at RT. The supernatants were collected and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until assayed. For sandwich ELISA microwell plates were coated with mouse antihuman IgG1 antibody clone G17-1 (BD Biosciences). Blocking was performed with $1.5 \%$ BSA/PBS. Standards were step-diluted $(40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}-0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ in $0.5 \%$ BSA/PBS supplemented with $5 \%, 2 \%$ or $1 \%$ rat serum. Samples taken at different time points: preserum, asap to 24 hours were diluted 1:50 or 1:100 in $0.5 \%$ BSA/PBS solution and applied to wells. Biotin mouse anti-human IgG antibody clone G18-145 (BD Biosciences) and streptavidin-HRP was used for detection. Tetramethylbenzidine was used for color development. Concentration at time zero and half-life was estimated from two-parameter exponential decay model with function $f(x)=d(\exp (-x / e))$, where $d$ is upper limit at $\mathrm{x}=0$, and $e$ is decay constant.

## Bre-Luc Reporter Assay

For reporter assay siRNA transfected HUVECs were seeded in 24 -well plates 25000 cells/well. The next day cells were cotransfected with Id1-promoter derived reporter construct BRE-Luc and pLacZ. BRE-Luc reporter plasmid was a gift from Martine Roussel \& Peter ten Dijke (Korchynskyi and Dijke, 2002)(Addgene plasmid \#45126). pLacZ was used for normalization of internal transfection efficiency. Transfection was carried out using jetPEI-HUVEC transfection reagent according to manufacturer's protocol. For transfection 900 ng BRE-Luc, 100 ng pLacZ and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ jetPEI-HUVEC per well was used. Cells were incubated with transfection complex in $2 \%$ FBS-DMEM (high gucose) for 3 h . Then, transfection media was changed to $0.1 \%$ FBS containing starvation media and cells were further incubated with $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ hIgG-Fc or CD44-3MUT-Fc in the presence of $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2 for ON at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were lysed and luciferase and $\beta$-galactosidase activity was determined using TECAN microtiter plate reader.

## Fluorescence Confocal Microscopy

siRNA transfected HUVECs were seeded into $0.1 \%$ gelatin-coated 8 -well microscopy slides 24000 cells/well. After 24 h cells were switched to $0.1 \%$ FBS containing starvation media (M199, $0.1 \%$ FBS, 4 mM L-glutamine, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4) for 6 h and then induced with $2.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2 for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For immunofluorescence staining cells were fixed in freshly made $4 \%$ formaldehyde in PBS 10 min on ice followed by 10 min at RT. Primary Ab (mouse anti-human CD44 antibody MEM-263 from Exbio; anti phospho-SMAD1/5/8 rabbit mAb from Cell Signaling Technology) and fluorescently labelled secondary Ab (Alexa Fluor 488 or -568 conjugated secondary antibodies from Molecular Probes) stainings were performed sequentially in PBS/0.1\% BSA buffer containing $0.1 \%$ saponin for 1 h at RT or ON at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33285 (Sigma). Slides were mounted using Mowiol 4-88 (Sigma). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 510 microscope with Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 M27 objective (Carl Zeiss). For each independent experiment, three random fields per well were acquired from two wells per condition. Confocal image stacks were converted to maximum intensity projections using Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Maximum intensity projections of image channels were segmented and quantitated using CellProfiler software (Kamentsky et al., 2011).

## Quantitative RT-PCR

For quantitative RT-PCR cells were seeded in 6-cm cell culture dish at density 320000 cells/plate. Treatments were performed as in immunofluorescence experiments (see the Fluorescence confocal microscopy section). Total RNA was isolated from cells or snapfrozen tissues using RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesised using SuperScript VILO Kit (Invitrogen). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using Hot Firepol Evagreen qPCR Mix Plus reagent (no ROX; Solis Biodyne) in LightCycler 480 II instrument (Roche). Relative gene expression was determined by ddCt method using GAPDH and ACTB as reference genes.

List of primers used for real-time qPCR experiments.

| Gene | Forward primer (5'-3') | Reverse primer (5'-3') |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cdkn2b | CCCTGCCACCCTTACCAGA | CAGATACCTCGCAATGTCACG |
| ld1 | ACCCTGAACGGCGAGATCA | TCGTCGGCTGGAACACATG |
| Myc | ATGCCCCTCAACGTGAACTTC | GTCGCAGATGAAATAGGGCTG |
| Smad6 | TTCTCGGCTGTCTCCTCCTGA | GTGGCCTCGGTTTCAGTGTAAGA |
| Smad7 | GGCCTATCCACAGGCTTCTGA | GTGACAGGCGGCAGTAAGACA |
| Gapdh | AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG | GGGGTCGTTGATGGCAACA |
| Ptgs2 | AGATTGCTGGCCGGGTTGCTG | CAGGGAGAAGCGTTTGCGGT |
| Actb | GCCCTAGGCACCAGGGTGTG | GGGGCCACACGCAGCTCATT |
| Vegfc | GGGGGCGAGGTCAAGGCTTTT | GCCTTTCCGCAGCTGGCACT |
| Cd44 | TGCCTCAGCCCCTCCTGAAGA | TGGAGCCGCTGCTGACATCG |
| Siah1a | AGGAATTCCAGAAAGGCAAGG | AGAGACAAGAGCATCCTGCAC |
| CDKN2B | AAGCTGAGCCCAGGTCTCCTA | CCACCGTTGGCCGTAAACT |
| ID1 | GGCTGTTACTCACGCCTCAAG | CCAACTGAAGGTCCCTGATGTAG |
| MYC | CGTCTCCACACATCAGCACAA | CACTGTCCAACTTGACCCTCTTG |
| SMAD6 | TCTCCTCGCGACGAGTACAAG | GGAGCAGTGATGAGGGAGTTG |
| SMAD7 | AGAGGCTGTGTTGCTGTGAATC | GCAGAGTCGGCTAAGGTGATG |
| GAPDH | TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC | GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG |

## Western Blot Analysis of CD44-3MUT-Fc Effect on Activation of Angiogenic Growth Factor Receptors

To evaluate whether CD44-3MUT-Fc affects VEGF, HGF or GDF-2 mediated receptor activation, HUVEC were seeded in $0.1 \%$ gelatin-coated 6-well plates 80000 cells/well. VEGF and HGF stimulated cells were grown and treated as described in Materials and methods (see HUVEC growth and treatments section). Briefly, serum-starved HUVEC were treated for 72 h with $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rhIgGFc or CD44-3MUT-Fc in the presence of $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF or $63 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ HGF. After treatments cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.5 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, $0.1 \%$ SDS) supplemented with 1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM NaF and protease inhibitor coctail. For analysing GDF-2 mediated SMAD1/5 activation in response to CD44-3MUT-Fc treatment, 24 h after seeding cells were treated with 4 and $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rhIgG-Fc or CD44-3MUT-Fc in $1 \%$ FBS containing starvation media (M199, 1\% FBS, 4 mM L-glutamine, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4 ) for 6 h and then stimulated with $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ GDF-2 for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently cells were lysed in $70 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 x Laemmli's sample buffer. $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of VEGF and HGF or $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of GDF-2 stimulated samples were subjected to western blot analysis, which was carried out essentially as described in Materials and methods (see Western blot analysis section). Except, 5\% BSA-TBST was used for primary Ab incubations and 5\% or $2 \%$ skimmed milk-TBST respectively for blocking and secondary Ab incubations. Following Abs were used for western blot: anti-GAPDH mouse mAb 1/10000 from Millipore; anti phospho-SMAD1/5/8 rabbit mAb (D5B10) 1/1000, anti-phospho-VEGFR2(Tyr1175) rabbit mAb (D5B11) 1/1000, anti-phospho-Met(Tyr1234/1235) rabbit mAb (D26) 1/1000 and anti-VEGFR2 rabbit mAb (55B11) 1/1500 from Cell Signaling Technology; anti-Met rabbit pAb (C-28) 1/1000 and anti-Alk-1 goat pAb (D-20) 1/1000 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

List of siRNA target sequences.

| Gene | Entrez gene id Catalog \# | Target sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD44 | 960 J-009999-06 | GAAUAUAACCUGCCGCUUU |
| CD44 | 960 J-009999-07 | CAAGUGGACUCAACGGAGA |
| CD44 | 960 J-009999-08 | CGAAGAAGGUGUGGGCAGA |
| CD44 | 960 J-009999-09 | GAUCAACAGUGGCAAUGGA |
| VIM | 7431 L-003551-06 | UCACGAUGACCUUGAAUAA |
| VIM | 7431 L-003551-07 | GAGGGAAACUAAUCUGGAU |
| VIM | 7431 L-003551-08 | UUAAGACGGUUGAAACUAG |
| VIM | 7431 L-003551-09 | GGAAAUGGCUCGUCACCUU |
| NTP | $\text { NA } \begin{aligned} & \text { D-001810-10- } \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | UGGUUUACAUGUCGACUAA |
| NTP | $\text { NA } \begin{aligned} & \text { D-001810-10- } \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | UGGUUUACAUGUUGUGUGA |
| NTP | $\text { NA } \begin{aligned} & \text { D-001810-10- } \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | UGGUUUACAUGUUUUCUGA |
| NTP |  | UGGUUUACAUGUUUUCCUA |

## Reproducibility

This article and supplemental information is written in knitr (Xie, 2016), an R package for reproducible research. For reproducibility, all graphs, computations and statistics were computed at the same time that the text was typeset. The source code of the article and supplemental information is available upon request. The data files are available upon request.

|  |  |  | List of loaded R packages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Package | Version | Date | Source |
| boot | 1.3-17 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-06- \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| bootES | 1.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-08- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| broom | 0.4.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-11- \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| coda | 0.18-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-10- \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| DBI | 0.3.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-09- \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| dplyr | 0.4.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2015-09- } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| drc | 2.5-12 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-04- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| foreach | 1.4.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-10- \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| Formula | 1.2-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2015-04- } \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| geepack | 1.2-0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-01- \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| ggplot2 | 2.0.0.9001 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-02- \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | Github (hadley/ggplot2@067e096) |
| ggthemes | 3.0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-01- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| glmnet | 2.0-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-04- \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| gridExtra | 2.0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-07- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |


| Package | Version | Date | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gtable | 0.1.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-12- \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| Hmisc | 3.17-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-12- \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| knitr | 1.12.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-01- \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| lattice | 0.20-33 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-07- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| lubridate | 1.5.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-12- \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| magrittr | 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-11- \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| MASS | 7.3-45 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-11- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| Matrix | 1.2-3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-11- \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| MESS | 0.3-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-10- \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.2) |
| plyr | 1.8.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-06- \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| png | 0.1-7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2013-12- \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| ProjectTemplate | 0.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-10- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| reshape2 | 1.4.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-12- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| rjags | 4-5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-01- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| RSQLite | 1.0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-10- \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| runjags | 2.0.2-8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-09- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
| scales | 0.3.0.9000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-02- \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | Github (hadley/scales@ad60fbe) |
| survival | 2.38-3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015-07- \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.1) |
| tidyr | 0.4.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016-02- \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | CRAN (R 3.2.3) |
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