Table S1. List of literature affinities and references.

| Name | Sequence | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ Range $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NFATc1 | ALESPRIEITSCLG | $2.5^{1}-25^{\mathrm{a}, 2}$ |
| NFATc2 | SGLSPRIEITPSHE | $6^{3}$ |
| AKAP79 | RMEPIAIIITDT | $0.4-1.5^{4}$ |
| RCAN | TPSVVVHVC | $1-45^{3,5}$ |
| PVIVIT | AGPHPVIVITGPHEE | $0.5^{4}$ |
| A238L | FKKKPKIIITGCE | $0.8^{6}$ |

Notes: a. Value reported as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$

Table S2. List of "triplicate low" peptides and fit parameters.

| Sequence | Code | $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGPHPVIVITGPHEE | 1 | 1.713 | 0.091 |
| SCRAMBLED | 2 | 586.000 | 349.142 |
| AGPHPVIVINGPHEE | 4 | 58.021 | 3.840 |
| AGPHPVIAVTGPHEE | 5 | 230.516 | 63.064 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 6 | 2.833 | 0.131 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 7 | 1.780 | 0.067 |
| AGPHPVIVITGPHEE | 12 | 2.944 | 0.188 |
| SCRAMBLED | 13 | 352.219 | 133.781 |
| AGPHPVIVVTGPHEE | 14 | 1.784 | 0.115 |
| AGPHPVIVINGPHEE | 15 | 64.513 | 6.260 |
| AGPHPVIAVTGPHEE | 16 | 364.354 | 134.084 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 17 | 2.701 | 0.098 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 18 | 2.375 | 0.088 |
| AGPHPVIVITGPHEE | 23 | 2.665 | 0.150 |
| SCRAMBLED | 24 | 367.611 | 146.316 |
| AGPHPVIVVTGPHEE | 25 | 2.326 | 0.148 |
| AGPHPVIVINGPHEE | 26 | 50.276 | 1.826 |
| AGPHPVIAVTGPHEE | 27 | 358.745 | 96.248 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 28 | 2.833 | 0.102 |
| AGPHPAIVITGPHEE | 33 | 1.736 | 0.103 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 34 | 99.399 | 14.722 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPSHEL | 35 | 4.361 | 0.170 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 37 | 262.428 | 90.082 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS | 38 | 19.812 | 1.546 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 39 | 105.503 | 16.398 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPSHEL | 40 | 10.442 | 0.538 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 42 | 256.631 | 81.701 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS | 43 | 25.145 | 2.325 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 44 | 138.115 | 30.674 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPSHEL | 45 | 3.726 | 0.114 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 47 | 296.430 | 122.092 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS | 48 | 27.232 | 2.167 |

Table S3. List of "triplicate high" peptides and fit parameters. X: phosphoserine, Z: phosphothreonine.

| Sequence | Code | $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HPVIVITGPH | 1 | 0.971 | 0.087 |
| SCRAMBLED | 2 | 1158.521 | 1164.153 |
| HPVIVINGPH | 4 | 26.769 | 1.586 |
| HPVIAVTGPH | 5 | 275.538 | 101.803 |
| HAVIVITGPH | 6 | 1.326 | 0.098 |
| HPAIVITGPH | 7 | 0.814 | 0.048 |
| HPKIVITGPH | 8 | 0.232 | 0.063 |
| HPSIVITGPH | 9 | 1.043 | 0.089 |
| HPVIAVTGPS | 10 | 178.002 | 46.916 |
| HPVIAVTGPX | 11 | 5.733 | 0.422 |
| HPVIVITGPH | 12 | 1.396 | 0.128 |
| SCRAMBLED | 13 | 504.810 | 199.494 |
| HPVIVVTGPH | 14 | 0.745 | 0.064 |
| HPVIVINGPH | 15 | 28.334 | 2.744 |
| HPVIAVTGPH | 16 | 280.329 | 68.591 |
| HAVIVITGPH | 17 | 1.123 | 0.106 |
| HPAIVITGPH | 18 | 1.133 | 0.062 |
| HPKIVITGPH | 19 | 0.394 | 0.120 |
| HPSIVITGPH | 20 | 1.017 | 0.100 |
| HPVIAVTGPS | 21 | 232.885 | 47.065 |
| HPVIAVTGPX | 22 | 3.213 | 0.199 |
| HPVIVITGPH | 23 | 1.481 | 0.169 |
| SCRAMBLED | 24 | 655.883 | 352.402 |
| HPVIVVTGPH | 25 | 1.103 | 0.046 |
| HPVIVINGPH | 26 | 25.669 | 1.060 |
| HPVIAVTGPH | 27 | 155.776 | 18.554 |
| HAVIVITGPH | 28 | 1.373 | 0.073 |
| HPVIAVTGPX | 29 | 3.397 | 0.154 |
| HPKIVITGPH | 30 | 0.605 | 0.034 |
| HPSIVITGPH | 31 | 0.586 | 0.036 |
| HPVIAVTGPS | 32 | 167.046 | 38.974 |
| HPAIVITGPH | 33 | 0.727 | 0.053 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 34 | 35.127 | 3.567 |
| SPRIEITPSHEL | 35 | 1.988 | 0.180 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 37 | 400.290 | 161.175 |
| PIAIIITDTEIS | 38 | 20.728 | 1.914 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 39 | 58.305 | 9.402 |
| SPRIEITPSHEL | 40 | 4.695 | 1.029 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 42 | 350.579 | 115.132 |
| PIAIIITDTEIS | 43 | 24.183 | 2.397 |
| PALESPRIEITSCLGL | 44 | 80.324 | 15.705 |
| SPRIEITPSHEL | 45 | 1.109 | 0.071 |
| ATDTTPSVVVHVCESD | 47 | 888.680 | 817.213 |
| PIAIIITDTEIS | 48 | 18.430 | 2.877 |


| ASGLLPRIEITPPPEL | 48 | 0.279 | 0.032 | -0.35 | 0.12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table S4. List of "calibration" peptides and fit parameters for replicates \#1 \& \#2. Z: phosphothreonine.

| Sequence | Code | Calibrated <br> $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Calibrated <br> $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ Error | PVIVIT <br> $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | PVIVIT <br> $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCRAMBLED | 1 | 15.245 | 10.860 | 2.03 | 0.71 |
| HPVIVITGPHEE | 2 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPRIVITGPHEE | 3 | 0.486 | 0.094 | -0.02 | 0.19 |
| HPLIVITGPHEE | 4 | 0.229 | 0.023 | -0.46 | 0.10 |
| HPVIVIEGPHEE | 5 | 12.022 | 0.677 | 1.89 | 0.06 |
| HPVIVLTGPHEE | 6 | 3.738 | 0.863 | 1.19 | 0.23 |
| IPVIVITGPHEE | 7 | 0.050 | 0.000 | -1.37 | 0.01 |
| HPVIVITGLHEE | 8 | 5.731 | 0.293 | 1.45 | 0.05 |
| HPVIVITMPHEE | 9 | 1.969 | 0.181 | 0.81 | 0.09 |
| HPKIVITGPHEE | 10 | 0.802 | 0.164 | 0.28 | 0.20 |
| HPKIIITGPHEE | 11 | 0.369 | 0.089 | -0.18 | 0.24 |
| HPKIVLTGPHEE | 12 | 4.284 | 0.744 | 1.27 | 0.17 |
| HPKIVIQGPHEE | 13 | 9.622 | 4.827 | 1.75 | 0.50 |
| IPKIVITGPHEE | 14 | 0.032 | 0.002 | -1.64 | 0.06 |
| TPKIVITGPHEE | 15 | 0.159 | 0.042 | -0.68 | 0.26 |
| HPKIVITTPHEE | 16 | 1.897 | 0.320 | 0.79 | 0.17 |
| HPKIVITNPHEE | 17 | 6.851 | 1.173 | 1.55 | 0.17 |
| HPVIVITGPZEE | 22 | 0.010 | 0.002 | -2.32 | 0.21 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS | 28 | 0.654 | 0.278 | 0.16 | 0.43 |
| KRMEPIPIIITDTEIS | 29 | 3.267 | 0.083 | 1.11 | 0.03 |
| KRMEPPAIIITDTEIS | 30 | 0.268 | 0.138 | -0.37 | 0.52 |
| KRMEPIAIYITDTEIS | 31 | 3.924 | 0.392 | 1.22 | 0.10 |
| KRMEPIAIIITRTEIS | 32 | 1.282 | 0.690 | 0.56 | 0.54 |
| KRMEPIAIIITKTEIS | 33 | 2.227 | 1.013 | 0.89 | 0.45 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTTIS | 34 | 1.003 | 0.048 | 0.41 | 0.05 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPSHEL | 39 | 12.312 | 7.502 | 1.90 | 0.61 |
| ASGLSPRILITPSHEL | 40 | 0.859 | 0.132 | 0.32 | 0.15 |
| ASGLSPRIAITPSHEL | 41 | 5.065 | 3.243 | 1.37 | 0.64 |
| ASGLSPRIEISPSHEL | 42 | 7.740 | 0.527 | 1.62 | 0.07 |
| ASGLSPRIEIFPSHEL | 43 | 7.595 | 0.234 | 1.61 | 0.03 |
| ASGLLPRIEITPSHEL | 44 | 1.039 | 0.022 | 0.43 | 0.02 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPFHEL | 45 | 3.816 | 1.291 | 1.21 | 0.34 |
| ASGLSPRIEITASHEL | 46 | 5.050 | 0.182 | 1.37 | 0.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S5. List of "full calibration replicate \#1 and \#2" peptides and fit parameters. Z: phosphothreonine.

| Sequence | Code | Calibrated $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Calibrated $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ Error | PVIVIT <br> $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | PVIVIT <br> $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCRAMBLED | 1 | 20.937 | 16.943 | 2.21 | 0.81 |
| HPVIVITGPHEE | 2 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPRIVITGPHEE | 3 | 0.535 | 0.152 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| HPLIVITGPHEE | 4 | 0.267 | 0.018 | -0.37 | 0.07 |
| HPVIVIEGPHEE | 5 | 10.660 | 2.117 | 1.81 | 0.20 |
| HPVIVLTGPHEE | 6 | 3.019 | 0.920 | 1.07 | 0.30 |
| IPVIVITGPHEE | 7 | 0.075 | 0.006 | -1.12 | 0.09 |
| HPVIVITGLHEE | 8 | 3.165 | 0.488 | 1.09 | 0.15 |
| HPVIVITMPHEE | 9 | 1.696 | 0.195 | 0.72 | 0.11 |
| HPKIVITGPHEE | 10 | 0.901 | 0.208 | 0.35 | 0.23 |
| HPKIIITGPHEE | 11 | 0.349 | 0.005 | -0.21 | 0.01 |
| HPKIVLTGPHEE | 12 | 4.066 | 0.831 | 1.24 | 0.20 |
| IPKIVITGPHEE | 14 | 0.056 | 0.007 | -1.29 | 0.12 |
| TPKIVITGPHEE | 15 | 0.157 | 0.003 | -0.69 | 0.02 |
| HPKIVITTPHEE | 16 | 1.738 | 0.392 | 0.74 | 0.23 |
| HPKIVITNPHEE | 17 | 6.057 | 1.883 | 1.48 | 0.31 |
| IPKIIITYPGEE | 18 | 0.500 | 0.154 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| VPKIIITYPGEE | 19 | 0.403 | 0.127 | -0.13 | 0.31 |
| IPKIIVTYPGEE | 20 | 0.266 | 0.065 | -0.38 | 0.24 |
| IPKIIVTYPDEE | 21 | 0.315 | 0.022 | -0.27 | 0.07 |
| HPVIVITGPZEE | 22 | 0.019 | 0.002 | -1.95 | 0.09 |
| IPKIIVTAPZEE | 24 | 0.004 | 0.001 | -2.80 | 0.18 |
| VPRIIITKPZEE | 25 | 0.003 | 0.001 | -2.99 | 0.24 |
| IPKIIITYPZEE | 27 | 0.011 | 0.004 | -2.27 | 0.38 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS | 28 | 0.599 | 0.242 | 0.11 | 0.40 |
| KRMEPIPIIITDTEIS | 29 | 4.473 | 0.103 | 1.30 | 0.02 |
| KRMEPPAIIITDTEIS | 30 | 0.342 | 0.140 | -0.22 | 0.41 |
| KRMEPIAIYITDTEIS | 31 | 3.161 | 0.804 | 1.09 | 0.25 |
| KRMEPIAIIITRTEIS | 32 | 0.449 | 0.283 | -0.06 | 0.63 |
| KRMEPIAIIITKTEIS | 33 | 0.943 | 0.449 | 0.38 | 0.48 |
| KRMEPIAIIITDTTIS | 34 | 0.723 | 0.011 | 0.22 | 0.02 |
| KRMEPPKIIITDTEIS | 36 | 0.083 | 0.041 | -1.06 | 0.49 |
| KRMEPPKIIITDTSIS | 37 | 0.108 | 0.014 | -0.91 | 0.12 |
| ASGLLPRIIITPPYEL | 38 | 0.025 | 0.005 | -1.77 | 0.19 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPSHEL | 39 | 10.671 | 5.536 | 1.81 | 0.52 |
| ASGLSPRILITPSHEL | 40 | 0.672 | 0.185 | 0.18 | 0.27 |
| ASGLSPRIAITPSHEL | 41 | 4.993 | 3.301 | 1.36 | 0.66 |
| ASGLSPRIEISPSHEL | 42 | 7.109 | 0.483 | 1.57 | 0.07 |
| ASGLSPRIEIFPSHEL | 43 | 5.280 | 1.029 | 1.40 | 0.19 |
| ASGLLPRIEITPSHEL | 44 | 1.107 | 0.003 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| ASGLSPRIEITPFHEL | 45 | 3.855 | 0.855 | 1.21 | 0.22 |
| ASGLSPRIEITASHEL | 46 | 4.347 | 0.184 | 1.28 | 0.04 |

Table S6. Calculated savings and references vs other techniques.

| Methods | Number of <br> peptides | Amount of <br> Protein $(\mu \mathrm{g})^{e}$ | X Time <br> MRBLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRBLE | 1 | 32 | 1 |
| MRBLE | 96 | 32 | 1 |
| MRBLE | 384 | 32 | 1 |
| FP $^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 60 | 1.875 |
| FP $^{a}$ | 96 | 5760 | 180 |
| FP $^{a}$ | 384 | 23040 | 720 |
| SPR $^{b}$ | 1 | 40 | 1.25 |
| SPR $^{b}$ | 96 | 3840 | 120 |
| SPR $^{\text {b }}$ | 384 | 15360 | 480 |
| GST $^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 20 | 0.625 |
| GST $^{\text {c }}$ | 96 | 1920 | 60 |
| GST $^{\text {c }}$ | 384 | 7680 | 240 |
| ITC $^{\text {d }}$ | 1 | 800 | 25 |
| ITC $^{\text {d }}$ | 96 | 76800 | 2400 |
| ITC $^{\text {d }}$ | 384 | 307200 | 9600 |

Notes: a. Fluorescence polarization ${ }^{7,8}$, b. surface plasmon resonance ${ }^{9}$, c. glutathione S-transferase pull-down ${ }^{10,11}$ d. isothermal titration calorimetry ${ }^{12}$, e. amount of protein estimated from published available protocols.
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Figure S1. Integrated peptide quality control and quantification. (A) Synthesis scheme for functionalizing MRBLE cores with an acid-labile rink amide linker and MRBLE shells with an acid-resistant glycine linker ${ }^{13}$. (B) Experimental pipeline for peptide elution, quality control, and quantification.


Figure S2a. Mass spectrometry data of full calibration peptides. MALDI-TOF traces for all 48 peptides obtained using Bruker microflex MALDI-TOF (Billerica, MA, USA). The instrument was run on positive-ion reflector mode with a laser setting of $1,810 \mathrm{~V}$ and data averaged over 100 scans. Raw data was analyzed using FlexAnalysis and mMass (ver. 5.5, www.mmass.org). Z: phosphothreonine.


Figure S2b. Mass spectrometry data of full calibration peptides. MALDI-TOF traces for all 48 peptides obtained using Bruker microflex MALDI-TOF (Billerica, MA, USA). The instrument was run on positive-ion reflector mode with a laser setting of $1,810 \mathrm{~V}$ and data averaged over 100 scans. Raw data was analyzed using FlexAnalysis and mMass (ver. 5.5, www.mmass.org). Z: phosphothreonine. Loss of an E and hydrolysis of phosphate was observed for phosphopeptides.


Figure S2c. Mass spectrometry data of full calibration peptides. MALDI-TOF traces for all 48 peptides obtained using Bruker microflex MALDI-TOF (Billerica, MA, USA). The instrument was run on positive-ion reflector mode with a laser setting of $1,810 \mathrm{~V}$ and data averaged over 100 scans. Raw data was analyzed using FlexAnalysis and mMass (ver. 5.5, www.mmass.org). Z: phosphothreonine. Loss of an E and hydrolysis of phosphate was observed for phosphopeptides.


Figure S2d. Mass spectrometry data of full calibration peptides. MALDI-TOF traces for all 48 peptides obtained using Bruker microflex MALDI-TOF (Billerica, MA, USA). The instrument was run on positive-ion reflector mode with a laser setting of $1,810 \mathrm{~V}$ and data averaged over 100 scans. Raw data was analyzed using FlexAnalysis and mMass (ver. 5.5, www.mmass.org). Z: phosphothreonine.


Figure S3. MRBLE spectral codes are unaffected by chemical reagents required for peptide synthesis. Each embedded MRBLE code is composed of a unique combination of 4 lanthanides (Europium (Eu), Dysprosium (Dy), Samarium (Sm), and Thulium (Tm)) and expressed in terms of 3 ratios (Sm/Eu, Dy/Eu, and $\mathrm{Tm} / \mathrm{Eu}$ ). Histograms of $\mathrm{Sm} /$ Eu ratios within each bead remain unchanged after bead functionalization, rink amide coupling, peptide synthesis, and biotinylation (top). Scatter plots of Dy/Eu and Tm/Eu ratios for each of 5 possible $\mathrm{Sm} / \mathrm{Eu}$ ratios demonstrate that these ratios also remain constant throughout the synthesis process (bottom).


Figure S4. Streptavidin binding assay reveals any sequence-specific differences in peptide synthesis efficiency. (A) Experimental pipeline for streptavidin binding assay. MRBLE peptide libraries are biotinylated, incubated with Alexa-647-labeled streptavidin, washed, and imaged to identify embedded spectral codes and peptide sequences associated with each bead as well as quantify the amount of bound streptavidin. (B) Example images showing Alexa-647-labeled streptavidin binding to biotinylated MRBLE libraries and image processing steps. (C) Histograms and scatter plots of MRBLE Sm/Eu, Dy/Eu, and Tm/Eu ratios showing 48 clearly resolved intensity clusters and resolvable spectral codes. (D) Measured bound streptavidin intensities (median +/- standard error on the mean) for an example 48-code library containing multiple systematic variants of candidate calcineurin substrates.


Figure S5. Streptavidin binding assay can be used to determine peptide loading density. Scatter plots of measured intensity per bead (median +/- s.e.m) as a function of Alexa-647-labeled streptavidin concentration demonstrate that intensities saturate at approximately 20 nM labeled streptavidin. Each reaction includes $\sim 7000$ total beads in a $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume, suggesting that each individual bead displays $\sim 2$ $\times 10^{8}$ peptides.


Figure S6. Time-dependent measurements of calcineurin binding demonstrating that calcineurin-MRBLE on-rates are slow and reach equiluibrium only after ~ 5-6 hours. Measured intensities (grey dots with interpolated line) for 6 PxIxIT variant peptides (PKIVIT, PSIVIT, PVIVIT, NFATc2, AVIVIT, and PAIVIT) as a function of time for a reaction concentration of $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcineurin. Intensities appear to saturate at $\sim 5$ 6 hours and decrease only slightly after 24 hours. Pink boxes signify the time window for all subsequent measurements.


Figure S7. Dissociation rate of calcineurin over a time period of $\sim 13-18$ hours after reaching equilibrium. Measured intensities for 3 PxIxIT variant peptides (PKIVIT, PVIVIT, and NFATc2) as a function of time for a reaction concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcineurin (top panel) and 62.5 nM (bottom panel). Bottom panel represent replicates of the same peptide sequences on 2 separate codes and are indicated by different colors (blue/red).


Figure S8. Triplicate library of reported PVIVIT and natural variants. Amino acid letter in red text indicate the mutation from WT sequence (grey). Each peptide was synthesized separately onto 3 different codes (with the exception of HPVIVVTGPH with only 2 codes). Binding curves were fit with a Langmuir isotherm model to estimate binding affinity $\left(K_{d}\right)$.


Figure S9. PVIVIT core mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations within the PVIVIT core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S10. PVIVIT flank mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations flanking the PVIVIT core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S11. PKIVIT core mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations within the PKIVIT core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PKIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S12. PKIVIT flank mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations flanking the PKIVIT core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PKIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S13. NFATc2 (PRIEIT) core mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations within the NFATc2 core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PRIEIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S14. NFATc2 (PRIEIT) flank mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations flanking the NFATc2 core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PRIEIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S15. AKAP79 (IAllIT) core mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations within the NFATc2 core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type IAIIIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line). The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S16. AKAP79 (IAIIIT) flanking mutation library. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for systematic mutations flanking the AKAP79 core motif. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PRIEIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line); however, a lack of saturation precluded quantitative determination of changes in affinity. The wild-type sequence is shown in italics and single amino acid substitutions are shown in red.


Figure S17. Binding intensity comparisons for PVIVIT library. Measured intensities for WT CN (blue),
antibody alone (yellow), and a CN mutant defective in PxIxIT recognition (NIR, green) interacting with PVIVIT library peptides.


Figure S18. Binding intensity comparisons in PKIVIT library. Measured intensities for WT CN (blue), antibody alone (yellow), and a CN mutant defective in PxIxIT recognition (NIR, green) interacting with PKIVIT library peptides.


Figure S19. Binding intensity comparisons in NFATc2 library. Measured intensities for WT CN (blue), antibody alone (yellow), and a CN mutant defective in PxIxIT recognition (NIR, green) interacting with NFATc2 library peptides.


Figure S20. Binding intensity comparisons in AKAP79 library. Measured intensities for WT CN (blue), antibody alone (yellow), and a CN mutant defective in PxIxIT recognition (NIR, green) interacting with PVIVIT library peptides.


Figure S21. Bar graphs showing PVIVIT specificity. Relative affinity was calculated by normalizing all binding affinity ( $K_{a}$ ) to WT binding affinity (light blue: core, dark blue: flank). Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S22. Bar graphs showing PVIVIT specificity. $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ calculated in reference to PxIxIT WT (HPVIVITGPH). Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S23. Bar graphs showing PKIVIT specificity. Relative affinity was calculated by normalizing all binding affinity ( $K_{a}$ ) to WT binding affinity (light blue: core, dark blue: flank). Peptide sequence AGPHPKIIITGPHEE (red) contains a PxIxIT motif similar to the A238L viral inhibitor.


Figure S24. Bar graphs showing PKIVIT specificity. $\Delta \Delta$ G calculated in reference to PKIVIT WT. Peptide sequence AGPHPKIIITGPHEE (red) contains a PxIxIT motif similar to the A238L viral inhibitor.


Figure S25. Bar graphs showing NFATc2 specificity. Relative affinity was calculated by normalizing all binding affinity ( $K_{a}$ ) to WT (SPRIEITPSH) binding affinity (light blue: core, dark blue: flank). Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S26. Bar graphs showing NFATc2 specificity. $\Delta \Delta$ G calculated in reference to WT peptide (SPRIEITPSH). Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S27. Bar graphs showing AKAP79 specificity. Relative affinity was calculated by normalizing to peptides KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS. Peptide sequence KRMEPKIIITTDTEIS (red) contains a PxIxIT motif equivalent to the A238L viral inhibitor. Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X^{\prime}$ and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S28. Bar graphs showing AKAP79 specificity. $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ calculated in reference to peptides
KRMEPIAIIITDTEIS. Peptide sequence KRMEPKIIITTDTEIS (red) contains a PxIxIT motif equivalent to the A238L viral inhibitor. Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S29. Log Kar normalized to corresponding WT sequences. Amino acid substitutions (single letter code) for all four scaffolds: PVIVIT, PKIVIT, NFATc2, and AKAP79. Positions P-1 to P9 are separated by columns and columns highlighted in yellow represents conserved positions from PxIxIT binding motif. Letters in large black-outlined text corresponds to the WT sequence for that scaffold. Red dotted line is relative affinity $=1$. Phosphoserine and phosphothreonine are represented by ' $X$ ' and ' $Z$ ', respectively.


Figure S30. LogoMaker logos for binding data. Top: binding preferences for AKAP79 (left), NFATc2 (middle left), PKIVIT (middle right), and PVIVIT (right) represented as logos. Scale bar indicates a change in binding affinity of $\sim 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; amino acids are arranged top-to-bottom in order of binding preference. Wild-type residues are shown in red; other residues are shown in grey. Bottom: Logos display residues not tested experimentally at each position to illustrate missing data.


Figure S31. Context-dependent differences in effects of mutations. Measured changes in binding affinity for mutations in PVIVIT (top panel) and PKIVIT (bottom panel) relative to the same mutations in the NFATc2 (PRIEIT) library. Amino acid substitutions are colored according to hydrophobicity with the position of the substitution indicated.


Figure S32. Correlation between measured relative affinity and Backrub-predicted amino acid frequency for each substitution as a function of position. Residues are colored by biochemical properties (red = acidic, blue = basic, black = hydrophobic, green = all other residues).


Figure S33. Correlation between measured and predicted change in Gibbs free energy for each mutation at each position within PVIVIT and AKAP79 peptides. Each panel includes calculated correlation coefficients between MRBLE-pep measured changes in binding affinity (y axis) and Rosetta-predicted changes in binding affinity ( $x$ axis). Residues are colored by biochemical properties (red = acidic, blue = basic, black = hydrophobic, green = all other residues).


Figure S34. Calibration peptide library, replicate \#1. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for selected peptides within the 'calibration' library. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line); $K_{d}$ values were calculating from this $\Delta \Delta G$ and the known literature $K_{d}$ for PVIVIT $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{M})^{10}$.


Figure S35. Calibration peptide library, replicate \#2. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for selected peptides within the 'calibration' library. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the wild-type PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line); $K_{d}$ values were calculating from this $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ and the known literature $K_{d}$ for PVIVIT ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure S36. Correlation between $\Delta \Delta G$ measurements for technical replicates of 'calibration' libraries. Correlation between measured $\Delta \Delta G$ values for a full technical replicate (new bead synthesis, new peptide synthesis, and new calcineurin protein purification) for the "calibration" library. Solid red line shows expected 1:1 linear relationship, dotted grey line shows linear regression of log-transformed values.


Figure S37. Kd values for all peptides within calibration library. PVIVIT variants are shown in orange, PKIVIT variants are shown in green, NFATc2 variants are shown in red, and AKAP79 variants are shown in purple. Values are calculated using the average $\triangle \Delta G$ value relative to PVIVIT for two technical replicates (Figs. S33 and S34) and the literature $K_{d}$ for PVIVIT ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure S38. Full calibration library replicate \#1. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for selected peptides within the 'full calibration' library. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line); $K_{d}$ values were calculating from this $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ and the known literature $K_{d}$ for PVIVIT ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure S39. Full calibration library replicate \#2. Concentration-dependent binding measurements for selected peptides within the 'full calibration' library. For each sequence, measured change in binding affinity relative to the PVIVIT sequence was determined by a global fit to a single-site binding model (black line); $K_{d}$ values were calculating from this $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ and the known literature $K_{d}$ for PVIVIT ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure S40. Correlation between $\Delta \Delta G$ measurements for technical replicates of full calibration libraries. Correlation between measured $\Delta \Delta G$ values for a full technical replicate (new bead synthesis, new peptide synthesis, and new calcineurin protein purification) for the "full calibration" library. Solid red line shows expected 1:1 linear relationship, dotted grey line shows linear regression of log-transformed values.


Figure S41. Correlation between $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ measurements for core/flank library measurements and "calibration" library measurements. Solid red line shows expected 1:1 linear relationship, dotted grey line shows linear regression of log-transformed values.
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