# Supplementary Information <br> Computational design of Small Transcription Activating RNAs (STARs) for versatile and dynamic gene regulation 

James Chappell ${ }^{1}$, Alexandra Westbrook ${ }^{2}$, Matthew Verosloff ${ }^{3}$, Julius B. Lucks ${ }^{1,3}$<br>1 - Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University<br>2 - Robert F. Smith School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Cornell University<br>3 - Interdisciplinary Biological Sciences Graduate Program, Northwestern University Correspondence: Prof. Julius Lucks, 2145 Sheridan Rd., Evanston, II 60208<br>Email: jblucks@northwestern.edu

## Table of Contents:

| Page 3-7 | Supplementary Table 1. Plasmids used in this study |
| :--- | :--- |
| Page 7-11 | Supplementary Table 2. Examples of DNA plasmid <br> sequences |
| Page 11 | Supplementary Table 3. Sequence of Promoter and RBS <br> variants |
| Page 11-15 | Supplementary Table 4. Sequences of NUPACK-designed <br> targets and target length variants used in this study |
| Page 16-19 | Supplementary Table 5. Sequences of NUPACK-designed <br> STARs and STAR length variants used in this study |
| Page 20 | Supplementary Table 6. Strains used in this study |
| Page 20 | Supplementary Table 7: Primers used for reverse <br> transcription and quantitative PCR |
| Page 20 | Supplementary Table 8: Sequences of toenold switch and <br> trigger used |
| Page 21 | Supplementary Figure 1. Determining a STAR design motif |
| Page 22 | Supplementary Figure 2. Schematic of the STAR design motif <br> used in NUPACK |
| Page 23 | Supplementary Figure 3. Schematic of representative DNA <br> plasmid maps used in this study |
| Page 24 | Supplementary Figure 4. Coefficient of variation for <br> fluorescence characterization of STAR variants |
| Page 25 | Supplementary Figure 5. Comparison of reverse transcription <br> quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and fluorescence <br> characterization of STAR variants |
| Page 26 | Supplementary Figure 6. Comparison of a STAR and a <br> toehold translational activator |
| Page 27 29 | Supplementary Figure 7. Experimental characterization of <br> ribA STARs |
| Page 28 30 | Supplementary Figure 8. Computational prediction of base <br> pairing between STAR and target RNA variants |
| Supplementary Figure 9. Determining the relationship |  |
| between predicted STAR-target RNA base pairing and |  |
| orthogonality |  |


| Page 31 | Supplementary Figure 11. Experimental characterization of an orthogonal STAR library |
| :---: | :---: |
| Page 32 | Supplementary Figure 12. Variable strength promoter and RBS library |
| Page 33 | Supplementary Figure 13. Creating switchable promoter and ribosome binding site strength libraries with STARs |
| Page 34 | Supplementary Figure 14. Combining the inducible promoterSTAR system with the target RNA RBS strength library |
| Page 35 | Supplementary Figure 15. STARs regulate sfGFP expression from target expression cassettes integrated into the E. coli genome |
| Page 36 | Supplementary Figure 16. Controlling cell motility with STARs |
| Page 37 | Supplementary Figure 17. Characterization of STARs in cellfree transcription and translation (TX-TL) reactions |
| Page 38 | Supplementary Figure 18. Characterization of catechol 2,3dioxygenase (C23DO) enzyme expression for one-to-one and one-to-many regulation |
| Page 39 | Supplementary Figure 19. Schematic of the STAR activationactivation cascade |
| Page 40 | Supplementary Figure 20. Characterization of STAR regulated CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) |
| Page 41-42 | Supplementary Figure 21. Schematic of the STAR and CRISPRi genetic circuitry |
| Page 43 | Supplementary Figure 22. Characterization of a STAR and CRISPRi incoherent type 1 feed-forward loop (I1-FFL) |
| Page 44 | Supplementary Figure 23. STAR/target RNA characteristics governing dynamic range |
| Page 45 | Supplementary Figure 24. Relationship between target RNA sequence composition/base stacking free energy and transcriptional termination efficiency |
| Page 46 | Supplementary Figure 25. Relationship between predicted target RNA secondary structure and transcriptional termination efficiency |
| Page 47 | Supplementary Figure 26. Relationship between transcriptional termination efficiency and sequence composition, base stacking free energy and predicted secondary structure of the ribA target RNAs |
| Page 48 | Supplementary Figure 27. Flow cytometry gating |
| Page 49 | Supplementary Note 1. Computational design of STARs using the NUPACK design tool |
| Page 50-51 | Supplementary Note 2. Determining STAR design principles |
| Page 52-53 | Supplementary Note 3. Predicting orthogonal STAR libraries |
| Page 54 | Supplementary Note 4. Flow cytometry gating |
| Page 55 | References |

## Supplementary Results

## Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Plasmids used in this study. Abbreviations are as follows: Promoter 1-5 = constitutive promoter variants (see Supplementary Table 3), BBa_J23119 = strong constitutive promoter, RBS 1-5 = ribosome binding site variants (see Supplementary Table 3), BBa_B0034* and RBS_RFP = ribosome binding sites used for dCas9 and mRFP, ptet = TetR repressible promoter, plux (BBa_R0062)* $=$ LuxR inducible promoter, sfGFP $=$ SuperFolder green fluorescent protein ${ }^{1}$, GFPmut3b-ASV = green fluorescent protein with ASV degradation tag $^{2}, \mathrm{mRFP}=$ red fluorescent protein, YFP $(\text { BBa_E0030 })^{*}=$ yellow fluorescent protein, catechol (BBa_K316003)* $=$ catechol (2,3)-dioxygenase, LuxR (BBa_C0062)* $=$ AHL inducible transcription factor, CheZ $=$ CheZ chemotaxis regulator, tetR $=$ tet repressor protein, vioA-E $=$ genes from deoxyviolacein metabolic pathway, dCas9 = catalytically dead Cas9, Csy4hp = Csy4 RNA hairpin ${ }^{3}$, sgRNA = single guide RNA, TrrnB = rrnB terminator, BBa_B0015* $=$ B0015 terminator, $\mathrm{T} 500=$ T500 terminator, $\mathrm{CmR}=$ chloramphenicol resistance cassette, AmpR $=$ ampicillin resistance cassette, SpecR $=$ spectinomycin resistance cassette, p15A $=$ p15A origin of replication, CoIE1 $=$ ColE1 origin of replication, CDF = CDF origin of replication and R6K = R6K origin of replication. Several of the DNA sequences (indicted by *) were obtained from the iGEM Registry of Standard Biological Parts (parts.igem.org), BioBrick numbers ( BBa ) indicated in name or brackets. We would like to acknowledge that the dCas9 expression plasmid ${ }^{4}$ (indicated in table below with $\ddagger$ ) was a gift from Stanley Qi's laboratory, Stanford University.

| Plasmid \# | Plasmid architecture | Name | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | Promoter 1 - Target - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Target sfGFP | Na |
| N/A | Promoter 1 - STAR- t500-ColE1 origin - AmpR | STAR | Na |
| pJBL6063 | Promoter 1 - Target 1 - RBS 1 - GFPmut3b-ASV - TrrnB CmR - p15A origin | Target Variant 1 GFPmut3bASV | Na |
| pJBL6064 | Promoter 1 - toehold switch 1 - linker - GFPmut3b-ASV TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Toehold switch 1 GFPmut3bASV | SI 6 |
| pJBL3869 | Promoter 1 - toehold switch 1 - linker - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Toehold switch 1 sfGFP | SI 6 |
| pJBL3870 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - toehold trigger 1 - t500 ColE1 origin - AmpR | Toehold trigger 1 | SI 6 |
| pJB6075 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR <br> - p15A origin | Promoter 1RBS 1 | SI 12 |


| pJB6076 | Promoter 2 - stability hairpin - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR <br> - p15A origin | Promoter 2RBS 1 | SI 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJB6077 | Promoter 3 - stability hairpin - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 3RBS 1 | SI 12 |
| pJB6078 | Promoter 4 - stability hairpin - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 4RBS 1 | SI 12 |
| pJB6079 | Promoter 5 - stability hairpin - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 5RBS 1 | SI 12 |
| pJB6080 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 1 RBS 2 | SI 12 |
| pJB6081 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 1 RBS 3 | SI 12 |
| pJB6082 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 1 RBS 4 | SI 12 |
| pJB6083 | Promoter 1 - stability hairpin - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Promoter 1RBS 5 | SI 12 |
| pJBL4970 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 1 <br> /Promoter 1 <br> Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, \\ 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13, \mathrm{SI} \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5919 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 2 /Promoter 1 Variant | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13, \mathrm{SI} \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5921 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 3 /Promoter 1 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13, \mathrm{SI} \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5922 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 4 /Promoter 1 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13, \mathrm{SI} \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5924 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 5 /Promoter 1 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13, \mathrm{SI} \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5937 | Promoter 2 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 1 /Promoter 2 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5981 | Promoter 2 - Target 5 - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 2 /Promoter 2 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5982 | Promoter 2 - Target 5 - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 3 /Promoter 2 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5983 | Promoter 2 - Target 5 - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 4 /Promoter 2 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5984 | Promoter 2 - Target 5 - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 5 <br> /Promoter 2 <br> Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |


| pJBL5935 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 1 /Promoter 3 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL5989 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 2 /Promoter 3 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5990 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 3 /Promoter 3 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5991 | Promoter 3- Target 5 - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | RBS 4 /Promoter 3 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5992 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 5 /Promoter 3 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5934 | Promoter 4 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 1 /Promoter 4 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5985 | Promoter 4 - Target 5 - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 2 /Promoter 4 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5986 | Promoter 4 - Target 5 - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 3 /Promoter 4 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 a, S I \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5987 | Promoter 4 - Target 5 - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 4 /Promoter 4 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5988 | Promoter 4 - Target 5 - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 5 /Promoter 4 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5932 | Promoter 5 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 1 /Promoter 5 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5993 | Promoter 5 - Target 5 - RBS 2 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 2 <br> /Promoter 5 <br> Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5994 | Promoter 5 - Target 5 - RBS 3 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 3 /Promoter 5 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5995 | Promoter 5 - Target 5 - RBS 4 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 4 /Promoter 5 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5996 | Promoter 5 - Target 5 - RBS 5 - sfGFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | RBS 5 /Promoter 5 Variant | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL4826 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ptet - B0034 - luxR - B0015 - plux - STAR } 5-\text { t500 - ColE1 } \\ \text { origin - AmpR } \end{gathered}$ | AHL Inducible STAR Variant 5 | 2b, 6c, SI 22 |
| pJBL5945 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ptet - B0034 - luxR - B0015 - plux - STAR } 8-\text { t500 - CoIE1 } \\ & \text { origin - AmpR } \end{aligned}$ | AHL Inducible STAR Variant 8 | 2 b |
| pJBL5946 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ptet - B0034 - IuxR }- \text { B0015 }- \text { plux - STAR } 6-\text { t500 - ColE1 } \\ \text { origin }- \text { AmpR } \end{gathered}$ | AHL <br> Inducible | 2b |


|  |  | STAR <br> Variant 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4882 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS_RFP - mRFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | Target Variant 5 mRFP | 3a, 5a |
| pJBL5938 | Promoter 1- Target 8 - RBS_RFP - mRFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | Target Variant 8 mRFP | 3a |
| pJBL5939 | Promoter 1- Target 6 - RBS_RFP - mRFP - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | Target Variant 6 mRFP | 3a |
| pJBL4880 | Promoter 1- Target 5 - B0034 - YFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Target Variant 5 YFP | 3a |
| pJBL5940 | Promoter 1- Target 6 - B0034 - YFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Target Variant 8 YFP | 3a |
| pJBL5941 | Promoter 1- Target 8 - B0034 - YFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Target Variant 6 YFP | 3a |
| pJBL3877 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - Catechol - TrrnB - CmR p15A origin | Target Variant 5 Catechol | 3c |
| pJBL6084 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - vioA - vioB - vioC - vioE SpecR - R6K | Target Variant 5 vioABCE | 4b |
| pJBL6060 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - Catechol - TrrnB - SpecR CDF origin | Target Variant 5 Catechol | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL6061 | Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB - Promoter 1 - Target 5 - RBS_RFP - mRFP - TrrnB - CmR - p15A origin | Target Variant 5 sfGFP and mRFP | $\begin{gathered} 5 a, \mathrm{SI} \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL6062 | Promoter 1 - Target 10 - Csy4hp - STAR 50 - t500 - tetR ptet - csy4 - SpecR - CDF origin | Stage 2 activationactivation cascade | 5b |
| pJBL5576 | Promoter 1 - Input B- t500-ColE1 origin - AmpR | Input B AND Gate (From STAR <br> Variant 1) | 5d |
| pJBL5577 | Promoter 1 - Input A-t500-ColE1 origin - AmpR | Input A AND Gate (From STAR Variant 1) | 5d |
| pJBL5536 | Promoter 1 - Input B- t500 - Promoter 1 - Input A- t500 ColE1 origin - AmpR | Input AB AND Gate | 5d |
| $\ddagger$ pdCas9bacteria pJBL622 | TetR - ptet - B0034 - dCas9 - B0015- p15A - CmR | dCas9 | 6a, 6b, SI 20 |
| pJBL5564 | Promoter 3 - Target 5 - Csy4hp - sgRNA - TrrnB - SpecR CDF origin | Target Variant 5 sgRNA | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{SI} \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5959 | Promoter 1 - STAR- t500 - ColE1 origin - AmpR BBa_J23119 - RBS_RFP - mRFP - B0015 | STAR mRFP | $\begin{gathered} 6 a, ~ S I \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { pJBL5998 } & \text { Promoter 1 - TrrnB - CoIE1 origin - AmpR - BBa_J23119 - } \\ \text { RBS_RFP - mRFP - B0015 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { No-STAR } \\ \text { mRFP } \\ \text { Control }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}\text { 6a, SI } \\ 20\end{array}\right]$

## Supplementary Table 2. Examples of DNA plasmid sequences. Abbreviations as described in Supplementary Table 1.

| Name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| Example <br> Target <br> (Variant 1) DNA <br> Plasmid: <br> (Promoter <br> 1-Target 1- <br> RBS 1- <br> sfGFP- <br> TrrnB- <br> CmR-p15A <br> origin) | GAATTCTAAAGATCTTTGACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCTAGGTATAATACTAGTCCATCTTACCTTTG CATCTCTATCGTTCTCATCTCATCCTGCGGGGAATGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGC <br> IGGATCTAGGAGGAAGGATCTATGAGCAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAGTTG TCCCAATTCTTGTTGAATTAGATGGTGATGTTAATGGGCACAAATTTTCTGTCCGTGGAGAGG GTGAAGGTGATGCTACAAACGGAAAACTCACCCTTAAATTTATTTGCACTACTGGAAAACTAC CTGTTCCGTGGCCAACACTTGTCACTACTCTGACCTATGGTGTTCAATGCTTTTCCCGTTATC CGGATCACATGAAACGGCATGACTTTTTCAAGAGTGCCATGCCCGAAGGTTATGTACAGGAA CGCACTATATCTTTCAAAGATGACGGGACCTACAAGACGCGTGCTGAAGTCAAGTTTGAAGG TGATACCCTTGTTAATCGTATCGAGTTAAAGGGTATTGATTTTAAAGAAGATGGAAACATTCTT GGACACAAACTCGAGTACAACTTTAACTCACACAATGTATACATCACGGCAGACAAACAAAAG AATGGAATCAAAGCTAACTTCAAAATTCGCCACAACGTTGAAGATGGTTCCGTTCAACTAGCA GACCATTATCAACAAAATACTCCAATTGGCGATGGCCCTGTCCTTTTACCAGACAACCATTAC CTGTCGACACAATCTGTCCTTTCGAAAGATCCCAACGAAAAGCGTGACCACATGGTCCTTCTT GAGTTTGTAACTGCTGCTGGGATTACACATGGCATGGATGAGCTCTACAAATAAGGATCTGA AGCTTGGGCCCGAACAAAAACTCATCTCAGAAGAGGATCTGAATAGCGCCGTCGACCATCAT CATCATCATCATTGAGTTTAAACGGTCTCCAGCTTGGCTGTTTTGGCGGATGAGAGAAGATTT TCAGCCTGATACAGATTAAATCAGAACGCAGAAGCGGTCTGATAAAACAGAATTTGCCTGGC GGCAGTAGCGCGGTGGTCCCACCTGACCCCATGCCGAACTCAGAAGTGAAACGCCGTAGCG CCGATGGTAGTGTGGGGTCTCCCCATGCGAGAGTAGGGAACTGCCAGGCATCAAATAAAAC GAAAGGCTCAGTCGAAAGACTGGGCCTTTCGTTTTATCTGTTGTTTGTCGGTGAACTGGATC CTTACTCGAGTCTAGACTGCAGTTGATCG |





|  | CGACGCTGAAGTTAAAACCACCTACATGGCTAAAAAACCGGTTCAGCTGCCGGGTGCTTACA AAACCGACATCAAACTGGACATCACCTCCCACAACGAAGACTACACCATCGTTGAACAGTAC GAACGTGCTGAAGGTCGTCACTCCACCGGTGCTTAATAAGGATCTGAAGCTTGGGCCCGAA CAAAAACTCATCTCAGAAGAGGATCTGAATAGCGCCGTCGACCATCATCATCATCATCATTGA GTTTAAACGGTCTCCAGCTTGGCTGTTTTGGCGGATGAGAGAAGATTTTCAGCCTGATACAG ATTAAATCAGAACGCAGAAGCGGTCTGATAAAACAGAATTTGCCTGGCGGCAGTAGCGCGGT GGTCCCACCTGACCCCATGCCGAACTCAGAAGTGAAACGCCGTAGCGCCGATGGTAGTGTG GGGTCTCCCCATGCGAGAGTAGGGAACTGCCAGGCATCAAATAAAACGAAAGGCTCAGTCG AAAGACTGGGCCTTTCGTTTTATCTGTTGTTTGTCGGTGAACTGGATCCTTACTCGAGTCTAG ACTGCAGTTG |
| :---: | :---: |

Supplementary Table 3. Sequence of Promoter and RBS variants. Promoter 1 (BBa_J23119_Spel) is a variant of BBa_J23119 obtained from the iGEM Registry of Standard Biological Parts (parts.igem.org). Promoters 2-5 were derived from a previously published promoter library ${ }^{5}$. RBS variants were generated by inverse PCR using degenerate oligonucleotides and five variants chosen that demonstrated distinct expression strengths of sfGFP from target variant 5 in the presence of cognate STAR. The stability hairpin used for the no target RNA control in Supplementary Fig. 12. was derived from a previously published library ${ }^{6}$.

| Name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| Promoter 1 | TTGACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCTAGGTATAATACTAGT |
| Promoter 2 | AAAAAGAGTATTGACTTCGCATCTTTTTGTACCTTATAATGTGTGG |
| Promoter 3 | TTGACAATTAATCATCCGGCTCGTAATTAATGTGG |
| Promoter 4 | AAAAAATTTATTTCGCATCTTTTGTACCTATAATGTGTGG |
| Promoter 5 | TTGACAATTAATCATCCGGCTCGTAGGGTTTGTGG |
| RBS 1 | AGGAGGAA |
| RBS 2 | GTAACGGA |
| RBS 3 | GTATTGGA |
| RBS 4 | TATTGGGA |
| RBS 5 | TAGAGGTG |
| Stability hairpin |  |

Supplementary Table 4. Sequences of NUPACK-designed targets and target length variants used in this study. Plasmid sequences can be constructed by replacing the pink region in the example target plasmid in Supplementary Table 2 with the sequences below. Red highlighting indicates nucleotide insertions or mutations that resulted from cloning.

| Plasmid <br> $\#$ | Target sequence (5' to 3') | Variant \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4900 | AGACAACGAAGATAAAGACAGGACAAGGACAGCACGCGATGCGGGGAA <br> TGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 77 |
| pJBL4902 | TCGTTCGTCCATCTCAATTTCAATACTCCATGCTTCTACTGCGGGGAATG <br> TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 32 |
| pJBL4904 | CAAGAACAGACGACCAGGACGAGCAGTAGATTAGCATTATGCGGGGAAT <br> GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTT | 81 |
| pJBL4906 | CCTTATCCTTATCTTACCTCATTTCTATCACTCTATTCTTGCGGGGAATGT <br> ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTT | 4 |
| pJBL4908 | CCTCGCCCATCTCATTATCTTCACATCTCATCTCATCCTTGCGGGGAATG <br> TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 29 |
| pJBL4910 | GAAGATAACGCAAGAACGAACGAAACTTTAGGGCCATGGTGCGGGGAAT | 76 |


|  | GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4912 | GGAGCAATGAGGATAGGAACGATAGTAGACGGACGGGTATGCGGGGAA TGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 98 |
| pJBL4916 | CTCTCATATTCTCATTTCGCTCTCACCTATCTATCTCTGTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 20 |
| pJBL4918 | CTCATCTCATTTCGCTCTCACATTTCTACACCTTTATCTTGCGGGGAATGT <br> ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTT | 10 |
| pJBL4920 | CAAAGCAGGCGAATAAGGCATCAAATCAATCATCACTATTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 93 |
| pJBL4922 | GCCTCCAATTCCAATCTTCATCCCATTATCTTATCTCGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 22 |
| pJBL4924 | GATATGGCATGTTCCTAAGGCCTCCGCGTTTGATTCTTGTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 82 |
| pJBL4926 | GGGACTAACTACTATGAACTTGACGAACTATGATGCTGCTGCGGGGAAT | 56 |
| pJBL4928 | CCCTCACCTCCATCTCTATCTATCGCTTCCATCTTACTCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 7 |
| pJBL4930 | CCAAGACATAAAGATAAAGACAAATAGCAACGACCGACCTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 37 |
| pJBL4932 | CCTTCAATTTCCATCCTATCTTATTCTTATCTTGCCTGTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 12 |
| pJBL4934 | GAGACAAGAATAGAACACGAGCAAAGATAGACGACGACCTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 73 |
| pJBL4936 | GGGCCTTCGATTTACCAGCTTTCAGATTTCAATTACGTGTGCGGGGAATG | 74 |
| pJBL4938 | AACTCCTATCCTGCTGCCTATCTGTCTCTATCTCTATCCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 15 |
| pJBL4940 | CCTTATTCCATTCACTTATTCCTACTTACTTGCCTCTATTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 51 |
| pJBL4942 | CTCCCGCTCTATTCCTACTTATCCTCATCTCCATCTACCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 43 |
| pJBL4944 | GAAACCTGAAATGACGAAACGCTGAAATTGCGATATACCTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 63 |
| pJBL4946 | GAGTGAAAGTAGAATAAGATAAAGCGAACCGGGACCATATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 19 |
| pJBL4948 | GGGTGAGAGTGGAGTGGAGTGGGAGTAGGGTAGGGCATGTGCGGGGA ATGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 94 |
| pJBL4950 | CATATTCCATTCCATTTTGTTTCAGTTTCAGTCATTTCGTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTI | 101 |
| pJBL4952 | CCAGAAACATAAAGATAACACGACGGACAGATAGGCACTTTGCGGGGAA TGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 50 |
| pJBL4954 | CATCATAGTCCAAGTTCAAGTTTCCATCATCAGTTCCGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 9 |
| pJBL4956 | TCAATCAAAGTACAGCGTCAAGTCAAATCAGCATATTCGTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 90 |
| pJBL4958 | CCAATAGTCCAAGTTCGCCAAGTTCATATAGTCGTTTCATGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 27 |
| pJBL4960 | GTCCTAATTCTCATCGTCTATCTTCATCTATCTCTATCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 11 |
| pJBL4962 | GACCTAGACTGATGAAACGACGATAATGATAACACTTGCTGCGGGGAAT | 95 |
| pJBL4964 | GGGACCGAGAGCAATAGAGATTTAGATACAGACAACTTATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 96 |
| pJBL4966 | GGGAGTGGGAGTTGGAGATGTGCGGAGACGGATGGTATTTGCGGGGAA TGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 99 |
| pJBL4968 | CTCTCATTCTATTCATCTCATCTATTCTTATCATCCATCTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 34 |
| pJBL4970 | TCGTCCCAAGTCTCATCGTTTCATCTTCAATTCCTATCCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 5 |
| pJBL4972 | CCACTCACTTCTACTCATCTCATCTTCACTTCATCCACTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 25 |
| pJBL4974 | CCCTTACTCTCATTGCTCTCACTCGTTCTATCTATCGTTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 18 |
| pJBL4976 | CCCTATTTCGATCTACTTAAAGCCTCATCATTTCTGCGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 35 |
| pJBL4978 | CCTCTATCCTATCTATCTGCCTGTCCTGTCCTGTGTCTTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 3 |
| pJBL4980 | CCAGTCCTCAAGTTTCGTTCAATCCATCCTATCCTATTCTGCGGGGAATG | 14 |


|  | TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4982 | CAACAGAAATCAACTTAGGACTATACGGCGATACGAGCTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 88 |
| pJBL4984 | GAAAGCTGATAGAAACGAGATGAACATTTGAGGACGACTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 55 |
| pJBL4986 | GCCCTATCCCATCATCTCACTATCTCATCTTCATCTCACTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 57 |
| pJBL4988 | GAAACCTAAGAATATGATAACACTAACTAACGACGGCACTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 69 |
| pJBL4990 | CCTCACCTCATTATCTTACCATTTCATCTTTACTTCACTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 44 |
| pJBL4992 | TCCCGCTTCTACCTATCTCTACTCATTTCTATTCATCTCTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 87 |
| pJBL4994 | GTCCTCGTTCGTTTCCAGTTTATCCTATCATGTTTCCGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 92 |
| pJBL4996 | CTCACCCTATCTCGCTTCATTTACTTATCCTACCTCATCTGCGGGGAATG <br> TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTT | 60 |
| pJBL4998 | ACACAGAGCAAACGAGACAAGACAGGAACACGACGATTATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 83 |
| pJBL5802 | CTATGACTAACTAAATGAACGAATGAACTACTGACTGACTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 70 |
| pJBL5804 | CTATCTGCCTTCAATTGTTCCGTCTCTATCATCTTTATCTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 65 |
| pJBL5806 | GTCCAGTCCATAGTATTCTCAAGTTCCCATTTCGTTCGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 66 |
| pJBL5808 | CCATCCTCAATCTCTACCTACTCTCACTTACTCTTATCCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 8 |
| pJBL5812 | GAACACTTACTTACGACGGCTACTTATCTCTATTTCTATTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 49 |
| pJBL5814 | GTTCAGTATCCTATCTTCAATCAAATGTCTTTATCTCGGTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 75 |
| pJBL5816 | CCAGTCATCAAGTCAGTCCAGTCAAAGTTTCCGTCGTTCAGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 6 |
| pJBL5818 | CAATATCCCGCTCCTATCTCCAATCCTATCTACTCACTCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 31 |
| pJBL5820 | TCATAATCTCATCTATCCTTGCCCAATGTTCTTAATCCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 48 |
| pJBL5822 | TGCCCTGTCCTATCTTTATGTCCTGTCCTGTCTTGTCCTTGCGGGGAATG <br> TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 23 |
| pJBL5824 | CATACGAAGTCCATAGAGTTTATCAAGCCGGTTGCCATTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTT | 86 |
| pJBL5826 | GAATAACAATGACAACGACGAAATAGACCACGATACCTTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTT | 46 |
| pJBL5828 | TCATCCATCCTTACCTTACCTATCTATTCTCATCTCTACTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 52 |
| pJBL5830 | CTCTCAACTCACCTCTATCTATCTATCTCTATGCTCTCGTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 53 |
| pJBL5832 | CCTCATCATCGTCCTCATCTATTTCCATCTACTTATCTCTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 21 |
| pJBL5834 | TCTTATCACCCTTATTCCATTAACTTCTGCCTTGTCTCGTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 17 |
| pJBL5836 | GACGAGAATTACAGCAGAAACAGAAACGACAAGCACTTATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 68 |
| pJBL5838 | GAAACATAGATAAGCAAAGATAAGCAAGAATTCATAACCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 84 |
| pJBL5840 | CTCGCCACCTCACTTATCCTCATCCTATCTCACCTCATCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 39 |
| pJBL5842 | CCTCGCTCTCATTTCATCTCATCATCTCATATTCCCATCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 24 |
| pJBL5844 | CCCATCTCATCTCATTCTCATCTCATTCATCTATCTCGTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 13 |
| pJBL5846 | GACAAGAATAAGAACAAGACAGAATACGATACCGAACTATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 36 |
| pJBL5848 | GACAAATAGAAACAGAAACGACGGACATAACATACATACTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 72 |
| pJBL5850 | CTCATTTCATTTCATCATCTATCTCTACCCATCTATTCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 26 |
| pJBL5852 | GCCCTCATCTCATCAATCCTCAATCCCTATCTTCGTCTCTGCGGGGAATG | 80 |


|  | TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL5854 | CCCACTCTCATCTTACCTCTACCTATTCTATTCTTGTCCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 54 |
| pJBL5856 | GTCCCTATCCATTTCATATCTTACTTATCTCTGCATTCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 79 |
| pJBL5860 | GAATGATAAGAACGCAAATGAATACGATAGACTGGACTTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 71 |
| pJBL5864 | CATAAGCCAGTCATAAGTAAAGTCAATAGTCGTCCATCCTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 42 |
| pJBL5866 | CAATCTTCAATCTCCATCTCATCCATCTTTATCTCGTCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 30 |
| pJBL5868 | CCATCTTACCTTTGCATCTCTATCGTTCTCATCTCATCCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 1 |
| pJBL5870 | CAAATCTATCCGCCTCTAATCTTCATCTCTACCTGTCTCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 91 |
| pJBL5874 | CCACCTTAACTCTCACGACTGCTGCCTTGCCTATTGCTTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 38 |
| pJBL5876 | TCACAGTCACGAATAAGCAGCCTCCAATATCATCATCTTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 45 |
| pJBL5878 | CTCACCTCGCCTCTATCCTTACCATCTATCTATCTCTGTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 67 |
| pJBL5880 | CCTCATCCTTATCTTGCTCCTATTGTCCATTTCTATCTCTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 16 |
| pJBL5882 | CCTCACCTTCATCTTCATCTTCATCTTTACCATTCATCGTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 47 |
| pJBL5884 | GGATAGAGCGGAGACAAGGTAGGGTAGGGATGGTAGTATTGCGGGGAA TGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 97 |
| pJBL5886 | GGAATATGAATGAATGGGAACTTGGGAACTTGACTTTGATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 100 |
| pJBL5888 | CAGTAGTCAAAGTCCAGTAAGTCCTCAGTTCCCGTTCGTTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 89 |
| pJBL5890 | CAATAACAAGCAAGCAAAGACAATAGAATAACGGGACCATGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 33 |
| pJBL5892 | CATCTCCACTCACCTCTACTTATCTATTCTTACTGTCCTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 78 |
| pJBL5894 | GTCTCCAATTCTTAATCCCGCTACCTATCTCGTCCACTTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 58 |
| pJBL5896 | CTCGCCTTACAATACCAGTTTCAATCTTCAATATGTCCTTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 64 |
| pJBL5898 | CGCTCTTATTCATCTCCATTCGTCTCATCTATCTCCGTCTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 41 |
| pJBL5900 | CCTCCATCTCCATATTCTTATCTCATTATCATCTCACTTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 2 |
| pJBL4144 | CTTTCGCGTTTCATTTCATTATCTTATCGTCTTTGTCTTTGCGGGGAATGT ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 62 |
| pJBL4146 | CCTATTTGCTTTATCATCTCATTTCATTATCTTGTTCTTAGCGGGGAATGT <br> ATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 59 |
| pJBL4148 | CTCTATCTCGCTCATCTATCACTCTCATCTCATCCTCACTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 40 |
| pJBL4150 | CTCCTACCCATTTCTACCTCACTTACTCACTACTTCTACTGCGGGGAATG TATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | 61 |
| pJBL4152 | CGCCTACTCCACTTGTTCGAAACCGGCTGCTTTACACGGTGCGGGGAAT GTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTTT | 85 |
| pJBL2801 | AGTTTTTACAGTGAATTGTTTTAATTAGTTGTATAAATGTTGGAGCAGCGG GGAATGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT | WT and 28 and length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL2802 | GTCTAGGAAAAGTTTTTACAGTGAATTGTTTTAATTAGTTGTATAAATGTT GGAGCAGCGGGGAATGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTT TT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { variant used } \\ & \text { in SI } 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| pJBL6066 | CTCTATCTCTGTGTCTCCAATTTGTCCTGTCCTGTCTCTGATTTGCTTGCC GGAAGCAAAATAACCGGCAAGCAAATAGTTGTTACT | RibA 1 |
| pJBL6065 | CTCCCATCCCATCTCATTCATCCACTCTACTCTCATCCCTATTTGCTTGCC GGAAGCAAAATAACCGGCAAGCAAATAGTTGTTACT | RibA 2 |
| pJBL6067 | GCATACTACGGGACAACGGGACGGACTACAAGAACTTAAGATTTGCTTG CCGGAAGCAAAATAACCGGCAAGCAAATAGTTGTTACT | RibA 3 |
| pJBL6068 | GTTAGGGTCAAGGTGTAGGGTAGGTAGGTAGCGTAAGCGTATTTGCTTG | RibA 4 |


|  | CCGGAAGCAAAATAACCGGCAAGCAAATAGTTGTTACT |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL6069 | GAGACAAGTAAACGATAAGAACAGAACATAGGGCGACGGCATTTGCTTG <br> CCGGAAGCAAAATAACCGGCAAGCAAATAGTTGTTACT | RibA 5 |

Supplementary Table 5. Sequences of NUPACK-designed STARs and STAR length variants used in this study. Plasmid sequences can be constructed by replacing the dark blue region in the example STAR plasmid in Supplementary Table 2 with the sequences below.

| Plasmid \# | Target sequence (5' to $3^{\prime}$ ) | Variant \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4901 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATCGCGTGCTGTCCTTGTCCTGTCTTTAT CTTCGTTGTCT | 77 |
| pJBL4903 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTAGAAGCATGGAGTATTGAAATTGAGA TGGACGAACGA | 32 |
| pJBL4905 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATAATGCTAATCTACTGCTCGTCCTGGTC GTCTGTTCTTG | 81 |
| pJBL4907 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGAATAGAGTGATAGAAATGAGGTAAGA TAAGGATAAGG | 4 |
| pJBL4909 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGGATGAGATGAGATGTGAAGATAATGA GATGGGCGAGG | 29 |
| pJBL4911 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACCATGGCCCTAAAGTTTCGTTCGTTCTT GCGTTATCTTC | 76 |
| pJBL4913 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATACCCGTCCGTCTACTATCGTTCCTATC CTCATTGCTCC | 98 |
| pJBL4917 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACAGAGATAGATAGGTGAGAGCGAAATG AGAATATGAGAG | 20 |
| pJBL4919 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGATAAAGGTGTAGAAATGTGAGAGCGA AATGAGATGAG | 10 |
| pJBL4921 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAATAGTGATGATTGATTTGATGCCTTATTC GCCTGCTTTG | 93 |
| pJBL4923 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGAGATAAGATAATGGGATGAAGATTG GAATTGGAGGC | 22 |
| pJBL4925 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACAAGAATCAAACGCGGAGGCCTTAGGA ACATGCCATATC | 82 |
| pJBL4927 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGCAGCATCATAGTTCGTCAAGTTCATAG TAGTTAGTCCC | 56 |
| pJBL4929 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGTAAGATGGAAGCGATAGATAGAGAT GGAGGTGAGGG | 7 |
| pJBL4931 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGTCGGTCGTTGCTATTTGTCTTTATCTT TATGTCTTGG | 37 |
| pJBL4933 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACAGGCAAGATAAGAATAAGATAGGATG GAAATTGAAGG | 12 |
| pJBL4935 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGTCGTCGTCTATCTTTGCTCGTGTTCT ATTCTTGTCTC | 73 |
| pJBL4937 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACACGTAATTGAAATCTGAAAGCTGGTAA ATCGAAGGCCC | 74 |
| pJBL4939 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGATAGAGATAGAGACAGATAGGCAGC AGGATAGGAGTT | 15 |
| pJBL4941 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAATAGAGGCAAGTAAGTAGGAATAAGTGA ATGGAATAAGG | 51 |
| pJBL4943 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGTAGATGGAGATGAGGATAAGTAGGA ATAGAGCGGGAG | 43 |
| pJBL4945 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGTATATCGCAATTTCAGCGTTTCGTCA TTTCAGGTTTC | 63 |
| pJBL4947 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATATGGTCCCGGTTCGCTTTATCTTATTCT ACTTTCACTC | 19 |
| pJBL4949 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACATGCCCTACCCTACTCCCACTCCACTC CACTCTCACCC | 94 |
| pJBL4951 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACGAAATGACTGAAACTGGAACTAAATGG AATGGAATATG | 101 |
| pJBL4953 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGTGCCTATCTGTCGTCGTGTTATCTT TATGTTTCTGG | 50 |
| pJBL4955 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGGAACTGATGATGGAAACTTGAACTT GGACTATGATG | 9 |
| pJBL4957 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACGAATATGCTGATTTGACTTGACGCTGT ACTTTGATTGA | 90 |
| pJBL4959 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATGAAACGACTATATGAACTTGGCGAACT | 27 |


|  | TGGACTATTGG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4961 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGATAGAGATAGATGAAGATAGACGATG AGAATTAGGAC | 11 |
| pJBL4963 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGCAAGTGTTATCATTATCGTCGTTTCATC AGTCTAGGTC | 95 |
| pJBL4965 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATAAGTTGTCTGTATCTAAATCTCTATTGC TCTCGGTCCC | 96 |
| pJBL4967 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAATACCATCCGTCTCCGCACATCTCCAA CTCCCACTCCC | 99 |
| pJBL4969 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGATGGATGATAAGAATAGATGAGATGAA TAGAATGAGAG | 34 |
| pJBL4971 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGATAGGAATTGAAGATGAAACGATGAG ACTTGGGACGA | 5 |
| pJBL4973 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGTGGATGAAGTGAAGATGAGATGAGTA GAAGTGAGTGG | 25 |
| pJBL4975 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAACGATAGATAGAACGAGTGAGAGCAAT GAGAGTAAGGG | 18 |
| pJBL4977 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGCAGAAATGATGAGGCTTTAAGTAGA TCGAAATAGGG | 35 |
| pJBL4979 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGACACAGGACAGGACAGGCAGATAG ATAGGATAGAGG | 3 |
| pJBL4981 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAATAGGATAGGATGGATTGAACGAAAC TTGAGGACTGG | 14 |
| pJBL4983 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGCTCGTATCGCCGTATAGTCCTAAGTT GATTTCTGTTG | 88 |
| pJBL4985 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGTCGTCCTCAAATGTTCATCTCGTTTCT ATCAGCTTTC | 55 |
| pJBL4987 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTGAGATGAAGATGAGATAGTGAGATGA TGGGATAGGGC | 57 |
| pJBL4989 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTGCCGTCGTTAGTTAGTGTTATCATAT TCTTAGGTTTC | 69 |
| pJBL4991 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGTGAAGTAAAGATGAAATGGTAAGATA ATGAGGTGAGG | 44 |
| pJBL4993 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGATGAATAGAAATGAGTAGAGATAGG TAGAAGCGGGA | 87 |
| pJBL4995 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGGAAACATGATAGGATAAACTGGAAA CGAACGAGGAC | 92 |
| pJBL4997 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGATGAGGTAGGATAAGTAAATGAAGCGA GATAGGGTGAG | 60 |
| pJBL4999 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATAATCGTCGTGTTCCTGTCTTGTCTCGT TTGCTCTGTGT | 83 |
| pJBL5803 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTCAGTCAGTAGTTCATTCGTTCATTTA GTTAGTCATAG | 70 |
| pJBL5805 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGATAAAGATGATAGAGACGGAACAATTG AAGGCAGATAG | 65 |
| pJBL5807 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGAACGAAATGGGAACTTGAGAATACT ATGGACTGGAC | 66 |
| pJBL5809 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGATAAGAGTAAGTGAGAGTAGGTAGA GATTGAGGATGG | 8 |
| pJBL5813 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAATAGAAATAGAGATAAGTAGCCGTCGTA AGTAAGTGTTC | 49 |
| pJBL5815 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACCGAGATAAAGACATTTGATTGAAGATA GGATACTGAAC | 75 |
| pJBL5817 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGAACGACGGAAACTTTGACTGGACTGAC TTGATGACTGG | 6 |
| pJBL5819 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGTGAGTAGATAGGATTGGAGATAGG AGCGGGATATTG | 31 |
| pJBL5821 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGGATTAAGAACATTGGGCAAGGATAGA TGAGATTATGA | 48 |
| pJBL5823 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGGACAAGACAGGACAGGACATAAAGA TAGGACAGGGCA | 23 |
| pJBL5825 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAATGGCAACCGGCTTGATAAACTCTATG GACTTCGTATG | 86 |
| pJBL5827 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGGTATCGTGGTCTATTTCGTCGTTGT CATTGTTATTC | 46 |
| pJBL5829 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTAGAGATGAGAATAGATAGGTAAGGTA AGGATGGATGA | 52 |
| pJBL5831 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACGAGAGCATAGAGATAGATAGATAGAG | 53 |


|  | GTGAGTTGAGAG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL5833 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGATAAGTAGATGGAAATAGATGAGGA CGATGATGAGG | 21 |
| pJBL5835 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACGAGACAAGGCAGAAGTTAATGGAATAA GGGTGATAAGA | 17 |
| pJBL5837 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATAAGTGCTTGTCGTTTCTGTTTCTGCTGT AATTCTCGTC | 68 |
| pJBL5839 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGTTATGAATTCTTGCTTATCTTTGCTTA TCTATGTTTC | 84 |
| pJBL5841 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGATGAGGTGAGATAGGATGAGGATAAG TGAGGTGGCGAG | 39 |
| pJBL5843 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGATGGGAATATGAGATGATGAGATGAAA TGAGAGCGAGG | 24 |
| pJBL5845 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGAGATAGATGAATGAGATGAGAATGA GATGAGATGGG | 13 |
| pJBL5847 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATAGTTCGGTATCGTATTCTGTCTTGTTCT TATTCTTGTC | 36 |
| pJBL5849 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTATGTATGTTATGTCCGTCGTTTCTGTT TCTATTTGTC | 72 |
| pJBL5851 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGAATAGATGGGTAGAGATAGATGATGA AATGAAATGAG | 26 |
| pJBL5853 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGACGAAGATAGGGATTGAGGATTGA TGAGATGAGGGC | 80 |
| pJBL5855 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGACAAGAATAGAATAGGTAGAGGTAA GATGAGAGTGGG | 54 |
| pJBL5857 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGAATGCAGAGATAAGTAAGATATGAAA TGGATAGGGAC | 79 |
| pJBL5861 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGTCCAGTCTATCGTATTCATTTGCGTT CTTATCATTC | 71 |
| pJBL5865 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGATGGACGACTATTGACTTTACTTATG ACTGGCTTATG | 42 |
| pJBL5867 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGACGAGATAAAGATGGATGAGATGGA GATTGAAGATTG | 30 |
| pJBL5869 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGGATGAGATGAGAACGATAGAGATGCA AAGGTAAGATGG | 1 |
| pJBL5871 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGACAGGTAGAGATGAAGATTAGAGG CGGATAGATTTG | 91 |
| pJBL5875 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGCAATAGGCAAGGCAGCAGTCGTGA GAGTTAAGGTGG | 38 |
| pJBL5877 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGATGATGATATTGGAGGCTGCTTATT CGTGACTGTGA | 45 |
| pJBL5879 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACAGAGATAGATAGATGGTAAGGATAGA GGCGAGGTGAG | 67 |
| pJBL5881 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGAGATAGAAATGGACAATAGGAGCAAG ATAAGGATGAGG | 16 |
| pJBL5883 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACGATGAATGGTAAAGATGAAGATGAAGA TGAAGGTGAGG | 47 |
| pJBL5885 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAATACTACCATCCCTACCCTACCTTGTCT CCGCTCTATCC | 97 |
| pJBL5887 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATCAAAGTCAAGTTCCCAAGTTCCCATTC ATTCATATTCC | 100 |
| pJBL5889 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAACGAACGGGAACTGAGGACTTACTGGA CTTTGACTACTG | 89 |
| pJBL5891 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCATGGTCCCGTTATTCTATTGTCTTTGCTTG CTTGTTATTG | 33 |
| pJBL5893 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGGACAGTAAGAATAGATAAGTAGAGGT GAGTGGAGATG | 78 |
| pJBL5895 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGTGGACGAGATAGGTAGCGGGATTA AGAATTGGAGAC | 58 |
| pJBL5897 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAGGACATATTGAAGATTGAAACTGGTAT TGTAAGGCGAG | 64 |
| pJBL5899 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGACGGAGATAGATGAGACGAATGGAGA TGAATAAGAGCG | 41 |
| pJBL5901 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGTGAGATGATAATGAGATAAGAATAT GGAGATGGAGG | 2 |
| pJBL4145 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAAAGACAAAGACGATAAGATAATGAAATG AAACGCGAAAG | 62 |


| pJBL4147 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTAAGAACAAGATAATGAAATGAGATGATA | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pJBL4149 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTGAGGATGAGATGAGAGTGATAGATG AGCGAGATAGAG | 40 |
| pJBL4151 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCAGTAGAAGTAGTGAGTAAGTGAGGTAGAA ATGGGTAGGAG | 61 |
| pJBL4153 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCACCGTGTAAAGCAGCCGGTTTCGAACAA GTGGAGTAGGCG | 85 |
| pJBL2807 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAAC AATTCACTGTAAAAACT | WT and 28 and length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL2808 | TGAaCTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAAC AATTCACTGTAAAAACTTTTCCTAGAC | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { variant used } \\ \text { in SI } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL2806 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAAC AATTCAC | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { variant used } \\ \text { in SI } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL2805 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { variant used } \\ & \text { in SI } 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| pJBL2804 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATAC | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL2115 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATT | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL5926 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGC | $\qquad$ |
| pJBL4135 | TGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTCACTGTAAAAACT | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL4134 | CCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTCACTGTAAAAACT | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL4133 | TCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTCACTGTAAAA ACT | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL4132 | CATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTCACTGTA | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL4131 | CATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTCACTGTA | Length variant used in SI 1 |
| pJBL4130 | TGTATACATTCCCCGCTGCTCCAACATTTATACAACTAATTAAAACAATTC ACTGTAAAAACT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { variant used } \end{gathered}$ $\text { in SI } 1$ |
| pJBL6071 | TTATTTTGCTTCCGGCAAGCAAATCAGAGACAGGACAGGACAAATTGGA GACACAGAGATAGAG | RibA 1 |
| pJBL6070 | TTATTTTGCTTCCGGCAAGCAAATAGGGATGAGAGTAGAGTGGATGAATG AGATGGGATGGGAG | RibA 2 |
| pJBL6072 | TTATTTTGCTTCCGGCAAGCAAATCTTAAGTTCTTGTAGTCCGTCCCGTT GTCCCGTAGTATGC | RibA 3 |
| pJBL6073 | TTATTTTGCTTCCGGCAAGCAAATACGCTTACGCTACCTACCTACCCTAC ACCTTGACCCTAAC | RibA 4 |
| pJBL6074 | TTATTTTGCTTCCGGCAAGCAAATGCCGTCGCCCTATGTTCTGTTCTTAT CGTTTACTTGTCTC | RibA 5 |
| pJBL5576 | TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGCTAACTCCATTCCATC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Input B AND } \\ \text { gate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pJBL5577 | GATGGAATGGAGTTAAGGATAAGAGTAAGTGAGAGTAGGTAGAGATTGA GGATGG | Input A AND gate |

Supplementary Table 6. Strains used in this study. Strains containing genomic insertions were created using the clonetegration platform ${ }^{7}$ to integrate the inserts using the HK022 plasmid into the attB site of the E. coli genome. Successful integrations were identified by antibiotic selection and colony PCR according to the published protocol ${ }^{7}$.

| Strain | Strain Genotype | Genomic Insertion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. coli TG1 | K-12 supE thi-1 $\Delta(l a c-p r o A B) \Delta(m c r B-h s d S M) 5,\left(r_{K}{ }^{-} m_{K}{ }^{-}\right) \mathrm{F}^{\prime}[t r a D 36$ proAB ${ }^{+}$lacl ${ }^{q}$ lacZ $\left.\triangle M 15\right]$ | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { E. coli TG1 } \\ \text { target variant } 5 \\ \text { sfgfp } \end{gathered}$ | K-12 supE thi-1 $\Delta\left(\right.$ lac-proAB) $\Delta(m c r B-h s d S M) 5,\left(r_{K}{ }^{-} m_{K}{ }^{-}\right) \mathrm{F}^{\prime}[t r a D 36$ proAB ${ }^{+}$lacl $^{q}$ lacZ ${ }^{(M 15]}$ attB::target variant 5 sfgfp CmR | attB::target variant 5 sfgfp( Promoter 1 Target 5 - RBS 1 - sfGFP - TrrnB CmR ) |
| E. coli BW25113 | $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \Delta$ (araD-araB) 567 , lacZ4787(del)::rrnB-3, LAM ${ }^{-}$, rph-1, $\Delta$ (rhaDrhaB)568, hsdR514 | N/A |
| E. coli BW25113 $\Delta \mathrm{che}$ Z | F', $\Delta$ (araD-araB)567, lacZ4787(del)::rrnB-3, LAM ${ }^{-}$, rph-1, $\Delta$ (rhaDrhaB)568, hsdR514, $\Delta$ cheZ734::kan | N/A |
| E. coli BW25113 <br> $\Delta$ cheZ <br> target variant 5 cheZ | $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \Delta$ (araD-araB)567, lacZ4787(del)::rrnB-3, LAM- rph-1, $\Delta$ (rhaDrhaB)568, hsdR514, $\Delta$ cheZ734::kan, attB::target variant 5 cheZ CmR | attB::target variant 5 cheZ (Promoter 1 Target 5 - RBS 1 - CheZ - TrrnB CmR ) |
| E. coli DH5 alpha pir | F-, $\Delta$ (argF-lac) $169, \phi 80 \mathrm{~d} / a c Z 58(\mathrm{M} 15), \Delta$ phoA8, $g \ln X 44(\mathrm{AS}), \lambda^{-}$ deoR481, rfbC1, gyrA96(NaIR), recA1, endA1, thiE1, hsdR17, $\Delta$ uidA3::pir | N/A |

## Supplementary Table 7: Primers used for reverse transcription and quantitative PCR

| Primer Name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| RT.sfGFP | TTATTTGTAGAGCTCATCCATG |
| sfGFP.Fwd | CACTGGAGTTGTCCCAATTCT |
| sfGFP.Rev | TCCGTTTGTAGCATCACCTTC |

Supplementary Table 8: Sequences of toehold switch and trigger used. The best performing toehold switch and trigger (referred to as forward-engineered 1) were derived from the original paper by Green et al. ${ }^{8}$

| Name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| Toehold Switch 1: | GGGTCTTATCTTATCTATCTCGTTTATCCCT |
| Switch 1-linker | GCATACAGAAACAGAGGAGATATGCAATGATAAACGAG |
| Toehold Trigge 1: | AACCTGGCGGCAGCGCAAAAG |
| Stability Hairpin -Trigger | GGGACTGACTATTCTGTGCAATAGTCAGTAAA |
| GCAGGGATAAACGAGATAGATAAGATAAGATAG |  |



Supplementary Figure 1. Determining a STAR design motif. (a) Schematic of a STAR and target RNA complex with the different interaction regions annotated. Schematics and fluorescence characterization of STAR variants that were used to determine optimal lengths of (b) the linear binding sequence and (c) the stem and loop binding sequence of the AD1 STAR ${ }^{9}$. STAR variants were created by truncating either the (b) $3^{\prime}$ or (c) $5^{\prime}$ end of the STAR while the target RNA was kept constant. Characterization revealed the optimal lengths were 21 nucleotides (nt) for the stem and loop binding sequence and $\sim 40 \mathrm{nt}$ for the linear binding sequence. In addition, it was observed that neither the stem and loop binding sequence itself ( 0 nt in (b) indicated by *) or the linear binding sequence itself ( 0 nt in (c) indicated by $\ddagger$ ) of the STAR were sufficient to appreciably activate transcription. This reveals a design motif to create orthogonal and functionally diverse variants by varying the linear region of target/STARs while maintaining a constant stem and loop region. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with the AD1 target DNA plasmid in the absence (-STAR) and presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding a cognate STAR length variant. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.
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Supplementary Figure 2. Schematic of the STAR design motif used in NUPACK. Schematic of the sequence and structure constraints for the STAR, target RNA and STAR-target RNA complex used in the NUPACK design algorithm ${ }^{10,11}$. Nucleotides colored according to identity with N representing an unconstrained nucleotide that is designed by NUPACK. See Supplementary Note 1 for a description of the NUPACK script used.
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Supplementary Figure 3．Schematic of representative DNA plasmid maps used in this study．（a）Target RNA expressing plasmid，（b）STAR expressing plasmid，（c）AHL inducible STAR expressing plasmid，（d）STAR regulated sgRNA plasmid，（e）STAR and mRFP expressing plasmid，（f）STAR regulated sgRNA and mRFP plasmid，（ $\mathbf{g}$ ）dCas9 expressing plasmid，（h）STAR regulated deoxyviolacein plasmid，（i）Stage 2 activation－activation cascade expressing plasmid，（j）no target RNA control plasmid，（k）no STAR control plasmid and（I） no sgRNA／no Target control plasmid．Constitutive promoters are colored black and inducible promoters are colored purple and labelled accordingly．


Supplementary Figure 4. Coefficient of variation for fluorescence characterization of STAR variants. Coefficient of variation (CV) was determined by calculating the ratio of the standard deviation and the mean of fluorescence measurements for the STAR/target variants. Data is derived from fluorescence characterization described in Figure 1b for both in the absence (STAR) and the presence (+STAR) of cognate STAR expression plasmids. The yaxis was limited to 1 to aid interpretation. The CV for variant 101 in the +STAR condition was 1.93 .


Supplementary Figure 5. Comparison of reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and fluorescence characterization of STAR variants. Relative abundance of sfGFP mRNA (mRNA) and protein expression (sfGFP) for three STAR/target variants (a, b). RT-qPCR (measuring relative abundance of sfGFP mRNA) and fluorescence characterization (measuring relative abundance of sfGFP protein in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on $E$. coli cells transformed with different target DNA plasmids in the absence (-STAR) and presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding a cognate STAR. (b) Correlation of determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) between RT-qPCR and fluorescence characterization is indicated. Data for each type of measurement were normalized to 1 for the +STAR condition of STAR variant 6 and error propagated. Fluorescence data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d. RTqPCR data represents mean values of $n=3$ biological replicas each quantified with $n=3$ technical replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 6. Comparison of a STAR and a toehold translational activator. Fluorescence characterization of the best-performing STAR and the best performing toehold translational activator from Green et al. ${ }^{8}$ Fluorescence characterization performed with both (a, b) sfGFP and (c, d) GFPmut3b-ASV. Flow cytometry histograms of a representative biological replicate shown on the left of each panel and graph of mean fluorescence of biological replicates shown on the right of each panel. (e) Toehold switches function by designing a switch RNA to form a hairpin structure around the ribosome binding site (RBS) to repress ribosome binding and translation initiation. Activation is achieved by addition of a trigger RNA designed to disrupt hairpin formation and allow translation initiation. The best performing toehold switch (designated switch 1 in Green et al.) was chosen for characterization within the experimental setup described for STARs. We note several major differences in the characterization experiment performed here and that performed in Green et al. including: using $E$. coli RNA polymerase (RNAP) to express trigger and switch RNA (originally T7 polymerase), use of $E$. coli RNAP transcriptional terminators, different DNA plasmid back bones, using TG1 E. coli strain (originally BL21 STAR DE3) and M9 minimal media (originally LB). Data were collected by flow cytometry. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of arbitrary fluorescence [au] or units of Molecules of Equivalent Fluorescein [MEFL]) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with different target DNA plasmids in the absence (-STAR/Trigger) and presence (+STAR/+Trigger) of a DNA plasmid encoding cognate STAR/Trigger, compared to the autofluorescence of E. coli cells transformed with control plasmids (Blank). Representative flow cytometry histograms of $n=1$ biological replicas and bar graphs of at least $n=7$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 7. Experimental characterization of ribA STARs. Characterization of five STAR-target RNAs utilizing the terminator from the E. coli ribA gene. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed with E. coli cells transformed with DNA target plasmids in the absence (-STAR) and presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding cognate STAR. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 8. Computational prediction of base pairing between STAR and target RNA variants. Computationally predicted base pairing (bp) between the STAR and target RNA variants using NUPACK as described in Supplementary Note 2. The matrix shows the predicted pairing for each combination of the 101 STAR/target RNA variants (10,201 combinations).


Supplementary Figure 9. Determining the relationship between predicted STAR-target RNA base pairing and orthogonality. (a-c) Fluorescence characterization of three target RNAs in combination with 9 non-cognate STARs that were predicted to form between $0-13$ base pairs (bp), 14-24 bp or $25-40 \mathrm{bp}$ by the NUPACK analysis algorithm as described in Supplementary Note 3. For each target RNA, fluorescence characterization was performed in the absence (STAR) and presence of a DNA plasmid encoding a STAR variant (indicted below graph) (cognate STAR shown in right most bar in a-c). (d) Normalized fluorescence of non-cognate and cognate STARs plotted against predicted base pairing. Fluorescence was normalized to the fluorescence value for the cognate STAR. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 10. Computational prediction of STAR orthogonality. A computationally predicted set of orthogonal STARs was identified using an algorithm described in Supplementary Note 3. In this algorithm, STAR/target RNAs were predicted to be orthogonal if base pairing between the linear region and linear binding sequence of a target and STAR was less than 13 bp for noncognate pairs in one of the two combinations (i.e. either STAR 1/target 2 or STAR 2/ target 1). The matrix shows the predicted pairing for each combination of a specific set of STAR/target RNA variants. Less than 13 bp of interaction are colored white and 50 bp of interaction are colored blue. These predictions were validated experimentally through gene expression measurements in E. coli cells shown in Fig. 1d.
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Supplementary Figure 11. Experimental characterization of an orthogonal STAR library. Orthogonality of a $6 \times 6$ library of target RNA and STAR variants. Fluorescence characterization (in units of Molecules of Equivalent Fluorescein [MEFL]) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with different target DNA plasmids (labeled above each panel) in the absence (-STAR) and presence of a DNA plasmid encoding a cognate or non-cognate STAR variant (shown on x-axis of each panel). Data were measured with flow cytometry. Data represents mean values of at least $n=7$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 12. Variable strength promoter and RBS library. Characterization of five different strength promoters and ribosome binding sites (RBS) variants (see Supplementary Table 3 for sequences). Promoter and RBS variants were used to drive expression of a sfGFP containing an RNA stability hairpin derived from a previously published library ${ }^{6}$. (a) RBS 1 was used for the promoter library and (b) promoter 1 was used in the RBS library. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on $E$. coli cells transformed with a library of DNA plasmids containing variable strength promoters and RBSs. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 13. Creating switchable promoter and ribosome binding site strength libraries with STARs. Target variant 5 was combined with 5 different strength promoters and ribosome binding sites (RBS) variants (see Supplementary Table 3 for sequences). Promoters and RBSs variants are numbered 1-5 from strongest to weakest. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with a library of target DNA plasmids containing variable strength promoters and RBSs in the absence (-STAR) and presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding STAR variant 5. (a) Full library and (b) each promoter variant are shown in panels with RBS variants shown on the $x$-axis. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 14. Combining the inducible promoter-STAR system with the target RNA RBS strength library. The inducible promoter-STAR system was combined with the target RNA RBS strength library and assayed for function. Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with DNA target-RBS library plasmids in the absence (-AHL) and presence (+AHL) of 100 nM AHL. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.

b


Supplementary Figure 15. STARs regulate sfGFP expression from target expression cassettes integrated into the $E$. coli genome. A STAR variant 5 regulated sfofp gene was integrated into the genome of E. coli TG1 cells to create E. coli TG1 target variant 5 sfgfp (Supplementary Table 6). Fluorescence characterization was performed on E. coli TG1 target variant 5 sfgfp cells in the absence (-STAR) and presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding STAR variant 5 , and compared to the autofluorescence of $E$. coli cells transformed with control plasmids (Blank). Data were collected by flow cytometry. (a) Mean fluorescence (measured in units of Molecules of Equivalent Fluorescein [MEFL]) of at least $n=7$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d. and (b) a representative flow cytometry histogram of $n=1$ biological replicas (measured in units of arbitrary fluorescence [au]).


Supplementary Figure 16. Controlling cell motility with STARs. A STAR variant 5 regulated cheZ gene was integrated into the genome of $E$. coli BW25113 cheZ cells to create E. coli BW25113 1 cheZ target variant 5 cheZ (Supplementary Table 6). Photographs of semi-solid motility assays of E. coli BW25113 $\Delta$ cheZ target variant 5 cheZ cells in the absence (1) and presence (2) of a DNA plasmid encoding STAR variant 5. The parent strain E. coli BW25113 (3) and E. coli BW25113 cheZ (4) cells transformed with control plasmids were used as motile and non-motile controls. Each photograph show results from $n=1$ biological replicates performed independently (a-c).
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Supplementary Figure 17. Characterization of STARs in cell-free transcription and translation (TX-TL) reactions. Characterization of STAR variant 5 expressing sfGFP in TX-TL reactions. (a) Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of arbitrary fluorescence [au]) performed in TX-TL reactions containing 8 nM of target DNA plasmid variant 5 and either 15 nM of a no-STAR control plasmid (-STAR) or 15 nM of a DNA plasmid encoding STAR variant 5 (+STAR). (b) The production rate of sfGFP (measured in units of au/minute) was determined by taking the time derivative of (a). (c) The average sfGFP production rate was determined within the linear phase of sfGFP production rate highlighted in the red box in (b). Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 18. Characterization of catechol 2,3-dioxygenase (C23DO) enzyme expression for one-to-one and one-to-many regulation. STAR controlled expression of C23DO in E. coli cells for ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) one-to-one and (c, d) one-to-many regulation. (a, c) Kinetic spectral characterization (measured in units of OD at 385 nm ) was used to determine the ( $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ ) rate of C23DO conversion of catechol to 2-hydroxymuconate semialdehyde between 0 and 10 minutes. C23DO expression characterization was performed on E. coli cells transformed with either ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) a DNA plasmid encoding target RNA regulated C23DO alone or (c, d) DNA plasmids encoding target RNA regulated C23DO, mRFP and sfGFP simultaneously. Data represents mean values of $n=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 19. Schematic of the STAR activation-activation cascade. The activation-activation cascade is composed of three stages. Stage 3 is a STAR that activates its cognate target RNA on stage 2. This target RNA is transcriptionally fused to a Csy4 hairpin (Csy4 hp) ${ }^{3}$ and an orthogonal STAR. A heterologously expressed Csy4 gene cleaves ${ }^{3}$ the Csy4 hp, releasing the orthogonal STAR from the target RNA, allowing it to activate its cognate target RNA on stage 1 that is transcriptionally fused to an sfGFP gene. sfGFP should only be expressed in the presence of the full cascade.


Supplementary Figure 20. Characterization of STAR regulated CRISPR interference (CRISPRi). (a) Schematic of STAR regulated CRISPRi. The STAR regulates expression of a target RNA:Csy4 hairpin:single guide RNA (sgRNA) transcriptional fusion. A Csy4 homolog endogenous to $E$. coli can cleave the Csy4 hairpin (Csy4 hp) ${ }^{3}$, releasing the sgRNA to form a complex with a catalytically dead mutant of the Cas9 protein (dCas9) that binds to the mrfp DNA sequence to repress transcription. The mRFP expression cassette is located on the STAR expressing plasmid. (b) Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on E. coli cells transformed with a STAR variant 5 regulated sgRNA DNA plasmid in the absence (-STAR) or presence (+STAR) of a DNA plasmid encoding STAR variant 5 . A DNA plasmid encoding a constitutively expressed sgRNA (+sgRNA) with no Csy4 hairpin was used as a positive control of repression. These conditions were performed in the absence (-dCas9) and the presence (+dCas9) of a DNA plasmid encoding the dCas9 protein. Data represents mean values of $n$ $=9$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d.


Supplementary Figure 21. Schematic of the STAR and CRISPRi genetic circuitry. (a) Schematic of STAR and CRISPRi NIMPLY (A AND NOT B) logic gate. Input A is a STAR that activates transcription of a target RNA regulated mrfp gene. Input B is a catalytically dead mutant of the Cas9 protein (dCas9) that in combination with a constitutively expressed single guide RNA (sgRNA) represses transcription of the mrfp gene. Only in the presence of input $A$ and in the absence of input B will mRFP be expressed. (b) Schematic of DNA plasmids for the Incoherent Type 1 Feed Forward Loop (I1-FFL). (c) Schematic of temporal signal propagation through a STAR and CRISPRi I1-FFL ${ }^{13}$ and (d) cartoon graphs of the resulting levels of I1-FFL species over time. AHL is added
at $t=0$ to induce STAR expression. STAR accumulates and at $t=1$ an activation threshold is reached to activate mRFP transcription, resulting in an increase in mRFP levels. Simultaneously the sgRNA/dCas9 expression is activated and at $t$ $=2$ reaches a repression threshold that represses transcription of mRFP, resulting in decrease in mRFP levels. As a result the I1-FFL creates a pulse of mRFP expression ${ }^{13}$. In addition if mRFP is not completely repressed by CRISPRi, the I1-FFL should accelerate the response time towards steady-state compared to direct activation ${ }^{13}$.


Supplementary Figure 22. Characterization of a STAR and CRISPRi incoherent type 1 feed-forward loop (I1-FFL). (a) Schematic of STARs and CRISPRi I1-FFL and direct activation circuits. (b-d) Fluorescence characterization (measured in units of fluorescence/optical density [OD] at 600 nm ) was performed on $E$. coli cells transformed with plasmids encoding the 11FFL or the direct activation (direct) cascade. At time 0 hour either acylhomoserine lactone (+AHL) or water (-AHL) were added and fluorescence measured every 1 hour for 7 hours. Fluorescence data for each circuit were individually normalized by dividing by the final fluorescence values at 7 hours in the +AHL condition for each colony before calculating the mean and standard deviation at each time point. (b-d) Each panel represents $n=3$ biological replicas $\pm$ s.d. collected on independent repeats for comparison.


Supplementary Figure 23. STAR/target RNA characteristics governing dynamic range. Fluorescence characterization of each target RNA in the absence (-STAR) (left panel) and presence (+STAR) of cognate STAR (right panel) relative to fold of activation. Each target RNA variant is colored accordingly to the fold of activation. Fluorescence characterization data from Figure 1b. We note that STAR/target variant 101 was not considered because no activation was observed.
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Supplementary Figure 24. Relationship between target RNA sequence composition/base stacking free energy and transcriptional termination efficiency. (a) Percentage nucleotide composition for the 100 computationally designed target RNA linear regions. (b) Relationship between the percentage content of single nucleotide (nucleotide identity shown in upper left of graph) or (c) double nucleotides (dinucleotide identity shown in upper left of graph) of the different target RNA linear regions and the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). (d) Base stacking free energy of the different target RNA linear regions and the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). Base stacking free energies were calculated using dinucleotide base stacking free energies previously determined ${ }^{14}$. Line of best fit is plotted and the correlation of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$ is displayed in upper right of graph. Natural log of fluorescence characterization data from Fig. 1b. We note that STAR/target variant 101 was not considered because no activation was observed.



#### Abstract

Supplementary Figure 25. Relationship between predicted target RNA secondary structure and transcriptional termination efficiency. Secondary structure ensemble free energy of the different target RNA linear regions was predicted using NUPACK ${ }^{12}$ and plotted against the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). Line of best fit is plotted and the correlation of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$ is displayed in upper right of graph. Natural log of fluorescence characterization data from Fig. 1b. We note that STAR/target RNA variant 101 was not considered because no activation was observed.




Supplementary Figure 26. Relationship between transcriptional termination efficiency and sequence composition, base stacking free energy and predicted secondary structure of the ribA target RNAs. (a) Percentage content of uracil ( U ) and cytosine (C) nucleotides in the different target RNA linear regions and the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). (b) Base stacking free energy of the different target RNA linear regions and the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). Base stacking free energies were calculated using dinucleotide base stacking free energies previously determined ${ }^{14}$. (c) Ensemble free energy of the different target RNA linear regions was predicted using NUPACK ${ }^{12}$ and plotted against the natural log of fluorescence in the absence of STAR (OFF state). Natural log of fluorescence characterization data from Supplementary Fig. 7.


Supplementary Figure 27. Flow cytometry gating. (a) E. coli gate based upon side scatter (SSC-A) and forward scatter (FSC-A) for an E. coli culture. (b) Single cell gate based upon side scatter pulse height (SSC-H) and side scatter pulse width (SSC-W). (c) Resulting population from E. coli gate and single cell gates combined. (d) Negative gate based upon fluorescence. See Supplementary Note 4 for detailed description of gating used.

## Supplementary Notes

## Supplementary Note 1. Computational design of STARs using the NUPACK design tool

To computationally design STAR variants, we harnessed the NUPACK RNA design algorithm ${ }^{11}$, a software suite for both the design and analysis of nucleic acid structures. To do this we used the NUPACK design web-application ${ }^{10}$, running the NUPACK design script below. In brief, the script designs RNA sequences for the linear binding sequence and linear region of the STAR and target RNA, respectively, according to the design schematic in Supplementary Fig. 2. In this case, NUPACK designs STAR and target RNAs that are unstructured on their own but form a strong heteroduplex when present together. Certain sequences are prevented in designs and defects within the structure are allowed.

## Designing

\# STAR computational design script for NUPACK web-application
material = rna
temperature $=37.0$
trials = 3
sodium[M] = 1.0
dangles $=$ some
allowmismatch $=$ true
structure $\operatorname{STAR}=$
structure Target =
structure $\mathrm{ON}=$


domain $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{N} 40$
domain $\mathrm{b}=$ GCGGGGAATGTATACAGTTCATGTATATATTCCCCGCTTTTTTTTT
domain $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{TGAACTGTATACATTCCCCGC}$

STAR.seq = ca*
Target.seq $=\mathrm{a} b$
ON.seq $=c a * a b$
prevent = AAAA, CCCC, GGGG, UUUU, KKKKKK, MMMMMM, RRRRRR, SSSSSS, WWWWWW, YYYYYY
STAR.stop $=30.0$

## Supplementary Note 2. Determining STAR design principles

Our goal was to determine whether we could uncover design principles from the computationally designed STAR library. We began by understanding the relationship between target RNA regulatory characteristics and dynamic range. We first compared the fluorescence characterization of our target RNA library in both the absence (-STAR [OFF state]) and presence (+STAR [ON state]) of STAR, to the fold activation of each STAR/target variant (Supplementary Fig. 23). We note that variant 101 was excluded because this design gave rise to no significant activation (Figure 1b). While we saw a greater variation in the ON state fluorescence for designs with high-dynamic range, we observed that designs with high-dynamic ranges consistently had a low OFF level of fluorescence. In other words, target RNA transcriptional termination efficiency in absence of STAR appeared to be a key determinant of dynamic-range.

Given this, we next aimed to determine the relationship between target RNA sequence and structure, and the OFF state fluorescence, which we used as a proxy for transcriptional termination efficiency. We began by studying the effect of sequence composition of the computationally designed linear region of the target RNA (Supplementary Fig. 24). Overall we observed a relatively even distribution of the four nucleotides across the computationally designed target RNAs, with a slight decreased preference for guanosine (Supplementary Fig. 24a). We next compared how percentage content of each nucleotide affected OFF state fluorescence. We note that as percentage nucleotide content is often used as a proxy for free energy, we compared this to the natural log of fluorescence according to a model whereby the observed fluorescence is proportional to the equilibrium constant between the folded and un-folded states of the linear region (Supplementary Fig. 24b). This model effectively assumes equilibration of the linear region before termination, which could be valid given the fast timescales of RNA folding and the timescale of pausing of polymerase on a polyU tract. Interestingly we observed a relatively strong negative correlation $\left(R^{2}\right.$ of $\sim 0.5$ ) between both uracil $(U)$ and cytosine $(C)$ percentage content and the OFF state fluorescence. Moreover, we observed a similar correlation when both $U$ and $C$ content were combined to give an $R^{2}$ of $\sim 0.5$ (Supplementary Fig. 24c). Taken together, this suggests that high UC content improves transcription termination efficiency of the target RNA. We hypothesized that this bias towards UC pyrimidine nucleotides for high termination efficiency may be attributed to base stacking interactions. Stacking interactions between the aromatic nucleotide bases are a major contributor to RNA structures. For example, in single-stranded RNAs base stacking has been shown to influence structural properties such as rigidity and formation of partial helical conformations ${ }^{16}$. Moreover, it is wellestablished that sequence is a major determinant of base stacking interactions, with decreasing stacking free energies in the order purine-purine, purinepyrimidine, pyrimidine-purine and pyrimidine-pyrimidine ${ }^{14}$. Indeed, when we calculate the stacking free energy of different target RNA's linear regions according to previously determined stacking free energy values ${ }^{14}$, we observed a
negative correlation to transcriptional termination efficiency (Supplementary Fig. 24d).

We next turned to the relationship between secondary structure and termination efficiency. Using NUPACK to predict secondary structure within the target RNA's linear region we compared the predicted ensemble free energy of each target RNA to the OFF state fluorescence (Supplementary Fig. 25). We observed a negative correlation with an $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 0.342 , suggesting that secondary structure within the linear region of the target RNA negatively impacts the transcription termination efficiency.

Taken together this suggested that both the presence of base stacking and secondary structure within the target RNA's linear region decreased transcription termination efficiency. We next sought to determine whether these observations represented a general STAR design principle or were specific for the AD1 terminator hairpin that was used as a terminator scaffold for the STAR library. To test this, we used NUPACK to computationally design a small library of STARs using the terminator from the $E$. coli ribA gene as a terminator scaffold ${ }^{9}$. This library was constructed and functionally characterized (Supplementary Fig. 7). We again observed a strong correlation between the OFF state fluorescence and UC content ( $\mathrm{R}^{2} 0.976$ ), stacking free energy ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ 0.975 ) and ensemble free energy ( $R^{2} 0.754$ ) of the target RNA's linear region and OFF state fluorescence (Supplementary Fig. 26). As such, this suggested that the negative impact of base stacking and secondary structures on transcription termination efficiency appeared to be a generalizable principle for the STAR regulatory system.

## Supplementary Note 3. Predicting orthogonal STAR libraries

To predict orthogonal STAR/target RNA pairs, we developed an in house algorithm that uses NUPACK ${ }^{15}$ to model STAR/target interactions and select pairs with minimal interactions between non-cognate pairs. This algorithm first creates two NUPACK input files for each of the 101 target RNAs: <prefix>. in and <prefix>.list whereby <prefix> is the target variant identifier. The <prefix>.in file specifies the number of strands (101 STARs and 1 target RNA), the 101 STAR variant sequences and the specific target variant sequence, as shown below:

```
<prefix>.in file structure:
102 # number of strands
NNNNNNN # STAR variant 1 sequence
NNNNNNN # STAR variant 2 sequence
NNNNNNN # Target variant 1 sequence
1 # option not used
```

It should be noted that only the linear binding sequences and linear region of the STAR/target were used.

The <prefix>. list file specifies the strand composition of the complexes to be analyzed - in this case all the STAR variants against a single target RNA.

```
<prefix>.list file structure:
1 102 # Complex of sequence 1 and sequence 102 in <prefix>.in
(STAR variant 1 and target variant 1)
2 102 # Complex of sequence 2 and sequence 102 in <prefix>.in
(STAR variant 2 and target variant 1)
101 102 # Complex of sequence 101 and sequence 102 in <prefix>.in
(STAR variant 101 and target variant 1)
```

The partition function, equilibrium base-pairing probabilities and minimum free energy (MFE) structures of the STAR-target complexes are then calculated by running NUPACK locally using the test tube analysis complex function ${ }^{11,12}$ with the following options:

```
complexes -T 37 -material rna -pairs -mfe -degenerate <prefix>
```

This results in an output file called <prefix.ocx-mfe> which contained the predicted minimum free energy folds and a dot-bracket structure for each STARtarget complex folded. The algorithm then compiles the dot-bracket structures of each STAR-target complex and counts the nucleotides that are unpaired or involved in intramolecular structures within the STAR strand. This results in a count of unpaired nucleotides for all possible 10,201 complexes, from which the number of linear region predicted base pairing interactions between STAR and target are determined (Supplementary Fig. 7). Based upon experimental
characterization (Supplementary Fig. 9) we predicted that sets of STAR/target RNAs that showed less than 13 base pairs of interaction in the target RNA for one of the combinations (i.e. either STAR $1 /$ target 2 or STAR $2 /$ target 1 ) would be orthogonal. To identify predicted orthogonal sets, we first sorted the list of pair interactions to identify pairs that were predicted to have less than 13 bases of interaction. Additional STAR/target combinations were added to each pair to identify combinations of three STAR/targets predicted to be orthogonal. This was repeated to identify sets of four, five, etc. pairs of orthogonal STAR/targets. An example is shown in Supplementary Fig. 10 that shows a set of 6 STARs that were identified using this approach, and then experimentally validated (Figure 1d).

## Supplementary Note 4. Flow cytometry gating

Flow cytometry data collection was performed as explained in the online methods. FlowJo (v10.2) software was used for analysis of flow cytometry data. The three gates shown in Supplementary Fig. 27 were used for all samples. The first gate (E. coli gate) is based upon side scatter (SSC-A) and forward scatter (FSC-A) to gate for E. coli cells and to remove readings from small debris (Supplementary Fig. 27a). The second gate (single cells gate) was used to gate away multicellular aggregations so that only single cells remain (Supplementary Fig. 27b). This gate was based upon side scatter pulse height (SSC-H) and side scatter pulse width (SSC-W) and the resulting population shown in Supplementary Fig. 27c. The final gate (negative gate) based upon fluorescence was within the second gate and was used to remove any high negative values that can arise from fluorescence baseline subtraction error during data acquisition (Supplementary Fig. 27d). We note that the following variants 40, 41, 80, 89, 91 showed some level of bimodality in either the absence or presence of STAR. All other variants were unimodal.
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