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## Methods

## Study sample

In 2006-2010, over 500,000 individuals aged 40-69 years were enrolled into the UK Biobank, a longitudinal cohort study based in the UK. Participants have undergone a range of physical measurements, detailed assessments about health-related factors, and sampling of blood, urine and saliva. The participants have also agreed to have their future health, including disease events, monitored. In our study, we utilized the data collected at the UK Biobank assessment centers at baseline, combined with the information on incident disease events from the hospital and death registry. After excluding individuals who had withdrawn consent at the time of the study and prevalent CVD events ( $\mathrm{N}=17,717$ ), 484,918 individuals remained in our study sample for observational analyses of CVD. In addition, 2,531 individuals reported too high or low reported values for physical activity variables according to data cleaning rules of IPAQ data ${ }^{1}$, and these were removed in analyses involving physical activity data. For analyses of CRF, we utilized a subset of 66,652 individuals free from CVD at the baseline that underwent a submaximal exercise test on a treadmill. In addition, we also analyzed a subset of 100,843 individuals with objectively measured physical activity with a wrist-worn accelerometer. To evaluate the gene-environment interaction effects of fitness and physical activity on disease incidence, we used 146,541 individuals with genome-wide genetic data available (5,705 prevalent CVD cases removed). Finally, we performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of grip strength and physical activity in a subset of 120,285 European individuals with genetic data. The UK Biobank study was approved by the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee and all participants provided written informed consent to participate in the UK Biobank study. The study protocol is available online ${ }^{2}$.

## Baseline data

In this study, the exposures of interest were different measures of fitness and physical activity (grip strength, total physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness [CRF]). Grip strength was measured in a sitting position using a Jamar J00105 hydraulic hand dynamometer. The participants were asked to squeeze the device as hard as they could for three seconds, and the maximum value that was reached during that time was recorded. Both hands were measured in turn (UK Biobank field ID 46 for left and 47 for right hand). In line with prior studies ${ }^{3,4}$, to adjust for confounding of strength by body mass, we calculated relative grip strength as an average of measurements of right and left hand divided by weight (ID 21002). Physical activity was assessed with a short form IPAQ questionnaire ${ }^{1}$, which includes six questions of frequency (IDs 864, 884, and 904) and duration (IDs 874, 894 and 914) of walking, moderate-intensity and vigorous exercise. The answer "Unable to walk" in 864 was recoded to 0 and "Prefer not to answer" and "Do not know" in all six variables were set missing. Objective assessment of physical activity was measured for a 7-day period using Axivity AX3 wrist-worn triaxial accelerometer. The non-wear time was detected and imputed by the expert working group and total physical activity was calculated by averaging all worn and imputed values ${ }^{5}$. CRF was assessed with net oxygen consumption $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$, calculated from individuals' body weight and maximum workload (ID 6032) during the cycle ergometry on a stationary bike (eBike, Firmware v1.7), with using the equation $\mathrm{VO} 2=7+10.8($ workload $) /$ weight $^{6}$.

In addition, we used information of potential confounders, specifically age (field ID 21022), sex (ID 31), region of the UK biobank assessment center (ID 54; recoded to three groups: UK, Scotland and Wales), ethnicity (ID 21000; recoded to four groups: white, black, Asian, mixed), Townsend index reflecting socioeconomic status (ID 189), smoking status (ID 20116; current, former, never), body mass index (ID 21001), diabetes (ID 2443), lipid medication (ID 20003; including following medications: simvastatin, pravastatin, fluvastatin, atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, ezetimibe, nicotinic acid product or fenofibrate), systolic blood pressure (ID 4080, but if missing ID 93), and height (ID 50) as covariates in our models. The details of these measurements can be found in the study protocol ${ }^{2}$.

## Genomic data

Genome-wide genotyping was conducted with the UK BiLEVE and UK Biobank Axiom arrays including 820,967 genetic markers in Affymetrix Research Services Laboratory, Santa Clara, California, USA. The quality control (QC) and imputation of the data were carried out centrally and has been described in the study protocol ${ }^{2}$. In short, the data consisted of 152,736 samples and 806,466 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) after sample and marker exclusions in the QC. Imputation was performed with IMPUTE2 by using 1000 Genomes Phase 3 merged with the UK10K haplotype reference panel. In our analyses, we included unrelated individuals with self-reported British descent
and European/Caucasian ethnicity based on principal component analysis ( $\mathrm{N}=120,285$ ). We included genetic markers with MAC $\geq 20$ and PLINK info $>0.8$, calculated in the $\sim 120 \mathrm{k}$ sample.

## Outcomes and follow-up

The disease outcomes were defined as primary events using in-patient hospital and death registry data that have been linked to the UK Biobank. CHD was defined as International Classification of Diseases (ICD) edition 9 codes 410-411, edition 10 codes I20.0, I21, and I22, and surgical codes for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty and coronary artery bypass graft (codes K40-K46, K49-K50, and K75). Stroke was defined as ischemic (ICD-9: 433-434, ICD-10: I63) or hemorrhagic stroke (ICD-9: 430-432, ICD-10: I60-I62). Heart failure was defined as ICD-9 code 428 and ICD-10 code I50. Atrial fibrillation was defined as ICD-9 code 427.3 , ICD-10 code I48, and surgical codes K50.1, K62.2-K62.4. The hospital registry -based follow-up ended on March 31, 2015 in England, August 31, 2014 in Scotland, and 28 February, 2015 in Wales. Individuals were censored on these dates, time of event in question or the time of death, whichever occured first. Death due to CVD was defined using the same ICD-10 codes for different endpoints from the death registry. Death registry included all deaths that occured before January 31, 2016 in England and Wales, and November 30, 2015 in Scotland.

## Statistical methods

## Imputation

Missing values of the baseline data were imputed with multivariate imputation by chained equation (MICE) by using predictive mean matching ${ }^{7}$. By using all variables of the final analysis model (frequency and duration of exercise, grip strength, BMI, smoking, lipid medication, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, height and Townsend index), Nelson-Aalen estimate of cumulative hazard, and the event indicator as the input, we selected predictors for each variable with missing values by using quickpred function from mice package in R . This function computes predictor matrix for each variable based on: 1) correlations between observed values of the variable of interest and other variables; and 2) correlations between an indicator of missingness of the variable of interest and other variables. We performed five repetitions of imputations. The imputed values were compared with the observed values to evaluate the performance of the imputation. We then performed data quality control for frequency and duration variables and calculated total physical activity ("IPAQ-PA") as MET-hours per week according to IPAQ scoring protocol ${ }^{1}$. We did not perform imputation for the CRF and acceleration variables.

## Observational analysis

Associations between measures of fitness and physical activity, and CVD events were analyzed using Cox proportional hazards models. The distributions of subjective (IPAQ) and objective (accelerometer) measures of physical activity were skewed, whereas the distributions of grip strength and CRF were approximately normal (Figure S1). Thus, to facilitate comparison between effects of different measures, physical activity measures were first rank transformed and then, all measures were scaled to standard normal distribution. Analyses were conducted separately for CHD, ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, heart failure and atrial fibrillation (AF), as well as for combined CVD events. In secondary analyses, we also analyzed associations with all-cause death. Accelerometer data was used for all-cause death analysis only, due to short follow up (data was collected from May 2013 until Dec 2015). For each endpoint, we ran three sets of multivariable-adjusted models: a) adjusting for age, sex and region of the UK Biobank assessment center; b) additional adjustment for possible confounders ${ }^{8}$ including ethnicity, BMI, smoking, lipid medication, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, height and Townsend index; and c) adjusting for IPAQ-PA and/or grip strength in addition to those in b). Proportional hazards assumption was assessed using Schoenfeld's test, and when not fulfilled ( $\mathrm{P} \leq$ 0.001 ), we added interaction terms with time for those covariates for which proportional hazards assumption was not met. In addition, we stratified all models by region to allow different baseline hazard function for each stratum. All analyses were conducted separately for five imputed datasets and results were pooled with Rubin's rule ${ }^{7}$.

## Analyses of interactions between fitness and physical activity and genetic determinants of CHD

Next, we evaluated the risk-modifying effects of fitness and physical activity in individuals with different genetic risk load for CHD and AF. First, we calculated a genetic risk scores (GRSs) for CHD and AF representing joint effects of individual and independent genetic markers. The genetic markers were selected from the largest published GWAS for $\mathrm{CHD}^{9}$ and $\mathrm{AF}^{10}$, and the GRS was calculated as the weighted sum of the risk alleles by using effect sizes from the reference GWAS ${ }^{9,10}$ as
weights. The GRS was then divided into tertiles to stratify individuals into high, intermediate and low genetic risk category. Similarly, we stratified grip strength, IPAQ-PA and CRF into tertiles to compare hazard ratios for subjects in different groups. Further, to evaluate whether there was an interaction between exercise traits and genetic risk of CHD, we added interaction terms between the measures of fitness and physical activity, and the GRS. The models were adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, genotype array (ID 22000; two levels UK BiLEVE and Axiom) and 15 principal components (ID 22009) and stratified by region of the UK Biobank assessment center.

## Genome-wide association analysis (GWAS)

The discovery analyses of genetic variants associated with grip strength and IPAQ-PA were conducted in 80,000 individuals, randomly sampled from the genomics dataset. We did not perform GWAS of CRF or accelerometer data due to limited sample size with both the phenotype and genotypes. Grip strength was analyzed as continuous trait and IPAQ-PA was analyzed as ranktransformed, continuous trait. Analyses were conducted with PLINK ${ }^{11}$ (version 1.9) by using linear regression assuming additive model for association between phenotypes and genotype dosages. Age, sex, genotype array and 15 principal components were included as covariates. The remaining genomics data of 40,285 European descent individuals were used to replicate SNPs with genome-wide significance from the discovery analysis. Finally, we conducted a pooled analysis of discovery and replication datasets to discover additional genetic variants with suggestive evidence of association with fitness and physical activity, and for creation of instrumental variables for Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses

## Functional analysis

To provide some initial evidence regarding relevant pathways, tissues and causal genes involved in fitness and physical activity, we used DEPICT ${ }^{12}$. To optimize balance between specificity and power, DEPICT analysis was performed by including loci at a lower significance level ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 10^{-5}$ ) in the pooled analysis after pruning variants in high linkage disequilibrium ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}>0.05$ ). Further, we used GTEx portal ${ }^{13}$ to correlate our genome-wide significant loci with tissue-specific gene expression levels. We searched for variants significantly associated with transcript levels in the surrounding region (ciseQTLs) across all tissues, but with higher a priori interest in skeletal muscle, cardiovascular and adipose tissue.

## Mendelian randomization

We performed two-sample MR, which estimates the causal effect by contrasting the SNP effects on the exposure with the SNP effects on the outcome in independent datasets. Genetic variants from the GWAS of grip strength were used as instrumental variables (IVs) and publicly available GWAS data for $\mathrm{CHD}^{9}$ as an outcome. If the IV SNPs were not available in the outcome GWAS, we used proxies in high LD with the lead variants ( $\mathrm{r}^{2} \geq 0.8$ ) defined using 1000 Genomes European sample data. The effect sizes of IV SNPs were standardized and the alleles from the exposure and outcome GWAS were harmonized to match the same effect allele. We used three methods to estimate causal effects; standard inverse-variance weighted (IVW) regression, as well as two robust regression methods, the median-based method, and Egger regression ${ }^{14}$. Consistency of the causal estimates across all SNPs was evaluated with heterogeneity statistics and Egger regression were used to assess horizontal pleiotropy. To evaluate potential mediating mechanisms, we clustered SNPs based on their associations with other traits by using hierarchical clustering with Euclidean distance and Ward method ${ }^{15,16}$, and applied MR in these subgroups of SNPs. Analyses were conducted with R-package TwoSampleMR ${ }^{17}$. Power for MR analyses was estimated with an online tool created by Burgess ${ }^{18}$.

Analyses were conducted with R (version 3.3.0).
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Figures


Figure S1. Distributions of fitness and physical activity variables.


Figure S2. Relations of grip strength and cardiovascular disease (CVD) events. Lines are based on a regression spline of Cox proportional hazards. CHD, coronary heart disease; AF, atrial fibrillation.


Figure S3. Relations of questionnaire-based physical activity (IPAQ-PA) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) events. Lines are based on a regression spline of Cox proportional hazards. CHD, coronary heart disease; AF, atrial fibrillation.


Figure S4. Relations of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) events.
Lines are based on a regression spline of Cox proportional hazards. CHD, coronary heart disease; AF, atrial fibrillation.


Figure S5. Relations of grip strength, questionnaire-based (IPAQ-PA) and objective (PA) physical activity, and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), and all-cause death. Lines are based on a regression spline of Cox proportional hazards.


Figure S6. Hazard ratios with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals for coronary heart disease (CHD) and atrial fibrillation (AF) according to tertiles of genetic risk and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF).

* Reference group.


Figure S7. Hazard ratios with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals for coronary heart disease (CHD) and atrial fibrillation (AF) according to tertiles of genetic risk and physical activity (PA).

[^0]

Figure S8. Manhattan plot for grip strength loci in discovery analysis.


Figure S9. Locuszoom plot for FTO locus (top variant rs28429148).


Figure S10. Locuszoom plot for TFAP2B locus (top variant rs72892910).


Figure S11. Locuszoom plot for TMEM18/ FAM150B locus (top variants rs12623218 and rs62107261).


Figure S12. Locuszoom plot for RAPSN locus (top variant rs12361415).


Figure S13. Locuszoom plot for ADCY3 locus (top variant rs556981345).


Figure S14. Tissue and cell enrichment for grip strength loci $\left(\mathrm{P} \leq 1 \times 10^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S15. Tissue and cell enrichment for physical activity loci $\left(\mathrm{P} \leq 1 \times 10^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S16. Associations of grip strength loci with other traits.

* denotes genome-wide significant $\left(\mathrm{P} \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ association.


## Tables

Table S1. Incidence and hazard rations for all-cause mortality by physical activity and fitness traits.

|  |  | All-cause death |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model | N events | HR (95 \% CI) | P-value |
| Grip strength | 1 | 14,419 | $0.75(0.73,0.76)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 2 | 14,419 | $0.76(0.74,0.78)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 3 | 14,350 | $0.78(0.76,0.79)$ | $<0.001$ |
| IPAQ-PA | 1 | 14,350 | $0.83(0.82,0.84)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 2 | 14,350 | $0.86(0.84,0.87)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 3 | 14,350 | $0.87(0.86,0.89)$ | $<0.001$ |
| CRF | 1 | 1,162 | $0.78(0.72,0.83)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 2 | 1,162 | $0.75(0.69,0.81)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 3 | 1,157 | $0.76(0.70,0.83)$ | $<0.001$ |
| PA | 1 | 348 | $0.52(0.46,0.58)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 2 | 348 | $0.56(0.50,0.63)$ | $<0.001$ |
|  | 3 | 347 | $0.56(0.50,0.63)$ | $<0.001$ |

The effects are in SD-units in fitness and physical activity traits. Model adjustments: 1. Age, sex and region. 2. Age, sex, region, diabetes, smoking, systolic blood pressure, body mass index, lipid medication, height, ethnicity and Townsend index. 3. All in 2) plus IPAQ-PA (grip strength analyses) or grip strength (IPAQ-PA analyses). Analyses of CRF and PA were adjusted for both IPAQ-PA and grip strength.
Abbreviations: IPAQ-PA, physical activity assessed by international physical activity questionnaire; CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; PA, Physical activity assessed by wrist-worn accelerometer; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

| SNP | CHR | Position | Gene | EA | $\mathbf{O A}$ | EAF | Beta | Se | $P$-value | Info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grip strength |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs56094641 | 16 | 53806453 | FTO | A | G | 0.5972 | 0.035 | 0.003 | $3.8 \times 10^{-24}$ | 0.998 |
| rs138942406 | 10 | 21954234 | MLLT10 | G | A | 0.7118 | 0.028 | 0.004 | $1.9 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.993 |
| rs62107261 | 2 | 422144 | FAM150B | T | C | 0.9521 | -0.058 | 0.008 | $1.4 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.994 |
| rs2076308 | 6 | 50791640 | TFAP2B | G | C | 0.8211 | 0.032 | 0.005 | $1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.995 |
| rs12623218 | 2 | 632146 | TMEM18 | T | A | 0.1726 | 0.032 | 0.005 | $2.3 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.984 |
| rs12361415 | 11 | 47474146 | RAPSN | T | G | 0.7131 | -0.025 | 0.004 | $7.4 \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.998 |
| rs6718510 | 2 | 25122323 | ADCY3 | A | C | 0.5500 | 0.023 | 0.003 | $9.7 \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.990 |
| rs509325 | 1 | 177894591 | SEC16B | T | G | 0.7908 | 0.027 | 0.004 | $2.7 \times 10^{-11}$ | 0.996 |
| rs13073568 | 3 | 94031839 | DHFRL1 | G | T | 0.4847 | -0.022 | 0.003 | $4.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | 0.989 |
| rs16973585 | 16 | 72032231 | DHODH | T | A | 0.6827 | -0.023 | 0.004 | $1.6 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.996 |
| rs71336393 | 18 | 57855319 | MC4R | G | GA | 0.7627 | 0.025 | 0.004 | $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.985 |
| rs2924322 | 18 | 53244414 | TCF4 | A | T | 0.1194 | 0.035 | 0.005 | $6.2 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.837 |
| rs12459368 | 19 | 18459377 | PGPEP1 | A | G | 0.7334 | -0.023 | 0.004 | $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.004 |
| rs7207400 | 17 | 43824360 | CRHR1 | T | C | 0.7032 | 0.022 | 0.004 | $1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.088 |
| rs1660964 | 15 | 57158987 | ZNF280D | T | A | 0.2159 | -0.025 | 0.004 | $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.987 |
| rs13107325 | 4 | 103188709 | SLC39A8 | C | T | 0.9255 | 0.038 | 0.006 | $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.995 |
| rs116797439 | 5 | 163225051 | MAT2B | T | A | 0.9636 | -0.054 | 0.009 | $6.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.910 |
| rs73070150 | 12 | 15162893 | PDE6H | G | A | 0.8453 | -0.027 | 0.005 | $6.8 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.979 |
| rs62073157 | 17 | 44334052 | KANSL1 | G | A | 0.7757 | 0.023 | 0.004 | $9.1 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.010 |
| rs140272246 | 7 | 21480486 | SP4 | ACAACAACAACAACAACAACAG | A | 0.3669 | -0.020 | 0.004 | $9.8 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.983 |
| rs61869032 | 11 | 1477797 | BRSK2 | C | T | 0.7005 | 0.021 | 0.004 | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.995 |
| rs889399 | 16 | 69556583 | NFAT5 | C | G | 0.5901 | -0.019 | 0.003 | $1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.980 |
| rs376531287 | 16 | 28918483 | RABEP2 | G | GT | 0.4612 | -0.020 | 0.004 | $1.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.883 |


| SNP | CHR | Position | Gene | EA | $\mathbf{O A}$ | EAF | Beta | Se | P-value | Info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs17675363 | 14 | 47100492 | RPL10L | T | G | 0.5958 | -0.019 | 0.003 | $2.7 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.973 |
| rs958685 | 2 | 70703847 | TGFA | C | A | 0.4845 | -0.018 | 0.003 | $3.0 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.998 |
| rs2431112 | 5 | 103931707 | NUDT12 | G | A | 0.5603 | 0.018 | 0.003 | $4.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.992 |
| rs147484722 | 12 | 15060253 | MGP | A | AAAAAT | 0.6045 | 0.019 | 0.003 | $4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.962 |
| $I P A Q-P A$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs9316077 | 13 | 44808665 | SMIM2 | A | C | 0.4159 | 0.023 | 0.004 | $1.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.986 |
| rs146370962 | 9 | 81253918 | PSAT1 | G | A | 0.9797 | 0.086 | 0.016 | $3.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.813 |

Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; CHR, chromosome; EA, effect allele, OA, other allele; EAF, effect allele frequency; Se, standard error; IPAQ-PA,
physical activity assessed by international physical activity questionnaire.

## Table S3. Genetic loci $\left(\mathbf{P} \leq 1 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ used for DEPICT analysis.

Grip Strength
rs6584479 rs11197871 rs138942406 rs6480792 rs10749903 rs78799917 rs10750224 rs7943757 rs61869032 rs34389751 rs11039204 rs12361415 rs147313654 rs142737000 rs 149682893 rs145669855 rs75763126 rs76929617 rs111377653 rs10444491 rs4980987 rs11059675 rs147730268 rs6488898 rs11060385 rs11147063 rs147484722 rs73070150 rs17122812 rs12367809 rs78362716 rs117474961 rs11117062 rs695980 rs1413119 rs73358723 rs61992671 rs11160627 rs17675363 rs66939314 rs2046166 rs2402280 rs8035136 rs75072088 rs 1660964 rs 11636381 rs2870111 rs371750930 rs376531287 rs4548895 rs56094641 rs889399 rs 12926961 rs 16973585 rs 144134261 rs75819168 rs3815156 rs74251309 rs17629022 rs7207400 rs62073157 rs916888 rs56895823 rs60856912 rs113679679 rs2924322 rs71336393 rs9675886 rs9947450 rs 12959157 rs 8084121 rs 7409148 rs 55675854 rs 8109936 rs 12459368 rs 10404726 rs 1532127 rs 11669079 rs 145617179 rs 67441433 rs 12403795 rs 509325 rs 10920460 rs 1690822 rs 765751 rs4927011 rs 12079982 rs 12757124 rs10641489 rs17391694 rs13040470 rs11908637 rs4810954 rs2869414 rs10485622 rs 1736157 rs2826236 rs80145984 rs12463633 rs1 1678029 rs56262274 rs79245693 rs6730196 rs2164694 rs11677892 rs145647888 rs16861098 rs77625597 rs35533458 rs6718510 rs6545975 rs935166 rs4952491 rs62107261 rs10189584 rs 72866952 rs 11686591 rs 1376406 rs 2864823 rs 72818529 rs 12623218 rs 958685 rs 72933788 rs 147685579 rs62265762 rs4306833 rs4680147 rs13096478 rs80000244 rs73197745 rs4336063 rs62242853 rs7646275 rs247411 rs55932154 rs71324800 rs13073568 rs13107325 rs35319653 rs77136985 rs 13118227 rs148736505 rs2446802 rs79030393 rs143127438 rs11133338 rs 17659715 rs71602496 rs74692061 rs2431112 rs341339 rs3822742 rs2926836 rs116797439 rs39784 rs1812554 rs13361710 rs4704463 rs10070734 rs34518 rs9383940 rs2237147 rs147925111 rs3956845 rs858982 rs2394520 rs368338926 rs2260051 rs1794514 rs2492933 rs78648104 rs2076308 rs114382070 rs9294260 rs10224575 rs6977081 rs140272246 rs2711111 rs76027953 rs4314553 rs117514101 rs43002 rs144692302 rs1494908 rs 12386857 rs36120599 rs17716502 rs2517257 rs150331294 rs13252670 rs117855046 rs10097854 rs7470818 rs2722798 rs116923056 rs10993937 rs 145962136 rs 73583505 rs 16934842 rs 1998705

## IPAQ-PA

rs114443370 rs10882725 rs72811051 rs3993141 rs138310178 rs35429668 rs75808010 rs9316077 rs61999442 rs149259806 rs8007614 rs1022724 rs148072704 rs62005607 rs 55681820 rs 75016602 rs 7203956 rs 906181 rs 7245004 rs 916695 rs 1235337 rs 184531897 rs 79366036 rs 13022622 rs 80241253 rs 75340524 rs 116251592 rs 10186318 rs 75538549 rs 145747951 rs 877483 rs 13076445 rs 72918135 rs 16895216 rs 146859965 rs 192824833 rs 36075243 rs 1805353 rs 1081158 rs 74839724 rs 147135068 rs 60110552 rs 10046488 rs200603971 rs1877264 rs73366608 rs7460106 rs7821708 rs4871839 rs4878772 rs146370962
Abbreviations: IPAQ-PA, physical activity assessed by international physical activity questionnaire

Table S4. Functional annotation for grip strength and IPAQ-PA loci.

| SNP(s) | CHR | Position | Closest gene | Pooled pvalue | Gene prioritization (DEPICT) | eQTL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grip strength |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { rs56094641, } \\ \text { rs28429148 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16 | 53806453 | FTO | $3.8 \times 10^{-24}$ |  |  |
| rs138942406 | 10 | 21954234 | MLLT10 | $1.9 \times 10^{-14}$ |  |  |
| rs62107261 | 2 | 422144 | FAM150B | $1.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { rs2076308, } \\ & \text { rs72892910 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 50791640 | TFAP2B | $1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ |  | TFAP2B (Lung) |
| rs12623218 | 2 | 632146 | TMEM18 | $2.3 \times 10^{-13}$ | TMEM18 |  |
| rs12361415 | 11 | 47474146 | RAPSN | $7.4 \times 10^{-12}$ |  | C1QTNF4 (Adipose - Subcutaneous), MADD (Esophagus Muscularis), CELF1 (Nerve - Tibial), FNBP4 (Cells - Transformed fibroblasts), RAPSN (Nerve - Tibial)* |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { rs6718510, } \\ & \text { rs556981345 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 25122323 | ADCY3 | $9.7 \times 10^{-12}$ |  | ADCY3 (Whole Blood), CENPO (Whole Blood), DNAJC27 (Whole Blood)* |
| rs509325 | 1 | 177894591 | SEC16B | $2.7 \times 10^{-11}$ |  |  |
| rs13073568 | 3 | 94031839 | DHFRL1 | $4.3 \times 10^{-11}$ |  | STX19 (Artery - Aorta, Nerve - Tibial), PROS1 (Heart - Atrial Appendage) |
| rs16973585 | 16 | 72032231 | DHODH | $1.6 \times 10^{-10}$ | DHODH | DHODH (Artery - Tibial)* |
| rs71336393 | 18 | 57855319 | MC4R | $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |  |  |
| rs2924322 | 18 | 53244414 | TCF4 | $6.2 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | RP11-397A16.2 (Cells - Transformed fibroblasts) |
| rs12459368 | 19 | 18459377 | PGPEP1 | $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | SUGP2 (Esophagus - Muscularis) |
| rs7207400 | 17 | 43824360 | CRHR1 | $1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |
| rs1660964 | 15 | 57158987 | ZNF280D | $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZNF280D, } \\ & \text { TCF12 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LINC00926 (Skin - Sun exposed [lower leg]) |
| rs13107325 | 4 | 103188709 | SLC39A8 | $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | SLC39A8 |  |
| rs116797439 | 5 | 163225051 | MAT2B | $6.3 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |
| rs73070150 | 12 | 15162893 | PDE6H | $6.8 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |


| rs62073157 | 17 | 44334052 | KANSL1 | $9.1 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs140272246 | 7 | 21480486 | SP4 | $9.8 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |
| rs61869032 | 11 | 1477797 | BRSK2 | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | KRTAP5-AS1 (Brain - Caudate [basal ganglia]) |  |
| rs889399 | 16 | 69556583 | NFAT5 | $1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | WWP2, <br> NFAT5, NQ01 | RP11-419C5.2 (Skin - Sun exposed [lower leg]), CLEC18A (Whole <br> Blood), RP11-296I10.6 (Skin - Sun exposed [lower leg]), NFAT5 <br> (Thyroid)* |
| rs376531287 | 16 | 28918483 | RABEP2 | $1.8 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |
| rs17675363 | 14 | 47100492 | RPL10L | $2.7 \times 10^{-8}$ | RPL10L |  |
| rs958685 | 2 | 70703847 | TGFA | $3.0 \times 10^{-8}$ | TGFA | TGFA (Testis) |
| rs2431112 | 5 | 103931707 | NUDT12 | $4.1 \times 10^{-8}$ |  | RP11-6N13.1 (Testis) |
| rs147484722 | 12 | 15060253 | MGP | $4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |
| IPAQ-PA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs9316077 | 13 | 44808665 | SMIM2 | $1.4 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |
| rs146370962 | 9 | 81253918 | PSAT1 | $3.2 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |
| * More than five eQTLs found, showing up to five genes with most significant tissue. <br> Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; CHR, chromosome; eQTL, expression quantitative trait loci. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S5. Association between genetic risk score (GRS) of grip strength and IPAQ-PA with other fitness and physical activity traits.

|  | SNPs with $\mathrm{P} \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  | SNPs with $\mathrm{P} \leq 1 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beta* | Se | $\mathbf{P}$-value | Beta* | Se | P-value |
| Grip strength GRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IPAQ-PA | 0.0047 | 0.0029 | 0.11 | 0.0126 | 0.0029 | $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| CRF | 0.1170 | 0.0223 | $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.1825 | 0.0220 | $1.1 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| PA | 0.0137 | 0.0061 | 0.03 | 0.0308 | 0.0061 | $5.2 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| IPAQ-PA GRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grip strength | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.42 | 0.0005 | 0.0003 | 0.07 |
| CRF | 0.0041 | 0.0221 | 0.85 | -0.0234 | 0.0222 | 0.29 |
| PA | 0.0100 | 0.0061 | 0.10 | 0.0205 | 0.0061 | $7.6 \times 10^{-4}$ |

* Per SD in GRS. Models were adjusted for age, sex, region, genotype array and principal components. Two GRSs were calculated; first based on independent SNPs with $\mathrm{P} \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$, and the second based on SNPs with $\mathrm{P} \leq 1 \times 10^{-6}$ in pooled association analysis.
Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; IPAQ-PA, physical activity assessed by international physical activity questionnaire; CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; PA, Physical activity assessed by wrist-worn accelerometer.

| Table S6. Results from two-sample Mendelian Randomization analysis for grip strength and CHD |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | OR $(\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{C I})$ | P-value | Heterogeneity P-value | Pleiotropy P-value |
| Fixed effects meta analysis (simple SE) | $0.56(0.46,0.68)$ | $9.1 \times 10^{-10}$ | NA |  |
| Fixed effects meta analysis (delta method) | $0.57(0.47,0.71)$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.23 |  |
| Random effects meta analysis (delta method) | $0.57(0.46,0.72)$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.23 |  |
| Maximum likelihood | $0.56(0.46,0.69)$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.15 | 0.8424 |
| Inverse variance weighted | $0.56(0.44,0.70)$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.17 |  |
| Weighted median | $0.69(0.51,0.95)$ | 0.01 | NA |  |
| MR Egger | $0.51(0.19,1.36)$ | 0.17 | 0.10 |  |
| Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease; OR, odds ratio, NA, not applicable. |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * Reference group.

